

Utah State University

DigitalCommons@USU

Reports

Utah Water Research Laboratory

January 1970

An Examination of Approximately Simultaneous Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing (Ventilation) Indexes

George W. Reynolds

William McNeill

Floyd Johnson

Janet Cleary

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/water_rep



Part of the [Civil and Environmental Engineering Commons](#), and the [Water Resource Management Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Reynolds, George W.; McNeill, William; Johnson, Floyd; and Cleary, Janet, "An Examination of Approximately Simultaneous Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing (Ventilation) Indexes" (1970). *Reports*. Paper 139.

https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/water_rep/139

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Utah Water Research Laboratory at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reports by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT
June 1, 1969 through June 30, 1970

AN EXAMINATION OF APPROXIMATELY SIMULTANEOUS
SALT LAKE VALLEY AND CACHE VALLEY
CLEARING (VENTILATION) INDEXES

by

George W. Reynolds
William McNeill
Floyd Johnson
Janet Cleary

Prepared for

Utah State Division of Health
Contract No. 2632

Utah Water Research Laboratory
College of Engineering
Utah State University
Logan, Utah

August 31, 1970




ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This Project was co-funded by the Utah State Division of Health and the National Air Pollution Control Administration (H. E. W.) Considerable benefit was derived from the consultation and cooperation of Dr. Grant Winn, Director, Air Quality Section, Bureau of Environmental Health, Utah State Division of Health. The Project is also indebted to Mr. William Chapman and his colleagues, of the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station, for sharing data and consultation. A similar debt is owed to Mr. E. Arlo Richardson, Utah State Climatologist (ESSA). The cooperation of the Office of Atmospheric Water Resources (U.S. Bureau of Reclamation) in allowing the use of the Wasatch Weather Modification Project's rawinsonde receiver unit in exchange for data was vital to the fulfillment of Project commitments. Pictures were taken by David Steele. The field work was accomplished by Paul Thornley, William Luce, H. E. Harrelson, Ron Campbell, and William Slusser under the direction of Mr. William McNeill. Editorial assistance was provided by Donna Falkenborg. Many of the diagrams were prepared by Paul Thornley. Assistance in preparing the final report was given by Cathy Champlin and Donna Conner.

George W. Reynolds
William McNeill
Floyd Johnson
Janet Cleary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgments	i
Abstract	xi
Summary	xiii
Objectives	xiii
Procedures	xiv
Results	xvi
Conclusions	xx
Recommendations	xxii
Introduction	1
Background Information	5
The Clearing Index and related measures of air pollution potential	5
Intermountain geography	7
The Approach	11
General	11
The Clearing Index calculation	15
Results	21
Salt Lake Valley verification	21
Salt Lake Valley--Cache Valley Clearing Index relationships	22
The Cache Valley Clearing Index forecast	30
Clearing Index--contamination dispersion relationships	48
Diurnal variations in Cache Valley Clearing Indexes	49
The effects of transient weather systems	53
Conclusions	59
Recommendations	61
References	63
Appendix A: Record of Regular Rawinsonde Flights	67
Record of Non-regular Rawinsonde Flights	68
Record of Scheduled Pictures Taken	70
Appendix B: Clearing Index Program	73



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Rawinsonde--Maximum Temperature Combinations for Which Clearing Index Comparisons were Made and the Abbreviations Used	13
2. Letter Designations for the Weeks of Operation (GMT)	18
3. Salt Lake Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. the Salt Lake Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day	23
4. Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Salt Lake Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day	23
5. A Comparison Between Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes	23
6. Linear Correlations (r) and Regression Relationships ($Y=b_0 + b_1X$) Between Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes	27
7. The Cache Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day	36
8. Cache Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day	36
9. Actual Cache Valley Clearing Indexes	36
10. Changes in Clearing Indexes Caused by Adding 2°F to the Maximum Temperatures	39
11. Changes in Clearing Indexes Caused by Adding 5°F to the Maximum Temperatures	39
12. Cache Valley Evening Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day	39

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

13.	Cache Valley Morning Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day	39
14.	Cache Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Next Morning Clearing Index Forecasts . .	42
15.	Salt Lake Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Salt Lake Valley Next Morning Clearing Index Forecasts .	42
16.	Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts	42
17.	Salt Lake Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day	45
18.	Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day	45
19.	Salt Lake Valley Evening Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day . . .	45
20.	Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day . . .	45
21.	Salt Lake Valley Evening Clearing Indexes with Perfect Cache Valley Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day	47
22.	Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Indexes with Perfect Cache Valley Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day	47
23.	Cache Valley Morning Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. the	

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

	Cache Valley Lowest Visibilities of the Same Afternoon	50
24.	Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Lowest Visibilities of the Same Afternoon	51
25.	Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes Vs. Cache Valley Lowest Visibilities for the Same Afternoon	52
26.	Operational Class Using the Cache Valley Rawinsonde Flights of the Indicated Time of Day and the Observed Logan (USU) Maximum Temperatures of the Same Calendar Day	55
27.	Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes Just Preceding and Following the Passage of Cold Fronts at the Salt Lake City Airport	56

SUMMARY

Objectives

In accordance with the agreement between the Utah State Division of Health and Utah State University, the objectives of this study were to:

"1. Provide information to support and verify clearing index (ventilation index) forecasts from Salt Lake City.

"2. Provide information on:

"a. The degree to which clearing (ventilation) indexes computed from Salt Lake City rawinsonde data represent the clearing (ventilation) indexes for the Cache Valley.

"b. The usefulness and values of correction factors which will improve the degree to which the clearing (ventilation) indexes based on Salt Lake City rawinsonde data represent conditions over the Cache Valley. The objective is to develop correction factors which are amenable to becoming a part of standard operating procedures.

"c. How well clearing (ventilation) indexes based upon early morning Cache Valley rawinsonde data and the maximum temperatures of the day estimate the actual contamination dispersion potential of the atmosphere throughout the day.

"d. The diurnal variation of clearing (ventilation) indexes within the Cache Valley.

"e. The capability of transient weather systems for changing the clearing (ventilation) indexes for the Cache Valley.

"f. The requirements for local measurement systems for determining clearing (ventilation) index values in the mountain West."

Procedures

Regular rawinsonde flights were scheduled to be taken twice daily, six days per week, every third week from July, 1969, through June, 1970, from a location in the Cache Valley.* These flights were scheduled to be released at 4:15 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (M.S.T.), respectively, which are the scheduled release times for the official flights from Salt Lake City. Two hundred and four such flights were attempted, 192 of which were completed and provided usable information. The data from the regular morning flights were provided at about 7 a.m. (M.S.T.), on the morning of the flight to the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station.

In order to provide an objective basis for estimating the air pollution level of the Cache Valley, pictures were scheduled to be taken at about 9 a.m. and 3 p.m. (local time) daily from the top of "Old Main" on the Utah State University campus. Beginning in December, 384 such pictures were taken.

The Clearing Index (CI) is the product of the calculated mixing depth (MD) in feet and the mean wind speed (\overline{WS}) in m.p.h.

*On one occasion there were three weeks and on three occasions only one week between operational weeks.

within the mixed layer, divided by 100:

$$CI = \frac{MD \times \overline{WS}}{100}$$

Clearing Indexes from 14 different combinations of Cache Valley and Salt Lake Valley rawinsonde maximum temperature data were computed in accordance with the rules specified by the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station. From these 14 different estimates of Clearing Index values, 18 sets of paired values were submitted to comparison analysis, using contingency tables, scattergrams, and correlation and regression techniques. Visibilities estimated from daily photographs were also related to Clearing Index values.

Vertical temperature profiles and winds aloft used were all observed data. In most cases, evening and morning rawinsonde flights were separated. Maximum temperature values were either forecast or observed. Thus, the use of the observed maximum temperature sometimes implied a perfect maximum temperature forecast.

The implication of the Clearing Index procedure is that there will be a time each day when the capability of the atmosphere for dispersing the contaminants dumped into it is at a maximum. In general, the most favorable period and the maximum temperature will occur in the afternoon. Consequently, the so-called Actual Clearing Index was estimated from the evening rawinsonde data and the observed maximum temperature of the same calendar day. This value is used as the basis for assessing the merit of the various Clearing Index calculations.

From the practical aspect, 500 is the critical Clearing Index value

from the viewpoint of burning decisions. Less than 500 is considered unfavorable for burning. Therefore, two operational classes have been defined: Clearing Indexes < 500 ; Clearing Indexes ≥ 500 . For the most part, the data have been summarized from the operational viewpoint.

Results

Following are significant results from this study. It should be kept in mind that these are the results from a single year's data. This was a somewhat unusual year, weatherwise, but most years are in one way or another. The results and conclusions are certainly indicative, but more years of study would be required if one were to draw refined conclusions.

