## [Food Structure](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/foodmicrostructure)

[Volume 7](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/foodmicrostructure/vol7) | [Number 1](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/foodmicrostructure/vol7/iss1) Article 7

1988

# Effects of Lignification, Cellulose Crystallinity and Enzyme Accessible Space on the Digestibility of Plant Cell Wall Carbohydrates by the Ruminant

M. S. Kerley

G. C. Fahey Jr.

J. M. Gould

E. L. Iannotti

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/foodmicrostructure](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/foodmicrostructure?utm_source=digitalcommons.usu.edu%2Ffoodmicrostructure%2Fvol7%2Fiss1%2F7&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Food Science Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/84?utm_source=digitalcommons.usu.edu%2Ffoodmicrostructure%2Fvol7%2Fiss1%2F7&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

### Recommended Citation

Kerley, M. S.; Fahey, G. C. Jr.; Gould, J. M.; and Iannotti, E. L. (1988) "Effects of Lignification, Cellulose Crystallinity and Enzyme Accessible Space on the Digestibility of Plant Cell Wall Carbohydrates by the Ruminant," Food Structure: Vol. 7 : No. 1 , Article 7.

Available at: [https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/foodmicrostructure/vol7/iss1/7](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/foodmicrostructure/vol7/iss1/7?utm_source=digitalcommons.usu.edu%2Ffoodmicrostructure%2Fvol7%2Fiss1%2F7&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Western Dairy Center at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Food Structure by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@usu.edu.](mailto:digitalcommons@usu.edu)



FOOD MICROSTRUCTURE, Vol. 7 (1988), pp. 59-65 0730-5419/88\$3.00+.00 Scanning Microscopy International, Chicago (AMF O'Hare), IL 60666 USA

#### EFFECTS OF LIGNIFICATION, CELLULOSE CRYSTALLINITY AND ENZYME ACCESSIBLE SPACE ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF PLANT CELL WALL CARBOHYDRATES BY THE RUMINANT

M.S. Kerley<sup>1</sup>, G.C. Fahey, Jr.<sup>2</sup>, J.M. Gould<sup>3</sup>, and E. L. Iannotti<sup>1</sup>

 $1111$  Animal Sciences Research Center, College of Agriculture, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211

<sup>2</sup>126 Animal Sciences Laboratory, College of Agriculture, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

 $3U.S.D.A. - A.R.S. - Northern Regional Research Center$ Peoria, IL 61604

#### Abstract

Intrinsic characteristics of plant cell walls limiting susceptibility of structural carbohydrates to microbial attack in the ruminant's gastrointestinal tract are lignification of the cell wall, covalent bonding of phenolic acids to cell wall polysaccharides, the crystalline structure of cellulose and limited fibrolytic enzyme accessible space. The exact mechanism by which or degree to which each of these characteristics affect rate and/or extent of cell wall polysaccharide hydrolysis by gastrointestinal tract microbes<br>is not well understood. Lignification and limited enzyme accessible space probably affect the extent of cell wall degradation by preventing contact between microbial enzymes and cell wall polysaccharides. Phenolic acids may limit cell wall carbohydrate degradation by steric hindrance of the fibrolytic enzyme, which could affect both rate and extent of degradation, and by their potentially toxic effects on microbes. Crystalline cellulose, occurring in secondary cell walls, may be degraded at a slower rate than amorphous cellulose. needed to gain a better understanding of the mechanisms by which these characteristics limit structural polysaccharide degradation by gastrointestinal tract microbes and to determine to what degree each contributes to limiting digestibility of cell wall carbohydrates by ruminants.

Initial paper received May 25, 1987 Manuscript received February 01, 1988 Direct inquiries to M.S. Kerley Telephone number: 314 882 0834

Key Words: Ruminant, plant cell wall, hemicelluloses, cellulose, lignin , phenolic acids, cellulose c rystallinity, enzyme accessible space, gastrointestinal tract microbes, lignocellulose degradation.

#### Introduction

Pond et al. (1980) identified the poor digestibility of lignocellulose as a major obstacle constraining animal protein production in the face of an expanding world population. Digestion of plant material by ruminants is dictated, in part, by the rate and extent with which gastrointestinal tract microorganisms can degrade cell wall polysacc harides. Increasing digestibility of lignocellulosic fiber by ruminants, therefore, is dependent upon a better understanding of the reasons that cell wall carbohydrates are limited in their susceptibility to microbial degradation.

