Utah State University DigitalCommons@USU

Reports

Utah Water Research Laboratory

January 1980

# Alteration of Microbial Populations in Surface Mine Revegetation and Their Effects on Nitrogen Cycling

Margaret Mary McCarthy

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/water\_rep

Part of the Civil and Environmental Engineering Commons, and the Water Resource Management Commons

### **Recommended Citation**

McCarthy, Margaret Mary, "Alteration of Microbial Populations in Surface Mine Revegetation and Their Effects on Nitrogen Cycling" (1980). *Reports.* Paper 224. https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/water\_rep/224

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Utah Water Research Laboratory at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reports by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



99921

### ALTERATION OF MICROBIAL POPULATIONS IN SURFACE MINE

### REVEGETATION AND THEIR EFFECTS

ON NITROGEN CYCLING

by

### Margaret Mary McCarthy

### A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

### of

### DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

#### in

### Engineering

### UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY Logan, Utah

### ALTERATION OF MICROBIAL POPULATIONS IN SURFACE MINE

### REVEGETATION AND THEIR EFFECTS

### ON NITROGEN CYCLING

by

Margaret Mary McCarthy

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Engineering

Approved:

Major Professor

Committee Member

Committee Member

C/ommini ttlee Member

Committee Member

Dean of Graduate udies S

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY Logan, Utah

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported, in part, with funds from the USDA, Forest Service SEAM (Surface Environment and Mining) program through the Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden, Utah; the Decker Coal Company; and the Utah Water Research Laboratory which also provided research equipment and facilities.

I would like to thank Bland Z. Richardson of the Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station for the personal interest he took in this research and also Dwight Layton of the Decker Coal Company for all of the information and assistance he provided.

I wish to express my appreciation to the members of my committee: Dr. Donald B. Porcella for all of his support, encouragement and insight; Dr. Dennis B. George for the time he spent in our many discussions; and Dr. R. J. Wagonet, Dr. J. J. Jurinak and Dr. L. R. Andersen whose respective expertise in soils were invaluable.

I am deeply grateful to Dr. V. Dean Adams, not only for his scholarly advice and help but also for his friendship. I would also like to thank Darwin Sorensen for sharing his experience, knowledge and dedication with me.

I especially would like to thank my very good friends and co-workers at the Water Lab for all of their help, particularly Bert, Kevin, Mary Ann, Mary Pitts, and Scott for the enjoyment they added to my work. I would also like to thank the fine secretarial staff for all of their assistance in the completion of this dissertation.

ií

Last, but far from least, I want to thank Charley for his patience, kindness, love and support which made each day a little bit brighter.

Margaret M. McCarthy

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION    1      Approach to Study    3      Objectives    4      LITERATURE REVIEW    5      The Mining Operation    7      Revegetation in the Arid West    7      Microorganisms in Disturbed Soil    7      Nitrogen in Soil: Sources, Sinks and Transformations,    12      Losses    12      N, Fixation    16      Mitrification    17      Mineralization and Immobilization    16      Nitrification    19      Soil Organic Matter    20      Soil Phosphorus    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    23      Microbial Bioassay for Soils    29      MATERIALS AND METHODS    31      Field Study    32      Analytical Techniques    33      Laboratory Study    34      Bioassay    34      RESULTS    45      Field Study    45      Effects of sampling season, site and depth    45																						Page
Approach to Study    3      Objectives    4      LITERATURE REVIEW    5      The Mining Operation    7      Revegetation in the Arid West    7      Microorganisms in Disturbed Soil    10      Nitrogen in Soil: Sources, Sinks and Transformations, Losses    12      N, Fixation    15      Mineralization and Immobilization    16      Nitrification    17      Denitrification    19      Soil Organic Matter    20      Soil PH and Nutrient Availability    23      Soil Phosphorus    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    26      Marer    28      Microbial Bioassay for Soils    28      Microbial Bioassay for Soils    31      Field Study    32      Analytical Techniques    33      Laboratory Study    34      Bioassay    37      Lysimeters    39      Statistics    44      RESULTS    45      Effects of sampling season, site and depth    45      Biological and chemical parameters    47	INTRO	ODUCTI	EON		•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•	1
Objectives      4        LITERATURE REVIEW      5        The Mining Operation      7        Revegetation in the Arid West      7        Microorganisms in Disturbed Soil      10        Nitrogen in Soil: Sources, Sinks and Transformations, Losses      10        Nitrogen in Soil: Sources, Sinks and Transformations, Losses      12        N, Fixation      15        Mineralization and Immobilization      16        Nitrification      17        Denitrification      17        Denitrification      20        Soil Organic Matter      20        Soil PH and Nutrient Availability      23        Soil Phosphorus      24        Microbial Bioassay for Soils      28        Microbial Bioassay for Soils      29        MATERIALS AND METHODS      31        Field Study      33        Laboratory Study      34        Analytical Techniques      39        Statistics      39        Statistics      44        RESULTS      45        Effects of sampling season, site and depth      45        Biological and chemical pa		Appro	bach	to	Stu	ıdy								•								3
LITERATURE REVIEW    .		Objec	ctive	≥s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
The Mining Operation	LITE	RATURI	E REV	/IEV	J	•		٠	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	5
Revegetation in the Arid West		The N	liniı	ng (	)pei	rati	ion		•				•	•	•							7
Microorganisms in Disturbed Soil		Reve	getai	tior	n in	ı tł	ie .	Arí	d W	lest				•								7
Nitrogen in Sofl: Sources, Sinks and Transformations, Losses    12      N, Fixation    15      Mineralization and Immobilization    16      Nitrification    17      Denitrification    17      Denitrification    17      Soil Organic Matter    20      Soil PH and Nutrient Availability    23      Soil Phosphorus    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    23      Microbial Bioassay for Soils    28      Microbial Bioassay for Soils    31      Field Study    32      Analytical Techniques    33      Laboratory Study    34      Bioassay    44      RESULTS    45      Field Study    45      Effects of sampling season, site and depth    45      Biological and chemical parameters    47		Micro	orga	anis	sms	in	Di	stu	rbe	d S	oil		•									10
Losses      12        N2      Fixation      15        Mineralization and Immobilization      16        Nitrification      17        Denitrification      17        Denitrification      19        Soil Organic Matter      20        Soil Phosphorus      23        Soil Phosphorus      23        Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils      23        Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils      23        Microbial Bioassay for Soils      29        MATERIALS AND METHODS      31        Field Study      32        Analytical Techniques      33        Laboratory Study      34        Bioassay      34        RESULTS      44        RESULTS      45        Field Study      45        Effects of sampling season, site and depth      45        Biological and chemical parameters      47		Nitro	ogen	in	Soi	1:	Soι	irc	es,	Sin	ks	and	Tr	ans	for	mat	ion	s,				
N2 Fixation    15      Mineralization and Immobilization    16      Nitrification    17      Denitrification    17      Denitrification    19      Soil Organic Matter    20      Soil PH and Nutrient Availability    23      Soil Phosphorus    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    26      Manure    28      Microbial Bioassay for Soils    29      MATERIALS AND METHODS    31      Field Study    33      Laboratory Study    33      Laboratory Study    34      RESULTS    44      RESULTS    45      Field Study    45      Biological and chemical parameters    47			Loss	ses	•	•			•													12
Mineralization and Immobilization    16      Nitrification    17      Denitrification    19      Soil Organic Matter    20      Soil pH and Nutrient Availability    23      Soil Phosphorus    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    23      Manure    24      Microbial Bioassay for Soils    29      MATERIALS AND METHODS    31      Field Study    33      Laboratory Study    33      Bioassay    34      Microbial Bioassay    36      Bioassay    37      Lysimeters    39      Statistics    44      RESULTS    45      Field Study    45      Effects of sampling season, site and depth    45      Biological and chemical parameters    47		N. Fi	ixati	ion																		15
Nitrification    17      Denitrification    19      Soil Organic Matter    20      Soil Phand Nutrient Availability    23      Soil Phosphorus    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    23      Manure    23      Microbial Bioassay for Soils    26      Manure    29      MATERIALS AND METHODS    31      Field Study    32      Analytical Techniques    33      Laboratory Study    36      Bioassay    37      Lysimeters    39      Statistics    44      RESULTS    45      Field Study    45      Biological and chemical parameters    47		Miner	ali	zati	lon	and	i I	mmo	bil	iza	tio	n										16
Denitrification		Nitri	fic	atio	 nn														-			17
Soil Organic Matter		Denit	-rifi	icat	-i or	, ·	•	•		•	•	•	•	-	•	•	•	-	-	•	•	19
Soil pH and Nutrient Availability		Soil	Oras	uni e	- M:	• • + + 4	• •r	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	20
Soil ph and Addrient Availability    1		Soil	- u ,	and	Nut		 nt			• ahi	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	23
Soli Phosphorus    23      Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils    26      Manure    28      Microbial Bioassay for Soils    29      MATERIALS AND METHODS    31      Field Study    31      Field Study    31      Field Study    32      Analytical Techniques    33      Laboratory Study    36      Bioassay    37      Lysimeters    39      Statistics    44      RESULTS    45      Field Study    45      Effects of sampling season, site and depth    45      Biological and chemical parameters    47		Codi	pn a	1110	nui		2110	Av	arı	au r	TTC	У	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	23
Oxidation of suffur in softs		0 m d d .	FILOS	spire	r us	5 .1£.	•	· ·	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	25
Manure		UX108	10101	1 01	. 51	1111	11	LU	201	15	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	20
Microbial Bioassay for Soils		Manui	e.	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
MATERIALS AND METHODS		Micro	bia.	L B1	Loas	ssay	7 İ	or	501	.1s	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	29
Field Study	MATE	RIALS	AND	MEI	THOI	)S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	31
Analytical Techniques		Field	l Stu	ıdy						•		•	•	•								32
Laboratory Study <td></td> <td>Analy</td> <td>tica</td> <td>al 7</td> <td>Tech</td> <td>nic</td> <td>jue</td> <td>S</td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>33</td>		Analy	tica	al 7	Tech	nic	jue	S	•	•					•	•						33
Bioassay		Labor	ator	cy S	Stud	ły	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	36
Bloassay			Bio	1000	<u>۲</u> ۳																	37
RESULTS    . </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>Inci</td> <td>1330 mot</td> <td>1 y - 0 m c</td> <td>•</td> <td>30</td>			Inci	1330 mot	1 y - 0 m c	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	30
RESULTS			LyS1	-d - t	.ers	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	·	55
RESULTS . <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Stai</td><td>.1St</td><td>.105</td><td>5</td><td>•</td><td>ø</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•.</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>44</td></td<>			Stai	.1St	.105	5	•	ø	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	•	•	44
Field Study    45      Effects of sampling season, site and depth    45      Biological and chemical parameters    47	RESUI	LTS .	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	• -	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	45
Effects of sampling season, site and depth		Field	l Stu	ıdy	•		•	•	•	•	•	٠		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	45
Biological and chemical parameters			Effe	ects	of	Sa	amp	lin	ig s	eas	on.	si	te	and	det	pth						45
			Bio	ogi	ca1	ar	nd i	che	mic	a1	par	amei	ter	s		_						47
Interrelationships between chemical and			Inte	erre	alat	ior	sh	ips	be	twe	en	cher	nic	al :	and	-	-	-	•	-	-	••
biological parameters				bi	010	gid	al	-го ра	ram	lete	rs											61

iv

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

Bioassay    64      Growth responses    64      Chemical parameters    69      Summary of bioassay results    72      Lysimeters    72      Effects of time, treatment and depth    77      Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters    77      Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters    90      DISCUSSION    93      Observations in Field Study    93      Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    95      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    100      Nutrients    100      Manure    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      The field study    105      The lysimeter study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      Conclusions    104      The field study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    106																					Page
Growth responses    64      Chemical parameters    69      Summary of bioassay results    72      Lysimeters    72      Effects of time, treatment and depth    75      Biological and chemical parameters    77      Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters    90      DISCUSSION    93      Observations in Field Study    93      Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    95      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      The field study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    105      Overall    106      Recommendations for Further Research    106      Rependictors    108      Appendictors    108		Bioas	ssay	•		•	•		٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	64
Chemical parameters    69      Summary of bioassay results    72      Lysimeters    72      Effects of time, treatment and depth    75      Biological and chemical parameters    77      Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters    90      DISCUSSION    93      Observations in Field Study    93      Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    95      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      The field study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    105      Overall    106      Recommendations for Further Research    106      Represences    108			Grow	th 1	res	pon	ses														64
Summary of bioassay results    72      Lysimeters    72      Effects of time, treatment and depth    75      Biological and chemical parameters    77      Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters    90      DISCUSSION    93      Observations in Field Study    93      Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    95      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      The field study    105      The loid study    105      The loid study    105      Revegetations for Further Research    106      Recommendations for Further Research    106      Recommendations for Further Research    108      Appendices    108			Chem	ica	1 n	arai	met	ore													69
Lysimeters    72      Effects of time, treatment and depth    75      Biological and chemical parameters    77      Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters    90      DISCUSSION    93      Observations in Field Study    93      Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    96      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    100      Manure    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      The field study    105      The lysimeter study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Recommendations for Further Research    106      Reterences    106      Reterences    106			Cumm	arca.	- P'	L di di		C13	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	72
Lysimeters72Effects of time, treatment and depth75Biological and chemical parameters77Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters90DISCUSSION93Observations in Field Study93Seasonal variations93Variations with sampling depth93Differences among sites98Lysimeter Study98Lysimeter Study98Lossimeter Study100Nutrients100Manure102Revegetation of the Decker Mine103CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS104The field study105The lield study105The lield study105Recommendations for Further Research106References108AppenNILCES110			Summ	ary	01	DI	oas	say	re	sui	.15	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
Effects of time, treatment and depth75Biological and chemical parameters77Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters90DISCUSSION93Observations in Field Study93Seasonal variations93Variations with sampling depth93Differences among sites95Microbial Bioassay98Lysimeter Study100Nutrients100Manure102Revegetation of the Decker Mine103Conclusions104The field study104The bioassay study105Overall105Overall106Recommendations for Further Research106REFERENCES108APPENDICES119		Lysin	neter	S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	72
Biological and chemical parameters    77      Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters    90      DISCUSSION    93      Observations in Field Study    93      Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    95      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    100      Manure    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      Conclusions    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    105      Overall    106      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108			Effe	cts	of	ti	me,	tr	eat	men	it a	nd	dep	th				•		•	75
Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters    90      DISCUSSION    93      Observations in Field Study    93      Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    93      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    98      Nutrients    100      Manure    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      The field study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    106      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108			Biol	ogi	ca1	an	d c	hem:	ica	1 D	ara	met	ers								77
parameters    90      DISCUSSION    93      Observations in Field Study    93      Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    93      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    98      Nutrients    100      Nutrients    100      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      The field study    105      The light study    105      Overall    105      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108      APPENDICES    119			Inte	rre	lat	ion	shi	ns l	het	wee	n c	hem	nica	1 a	nd	bio	100	ica	1		
DISCUSSION93Observations in Field Study93Seasonal variations93Variations with sampling depth93Differences among sites93Differences among sites95Microbial Bioassay98Lysimeter Study100Nutrients100Manure102Revegetation of the Decker Mine103CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS104The field study104The field study105The lysimeter study105Overall106Recommendations for Further Research106REFERENCES108APPENDICES119				pai	ram	ete	rs	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•		90
DISCUSSION    93      Observations in Field Study    93      Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    93      Differences among sites    95      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    100      Manure    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    106      Reecommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108      APPENDICES    119																					
Observations in Field Study93Seasonal variations93Variations with sampling depth93Differences among sites95Microbial Bioassay98Lysimeter Study98Lysimeter Study98Nutrients98Revegetation of the Decker Mine100Conclusions104Conclusions104The field study105The study105Overall106Recommendations for Further Research106REFERENCES119	DI	SCUSSION	1.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	93
Seasonal variations    93      Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    95      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    100      Manure    100      Manure    100      Conclusions    100      Conclusions    104      The field study    105      The loassay study    105      Overall    105      Overall    106      Revendations for Further Research    106      Rependations    106      Revences    106      Rependations    104      The field study    105      The loassay study    106      Recommendations for Further Research    106      Rependations    108      Appendations    119		0bsei	vati	ons	in	Fi	e1d	St	udy			•	•			•	•		•	•	93
Seasonal Variations			0		4	,															0.2
Variations with sampling depth    93      Differences among sites    95      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    98      Nutrients    98      Manure    100      Manure    100      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      Conclusions    104      The field study    105      The loassay study    105      Overall    106      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108			Seas	ona.	L Va	ari	ati	ons	•	•	. •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	93
Differences among sites    95      Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    100      Manure    100      Manure    100      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      Conclusions    104      The field study    105      The bioassay study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    105      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108      APPENDICES    119			Vari	atio	ons	wi	th :	samp	pli	ng	dep	th	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	93
Microbial Bioassay    98      Lysimeter Study    100      Nutrients    100      Manure    100      Manure    100      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    100      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      Conclusions    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    106      References    108      APPENDICES    119			Diff	erei	nces	s ai	mon	g s:	ite	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	. •	95
Lysimeter Study		Micro	bial	Bio	าลระ	sav			-			_			_						98
Nutrients    100      Manure    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      Conclusions    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    106      References    108      APPENDICES    119		Incin	otor	C+1	udur	Juj	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	100
Nutrients    100      Manure    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      Conclusions    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    104      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    105      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108		DYST	leter	511	uuy	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	100
Manure			Nutr	ient	ts																100
Revegetation of the Decker Mine    102      Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      Conclusions    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    104      The lysimeter study    104      Overall    105      Overall    106      References    108			Manu	ro		•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	102
Revegetation of the Decker Mine    103      CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      Conclusions    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    104      The lysimeter study    104      Overall    105      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108			nanu.	Le	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	102
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS    104      Conclusions    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    104      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    105      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108		Reveg	getat:	ion	of	th	e D	ecke	er l	Min	e	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	103
Conclusions    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    104      The bioassay study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    105      Recommendations for Further Research    105      REFERENCES    108	CC	NCLUSION	IS AN	D RH	ECON	1MEI	NDA'	TIOI	NS			•									104
Conclusions    104      The field study    104      The bioassay study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    105      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108      APPENDICES    119																					
The field study    104      The bioassay study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    105      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    106      APPENDICES    119		Concl	usion	ns	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	104
The bioassay study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    105      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108      APPENDICES    119			The :	fiel	ld s	stu	dv					-									104
The bloassay study    105      The lysimeter study    105      Overall    106      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108      APPENDICES    119			The l	hios		 	-,	day	•	-	•	-	-	•	•	-	-	•	-	-	105
Ine Tystmeter study    105      Overall    106      Recommendations for Further Research    106      REFERENCES    108      APPENDICES    119			The l	1		-y 3 		шу 11.d	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	105
Overall    .    .    .    .    106      Recommendations for Further Research    .    .    .    .    106      REFERENCES    .    .    .    .    .    .    .    108      APPENDICES    .			ine .	TARI	Lmei	cer	SC	uay	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	105
Recommendations for Further Research 106   REFERENCES 108			Overa	all	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	106
REFERENCES		Recon	menda	atic	ons	fo	r Fu	urtł	ner	Re	sea	rch	•	•	•	•	•			•	106
4PPFNDICES 110	RE	FERENCES			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠			108
	A٦	PENDICES	5 -	_			_	_		_								ć		ć	119

v

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

Page
------

Appendix A:	Summary of	the Field Results .		•		•		120
Appendix B:	Summary of	the Bioassay Results	-		•	•	•	126
Appendix C:	Summary of	the Lysimeter Results	•	•		•	•	128
VITA							_	134
			-	-	•	-	•	

vi

### LIST OF TABLES

Table			Page
1.	Analyses performed on samples	•	32
2.	Experimental design of bioassay	•	38
3.	Maintenance levels for control of physical conditions	•	38
4.	Decker Coal Company seed mixture	•	41
5.	Lysimeter treatments	•	43
6.	Summary of analysis of variance from field data	•	46
7.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of total nitrogen (mg/g), depth x site, for all seasons (b) and site x depth for all seasons (a)		49
8.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of nitrite-N ( $\mu g/g),$ depth x season for all sites $~.~.$		50
9.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of nitrate-N ( $\mu$ g/g), depth x site for all seasons (a) and season by site for all depths (b)	•	50
10.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of nitrate-N ( $\mu g/g)$ , site x depth for each season $~.~~.~$	•	51
11.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of ammonium-N ( $\mu$ g/g) by seasons, for all sites and depths		53
12.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of extractable-P ( $\mu$ g/g) by sites, for all seasons and depths (a), and depth x season, for all sites (b)	•	53
13.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of total phosphorus (mg/g) by depth, for all seasons and sites (a); season x site, for all depths (b); site x season, for all depths (c), and by sites for all seasons and depths (d)		54
14.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of total organic carbon $(mg/g)$ by season, for all sites and depths (a) and depth x site, for all seasons (b).	•	57

### LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table		Page
15.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of respiration (µg C x $10^{-4}$ ), 3 seasons x depth, for all sites (a) and by sites for 3 seasons and all depths (b)	. 57
16.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of de- hydrogenase activity (µg formazan/g), depth x site, for all seasons (a) and site x depth, for all seasons (b)	. 59
17.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of pH (a) and percent moisture (b) by sites for all seasons and depths	. 59
18.	Coefficients of determination (r <sup>2</sup> ) relating de- hydrogenase activity to various chemical parameters at the 1 and 15 cm sampling depths	. 62
19.	Summary of treatments	. 65
20.	Initial nutrient levels in soil before treatment	. 65
21.	Initial dehydrogenase concentrations and results from the Duncan's multiple range analysis	. 66
22.	Summary of the results from the analysis of variance of the bioassay data	. 66
23.	Dehydrogenase activity (µg formazan/g) in the three week samples and comparison among treatments $\ldots$ .	. 69
24.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of chlorophyll-a concentrations $(\mu g/g)$ at the three week sample period	. 70
25.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of ATP concentrations ( $\mu$ g/g) at the four week analysis period	. 71
26.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of data from four week samples	. 73
27.	Coefficients of determination $(r^2)$ relating microbial population parameters to chemical parameters	. 74

viii

### LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table		Page
28.	Ranking of treatments from lowest to highest for each parameter measured	75
29.	Review of treatments used in the lysimeters $\ldots$ .	76
30.	Summary of analysis of variance from lysimeter	76
31.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of total nitrogen (mg/g) by depth, for all treatments and sampling dates	78
32.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of nitrite-N $(\mu g/g)$ , period x depth for all treatments (a), treatment x depth for all periods (b) and depth x treatment for all periods (c)	79
33.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis for nitrate-N $(\mu g/g)$ , by period for all treatments and depths (a), treatment x depth for all periods (b), and depth x treatments for all periods (c)	• - 80
34.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of ammonium-N $(\mu g/g)$ , period x treatment for all depths (a), period by depth for all treatments (b), treatment x period for all depths (c), treatment x depth for all periods (d), depth x period for all treatments (e) and depth x treatment for all periods (f)	<b>1</b> 82
35.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of extractable phosphorus ( $\mu$ g/g), treatments x depth for all periods	85
36.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of total phosphorus (mg/g) by periods for all treatments and depths (a) and treatment x depth for all periods (b).	85
37.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of total organic carbon (mg/g) by depth, for all treatments and sampling dates	87
38.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of de- hydrogenase activity (µg formazan/g), treatment x depth for all periods	88

( 120 ix

### LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Table		Page
39.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of ATP ( $\mu$ g/g), period x depth for all treatments (a) and treatment x depth for all periods (b)	88
40.	Grass yields	90
41.	Coefficients of determination $(r^2)$ relating de- hydrogenase activity (a) and ATP (b) to various chemical parameters at the 1 cm sampling depth for each treatment $\cdot$	91
42.	Linear relation between different estimates of biomass for lysimeter study	92

x

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Location of western coal reserves (National Academy of Sciences 1974)	6
2.	Area strip mining with concurrent reclamation (EPA 1975b)	8
3.	Distribution of carbon and nitrogen in repres-	22
4.	The relationship between soil pH and relative plant nutrient availability (the wider the bar, the greater is the availability)	24
5.	Soil phosphorus is fixed, or made less available, by the formation of less soluble phosphates of iron, aluminum (for clays) and calcium	25
6.	Cross-sectional view of lysimeter	40
7.	Watering schedule during lysimeter experiments	42
8.	Profile distribution for C and N	56
9.	Comparison of dehydrogenase activity by site and depth	60
10.	Summary of the total nitrogen (a), total phosphorus (b), and total organic carbon (c) concentrations; and their ratios (d,e) for each site	63
11.	Summary of dehydrogenase activity measured at different times	67
12.	Relationship of dehydrogenase activity to chlorophyll-a content	68
13.	Chlorophyll-a concentration at three week analysis	70
14.	ATP concentration at four week analysis	71
15.	N:P ratio in relation to the ratio between ATP concen- trations in the treatments and the ATP concentration in the control	74
16.	ATP concentrations (a) and dehydrogenase activity (b) for each treatment	86

### LIST OF SYMBOLS

SP	sample collected in spring
S	sample collected in summer
F	sample collected in fall
W	sample collected in winter
UD	undisturbed site
SP 75	site revegetated in spring 1975
<b>SP</b> 76	site revegetated in spring 1976
SP 77	site revegetated in spring 1977
total N	total nitrogen
NO <sub>2</sub> -N	nitrite-nitrogen
NO3-N	nitrate-nitrogen
NH <sub>4</sub> -N	ammonium-nitrogen
ext. P	NaHCO <sub>3</sub> extractable phosphorus
total P	total phosphorus
TOC	total organic carbon
CEC	cation exchange capacity
ECe	electrical conductivity
SAR	sodium adsorption ratio
ATP	adenosin triphosphate
r <sup>2</sup>	coefficient of determination
MC	revegetation practice of mining company
l cm	sample taken from surface to 1 cm
15 cm	sample taken from 1 cm to 15 cm

r v xii

60	cm	sample	taken	fro	m	15	cm	to	60	сm
90	cm	sample	taken	fro	m	60	cm	to	90	cm
P1		sample	taken	at	3	wee	eks			
P2		sample	taken	at	6	wee	eks			
P3		sample	taken	at	9	wee	eks			
P4		sample	taken	at	13	3 we	eeks	3		

.

### ABSTRACT

Alterations of Microbial Populations in Surface Mine Revegetation and Their Effects

on Nitrogen Cycling

by

## Margaret M. McCarthy, Doctor of Philosophy Utah State University, 1980

Major Professor: Dr. Donald B. Porcella Department: Civil and Environmental Engineering

Surface mining in the arid west results in soil disruption by altering structure, water-holding capacity and nutrient availability. Intensive revegetation efforts may end in marginal rehabilitation due to adverse chemical and physical properties of the disturbed soil. Monitoring microbial activity and soil chemistry of revegetated and undisturbed areas of a surface mine in Southeastern Montana enabled delineation of major factors participating in soil fertility were determined.

In the undisturbed site, the upper few centimeters of the soil profile contained most of the nutrients and the microbial populations. In the revegetated sites, due to mixing of various soil horizons, the concentration of nutrients in the surface soil was absent and microbial activity was consequently low.

Laboratory studies indicated the segregation and replacement of A horizon soils restored native soil nitrogen to the upper few centimeters

xiv

and reduced the need for heavy applications of inorganic nitrogen fertilizer. Also, the addition of manure to the soils increased gross yields and microbial activity. This may be due, in part, to increased availability of phosphorus in manure and to improved physical conditions of the soil with the manure applications.

(149 pages)

#### INTRODUCTION

The mining of surface coal in the West to satisfy present and future energy needs in the United States poses many problems of environmental significance. Those problems presently receiving the greatest attention involve land destruction and the potential for water pollution. To minimize and control these problems, the federal government and many states have enacted laws which mandate strict adherence to rehabilitation regulations. These include segregation of overburden from topsoil, limitation on the length of time land can stand barren, and posting of bonds to ensure rehabilitation.

In spite of these laws, adverse chemical and physical properties of the soil and lack of water occasionally result in marginal rehabilitation. Soil disruption alters structure, water-holding capacity and nutrient availability. Nutrient cycling, based on a chemical, biological and physical equilibria developed over decades within the soil cannot be restored without special attention being given to those microorganisms responsible for the physical-chemical changes necessary to ensure rehabilitation of the land.

The major factor responsible for the stabilization of soil aggregates is organic matter. However, organic matter itself, without biological transformation, has little if any effect on soil structure.

The microorganisms influencing soil development are heterogeneous, often difficult to culture, and nearly impossible to observe as a single group (Mishustin 1975). The number and type of bacteria inhabiting a soil depends, in part, on the organic matter content of the soil. Since this organic fraction contains the organic carbon and nitrogen needed for microbial development, it is the dominant food reservoir (Alexander 1961). When organic matter in soil is decomposed, the nutrients released are either 1) taken up by the microorganisms for growth and maintenance or 2) reintroduced into the soil environment for plant uptake.

Nitrogen is the most frequently limiting nutrient in soils. One of the most important processes in the soil is the mineralization of organic nitrogen to ammonium-nitrogen during decomposition. Bremner (1967) estimated that generally only 1 to 3 percent of the soil organic nitrogen is mineralized during a growing season. It has also been estimated that the amount of exchangeable and soluble inorganic nitrogen rarely exceeds 2 percent of the total soil nitrogen (Harmen and Kolenbrander 1965). Atmospheric nitrogen can be fixed by certain bacteria and soil cyanophyta, becoming bound in the form of protein in biomass. This organic nitrogen is mineralized to ammonium-nitrogen by microorganisms and can be taken up by plants or microorganisms for growth or oxidized by nitrifiers to nitrite and nitrate. Processes such as nitrification are vital to sustain soil fertility.

Nitrogen can be lost from soil by leaching out of the root zone, ammonia volatilization, removal of plant crops and denitrification. In many climax communities where productivity is balanced with decomposition, losses of nitrogen may be replaced by biological nitrogen-fixation. The effects of soil disruption on the nitrogen cycle is to increase nitrogen losses. Surface mining can result in a severe decrease in soil fertility. Marginal revegetation due to low soil fertility can lead to increased costs of land restoration.

Direct on-site costs for reclamation of western lands has been estimated to vary from \$618/ha (\$250/acre) to as much as \$6,795/ha (\$2,750/acre) (Cook 1976). The costs depend on:

- 1) Saving and distribution of topsoil
- 2) Shaping of overburden
- 3) Seed-bed preparation
- 4) Planting, fertilizing, mulching and irrigation, and
- 5) Drainage control

These costs do not include the cost of not using the land and of protection until seedlings are well established nor does it take into account the possibility of failure or partial failure in obtaining a satisfactory covering of vegetation. Unless the fertility of a soil is to be intensively managed and amended by artificial means, microbial activities are vital to sustain soil fertility and the resultant plant production.

#### Approach to Study

In view of the importance of microbial activity in productive soils, microbial activity was studied in reclaimed soils disturbed by surface coal mining at the Decker Coal Mine in southeastern Montana. Commercial operations at the Decker Mine started in 1971 and revegetation activities and research have been actively conducted there since 1972. By monitoring both microbial activity and soil chemistry of these revegetated areas an attempt was made to determine major factors participating in the microbial establishment process.

This information was then used to design a laboratory experiment to study the effects on microbial development of adding various levels of inorganic nutrients, organic amendments and other soil treatments. Also the major factors controlling nitrogen cycling in these soils were determined.

In the laboratory experiments the bioassay technique developed by Sorensen et al. (1975) and Anderson (1976) was used to determine the effects of various fertilizer and soil amendments on microbial development. Lysimeters were used to analyze those treatments determined "most promising" by the bioassay, under field-like conditions.

Correcting imbalances in the carbon-nitrogen ratios within the soil were emphasized in the bioassay. This was accomplished by the addition of nitrogen fertilizers in the form of  $Ca(NO_3)_2.4H_2O$  and  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ . Also, an attempt was also made to lower the soil pH sufficiently to make other nutrients more available for uptake. The most promising treatments were then analyzed further in the lysimeter study in conjunction with evaluating the effects of various revegetation techniques on nitrogen cycling.

### Objectives

The overall objective of this research was to determine which revegetation processes are needed to optimize microbial activity and thereby ensure nutrient cycling, specifically nitrogen cycling. This involved:

- An evaluation of microbial activities in undisturbed and previously revegetated areas to determine major factors contributing to the development of microbial processes
- 2) A laboratory study to evaluate the capacity of selected soil amendments to enhance microbial development
- Studying nitrogen transformations using field-like laboratory experiments

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

To achieve "energy independence" the United States must develop new energy sources and advance its technology in the efficient extraction and use of resources available within its borders. For the past decade coal as been considered an undesirable energy source due to potential pollution problems from both its extraction and use. Recently, coal has come to the forefront as a major available substitute for imported oil. A ton of coal has approximately the same heating value as four and a half barrels of oil (Atwood 1975). By 1985, coal could be substituted for 6.5 billion barrels of oil (Schuman et al. 1976). A substantial part of this increased coal supply is expected to come from the western United States.

Most of the coal of the western states is contained in the Great Plains Province (Figure 1). These basins are the principal target for coal development by surface mining. The remaining identified coal resources in the Northern Great Plains total approximately 1,383 billion metric tons (1,524 billion tons) of which 58.3 billion metric tons (64.2 billion tons) are considered to be strippable (Northern Great Plains Resource Program 1975). Ninety-three percent of the strippable reserves in the western states contain less than 1 percent sulfur and these reserves are 40 times more abundant than the low sulfur, strippable reserves in the eastern part of the nation (U.S. Department of the Interior 1971). However, western coal is lower in heat value and higher in ash and water content than eastern coal.



Figure 1. Location of western coal reserves (National Academy of Sciences 1974).

No.

#### The Mining Operation

Surface mining is a very broad term and refers to any process of removing the earth rock and other strata in order to uncover the underlying mineral or fuel deposit. Strip mining is a type of surface mining in which the overburden is removed in narrow bands, one cut at a time (EPA 1974b).

At the Decker Mine a method known as area strip mining is practiced (Figure 2). After removal and stockpiling of topsoil, the overburden above the coal is drilled and shot with explosives, a trench or box-cut is made through the overburden to expose the deposit of ore to be removed. The overburden from the first cut is placed on unmined land away from the cut. The ore is then removed. Once the first cut is completed, a second cut is made parallel to the first, and the overburden from the succeeding cuts is deposited in the cut just previously excavated. This process is continued to the limits of the property or deposit. After the coal has been mined, the spoil material is smoothed and contoured with bulldozers and the topsoil is replaced. The prepared ground is then seeded and fertilized.

#### Revegetation in the Arid West

Although much has been learned about revegetation of surface mine areas in the east (EPA 1974a, Doyle 1976), revegetation in the semi-arid west is a relatively new endeavor with little base data from which to build. Surface mine areas in the western states are quite different from those in the east. Acidity has not been a major problem and is not expected to be, while climatic factors are. Mean annual precipitation



Figure 2. Area strip mining with concurrent reclamation (EPA 1974b).

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

 $\infty$ 

in the west ranges from only 10 to 50 centimeters with seasonal temperature variations from -50 to 49°C. Soil is generally poorly developed with adequate topsoil lacking (EPA 1974b). Salinity and sodicity problems can exist. These conditions, which are manifested as available soil moisture, are the primary determining factors in successful rehabilitation of surface mines in the west (National Academy of Science 1974). The potential for rehabilitation is extremely site specific with no one method that will be applicable to all sites (Packer 1974).

Sufficient information dealing with all aspects of revegetation of western coal land is slow in coming. The potential for rehabilitation of western coal lands has been reviewed in detail by the National Academy of Sciences (1974), Packer (1974), Cook (1976), Schuman et al. (1976), and USDA Forest Service (1979a,b). All reports conclude that more information is needed. Plant and fertilizer selection has received some attention on a site by site basis (Bjugstad 1978, Aldon 1978, Howard et al. 1977, Farmer et al. 1974, Sindelar et al. 1973) but no definitive answers are available.

Farmer and Richardson (1976) have studied the hydrologic and soil properties of overburden to determine the relation between infiltration rates and time since disturbance of overburden material. They have found that sediments eroded from the overburden piles are more finely textured, have more total salts, contain more sodium and have considerably higher pH than the parent overburden material. They recommend that grading to final configuration be done as soon as feasible to minimize surface erosion potential.

The importance of microbial processes involved in the revegetation of surface mine areas in the west has been recognized (Cundell 1977). Research efforts along these lines, however, have been limited and much work is still required.

#### Microorganisms in Disturbed Soil

Much of the land subject to disturbance by surface mining of coal in the western states has salt-affected soils (EPA 1974b). The structural problems associated with sodium affected soils can seriously decrease gas diffusion, water activity and water permeability and thereby greatly limit the spectrum of microorganisms that can inhabit the soil. Also, the high pH of alkaline soils is limiting to many soil biochemical processes. These factors may limit the rate of microorganism community development in a disturbed soil system (Cundell 1977). Hersman (1977), working with six study plots on a mine in Colstrip, Montana, was able to differentiate native range from spoil samples based on adenosine triphosphate (ATP) concentrations. The average ATP concentration was higher at all depths in the native range plots than they were in the spoil plots.

Mulching practices, in which organic matter is applied in large amounts to the soil surface or accumulates as large amounts of dead biomass from previous years production, may result in immobilization of available nitrogens and/or phosphorus as microorganisms consume nutrients in order to decompose the carbonaceous material (Parnas 1975, 1976). This kind of nutrient imbalance may also inhibit some types of microbial processes (e.g. cellulose decomposition) as well as having adverse effects on plants (McGill et al. 1975). Most microbial activity takes place in the top several centimeters of the soil horizon. The surface horizon of the soil is also the most variable in water content. In the semi-arid environments (especially in saline soils) microbial activity may be limited due to water stress (Wilson and Griffin 1975).

The establishment of important nutrient cycling processes in deeper disturbed soil horizons is poorly understood. The nutrient cycling processes in the root zone are very important to soil fertility and assuring their establishment may be vital to revegetation success. Microorganisms may find their way below the surface of the soil by being carried along with percolating water, and/or they may follow the development of plant roots. The plant root environment (rhizosphere) may supply simple carbonaceous substrate to microorganisms by sloughing dead tissue, exuded photosynthate, vitamins and other growth factors needed by microorganisms (Barber and Lynch 1977). This richer rhizosphere environment may stimulate heterotrophic nitrogen fixation, increase microbial respiration, and lead to solubilization of phosphorus and other nutrients (Chan et al. 1963). Any factor having an adverse effect on the development of rhizosphere processes in mine soils will depress productivity. It is, therefore, important to elucidate the process of rhizosphere development in disturbed soils.

Because nitrogen often limits productivity in agricultural and natural ecosystems the understanding of its conversions in soil is important. The intimate relationship between microorganisms to soil nitrogen is the key to understanding the soil nitrogen cycle.

### Nitrogen in Soil: Sources, Sinks and Transformations, Losses

Although the nitrogen content of the soil ranges from less than 0.1 percent in desert and semi-desert to a high of only 2 percent in some organic soils (Stevenson 1965), 95 percent of the annual nitrogen flow occurs within the soil and between soil and vegetation (Rosswall 1976).

Sources of soil nitrogen include 1) precipitation, 2) adsorption of NH<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and NO from air, 3) soil organic matter, 4) organic fertilizers, 5) biological nitrogen fixation and 6) inorganic fertilizers. It is estimated that precipitation contributes an average of 8.7 kg/ha/yr (7.8 lbs/acre/yr) the contribution due to adsorption from air is negligible (Allison 1965).

Soil organic matter can tie up 90 percent of the total nitrogen in surface soil (Bremner 1965b). This nitrogen source is available only after mineralization to an inorganic form. The principal source of organic fertilizer is cow manure. Allison (1973) reports that a ton of barnyard cow manure usually contains 5 kg nitrogen phosphorus as 2.5 kg  $P_{2}O_{5}$  and 5 kg K. However, the release of these nutrients from the manure is slow.

Symbiotic nitrogen fixation, which is difficult to estimate, accounts for some 14 to 53 million metric tons N/yr (Quispel 1974). World fertilizer production via the Haber process is approximately 44 million metric tons of nitrogen annually (Hardy and Havelka 1975). However, a substantial portion, about 50 percent, of the applied fertilizer nitrogen is not recovered by crops (Child 1976) and the production of nitrogen fertilizer is inextricably linked with the energy situation. Eighty percent of all the hydrogen required for making ammonia is produced from natural gas or

lighter petroleum fractions and this production requires 44 billion joules (42 million BTU) to produce a metric ton of ammonia from natural gas (Skinner 1976). In light of the heavy energy dependence of fertilizer production and the fact that biological nitrogen fixation accounts for greater than 90 percent of the biological nitrogen cycle (Postgate 1974) interest in nitrogen fixation is not surprising.