1. About 80% of the Salt Lake Valley forecasts were in the same operational category as the Salt Lake Valley Actual Clearing Indexes. However, observed 5 p.m. (M.S.T.) rather than forecast winds were employed. Further, modification in the method of forecasting mixing depths added by the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station in February, 1970, were not incorporated into this investigation. Consequently, it seems likely that their forecast record may be somewhat better than the 80% herein indicated. The SLCWBAPS does not issue an evening forecast. However, an estimate of the accuracy of such a forecast through simulation was considered desirable. With the procedures used in this study, evening and morning forecasts appeared to be about equally accurate.

2. About 70% of the Actual Clearing Indexes for the Salt Lake Valley were in the same operational class as their companion Cache

Valley Actual Clearing Indexes. Twenty-two percent of the Salt Lake Valley Clearing Indexes were higher and 8% were lower than their respective Cache Valley Clearing Indexes. There was no obvious functional relationship between the two values.

3. During late fall and winter, there were extended periods, when a forecast of Clearing Index < 500 every day would verify as true on 80% or more of the days. This applied to both Cache Valley and the Salt Lake Valley.

4. Definite seasonal trends were indicated for Cache Valley Clearing Indexes, mixing depths, and mean wind speeds. The Salt Lake Valley Clearing Index also showed a seasonal trend.

5. About 60% of the forecasts based on Cache Valley rawinsonde data and forecast maximum temperatures were in the same operational classes as their companion Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes. There was little difference in the accuracies of the evening and morning forecasts. Sixty percent of the Actual Clearing Indexes were in the < 500 class so a forecast of < 500 every day would be about as accurate as the use of any of these procedures. However, the present maximum temperature forecasts in the Cache Valley are semi-qualitative, using "low," "mid," and "upper" terms. A more precise forecast might give better results.

6. Forecasting the maximum temperature 2°F too high shifted more than one-fourth of the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes from the lower to the higher operational class. An error of 5°F too high

shifted almost one-half of the lower operational class Clearing Indexes to the higher category.

7. Using observed maximum temperatures made little change in the accuracy of the evening forecasts of Cache Valley Clearing Indexes (63% vs. 75%). For the morning forecasts it changed the accuracy from 59% to 63%.

8. Seventy-one percent of the Cache Valley and 78% of the Salt Lake Valley evening forecasts were in the same operational categories as their respective next morning forecasts.

9. Fifty-six percent of the evening and 62% of the morning Salt Lake Valley forecasts were in the same operational categories as the Actual Cache Valley Clearing Indexes. The respective figures for Cache Valley were 63% and 59%.

10. With perfect Salt Lake Valley maximum temperature predictions, 56% of the evening and 68% of the morning forecasts were in the same operational class as their companion Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes. Using the Salt Lake Valley rawinsonde data with Cache Valley's perfect maximum temperature forecasts improved these accuracies to 63% and 70% respectively. Using solely Cache Valley data with perfect maximum temperature forecasts the respective figures were 75% and 63%.

11. Visibilities were grouped into three classes: <3 miles; 3-7 miles; >7 miles. Restricted was defined as being ≤ 7 miles.

a. In Cache Valley morning forecasts, using the perfect

maximum temperature forecast.

(1) The Clearing Index was <500 for 90% of the lowest, 50% of the medium, and 28% of the unrestricted visibilities.

(2) For those cases with Clearing Indexes <500, 43% had the lowest, 33% had medium, and 24% had unrestricted visibilities.

b. For Salt Lake Valley morning forecast, using the Salt Lake Valley perfect maximum temperature forecast:

(1) The Clearing Index was <500 for 82% of the lowest, 46% of the medium, and 28% of the unrestricted visibilities.

(2) For those cases with Clearing Indexes <500, 45% had lowest, 30% had medium and 25% had unrestricted visibilities.

c. For Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes:

(1) The Clearing Index was <500 for 91% of the lowest, 33% of the medium and 21% of the unrestricted visibilities.

(2) For those cases with Clearing Indexes <500, 44% had lowest, 22% had medium, and 35% had unrestricted visibilities.

12. For 10 cases under study for diurnal effects, the 5 a.m. and 9 a.m. (M.S.T.) forecasts were in the wrong operational category 4 and 3 times, respectively.

13. The Cache Valley Actual Clearing Index before the passage of the front increased for five and decreased for five of the 10 cases involving cold fronts. The operational class was increased three times, decreased two times, and remained the same five times.

Several tentative conclusions have developed from the results of

this study. These conclusions are termed tentative, since conditions during only one year were investigated.

Conclusions

Actual Clearing Indexes for the Cache Valley often differed significantly from Actual Salt Lake Valley Clearing Indexes. Cache Valley Clearing Indexes are in a lower operational class than Salt Lake Valley Clearing Indexes more often than the reverse is true. There is no obvious functional relationship between the two that would provide a correction factor that would consistently make the two more compatible. There were periods when the use of a linear regression might have increased the similarity. However, there is no reason for believing that the same coefficient would apply to the same weeks each year.

There apparently are long periods during late fall and winter when one should forecast that the Clearing Index will be less than 500 every day, unless he has a special reason for doing otherwise. This applies to both Valleys.

Fluctuations in Clearing Indexes are related to changes in both the mixing depth and mean wind speed, with neither influence being obviously dominant. Further study could reveal that one is indeed more influential than the other, since this point was not pursued in this investigation.

An error of even 2^oF in the maximum temperature prediction can often change the operational category of the Clearing Index forecast.

Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing Index forecasts for

the same day are often not in the same operational class. Various modifications did not result in remarkable improvement in the compatibility of the two forecasts. However, only about 60% of the Cache Valley forecasts, based solely upon Cache Valley data, were in the correct operational category. The Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes appeared to be less than adequate for representing the contamination dispersal capability. Rawinsonde measurements are neither absolutely accurate nor completely representative. Consequently, Cache Valley Clearing Index forecasts based on Cache Valley rawinsonde and temperature data, do not appear to offer sufficient improvement to justify the additional effort and expense. Coupling Salt Lake Valley rawinsonde data with more precise forecasts of Cache Valley maximum temperatures may well be worthwhile.

Using visibility estimations from photographs to estimate the actual contamination containment capability of the air, the present Clearing Index system is inadequate for the Cache Valley.

If there is a diurnal dependence in the Clearing Index forecast, it is not sufficiently consistent so that it became obvious in the small sample examined.

There also were insufficient samples to allow definitive conclusions as to the effects of transient weather systems on Clearing Index values. It can be said that the passage of a cold front will not always result in a more favorable Clearing Index for the Cache Valley.

condensation below the level of the mixing depth; and the mean wind speed represents the wind conditions within the layer.

This method uses fewer parameters and forecasts for shorter periods than the Niemeier "macroscale meteorological phenomena" approach (1960). In the latter procedure, the recognition of subsidence below the 600 mb level probably involves some subjectivity. Further, it is suspected that the air pollution potential of the Salt Lake Valley and environs is less bound to quasi-stationary anticyclones than the area of Niemeier's investigation.

The Salt Lake Valley official Clearing Index is somewhat less sophisticated than "relative concentration" as computed by Miller and Holzworth (1967) and Holzworth (1967). However, mixing depth and wind speeds are basic to both procedures. In the Miller-Holzworth method, the mixing depth--wind speed--relative pollution concentration relationship is more complicated and requires the selection of a stability class and city size. It was intended for application to "metropolitan areas" whereas the Salt Lake Valley official Clearing Index is for rural as well as urban application. However, since the average Relative Concentration is inversely proportional to city size, it could be quite effective as an indicator of the air contamination containment potential for rural areas. It probably would be necessary to first establish some relationship between air pollution conditions and computed Relative Concentrations before these values could be used as a basis for decisions.

Intermountain geography

As indicated in Figure 1, the Salt Lake City Airport is near the eastern edge of a great valley which includes the Great Salt Lake. This valley is occasionally interrupted by somewhat scattered mountains. The eastern border of the Salt Lake Valley is the north-northwest--south-southeast-oriented Wasatch Front which rises abruptly to 3,000 to 5,000 feet above the Valley floor.

The concentration of human population and other air pollution sources lies in a narrow strip just to the west of the Wasatch Front, beginning to the south of Provo and extending northward to a little north of Brigham City. Salt Lake City and environs, and Ogden and environs all have enough industries, people, and traffic to justify concern over the possibility of local modifications of the vertical temperature profiles (Duckworth and Sandburg, 1954; DeMarrais, 1961). However, any such effect has not been quantified, or even identified for this region. It seems possible that the selective screening of the air pollution alone might cause dynamically significant changes in lapse rates during marginal conditions.

The Salt Lake City Airport is on the western edge of Salt Lake City. It seems possible that the rawinsonde sensor unit may pass through mildly thermally polluted air during its first few thousand feet of rise. On the other hand, from the viewpoint of air pollution dispersal, the Cache Valley, which is about 70 miles long and 10 miles wide is probably more representative of the many valleys within

THE APPROACH

General

Rawinsonde flights were taken at 4:15 a. m. and 4:15 p. m. (M. S. T.) daily, six days per week, every third week, in the Cache Valley. (Note: As indicated in the "Record of Regular Rawinsonde Flights" (Appendix A) there were a few deviations from "every third week," but the planned total of 17 weeks of observation was accomplished.) The official times at which flights are made daily by the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station are 4:15 a. m. and 4:15 p. m. (M. S. T.). A few additional flights were taken in the Cache Valley to provide information concerning the effects of the time of day and changing meteorological conditions on Clearing Index values (Appendix A). Beginning with December, 1969, three pictures were taken twice daily on most flight days in an effort to document visibility conditions. As indicated in Appendix A, "Record of Scheduled Pictures Taken," these overlapping pictures cover all directions from south through west to north.

