Utah State University DigitalCommons@USU

Library Faculty & Staff Presentations

Libraries

10-28-2014

Best Practices in Geospatial Metadata

Liz Woolcott Utah State University

A. Neatrour

S. McIntyre

R. Wittmann

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/lib_present

Part of the Library and Information Science Commons

Recommended Citation

Woolcott, Liz; Neatrour, A.; McIntyre, S.; and Wittmann, R., "Best Practices in Geospatial Metadata" (2014). *Library Faculty & Staff Presentations*. Paper 86. https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/lib_present/86

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Libraries at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Library Faculty & Staff Presentations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



Best Practices in Geospatial Metadata

Working Session DLF Forum 2014

GEOCACHING



You are not logged in. Log in

Geocaching.com, search on geocaching sites near Decatur, GA



Ohio Memory Project, "Then and Now" maps



Estimated Total Annual Building Energy Consumption at the Block and Lot Level for NYC



Old Maps Online

Your search for Kitten returned 197 results.

Only results with location data are shown below.



Digital Public Library of America, search on "kitten"



Digital Public Library of America, search on "kitten"



Digital Public Library of America, app: "DPLA State by State"

Geospatial metadata quicksand

- Insufficient terms
- Ambiguous terms
- Formats that are difficult for machines to parse



Salt Lake City -- not in South Dakota



Location information or not?



Description

Photograph of a large dome structure called the "Salt Palace", in Salt Lake City, Utah, [s.d.]. A large structure stands at center, with a two-story base and a large dome for a rooftop. A long flight of stairs leads up to the entrance, at left, while poles bearing an assortment of flags stand atop the roof, surrounding the dome. In the background, at left, a line of trees can be seen, while the mountains can be vaguely discerned in the distance.

Location Salt Lake City Utah USA s.d.]

St. Thomas, Nevada -- not in Barbados

IMAGE

Photograph looking southeast through the remains of the post office in St. Thomas, Nevada, 1948

Russell, William S

The remains of the St. Thomas post office and Harry Gentry's store with Lake Mead in the background. Bureau of Reclamation typed notes appended to back of photo: Boulder Canyon Project--Nevada--Region 3 St. Thomas, Nevada, was founded by the Mormons in 1855. In its heyday there were about 800 residents in the village. The "Main Street" was a part of the old Arrowhead Trail, which led from Salt Lake City, Utah to Los Angeles, California. In Jun.:



View Object

By Language

By Format

Partner

By Date

Contributing Institution

By Location Barbados × Saint Thomas Mead, Lake Clark

IMAGE

2

2

2

Photograph of two cars abandoned by their owners in St. Thomas, Nevada, April 11, 1948 Russell, William S

Remains of two cars, most likely near the remains of Hugh Lord's shop, in St Thomas, Nevada, when the town emerged from the waters of Lake Mead in 1945. Bureau of Reclamation typed notes appended to back of photo: Boulder Canyon Project--Nevada--Region 3 St. Thomas, Nevada, was founded by the Mormons in 1855. In its heyday there were about 800 residents in the village. The "Main Street" was a part of the old Arrowhead Trail, which led from Sal...

View Object 🔀





Local names, global audiences

- "Salt Lake" could mean:
 - Salt Lake City, UT
 - Salt Lake County, UT
 - The Great Salt Lake
 - Salt Lake City, West Bengal, India

	-	
FAST Lin	ked Data	
	Incu Data	
PAST Authonity File		
ARCH FAST LINKED DATA		
Select Keyword Index:	Keywords:	
All Headings	▼ salt lake	Searc
IndiaSalt Lake Cit	/	
	DECOUDCE	
IN ORMATION ADOUT THE	RESOURCE	
SCHEMA.ORG NAME(S):		
IndiaSalt Lake City		
IndiaSalt Lake		
IndiaBidriannagar		
IndiaLabananrada		
CONTROLLED HEADING IDE	NTIFIER:	
http://id.worldcat.org/f	ast/1228360	
IDENTIFIER:		
1228360		
TYPE:		
Place		
SAMEAS:		
Salt Lake City (India)		
Salt Lake City (India)		
COORDINATES:		
Latitude: 22.58333		
Longitude: 88.41666		
SKOS PREFERRED LABEL		

Place name problems

- Colorado City, AZ
- Nevada City, CA
- Nevada City, MT
- Nevada County, CA
- Virginia, St. Louis Co., MN
- Virginia City, MT

- Boulder, CO
- Boulder, MT
- Boulder, UT
- Boulder City, NV

County name isn't enough

- Teton County, MT
- Teton County, WY
- Humboldt County, NV
- Humboldt County, CA
- Humboldt County, IA

- Washington County, UT
- Washington County, ID
- Washington County, OH
- Washington County, MD
- Washington County, GA
- Washington County, MS
- Washington County, NC
- Washington County, PA



Mountain West Digital Library, demo map

More problems

Report by Dorotea Szkolar MWDL metadata intern Summer 2012

Recommendations for Geospatial Metadata Standards for Digital Collections in the Mountain West Digital Library

Report prepared for the Utah Academic Library Consortium Digitization Committee

By Dorotea V. Szkolar MWDL Intern

8/01/2012

Coordinate formats

- Numbers:
 - decimal degrees
 - degrees/minutes/seconds
- Directions:
 - (+) and -
 - W, N, E, and S
 - westlimit, northlimit, eastlimit, and southlimit
- Placement:
 - In same field
 - In different fields



Field mapping

- Dublin Core
 - coverage
 - spatial
 - subject



How to get out?