Based on the model of the cell wall proposed by Albersheim (1978), there are three possible factors primarily responsible for limiting susceptibility of cell wall polysaccharides to microbial degradation in the ruminant's gastrointestinal tract: (1) the close physical and chemical association among cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin; (2) the presence of crystalline regions within cellulose; and (3) a limited enzyme accessible space (Stone et al., 1969; Northcote, 1972; Bailey, 1973; Van Soest, 1973; Cowling, 1975;<br>Rowland, 1975; Gordon et al., 1977; Fan et al., 1980 Chambat et al., 1981; Jung and Fahey, 1983). Before these limitations to cell wall degradation can be overcome by plant breeding or c hemical treatments, one must understand how these factors exert their negative influence on microbial degradation of cell wall carbohydrates.

#### Factors limiting microbial degradation of cell wall polysaccharides

#### Phenolic acids / lignin

A comprehensive review of the nutritional im plications of phenolic monomers and lignin was pre sented by Jung and Fahey (1983). Microbial degradation of lignocellulosics is negatively correlated with total phenolic acid content (Burns and Cope, 1974; Jung and Fahey, 1981) and cell wall lignification (Patton and Gieseker, 1942). While phenolic acids and polyphenolic polymers appear to be primary factors limiting susceptibility of cell wall polysaccha rides to microbial digestion, their mechanism of protection is unclear (Chesson et al., 1983).

Cell wall polymeric lignin is covalently bound to hemicelluloses in the plant cell wall (Van Soest, 1981; Brice and Morrison, 1982). Smith and Hartley (1983) noted that ferulic and para-coumaric acids were esterified to cell wall polysaccharides, and appear to be the primary means of lignin attachment to cell wall polysaccharides. The mechanism by which ester linkages between cell wall polysaccharides and ferulic and (or) para-coumaric acids limit enzymatic hydrolysis of cell wall polysaccharides is not well These phenolic acids probably limit structural carbohydrate degradation by inhibiting microbial growth and (or) enzyme activity, by inactivating the enzyme or by sterically hindering its attachment to the structural carbohydrate.

Chesson et al. (1982) showed that phenolic acids inhibited growth and cellulolytic activity of ruminal bacteria. Akin (1982) found that para-coumaric, ferulic and sinapic acids depressed in vitro cellulose digestion by ruminal bacteria. Akin (1982) found that para-coumaric acid was more inhibitory to cellulose degradation than ferulic acid. Jung (1985), however, found ferulic acid more inhibitory to cellulose degradation than para-coumaric acid. As shown by Chesson et al. (1982; Table 1), different bacterial species respond differently to the various phenolic acids present in plant cell walls. The contrasting results of the previously mentioned experiments could be explained by differences in the primary species of bacteria degrading cellulose in these experiments. Whether phenolic acids actually affected total microbial numbers, lowering the total amount of bacterial cellul ase present, or decreased the cellulase enzyme activity, is unclear. In either case, in vitro cellulose degradation would be reduced. Further research is needed to identify the mechanisms by which free phenolic acids and (or) complexes of phenolic acids covalently bound to cell wall monosaccharides depress microbial degradation of plant cell wall polysaccharides.

Jung and Sahlu (1986) found that filter paper cellulose degradation by ruminal bacteria was depressed when phenolic acids were esterified to cellulose fibers (Table 2). The negative effect of phenolic acids on structural carbohydrate degradation was apparently greater when phenolic acids were esterified to the cellulose than when free in solution. Jung and Sahlu (1986) also found that different sources of cellulose, presumed to vary in their structure, differed in terms of which phenolic acids were most inhibitory to microbial degradation of the cellulose. If the different celluloses used in these experiments were degraded by different species of cellulolytic bacteria, variation in the negative effects of the various phenolic acids tested on cellulose degradation could have been due to differences in the predominant cellulolytic organism present (as previously discussed). Further research needs to be conducted to determine (1) the primary bacterial species degrading various hemicelluloses and cellulose in the plant cell wall and (2) the effects of various phenolic acids esterified to cell wall structural carbohy drates on rate and extent of microbial degradation.