However, only a limited number of microorganisms have the ability to utilize elemental nitrogen; all other organisms require combined nitrogen. Combined nitrogen in soil is largely bound to organic matter and mineral material. Bremner (1965b) estimates that well over 90 percent of the total nitrogen in most surface soils is organically combined. This large pool of organic nitrogen in soil is a potential reserve of nitrogen for nutrition of plants but, biological mineralization of this soil organic nitrogen is slow. It has been estimated that generally only 1-3 percent of the soil organic nitrogen is mineralized during a growing season (Bremner 1967) and only a few kgs N/ha exists in available mineral form. Scarsbrook (1965) defines available nitrogen as a chemical form that can be readily absorbed by plant roots. This includes nitrate-nitrogen (NO<sub>3</sub>-N), nitrite-nitrogen (NO<sub>2</sub>-N), and ammonium-nitrogen (NH4-N). Rarely do soils contain more than 1 percent of the total nitrogen in an available form at any one time.

Rosswall (1976) estimates the percentage of nitrogen in microbial biomass to be 4 percent. The amount of nitrogen immobilized in living microbial cells at a given time is usually estimated at less than 1 percent of the total soil nitrogen (Bremner 1967). Another process which immobilizes inorganic nitrogen is the fixation of ammonium by clay minerals in such a way that it becomes unavailable. The amounts of fixed ammonium

have been reported attaining levels above 40 percent of total soil nitrogen by Young (1962) and constituting from 1 to 25 percent of the total soil nitrogen by others (Keeney and Bremner 1966, Bremner 1967).

The process by which organic nitrogen is mineralized to inorganic nítrogen results in the release of ammonium. Although some ammonium in soils is utilized directly by plants, most of it is first oxidized to nitrite and subsequently to nitrate by soil microorganisms. The extent of this nitrification process is determined by the type of clay mineral present, the soil temperature, pH and moisture content, and the percentage of oxygen in the soil atmosphere. The importance of the nitrifying microorganisms rests upon their capacity to produce nitrate which is the major nitrogen source assimilated by higher plants (Alexander 1965). However, the detrimental consequences of ammonium oxidation are apparent. Nitrification of ammonium results in the conversion of a slowly leached, cationic form of nitrogen to a readily leached anionic form. The downward migration of these soluble anions with rainfall depends not only on the amount of rain, but also on the water-holding capacity of the soil. Nitrate ions move downward more readily in sandy than in clay or peat soils (Harmsen and Kolenbrander 1965).

Nitrogen can be lost as an indirect consequence of nitrification by the process of denitrification which produces NO,  $NO_2$ ,  $N_2O$  and  $N_2$ . Denitrification is the major process that releases N to the atmosphere (Paul and Victoria 1978). Skujins and Klubek (1978) found that nearly all of the  $N_2$  fixed by a desert algal-licken crust was lost through denitrification over a period of three weeks.

Another method by which nitrogen is lost to the atmosphere is ammonia volatilization. Soils with high CaCO3 concentration, alkaline pH and low

cation exchange capacity frequently have high losses of nitrogen due to ammonia volatilization (Fenn 1975, Gasser 1969). High temperatures and decreased moisture levels also result in higher volatilization (Fenn and Kessel 1974).

The biological nitrogen cycle is responsible for a turnover of 108 to 109 metric tons of N/yr (Postgate 1974). The internal plant-soilmicroorganisms cycle is larger by an order of magnitude than the fluxes to and from the global system (Rosswall 1976). The management of soil fertility increasingly depends on man's understanding and ability to manipulate the biological nitrogen cycle.

### $N_2$ Fixation

Biological nitrogen fixation can be attributed to 1) symbiotic associations composed of a microorganism and a higher plant or 2) nonsymbiotic free living bacteria or blue-green algae. The symbiotic associations between <u>Rhizobium</u> and legumes are responsible for approximately 40 percent of the nitrogen fixed by biological means, and virtually all the nitrogen fixed by cultivated plants. However, there are over 10,000 species of Leguminosae of which fewer than 50 species are cultivated. There may well be other plants in the family that could be exploited for agriculture (Brill 1977). Presently, nitrogen fixation by natural symbiotic processes supplies almost as much fixed N as does the output of synthetic nitrogenous fertilizers (Childs 1976).

The importance of blue-green algae in supplying fixed nitrogen to the soil is probably limited to the initial stages of soil formation (Stevenson 1965). Rychert and Skujins (1974) estimated the annual nitrogen fixed by desert algal crust in the Great Basin to be 10 to 100 kg N/ha/yr. However, evidence suggests that most of the nitrogen fixed by algal crusts is lost by denitrification (Skujins and West 1974). Childs (1976) cites estimates of nitrogen fixing activity of free-living bacteria as high as 117 kg N/ha/yr under somewhat artificial conditions and values of 2 kg N/ha/yr as more common for in situ experiments.

Numerous articles have been written on the biochemical mechanism by which microorganisms assimilate elemental nitrogen. The reader is referred to Skinner (1976) and Postgate (1974) for extensive reviews of advances and the future potential in biological nitrogen fixation.

Methods for the measurement of N<sub>2</sub> fixation include most commonly: 1) N-analysis using N<sub>2</sub><sup>15</sup> and 2) the reduction of an alternate nitrogenase substrate, acetylene, to ethylene. The acetylene reduction test for nitrogen fixation is greater than 1000x more sensitive than tests with N<sub>2</sub><sup>15</sup>. Hardy et al. (1973) provide a comprehensive summary of the use of the acetylene reduction (C<sub>2H2</sub>-C<sub>2H4</sub>) assay for the measurement of N<sub>2</sub> fixation.

#### Mineralization and Immobilization

The decomposition of organic matter depends on the activities of numerous different populations in the soil. Although the soil fauna is often very important in the incorporation of organic residue into the soil (Ettershank et al. 1978), it is the microflora that plays the dominant role. Campbell (1978) has reviewed the role of the microflora in the decomposition process.

Organic residues added to soil are first broken down to their basic organic components by the extracellular enzymes produced by heterotrophs.

An extensive compilation of enzymes in soil can be found in Burns (1978). The conversion of these simple organic compounds to ammonium with the release of  $CO_2$  is referred to as mineralization. The resynthesis of inorganic constituents into organic compounds by microorganisms is the process of immobilization. Mineralization and immobilization proceed simultaneously and constantly in opposition in the soil.

The ammonium released due to mineralization is associated with a waste product overflow in microbial metabolism, the accumulated ammonium representing the quantity of substrate nitrogen in excess of the microbial demand (Alexander 1961). Immobilization is a consequence of the incorporation of ammonium and nitrate into proteins, nucleic acids, and other organic complexes contained within microbial cells.

Campbell (1978) cited data which establish the equivalence point at which N immobilization equals N mineralization. At a C/N ratio of approximately 22 and a N percentage of 2, mineralization equals immobilization. Smaller ratios (high N percentage) are associated with net mineralization and larger ratios with net immobilization.

Nitrogen turnover due to mineralization and immobilization is usually measured by tracer studies. The tracing of nitrogen transfers through soil microorganisms and their metabolites into more resistant forms in the soils allows for the elucidation of the relationship between recently synthesized microbial metabolites and soil constituents.

### Nitrification

Nitrification is usually associated with the energy-yielding reaction in the metabolism of chemo-autotrophic bacteria which leads to both growth

and maintenance of nitrifiers. Numbers of nitrifiers in most soils are rarely greater than a few thousand per gram (Walker 1975). This may be due to their slow growth rate (Morrill and Dawson 1962) and their requirement for considerable amounts of ammonium or nitrite as energy sources (Soriano and Walker 1973).

It appears that a rather diverse population of ammonium oxidizers coexist in several niches within the soil which may share the property of substrate availability but vary individually in substrate concentration, pH, water potential and other parameters (Belser and Schmidt 1978). Those organisms responsible for the majority of ammonium oxidation include <u>Nitrosomonas, Nitrosospira</u> and <u>Nitrosolobus</u>. <u>Nitrobacter</u> is the principal, if not only, nitrite oxidizing genus detected in the terrestrial environment (Belser and Schmidt 1978, Fliermans et al. 1974).

The nitrification characteristics of soils might reasonably be expected to be altered as soil conditions change to present more favorable or unfavorable environments for the nitrifying populations. Inhibition of nitrification in soils can be induced by the accumulation of trace elements (Liang and Tabatabai 1978, Wilson 1977), increased salinity (Laura 1977, Gandhi and Paliwal 1976, Westerman and Tucker 1974) and high pH (Morrill and Dawson 1967). Molina and Rovira (1964) found that root exudate did not inhibit nitrification. Heterotrophic microorganisms in the rich rhizosphere environment quickly immobilized nitrification products making the actual process difficult to measure.

There are numerous methodologies available for studying the nitrification process in soils, two of which are widely used. Lee and Quastel (1946a,b,c) perfected the perfusion technique which allows for sampling without disruption of the soil. Macura and Malek (1958) introduced the

continuous flow method for studying microbiological processes in soil samples. This method also allows for nondisruptive sampling but permits lower concentrations of substrate to be used.

### Denitrification

The amount of nitrogen lost from soils as a result of denitrification is still a major unknown quantity in studies of the nitrogen cycle. Recent interest in the extent of nitrogen loss due to denitrification has been intensified by the rising costs of nitrogen fertilizer.

Denitrification is essentially a respiratory mechanism in which nitrate, in the absence of oxygen, functions as the terminal electron acceptor. In "nitrate respiration" the reduction products,  $N_{20}$ ,  $N_{2}$  and NO gases, are excreted by the microorganism resulting in a loss of nitrogen from the soil. Burford and Bremner (1975) found that denitrification in soils under anaerobic conditions was controlled largely by the supply of readily decomposable organic matter. They reported that analysis of soils for mineralizable carbon or water-soluble organic carbon provided a good index of their capacity for denitrification of nitrate. Gilliam et al. (1978) found that any soil condition which impeded water flow was positively correlated to denitrification.

The amount of nitrogen lost due to denitrification is generally obtained by difference from the other components of the nitrogen cycle. However, the reliability of values obtained by difference are at best no better than the reliability of the other measurements, and all errors are accumulated in the difference value (Rolston et al. 1976).

Until recently, no direct routine method was available for field determination of denitrification. The lack of methods for direct
measurement arose from the fact that N<sub>2</sub> is a major denitrification product. Rolston et al. (1976) made direct field measurements by determining the amounts of N15-labeled N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> evolved from sites treated with N<sup>15-</sup> labeled nitrate. However, the use of N15-labeled fertilizer is prohibited by cost for routine analysis.

The finding that small concentrations of acetylene ( $C_{2H2}$ ) inhibit the reduction of N<sub>2</sub>O to N<sub>2</sub> during denitrification has been verified for soils (Yoshinari and Knowles 1976, Smith et al. 1978). Ryden and coworkers (1978, 1979a,b) developed a technique for direct measurement of denitrification from soils based on  $C_{2H2}$  inhibition of N<sub>2</sub>O reductase. This technique eliminates the problems associated with working in N<sub>2</sub>-free atmospheres and eliminates the cost of isotope work. The potential now exists for studying the extent of denitrification, the distribution of denitrification products and the environmental factors affecting the latter (Ryden et al. 1979a).

# Soil Organic Matter

Soil organic matter comes from several sources including plant and animal residue at various stages of decomposition, microbial cells, and substances synthesized and excreted by the soil population including plant roots. The global mass of organic carbon in soil is  $30 \times 10^{14}$  kg. This value more than equals the other surface carbon reservoirs combined (atmospheric  $CO_2 = 7.0 \times 10^{14}$  kg, biomass  $C = 4.8 \times 10^{14}$  kg, fresh water  $C = 2.5 \times 10^{14}$  kg, and marine (above thermocline) C = 5 to  $8 \times 10^{14}$  kg) (Bohn 1976). Although deeper carbon reservoirs are much larger, they are physically separated from active interchange with surface carbon reservoirs (Bohn 1976). Humic substances, the major organic constituent of soils and sediments, account for approximately 60 to 70 percent of the total soil carbon (Griffith and Schnitzer 1975). Because humus contains the organic carbon and nitrogen needed for microbial development, it is the dominant food reservoir.

Parnas (1975) has shown that the decomposition rate of any substrate is a function of the microbial growth rate on that substrate. The microbial growth rate depends upon the chemical composition of the substrate and the physical and chemical condition of the surrounding environment.

During decomposition under aerobic conditions from 20 to 40 percent of the substrate carbon is assimilated and the remainder is released as CO<sub>2</sub> or accumulated as waste products (Alexander 1977). Concomitant to the assimilation of carbon is the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulfur. If a microbial cell contains 5 to 15 parts of carbon to 1 part of nitrogen then a reasonable C:N ratio of the soil would be about 10:1. This ratio being a reflection of the dynamic equilibrium that results from the dominating presence of the microbial community.

Incorporation into soil of organic matter having a high C:N ratio will result in nitrogen immobilization making this nutrient unavailable for plant uptake. Nitrogen will remain unavailable until the C:N ratio is decreased to about 10:1. At this point the organic nitrogen that becomes mineralized is no longer necessary for microbial growth, and it remains in the mineral form available for plant uptake.

Parsons and Tinsley (1975) present profile distributions of C and N for some soils all over the world (Figure 3). In most of these soils the organic carbon and nitrogen accumulates near the surface with concentrations decreasing with depth. Only the basin peat, characterized



Figure 3. Distribution of carbon and nitrogen in representative soil profiles. (From Parsons and Tinsley 1975.)

by poor aeration therefore low decomposition rates, accumulate carbon and nitrogen to greater depths.

In arid regions, surface soils have C:N ratios usually between 6 to 14:1, with lower soil horizons having ratios of 2:1 or less (Porcella et al. 1973, Balph et al. 1973). Skujins and West (1974) report that the Curlew Valley soils of the Great Basin Desert have C:N ratios of from 11 to 8:1.

# Soil pH and Nutrient Availability

The pH of the soil solution is, in general, dependent primarily on the type of parent material, amount of rainfall and other soil forming factors, such as microbial activity (Russell 1961). In arid and semiarid regions where rainfall is insufficient to provide leaching, the products of weathering are localized and enhanced by high evaporative demands. The presence of lime minerals, which include primarily  $CaCO_3$ and  $CaMg(CO_3)_2$ , is a distinguishing characteristic of soil profiles in semi-arid and arid regions (Jurinak no date). The buffer intensity of these minerals controls the magnitude of shifts in the pH of the soil solution. The general relationship between soil pH and nutrient availability is shown in Figure 4. The pH for greatest availability for most nutrients in a mineral soil is approximately 6.5.

#### Soil Phosphorus

Phosphorus is present in soil in a variety of inorganic and organic forms, most of which are relatively insoluble. Insoluble phosphates, not directly available to plants or microbes comprise around 95 to 99 percent of the total soil phosphates in alkaline soils (Hayman 1975). Native phosphorus in these soils is found mainly as calcium phosphates (Olsen 1953).

The predominant dissolved orthophosphate species over the pH range 5 to 9 are  $H_2PO_4^-$  and  $HPO_4^-$  (Stumm and Morgan 1970). These ions are known to form complexes, chelates and insoluble salts with a number of metal ions. The extent of complexing and chelation between various phosphates and metal ions will depend upon the relative concentrations of the



Figure 4. The relationship between soil pH and relative plant nutrient availability (the wider the bar, the greater is the availability). Where elements are shown interlocking, those two elements at that pH combine to form insoluble compounds, reducing phosphate availability (Kentucky Ag. Exp. Sta. 1970).

phosphates and the metal ions, the pH and the presence of other ligands (i.e. sulfate, carbonate, fluoride, organic species) (Stumm and Morgan, 1970).

At low phosphate levels, surface sorption is the dominant factor in determining the phosphate concentration of the soil solution. Phosphates are adsorbed on CaCO<sub>3</sub>, kaolinite, montmorillonite or hydrous oxides of iron and aluminum (Figure 5). A strong tendency toward chemical bonding between phosphate groups and metal ions in a solid lattice appears to be the underlying principle for these various "sorption" phenomena (Stumm and Morgan 1970).

Stumm and Leckie (1970) studied the sorption kinetics of the phosphate-calcite reaction and concluded that the adsorption reaction involved three steps:

- Chemisorption of phosphate accompanied by heterogeneous formation of nuclei of amorphous calcium phosphate,
- A slow transformation of these nuclei into crystalline apatite, and
- 3) Crystal growth of apatite

ί.

Therefore, deficiencies of phosphorus in plants is frequently caused, not by lack of phosphorus in the soil but, by its unavailability to



Figure 5. Soil phosphorus is fixed, or made less available, by the formation of less soluble phosphates of iron, aluminum (for clays) and calcium. Maximum phosphorus availability is at a pH of 6.5 for mineral soils (Scarseth 1962).

plants. At the microsite, microorganisms are able to mobiles these insoluble phosphorus compounds by the production of acids. These acids convert insoluble calcium hydroxyapatite to more soluble di- and monobasic phosphates with the net result of an enhanced availability of the element. The oxidation of elemental sulfur is a simple and effective means of providing the acid environment and hence utilizable phosphates.

# Oxidation of Sulfur in Soils

In the early 1900's Lipman et al. (1916a,b) and McLean (1918) utilized the oxidation of sulfur in soils as a means of increasing the availability of mineral phosphates. Although sulfur oxidation in soils continues to be the subject of numerous investigations, only a few of the investigations have considered the use of sulfur for increasing phosphorus availability (Kittams 1963, Terman et al. 1964).

Sulfur as a fertilizer has received much attention lately due to recent sulfur deficiencies in plants resulting from increased use of essentially sulfur free fertilizers and the substitution of natural gas and refined hydrocarbons for high sulfur coals. The minimum level of sulfur for normal plant growth ranges from 0.3 to 1 mg per 100 g soil (Jordan and Ensminger 1958). Most soils contain between 0.1 to 0.5 mg S per g soil but it lies in the unavailable organic fraction of the soil (Burns 1967).

The oxidation of sulfur in soil depends on several variables. Li and Caldwell (1966) studied the effects of particle size, application rate and incubation time on the amount of elemental sulfur oxidized. They found that the smaller the particle size of S, the more rapid the oxidation. Oxidation of less than 100 mesh S reached a maximum of about 50 percent

after 60 days. They also found that rates of S application ranging from 10 to 100 mg per g soil was not an important factor in affecting oxidation rates.

Moser and Olsen (1953) found that heavier soils (more clay) oxidized sulfur more rapidly than lighter soils. Burns (1967) reported that mixing the sulfur with the soil maximized the soil-sulfur contact, reduced possible effects of the buildup of sulfur oxidation products and often improved sulfur-moisture relationships, all of which enhanced sulfur oxidation. Soil pH does not appear to be critical for sulfur oxidation and the process is fairly rapid in soils ranging in pH from 4.0 to 9.6 (Zajic 1969). In most soils, at near optimum moisture and temperature, the inorganic oxidation of sulfur is insignificant in comparison with the microbial conversions (Alexander 1961).

Both autotrophs and heterotrophs are capable of oxidizing inorganic sulfur compounds. The bacteria using such molecules for energy are the most important in soils and are chiefly members of the genus <u>Thiobacillus</u>. These bacteria are strict aerobes and obtain all energy from oxidation of sulfur compounds with CO<sub>2</sub> serving as their only carbon source (Zajic 1969). The following equation typifies the transformations catalyzed by these bacteria.

# $S + 1.5 O_2 + H_2O \rightarrow H_2SO_4$

The effect of sulfur oxidation on soil pH however, is quite variable. Differential oxidation in different soils with variable buffering capacities make it practically impossible to make exact predictions of pH change.

#### Manure

Cattle produce nearly 1 billion metric tons of manure each year (U.S. Dept. Agri. 1968). The problems associated with its collection, transport and disposal will not be discussed here. Of importance is the beneficial use of manure for aiding plant growth.