Clearing Index values were then computed from various combinations of Salt Lake City Airport and Cache Valley rawinsonde flight data, with Salt Lake City Airport and Cache Valley forecast and observed maximum temperatures. Clearing Index values were compared, as appropriate, using contingency tables, scattergrams, correlations,

Table 1. Continued

Abbreviation	Meaning
SLV	Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station data
CV	Cache Valley data Rawinsonde values were obtained by U.S. U., and maximum temperatures were obtained from the Logan U.S. U. station.
e	Evening rawinsonde flights
f	Forecast maximum temperatures In all cases, the forecast maximum temperatures were issued at about 5 a. m. (M. S. T.) on the morning of the calendar day for which the forecast was valid. Evening flights were combined with the temperature forecasts issued the next mornings; morning flights were combined with the temperature forecasts issued the same mornings.
m	Morning rawinsonde flights
n	Morning or evening, as appropriate, immediately following the morning or evening of the first member of the pair
sd	Same day This will only be applied to temperature values and only in combination with evening rawinsonde values.
t	Observed maximum temperature For evening flights the observed maximum temperature will be for the next calendar day; for morning flights it will be for the same calendar day.

diagram. The appropriate forecast maximum temperature is plotted on the same diagram, using the same surface pressure. The point at which the dry adiabat through the forecast maximum temperature intersects the sounding temperature profile defines the mixing depth. The product of the forecast arithmetic mean of the wind speed, within the mixed layer, and the mixing depth is divided by 100 to obtain the Clearing Index.*

The Clearing Index calculation

Since Clearing Indexes were to be computed for a variety of rawinsonde data--maximum temperature combinations, computerizing the calculations was especially desirable. From the practical viewpoint, this must be accomplished in a way which will give approximately the same results as the manual procedure. A computer program was written (Appendix B) and applied by which Clearing Index values (CI) were computed in the following manner. The input to this program was observed rawinsonde data with forecast or observed maximum temperatures. (Note: observed winds were applied directly; whereas, in practice, the SLCWBAPS uses forecast winds.)

1. The maximum temperature was assumed to occur at the surface pressure of its companion radiosonde data.
2. The maximum temperature was converted from Fahrenheit (T_{MF}) to Centigrade (T_M), using the relationship, $T_M = \frac{5}{9}(T_{MF} - 32)$.
3. The pressure at the top of the mixed layer was estimated as follows:

*See page 5 for changes in SLCWBAPS procedures during the experimental year.

a. Beginning with the maximum temperature and its accompanying surface pressure, the air was assumed to cool dry adiabatically.

(1) According to the Poisson equation for dry air,

$$T_1 = T_0 \left(\frac{P_1}{P_0} \right)^{.286}$$

(a) T_0 and P_0 are the initial temperature and pressure.

(b) T_1 and P_1 are the temperature and pressure after lifting.

(c) Temperatures are in degrees Kelvin.

b. The cooling was accomplished in 10 mb increments until the calculated temperature (T_a) was equal to or less than the profile temperature (T_r) at the same pressure level. (That is, until

$$T_a - T_r = \Delta T \leq 0.)$$

(1) If $\Delta T = 0$, that pressure level was considered to be at the top of the mixed layer.

(2) If $\Delta T < 0$, the air was rewarmed dry adiabatically by increasing the pressure by five mb.

(a) If the new $\Delta T > 0$, the previously calculated level, (before the five mb warming) was used as the top of the mixed layer.

(b) If the new $\Delta T \leq 0$, then this new level was considered to be the top of the mixed layer.

4. The mixing depth (MD) was computed as follows:

a. Assuming that the hydrostatic relationship for completely

dry air, $dP = -\rho g dZ$, and the equation of state, $\rho = \frac{P}{R\bar{T}}$ were valid for this estimation, the height (M. S. L.) of the top of the mixed layer (Z_{ML}) was computed to be

$$Z_{ML} = \frac{R\bar{T}}{g} \log \frac{P_0}{P_1} + Z_0$$

(1) Z_{ML} is the height (M. S. L.) of the top of the mixed layer.

(2) dP is the change in air pressure.

(3) ρ refers to density.

(4) g is the acceleration due to gravity.

(5) dZ is the change in height.

(6) P_0 is the pressure at Z_0 , and P_1 is the pressure at the top of the mixed layer.

(7) R is the appropriate gas constant.

(8) \bar{T} is the mean temperature of the layer ($^{\circ}$ Kelvin).

(9) Z_0 is the height of the mandatory pressure level immediately below the top of the mixed layer.

b. The mixing depth (MD) was equal to the height of the top of the mixed layer minus the respective M. S. L. station height (4,226 feet for Salt Lake City Airport flights and 4,447 feet for Cache Valley flights).

5. Increments of 1,000 feet were used for computing the mean wind speeds in the mixed layer. Linear interpolation was used for those values which were not reported. Mean wind speed values (\overline{WS}) were in miles per hour.

6. The computed Clearing Indexes were then 1/100 of the product of the mixing depth (in feet) times the mean wind speed (m. p. h.) in the mixed layer, times a unit factor.

$$CI = \frac{MD \times \overline{WS}}{100}$$

To verify the validity of this procedure, Clearing Indexes were computed by both the standard manual method and by the outlined computer method. The results are plotted in Figure 2. (The letter symbols in all of the figures and tables indicate the respective weeks during which the relevant observations were made (Table 2)). Using 500 as the critical level, there were no cases in which the results from the two methods changed the Clearing Index classification.

Table 2. Letter Designations for the Weeks of Operation (M.S.T.)

July 21 to July 26	A
Aug. 18 to Aug. 23	B
Sept. 8 to Sept 3	C
Sept. 29 to Oct. 4	D
Oct. 20 to Oct. 25	E
Nov. 10 to Nov. 15	H
Dec. 1 to Dec. 6	J
Dec. 15 to Dec. 20	K
Jan. 5 to Jan. 10	M
Jan. 26 to Jan. 31	P
Feb. 16 to Feb. 21	Q
Mar. 9 to Mar. 14	R
Mar. 23 to Mar. 28	S
Apr. 6 to Apr. 11	T
Apr. 27 to May 2	V
May 18 to May 23	W
June 8 to June 13	X




Figure 2. Computer Clearing Indexes vs. differences between Clearing Index values obtained by the manual method from those obtained by the computer method .

RESULTS

Paragraph one of the contract requires the provision of "information to support and verify clearing index (ventilation index) forecasts from Salt Lake City." The information in support of the Clearing Index forecasts, Cache Valley rawinsonde data, was furnished on a real time basis, by 7 a.m. (M.S.T.), immediately after morning flights.

Salt Lake Valley verification

In order to meet the verification commitment, comparisons were made between forecast and "Actual" Clearing Indexes for the Salt Lake Valley. Hypothetical evening forecasts were included even though such are not issued by the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station. This was an effort to estimate the accuracy potential of such forecasts if they were to be issued. The verifications of evening forecasts, reported in the following tables and figures, are based upon evening rawinsonde data and the morning forecast of the following day's maximum surface temperature.

"Actual" Clearing Indexes were estimated from the evening rawinsonde data, using the observed maximum temperatures of the same calendar days. Effectively, this assumes that the maximum temperature occurred in the afternoon, and that there were no advective or dynamic changes in the air column near the ground between the times of

the temperature maxima and the times of the next rawinsonde flights. The results of these comparisons are presented in Tables 3 and 4.

The format of these contingency tables is employed throughout this report. In each block, the number on the left indicates how many observations fell into that particular category combination. It is simply the total number of letters in that block. The number on the right is the equivalent percent of all of the observations. Seventy-nine percent of the evening forecasts and 78% of the morning forecasts* were in the same operational class as the corresponding Actual Clearing Indexes.

It seems probable that using forecast winds and the modification in the procedures for computing the mixing depth would result in somewhat greater agreement between forecast and Actual Clearing Indexes.

Salt Lake Valley--Cache Valley Clearing Index relationships

A primary intention of the study was to obtain quantitative information on "The degree to which clearing (ventilation) indexes computed from Salt Lake City rawinsonde data represent the clearing (ventilation) indexes for the Cache Valley." A further objective was to provide information on "The usefulness and values of correction factors... "which would make the official Salt Lake Valley values more

*If a forecast were issued in the evening, it would predict the Clearing Index for the next day. A morning forecast, issued in the morning, predicted the Clearing Index for the day of issue. This procedure implies that the Clearing Index is for mid-afternoon. Throughout this report the terms "morning" and "evening" in conjunction with forecasts will apply to the Actual or simulated time of issue.

