Utah State University DigitalCommons@USU

Posters

**Materials Physics** 

Spring 4-2016

#### Dependence of Electrostatic Field Strength on Voltage Ramp Rate for Spacecraft Materials

Krysta Moser Utah State University

Allen Andersen Utah State University

JR Dennison Utah State Univesity

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/mp\_post

Part of the Condensed Matter Physics Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Moser, Krysta; Andersen, Allen; and Dennison, JR, "Dependence of Electrostatic Field Strength on Voltage Ramp Rate for Spacecraft Materials" (2016). 14th Spacecraft Charging Technology Conference. *Posters.* Paper 28.

https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/mp\_post/28

This Conference Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Materials Physics at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Posters by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



# Dependence of Electrostatic Field Strength on Voltage Ramp Rate for Spacecraft Materials

#### Krysta Moser, Allen Andersen, and JR Dennison

## Introduction

The primary objective of this work For many real spacecraft charging investigated the dependence of electrostatic field strength for spacecraft materials on voltage ramp rate, by provide an appropriate measure of the applying an increasing electrostatic field likelihood of failures [3]. ESD breakdown until electrostatic breakdown occurred. At is the main cause of failures and high enough electrostatic fields or after long times, insulators can breakdown, causing large current flow through the material: this breakdown is called electrostatic discharge (ESD). Enhanced understanding of prolonged exposure to high static electric fields (DC aging) of insulating materials based on expanded experimental studies is critical to understand the physics of highly disordered insulating materials, as well as for applications in spacecraft charging, high voltage DC power transmission cables and switching, thin film dielectrics, and semiconductor devices and sensors [2].

situations, the standard tests with rapidly increasing applied fields do not anomalies attributed to the spacecraft charging interactions with the space environment [1].

Initial tests on the polymeric material Kapton  $E^{TM}$  (PI) found that at ramp rates two or three orders of magnitude lower than the maximum recommended rate,  $F_{FSD}$  was lower than at rapid rates by a factor or two or more (see Fig. 3). This suggests that tabulated values of  $F_{FSD}$ which have been used by the spacecraft charging community can substantially overestimate  $F_{FSD}$  in common slowly evolving spacecraft situations.

This motivated similar measurements on additional materials reported here.

## II. Theory

A common mean field approximation for breakdown that considers only mean defect energy,  $\Delta G_{def}$ , and mean defect density,  $N_{def}$  is the Crine model [5,6].

$$P_{def} = \frac{2k_B T \Delta t}{h} e^{\frac{-\Delta G_{def}}{k_B T}} \sinh\left[\frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r F^2}{2k_B T N_{def}}\right]$$

A first order approximation for how  $F_{ESD}$  depends on the ramp rate dV/dt, comes by assuming that the ratio at two different ramp rates is the same for breakdown fields and the probability of breakdown. Setting the ratio of (1) evaluated at  $\Delta t = \Delta t_{step}$  and  $\Delta t = 1 s$  equal to the ratio of the experimental ramp rate r over  $r_0 = 1$  and recalling that  $\sinh^{-1} x = \ln(x + \sqrt{1 + x^2})$  we find

$$F_{ESD}(r) \approx \sqrt{1.1346 F_{ESD}(r_0)^2 \ln(r + \sqrt{1 + r^2})}$$

Note: (2) corrects a typographical error found in [4]. This approximation is quite simple but as it neglects much of the ramping process.

For incremental voltage step-up tests the breakdown probability,  $P_{BD}$ , becomes  $P_{BD} = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{V/\Delta V} [1 - \alpha \Delta t \sinh[\beta (j \Delta V)^2]]$ 

## **III.** Methods and Results

(1)

(2)

(3)

Standard step-up voltage tests [8] were performed in a custom high vacuum chamber (<10<sup>-3</sup> Pa base pressure) at room temperature (see Fig. 1) [7]. Samples were placed between a metal sample mounting plate and six highly polished Cu high electrodes, voltage using recommended ~0.4 MPa uniform clamping pressure [8]. For ramp rate tests, voltage was incrementally increased at a constant time intervals until breakdown occurred. which was evident by an abrupt current increase followed by a ohmic linearly current above breakdown set by limiting resistors.

Figure 2 shows three step-up tests done at slow, medium, and fast ramp rates. Ramp rates shown in Fig. 2 vary from 20 V per 4 s up to 2000 V per 4 s [4]; standard protocols suggest rates less than 500 V/s [7].

Between 3 and 6 tests were done at each ramp rate; each point in Fig. 3 shows the average and standard deviation of the tests at a given ramp rate. Figure 3 shows the breakdown field versus ramp rate for three polymeric materials, Kapton  $E^{TM}$  (PI), Kapton  $HN^{TM}$  (PI), biaxially oriented polyand propylene (BOPP). Also shown are the average  $F_{FSD}$  and a fit based on Eq. (2).