Manure is partially decomposed organic matter which functions similarly to natural soil organic matter and hastens humus accumulation within the soil (Bartholomew 1965). Cattle manure approximates 25 percent dry matter and usually consists of from 2 to 8 percent nitrogen, 0.2 to 1 percent phosphorus, 1 to 3 percent potassium, 1 to 1.5 percent magnesium, 1 to 3 percent sodium (Donahue et al. 1977), and approximately 89 percent carbon, oxygen and hydrogen (Walsh and Hensler 1971). In comparison with chemical fertilizers, manure is low grade, supplying small quantities of plant nutrients per unit of dry weight. However, besides increasing the soil organic matter content and nutrient levels, incorporation of manure into the soil improves the soil tilth and water intake, increases the size of the water-stable soil aggregates (Guttay et al. 1956), increases the water holding capacity (Salter et al. 1967), and significantly reduces the soil bulk density as well as the modulus of rupture (Tiarks et al. 1974). Manure also contains many micronutrients (i.e. 0.03 percent iron, 0.07 percent boron, 0.01 percent zinc, 0.01 percent manganese, 0.003 percent copper) which are not found in chemical fertilizers (Walsh and Hensler 1971). The nitrification process in soils may be improved by manure additions due primarily to an increase of the ammonium substrates and improved environmental conditions in the soil (Alexander 1965).

Recommended application rates solely for plant growth enhancement range from 33.6 to 56 metric ton/ha (15 to 25 tons per acre) on irrigated lands in the western states (Meek et al. 1974). Weeks et al. (1972) found that there is no economic advantage for manure applications much in excess of 45 metric tons/ha (20 tons per acre), however, only 20 percent of this amount can be used on non-irrigated dryland grains in the west (Donahue et al. 1977).

# Microbial Bioassay for Soils

Nutrient imbalances, salinity, pH, and lack of a stable microflora often make the revegetation of arid and semi-arid surface mines a difficult and lengthy process. The quantity of nutrients or amendments to be added is difficult to assess because of the complex biological, physical and chemical reactions dynamically occurring in the soil water system. The availability of a nutrient to a plant or microorganism is difficult to measure and techniques used are subject to much controversy. In some cases, a nutrient is assimilated by an organism as fast as it is made available and thus a chemical assay of the nutrient availability will give poor correlation with observed growth (Alexander 1971).

Since the microbial component plays an important part in the fertilty status of a soil, microbiological methods for determining nutrient deficiencies and toxicities have long been regarded as being rapid and simple in comparison with those methods requiring higher plants. Algal bioassays have become a standard tool in aquatic biology (EPA 1971, 1973) yielding valuable information about toxicities and nutrient limitations to productivity in aquatic systems. The basic principles of the algal bioassay have been expanded, with appropriate modification, to soil

systems by Sorensen et al. (1975) in the form of a soil algal bioassay. This bioassay is used as a screening tool to determine the deficiencies and toxicities of any number of elements depending on the original design of the bioassay.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The largest region in the Northern Great Plains coal province is the Fort Union region which encompasses the western half of North Dakota and parts of South Dakota and Montana. The Powder River region, southern extension of the Fort Union region, continues from southern Montana into northeastern Wyoming. This region contains nearly 218 billion metric tons of sub-bituminous coal (Glass 1972). The coal seam averaging about 15 meters (50 feet) thick, is buried under approximately 18 meters (60 feet) of overburden.

The Decker Mine operates in a part of the Tongue River member of the Powder River Region. The soils in the area of the mine are classified as clayey and loamy Ustic Aridisols, often containing a large amount of sodium (Farmer and Richardson 1976). The average precipitation in this region is approximately 36 centimeters (14 inches) with 50 percent of it occurring between April and July (Bjugstad 1978). The major grasses of the area include <u>Festuce</u> (fescus), <u>Agropyron</u> (wheatgrass), <u>Stipa</u> (needlegrass) and <u>Bouteloua</u> (bluestem) (Wright and Wright 1948). Overgrazing in parts of the region, however, has resulted in an increase in <u>Artemesia</u> (sagebrush).

This research was divided into two phases. Phase one consisted of a field study to monitor the effects of revegetation on selected variables relating to soil chemistry and microbial activities at the Decker Mine. The purpose of this study was to identify those chemical variables which have the greatest influence on microbial growth and development. Phase two, a laboratory study, was an endeavor to analyze the effects of those

variables determined in phase one to have the most influence on the microbial community.

# Field Study

Soil samples were collected quarterly for one year, from three areas at the Decker Mine which had been revegetated in the spring of 1975, 1976, and 1977 respectively and from one undisturbed area (Sp 75, Sp 76, Sp 77, and UD). Samples were taken using a simple random sampling design (Petersen and Calvin 1965) at three locations on each site to a depth of 90 cm. The surface centimeter, 1-15 cm, 15-60 cm and 60-90 cm horizons from each of the triplicates were composited and then subsampled for analysis. The chemical, biological and physical analyses performed on each composite sample are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Analyses performed on samples.

Chemical	Bíological	Physical
pH (in water) Total Nítrogen	Respiration Dehydrogenase	CEC¢ Sieve Analysis <sup>b</sup>
Extractable Nitrate-N Extractable Nitrite-N	$N_2$ -fixation	Hydrometer Analysis <sup>D</sup> % Field Moisture
Exchangeable Ammonium-N		Moisture Potential <sup>a</sup>
Total Phosphorus		
Bicarbonate Extractable Phosphorus	S	
Total Organic Carbon		
Soluble Salts (Ca <sup>++</sup> , Mg <sup>++</sup> , Na <sup>+</sup> , K <sup>+</sup> SO <sub>4</sub> , Cl <sup>-</sup> ) <sup>c</sup>	۰ ۲	
ECec		

<sup>a</sup>Analysis performed on May samples only. <sup>b</sup>Analyses performed on August samples only. <sup>c</sup>Analyses performed on November samples only.

# Analytical Techniques

Analytical techniques employed were as follows:

- 1) Soil pH was measured on a 1:1 (w/w) suspension of soil in DDW (Peech 1965). A one to one ratio was used to eliminate potential errors due to possible poor contact between the soil and the glass electrode at lower soil water content and also to eliminate erroneously high pH values due to dilution at higher water content.
- 2) Total nitrogen (N) was determined with a Coleman model 29 nitrogen analyzer (micro-Dumas nitrogen technique, Coleman Instruments 1968). In this method of determining N, the sample is heated with copper oxide at a high temperature (usually above 600 C) in a stream of purified CO<sub>2</sub>, and the gases liberated are led over hot Cu to reduce nitrogen oxides to N<sub>2</sub>, and then over copper oxide to convert CO to  $CO_2$ . The N<sub>2</sub>-CO<sub>2</sub> mixture thus obtained is collected in a nitrometer containing concentrated alkali, which absorbs the CO<sub>2</sub>, and the volume of N<sub>2</sub> gas is measured. The Dumas method can give inflated results with highly organic soils due to incomplete combustion with formation of methane or other hydrocarbons instead of CO<sub>2</sub> (Bremner 1965c).
- 3) Exchangeable ammonium-N, nitrate-N and nitrite-N were extracted using 2 M KCl. The extracts were analyzed directly for nitrate-N and nitrite-N and, following steam distillation (Bremner 1965a), for ammonium-N using a Technicon Auto-Analyzer II (APHA 1975). This method permits quantitative extraction of inorganic forms of nitrogen which account for the available forms of nitrogen in the soil.

- 4) Total phosphorus was determined using persulfate digestion of the total sample followed with analysis on the Technicon Auto-Analyzer II (APHA 1975). The total phosphorus content of the soil includes all of the orthophosphate, condensed phosphate (pyro-, meta-, and polyphosphates), and organically bound phosphate.
- 5) Phosphorus, soluble in sodium bicarbonate (Olsen and Dean 1965), was analyzed by the ascorbic acid technique (Strickland and Parsons 1972). Moser et al. (1959) found a high correlation between NaHCO<sub>3</sub> extractable phosphorus and uptake of phosphorus by plants.
- 6) Total organic carbon was determined with an Oceanography model 0524B total carbon system (Oceanography International Corp. no date). This method involves a high temperature, wet oxidation of organic carbon to carbon dioxide, the concentration of which is determined using a nondispersive infrared analyzer.
- 7) Soluble salts in saturation extracts were determined by titration  $(Mg^{++} \text{ and } Ca^{++})$ , atomic absorption  $(Na^{+} \text{ and } K^{+})$ , and using the Technicon Auto-Analyzer II  $(SO_{4}^{-} \text{ and } Cl^{-})$  (APHA 1975). The adverse effect of soluble salts in the soil is the reduction of the osmotic potential and hence the water potential, thereby reducing water availability.
- 8) Respiration rates were measured by analyzing CO<sub>2</sub> evolution in a closed container using flame ionization gas chromatography as described by Colket et al. (1974). The measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> released due to the breakdown of carbonaceous substrates by microorganisms has been extensively used as an index of biological activity in soil. These respiration rates have been correlated with other measures of microbial activity, organic matter content and nitrogen transformations.

- 9) Dehydrogenase activity was assayed using a modification of the method described by Casida et al. (1964). Dehydrogenase activity is an index of soil biological activities and correlates highly with other indices of biological activity (Skujins 1973). The measurement of dehydrogenase enzyme activity, particularly succinate dehydrogenase, is accomplished by the reduction of 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride to 2,3,5-triphenyltetrazolium formazan, a compound extracted from the soil and read spectrophotometrically. Tetrazolium salts are low redox potential indicators which upon reduction form water insoluble formazans.
- 10) Nitrogen fixation rates were estimated using the acetylene reduction technique described by Hardy et al. (1973). The assay procedure involves utilization of the nitrogenase-catalyzed reduction of acetylene ( $C_{2H_2}$ ) to ethylene ( $C_{2H_4}$ ) coupled with flame ionization gas chromatographic analysis and is based on the inhibition of  $N_2$ -fixation by  $C_{2H_2}$  and the reduction of  $C_{2H_2}$  to  $C_{2H_4}$ .
- 11) Sieve analysis and hydrometer analysis were done as described in Dunn et al. (1977). A soil classification system based on particle size and particle size distribution reflects the soil textural properties which have an important bearing on the physical properties of the soil.
- 12) Percent field moisture was determined gravimetrically by oven drying a field sample transported to the lab in a sealed container.
- 13) Soil moisture potential was measured using electronic dew point (psychrometric techniques) in a laboratory sample chamber. Moisture potential is an energy term which describes the work necessary to

extract water from a system relative to pure free water (Brown and Van Haveren 1972). Soil moisture potential can be accurately estimated from measurements of the equilibrium relative humidity of the soil atmosphere by use of the thermocouple psychrometer (Wiebe et al. 1971).

- 14) Electrical conductivity (EC<sub>e</sub>) and cation exchange capacity (CEC) were determined at the Soils, Plant and Water Analysis Lab, Utah State University, Logan. The electrical conductivity of a soil extract gives some indication of the total concentration of ionized constituents in the soil solution. The cation exchange capacity relates the sum total of the ability of the soil to attract and hold cations due to the excess negative charge.
- 15) ATP concentrations were measured using a composite of the methods outlined by Karl and LaRock (1975) and Paul and Johnson (1977). As shown in the work of Hersman (1977) and others, ATP measurements provide a good estimation of the total microbial activity in the soil. The extracted ATP is assayed by means of the firefly bioluminescent reaction using a commercial ATP photometer (SAI Tech. Co. 1975).

The bioluminescent reaction yields a photon of light for each ATP molecule consumed:

luciferin (red.) + ATP +  $0_2 \xrightarrow{\text{luciferase}}_{\text{Mg+2}}$  luciferin (oxd.) + AMP + P - P + H<sub>2</sub>O + h<sub>y</sub>

# Laboratory Study

Both topsoil (defined by guidelines set forth by the State of Montana) and overburden (that material which lies between the topsoil and the

coal) were obtained from the Decker Mine in sufficient quantity for both phases of the laboratory study. In the bioassay, that fraction of the topsoil which was less than 1.40 mm in diameter was used. The material used in the lysimeters was that fraction of both the topsoil and the overburden which was less than 2 cm in diameter.

#### Bioassay

The experimental design of the bioassay (Table 2) was based on results compiled from the field samples. The amendments and treatment levels chosen were added to topsoil samples only. Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of  $Ca(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  and  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  was added in amounts equal to or greater than the concentration presently used by the mining company. Phosphorus was added at various levels alone, and in combination with nitrogen additions.

Low levels of sulfur were added to some samples to see if oxidation of sulfur to sulfuric acid would lower the pH sufficiently to 1) make nutrients such as phosphorus and trace elements such as zinc and iron available to plants and 2) produce gypsum from calcium carbonate, which plays an important role in the conversion of sodium saturated clay to calcium clay and therefore increase soil permeability to water.

Physical conditions, namely light, temperature and moisture content, were set and maintained to ensure optimal growth conditions for soil cyanophytes (Table 3).

The bioassay technique followed closely the procedure outlined by Anderson (1976). Fifty grams of air-dried topsoil were placed into a petri dish and the moisture potential maintained at -1 bar throughout the experiment, using deionized distilled water. Algal inoculation was done as

Treatment Number	Treatment <sup>a</sup>	Level	Form	Method of Addition
1	Control			
2	мс <sup>ь</sup>	0.04  mgN/g	$Ca(NO_3)_2$	Solution
		0.08  mgP/g	CaHP0/	Suspension
		1.1 mg/g wood		
		fiber	•	Drv
3	MC + Sulfur (S)	Same as 2		Surface
		+ 0.01 mg S/g		application
4	Nitrogen (N)	0.04  mgN/g	$Ca(NO_2)_2$	Solution
5	Nitrogen (N)	0.08  mgN/g	$Ca(NO_2)_2$	Solution
6	Nitrogen (N)	0.04  mgN/g	$(NH_{4})_{2}SO_{4}$	Solution
7	Nitrogen (N)	0.08  mgN/g	$(NH_{4})_{2}SO_{4}$	Solution
, 8	Phosphorus (P)	0.08  mgP/g	CaHPO4	Suspension
9	N + P	(Same as treat	ments $4 + 8$	Daspension
10	N + P	(Same as treat	ments $5 + 8$	
11	N + P	(Same as treat	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$	
12		(Same as treat	monto 7 + 8)	
13	N L D L C	(Same as treat	ments $(+8+)$	$0.01 m c s^{0}/c$
14	M T D T G	(Same as treat	$monto 6 \pm 8 \pm$	0.01  mg  s /g
14	мтгтэ	(same as creat	ments U T O T	oror mg 2 /g)

Table 2. Experimental design of bioassay.

<sup>a</sup>3 replicates/treatment.

 $^{\rm b}{\rm Treatment}$  presently used by the mining company.

Table 3. Maintenance levels for control of physical conditions.

Parameter	Level	
Light intensity <sup>a</sup> Temperature <sup>a</sup> Moisture potential	2100 lux 24 <u>+</u> 2 <sup>°</sup> C -1 bar	

<sup>a</sup>APHA (1975).

described by Anderson (1976) with addition of amendments just prior to inoculation.

Twenty-four hours following inoculation, dehydrogenase activity was measured on one replicate of each treatment to have an initial estimate of the microbial population. Three weeks following inoculation the treatments were sampled for chlorophyll-a and dehydrogenase activity. The treatments were then air dried for 24 hours, rewet to a water potential of -1 bar and incubated in the dark at 26°C for 1 week. Following this time period the bioassay was terminated and samples were taken for dehydrogenase activity, ATP, extractable phosphorus, nitrate-N, nitrite-N and exchangeable ammonium-N.

# Lysimeters

The lysimeter study, based on the results of the bioassay, permitted detailed analysis of the nutrient status and microbial response of the soil. Six teflon lined steel drums (60 cm (d) x 90 cm (h)) with 1 cm drainage pipes in the bottom were used as lysimeters (Figure 6). Each lysimeter was filled as follows. Overburden was placed in the bottom to a depth of 15 cm. B horizon soil was placed over the overburden to a depth of 45 cm and A horizon topsoil was placed to a depth of 15 cm over the B horizon. With the lysimeters constructed in this manner, sampling could be done to correspond with sampling depths used in the field studies.

Lysimeters were maintained in a controlled environment with a light intensity of 2100 lux and with temperatures ranging from 21 to 27<sup>o</sup>C. Plant species chosen to be used in the lysimeter study were those currently being used at the mine (Table 4). Potential germination of this seed mixture was measured in a petri dish containing DDW moistened filter



Figure 6. Cross-sectional view of lysimeter. (Note: PVC pipe is inserted into sampling hole to prevent disruption of soil around hole.)

The following seed mixture was applied at 28.5	lbs per acre	(32 kg/ha):
Species	lbs/acre P.L.S.	kg/ha
Agropyron smithii (western wheatgrass)	6.0	6.7
Agropyron dasystachyum (thickspike wheatgrass)	3.0	3.4
Agropyron trachycaulum (slender wheatgrass)	3.0	3.4
Agropyron sp. (Whitmar wheatgrass)	3.0	3.4
Stipa viridula (green needlegrass)	4.0	4.5
Atriplex canescens (four-wing saltbush)	0.5	0.6
Agropyron trichophorum (pubescent wheatgrass)	1.0	1.1
Bromus inermis (smooth bronegrass)	1.0	1.1
Omobrychis viciaefolia (sanfoin)	3.0	3.4
Boutelova curtipendula (sideoats grama)	2.0	2.2
Orysopsis hymenoides (Indian ricegrass)	2.0	2.2
Tot	al 28.5 lbs/	acre 32 kg/ha

Table 4. Decker Coal Company seed mixture.

papers. The germination ratio averaged 0.50 which compares well with expected germination ratios for native seeds (J. Wagonet, personal communication). Rainfall records from the mining company averaged over the three previous growing seasons were used to establish a watering schedule (Figure 7). In this way, snowmelt and storm events could be simulated tocorrespond with field conditions. Each lysimeter was wrapped in fiberglass insulation to minimize temperature changes at the sides of the lysimeter.

The amendments and level of treatment chosen for use in the lysimeter study were those evaluated to be the "most promising" from the bioassay study (Table 5). In addition, one lysimeter was set up as a control with no treatment, and a second lysimeter was treated according to revegetation techniques employed at the mine.



Figure 7. Watering schedule during lysimeter experiments.

0

.

Table 5. Lysimeter treatments.

lysimeter	1	2	3	4	5
treatment					
no treatment	*				
manure $\frac{9 \text{ mt}}{\text{ha}}$			*		
0.04 $\frac{\text{mgN}}{\text{g}}$ Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ; ( $\frac{81 \text{ kg N}}{\text{ha}}$ )		*		*	
0.04 $\frac{\text{mgN}}{\text{g}}$ (NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>					*
0.08 $\frac{\text{mgP}}{\text{g}}$ CaHPO <sub>4</sub> ; ( $\frac{162 \text{ kg P}}{\text{ha}}$ )		*		*	*
0.01 $\frac{mgS}{g}$ S <sup>o</sup> ; ( $\frac{33 \ kg}{ha}$ )				*	*
wood fiber $\frac{2.24 \text{ mt}}{\text{ha}}$		*	*	*	*
seed mix $\frac{32 \text{ kg}}{\text{ha}}$	*	*	*	*	*

The lysimeters were maintained for 13 weeks with samples taken in triplicate at four different times. Sampling of the lysimeters was done with a 2.5 cm diameter soil auger to the following three depth increments: 0-1 cm, 1-15 cm and 15-60 cm. Immediately after sampling, the holes left in the soil were filled with stoppered, 1" PVC pipe to prevent disruption of the soil bordering the hole.

Parameters analyzed in the lysimeter study were, in general, the same parameters as those reported for the field study (Table 1). ATP analysis was performed in lieu of measuring respiration. Ammonium acetate-acetic acid extractable sulfate was measured using the technique described by Bardsley and Lancaster (1965).

# Statistics

Statistical analyses included a factorial design analysis of variance on a Burroughs 6700 computer with a STATPAC (Hurst 1972) program (STATPAC/FCTCVR). The program calculates the mean square values of the data for the different combinations of variables and then the various F values for the combinations are estimated using the mean square of any combination as the numerator and the mean square of the overall combination as the denominator. The F values were compared for the probability of erroneously rejecting the null hypothesis at the 1 and 5 percent levels for the different degrees of freedom for the different combinations (Zar 1974).