Smith and Hartley (1983) isolated a lignin -carbohydrate complex from wheat bran cell wall after fungal cellulase treatment. The complex was composed primarily of xylose, arabinose and ferulic acid . They identified the complex as  $2-0-[5-0(ferulov]-\beta-$ L- arabino-furanosyl]- $\hat{D}$  xylopyranose. Because this compound could be isolated, it appears that steric hindrance inhibited hydrolyses of monosaccharides bound to phenolic acids. Chesson et al. (1983) noted that xylans substituted with arabinose residues were preserved during ruminal digestion, and the extent of substitution at the 0-5 position of arabinose was closely related to the amount to phenolic material present further indicating that ruminal microbes are<br>limited in their ability to degrade cell wall polysaccharides bound to phenolic acids.  $Core$  lignin (Gordon and Neudoerffer, 1973) is a

complex three-dimensional structure formed by free radical-induced polymerization of phenolic monomers synthesized by the shikimic acid pathway (Harkin, 1973). The mechanism by which core lignin limits cell wall polysaccharide digestion is also unknown, but it is possible that this limitation is due to lignin's physical protection of cell wall carbohydrates and its hydrophobic c haracter (Van Soest, 1982). The physical protection and hydrophobic nature of core lignin would exclude microbes from reaching and ability to hydrolyze the cell wall carbohydrates. Disrupting the structure of core lignin which encrusts the cell wall polysaccharides should result in increased attachment and penetration by microbes and, subsequently, in an increased digestibility of the cell wall polysaccharides. Completely removing core lignin from the cell wall with permanganate oxidation was shown to increase microbial degradation of cell wall polysaccharides (Barton and Akin, 1977; Table 3). Kerley et al. (1985) demonstrated that partial (approximately 50%) delignification of plant cell walls by alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment (Gould, 1984) allowed extensive attachment of ruminal microbes, accompanied by rapid degradation of cell wall carbohydrates (Figure 1).

It is not known to what extent core lignin's negative effect on digestion is dependent on its binding with cell wall polysaccharides. It is known<br>that non-core (alkali-labile) phenolic acids form diaryl (Hartley and Jones, 1976) and alkyl-alkyl (Stafford and Brown, 1976) bonds with proteins. Scalbert et al. (1985) provided evidence of ferulic acid (non-core lignin) attachment to core lignin by a similar bonding mechanism, indicating that core lig-nin may be bound to cell wall polysaccharides via non-core lignin. This could limit digestion, in that core lignin could physically exclude and non-core lignin could sterically hinder enzymatic attachment to and hydrolysis of cell wall carbohydrates. Cellulose crystallinity.

Crystalline cellulose, in contrast to amorphous cellulose, refers to aggregates of cellulose polymers held tightly together by extensive hydrogen bonding. Cellulose is a polymer of  $\beta$ -1,4-linked D-glucose units (Frey-Wyssling, 1969). This type of linkage results in the relative inversion of alternate glucose units. This places the C-3 hydroxyl of one glucose unit in close proximity to the ring oxygen of the next glucose unit in the chain. Hydrogen bonding between the hydroxyl and ring oxygens stabilize the cellulose polymer, giving it a straight, flat structure (Frey-Wyssling and Muhlethaler, 1963). This linear structure of cellulose allows adjacent polymers to fit closely together, favoring hydrogen bond development between the C-6 hydroxyl glucose in one chain with C-2 or C-6 hydroxyls of glucose in an adjacent chain. Since cellulose chains consist of 8,000 to 15,000 glucose residues, extensive hydrogen bonding can occur, conferring considerable strength to the microfibrils (Frey-Wyssling and Muhlethaler, 1963).

Cellulose polymers are held so tightly together in the microfibril struc ture by hydrogen bonding that water molecules may be excluded from the crystal Factors Limiting Plant Cell Wall Degradation



**Figure 1.** Scanning electron micrographs of straw particles isolated from the rumen of fistulated mature sheep<br>fed diets containing 72 percent untreated wheat straw (a and b) or 72 percent wheat straw treated with alkaline hydrogen peroxide (c; Gould, 1984).





•(Chesson et al., 1982).

bThe amount of cellulose digested after 7 to 10 days of incubation at 39°C is expressed as a percent of that digested by control cultures without added acid under the same conditions.



bsignificantly different from the control  $(P \t S 0.05)$   $\frac{a}{B(Barton and Akin, 1977)}$ .

inner structure. The inability of water to penetrate the microfibril prevents hydration of the internal cellulose polymers of the microfibril, which in turn prevents cellulose hydrolysis by cellulolytic enzymes or microorganisms. As a result, microbes are limited to attac king cellulose polymers on the outer surface of the unhydrated microfibril unit. Haworth et al. (1969) noted that 44% of the cellulose polymers were on the surface of the microfibril unit, which is rectangular in cross-section with eight and ten cellulose polymers along each of two sides. Assuming that this is the correct structure of the cellulose microfibril, 56% of the cellulose polymers in the microfibril would be protected from microbial hydrolysis until the outer layer of cellulose polymers was removed. This could greatly affect rate of cellulose degradation by ruminal microbes. Fan et al. (1980) demon-<br>strated that the degree of crystallinity affected the rate of cotton cellulose hydrolysis by Trichoderma reesei cellulase.