Figure 2. Comparison of representative step-up voltage tests of Kapton HN<sup>™</sup> at fast, medium and slow ramp rates. (a) 300 V/s (1200 V per 4 s ), (b) 100 V/s (400 V per 4 s), and 5 V/s (20 V per 4 s) test. Arrows indicate range of  $F_{FSD}$ .

Figure 2 clearly shows that faster ramp rates yield less information than the slow ramp rates.

- Faster ramp rates are limited by the resolution of the voltage step size, which in the case of fast ramp rates is quite large [see Fig. 2(a)].
- In contrast, the smaller step size in the slower ramp rate tests results in higher resolution and more continuous data [see Fig. 2(c)].
- The higher resolution data from lower ramp rates tests [Fig. 2(c)] exhibits more detailed physical information, including pre-arcing [7], field enhanced conductivity and possibly a threshold breakdown field strength,  $F_{threshold}$ .
- Fig. 4 shows other information from slower ramp rate tests.

### Materials Physics Group, Utah State University

## IV. Conclusions

- Initial Kapton ETM ramp rate data showed strong dependence over a limit rage of ramp rates, consistent with a proposed mean field theory, Eq. (2).
- Subsequent ramp rate date for Kapton HN<sup>™</sup> BOPP showed little ramp rate dependence. Kapton  $HN_{TM}$  and BOPP data are consistent with a single average  $F_{FSD}$ .
- More data for additional ramp rates, lower uncertainties at a given ramp rate, and more diverse materials are required to test the applicability and accuracy of the mean value, mean field [Eq. (2)] and incremental voltage step [Eq. (3)] models.
- Slower ramp rates:
- Better approximate spacecraft charging situations, where charging is most often very slow,
- Allow higher precision and accuracy determination of  $F_{FSD}$ ,
- Produce data with more observable effects, such as pre-arcing and possibly field enhanced conductivity [7].



#### References

[1] Reed, C.C., Briët, R., Begert, M. (2014). ESD Detection, Location and Mitigation, and Why they are Important for Satellite Development, 13<sup>th</sup> Spacecraft Aeronautics and Space Administration, Marshall Space Flight Center. Plasma Sci., IEEE Trans. on, 43(9), pp. 3086-3098. Physical Society Four Corner Section Meeting.' Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ. [5] J. P. Crine, "On the interpretation of some electrical aging and relaxation phenomena in solid dielectrics," Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation, IEEE *Transactions on.* vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1089-1107, 2005. [6] J.-P. Crine, J.-L. Parpal, and C. Dang, "A new approach to the electric aging of dielectrics." pp. 161-167. [7] A. Andersen, J. R. Dennison, A. M. Sim, and C. Sim, "Measurements of Endurance Time for Electrostatic Discharge of Spacecraft Materials: A Defect-Driven Dynamic Model," Plasma Science, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 43, no. 9, pp. 2941-2953, 2015. [8] ASTM (2014). Standard Test Method for Dielectric Breakdown Voltage and Dielectric Strength of Solid Electrical Insulating Materials Under Direct-Voltage

Charging Tech. Conf., (Pasadena, CA, June, 2014). [2] Bedingfield, K.L., Leach, R.D., & Alexander, M.B. (1996). Spacecraft System Failures and Anomalies Attributed to the Natural Space Environment, National [3] Ferguson, D. C., Worden, S. P. & Hastings, D. E. (2015). The Space Weather Threat to Situational Awareness, Communications, and Positioning Systems [4] Moser, K., Andersen, A., & Dennison, J.R. (2015). Dependence of Electrostatic Field Strength on Voltage Ramp Rates for Spacecraft Material. 'American Stress, D3755-14.

[9] Dissado, Len A., and John C. Fothergill. Electrical degradation and breakdown in polymers. Vol. 9. IET, 1992

#### Utah State University Physics Department Krysta.Moser@aggiemail.usu.edu

This work was supported by a Utah State University Undergraduate Research and Creative Opportunities Grant, a NASA Space Technology Research Fellowship, and funding through NASA GSFC and the James Webb Space Telescope.





Figure 3. Breakdown field versus ramp rate for: (a) past tests on Kapton ETM, (b) tests on Kapton HNTM, and (c), new results for **BOPP.** Horizontal lines show the mean FESD and one standard deviation. Recommend maximum ramp rate of 500 V/s marked by the vertical red line.

Figure 4. Additional features of step-up test visible with slow ramp rate data for  $Al_2O_3$ . Note logarithmic current axis.



Scan QR code to access additional publications.