Following analysis of variance a Duncan's multiple range test was carried out to detect differences between any possible pair of means. All significant differences were determined at P > 0.95.

### RESULTS

# Field Study

Samples brought back from the field were analyzed for microbial activity and specific chemical parameters as previously discussed. The tabulated data for these analyses are listed in Appendix A. The results presented in this section are 1) the effects of sampling season, site and depth on the biological and chemical parameters tested, 2) an overview of the status of these parameters in the undisturbed and revegetated areas, and 3) an attempt to relate chemical parameters with biological activity to provide specific functional relationships.

The experimental design of the field study allowed for comparisons of biological and chemical parameters among sampling sites and among sampling seasons and sampling depths. Therefore, for any particular variable, the effects of three factors (sampling season, site and depth), acting simultaneously were assessed.

# Effects of sampling season, site and depth

A summary of the results from a three factor analysis of variance is tabulated in Table 6. Analysis of the data by season shows a significant difference at the 99 percent level of confidence among sampling seasons for the following parameters: nitrate-N, ammonium-N, total phosphorus, total organic carbon and respiration activity. There was no significant difference among sampling seasons for total nitrogen, nitrite-N, extractable phosphorus or dehydrogenase activity.

	Season	Site	Depth	Season x Síte	Season x Depth	Site x Depth
df	3	3	3	9	9	9
Total N	0.831	12.908**	5.457**	2.125*	1.610	6.006**
NO <sub>2</sub> -N	2.445	1.061	4.583**	0.672	3.124**	1.075
$NO_3 - N$	4.618**	5.460**	4.945**	6.304**	1,921	2.734**
NH4-N	27.637**	1.481	0.561	1.392	0.918	0.540
Ext. P	2.372	3.985**	33.654**	0.844	2.458*	1.395
Total P	6.116**	4.772**	17.837**	3.877**	0.739	1.815
TOC	5.662**	1.308	9.640**	1.114	1.447	4.022**
Dehydrogenase	0.647	8.200**	21.659**	0.333	0.591	7.849**
df	2	3	3	6	6	9
Respiration	18.121**	3.352*	0.880	1.372	2.820*	0.855
Critical valu	es					
df denomi	nator 191					
numerator						
		_5	%*	1%**		
3		2.	65	3.88		
9		1.	93	2.50		
denomi	nator 143					
2		3.	06	4.76		
3		2.	67	3.92		
<b>J</b>			* *			

Table 6. Summary of analysis of variance from field data.

\*,\*\* Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

Analysis of the data by sites shows a significant difference at the 99 percent level of confidence among sampling sites for total nitrogen, nitrate-N, extractable phosphorus, total phosphorus and dehydrogenase activity and a significant difference at the 95 percent level of significance for respiration activity. There was no significant difference among sampling sites for nitrite-N, ammonium-N or total organic carbon. Analysis of the data by depth showed a significant difference at the 99 percent level of confidence among sampling depths for total nitrogen, nitrite-N, nitrate-N, extractable phosphorus, total phosphorus, total organic carbon, and dehydrogenase activity. There was no significant difference among sampling depths for ammonium-N or respiration activity.

The data were further analyzed to check for interactions between factors. The null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) that variable differences among seasons are independent of differences between sites was rejected at the 99 percent level of significance for the following parameters: nitrate-N, and total phosphorus, and at the 95 percent level of significance for total nitrogen. The  $H_0$ : variable differences among seasons are independent of differences between depths was rejected at the 99 percent significance level for nitrite-N and at the 95 percent level of significance for extractable phosphorus and respiration activity. In like manner, the  $H_0$ : variable differences among sites are independent of differences between depths was rejected at the 99 percent solution itrogen, nitrate-N, total organic carbon and dehydrogenase activity.

However, rejection of equality among means using analysis of variance does not imply that all means are different from one another. To examine the differences between all possible pairs of means a Duncan's multiple range test was employed. Also, due to interactions between factors, as previously discussed, the factors were separated to eliminate these interactions from the statistical analysis.

#### Biological and chemical parameters

Total nitrogen. A Duncan's multiple range analysis of the total nitrogen data by sampling depth ranks the sampling sites from lowest

concentration of total nitrogen at the top of the listing to the greatest concentration of total nitrogen at the bottom of the listing (Table 7a). Any group of sampling sites which are not significantly different from each other are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

At the sampling depth of 1 cm, only the Sp 75 site approached the total nitrogen concentration of the undisturbed site. At the 15 cm depth, all revegetated sites have significantly lower total nitrogen concentrations than the undisturbed site. At the two lower sampling depths the Sp 75 site has the greatest concentration of total nitrogen.

Only the undisturbed site exhibited a concentration gradient of total nitrogen from surface to lower depths (Table 7b). All other sites exhibited uniform concentrations of total nitrogen with depth.

<u>Nitrite-N</u>. To determine differences among depths for nitrite-N concentrations, a Duncan's multiple range test was performed on each sampling season individually (Table 8). Only the 1 cm sample of the fall sampling period differed significantly from the other sampling depths of the same period. There was no significant difference in nitrite-N concentration among sampling depths for the other sampling periods.

<u>Nitrate-N</u>. Due to interactions between season and site, and site and depth, differences in nitrate concentrations for the three factors were analyzed separately (Table 9a). For all three revegetated sites, the 90 cm sample contained the highest concentration of nitrate-N. Only the undisturbed site exhibited a decrease in nitrate-N concentration from the surface to lower depths.

Examination was made for differences in nitrate-N concentrations among sampling seasons for each site (Table 9b). Sampling season had no

Table 7. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of total nitrogen (mg/g), depth x site, for all seasons (b) and site x depth for all seasons (a).<sup>b</sup>

(a) I em	15 cm	60 cm	90 cm
AVERAGE REVEG SP 76 0.66833 REVEG SP 77 0.78333 REVEG SP 75 0.99167	AVERAGE REVEC SP 76 0.58667 REVEC SP 75 0.60750 REVEC SP 77 0.66333	AVERAGE REVEG SP 77 0.58750 REVEG SP 76 0.61333 UNDISTURBED 0.74417	AVERAGE REVEC SP 76 0.37000 REVEC SP 77 0.44917 INNISTURBED 0.45500
UNDISTURBED 2.0133	UNDISTURBED 1.2683	REVEG SP 75 1.3142	REVEG SP 75 1.3842
3 REVEG SP 76 *	3 REVEG SP 76 *	4 REVEG SP 77 *	3 REVEC SP 76 *
4 REVEG SP 77 *	2 REVEG SP 75 *	3 REVEG SP 76 *	4 REVEG SP 77 *
2 REVEG SP 75 * * * *	4 REVEG SP 77 *	1 UNDISTURBED * * * *	l UNDISTURBED *
I UNDISTURBED * *	1 UNDISTURBED * *	2 REVEC SP 75 *	2 REVEG SP 75 *
(ь) Ир	SP 75	SP 76	SF 77
AVERAGE           DEPTH 90CM         0.45500           DEPTH 60CM         0.74417           DEPTH 15CM         1.2683           DEPTH 01CM         2.0133	AVERAGE DEPTH 15CM 0.60750 DEPTH 01CM 0.99167 DEPTH 60CM 1.3142 DEPTH 90CM 1.3842	AVERAGE DEPTH 90CM 0.37000 DEPTH 15CM 0.58667 DEPTH 60CM 0.61333 DEPTH 01CM 0.66833	AVERAGE DEPTH 90CN 0.44917 DEPTH 60CM 0.58750 DEPTH 15CM 0.66333 DEPTH 01CM 0.78333
4 DEPTH 90CM *	2 DEPTH 15CM *	4 DEPTH 90CM	4 DEPTH 90CM *
3 DEPTH 60CM *	1 DEPTH 01CM *	2 DEPTR 15CM *	3 DEPTH 60CM *
2 DEPTH 15CM * * * *	3 DEPTH 60CM *	3 DEPTH 60CM *	2 DEPTH 15CM *
1 DEPTH 01CM *	4 DEPTH 90CM *	1 DEPTH OICM *	1 DEPTH OICM *

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xII.

effect on nitrate-N concentrations for any site except the Sp 77 site. The Sp 77 site had a significantly higher nitrate-N concentration at the summer sampling season when compared to the other seasons.

Comparing the nitrate-N concentration by site for each season at each depth showed a trend in the relationship between the undisturbed site and the revegetated sites (Table 10). In the upper sampling depths, the undisturbed site generally had a nitrate-N concentration as high or higher than the revegetated sites. At the lower sampling depths the nitrate-N

Table 8. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis  $^{\rm a}$  of nitrite-N (µg/g), depth x season for all sites.  $^{\rm b}$ 

	S	P				S				Fall			1	М
		AVERAGE				AVERAGE				AVERAGE				AVERAGE
DEPTH C	DICM	.47917E-01		DEP'TH	15CM	.42083E+01		DEPTH	15CM	.38750E-0	1	DEPTH	15CM	.45000E-0
DEPTH 1	L SCM	.52083E-01		DEPTH	60CM	.49167E-01		DEPTH	60CM	.39167E0	1	ÐEPTH	90CM	.54167E-0
DEPTH 6	50CM	.53750E-01		DEPTH	01CM	.70833E-01		DEPTH	90CM	.47083E-0	1	DEPTH	01CM	.56250E-0
DEPTH 9	90CM	.68333E-01		DEPTH	90CM	0.14292		DEPTH	01CM	.87500E-0	1	DEPTH	60CM	.60833E-0
1 DEPTH O	01CM	*	2	DEPTH	15CM	*	2	DEPTH	15CM	*	2	DEPTH	15CM	*
		*				*				*				*
2 DEPTH 1	I SCM	*	3	DEPTH	60CM	*	3	DEPTH	60CM	*	4	DEPTH	90CM	*
		*				*				*				*
3 рертн 6	50CM	*	1	DEPTH	01CM	*	4	DEPTH	90CM	*	1	DEPTH	0105	*
		*				*				*				*
4 DEPTH 9	OCM	*	4	DEPTH	90CM	*	1	DEPTH	01CM	*	3	DEPTH	60CM	*
		*				*				¥				*

 $a_{\rm Those}$  groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

Table 9. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of nitrate-N ( $\mu$ g/g), depth x site for all seasons (a) and season by site for all depths (b).<sup>b</sup>

(a)	UD	SP	75	SP	76	SF	· 77
	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE
DEPTH 60CM	0.60667	DEPTH 15CM	0.78250	DEPTH 60CM	0.64750	DEPTH 15CM	1.1558
DEPTH 90CM	0.65917	DEPTH 60CM	1.7783	DEPTH 15CM	0.72833	DEPTH 01CM	1.1567
DEPTH 15CM	1.1125	DEPTH 01CM	2.5708	DEPTH 01CM	1.3592	DEPTH 60CM	3.2383
DEPTH OICN	1.6700	DEPTH 90CM	2.6742	DEPTH 90CM	2.3425	DEPTH 90CM	3,5417
3 DEFTH 60CM	*	2 DEPTH 15CM	*	3 DEPTH 60CM	*	2 DEPTH 15CM	*
	*		*		*		*
4 DEPTH 90CM	*	3 DEPTH 60CM	*	2 DEPTH 15CM	*	1 DEPTH 01CM	*
	*		*		*		*
2 DEPTH LSCM	*	1 DEPTH 01CM	*	1 DEPTH OICM	* *	3 DEPTH 60CM	*
	*		*		* *		*
1 DEPTH 01CM	*	4 DEPTH 90CM	*	4 DEPTH 90CM	*	4 DEPTH 90CM	*
	*		*		*		*.
(b)	UD	SP	75	SP	76	SP	77
	AVERACE		AVERACE		AVERACE		AVEDACE
SPRING	0.87250	SIMMER	1 4050	FALL	0 88417	DINTER	0.98583
FALL	0.87250	FALL	1.6733	WINTER	1 2208	SPRING	1 1767
SUMMER	1.0175	WINTER	1.7575	SUMMER	1 3350	FALL	1 2092
WINTER	1.2858	SPRING	2,9700	SPRING	1.6375	SUMMER	5.7208
1 SPRING	*	2 SUMMER	*	3 FALL	*	4 WINTER	*
	*		*		*		*
3 FALL	*	3 FALL	*	4 WINTER	*	1 SPRING	*
	*		*		*	1 01 ((11))	*
2 SUMMER	*	4 WINTER	*	2 SUMMER	*	3 FALL	*
	*		*		*		*
4 WINTER	*	1 SPRING	*	1 SPRING	*	2 SUMMER	*
	*		*		*		*

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

# Table 10. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis a of nitrate-N ( $\mu$ g/g), site x depth for each season.<sup>b</sup>

	Spring							
		l cm	15	CID	60	çm	90	cm
	REVEG SP 77 UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 75	AVERAGE 1.1400 1.4267 2.2967 4.7400	REVEG SP 75 REVEC SP 76 REVEG SP 77 UNDISTURBED	AVERAGE 0.49667 0.66333 0.72667 0.98333	UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 75	AVERAGE 0.53667 0.98333 1.1833 3.8933	UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 75	AVERAGE 0.54333 1.6567 2.6067 2.7500
4	REVEG SP 77	*	2 REVEC SP 75	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*
l	UNDISTURBED	*	3 REVEG SP 76	* *	3 REVEG SP 76	× *	4 REVEG SP 77	*
3	REVEG SP 76	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*	3 REVEG SP 76	*
2	REVEG SP 75	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*	2 REVEG SP 75	*	2 REVEG SP 75	*
	Summer							
	I	l em	15	cm	60	cm	90	cm
	REVEG SP 75 REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 76 UNDISTURBED	AVERAGE 1.2300 1.2300 1.3000 1.4033	REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 75 UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 77	AVERAGE 0.76333 0.89667 1.1333 2.2467	UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 75 REVEG SP 77	AVERAGE 0.50667 0.58000 1.1767 9.6167	UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 75 REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 77	AVERAGE 1.0267 2.3167 2.6967 9.7900
2	REVEG SP 75	*	3 REVEG SP 76	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*
4	REVEG SP 77	*	2 REVEG SP 75	*	3 REVEC SP 76	*	2 REVEG SP 75	*
3	REVEG SP 76	* * * *	1 UND1STURBED	* *	2 REVEG SP 75	*	3 REVEG SP 76	*
1	UNDISTURBED	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*	4 REVEG SP 77	* *
	Fal.1							
	1	cm	15	cm	60	cm	90	cm
	REVEG SP 75 REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 77 UNDISTURBED	AVERAGE 0.93000 1.1067 1.3267 1.7233	REVEC SP 75 REVEC SP 76 UNDISTURBED REVEC SP 77	AVERAGE 0.54000 0.71000 0.83000 0.90667	UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 75	AVERAGE 0.55000 0.75333 0.96000 1.0200	UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 75	AVERAGE 0.38667 0.96667 1.6433 4.2033
2	REVEG SP 75	*	2 REVEG SP 75	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*
3	REVEC SP 76	* * * *	3 REVEG SP 76	*	3 REVEG SP 76	* *	3 REVEC SP 76	* * *
4	REVEG SP 77	* *	1 UNDISTURBED	* *	4 REVEG SP 77	* *	4 REVEG SP 77	* *
1	UNDISTURBED	* *	4 REVEG SP 77	*	2 REVEG SP 75	* *	2 REVEG SP 75	k k
	Winter	cm	15	CID	60	cm.	90	() TI
	-							
	REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 77 UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 75	AVERAGE 0.73333 0.93000 2.1267 3.3833	REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 75 UNDISTURBED	AVERAGE 0.74333 0.77667 1.1967 1.5033	REVEG SP 76 UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 75 REVEG SP 77	AVERAGE 0.27333 0.83333 1.0233 1.1933	UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 75 REVEG SP 76	AVERAGE 0.68000 1.0767 1.4267 3.1000
3	REVEG SP 76	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*	3 REVEG SP 76	*	1 UNDISTURBED	* *
4	REVEG SP 77	* *	3 REVEG SP 76	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*
1	UNDISTURBED	*	2 REVEG SP 75	*	2 REVEG SP 75	* * *	2 REVEG SP 75	*
2	REVEG SP 75	*	I UNDISTURBED	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*	3 REVEG SP 76	*

<sup>d</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list. <sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

concentration was consistently lower in the undisturbed site than in the revegetated sites.

<u>Ammonium-N</u>. Determination of which of the seasons affected the ammonium-N concentration the greatest was achieved using the Duncan's multiple range test (Table 11). The ammonium-N concentration in the summer samples was significantly higher than the ammonium-N concentration in the winter samples. There was no significant difference in the ammonium-N concentration between the other seasons.

Extractable phosphorus. Results from the analysis of variance test indicated that there was a statistically significant difference at the 99 percent confidence level in extractable phosphorus between sites. The Duncan's multiple range test however, was unable to detect differences between sites (Table 12a). This reflects the fact that the analysis of variance is a more powerful test than is the multiple range test and Type II errors are more likely to occur in multiple range testing than in performing an analysis of variance (Zar 1974).

Differences in extractable phosphorus among depths were analyzed by season using the Duncan's multiple range test (Table 12b). The extractable phosphorus concentration in the 1 cm sampling depth was significantly different from the concentration in all other depths in every sampling season.

<u>Total phosphorus</u>. Determination of differences among depths for total phosphorus concentration was achieved using the Duncan's multiple range test (Table 13a). Since no interactions affected differences in total phosphorus concentration with depth, all seasons and sites were lumped together for the multiple range test. Only the 1 cm depth and the

Table 11.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis <sup>a</sup> of ammonium-N
	$(\mu g/g)$ by seasons, for all sites and depths. <sup>b</sup>

	AVERAGE
WINTER	1.9646
FALL	7.7319
SPRING	12.599
SUMMER	21.163
4 WINTER	*
	*
3 FALL	* *
	* *
1 SPRING	* *
	* *
2 SUMMER	*

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 19.

Table 12. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of extractable-P  $(\mu g/g)$  by sites, for all seasons and depths (a), and depth x season, for all sites (b).<sup>b</sup>

*																
(a)		AVERAGE														
	REVEG	SP 76	3.9794													
	REVEG	SP 75	6.0221													
	REVEG	SP 77	6.1775													
	UNDIS	TURBED	8.2919													
3	REVEG	SP 76	*													
			*													
2	REVEG	SP 75	*													
			*													
4	REVEG	SP 77	*													
			*													
1	UNDIS	IURBED	*													
(b)			SP				S			F	a11				w	
			AVERAGE				AVERAGE			•	AVERAGE					AVERAGE
	DEPTH	90CM	2.6083		DEPTH	90CM	2.4733		DEPTH	90CM	1.8842		DEPTH	60CM	3	.6500
	DEPTH	60CM	2,7583		DEPTH	15CM	4.0692		DEPTH	60CM	2.3842		DEPTH	90CM	3	.8083
	DEPTH	15CM	4.5417		DEPTH	60CM	4,2225		DEPTH	15CM	4.8958		DEPTH	15CM	5	.8917
	DEPTH	01 CM	21.308		DEPTH	01CM	9.3358		DEPTH	01CM	10.860		DEPTH	OICM	1	3.192
4	DEPTH	90CM	*	4	DEPTH	90CM	*	4	DEPTH	90CM	*	3	DEPTH	60CM		*
			*			,	×	,			×					*
3	DEPTH	60CM	*	2	DEPTH	15CM	*	3	DEPTH	60CM	*	4	DEPTH	90CM		*
			*				*				*					*
2	DEPTH	15CM	*	3	DEPTH	60CM	*	2	DEPTH	15CM	*	2	DEPTH	15CM		*
			*				*				*					*
l	DEPTH	01CM	*	1	DEPTH	01CM	*	1	DEPTH	01CM	*	1	DEPTH	01CM	*	
			*				*				*				*	

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page x11.