Enzymatic hydrolysis of glucosidic bonds in crystalline cellulose may also be hindered by the restricting influence of hydrogen bonding (Rowland, 1975). Hydrolysis of the glucosidic bonds in solution is reversible. For hydrolysis to occur, the glucosidic linkage must be available for protonation and the chain ends must move apart to implement hydrolysis. Separation of chain ends may be prevented or delayed by the restricting influence of interchain hydrogen bonding. Therefore, the microbes must first disrupt the hydrogen bonds. Decreased hydrogen bonding could be controlling the rate at which fragmentation, swelling, loss in tensile strength, transverse cracking and lowering of the degree of polymerization occurring in cellulose prior to release of glucose and cellobiose by cellulase enzymes (Lee and Fan, 1980). All of these occurrences would be expected if the extent of hydrogen bonding was reduced.

The process of bacterial attac hment and hy drolysis of cellulose is further complicated by the manner in which crystalline microfibrils are interconnected with one another. In the past, microfibrils were thought to be interconnected by cell wall matrix components (hemicelluloses and lignin). Therefore, it was hypothesized that the major factor limiting the cellulose microfibril from bacterial attack was encrustation and attachment of lignin and hemicelluloses to the cellulose polymers. While this undoubtedly occurs, Colvin and Sowden (1985) reported that microfibril units in cotton cellulose were interconnected with one another by cellulose polymers, which themselves were arranged in a crystalline structure. If the crystalline arrangement prevents microbial access to cellulose, separation of the microfibrils, which is necessary for extensive microbial attachment, would be limited, slowing the rate of mic rofibril hydrolysis.

Limited data exist regarding the degree of cel lulose crystallinity of lignocellulosics commonly fed to ruminants or the effect of their crystalline arrangement on cellulose degradation by ruminal microbes. Since microfibrils in plant cell walls are known to become more tightly packed and lie more parallel to one another upon maturation and secondary cell wall formation (Northcote, 1972), it would be expected that most crop residues, which are harvested at advanced stages of maturity, are comprised<br>primarily of crystalline cellulose. Therefore, primarily of crystalline cellulose.

determining the extent of cellulose crystallinity in lignocellulosics and understanding the effect of cellulose crystallinity on bacterial hydrolysis of cellulose might be important in predicting the degree of susceptibility of lignocellulosic materials to microbial attack, providing crystallinity is an important component affecting cell wall breakdown.

Gould (1984) reported that treating wheat straw with dilute, alkaline solutions of hydrogen peroxide greatly increased its water absorption capability. Alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment also increased susceptibility of wheat straw structural carbohydrates to ruminal microbial degradation (Kerley et al., 1985). These findings were attributed to a decrease in the crystallinity of the cellulose in wheat straw. However, based upon X-ray and neutron diffraction studies, it was concluded that no change in the degree of cellulose crystallinity occurred due to alk aline hy drogen peroxide treatment (Martel and Gould, 1987), indicating that other factors are involved. Therefore, cellulose crystallinity does not appear to greatly deter microbial hydrolysis of cellulose in forages.

#### Ce llul ase Enzyme Accessible Space

The surface area of cell wall carbohydrates ac cessible to ruminal cellulase enzymes could also limit their degradation. The accessible surface area is defined by size, shape and surface properties of microscopic and sub-microscopic capillaries within the fiber in relation to size, shape and diffusibility of microbial cellulase enzyme molecules themselves. Microscopic capillaries include the cell lumina, pit apertures and pit-membrane pores that are visible under the light microscope and range between 20 nm and 10 or more microns in diameter (Cowling, 1975). Sub-microscopic capillaries include spaces between microfibrils a nd cellulose polymers in the amorphous regions of cellulose. Some sub-microscopic capillaries expand to 20 nm in diameter when fully hydrated, but most are considerably smaller. Total surface area exposed in microscopic capillaries is approximately  $2 \times 10^3$  cm<sup>2</sup> per g of wood or cotton, whereas total surface ares exposed in sub-microscopic capilla ries is approximately 3 x 106 cm2 per g of wood or cotton (Cowling, 1975). If cellulolytic bacteria could penetrate into the sub-microscopic capillary area, substantially greater rates of cellulose degradation would be expected than if they were prevented from entering this area of the cell wall.