Table 3. Salt Lake Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. the Salt Lake Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day

SLV_eSLV_f Vs. SLV_{ne}SLV_{sd}

	34-54%	29-46%	63-100%	
≥ 500	CDDJPSSW 8-13%	ABBBCCDDJ QQRRRSSTT VWWX 24-38%		32-51%
SLV _{ne} SLV _{sd}				
< 500	AEEHHHJJ KKMMPP QRRRVX 26-41%	HMSVX 5-8%		31-49%
	< 500	≥ 500		
	SLV _e SLV _f			

79% (50) of these forecasts were in the right Clearing Index class.

Table 4. Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Salt Lake Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day

SLV_mSLV_f Vs. SLV_{ne}SLV_{sd}

	33-39%	52-61%	85-100%	
≥ 500	DJPQST 6-7%	ABBBBCC DDEJQQRR SSSSTTTTV VWWWWWXX 39-46%		45-53%
SLV _{ne} SLV _{sd}				
< 500	EEEEHHHJJ 27-32%	AHPPPQRRS VVV 13-15%		40-47%
	< 500	≥ 500		
	SLV _m SLV _f			

78% (66) of these forecasts were in the right Clearing Index class.

Table 5. A Comparison Between Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes

SLV_eSLV_{sd} Vs. CV_eCV_{sd}

	42-50%	50-55%	92-100%	
≥ 500	PRRRRX 7-8%	ABBBCCDADD EJJPOQSSS TTTTVWWXX 3-13%		31-40%
CV _e CV _{sd}				
< 500	AEEHHHJJ JJJKKKKKM MMMMPPPPQ SVVVV 7-8%	AABCCDDHQ RRSSTTVWWX 20-22%		55-60%
	< 500	≥ 500		
	SLV _e SLV _{sd}			

71% (31) of the pairs have both members in the same Clearing Index class.

representative of Cache Valley conditions.

The so-called Actual Clearing Indexes were computed from approximately simultaneous Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley evening flights (92 pairs, 184 flights in all). The results are summarized in Table 5. For 71% of these pairs the Clearing Index classes were the same. For 8% of these comparisons Cache Valley Clearing Indexes were in a higher class than companion Salt Lake Valley Clearing Indexes. Salt Lake Valley had the higher Clearing Index classification for 22% of the comparisons. Thus, if the Salt Lake Valley Clearing Index were perfectly forecast, it would be representative for Cache Valley less than three-fourths of the time, with a definite tendency for over-estimation. Further, restricting atmospheres in the Cache Valley seem more likely to occur outside of the late fall to early spring season.

A scattergram of the same data (Figure 3) does not indicate a close functional relationship between the two Actual Clearing Indexes. However, as indicated in Table 6, a correlation coefficient of 0.90 was obtained for the six observational weeks during the 14 weeks between September 29 and January 10. A correlation coefficient of .80 was calculated for the 26 weeks (10 weeks of observation) between September 29 and March 28. By extending this period to May 23, the coefficient was reduced to .73. Thus, it appears that during the July 1969-June 1970 experimental year, for selected periods, using a linear relationship between Actual Clearing Indexes would have




Figure 3. A comparison between Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes.

SLV_eSLV_{sd} Vs CV_eCV_{sd}

provided better estimates of Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes than the use of Salt Lake Valley Actual Clearing Indexes without adjustment.

A further examination of Table 5 reveals some interesting statistics. For Cache Valley the following percentages of Actual Clearing Indexes were less than the critical 500 for the indicated periods: the whole experimental year--60%; from October 20 through February 22--79%; from October 20 through February 1--85%. Thus, for the late fall-winter period, it is likely that the most reliable Clearing Index category forecast would be one which called for less than 500 every day. This procedure probably can be improved somewhat by also considering the effects of strong transient storm systems.

For the Salt Lake Valley, critical values were less dominant, for the year as a whole, but they were nearly the same for the late fall-winter period. Comparable percentages of Salt Lake Valley sub-500 Clearing Indexes were as follows: the whole experimental year--46%; October 20 through February 22--77%; October 20 through February 1--85%. Thus, based upon this record, for selected periods for the Salt Lake Valley, climatology is an especially effective forecast tool.

One should be hesitant about assuming that the results of this year's experiment will hold precisely for all years. Without resorting to a specific climatological study, there was an impression that there were considerably fewer than normal transient storm systems during the 1969-70 cold season. Such storm systems may have an air cleansing effect, at least for the Salt Lake Valley, and with strong storm

systems probably for the Cache Valley as well. Thus, the data for this year may over-estimate the frequency and duration of sub-critical Indexes. There is always the possibility that the statistics may be somewhat affected by accidents of sampling. However, the sampling procedure used would not introduce any statistical bias into the results.

The differences between Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley mixing depths and mean wind speeds were each plotted against the appropriate differences in Clearing Indexes (Figures 4 and 5). These graphs provide no clearcut evidence that either of these factors is substantially more influential than the other, insofar as differences between concurrent Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing Indexes are concerned.

Envelope curves of Actual Clearing Indexes (Figure 6), mixing depths (Figure 7), and mean wind speeds (Figure 8), all for the Cache Valley, against time (weeks) clearly indicate strong seasonal trends for each. These trends are only roughly compatible, emphasizing the dependency of Clearing Indexes on both mixing depths and mean wind speeds.

A similar time plot of Salt Lake Valley Actual Clearing Indexes (Figure 9) shows good but by no means perfect agreement with respect to Cache Valley Clearing Index trends. A comparison of Figures 6 and 9 clearly illustrate the tendency for Salt Lake Valley Clearing Indexes to be higher than Cache Valley Clearing Indexes.

The Cache Valley Clearing Index forecast

The fundamental question is whether the Salt Lake Valley Clearing




Figure 4. Differences in Actual Clearing Indexes ($SLV_eSLV_{sd} - CV_eCV_{sd}$) vs. differences in mixing depths (Salt Lake Valley - Cache Valley).




Figure 5. Differences in actual mean wind speeds within the mixed layers vs. differences in Actual Clearing Indexes (Salt Lake City--Cache Valley).




Figure 6. Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes by weeks (using $CV_{e CV_{sd}}$).
The dates given are the first days of the respective weeks of observations.




Figure 7. Cache Valley mixing depths by weeks (using $CV_{e CV_{sd}}$).
The dates given are the first days of the respective weeks of observations.




Figure 8. Cache Valley mean wind speeds within the mixed layers by weeks (using $CV_e CV_{sd}$).
The dates given are the first days of the respective weeks of observations.




Figure 9. Salt Lake Valley Clearing Indexes by weeks (using $SLV_e SLV_{sd}$).
The dates given are the first days of the respective weeks of observations.

Index, with or without correction factors, is adequate for use in the Cache Valley. Conversely, should separate forecasts be issued for the Cache Valley and similar valleys, with perhaps a requirement for special rawinsonde flights at these locations? In the previous section, it was reported that Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes were in the same category for only 71% of the 92 cases, and that there was an apparent tendency for the Salt Lake Valley classification to be the higher (22% of the 92 cases). These data were not submitted to statistical significance tests. Further, the differences between Actual Clearing Indexes were not sufficiently consistent to allow a straightforward subtraction or ratio procedure. Thus, perfect Cache Valley Clearing Indexes forecasts would provide better information than perfect Salt Lake Valley Clearing Indexes forecasts for decisions concerning burning in the Cache Valley.

Only 71% agreement between Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes, by itself, suggests the need for a special measurement-forecasting service for Cache Valley. However, several conceivably compensating factors suggested the possibility that such a special Cache Valley forecast service might not offer a practical advantage over the use of Salt Lake Valley forecasts.

Tables 7 and 8 provide verifications of Cache Valley forecasts based upon rawinsonde flights from the Cache Valley and the official Logan maximum temperature forecast. This temperature forecast required interpretation since the wording was somewhat qualitative. For example, "the low 70's" was called 73, "mid 70's--75, and "high 70's

Table 7. The Cache Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day
 $CV_e CV_f$ Vs. $CV_{ne} CV_{sd}$

	26-39%	41-61%	67-100%						
$CV_{ne} CV_{sd}$	≥ 500	<table border="1"> <tr><td>DEQ</td><td>BBCDJ P Q R R R</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>S S T T V W X X X</td></tr> </table>	DEQ	BBCDJ P Q R R R		S S T T V W X X X	22-33%		
	DEQ	BBCDJ P Q R R R							
	S S T T V W X X X								
< 500	<table border="1"> <tr><td>DDEEE E H H J J</td><td>A B C C H H J J P P</td></tr> <tr><td>K K K K M M M M M</td><td>Q Q R R S S T T V V</td></tr> <tr><td>P Q W</td><td>V W</td></tr> </table>	DDEEE E H H J J	A B C C H H J J P P	K K K K M M M M M	Q Q R R S S T T V V	P Q W	V W	19-28%	45-67%
DDEEE E H H J J	A B C C H H J J P P								
K K K K M M M M M	Q Q R R S S T T V V								
P Q W	V W								
	< 500	≥ 500							
	$CV_e CV_f$								

63% (42) of the forecasts were in the right Clearing Index class.