- Investigate recommendations and best practices for MWDL community
- Learn more about controlled vocabularies
- Learn more about mapping applications



Westley rescues Buttercup from the lightning sand in *The Princess Bride*.

MWDL Geospatial Discovery Task Force

Findings

Task Force Charge

- 1. Identify existing geospatial metadata practices
- 2. **Develop guidelines** for standardizing
- 3. Creating map-based search interfaces
- 4. Identify and share tools

https://sites.google.com/site/mwdlgeospatial/

Phase One

Three subgroups:

- 1. Review previous report
- 2. Identify low hanging fruit
- 3. Identify map-based interfaces

Task Force Timeline: Phase One

July 31, 2013 – First Meeting

Sept. 5, 2013 - Website/Listservs set up

Sept. 24, 2013 - Finalize charge

Oct. - Nov. 2013 - Subgroup working period

Dec. 13, 2013 – Subgroups report

Phase One: Webinar Report



Geospatial Discovery Task Force report (webinar): <u>https://video.utah.edu/media/t/0_hoq01kon</u>

Community notes from webinar: https://docs.google.com/document/d/16B_Lbc6B4hO7I0sppEKeJKErRsOtWU6Thf2_JTbaJs0/edit

Phase Two

Three more subgroups:

- 1. Controlled vocabularies
- 2. Coordinate data and GIS perspectives
- 3. Map-based Interfaces

Task Force Timeline : Phase Two

March 2014 – Developed Subgroups

March – July 2014 – Subgroup work

July 31, 2014 – Subgroup report to task force

Aug. 26, 2014 - Review of recommendations

Sept. 2014 – Current recommendations released

All standards and practices adopted by the metadata review board should be compliant with the ISO 19115:2003* Geographic Information--Metadata standard

*Task Force will review the latest released standard ISO 19115-1:2014 in the coming months

Since MWDL contributors may need to use *varied* controlled vocabularies, we recommend that a geospatial metadata format and selected controlled vocabulary be **highly recommended but not enforced**.

There is a clear preference for expressing coordinates in latitude-longitude as **decimal degrees** over the degrees-minutes-seconds format.

Ex. Mount McKinley:

Latitude: 63.540777

Longitude: -151.723614

Latitude: N 63° 32' 26.7972" Longitude: W 151° 43' 25.0108"

It is recommended that partners keep all the elements of a single term **within a single iteration of the field**. For example, don't split latitude and longitude. Repeat spatial field for each new entity.

Current Recommendations: 4 ex.

For example: Mt. McKinley

Lat/Long expressed:

<dcterms:spatial>63.540777, -151.723614</dcterms:spatial>

Controlled Vocab expressed:

<dcterms:spatial>Mount McKinley, Denali National Park and Preserve, Alaska</dcterms:spatial>

URI expressed:

<dcterms:spatial>http://geonames.org/5868589</dcterms:spatial>

All together:

<dcterms:spatial>63.540777, -151.723614; Mount McKinley, Denali National Park and Preserve, Alaska; http://geonames.org/5868589</dcterms:spatial>

Partners should map geospatial metadata field (s) to the Dublin Core spatial refinement of coverage (dcterms:spatial), which can be done at the collection level. The OAI provider for the repository hosting the collection should support provision of qualified Dublin Core if possible.

The spatial coverage refinement (dcterms: spatial) **is highly recommended** for all new collections harvested by MWDL.

Where converting legacy data may be too difficult, partners can add an additional separate field mapped to the Dublin Core term spatial (dcterms:spatial) with basic, minimal geospatial metadata (at least at country and state level), in accordance with upcoming recommendations for controlled vocabulary.

Next Steps:

- 1. Select a recommended controlled vocabulary
- 2. Investigate best ways to represent geospatial information
 - a. Tools
 - b. Software
 - c. Techniques/Best Practices
- 3. Look further into GeoJSON vs. KML

Next Steps:

- Review the formatting and syntax of Points and Boxes, particularly in regards to the DCMI Box/Encoding Schemes:
 - a. DCMI Box Encoding Scheme at http://dublincore.org/documents/dcmi-box/

Example: name=Western Australia; northlimit=-13.5; southlimit=-35.5; westlimit=112.5; eastlimit=129

b. DCMI Point Encoding Scheme at http://dublincore.org/documents/dcmi-point/

Example: name=Perth, W.A.; east=115.85717; north=-31.95301 Example: east=148.26218; north=-36.45746; elevation=2228; name=Mt. Kosciusko

Next Steps:

5. Develop regional gazetteer

- a. Investigate ways to integrate this into the recommended controlled vocabulary
- 6. Develop actionable plans to deal with legacy data
 - a. List of common find and replace scenarios
 - Analyze current top five strategies used to assign geospatial metadata, estimate cost and workflow needed to convert legacy data

Use Cases

"I just want my metadata harvested and showing up on DPLA's map. I want my items to be represented at least at the State level in DPLA's map."

"I don't have any geographic metadata and I want to get started assigning coordinates to things in my collection."

"I want to create a walking tour of a buildings collection (historic homes of 1925) and need different points expressing street level locations (points + photo)."

"I'm not interested in coordinate data but I'm interested in putting in city, state, town information and I want to get started with linked data."

"I want to display county outlines instead of just a point in the middle of the county."