The maximum dimensions of various cellulolytic enzymes studied (Ishikawa et al., 1963) appear to be smaller than microscopic capillaries of both wood and cotton. Only a small fraction of the sub-microscopic capillaries in hydrated wood or cotton, however, are sufficiently large enough to allow penetration of the microbial cellulase enzymes. Stone et al. (1969) microbial cellulase enzymes. s howed that the initial rate of cellulose hydrolysis by Trichoderma cellulase was proportional to the surface area accessible to a solute molecule of 4 nm. Rumina! bacteria, ranging from 0.3 - 2.0 m in diameter and  $1.0 - 6.0$  m in length (Church, 1976), would be greatly limited in their ability to enter the sub mic roscopic capillary space in the plant cell wall. Since the cellulase enzyme complex is probably bound to the bacterial cell wall or subcellular membrane vesicles of ruminal microorganisms (Groleau and Forsberg, 1981; Forsberg et al., 1981), the surface area of the sub-microscopic capillaries would be inaccessible to the cellulase enzyme complex.

Dehority (1961) and De hority and Johnson (1961) found that physical reduction of forage particle size by ball milling inc reased the amount of cellulose degraded by ruminal microbes (Table 4). The increase in cellulose digestion may have been due to an in forage due to ball milling. Lin et al. (1985) found that increasing the surface area of cornstalk residue was necessary for effective increases in cellulose digestion. Further research is needed to determine the effects of cellulase accessible surface area on plant cell wall degradation by ruminal microbes.

Table 4. Effect of surface area on in vitro cellulose digestibility by ruminal microorganisms<sup>a</sup>



#### Conclusion

The plant cell wall is a complete entity rather than merely a complex of isolated fractions. Use of techniques such as those involved in the determination of cellulase enzyme accessible space allows the cell wall to be treated as a holistic unit. Chesson ( 1982) noted that the rate of plant cell wall degradation by microbes was determined more by the nature of the cell walls themselves than by the physicochemical properties of their individual component polymers. Therefore, to identify factors constraining degradation of cell wall struc tural polysacc harides by rumina! microorganisms, researchers must view the cell wall as a single entity and not as a complex of individual fractions which are studied independently of each other. This is exemplified by the findings of Chesson et al. (1982) which showed that residual fractions of barley straw cell wall remaining after extensive ruminal degradation had a similar cell wall composition as the undigested, original cell wall<br>material. Even though cell wall composition was Even though cell wall composition was similar between undigested and digested residues, the residual material could not be further degraded by rumina! microorganisms, indicating that analyses of individual components of the cell wall, aimed at identifying factors limiting structural carbohydrate degradation by rumina! microbes, may not totally encompass the major factors constraining cell wall degradability.

As indicated by Harbers (1985), further research is needed to separate components or fraction of the plant cell wall based on their susceptibility to microbial degradation in the ruminant's gastrointestinal tract, without destroying the infrastructure of the cell wall. These fractions need to be characterized according to their structure and composition, using

microscopic and chemical techniques, so that differences in structure and composition among the various fractions might be used to explain differences in their su sceptibility to microbial attack in the ruminant's gastrointestinal tract. Once the limitations to microbial degradation of cell wall carbohydrates has been elucidated, plant breeding methods and chemical treatments can be developed to increase utilization of plant carbohydrates by the ruminant.

#### Acknowledgement

Contribution from the MO. Agr. Exp. Sta. Journal Series No. 10314. Approved by the director.

#### References

Akin DE. (1982). Forage cell wall degradation an p-coumaric, ferulic, and sinapic acids. Agron, J. 74,424 - 428.

Albersheim P (1978). Concerning the structure and biosynthesis of the primary cell walls of plants. In: Biochemistry of Carbohydrates II, DJ Manners (Ed.). Vol. 16 pp. 127- 150. University Park Press, Baltimore, MD .

Bailey RW (1973) . Structural carbohydrates. In: Chemistry and Biochemistry of Herbage, GW Butler, RW Bailey (Eds.). pp. 157-211. Academic Press, New York.

Barton II, FE, Akin DE (1977). Digestibility of delignified forage cell walls. J. Agr. Food Chem. 25:<br>1299-1303.

1299-1303.<br>Brice RE, Morrison IM (1982). The degradation of isolated hemicelluloses and lignin - hemicellulose complexes by cell-free, rumen hemicellulases.<br>Carbohydr. Res. 101:93-100.