Table 8. Cache Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day
 $CV_m CV_f$ Vs. $CV_e CV_{sd}$

	33-39%	52-61%	85-100%							
$CV_e CV_{sd}$	≥ 500	<table border="1"> <tr><td>B J Q R R T T V X</td><td>A B B C D D E J P P</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Q R R S S S T T V</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>W W X X X</td></tr> </table>	B J Q R R T T V X	A B B C D D E J P P		Q R R S S S T T V		W W X X X	35-41%	
	B J Q R R T T V X	A B B C D D E J P P								
	Q R R S S S T T V									
	W W X X X									
< 500	<table border="1"> <tr><td>E E E H H J J J J K</td><td>A A B C C D D E H</td></tr> <tr><td>K K K M M M M M P</td><td>H K P P Q Q R R S S</td></tr> <tr><td>Q S V W</td><td>T T V V W W</td></tr> </table>	E E E H H J J J J K	A A B C C D D E H	K K K M M M M M P	H K P P Q Q R R S S	Q S V W	T T V V W W	26-31%	50-59%	
E E E H H J J J J K	A A B C C D D E H									
K K K M M M M M P	H K P P Q Q R R S S									
Q S V W	T T V V W W									
	< 500	≥ 500								
	$CV_m CV_f$									

59% (50) of these forecasts were in the right Clearing Index class.

Table 9. Actual Cache Valley Clearing Indexes
 $CV_e CV_{sd}$

	55-60%	37-40%	92-100%	
	< 500	≥ 500		
	$CV_e CV_{sd}$			

--78. From these two tables, it appears that using the Cache Valley rawinsonde data and the official Logan maximum temperature forecast* predicts the right Clearing Index classification on about 60% of the days. There is more of a tendency to forecast a too high than a too low category. This percentage verification is about the same that one would get by forecasting less than 500 for all days (Table 9). However, during the period from October 20 through February 1, 85% (28) of the 33 calculated Actual Clearing Indexes were less than 500. The percentage of correct forecasts issued in the evening and in the morning for the same period were 75% and 77% respectively. Thus, insofar as the experimental year was representative, during the late fall and early winter, the best climatological forecast is for a Clearing Index of less than 500.

Cache Valley maximum temperature forecasts were somewhat qualitative and were for Logan U.S.U. rather than the rawinsonde site.**

Therefore, an effort was made to investigate the effects of errors in the temperature forecast on the resulting Clearing Index values. Separate calculations were made, using the evening rawinsonde data with: the observed same calendar day Logan maximum temperature (Actual Clearing Index); the observed same calendar day Logan maximum temperature plus 2^oF; the observed same calendar day Logan maximum temperature plus 5^oF. The results are summarized in

*Issued by the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station

**The rawinsonde site is at 4,447 feet M.S.L. -- Logan U.S.U. is at 4,785 feet M.S.L.

Tables 10 and 11.

Even the 2°F change resulted in a shift of more than one-fourth of the <500 class Clearing Indexes to a higher category. The addition of 5°F raised the category of nearly half of the <500 class. The effects of subtracting 2°F and 5°F , respectively, on the number in the ≥ 500 class would be less pronounced but still of practical significance. The results of these two small experiments are sufficient to indicate the sensitivity of Clearing Index values to the accuracy of maximum temperature forecasts.

Using the quasi-qualitative Logan maximum temperature forecast undoubtedly resulted in a loss of forecast accuracy. It therefore seemed desirable to estimate the accuracy of the Clearing Index forecast system for Cache Valley by assuming perfect maximum temperature forecasts. It was also assumed that the Logan maximum temperature was the same as the maximum temperature at the rawinsonde site. With these assumptions, 75% of the evening and 63% of the morning Clearing Index predictions were in the right classes (Table 12 and 13). The morning issue shows little advantage over the use of the official temperature forecast, and often over-estimates Clearing Indexes in the <500 class. The evening predictions advance from 63% to 75% in the correct category with a considerable lessening of the tendency to over-estimate smaller values.

There were cases when the observed maximum temperatures were less than their companion rawinsonde surface temperatures. For these cases, Clearing Indexes could not be computed using this

Table 10. Changes in Clearing Indexes Caused by Adding 2°F to the Maximum Temperatures

$CV_e CV_{sd+2^\circ F}$ Vs. $CV_e CV_{sd}$

	38-41%	54-59%	92-100%	
≥ 500		A A B B B B D D D E J J P P Q Q R R R R R S S S T T T W W W X X X X	37-40%	
$CV_e CV_{sd}$	0-0%	37-40%		
< 500	A B C E E E E H H H H J J J J K K K K K K M M M M M P P Q Q R S S V V W W W X	A A C C D D D H P Q R S T T V V	55-60%	
	38-41%	17-18%		
	< 500	≥ 500		
	$CV_e CV_{sd+2^\circ F}$			

82% (75) of these pairs had both members in the same Clearing Index class.

Table 11. Changes in Clearing Indexes Caused by Adding 5°F to the Maximum Temperatures

$CV_e CV_{sd+5^\circ F}$ Vs. $CV_e CV_{sd}$

	30-33%	62-67%	92-100%	
≥ 500		A A B B B C C D D D E J J P P Q Q R R R R R S S T T T T V W W W X X	37-40%	
$CV_e CV_{sd}$	0-0%	37-40%		
< 500	A B E E E E H H H H J J J J K K K K K R M M M M M P P Q Q V V W W W X	A A C C C D D H M Q R R S S T T V V V	55-60%	
	30-33%	25-27%		
	< 500	≥ 500		
	$CV_e CV_{sd+5^\circ F}$			

73% (67) of these pairs had both members in the same Clearing Index class.

Table 12 Cache Valley Evening Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day

$CV_e CV_t$ Vs. $CV_{ne} CV_{sd}$

	39-65%	21-35%	60-100%	
≥ 500	D D E J P Q R S S W T T V W X X	B B C J R R R R S S T T V W X X	26-43%	
$CV_{ne} CV_{sd}$	10-17%	16-27%		
< 500	A A B C D E E E H H H H J J J K K K K K M M M M M P P Q S	C Q V V W	34-57%	
	29-48%	5-8%		
	< 500	≥ 500		
	$CV_e CV_t$			

75% (45) of these forecasts were in the right Clearing Index class.

Table 13 Cache Valley Morning Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day

$CV_m CV_t$ Vs. $CV_{ne} CV_{sd}$

	41-48%	15-52%	86-100%	
≥ 500	B D J P O R R T T X R R S S T T W W W X X V	A B B C D E J P Q Q R R S S T T W W W X X V	33-38%	
$CV_{ne} CV_{sd}$	10-12%	23-27%		
< 500	C E E E H H J J J J J K K K K M M M M P M P Q R S T V W W X S	A A B C C D D E H H P P Q Q R S T V V V X X K	53-62%	
	31-36%	22-26%		
	< 500	≥ 500		
	$CV_m CV_t$			

63% (54) of these forecasts were in the right Clearing Index class.

procedure. In general, but not always, this is the result of a passing cold front. These cases were not included in the calculations. The Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station has a special procedure for handling such circumstances.

It is notable that, using so-called perfect maximum temperature forecasts, the evening Clearing Index forecast for the next afternoon is more accurate than the forecast issued the following morning (the day for which the Clearing Index is issued). This suggests considerable continuity in air mass conditions from day to day, when the diurnal influence is excluded. It also suggests a nocturnal distortion that results in a departure from the theory on which this procedure is based. Still another possibility is greater agreement between the wind speeds of the same time of day.

The October 20--February 1 period offers some especially interesting statistics:

1. Twenty-six evening cases (Table 12).
 - a. Percent of forecasts in the right operational class--88%.
 - b. Percent of the 26 with Actual Clearing Indexes in <500 class--96%.
2. Thirty-one morning cases (Table 13).
 - a. Percent of forecasts in the right operational class--77%.
 - b. Percent of the 31 with Actual Clearing Indexes in <500 class--84%.

Thus, for this period, the forecast which appears to have the greatest operational accuracy is <500 for every day, unless there is

strong reason to do otherwise.

There are apparent discrepancies in some of the statistics of this report, and particularly in the percentage statistics. In combining parameters, some samples are excluded from some combinations but included in others. Thus, the total number on which the percentages are based varies. For example, there were 67 samples of the regular Cache Valley evening forecast but only 60 samples of the Cache Valley evening forecast which used the observed maximum temperature of the next day. Therefore, it sometimes has been necessary to confine comparisons to the data within a table.

As a matter of curiosity, contingency tables were prepared (Tables 14 and 15) which compare evening and the following morning Clearing Index predictions separately for the Cache Valley and Salt Lake Valley. The official Logan maximum temperature forecasts were used for Cache Valley. From the operational viewpoint, only 71% of the Cache Valley and 78% of the Salt Lake Valley pairs were compatible.

