

4-1-2009

# Utah Farmland Assessment Act

Kevin Heaton

*Utah State University Extension*

Clark Israelsen

*Utah State University Extension*

Linden Greenhalgh

*Utah State University Extension*

---

## Recommended Citation

Heaton, Kevin; Israelsen, Clark; and Greenhalgh, Linden, "Utah Farmland Assessment Act" (2009). *All Current Publications*. Paper 174.

[http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/extension\\_curall/174](http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/extension_curall/174)

This Factsheet is brought to you for free and open access by the Current USU Extension Publications at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Current Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@usu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@usu.edu).





## Utah Farmland Assessment Act

*Clark Israelsen, Linden Greenhalgh and Kevin Heaton*

Utah has a balanced tax policy that includes property, income, and sales tax. State legislative action has allowed agricultural producers to enjoy specific exemptions or modifications of some taxes. The most common is the Utah Farmland Assessment Act (FAA). Sometimes known as the “Greenbelt Act,” this legislation was passed in 1969. The purpose of this act is to allow qualifying land to be assessed and taxed at significantly lower rates reflective of productivity. Voters approved this constitutional amendment to encourage retention of land in agriculture and to protect productive farm lands. This method of assessment is vital, especially to agricultural operations in close proximity to urban areas.

If agricultural property were taxed at market value, farming would be economically prohibitive for most producers because property taxes would increase several fold. The difference in taxes that are paid under greenbelt as compared to non-greenbelt provides an incentive to abuse the law. As such, considerable effort is expended to make sure only eligible lands are assessed at greenbelt rates. There is also a five-year roll-back provision of the FAA. Lawmakers and property owners accept this provision as reasonable and necessary to preserve fairness and integrity of the law.

Private farmland can qualify for assessment and taxation under FAA if the land is at least five contiguous acres and is actively devoted to agricultural production. There must also be a reasonable expectation of a profit if the land is managed according to best management practices. Guidelines indicate that land must have been devoted to agricultural use for at least two successive years immediately preceding the tax year in which application is made and meet the average annual

production requirements within a given county. Land adjacent to the farmhouse, such as landscaped yards, cannot be included in FAA designation.

County assessors establish local production requirements by examining the most recent publication of Utah Agricultural Statistics; crop and enterprise budgets published by Utah State University; or from standards established by the Utah Tax Commission. To qualify for FAA, land must produce in excess of 50 percent of the average agricultural production per acre for the given type of land within the given county or area. The production requirement may be waived if the owner can show that the property has been in agricultural production for the previous two years and that failure to meet the production requirement in a particular year was due to no fault or act of the owner, purchaser, or lessee. The production requirement may be waived in the short run if the land is in a bona fide range improvement program, crop rotation program or other similarly accepted agricultural practice which does not give reasonable opportunity to satisfy the production requirement.

Productive value is another part of the equation and is determined by the Utah State Tax Commission with assistance of a five member FAA Board. These productive values are based on crop budgets developed at Utah State University. Productive values apply county-wide and are based upon income and expense factors associated with typical agricultural activities. They do not reflect the productive value of a particular parcel of land. Land is also classified according to its capability of producing crops. Soil type, topography, availability of irrigation water, and length of growing season are examples of factors the Utah State Tax

Commission uses to classify land. The general classifications of agricultural lands are: irrigated, dry land, grazing land, orchard, and meadow. Land owners can appeal their classification status to the county board of equalization if they disagree with the assigned classification. Most county assessors, however, are quite careful in assigning a general classification.

Urban-based green belt assessment values			
GB Classification	GB Value	Market Value	Tax Rate
Irr. Tillable II	\$ 610/ac	\$50,000/a	.00828
Irr. Tillable III	\$460/ac	\$60,000/a	.01009
Irr. Tillable III	\$460/ac	\$ 4,650/a	.00845
Grazing I	\$ 76/ac	\$16,500/a	.00828
Grazing II	\$ 15/ac	\$ 2,190/a	.00828
Dry Till. III	\$120/ac	\$ 2,810/a	.00828
Rural-based green belt assessment values			
GB Classification	GB Value	Market Value	Tax Rate
Irr. Tillable III	\$215/ac	\$ 2,500/a	.00775
Grazing II	\$ 16/ac	\$ 200/a	.00775
Grazing III	\$ 11/ac	\$ 450/a	.00775

The table above shows the difference between specific properties in two Utah counties. One will note that land of the same classification can have different taxable values, though the greenbelt value is the same. One will also note a significant difference in productive value (greenbelt) and assessed market value.

Whenever there is a change in land ownership, the Greenbelt status is automatically lost. The new owner must make application to the local County Assessor and demonstrate that acreage and production requirements are met. The assessor may request documents such as federal tax returns, lease agreements, sales receipts or other papers certifying that the production levels have

been satisfied and that the land is being managed according to generally accepted agricultural practices. Application must be made within 120 days of an ownership change. Timeliness is essential to ensure continued FAA status.

Land becomes ineligible for farmland assessment when use of the land changes from agricultural use (usually to housing). At this point the owner becomes subject to a five-year roll-back tax. The roll-back tax is the difference between the taxes paid while in greenbelt and the taxes which would have been paid had the property been assessed at market value. In determining the amount of roll-back tax due, the tax rate and market value for each of the years in question will be applied to determine the tax amount. This amount is usually quite significant.

Some have suggested that roll-back tax dollars be used to purchase conservation easements on agricultural lands that stay in production. The thinking is that agricultural land going out of production can help provide an incentive for land that is staying in agricultural production. Some legislators and voters consider that concept to have merit that represents an alternative method of financing the purchase of conservation easements without creating any new taxes.

More information on the Utah Farmland Assessment Act can be found at <http://propertytax.utah.gov/faa>.



## References

Utah Farm Bureau Policies for 2009, Utah Farm Bureau Federation, Sandy, Utah, p. 57.58.

Utah State Tax Commission, Property Tax Division  
<http://propertytax.utah.gov/faa/faa.html>.

Utah State University is committed to providing an environment free from harassment and other forms of illegal discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age (40 and older), disability, and veteran's status. USU's policy also prohibits discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation in employment and academic related practices and decisions.

Utah State University employees and students cannot, because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, or veteran's status, refuse to hire; discharge; promote; demote; terminate; discriminate in compensation; or discriminate regarding terms, privileges, or conditions of employment, against any person otherwise qualified. Employees and students also cannot discriminate in the classroom, residence halls, or in on/off campus, USU-sponsored events and activities.

This publication is issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Noelle E. Cockett, Vice President for Extension and Agriculture, Utah State University.