Burns JC, Cope WA (1974). Nutritive value of c rown vetch forage as influenced by structural constituents and phenolic and tannin compounds. Agron. J. 66:195-200.

Chambat G, Joseleau JD, Barnoud F (1981). The carbohydrate constituents of cell walls of suspension cultures of Rosa glauca. Phytochemistry 10:241-246.

Chesson A (1982). A holistic approach to plant cell wall structure and degradation. In: Fibre In Human and Animal Nutrition, G. Wallace, L. Bell (Eds.). pp. 85-90 . The Royal Society of New Zealand, Wellington, New Zealand.

Chesson A, Gordon AH, Lomax JA (1983). Substituent groups linked by alkali-labile bonds to arabinose and xylose residues of legume, grass and cereal straw cell walls and their fate during digestion by rumen microorganisms. J. Sci. Food Agr. 34:  $1330 - 1340.$ 

Chesson A, Stewart CS, Wallace RJ (1982). Influence of plant phenolic acids on growth and cellulolytic activity of rumen bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44:597-603.

Church DC (1976). Digestive Physiology and Nutrition of Ruminants. Vol. 1 pp. 186-187. O & B Books, Portland, OR.

Colvin JR, Sowden LC (1985). The three- dimensional morphology of aggregates of native cotton cellulose microfibrils. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 7:214-218.<br>Cowling EB (1975). Physical and chemical con-

straints in the hydrolysis of cellulose and lignocellulosic materials. Biotechnol. Bioeng. Symp. 5:163-181.

Dehority BA (1961). Effect of particle size on the digestion rate of purified cellulose by rumen cellulolytic bacteria in vitro. J. Dairy Sci. 44:687 -692. Dehority BA, Johnson RR (1961). Effect of par-

ticle size upon the in vitro cellulose digestibility of forages by rumen bacteria. J. Dairy Sci. 44:2242-2249.

Fan LT, Lee YH, Beardmore DH (1980). Mechanism of the enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose : Effects of major structural features of cellulose on enzymatic hydrolysis. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 22:177-199 .

Forsberg CW, Beveridge TJ, Hellstorm A (1981). Cellulase and xylanase release from Bacteroides succinogenes and its importance in the rumen environment. Applied and Environ. Microbiol. 42:886-896.

Frey-Wyssling A (1969). The ultrastructure and biogenesis of native cellulose. Fortschr. Chern. Organ. Naturst. 27:1-30.

Frey-Wyssling A, Muhlethaler K (1963). Die elementar-fibrillen der cellulose. Makromol. Chem.  $62:25.$ 

Gorden AH, Allister JH, Dinsdale D, Bacon JSD (1977) . Polysaccharides and associated components of mesophyll cell-walls prepared from grasses. Carbohydr. Res. 57:235-248.

GordenAJ, Neudoerffer TS (1983) . Chemical and in vivo evaluation of a brown midrib mutant of Zea mays. I. Fibre lignin and amino acid composition and digestibility for sheep. J. Sci. Food Agr. 24:565-577.

Gould JM (1984). Alkaline peroxide delignification of agricultural residues to enhance enzymatic saccharification. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 26:46-52.

Groleau D, Forsberg CW (1981). Cellulolytic activity of the rumen bacterium Bacteroides suc-<br>cinogenes. Can. J. Microbiol. 27:517-530.  $\frac{\text{cinogenesis.~Can.~J.~Microbiol.~27:517-530.}}{\text{Harbers~LH (1985).~Ultrast, reductional utilization of}}$ 

plants by herbivores. Food Microstr. 4:357 -364.

Harkin JM (1973). Lignin. In: Chemistry and Biochemistry of Herbage, Vol. 1, GW Dutler, RW Bailey (Eds.). pp. 323. Academic Press, New York.

Hartley RD, Jones EC (1976). Diferulic acid as a component of cell walls of Lolium multiflorum. Bauey (Eds.), pp. 320, Recausing Free<br>
Hartley RD, Jones EC (1976). Dife<br>
component of cell walls of <u>Lolium</u><br>
Phytochemistry 15:1157-1160.<br>
Haworth S. Jones DM. Roberts

Phytochemistry 15:1157-1160.<br>Haworth S, Jones DM, Roberts JG, Sagar DF (1969). Quantitative determination of mixtures of alkyl ethers of D-glucose. Carbohydr. Res 10:1-12.<br>Ishikawa H, Schubert WJ, Nord FF (1963). In-

vestigations on lignins and lignification. XXVII. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 100:131-139.

Jung HG (1985). Inhibition of structural carbohydrate fermentation by forage phenolics. J. Sci. Food Agr.  $36:74-80$ .