Since the same maximum temperature prediction is used with morning and evening Clearing Index forecasts, this lack of complete compatibility must be the result of diurnal variations in the mean wind speeds and/or the temperature profiles.

It is indeed interesting that the operational accuracy of the Salt Lake Valley evening and morning predictions of the Clearing Index are 79% and 78% respectively (Tables 2 and 3), but the accuracies of the evening and morning Cache Valley predictions, using the observed Logan maximum temperatures are not so alike being

Table 14. Cache Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Next Morning Clearing Index Forecasts

$CV_e CV_f$ Vs. $CV_{nm} CV_f$

	14-24%	44-76%	58-100%
≥ 500	DDDEEK 6-10%	AABBBCCCCE HJPPQQRR RSSSTTVVW WXX 33-57%	39-67%
< 500	EEHJJJKKKK MMMMPPQQ 8-14%	BHJRRSTVW X 11-19%	19-33%
	< 500	≥ 500	
	$CV_e CV_f$		

71% (41) of the evening forecasts are in the same Clearing Index class as the forecasts issued the following mornings.

Table 15. Salt Lake Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Salt Lake Valley Next Morning Clearing Index Forecasts

$SLV_e SLV_f$ Vs. $SLV_{nm} SLV_f$

	37-54%	32-46%	69-100%
≥ 500	ACDEPPQRRS 12-17%	AABBBCCCDD JHQRRSSST TTVVWWXX 29-42%	41-59%
< 500	DEEEHHJJJ KKKKMMMMP PQRSX 25-38%	JMQ 3-4%	28-41%
	< 500	≥ 500	
	$SLV_e SLV_f$		

78% (54) of the evening forecasts were in the same Clearing Index class as the forecasts issued the following mornings.

Table 16. Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts

$SLV_m SLV_f$ Vs. $CV_m CV_f$

	34-37%	58-63%	92-100%
≥ 500	DEEHK PQR 8-9%	AABBBBBBCC CCDDDEHJP PQQRRRSS SSSTTTTVV VWWWWWXXX 50-54%	58-63%
< 500	EEHHJJJJJ KKKKMMMMM PQSTVX 26-28%	BQRRTVW 8-9%	34-37%
	< 500	≥ 500	
	$SLV_m SLV_f$		

83% (76) of these Salt Lake Valley morning forecasts were in the same Clearing Index categories as their companion Cache Valley morning forecasts.

75% and 63% respectively. This suggests the possibility of a significant difference between Cache Valley and Salt Lake Valley low level wind and temperature profile modifications by diurnal factors.

For obvious reasons, it is desirable to base Clearing Index forecasts for the immediate neighboring Intermountain area on data already regularly available at the official station*, unless available evidence shows this procedure to result in an unacceptable loss in operational accuracy.

From Table 16 it is evident that a substantial portion of morning Clearing Index forecasts using only Salt Lake Valley data are in a different operational class than those morning forecasts based solely on Cache Valley data. The comparison scattergram (Figure 10) gives no obvious indication of a functional relationship between the two forecasts.

Since the forecasts using only Cache Valley data (Tables 7 and 8) were operationally correct only about three-fifths of the time it is possible that Salt Lake Valley forecasts might do as well, even though the two forecasts differ. Salt Lake Valley evening and morning forecasts were each compared to appropriate Actual Cache Valley Clearing Indexes. For the Salt Lake Valley evening forecasts (Table 17) only 56% were in the right operational category as opposed to 63% for the Cache Valley regular forecasts (Table 7), and 75% for the forecasts using the observed maximum temperatures (Table 12). Sixty-two of the morning

*Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station




Figure 10. Salt Lake Valley morning forecast Clearing Indexes vs. Cache Valley morning forecast Clearing Indexes.

SLV_m, SLV_f Vs. CV_m, CV_f

Table 17. Salt Lake Valley Evening Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day
SLV_eSLV_f Vs. CV_{ne}CV_{sd}

	37-57%	28-43%	65-100%	
	DEJ P Q R R R S W X	B B C D J Q S T T V		
≥ 500	11-17%	10-15%	21-32%	
CV _{ne} CV _{sd}	A C D E E E H H H J J K K K K K M M M M P P P Q S V	A A B C D H J M Q Q R R S S T V W W		
< 500	26-40%	18-28%	44-68%	
	< 500	≥ 500		
	SLV _e SLV _f			

56% (36) of the Salt Lake Valley forecasts were in right Clearing Index class.

Table 18. Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Index Forecasts Vs. Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day
SLV_mSLV_f Vs. CV_{ne}CV_{sd}

	33-39%	51-61%	84-100%	
	D J P Q R T X	A B B B C D E J P Q Q R R R S S S T T T V W W W X X		
≥ 500	7-8%	26-31%	33-39%	
CV _{ne} CV _{sd}	E E E E H H J J J J K K K K K K M M M M M P Q S V H	A A B C C D D P P P Q R R R S S T T V V V W W W X		
< 500	26-31%	25-30%	51-61%	
	< 500	≥ 500		
	SLV _m SLV _f			

62% (52) of the Salt Lake Valley forecasts were in the right Clearing Index class.

Table 19. Salt Lake Valley Evening Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Next Calendar Day
SLV_eSLV_t Vs. CV_{ne}CV_{sd}

	37-60%	25-40%	62-100%	
	D E J J Q R R R S X	B B C D S T T V W		
≥ 500	11-18%	9-15%	20-32%	
CV _{ne} CV _{sd}	A B D E E E H H H J J J K K K K K M M M M P P Q S	A A C C D H P Q R R S S T V V W		
< 500	26-42%	16-26%	42-68%	
	< 500	≥ 500		
	SLV _e SLV _t			

56% (35) of these forecasts are in the right Clearing Index class.

Table 20. Salt Lake Valley Morning Clearing Indexes with Perfect Maximum Temperature Forecasts Vs. the Cache Valley Actual Clearing Indexes of the Same Calendar Day
SLV_mSLV_t Vs. CV_{ne}CV_{sd}

	37-44%	48-56%	85-100%	
	D J P Q R T	A B B B C D E J P Q Q R R R S S S T T T V W W W X X X		
≥ 500	6-7%	27-32%	33-39%	
CV _{ne} CV _{sd}	C E E E E H H H H J J J J K K K K K K M M M M P Q Q S V W W X	A A B C C D D P P P Q R R S S T T V V V W		
< 500	31-36%	21-25%	52-61%	
	< 500	≥ 500		
	SLV _m SLV _t			

68% (58) of these forecasts are in the right Clearing Index class.

Appendix A: Record of Non-regular Rawinsonde Flights (Continued)

<u>DATE</u>	<u>DAY</u>	<u>HOUR (MST)</u>
9 April 1970	Thursday	11 pm *
30 April 1970	Thursday	9 am *
30 April 1970	Thursday	1 pm *
30 April 1970	Thursday	10 pm *