Table 13. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of total phosphorus (mg/g) by depth, for all seasons and sites (a); season x site, for all depths (b); site x season, for all depths (c), and by sites for all seasons and depths (d).<sup>b</sup>

(a)									
DEPTH 15CM DEPTH 60CM DEPTH 90CM DEPTH 01CM	AVERAGE 0.47956 0.50923 0.55015 0.56548								
2 DEPTH 15CM	*								
3 DEPTH 60CM	* *								
4 DEPTH 90CM	* *								
1 DEPTH OICM	*								
(b) UD		SP 7	75	SP	76	SP 77			
SPRING SUMMER WINTER FALL	AVERAGE 0.48042 0.49600 0.50725 0.58317	FALL WINTER SUMMER SPRING	AVERAGE 0.53717 0.54375 0.56800 0.57567	WINTER SPRING FALL SUMMER	AVERAGE 0.47658 0.48842 0.50817 0.57692	WINTER SPRING SUMMER FALL	AVERAGE 0.45883 0.53325 0.53942 0.54467		
1 SPRING	*	3 FALL	*	4 WINTER	*	4 WINTER	* *		
2 SUMMER	*	4 WINTER	*	1 SPRING	*	1 SPRING	*		
4 WINTER	*	2 SUMMER	*	3 FALL	*	2 SUMMER	*		
3 FALL	*	1 SPRING	* *	2 SUMMER	*	3 FALL	*		
(e)									
SP UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 75	AVERAGE 0.48042 0.48842 0.53325 0.57567	S UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 75 REVEG SP 76	AVERAGE 0.49600 0.53942 0.56800 0.57692	Fal REVEG SP 76 REVEG SP 75 REVEG SP 77 UNDISTURBED	1 AVERAGE 0.50817 0.53717 0.54467 0.58317	W REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 76 UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 75	AVERAGE 0.45883 0.47658 0.50725 0.54375		
1 UNDISTURBED	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*	3 REVEG SP 76	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*		
3 REVEG SP 76	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*	2 REVEG SP 75	* *	3 REVEG SP 76	* *		
4 REVEG SP 77	* *	2 REVEG SP 75	*	4 REVEG SP 77	* *	1 UNDISTURBED	* *		
2 REVEG SP 75	*	3 REVEG SP 76	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*	2 REVEG SP 75	*		
(d)									
REVEG SP 76 UNDISTURBED REVEG SP 77 REVEG SP 75	AVERAGE 0.51252 0.51671 0.51904 0.55615								
3 REVEG SP 76	*								
1 UNDISTURBED	*								
4 REVEG SP 77	*								
2 REVEC SP 75	*					۶			

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

15 cm depth samples differed significantly. These depths represented the greatest and lowest total phosphorus concentrations respectively.

Analysis of the total phosphorus concentrations by sampling season for each site showed season had little effect on the total phosphorus concentration (Table 13b).

Comparisons of total phosphorus concentrations among sites for each season showed no clear pattern of any site having a consistently higher or lower total phosphorus concentration than any other site (Table 13c). When total phosphorus concentrations were compared among sites, lumping all seasons and depths, no differences were found (Table 13d).

<u>Total organic carbon</u>. Comparisons of total organic carbon among seasons for all sampling sites and depths was accomplished using a Duncan's multiple range test (Table 14a). However, as with the multiple comparisons of extractable phosphorus, the test was not powerful enough to detect differences among the means. Only the undisturbed site exhibited a differentiation in organic carbon concentrations between upper and lower depths (Table 14b). For all other sites, there was no difference in organic carbon concentration between depths.

Profile distributions of C and N for the four sites sampled, averaged over all seasons, are shown in Figure 8. As previously stated, only the undisturbed site exhibited a decrease in total organic carbon concentration from surface to 90 cm, for either nutrient. The three revegetated sites showed no change in concentration with depth. The C:N ratios, ranging from 5 to 15, are lowest in the undisturbed site and highest in the recently revegetated Sp 77 site.

<u>Respiration</u>. Respiration measurements were made on samples from the spring, summer, and fall sampling periods only. Determination of


Figure 8. Profile distributions for C and N.

differences in respiration activity between sampling seasons at each sampling depth was performed using the Duncan's multiple range test (Table 15a). Only at the 60 cm depth was there any difference in respiration between sampling seasons.

Differences in respiration activity among sites were also tested for using the Duncan's multiple range test. However, no differences were detected by this test in spite of the fact that the analysis of variance indicated a difference among means at the 95 percent level of confidence (Table 15b).

# Table 14. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of total organic carbon (mg/g) by season, for all sites and depths (a) and depth x site, for all seasons (b).<sup>b</sup>

(a)							
FALL SUMMER SPRING WINTER	AVERAGE 5.7906 6.6362 6.7288 8.2865						
3 FALL	*						
2 SUMMER	*						
1 SPRING	*						
4 WINTER	*						
(b) "			80.75	C 10	76	50	77
0	AVERACE		AVERAGE	51	AVERACE	51	AVERAGE
DEPTH 90CM	2,9500	DEPTH 15CM	5.3892	DEPTH 90CM	4.9042	DEPTH 90CM	5.0350
DEPTH 60CM	5.8042	DEPTH 60CM	6.9442	DEPTH 15CM	5.6208	DEPTH 60CM	6.8317
DEPTH OICM	9.2292	DEPTH 01CM	8,1633	DEPTH 60CM	6.8183	DEPTH 15CM	7.2142
DEPTH 15CM	9.6450	DEPTH 90CM	8.2675	DEPTH OICM	7.2400	DEPTH 01CM	9.7117
4 DEPTH 90CM	*	2 DEPTH 15CM	*	4 DEPTH 90CM	*	4 DEPTH 90CM	*
	*		*		*		*
3 DEPTH 60CM	* *	З ДЕРТН 60СМ	*	2 DEPTH 15CM	*	3 DEPTH 60CM	*
	* *		*		*		*
1 DEPTH OICM	*	1 DEPTH 01CM	*	3 DEPTH 60CM	*	2 DEPTH 15CM	*
	*		*		*		*
2 DEPTH 15CM	*	4 DEPTH 90CM	*	1 DEPTH 01CM	*	1 DEPTH 01CM	*
	*		*		*		*

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list. <sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

# Table 15. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of respiration $(\mu g \ C \ x \ 10^{-4})$ , 3 seasons x depth, for all sites (a) and by sites for 3 seasons and all depths (b).

a)														
1 cm	AVERAGE			15 cm	AVERAGE			60 cm	۸١	/ERAGE			90 cm	AVERAG
FALL	4.0942		SUMMER		5.2417		SUMMER		3.8	3525		SUMMER		3.9833
SPRING	9.9708		FALL		5.4467		FALL		5.8	3800		FALL		5.4300
SUMMER	11.093		SPR ING		11.176		SPRING		11.	206		SPRING		10.517
FALL	*	2	SUMMER		*	2	SUMMER			*	2	SUMMER		*
	*				*					*				*
SPRING	*	3	FALL		*	3	FALL		*	*	3	FALL		*
	*				*				*	*				*
SUMMER	*	1	SPRING		*	1	SPRING		×		1	SPRING		*
	*				*				*					*
b)														
	AV ERAGE													
REVEG SP 77	5.2606													
REVEG SP 76	7.5628													
UNDISTURBED	7.7186													
REVEG SP 75	8.7547													
REVEG SP 77	*													
	*													
REVEG SP 76	*													
	*													
UNDISTURBED	*													
	*													
REVEG SP 75	×													
	*													

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

€)

Dehydrogenase activity. Comparisons for differences in dehydrogenase activity among depths was performed using the Duncan's multiple range test (Table 16a). The 1 cm depth samples exhibited significantly higher dehydrogenase activity than any other sampling depth for all sites except the Sp 76 site.

Analyzing for differences in dehydrogenase activity among sites at each depth using Duncan's multiple range test indicated that only the 1 cm sample of the undisturbed site was significantly higher in dehydrogenase activity than any other site at that depth (Table 16b). At all other sampling depths there were no differences among sites.

Figure 9 is a visual representation of differences in dehydrogenase activities among sampling sites and depths. The 1 cm sample of the undisturbed site is notably higher in dehydrogenase activity than any other site at any depth.

<u>Nitrogen fixation</u>. No nitrogen fixation activity was detected in any of the samples taken during any of the sampling seasons. However, an algal crust sample taken from an alternative site at the mine did contain some heterocyst forming blue-green algae species (notably <u>Anabaena</u>). Therefore, the potential for nitrogen fixation activity does exist in some undisturbed areas of the mine.

Percent moisture and pH. There was no difference among sites for either percent moisture or pH when tested with a Duncan's multiple range analysis (Table 17). Differences among seasons and depths were not analyzed for.

Table 16.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of dehydrogenase
	activity ( $\mu$ g formazan/g), depth x site, for all seasons (a)
	and site x denth, for all seasons $(b)$ , <sup>b</sup>

UL UL	i .		SP	75			SP	76			SP	77
	AVERAGE			AVERAGE				AVERAGE				AVERAGE
DEPTH 90CM	0.	DEPTH	60CM	0.		DEPTH	60CM	2.0983		DEPTH 9	90CM	1,4825
DEPTH 60CM	1.1583	DEPTH	90CM	0.		DEPTH	15CM	2.5283		DEPTH (	50CM	1.4892
DEPTH 15CM	9.6842	DEPTH	15CM	4.4725		DEPTH	90CM	3.8508		DEPTH	15CM	3.4908
DEPTH OICM	227.81	DEPTH	OICM	72.438		DEPTU	OICM	9.3942		DEPTH (	DICM	28,050
4 DEPTH 90CM	*	3 DEPTH	60CM	*	3	DEPTH	60CM	*	4	DEPTH 9	90CM	*
	*			*				*				*
3 DEPTH 60CM	*	4 рертн	90CM	*	2	DEPTH	15CM	*	- 3	DEPTH (	60CM	*
	*			*				*				*
2 DEPTH 15CM	*	2 DEPTH	15CM	*	4	DEPTH	90CM	*	- 2	DEPTH	15CM	*
	*			*				*				*
1 DEPTH OICM	*	1 DEPTH	OICM	*	1	DEPTH	OICH	*	1	DEPTH (	OICM	*
	*			*				*				*
(b)												
1 с	in:		15	CTA			60	€:ttt			90	СШ
	AVERAGE			AVERAGE				AVERAGE				AVERAGE
REVEC SP 76	9.3942	REVEG	SP 76	2.5283		REVEG	SP 75	0.		UNDEST	URBED	0.
REVEC SP 77	28.050	REVEG	SP 77	3.4908		UNDIST	URBED	1,1583		REVEG :	SP 75	0.
REVEC SP 75	72.438	REVEG	SP 75	4.4725		REVEG	SP 77	1.4892		REVEG 3	SP 77	1.4825
UNDISTURBED	227.81	UNDIS	TURBED	9.6842		REVEG	SP 76	2.0983		REVEG 1	SP 76	3.8508
3 REVEC SP 76	*	3 REVEG	SP 76	*	2	REVEG	SP 75	*	1	UNDIST	URBED	ŕ
	*			*				*				*
4 REVEG SP 77	*	4 REVEC	SP 77	*	1	UNDIST	URBED	×	2	REVEC 3	SP 75	*
	*			*				*				*
2 REVEC SP 75	*	2 REVEG	SP 75	*	4	REVEG	SP 77	*	- 4	REVEG (	SP 77	*
	*			*				*				*
I UNDISTURBED	*	1 UNDIS	TURBED	*	- 3	REVEG	SP 76	*	- 3	REVEG 3	SP 76	*
	*			*				*				-1-

 $a_{\rm Those}$  groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xli.

# Table 17. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of pH (a) and per-cent moisture (b) by sites for all seasons and depths.

(a)		

()		(b)	
	AVERAGE		AVERAGE
REVEG SP 75	8.2025	REVEG SP 75	8.6375
UNDISTURBED	8.2138	REVEG SP 76	9.0063
REVEG SP 77	8.3806	UNDISTURBED	9.4938
REVEG SP 76	8.5175	REVEG SP 77	9.9063
2 REVEG SP 75	*	2 REVEG SP 75	*
	*		*
1 UNDISTURBED	*	3 REVEG SP 76	*
	*		*
4 REVEG SP 77	*	1 UNDISTURBED	*
	*		*
3 REVEG SP 76	*	4 REVEG SP 77	*
	*		*

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.



Figure 9. Comparison of dehydrogenase activity by site and depth.

# Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters

с.,5 С.,5 In an attempt to explain the large disparity in dehydrogenase activity between the undisturbed site and the revegetated sites a simple linear regression analysis was performed. This analysis relates the functional dependence of dehydrogenase activity on the magnitude of each of the various chemical parameters measured. The calculated coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$  explains the proportion of the total variation in dehydrogenase activity accounted for by the fitted regression (Table 18).

Variation in dehydrogenase activity in the UD 1 cm sample was determined to be dependent, in decreasing order of importance, on the following parameters: N:P > C:N > TN. It has previously been shown that there was no significant difference in either total organic carbon or total phosphorus concentrations among sites. The total nitrogen concentration in the 1 cm depth of the UD site was significantly higher than the concentration found in the revegetated sites. This higher nitrogen concentration, and the subsequent effects on the N:P and C:N ratios, in the undisturbed sites appears to be the major factor influencing microbial activity.

Figure 10 is a summary of the total nitrogen, total phosphorus, total organic carbon concentrations and their ratios for each site by depth. The total nitrogen concentration at UD 01 is twice as high as any other site. This clearly has an effect on the nutrient balance in the soil, subsequently affecting microbial growth and activity.

Although, from Table 13 it appears that the dehydrogenase activity at other sites is dependent upon the total nitrogen concentration (e.g. Sp 76 1 cm), from Figure 10 it can be seen that the total nitrogen concentration at Sp 76 1 cm is the lowest value among all four sites and, as seen in Figure 9, dehydrogenase for Sp 76 1 cm is also the lowest among the sites at this depth.

Site	Depth (cm)	TN	<sup>NO</sup> 3 <sup>-N</sup>	NH4-N	Ext P	ΤP	TOC	рH	%H20	C:N	N:P
UD	1	0.91407	0.00001	0.11056	0.14312	0.76738	0.00158	0.19461	0.02882	0.92566	0.95544
UD	15	0.11273	0.09450	0.07463	0.00335	0.89036	0.82795	0.47418	0.30979	0.91239	0.68506
Sp 75	1	0.42650	0.64114	0.18750	0.32864	0.32153	0.09764	0.86594	0.38767	0.02028	0.31897
Sp 75	15	0.87051	0.51004	0.58077	0.51372	0.03279	0.51142	0.39418	0.09906	0.02675	0.65392
Sp 76	1	0.85935	0.56331	0.25927	0.98647	0.31951	0.19044	0.46421	0.98841	0.52665	0.76868
Sp 76	15	0.80079	0.57531	0.04637	0.21574	0.62068	0.66300	0.00057	0.21452	0.88612	0.97555
Sp 77	1	0.20353	0.68820	0.09940	0.10044	0.07545	0.75148	0.07089	0.04702	0.66659	0.35524
Sp 77	15	0.59033	0.25971	0.60709	0.00134	0.04224	0.11925	0.07435	0.00973	0.80608	0.39908

Table 18. Coefficients of determination (r<sup>2</sup>) relating dehydrogenase activity to various chemical parameters at the l and 15 cm sampling depths.

6 i

i.....

Corr.

62

,



Figure 10. Summary of the total nitrogen (a), total phosphorus (b), and total organic carbon (c) concentrations; and their ratios (d, e) for each site.

### Bioassay

Treatment levels for the bioassay study, as determined from the field study, are reviewed in Table 19. Initial nutrient levels of the soil used in the bioassay are summarized in Table 20. The tabulated data for the analyses performed at various stages throughout the bioassay are listed in Appendix B.

Initial dehydrogenase activity, measured on each treatment, 24 hours following setup ranged from 10.7 to 21.4  $\mu$ g formazan/g soil (Table 21). The Duncan's multiple range test was used to determine differences in the initial dehydrogenase activity among the treatments. Although some differences did exist among treatments it was determined that these differences were not great enough to interfere with later analyses.

#### Growth responses

The results of three week and four week measurements of dehydrogenase activity show substantial variation with time and treatment (Figure 11). Analysis of variance indicates statistically significant differences at the 95 percent level in the three week samples but not all of the four week samples (Table 22). The three week samples were also, in general, higher in dehydrogenase activity than the four week samples. This may indicate that the algae were responsible for a large percentage of the activity. However, the coefficient of determination, assessing the dependence of dehydrogenase activity on chlorophyll-a concentration is only 0.39044 (Figure 12).

Determination of differences in dehydrogenase activity among treatments for the three week samples was accomplished using the Duncan's multiple range test (Table 23). The treatments which included the addition

Treatment Number	Treatment
1	Control, no treatment
2	0.04 mg/g NO <sub>3</sub> -N, 0.08 mg/g P, 1.1 mg/g wood fiber
3	Same as 2 + 0.01 mg/g S
4	0.04 mg/g NO <sub>3</sub> -N
5	$0.08 \text{ mg/g } \text{NO}_3 - \text{N}$
6	0.04 mg/g NH4-N
7	$0.08 \text{ mg/g } \text{NH}_{4} - \text{N}$
8	0.08 mg/g P
9	Same as 4+8
10	Same as 5+8
11	Same as 6+8
12	Same as 7+8
13	Same as 4+8 + 0.01 mg/g S
14	Same as $6 + 8 + 0.01 \text{ mg/g}$ S

Table 19. Summary of treatments.

Č,

6

Table 20. Initial nutrient levels in soil before treatment.<sup>a</sup>

Total N	NO <sub>2</sub> -N	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	NH <sub>4</sub> -N	Total P	Extractable P	TOC	pH <sup>b</sup>
mg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	mg/g	µg/g	mg/g	
0.80	0.23	3.71	8.58	0.58	3.27	4.52	8.47

 $^{\rm a}{\rm All}$  values are the average of three unless indicated.  $^{\rm b}{\rm No}$  replicates.

		10	T10							*
DU	NCANS MULTIPLE	2	<b>T</b> 0.2						*	*
	RANGE TEST	2	102						*	*
		9	т09					*	*	
TREA	TMENT AVERAGE							*	*	
T10	10.710	7	T07				*	*	*	
T02	12.830						*	*	*	
т09	13.420	1	T01			*	*	*	*	
107	14.163					*	*	*	*	
TOI	14,913	3	T03		*	*	*	*	*	
т03	15.453				*	*	*	*	*	
T06	15,960	6	T06	*	×	*	×	*		
T04	16.080			*	*	*	*	*		
T14	16.293	4	T04	*	*	*	*	*		
708	16.750			*	*	*	*	*		
T05	17.540	14	T14	*	*	*	*			
T12	18.043			*	*	*	*			
T11	18.543	8	T08	*	*	*	*			
T13	21.420			*	*	*	*			
		- 5	TO 5	*	*	×				
				*	*	×				
		12	T12	*	*					
				*	*					
		11	T11	*						
				*						

Table 21. Initial dehydrogenase concentrations and results from the Duncan's multiple range analysis.<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 19.

£.,

Table 22. Summary of the results from the analysis of variance of the bioassay data.

Parameter	Three Weeks	Four Weeks
Dehydrogenase activity	2.223*	1.221
Chlorophyl-a ATP	2.277^	2.339*
Nitrate-N		2.982**
Ammonium-N		1.455
Extractable-P		2.962**
рH		2.648**
Critical F values **1%	2.61	

*	5	%		1		9	7
	~	10		-	۰	~	,



100

 $\xi_{\rm c}^{\rm cl}$ 

Figure 11. Summary of dehydrogenase activity measured at different times.



prin.

 $C_{i}$ 

Figure 12. Relationship of dehydrogenase activity to chlorophyll-a content.

 Sampres and c	.0mpar 1301	r among	LI CULMCINDO .	
		6 T06	*	
		0 100	*	
		7 107	×	
			*	
		1 301	*	
DUNCANS MI	177917		*	
PANCE T	rsy.	5 705	*	
KANOL I	10 I		*	
TREATMENT	AVERAGE	4 TO4	*	
106	34.247		*	
107	36.167	10 T10	*	
TOI	42.297		*	
T05	43,380	9 TO9	*	
T04	46.380		*	
TIO	47.330	8 T08	*	
T09	70.247		*	
T08	71.337	11 T11	*	
TIL	75,960		*	
T02	78.297	2 TO2	*	
τ12	81.543		*	
тоз	83,333	12 T12	*	
T14	100.84		*	
T13	113.42	3 TO3	* *	
			* *	
		14 T14	* *	
			* *	
		13 T13	*	
			*	

Table 23. Dehydrogenase activity (µg formazan/g) in the three week samples and comparison among treatments.<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>4</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list. <sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see

of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulfur had the highest levels of dehydrogenase activity.