Jung HG, Fahey Jr, GC (1981). Effect of phenolic compound removal on in vitro forage digestibil ity. J. Agr. Food Chem. 29:817-820.

Jung HG, Fahey Jr, GC (1983). Nutritional implications of phenolic monomers and lignin: A review. J. Anim. Sci. 57:206-219.

Jung HG, Sahlu T (T986) . Depression of cellulose digestion by esterified cinnamic acids. J. Sci. Food Agr. 37:659-665.

Kerley MS, Fahey Jr, GC, Berger LL, Gould JM, Baker FL (1985). Alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment unlocks energy in agricultural by-products. Science 230:820-822.

Lee YH, Fan LT (1980). Properties and mode of action of cellulase. In: Advances in Biochemical Engineering, A. Fiechter (Ed.). 17:101. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Lin KW, Ladisch MR, Voloch M, Patterson JA, Noller CH (1985). Effect of pretreatments and fermentation of pore size in cellulosic materials. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 27:1427-1433.

Martel P, Gould JM (1987). Neutron diffraction study of delignification in wheat straw subjected to alkaline hydrogen peroxide treatment. Proc. Ninth Tri-Annual Conf. International. Union Pure Appl. Biophys. (In press).

Northcote DH (1972). Chemistry of the plant cell wa11. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 23:113-132.

Patton AR, Gieseker L (1942). Seasonal changes in the lignin and cellulose content of some Montana grasses. J. Anim. Sci. 1:22-26.

Pond WG, Merkel RA, McGilliard LD, Rhodes VJ (1980) . Feed production. In: Animal Agriculture: Research to Meet Human Needs in the 21st Century. pp. 165-180. Westview Press, Boulder, CO.

Rowland SP (1975). Selected aspects of structure and accessibility of cellulose as they relate to hydrolysis. Biotechnol. Bioeng. Symp. 5:183-191.

Scalbert A, Monties B, Lallemand J, Guittet E, Rolando C (1985). Ether linkage between phenolic acids and lignin fractions from wheat straw. Phytochemistry 24:1359-1362.

Smith MM, Hartley Rd (1983). Occurrence and nature of ferulic acid substitution of cell-wall polysaccharides in graminaceous plants. Carbohydr. Res. 118:65-80.

stafford HA, Brown MA ( 1976) . Oxidative dimerization of ferulic acid by extract form sorghum. Phytochemistry 15:465-469.

Stone JE, Scallan AM, Donefer E, Ahlgren E (1969). Digestibility as a simple function of a molecule of similar size to a cellulase enzyme. Adv. Chern. Ser. 95:219 .

Van Soest PJ (1973). The uniformity and nutritive availability of cellulose. Fed. Proc. 32:1804.

Van Soest PJ (1981). Limiting factors in plant residues of low biodegradability. Agr. Environ. 6:135.

Van Soest PJ (1982). Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant. pp. 125-126. O & B Books, Inc., Corvallis, OR .

#### Discussion with Reviewers

H. G. Jung: Published data suggest virtually no free phenolic acids exist in plant tissue. How can the study of inhibition of microbial fermentation by free phenolic acids aid our understanding of plant cell wall biodegradability?

Authors: Because of syntrophic relationships in the rumen, it is not illogical to think that free phenolic compounds do exist in the rumen liquor. Also, it is possible that microenvironments around the microbial cells do contain phenolic monomers generated upon plant cell wall hydrolysis. Effects of phenolic monomers in solution around the microorganism on the cells microenvironment is unknown. Another important area of consideration is the effect of phenoliccarbohydrate complexes on microbial activity, as both a toxin and a sterical hindrance to structural polysaccharide hydrolysis.

H.G. Jung: Could the differential results seen for phenolics esterified to different cellulose preparations be an example of crystallinity effects on microbial fermentability?

Authors: It is possible that crystallinity has some affect on selection of bacterial species by a particular microorganism having a competitive advantage in hydrolyzing crystalline cellulose. Our research, as

#### Factors Limiting Plant Cell Wall Degradation

does others, indicates that cellulose crystallinity of forages is not sufficient to limit cellulose hydrolysis. Therefore, in forage research, while crystallinity may play a minor role in limiting cellulose digestion, the limited susceptibility of structural carbohydrates appears to be a composite of several factors negatively affecting digestion.

D. E. Akin: Is there any direct evidence that cellulose crystallinity varies in forages or that crystallinity affects forage breakdown?