* Completed Flights that are usable

- Completed Flights that are not usable

Appendix B: Clearing Index Program

```

48* C *****
49* C THIS PROGRAM IS DESIGNED TO COMPUTE CLEARING INDICES SIMULTANEOUSLY FOR
50* C TWO STATIONS FOR AS MANY AS 26 SOUNDINGS EACH. INPUT IS STANDARD CODED
51* C PAPERS WITH MINOR MODIFICATIONS AS SPECIFIED BELOW ALONG WITH FORECAST
52* C MAXIMUM TEMPERATURES FOR EACH DAY ON WHICH A READ IS ENTERED.
53* C *****
54* C
55* C
56* C
57* C PROGRAM WRITTEN BY
58* C GEORGE W. REYNOLDS AND RONALD H. CAMPBELL
59* C UTAH WATER RESEARCH LABORATORY
60* C UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY
61* C LOGAN, UTAH
62* C UNDER THE AIR POLLUTION STUDY CONTRACT
63* C FOR EVALUATING METEOROLOGICAL CLEARING INDICES
64* C GRANT NO. 7632 PROJECT WORK
65* C STATE OF UTAH - DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND WELFARE
66* C *****
67* C
68* C
69* C
70* C DEFINITION OF INPUT VARIABLES
71* C IXX IS STATION IDENTIFICATION IN LETTERS FOUND ON CODED READ CARDS
72* C SITE IS AN 8 SPACE IDENTIFICATION FOR EACH STATION (APPEARS ON PRINT OUT)
73* C HIFF IS ELEVATION OF EACH STATION IN FEET (4 DIGIT NUMBER)
74* C THESE THREE VARIABLES ARE READ IN ON THE FIRST DATA CARD AS SHOWN BELOW
75* C LOGAN 0470FLSLE 4226APSL
76* C FORMAT IS (24F4.0,4X,24F4.0,4X)
77* C READ STATEMENTS ARE NON-CONTINGENT ON MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE CARD. STATION
78* C IS EITHER A 1 OR 2 AS DESIGNATED BY POSITION ON THE FIRST INPUT CARD.
79* C TIME4 IS FORECAST MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE
80* C TIME0 IS ANY IDENTIFICATION ON THE TEMPERATURE CARDS
81* C ID IS IDENTIFICATION FOR STATIONS
82* C CODE IS RAIN/SNOW DESIGNATION 11, VV, OR DD
83* C MAT IS THE DATA ARRAY OF 5 DIGIT GROUPS FOR EACH SOUNDING (STANDARD CODE)
84* C *****
85* C
86* C LIST OF OUTPUT VARIABLES
87* C KHR IS HOUR OF READ
88* C MO IS MONTH OF READ
89* C DOA IS DAY OF READ
90* C KTR IS YEAR OF READ
91* C STP IS MANDATORY PRESSURE LEVEL
92* C STT IS TEMPERATURE AT MANDATORY LEVEL
93* C STM IS HEIGHT OF MANDATORY LEVEL
94* C STWS IS WIND SPEED AT MANDATORY LEVEL
95* C STSP IS SIGNIFICANT LEVEL PRESSURE
96* C WHM AND WSS ARE WIND HEIGHTS AND SPEEDS
97* C PI IS PRESSURE MIXING DEPTH PLUS STATION ELEVATION IN METERS
98* C CL IS CLEARING INDEX
99* C AWV IS AVERAGE WIND SPEED
100* C *****
101* C
102* C
103* C *****
104* C
105* C *****
106* C *****
107* C *****
108* C *****
109* C *****
110* C *****
111* C *****
112* C *****
113* C *****
114* C *****
115* C *****
116* C *****
117* C *****
118* C *****
119* C *****
120* C *****
121* C *****
122* C *****
123* C *****
124* C *****
125* C *****
126* C *****
127* C *****
128* C *****
129* C *****
130* C *****
131* C *****
132* C *****
133* C *****
134* C *****
135* C *****
136* C *****
137* C *****
138* C *****
139* C *****
140* C *****
141* C *****
142* C *****
143* C *****
144* C *****
145* C *****
146* C *****
147* C *****
148* C *****
149* C *****
150* C *****
151* C *****
152* C *****
153* C *****
154* C *****
155* C *****
156* C *****
157* C *****
158* C *****
159* C *****
160* C *****
161* C *****
162* C *****
163* C *****
164* C *****
165* C *****
166* C *****
167* C *****
168* C *****
169* C *****
170* C *****
171* C *****
172* C *****
173* C *****
174* C *****
175* C *****
176* C *****
177* C *****
178* C *****
179* C *****
180* C *****
181* C *****
182* C *****
183* C *****
184* C *****
185* C *****
186* C *****
187* C *****
188* C *****
189* C *****
190* C *****
191* C *****
192* C *****
193* C *****
194* C *****
195* C *****
196* C *****
197* C *****
198* C *****
199* C *****
200* C *****
201* C *****
202* C *****
203* C *****
204* C *****
205* C *****
206* C *****
207* C *****
208* C *****
209* C *****
210* C *****
211* C *****
212* C *****
213* C *****
214* C *****
215* C *****
216* C *****
217* C *****
218* C *****
219* C *****
220* C *****
221* C *****
222* C *****
223* C *****
224* C *****
225* C *****
226* C *****
227* C *****
228* C *****
229* C *****
230* C *****
231* C *****
232* C *****
233* C *****
234* C *****
235* C *****
236* C *****
237* C *****
238* C *****
239* C *****
240* C *****
241* C *****
242* C *****
243* C *****
244* C *****
245* C *****
246* C *****
247* C *****
248* C *****
249* C *****
250* C *****
251* C *****
252* C *****
253* C *****
254* C *****
255* C *****
256* C *****
257* C *****
258* C *****
259* C *****
260* C *****
261* C *****
262* C *****
263* C *****
264* C *****
265* C *****
266* C *****
267* C *****
268* C *****
269* C *****
270* C *****
271* C *****
272* C *****
273* C *****
274* C *****
275* C *****
276* C *****
277* C *****
278* C *****
279* C *****
280* C *****
281* C *****
282* C *****
283* C *****
284* C *****
285* C *****
286* C *****
287* C *****
288* C *****
289* C *****
290* C *****
291* C *****
292* C *****
293* C *****
294* C *****
295* C *****
296* C *****
297* C *****
298* C *****
299* C *****
300* C *****
301* C *****
302* C *****
303* C *****
304* C *****
305* C *****
306* C *****
307* C *****
308* C *****
309* C *****
310* C *****
311* C *****
312* C *****
313* C *****
314* C *****

```

```

315+ MO=IDTMAX/100-1VRAD+1000+KVR+1001
316+ KDA=IDTMAX-IDTMAX/100+170
317+ KMR=IDCI(1)
318+ WRITE (6,455)
319+ 455+ FORMAT (1E,31('*****'))
320+ WRITE (6,318) (SITEINRAD,JJ),JJ,1,21,KMR,MO,KDA,KVR
321+ 318+ FORMAT (1'DRAWNSONDE AT *24*+*,12,* HOURS ZULU TIME, *312)
322+ WRITE (6,315) (STP(1),STP(11),STP(11)+5*MS(1),STP(11)+5)
323+ 315+ FORMAT (1' HANDBOOK LEVELS FOLLOW/' PRESSURE TEMPERATURE
324+ HEIGHT WIND SPEED/' (MB) (DEG. C.)
325+ 2(MILES/HOUR)'/' *1(DIFS.D,7+*F8.1,6E2,FR,2,6X,F7.2/1X)
326+ WRITE (6,323) (WIND(1),SIG(1),SIG(11),SIG(11)+5)
327+ 323+ FORMAT (1' SIGNIFICANT LEVELS FOLLOW/' *61*PRESS TEMP *1/
328+ 1E+61' (MB) (C.) *1/1+5E16FS.D,F,1,5X/1X)
329+ WRITE (6,321) (WIND(1),MS(1),WIND(11),WIND(11)+5)
330+ 321+ FORMAT (1' WIND HEIGHTS AND SPEEDS FOLLOW/'1X,41*WIND HEIGHT WIND
331+ 25PEED(61/1X,41' (FEET)'4X,41(MILES/HOUR)'5X/1X,91N(F,8,0,4X,F,11.2
332+ 3+X/1X)
333+ C FIND MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE
334+ DO 310 I=1,NTPAR
335+ IF (IDTMAX-NE-KDAT(I)) GO TO 310
336+ IAB=I
337+ GO TO 311
338+ 310+ CONTINUE
339+ WRITE (6,312)
340+ 312+ FORMAT (1' THERE IS NO MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE LISTED FOR THE ABOVE RAD
341+ IO,*)
342+ 702+ WRITE (6,731)IDTMAX
343+ 731+ FORMAT (1'WE ARE LOOKING FOR TEMPERATURE WITH ID'IR)
344+ GO TO 405
345+ 311+ TMAX=ITMAX(IAB)
346+ C CHANGE TMAX TO DEGREES ABSOLUTE ON THE KELVIN SCALE
347+ TMAX=(TMAX-273.15-59.59)/273.15
348+ KARZ=KARZ+1
349+ IDCI(KARZ)=IDTMAX
350+ K HOUR(KARZ)=IDCI(3)
351+ TEMPK(KARZ)=TMAX-273.15
352+ WRITE (6,551) TEMPK(KARZ),ITEMP(DJX,FB),JX=1,15)
353+ 551+ FORMAT (1' MAX TEMP USED ON THIS RAD IS *F5.1* WHICH IS *1544)
354+ C FIND WHERE MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE OCCURRED DRY ADIABATICALLY AND THE
355+ SOUNDING CROSS
356+ J=2
357+ P1=SIG(P1)
358+ C P1 WILL BE INCREMENTED BY .10 MB EACH ITERATION
359+ SIG(P1) IS THE SURFACE PRESSURE
360+ DO 520 I=1,60
361+ P1=P1+.10
362+ T1=TMAX+(P1/SIG(P1))*.296)
363+ T1=T1-273.15
364+ C FIND PRESSURES AND TEMPERATURES WHICH BRACKET THE PA BEING TESTED
365+ DO 200 I=1,NSIGL
366+ L=L+1
367+ IF (P1.GT.SIG(P(L))) GO TO 530
368+ 200+ CONTINUE
369+ WRITE (6,419)
370+ 419+ FORMAT (1' ERROR)
371+ C A IS THE SLOPE OF THE TEMPERATURE PRESSURE CURVE
372+ A=(SIG(ELL)-SIG(LLL))/(SIG(ELL)-SIG(LLL))
373+ C TO IS THE ACTUAL TEMPERATURE AT HEIGHT P1
374+ JCLL
375+ TP=SIG(ELL)-11*(P1-SIG(LLL))
376+ DT IS THE DIFFERENCE IN DEGREES CENTIGRADE BETWEEN T1 AND TP
377+ 520+ FORMAT (1' AT PRESSURE *F5.0* MB, ACTUAL TEMP IS *F6.1* WAS TEMP
378+ 1(EXPANDED ADIABATICALLY IS *F6.1)
379+ DT=T1-TP
380+ DT=TP-TP
381+ IF (DT) SWP=SWP, SPD
382+ 50+ CONTINUE
383+ SWP=DT/10
384+ TR=SIG(ELL)-11*(P1-SIG(LLL))
385+ T1=TMAX+(P1/SIG(P1))*.296)
386+ T1=T1-273.15
387+ WRITE (6,552) P1,TP,DT
388+ IF (DT.LE.0.) GO TO 501
389+ P1=P1-5
390+ C DETERMINE VENTILATION HEIGHT VH
391+ 441+ DP=505 I=2+5
392+ K=I
393+ IF (P1.GT.STP(K)) GO TO 610
394+ 610+ CONTINUE
395+ B=(P1-STPK-11)/(STP(K)-STPK-11)
396+ TMAX=STPK-11-29.286*(B*(STPK-11)+5
397+ VENTHEIK(1)=29.286*(B*(STPK-11)+5)
398+ WRITE (6,553) P1,VENTHEIK(1)+5*TN(K)
399+ 553+ FORMAT (1' *12* *9,1E+04 *F9.1,1*STD HTK :=2F10.2)
400+ C FIND THE WIND WIND SPEED
401+ HEIGHT=VH+1.28
402+ DO 700 I=1,12
403+ K=I
404+ IF (WIND(I).GT.HEIGHT) GO TO 701
405+ 700+ CONTINUE
406+ K=K-1
407+ AVW=3-J
408+ DO 700 I=1,K
409+ 712+ AVW=(AVW+SS(I))
410+ A=K
411+ AVW=(AVW/A
412+ AVW=(AVW+1.15)5
413+ C COMPUTE CLEARING INDXY
414+ HEIGHT=HEIGHT-HEIGHT*INDXY
415+ CI(KARZ)=HEIGHT-AVW*(A-M)
416+ HT(KARZ)=HEIGHT
417+ AVW(KARZ)=AVW
418+ WRITE (6,554) CI(KARZ),HT(KARZ),AVW(KARZ)
419+ 554+ FORMAT (1' CI,HT,AND AVW ARE *F10.2)
420+ 465+ CONTINUE
421+ NCC=NC+1
422+ DO 1000 I=1,3
423+ 1000+ DO I=1,10 INCI,1)
424+ DO I=1,1 I=1+12
425+ 1001+ DAT(I),T1=DAT(INCI,I)
426+ CORE(1)=CORE(INCI
427+ I=1
428+ GO TO 173
429+ C FIND CIS
430+ 170+ CONTINUE
431+ DO 350 I=1,KARZ
432+ NAB=IDCI(11)/100000
433+ KDR=IDCI(11)-NAB+100000
434+ WRITE (6,352) (IF (NAB,K) *K=1,2,1,0,0,0,4 HOUR(1),CI(1),HT(1),AVW(1)
435+ 352+ FORMAT (1' 128+ * 2 DATE *F16.0 HOURS ZULU CI = *F8.0,5X *FNT(1)
436+ *LATION HEIGHT = *F8.0,5X * AVERAGE WIND SPEED = *F8.0)
437+ 350+ CONTINUE
438+ C DO COMPARISONS
439+ WRITE (6,2)
440+ 2+ FORMAT (1' 1 MO DRY YEAR HP TMAX TMYX HEIG=1 HEIGHT
441+ * WIND WIND CI CI RATIO DIFF RATIO
442+ * DIFF/' * LOGAN SLC LOGAN SLC LOGAN SLC
443+ * LOGAN SLC LOGAN SLC OF CI OF CI OF HTS
444+ * OF HTS/'1X)
445+ C FIND PAIRS
446+ DO 360 I=1,KARZ
447+ IF (IDCI(11).GT.100000) GO TO 360
448+ IDT=IDCI(11)/100000
449+ DO 361 J=1,KARZ
450+ IF (IDT-NE-IDCI(J)) GO TO 361
451+ IF (K HOUR(1),NF,K HOUR(1)) GO TO 361

