

6-2009

Developing a Review Rubric for Learning Resources in Digital Libraries

Heather Leary
Utah State University

Sarah Giersch
Columbia University

Andrew Walker
Utah State University

Mimi Recker
Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/itls_facpub



Part of the [Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Leary, H., Giersch, S., Walker, A., & Recker, M. (2009). Developing a Review Rubric for Learning Resources in Digital Libraries. In proceedings of the Joint Conference on Digital Libraries, New York: ACM.

This Conference Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Instructional Technology & Learning Sciences at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in ITLS Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact dylan.burns@usu.edu.



Developing a Review Rubric for Learning Resources in Digital Libraries

Heather Leary
Utah State University
2830 Old Main Hill
Logan, Utah 84322
435.797.2650

Sarah Giersch
Columbia University
330 Fifth Avenue, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10001
01.212.851.7339

Andrew Walker
Utah State University
2830 Old Main Hill
Logan, Utah 84322
435.797.2614

Mimi Recker
Utah State University
2830 Old Main Hill
Logan, Utah 84322
435.797.2688

heather.leary@usu.edu

sholsted@columbia.edu

andy.walker@usu.edu

mimi.recker@usu.edu

ABSTRACT

Over the past 10-15 years, educational digital libraries (DLs) have acquired online learning resources of varying levels of granularity (e.g., from images to entire lessons) and of varying sources of authorship (e.g., grant-funded subject matter experts; K12 teachers; graduate students). The challenge is to balance collecting and providing access to online learning resources while maintaining a level of resource quality that distinguishes DLs from internet search engines. In response, many educational DL builders have established review rubrics.

Although many rubrics have already been created, they are specific to each DL with little room for re-use outside of the original context. As such, our goals were 1) to synthesize the various dimensions of existing DL rubrics in order to identify a standardized set of criteria that could potentially be used by any DL with online educational resources [1] and 2) to create a review rubric for Instructional Architect (IA; <http://ia.usu.edu>) projects. IA is a simple, web-based authoring service that supports K12 teachers in finding and assembling online content into lessons for their classroom. To accomplish the second goal, we developed an IA-specific rubric based on prior literature; evaluated its utility and usability with middle school science and math teachers; tested reliability; and, explored how the rubric could foster teacher skills in designing learning resources. Ultimately, reviewed projects will be included in educational DLs, such as the NSDL.

After creating the initial IA review rubric [2], we further modified it and conducted formative evaluations during Fall 2007-Spring 2008 with 25 participants, including K12 teachers, researchers, school library media specialists, and administrators. In Fall 2008, we conducted a summative evaluation of the rubric [3]. Participants (N=28) were part of a cohort of U.S. K-12 teachers in an online graduate program, and who completed required activities as part of an online course. Complete data were received from 17 participants. The participants took part in an online learning module in the context of learning how to use the IA and the review rubric.

The results of our evaluation indicate that participants found value in the review rubric as a means to improve the quality of their projects through completing and receiving reviews. Teachers

reported that before the course module they evaluated an online resource for “fit with the curriculum,” “accuracy,” “ease of use,” “currency,” “text readability,” and “recommendations by others.” After participating in the module they added to their evaluation criteria: “content quality,” “distractions on the resource pages,” “credibility of the site,” and “will it engage participants.” Many of the criteria they added were items listed in the review rubric. Thus, it appeared that use of the rubric helped refine participants’ approach to designing learning resources. Participants reported that using the rubric and rating their peers projects helped them be more thoughtful when creating their own online learning resources. Future work will include creating a workflow for using an external review committee to evaluate projects for inclusion into the National Science Digital Library.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

K.3 [Computers and Education]: Computer Uses in Education – Collaborative Learning

General Terms

Design, Human Factors, Reliability, Standardization.

Keywords

Education Digital Library, Review Rubric, Instructional Architect, National Science Digital Library.

1. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the study participants for their prompt feedback and assistance. This work was funded by a grant from the National Science Foundation (ESI# 0554440).

2. REFERENCES

- [1] Giersch, S., Leary, H., Palmer, B., Recker, M. (2008). Supporting Meaningful Learning with Online Resources: Developing a Review Process. In Proceedings of the Annual Open Education Conference, Logan, Utah, September 24-26. <http://cosl.usu.edu/events/opened2008/program>
- [2] IA Review Rubric, latest version: http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/itls_research/5/
- [3] Leary, H., Giersch, S., Walker, A., Recker, M. (2009). Developing a Review Rubric for Learning Resources in Digital Libraries. ITLS Faculty Publications. Paper 17. http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/itls_facpub/17