Authors: The hypothesis that crystallinity affected mature forage cellulose hydrolysis was derived from research examining the degradation of wood cellulose, which does appear to be affected by crystallinity. It is our opinion, based on X-ray crystallinity research. that cellulose in forages examined to date does not appear to affect digestion.

D. E. Akin: Do plants vary in size of submicroscopic capillaries?

Authors: It is expected that plants, like wood, have a wide range of submicroscopic capillary pore sizes. Extensive research into the various range of sizes which exist and the effect of pore size on microbial degradation has not been done to our knowledge.

D. E. Akin: Are a, b and c of the same plant region? Figure  $\overline{a}$  and  $\overline{b}$  seem to show cuticle (usually with little attachment) while c is of internal plant tissue . This should be addressed as it will influence attachment.

Authors: Panel a and b of Figure 1 show a broken<br>portion of the external face of a wheat straw particle. The upper-left half of panel a probably is the actual outer surface of the straw, while the lowerright half reveals the inner surfaces of the underlying tissue. Attached cells are apparent in the lower-right area, but are sparsely distributed. Panel b shows the inner surface of an epithelial cell, again with relatively sparse cell attachment. As Dr. Akin with relatively sparse cell attachment. As Dr. Akin has so elegantly shown in many publications, these attachment patterns are typical for relatively indigestible lignocellulosic materials such as straw. Panels a and b merely reiterate the many pictures documenting this fact that are already in the literature. Panel c, on the other hand, shows a typical view of rumen-incubated alkaline peroxide-treated wheat straw. Because the treatment process so completely disrupts the organization of the straw tissue, it is impossible to tell what portion of the original tissue is present in this view (for additional SEM data detailing the effects of alkaline peroxide treatment on wheat straw tissue morphology, see Gould, J. M., Biotechnol. Bioeng. 27, 225-231 (1985). In any event, the panel shown is representative of all samples of rumen-incubated treated straw samples examined. In other words, untreated straw samples were characterized by having regions where the density of attached cells was very low as well as by regions where the density was moderate. In contrast, treated straw was characterized by a uniform, dense coat of attached cells on all surfaces.

L. H. Harbers: How well do the currently accepted methods of fiber analyses (crude fiber, neutral detergent fiber, acid detergent fiber, etc.) aid in explaining the limitations of cell wall degradation? Authors: It is our belief that neutral detergent fiber

and acid detergent fiber offer superior alternatives to fiber analyses of foods and feeds compared to crude fiber. Neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber have obvious merit in non-specific fractionation of food and feed, and for use as adequate tailed analyses of components in food and feeds requires more extensive study of the composition and structure of the cell wall than can be achieved by de tergent analyses.

L. H. Harbers: Assuming all three factors discussed do limit cell wall degradation, what types of tests should we concern ourselves with in reference to feed formulation in the future?

Authors: Cellulose crystallinity will probably have<br>little influence on ration formulation. Enzyme little influence on ration formulation. accessible space could be important in determining digestibility of forage material. The most potential in predicting digestibility and use of forages in ruminant diets lies in understanding intrinsic factors in the cell wall structure which limit structural polysaccharide hydrolysis.

L. H. Herbers: Do each of the limitations to  $dipestion$  affect monocotyleden  $(C3$  versus  $C4$ ) and dicotyledon species equally?

Authors: There are obvious differences between specles of C3, C4 and legume forages. The differences within a class (i.e. legumes), or even within a variety, can be as great as are expected to occur among classes. It may well be that factors(s) limiting hydrolysis is similar among all forages (particularily  $\tilde{C}3$  and  $\tilde{C}4$ ), but the repitition or number of these negative factors varies from one class to another. It is also possible that each class and possibly species within a class differ in factor(s) which control digestion, which would make the search for a specific factor controlling digestion of forages by the ruminant virtually impossible .

S. H. Cohen: Figure 1 is an electron micrograph of wheat straw, untreated or treated with alkaline hydrogen peroxide, fed to sheep, and then isolated from the rumens. According to the text the alkaline hydrogen peroxide removed lignin and allowed extensive attachment of rumen organisms and rapid digestion of cell wall carbohydrate. When I examined the figure these effects were not apparent to me. The figures need some indicators pointing them out.

Authors: The effects of alkaline peroxide treatment on the disruption of tissue integrity as a result of ce ll wall delignification are pretty well obscured in these pictures by the attached bacteria. A better view of the effects of treatment on tissue morphology is given in Gould, J. M., biotechnol. Bioeng. 27,  $225 - 231$  (1985).