Chlorophyll-a concentrations also varied among treatments (Figure 13) although differences among those treatments which included both nitrogen and phosphorus additions are not well defined (Table 24).

ATP concentrations in the four week samples exhibited less variation among treatments than did chlorophyll-a concentrations or dehydrogenase activity in the three week samples (Figure 14). Treatments 9 and 14 had significantly higher ATP concentrations but the reason for this is unclear (Table 25).

# Chemical parameters

As would be expected, those treatments which included phosphorus and/or nitrogen additions had significantly higher levels of these





Table 24. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis of chlorophyll-a concentrations ( $\mu g/g$ ) at the three week sample period.

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
1       T01       *         5       T05       *         DUNCANS MULTIPLE RANGE TEST       4       T04       *         TREATMENT       AVERAGE       6       T06       *         T01       0.10067       *       *         T05       0.13300       *       *         T06       0.13367       8       T08       *         T06       0.14500       *       *         T02       0.20100       11       T11       *         T08       0.21267       *       *         T11       0.21467       14       T14       *         T13       0.24867       13       T13       *       *         T03       0.26667       10       T10       *       *         T03       0.26667       10       T10       *       *         T09       0.34400       3       T03       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *         T12       0.29333       *       *       *       *         T12			7	т07						*	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1	т01						* *	
DUNCANS MULTIPLE RANGE TEST       4 T04       *         TREATMENT       AVERAGE       6 T06       *         T07       0.10067       *       *         T01       0.12567       2 T02       *         T05       0.13300       *       *         T06       0.13367       8 T08       *         T02       0.20100       11 T11       *       *         T08       0.21267       *       *         T11       0.21467       14 T14       *       *         T13       0.24867       13 T13       *       *       *         T03       0.26667       10 T10       *       *       *         T03       0.26667       10 T10       *       *       *         T09       0.34400       3 T03       *       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *       *       *       *         T13       *       *       *       *       *       *       *         T12       0.29333       *       *       *       *			5	105						* *	
TREATMENT       AVERAGE       6       TO6       *         T01       0.10067       *       *         T01       0.12567       2       TO2       *         T04       0.13367       8       TO8       *       *         T06       0.14500       *       *       *         T02       0.20100       11       T11       *       *         T08       0.21267       *       *       *       *         T11       0.21467       14       T14       *       *       *         T13       0.24667       13       T13       *       *       *         T03       0.26667       10       T10       *       *       *         T12       0.29333       *       *       *       *       *         T09       0.34400       3       T03       *       *       *       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *         T12       0.29333       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       * </td <td>DUNCANS I RANGE</td> <td>MULTIPLE</td> <td>4</td> <td>т04</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td></td>	DUNCANS I RANGE	MULTIPLE	4	т04						*	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	TREATMENT	AVERAGE	6	T06						* *	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T07	0.10067								*	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T01	0.12567	2	T02					*		
T04       0.13367       8 T08       * *         T06       0.14360       * *         T02       0.20100       11 T11       * *         T08       0.21267       * *         T11       0.21467       14 T14       * *         T13       0.24867       13 T13       * * *         T10       0.26607       10 T10       * * *         T03       0.26667       10 T10       * * *         T09       0.34400       3 T03       * *         12 T12       * *       *       *         *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *	T05	0,13300							*		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T04	0.13367	8	T08				×	*		
T02       0.20100       11       T11       * *         T08       0.21267       * *       *         T11       0.21667       14       T14       * *         T14       0.21933       * * *       *         T13       0.24867       13       T13       * * *         T10       0.26300       * * *       *         T12       0.29333       * * *       *         T03       0.26667       10       T10       * * *         T09       0.34400       3       T03       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *       *         12       709       0.34400       3       T03       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       * <td>T06</td> <td>0.14500</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>*</td> <td>*</td> <td></td> <td></td>	T06	0.14500						*	*		
T08       0.21267       * * *         T11       0.21467       14       T14       * * *         T14       0.21933       * * *       *         T13       0.24867       13       T13       * * *       *         T03       0.26667       10       T10       * * *       *         T03       0.26667       10       T10       * * *       *         T03       0.26667       10       T10       *       *         T09       0.34400       3       T03       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *       *         12       T03       34400       3       T03       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *	T02	0.20100	11	T11				*	*		
T11       0.21467       14       T14       * * *         T14       0.21933       * * *       *         T13       0.24867       13       T13       * * *         T10       0.26300       * * *       *       *         T03       0.26667       10       T10       * * *         T09       0.34400       3       T03       *         12       T12       *       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *         0       0.34400       3       T03       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *         12       T12       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *         *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       *       * <td< td=""><td>T08</td><td>0.21267</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>×</td><td>*</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	T08	0.21267						×	*		
T14       0.21933       * * * *         T13       0.24867       13 T13       * * * *         T10       0.26300       * * * *         T03       0.26667       10 T10       * * *         T12       0.29333       * * *         T09       0.34400       3 T03       * *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *	T11	0.21467	14	T14			*	*	*		
T13       0.24867       13 T13       * * * *         T10       0.26300       * * * *         T03       0.26667       10 T10       * * *         T12       0.29333       * * *         T09       0.34400       3 T03       * *         12 T12       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *         *       *       *	T14	0.21933					*	*	*		
T10 0.26300 * * * * * T03 0.26667 10 T10 * * * T12 0.29333 * * T09 0.34400 3 T03 * * 12 T12 * * * * 9 T09 * *	T13	0.24867	13	T13		*	*	*	*		
T03 0.26667 10 T10 * * * * T12 0.29333 * * * T09 0.34400 3 T03 * * 12 T12 * * 12 T12 * * * * 9 T09 * *	T10	0.26300				*	*	*	*		
T12 0.29333 * * * T09 0.34400 3 T03 * * * * 12 T12 * * * * 9 T09 * *	T03	0.26667	10	T10		*	*	*			
T09 0.34400 3 T03 * * * * 12 T12 * * * * 9 T09 * *	T12	0.29333				*	*	*			
* * 12 T12 * * * * 9 T09 * *	т09	0.34400	3	T03		×	*				
12 T12 * * * * 9 T09 * *						*	*				
* * 9 T09 * *			12	T12	*	*					
9 TO9 * *					*	*					
*			9	T09	*						
					*						

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 19.

1



Figure 14. ATP concentration at four week analysis.

C

5

1.

1

13

Table 25.	Summary of Duncan	's	multiple :	range a	analysis	of	ATP	concentra-
	tions $(\mu g/g)$ at t	he	four week	analy	sis perio	od.		

		5	T05							*	
										*	
		4	T04						×	*	
									*	*	
DUNCANS N	ULTIPLE	7	T07					*	*	*	
RANGE	TEST							*	*	*	
		11	T11					*	*	*	
								*	*	*	
TREATMENT	AVERAGE	6	T06					*	*	*	
т05	0.14500							*	*	*	
T04	0.14833	12	T12				*	*	*	*	
T07	0.15267						*	*	*	*	
T11	0.15533	10	T10				*	*	*	*	
106	0.15567						*	*	*	*	
T12	0.16533	1	TO 1				*	*	*		
T10	0.16600						*	*	*		
101	0.17133	13	T13				*	*			
T13	0.17567						*	*			
103	0.18567	- 3	т03			*	*				
T02	0.18667					*	*				
T08	0.20967	2	T02			×	*				
T09	0.23567					*	*				
T14	0.27500	8	T08			*					
						*					
		9	T09		×						
					*						
		14	T14	*							
				*							

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>h</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 19.

nutrients at the four week sampling period in proportion to the level of nutrient added (Table 26). The effect of nutrient addition on pH is readily apparent from the results of the Duncan's multiple range test (Table 26). Those treatments which included the addition of either ammonium-N or elemental sulfur had significantly lower pH values at the four week sample period than those treatments which did not include readily oxidizable material.

Coefficients of determination for the microbial activity measurements are summarized in Table 27. The microbial activity showed little dependence on the chemical parameters measured.

Figure 15 is a summary of the relationship between ATP concentrations and the inorganic nitrogen to extractable phosphorus ratio (N:P). It appears that those treatments with N:P ratios greater than 3 are phosphorus limited but the data are insufficient to make conclusive statements.

#### Summary of bioassay results

In order to determine which treatments resulted in the optimum balance between microbial activity and nutrient status, each treatment was ranked according to the results of the Duncan's multiple range analyses (Table 28). Based on the following criteria: high microbial activity, increased nutrient availability and decreased pH; two treatments were selected to be used in the lysimeter study. These two treatments, numbers 13 nd 14, utilized the same level of nutrients currently being used in the mine with the addition of elemental sulfur.

### Lysimeters

In 1978 the mining company began to segregate A horizon soils from other "topsoils" during the mining process. These A horizon soils, with

							******					
(a) mitrate-N (sg/g)	1 101						*		1 T01			*
	8 708						*	(e) pH	3 103			*
	11 T11						* *		13 TI3		k	*
	14 TI4					*	* *	DUNCANS MULTIPLE RANGE TEST	9 709		*	*
	9 104				*	* *	* *	TREATMENT AVERAGE	2 102		*	*
					*	*	*	T06 2.7700 T05 7.8733	2 102		*	*
DUNCANS MULTIPLE RANGE TEST	3 103				*	*		T07 2.9333	10 110		* *	
TREATMENT AVERAGE	13 713				*	* *		T04 2.9433 T01 3.4233	11 111	k k	: * : *	
T01 2.3833 T08 3.0000	2 TO2				* *			T03 5.5400 T13 5.7333	14 T14	* *	r r	
T11 3,9667	12 T12			* *				T09 5.8033 T02 5.8600	8 TO8	* *		
T09 5.6000	6 TO6		*					T10 6.1500 T11 6.3667	12 T12	*		
T03 9.2333 T13 9.4833	4 TO4		*					T14 6.6433		*		
T02 10.883 T12 16.700	10 T10	د	*					T12 7,0900				
T06 23,467 T04 25,533	7 TO7	*	k .									
T10 31.667	5 TOS	*										
T05 70.400		*										
(b) extractable-P (µg/g	) 12 T12						*					
	13 T13					* *	*					
	<b>1</b> 4 Ti4					*						
	7 TO7				*	*						
DUNCANS MULTIPLE	5 TO5			*	*	*						
TREATMENT AVERAGE	6 TO6			*	*							
T12 7.8400	10 T10		*	*								
T14 7.9267	1. TO 1.		*									
T07 7.9467 T05 8.0333	4 104	1	k *									
T06 8.1100 T10 8.2367	8 108	3	* * * *									
T04 8.2667	11 T11	1	* *									
T11 8.2667	3 TO3	* *	* *									
T09 8.3567	9 TO9	* :	*									
T01 8.3733 T02 8.3733	1 TO1	*	•									
	2 102	*										
	6 TO6	*					*					
	5 TO5						*					
	7 107						*					
	,						*					
	4 104						*					
•				•		_			<u> </u>			

Table 26. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of data from four week samples.<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 19.

S.

		No			
	$NO_3 - N + NH_4 - N$	Ext. P	ЪЧ	Four Week Dehydrogenase Activity	Chlorophyl-A
ATP	0.29158	0.30733	0.00153	0.15652	
Four Week Dehydrogenase Activity	0.17881	0.50637	0.01213		
Three Week Dehydrogenase Activity					0.39044

Table 27. Coefficients of determination  $(r^2)$  relating microbial population parameters to chemical parameters.



Figure 15. N:P ratio in relation to the ratio between ATP concentrations in the treatments and the ATP concentration in the control.

	Treatment Ranking
Autotrophic population Estimate	
Chlorophyll-a Dehydrogenase	$7 = 1 = 5 = 4 = 6 < 2 = 8 = 11 = 14 < 13 = 10 = 3 < 12 \neq 9$ 6 = 7 = 1 = 5 = 4 = 10 < 9 = 8 = 11 = 2 = 12 = 3 < 14 = 13
Heterotrophic population Estimate ATP	5 = 4 < 7 = 11 = 6 = 12 = 10 = 1 = 13 < 3 = 2 < 8 < 9 < 14
Nutrient status Nitrate-N Extractable-P pH	1 = 8 = 11 = 14 = 9 < 3 = 13 = 2 < 12 < 6 = 4 < 10 < 7 < 5 6 = 5 = 7 = 4 < 1 < 3 = 13 = 9 = 2 < 10 < 11 < 14 < 8 = 12 12 < 13 = 14 = 7 < 5 < 6 < 10 < 4 = 8 = 11 = 3 < 9 = 1 = 2

Table 28. Ranking of treatments from lowest to highest for each parameter measured.

higher nutrient levels than lower horizons, are now placed as the upper soil layer on the revegetation sites. In the lysimeter study, this same layering of soils (overburden, B horizon and A horizon) was used. Initial nutrient levels in each of these soil layers and in the manure, together with the tabulated data from the analyses performed throughout the study, are summarized in Appendix C. The treatments evaluated in the lysimeter study are presented in Table 29.

# Effects of time, treatment and depth

A summary of the results from a three factor analysis of variance is tabulated in Table 30. Analysis of the data by sampling dates shows a significant difference among dates at the 99 percent level of confidence for both ATP and nitrite-N concentrations, and at the 95 percent level of

Lysimeter	Treatment
1	Control, no treatment
2	$\frac{81 \text{ kg}}{\text{ha}} \text{ NO}_3 \text{-N},  \frac{162 \text{ kg}}{\text{ha}} \text{ P}$
3	Manure <u>9 mt</u> ha
4	Same as $2 + \frac{33 \text{ kg}}{\text{ha}} \text{ S}$
5	$\frac{81 \text{ kg}}{\text{ha}} \text{ NH}_4\text{-N}, \frac{162 \text{ kg}}{\text{ha}} \text{ P}, \frac{33 \text{ kg}}{\text{ha}} \text{ S}$

Table 29. Review of treatments used in the lysimeters.

Table 30. Summary of analysis of variance from lysimeter data.

1.3

1

	Sampling Period	Treatment	Depth	Period x Treatment	Period x Depth	Treatment x Depth
D F	3	4	2	12	6	8
TN	1.70	1.46	55.75**	0.64	1.79	1.19
NO2-N	5.29**	4.83**	7.09**	1.65	2.21*	3.62**
NO3-N	3.17*	14.01**	13.73**	1.05	1.14	2.82**
NH4-N	2.80*	5.24**	6.01**	2.07*	2.77*	5.10**
Ext P	2.54	8,37**	29.82**	0.35	0.92	3.01*
TP	3.03*	5.54**	23.16**	0.75	0.30	4.86**
TOC	1.11	0.91	4.93**	1.04	0.88	0.77
DE	0.70	5.23**	15.67**	0.92	0.75	3.46**
ATP	12.12**	4.34**	20.64**	1.38	3.25**	2.11*
Denomina	itor DF = 17	79			<u>and</u>	na na seren en e
Critical	Values		1%**	5%*		
DF numer	ator 2	2	4.73	3.05		
		3	3.89	2.65		
	4	ŧ	3.43	2,42		
	$\epsilon$	)	2.90	2.15		
	8	3	2.61	1.99		
	12	2	2.28	1.81		
	24	ŀ	1.90	1,58		

\*,\*\* Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

confidence for nitrate-N, ammonium-N and total phosphorus concentrations. There was no difference among sampling dates in total nitrogen, extractable phosphorus or total organic carbon concentrations, nor in dehydrogenase activity.

Analysis of the data by treatments shows a significant difference at the 99 percent level of confidence, among treatments for nitrite-N, nitrate-N, ammonium-N, extractable phosphorus, and total phosphorus concentrations and also for dehydrogenase activity and ATP concentrations. There was no significant difference among treatments in total nitrogen or total organic carbon concentrations.

Analysis of the data by depth showed a significant difference at the 99 percent level of confidence among sampling depths for all parameters measured.

Analysis of interactions between factors showed that differences among sampling dates and differences among treatments were, in general, dependent upon differences among depths. Differences in ammonium-N concentrations among sampling dates were dependent, not only upon differences among depths but, also upon differences among treatments.

#### Biological and chemical parameters

0

Total nitrogen. No interactions affected differences in total nitrogen concentrations with depth. A Duncan's multiple range analysis of the total nitrogen data by sampling depth indicated that the total nitrogen concentration in the 60 cm sampling depth was significantly less than the concentration found in either the 1 cm or 15 cm sampling depths (Table 31).

<u>Nitrite-N</u>. Results from the analysis of variance test indicated that there was a statistically significant difference at the 99 percent

	AVERAGE
DEPTH 60CM	0.87167
DEPTH O1CM	1.3665
DEPTH 15CM	1.4337
3 DEPTH 600	СМ
1 DEPTH 010	CM *
	*
2 DEPTH 150	CM *
	*

Table 31. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of total nitrogen (mg/g) by depth, for all treatments and sampling dates.<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>D</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

÷.,

confidence level, in nitrite-N concentrations among sampling dates. The Duncan's multiple range test however, was unable to detect these differences (Table 32a).

Differences in nitrite-N concentrations among treatments were dependent upon differences among depths. At the 1 cm sampling depth there was no significant difference among treatments. However, differences among treatments at lower depths were not great (Table 32b). The manure treatment was the only treatment which had significant differences in nitrite-N concentrations among depths (Table 32c).

<u>Nitrate-N</u>. Although results from the analysis of variance test indicated that there was a statistically significant difference in nitrate-N concentrations among sample dates, the Duncan's multiple range test was unable to detect these differences (Table 33a).

Differences in nitrate-N concentration treatments are dependent upon differences among depths. At all depths the manure treatment and the control have the lowest nitrate-N concentrations. At the 60 cm depth there is no difference among treatments (Table 33b).

Table 32. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of nitrite-N  $(\mu g/g)$ , period x depth for all treatments (a), treatment x depth for all periods (b) and depth x treatment for all periods (c).<sup>b</sup>,<sup>c</sup>

(a)			1.5			
	I 9WEEKS 3WEEKS 6WEEKS 1 3WEEKS	cm AVERAGE 0.11000 0.11200 0.12800 0.14333	3WEEKS 1 3WEEKS 9WEEKS 6WEEKS	MVERAGE .73333E-02 .73333E-01 .73333E-01 .73333E-01 0.22667	3WEEKS 9WEEKS 13WEEKS 6WEEKS	n AVERAGE 0.14000 0.17667 0.18333 0.20667
	3 9WEEKS 1 3WEEKS	* *	1 3WEEKS 4 13WEEKS	* *	1 3WEEKS 3 9WEEKS	* * *
	2 6WEEKS 4 13WEEKS	* * *	3 9WEEKS 2 6WEEKS	* * *	4 13WEEKS 2 GWEEKS	* * *
(b)	1	* QTD	15 c	* :m	60 ct	* a
	TREATMENT MINING CO + MINING CO (2 CONTROL (1) MANURE (3) NH4,PO4,S (5	AVERAGE S (4) .83333E-01 ) .97500E-01 0.10667 0.11833 ) 0.21083	TREATMENT MANURE (3) MINING CO + S NH4, PO4,S (5) CONTROL (1) MINING CO (2)	AVERACE .16667E-01 (4) .33333E-01 .70833E-01 0.14667 0.20833	TREATMENT CONTROL (1) NH4,204,S (5) MINING CO (2) MANURE (3) MINING CO + S	AVERACE .91667E-01 0.13083 0.19583 0.22000 (4)0.24500
	4 MINING CO	+ S (4) * *	3 MANURE (3)	*	1 CONTROL (1)	*
	2 MENING CO	(2) *	4 MINING CO +	S (4) * *	5 NH4,P04,S (5)	) * *
	1 CONTROL (1	) * * *	5 NH4, PO4, 5 (5	;) * * * * * *	2 MINING CO (2)	) * * * * *
	5 NH4,PO4,S	* (5) * *	2 MINING CO (2	* * ?) * *	4 MINING CO+S	* (4) * *
(c)	Control (1)	NO3-N+P (2	) Manu	ire (3)	NO <sub>3</sub> -N+P+S (4)	NH <sub>4</sub> -N+P+S (5)
DEPT DEPT DEPT	AVERA TH 60CM .9166 TH 01CM 0.1066 TH 15CM 0.1466	GE AV 7E-01 DEPTH 01CM .9 7 DEPTH 15CM 0.1 7 DEPTH 60CM 0.1	ERAGE 7500E-01 DEPTH 150 4583 DEPTH 010 9583 DEPTH 600	AVERAGE CM .16667E-01 CM 0.11833 CM 0.22000	AVERAGE DEPTH 01CM .83333E-01 DEPTH 15CM .95833E-01 DEPTH 60CM 0.24500	AVERACE DEPTH 15CM .70833E-01 DEPTH 60CM 0.13083 DEPTH 01CM 0.21083
3 DE	ЭТН 60СМ * *	1 DEPTH 01CM	* 2 DEPTH 1 *	.5CM *	1 DEPTH 01CM *	2 DEPTH 15CM * *
1 DE	PTH 01CM *	2 DEPTH 15CM	* 1 DEPTH 0 *	1CM * * * *	2 DEPTH 15CM *	3 DEPTH 60CM *
2 DE	PTH 15CM *	3 DEPTH 60CM	* 3 DEPTH 6 *	OCM * *	3 DEPTH 60CM * *	1 DEPTH 01CM *

 $^{a}$ Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

CFor treatment descriptions see Table 29.

