

Utah State University

DigitalCommons@USU

Research on Capitol Hill

Browse Undergraduate Research Events

1-26-2016

Development of a Glucose-Powered Biobattery for Implantation and Use in Humans

Carson Sparks
Utah State University

Cody Maughan
Utah State University

Lucas Smith
Utah State University

Carson Sparks
Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/roch>



Part of the [Biological Engineering Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Sparks, Carson; Maughan, Cody; Smith, Lucas; and Sparks, Carson, "Development of a Glucose-Powered Biobattery for Implantation and Use in Humans" (2016). *Research on Capitol Hill*. Paper 27.

<https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/roch/27>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Browse Undergraduate Research Events at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research on Capitol Hill by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



Development of a Glucose-Powered Biobattery for Implantation and Use in Humans


Zak Dymock
Cody Maughan
Lucas Smith
Carson Sparks
Utah State University

Table 1 - Evaluation of design vs objectives

Design	Contact Angle (°)	Protein Adsorption (% Reduction)	Degradation (%)	Voltage/Power (V/ μ W/ cm^2)	Oxidation Rate ($\mu\text{mol/day}$)	Reduction Rate ($\mu\text{mol/day}$)
Objective	20	>30	<2	2-3/2-5	<37.5	<225
Multi-Cell	18	10*	<1	0.02/0.05	0.18	0.09
Single-Cell	18	10*	<1	0.20/1.18	4.3	2.15

* Difference in measured reduction was not statistically different than uncoated sample

Figure 2: Exposed layer renderings of single and multi-cell biobattery



I. Introduction

With current demands for implantable electrical devices increasing, the need for a more stable and biocompatible source of power is becoming increasingly necessary. Several battery types and materials were evaluated. Ultimately, an abiotic biobattery was designed with the goal of implantation in the human body. Nafion, single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs), and gold were used to create an abiotic biobattery that is powered by glucose.

The SWCNTs were used to create the cathode, the gold was used to fabricate the anode, and the Nafion acted as the separator between the cathode and anode. A thin Nafion membrane was evaluated for overlaying the SWCNT cathode to prevent biofouling. A biofouling resistant membrane should allow the biobattery to continue to operate with greater efficiency without the surface area effectually decreasing over time as a result of biofouling.




Figure 1: Layered biobattery design

II. Design Criteria

The final design selection is intended to meet the following objectives:

- Low water contact angle ($< 20^\circ$)
- Reduces 1 week BSA protein adsorption by 30% over control
- Able to last 10-15 years without noticeable degradation or harm to the human body
- Produces 2-3 V and 2-5 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ of power
- Oxidizes glucose at a rate of 37.5 μmol per day or less
- Reduces oxygen at a rate of 225 μmol per day or less

III. Final Design

The final battery design that was chosen was an abiotic biobattery with a gold anode, a layer of Nafion on top of the gold anode served as the proton exchange membrane, and a SWCNT mesh suspended in Nafion as the cathode (Figure 2). This design was built on a glass substrate for testing purposes. The final design also investigated the use of a layer of Nafion, which is overlaid on the SWCNT layer as an anti-biofouling layer. Both a multi-cell and a simplified single-cell design were manufactured and tested.

Table 1 shows an evaluation of the biobattery designs against project design criteria. Evaluation of the biobattery costs about \$45-\$50 and manufacturing time of 2-3 days. Figure 4 shows the final designs of the biobattery.

IV. Results

The single cell batteries had an average steady state voltage of 198 mV with a standard deviation of 36.8 mV after exposure to glucose. Comparing these results to batteries to deionized water, which yielded an average voltage of 6 mV with a standard deviation of 6 mV, produced a p-value of 7×10^{-6} , indicating that these results were statistically significant. The voltage drop and power output were tested for several resistive loads producing a maximum power of about $0.28 \mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ at a current drawn of $4.4 \mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Voltage and power generation from simple design





Figure 4: Manufacture biobattery design used in testing



V. References

1. Kerzenmacher, S., Ducrée, J., Zengerle, R., & Stetten, F. V. (2008). Energy harvesting by implantable abiotically catalyzed glucose fuel cells. *Journal Of Power Sources*, 182(1), 1–17. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2008.03.031>
2. Turner, R., Harrison, D., Rajotte, R., & Baltes, H. (1990). A biocompatible enzyme electrode for continuous in vivo glucose monitoring in whole blood. *Sensors And Actuators B: Chemical*, 1(1-6), 561–564. [http://doi.org/10.1016/0925-4005\(90\)80273-3](http://doi.org/10.1016/0925-4005(90)80273-3)

VI. Acknowledgement

Study conducted with funding from a USU Undergraduate Research and Creative Opportunity Grant and lab assistance from the USU Department of Biological Engineering.