```

Key Words: Clearing Index, Ventilation Index, Air pollution potential, Air pollution dispersion, Mixing depths.

Abstract: The Clearing Index is a numerical estimate of the contamination dispersal capability of the atmosphere. Between July, 1969, and June, 1970, 192 rawinsonde flights were made in the Cache Valley at approximately the same time as officially scheduled flights at the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station. Clearing Indexes were computed from various combinations of Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley rawinsonde and maximum surface temperature data. These were examined from the viewpoint of recommending a standard procedure for providing Clearing Indexes on a daily basis for Intermountain valleys. The primary conclusions were that:

1. Actual Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing Indexes were in the same operational classes 71% of the time.
2. As presently computed, the Clearing Index is significantly less than a perfect indicator of the air pollution potential of the Cache Valley.
3. The improvement (if any) in the air pollution potential prediction for the Cache Valley, which should result from having an additional rawinsonde station in the Cache Valley, would be too little to justify the effort and expenses. The same is probably true for other Intermountain valleys. This conclusion could change if the procedures for estimating this potential were sufficiently improved.

References: George W. Reynolds, William McNeill, Floyd Johnson, and Janet Cleary, Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State University (August 1970), "An Examination of Approximately Simultaneous Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing (Ventilation) Indexes."

Key Words: Clearing Index, Ventilation Index, Air pollution potential, Air pollution dispersion, Mixing depths.

Abstract: The Clearing Index is a numerical estimate of the contamination dispersal capability of the atmosphere. Between July, 1969, and June, 1970, 192 rawinsonde flights were made in the Cache Valley at approximately the same time as officially scheduled flights at the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station. Clearing Indexes were computed from various combinations of Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley rawinsonde and maximum surface temperature data. These were examined from the viewpoint of recommending a standard procedure for providing Clearing Indexes on a daily basis for Intermountain valleys. The primary conclusions were that:

1. Actual Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing Indexes were in the same operational classes 71% of the time.
2. As presently computed, the Clearing Index is significantly less than a perfect indicator of the air pollution potential of the Cache Valley.
3. The improvement (if any) in the air pollution potential prediction for the Cache Valley, which should result from having an additional rawinsonde station in the Cache Valley, would be too little to justify the effort and expenses. The same is probably true for other Intermountain valleys. This conclusion could change if the procedures for estimating this potential were sufficiently improved.

References: George W. Reynolds, William McNeill, Floyd Johnson, and Janet Cleary, Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State University (August 1970), "An Examination of Approximately Simultaneous Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing (Ventilation) Indexes."

Key Words: Clearing Index, Ventilation Index, Air pollution potential, Air pollution dispersion, Mixing depths.

Abstract: The Clearing Index is a numerical estimate of the contamination dispersal capability of the atmosphere. Between July, 1969, and June, 1970, 192 rawinsonde flights were made in the Cache Valley at approximately the same time as officially scheduled flights at the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station. Clearing Indexes were computed from various combinations of Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley rawinsonde and maximum surface temperature data. These were examined from the viewpoint of recommending a standard procedure for providing Clearing Indexes on a daily basis for Intermountain valleys. The primary conclusions were that:

1. Actual Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing Indexes were in the same operational classes 71% of the time.
2. As presently computed, the Clearing Index is significantly less than a perfect indicator of the air pollution potential of the Cache Valley.
3. The improvement (if any) in the air pollution potential prediction for the Cache Valley, which should result from having an additional rawinsonde station in the Cache Valley, would be too little to justify the effort and expenses. The same is probably true for other Intermountain valleys. This conclusion could change if the procedures for estimating this potential were sufficiently improved.

References: George W. Reynolds, William McNeill, Floyd Johnson, and Janet Cleary, Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State University (August 1970), "An Examination of Approximately Simultaneous Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing (Ventilation) Indexes."

Key Words: Clearing Index, Ventilation Index, Air pollution potential, Air pollution dispersion, Mixing depths.

Abstract: The Clearing Index is a numerical estimate of the contamination dispersal capability of the atmosphere. Between July, 1969, and June, 1970, 192 rawinsonde flights were made in the Cache Valley at approximately the same time as officially scheduled flights at the Salt Lake City Weather Bureau Airport Station. Clearing Indexes were computed from various combinations of Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley rawinsonde and maximum surface temperature data. These were examined from the viewpoint of recommending a standard procedure for providing Clearing Indexes on a daily basis for Intermountain valleys. The primary conclusions were that:

1. Actual Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing Indexes were in the same operational classes 71% of the time.
2. As presently computed, the Clearing Index is significantly less than a perfect indicator of the air pollution potential of the Cache Valley.
3. The improvement (if any) in the air pollution potential prediction for the Cache Valley, which should result from having an additional rawinsonde station in the Cache Valley, would be too little to justify the effort and expenses. The same is probably true for other Intermountain valleys. This conclusion could change if the procedures for estimating this potential were sufficiently improved.

References: George W. Reynolds, William McNeill, Floyd Johnson, and Janet Cleary, Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State University (August 1970), "An Examination of Approximately Simultaneous Salt Lake Valley and Cache Valley Clearing (Ventilation) Indexes."