.

Table 33. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> for nitrate-N  $(\mu g/g)$ , by period for all treatments and depths (a), treatment x depth for all periods (b), and depth x treatments for all periods (b).<sup>b</sup>, c

()										N. A. 1977 N. T
(a)	3WEEKS 1 3WEEKS 6WEEKS 9WEEKS	:	AVERAGE 31,863 39,604 40,608 49,152							
	1 3WEEK	S	*							
	2 6WEEK	S	*							
	3 9WEEK	S	* *							
(b)		1 ст	1		15 cm			6	0 cm	
	TREATME MANURE CONTROL MINING NH4, PO4 MINING	NT (3) (1) (0 + S (4) ,S (5) CO (2)	AVERAGE 19.283 22.983 41.175 56.229 89.502	TREA MANU CONT NH4, MINI MINI	ATMENT JRE (3) PROL (1) PO4,S (5) ING CO + S (4) ING CO (2)	AVERAGE 29.171 31.867 56.533 63.263 65.983	TREA MANU CONT NH4, MINI MINI	FMENT RE (3) ROL (1) PO4,S (5) NG CO + S NG CO (2)	AVERAGE 20.042 20.283 23.913 (4) 31.383 32.992	
	3 MANUR	E (3)	* *	3 M/	NURE (3)	*	3 MA	NURE (3)	*	
	I CONTR	OL (1)	*	1 00	INTROL (1)	*	1 CO	NTROL (1)	*	
	4 MININ	G CO + S (	(4) * *	5 NH	14,P04,S (5)	*	5 NH	4,PO4,S (	5) *	
	5 NH4,P	04,5 (5)	* * * *	4 M)	NING CO+S(4)	*	4 MI	NING CO+	S (4) * *	
	2 MININ	G CO (2)	*	2 MI	NING CO (2)	* *	2 MI	NING CO (	2) *	
(c)	Cont	rol (l)	NO 3-N+P	(2)	Manu	re (3)	NO3-N+P+S	(4)	NH4-N+P+S	(5)
DEPTI DEPTI DEPTI	1 60CM 1 01CM 1 15CM	AVERAGE 20.283 22.983 31.867	DEPTH 60CM DEPTH 15CM DEPTH 01CM	AVERAGE 32.992 66.712 89.502	DEPTH O1CM DEPTH 60CM DEPTH 15CM	AVERACE 19.283 20.042 29.171	DEPTH 60CM DEPTH 01CM DEPTH 15CM	AVERAGE 31.383 41.175 62.533	DEPTH 60CM DEPTH 01CM DEPTH 15CM	AVERAGE 23.913 56.229 56.533
3 DEI	TH 60CM	*	3 DEPTH 60CM	*	1 DEPTH 01CM	* *	3 DEPTH 60CM	* *	3 DEPTH 60CM	*
1 DEI	TH OICM	*	2 DEPTH 15CM	* * * *	3 DEPTH 60CM	* *	1 DEPTH 01CM	* *	1 DEPTH 01CM	*
2 DEI	TH 15CM	*	1 DEPTH OICM	* *	2 DEPTH 15CM	* *	2 DEPTH 15CM	*	2 DEPTH 15CM	* *

 $^{a}$ Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

Sec.

Tough

<sup>C</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 29.

Examination was made for differences in nitrate-N concentrations among depths for each treatment. Treatment 2 was the only treatment in which the multiple range test indicated a significant difference among depths (Table 33c).

<u>Ammonium-N</u>. Differences in ammonium-N concentrations among sampling dates were dependent upon differences among treatments and among sampling depths. However, the Duncan's multiple range test was unable to detect any difference in ammonium-N concentrations among dates when analyzed by treatments or by depths (Table 34a,b). It does appear however, that the treatment which included the addition of ammonium-N had significantly higher ammonium-N concentration (44.5 mg/g) at the three week sampling date than at the nine week sampling date (3.6 mg/g).

Differences in ammonium-N concentrations among treatments were dependent upon differences among sampling dates and depths. Although the multiple range test did not detect differences among treatments when analyzed by sampling dates (Table 34c) it does appear that the treatment which included the addition of ammonium-N had a higher ammonium-N concentration at the three and six week sampling dates than did the other treatments.

Determination of differences in ammonium-N concentrations among treatments by depth indicates that at the 1 cm sampling depth the treatment which included the addition of ammonium-N had a significantly higher ammonium-N concentration than did the other samples (Table 34d). In addition differences in ammonium-N concentrations among depths were not detected by the multiple range tests when analyzed by sampling date or treatment (Table 34e,f). It does appear however, that the ammonium-N concentration in the 1 cm samples are higher than in the lower depths

Table 34. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of ammonium-N  $(\mu g/g)$ , period x treatment for all depths (a), period by depth for all treatments (b), treatment x period for all depths (c), treatment x depth for all periods (d), depth x period for all treatments (e) and depth x treatment for all periods (f).<sup>b</sup>,<sup>c</sup>

(a) Cont	.rol (1)	NO3-N+P	(2)	Manure	(3)	NO3-N+P+	5 (4)	NH4-N+P+S	(5)
9WEEKS 3WEEKS 6WEEKS 13WEEKS	AVERAGE 2.1667 2.1889 2.6167 2.9167	6WEEKS 9WEEKS I 3WEEKS 3WEEKS	AVERAGE 1.7667 2.1833 2.3389 2.4889	6WEEKS 9WEEKS 13WEEKS 3WEEKS	AVERACE 1.8667 2.0111 2.3389 2.6222	6WEEKS 9WEEKS 13WEEKS 3WEEKS	AVERAGE 1.7444 1.7889 2.5056 7.8056	I 3WEEKS 9WEEKS 6WEEKS 3WEEKS	AVERAGE 2.3667 3.5611 21.028 44.544
3 9WEEKS	*	2 GWEEKS	*	2 6WEEKS	*	2 6WEEKS	*	4 13WEEKS	*
1 3WEEKS	*	3 9WEEKS	*	3 9WEEKS	*	3 9WEEKS	*	3 9WEEKS	*
2 GWEEKS	*	4 TRUFFRS	*	4 ISWEEKS	*	4 TOWFFKS	*	2 AWEEKS	*
C ONSERVO	*	- A SHEERE	*	4 LJRDING	*	9 13612/00	*	Z ONDERN	*
4 13WEEKS	* *	1 3WEEKS	*	1 3WEEKS	*	1 3WEEKS	*	I 3WEEKS	*
(h)	l er	n		15 (	°m		60	em	
	1 3WEEKS 9WEEKS 6WEEKS 3WEEKS	AVERAGE 2.3733 2.4500 13.207 30.810		GWEEKS 3WEEKS 9WEEKS 1 3WEEKS	AVERAGE 2.0067 2.1567 2.1733 2.4800		6WEEKS 9WEEKS 13WEEKS 3WEEKS	AVERAGE 2.2000 2.4033 2.6267 2.8233	
	4 13WEEKS	*		2 6WEEKS	*		2 6WEEKS	*	
	3 OWFERS	*		1 3UTEVS	*		a ourres	*	
	5 766660	*		1 500.683	*		J JWLERD	*	
	2 6WEEKS	*		3 9WEEKS	*		4 13WEEKS	*	
	1 <b>JWEEKS</b>	*		4 13WEEKS	*		1 3 WEEKS	* *	
(c) TREATMEN CONTROL (1 MINING CO MANURE (3) MINING CO NH4, PO4, S	P1 T AVER ) 2.18 (2) 2.48 2.62 + S (4) 7.80 (5) 44.5	AGE TR 189 MINT 189 MINT 122 CONT 156 MANU 144 NH4,1	P2 SATMENT NG CO + S (4 NGC (2) NOL (1) RE (3) PO4,S (5)	AVERAGE ) 1.7222 1.7889 2.6167 7.9278 14.967	TREATMEN MINING CO MANURE (3) CONTROL (1 MINING CO NH4,PO4,S	P3 T AVE: + S (4) 1.77 2.0 ) 2.16 (2) 2.11 (5) 3.56	RAGE T 889 MIN 111 MAN 567 NH4 833 MIN 511 CON	P4 REATMENT ING CO (2) URE (3) ,PO4,S (5) ING CO + S ( TROL (1)	AVERAGE 2.3389 2.3389 2.3667 2.5056 2.9167
1 CONTROL	(1) *	4 MII	NING CO + S	(4) * *	4 MINING CO	0 + S (4)	* 2 M	INING CO (2)	*
2 MINING C	0(2) *	2 NH	NING CO (2)	*	3 MANURE (	3) * *	ч 3 М.	ANURE (3)	*
3 MANURE (	3) *	1 CO1	ATROL (1)	*	1 CONTROL	(1) *	5 N.	H4,PO4,S (5)	*
4 MINING CO	) + S (4) *	3 MAI	WRE (3)	*	2 MINING CO	o (2) * *	€ 4 M	INING CO + S	(4) *
5 NH4,PO4,	s (5) *	5 NH	,PO4,S (5)	* * *	5 NH4,PO4,	s (5) * *	1 0	ONTROL (1)	* *

 $^{a}$  Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

100

<sup>C</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 29.

Table 34. Continued.

(d) 1 -	2m		15 cm	n				60 cm		5
TREATMENT MINING CO (2) CONTROL (1) MANURE (3) MINING CO + S (- NH4, PO4, S (5)	AVERAGE 1,9167 2.0083 2.3583 4) 6.2250 48.542	T MAN MIN NH4 MIN CON	REATMENT JRE (3) ING CO (2) ,PO4,S (5) ING CO + S (4) IROL (1)	AVERAG 1.9167 2.0542 2.0583 2.3125 2.6792	E	TI MIN MANI MIN CON NH4	REATMENT ING CO + JRE (3) ING CO (2 IROL (1) ,PO4,S (5	5 (4) ;) ;)	AVER/ 1.829 2.354 2.629 2.629 2.729 3.025	AGE 2 2 2 2 0
2 MINING CO (2)	*	3 M.	NURE (3)	*		4 M	INING CO	+ 5 (4)	*	
I CONTROL (1)	* * *	2 M	INING CO (2)	* * *		3 M/	ANURE (3)		* *	
3 MANURE (3)	*	5 N	H4,P04,S (5)	*		2 M	INING CO	(2)	*	
4 MINING CO + S	(4) *	4 M	INING CO + S (4	4) * *		1 CC	ONTROL (1	)	*	
5 NH4, PO4, S (5)	*	1 60	ONTROL (1)	*		5 NI	H4,P04, S	(5)	*	
(e) P1		P:	2		P3				P4	
AVERA DEPTH 15CM 2.156 DEPTH 60CN 2.823 DEPTH 01CM 30.810	DEF DEF DEF DEF	TH 15CM TH 60CM TH 01CM	AVERAGE 2.0067 2.2D00 13.207	DEPTH 1 DEPTH 6 DEPTH 0	5СМ ЭСМ 1СМ	AVERAGI 2.1733 2.4033 2.4500	E	DEPTH O DEPTH 1 DEPTH 6	1 CM 5 CM D CM	AVERAGE 2.3733 2.4800 2.6267
2 DEPTH 15CM *	2 [	ертн 15ся	*	2 DEPTH	15CM	*		I DEPTH	OICM	*
3 DEPTH 60CM *	3 С	ЕРТН 60СМ	*	3 DEPTH	60CM	*		2 DEPTH	15CM	* *
1 DEPTH 01CM *	1 [	DEPTH OICM	*	1 DEPTH	01CM	*		3 DEPTH	60CM	*
(f) Control (1)	NO 3-N+P	(2)	Manure	(3)	NO.	-N+P+S	(4)	NH4	-N+P+S	(5)
AVERAGE DEPTH 01CM 2.0083 DEPTH 15CM 2.6792 DEPTH 60CM 2.7292	DEPTH 01CM DEPTH 15CM DEPTH 60CM	AVERAGE 1.9167 2.0375 2.6292	DEPTH 15CM DEPTH 60CM DEPTH 01CM	AVERAGE 1.9167 2.3542 2.3583	DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH	1 60CM 1 15CM 1 01CM	AVERAGE 1.8292 2.3292 6.2250	DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH	15CM 60CM 01CM	AVERAGE 2.0583 3.0250 48.542
I DEPTH OICM *	1 DEPTH 01CM	[ *	2 DEPTH 15CM	*	3 DEF	тн босм	*	2 DEP	гн 15С	M *
2 DEPTH 15CM *	2 DEPTH 15CM	( * +	3 ДЕРТИ 60СМ	*	2 DEF	°TH 15CM	*	3 DEP	гн 60С	м * +
3 DEPTH GOCM *	3 DEPTH 60CM	*	I DEPTH OICM	*	1 DEF	тн оісм	*	1 DEP	TH OIC	M *

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

Se .

1

 $^{\rm C}{\rm For}$  treatment descriptions see Table 29.

at the three week and six week sampling dates. It also appeared that the l cm sampling depth of treatment 5 has a higher ammonium-N concentration than the lower depths of that treatment.

Extractable phosphorus. Differences in extractable phosphorus concentrations among treatments were dependent upon differences among depths (Table 35). At the 1 cm sampling depth, the control treatment had a significantly lower extractable phosphorus concentration than the other treatments while the manure treatment had the highest concentration. At the 15 cm sampling depth, the manure treatment had a significantly higher extractable phosphorus concentration than the other treatments. At the 60 cm sampling depth there was no difference in extractable phosphorus concentrations among sites.

<u>Total phosphorus</u>. Comparisons of total phosphorus concentrations among sampling dates for all treatments and depths were accomplished using the Duncan's multiple range test (Table 36a). The test, however, was not powerful enough to detect differences among the means.

Only in the 1 cm sampling depth were there any differences in total phosphorus concentrations among treatments (Table 36b) at different depths. At this depth, the control and the manure treatment had significantly lower total phosphorus concentrations than the other treatments.

Total organic carbon. Results of the analysis of variance test indicated that there was a statistically significant difference, at the 99 percent level of confidence, in total organic carbon concentrations among depths. The Duncan's multiple range test was unable to detect these differences (Table 37).

Dehydrogenase activity. Differences in dehydrogenase activity among treatments were dependent upon differences among depths (Figure 16a). At

Table 35.	Summary of	Duncan's	multiple	range	analysis	of extractable
	phosphorus	(µg/g),	treatments	x der	oth for al	1 periods. <sup>b,c</sup>

1 cm		15 er	D	60 ei	81
TREATMENT	AVERAGE	TREATMENT	AVERAGE	TREATMENT	AVERACE
CONTROL (1)	4.4650	CONTROL (1)	4.6567	NH4,PO4,S (5)	2.7167
MINING CO (2)	9.6067	MINING CO (2)	4.7408	MINING CO + S $(4)$	2.8867
NH4, PO4, S (5)	10.043	MINING CO + S $(4)$	4.8825	CONTROL (1)	3,3000
MINING CO + S $(4)$	10.390	NH4, PO4, S (5)	5.1558	MINING CO (2)	3.5108
MANURE (3)	12.263	MANURE (3)	13.001	MANURE (3)	4.1183
I CONTROL (1)	*	1 CONTROL (1)	*	5 NH4, PO4, S (5)	*
	*		*	, ,	*
2 MINING CO (2)	* *	2 MINING CO (2)	*	4  MINING CO + S (4)	*
	* *		*		*
5 NH4, PO4, S (5)	*	4  MINING CO + S (4)	*	1 CONTROL (1)	*
	*		*		*
4 MENING CO + S (4)	*	5 NH4, PO4, S (5)	*	2 MINING CO (2)	*
	*		*		*
3 MANURE (3)	×	3 MANURE (3)	*	3 MANURE (3)	*
	*		*		*

 $^{a}$ Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

<sup>C</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 29.

Table 36. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of total phosphorus (mg/g) by periods for all treatments and depths (a) and treatment x depth for all periods (b).<sup>b</sup>,<sup>c</sup>

			und all and a second and an an an and an and a second second second second second second second second second s		
(a)					
	AVERAGE				
1 3WEEKS	0.50531				
3WEEKS	0.51289				
6WEEKS	0.56058				
9WEEKS	0.61904				
4 13 WEEKS	*				
	*				
1 3WEEKS	*				
	*				
2 6WEEKS	*				
	*				
3 9WEEKS	ż				
	*				
(b) 1 cm		15 cr	n	60 cm	
TREATMENT	AVERAGE	TREATMENT	AVERAGE	TREATMENT	AVERAGE
CONTROL (1)	0.46158	CONTROL (1)	0.44392	MINING CO (2)	0.46117
MANURE (3)	0.46583	MINING $CO + S(4)$	0.46767	CONTROL (1)	0.48192
MINING CO (2)	0.75083	MANURE (3)	0.46817	MANURE (3)	0.49717
NH4, PO4, S (5)	0.80792	MINING CO (2)	0.47233	MINING CO + S $(4)$	0.49750
MINING CO + S $(4)$	0,98300	NH4, PO4, S (5)	0.47908	NH4, PO4, S (5)	0,50375
1 CONTROL (1)	*	L CONTROL (1)	*	2 MINING CO (2)	*
	*		*		*
3 MANURE (3)	*	4  MINING CO + S (4)	*	1 CONTROL (1)	*
• /	*		*		*
2 MINING CO (2)	* *	3 MANURE (3)	*	3 MANURE (3)	*
	* *	,	*	,	*
5 NH4, PO4, S (5)	*	2 MINING CO (2)	*	4  MINING CO + S (4)	*
	*	,	*		*
4 MINING CO + S $(4)$	) *	5 NH4, PO4, S (5)	*	5 NH4, PO4, S (5)	*
•	*	,	*	. , . ,	*

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

4

1

Ú

<sup>C</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 29.

85

~



il Bar P Nacional

K.

 $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ 

£

Figure 16. ATP concentrations (a) and dehydrogenase activity (b) for each treatment.

	AVERAGE
DEPTH 60CM	7.3565
DEPTH OICM	9.1567
DEFIN ISCN	10.991
3 DEPTH 60CM	*
1 DEPTH 01CM	*
	*
2 DEPTH 15CM	*
1 DEPTH O1CM 2 DEPTH 15CM	* * * *

Table 37. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of total organic carbon (mg/g) by depth, for all treatments and sampling dates.<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

the 1 cm sampling depth, the manure treatment had significantly higher dehydrogenase activity than did the other treatments. The manure treatment also had the highest activity at the 15 cm sampling depth. There was no difference in dehydrogenase activity among treatments at the 60 cm sampling depth (Table 38).

ATP. At both the l and 15 cm sampling depth, ATP concentrations were highest at the nine week sampling date but, not significantly higher than the concentrations found at the 13 week sampling date (Table 39a). At the 60 cm sampling depth there was no difference in ATP concentrations among sampling dates.

Determination of differences in ATP concentrations among treatments by depth indicated that the manure treatment had the highest concentration in both the 1 and 15 cm sampling depths (Figure 16b). These higher concentrations however, were not significantly different from the other treatments (Table 39b).

<u>Grass yields</u>. By the six week sampling period, all of the grasses which had germinated in the control (1) and the ammonium-N treatment (5) had died. In the control it appeared that adverse moisture conditions, as evidenced by a cracked soil surface, may have been responsible for

Table 38.	Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis <sup>a</sup> of dehydrogenase
	activity (µg formazan/g), treatment x depth for all periods. <sup>b,c</sup>

					an a		
1 cm		15	cm	60 c	60 cm		
TREATMENT CONTROL (1) NH4, PO4, S (5) MINING CO (2) MINING CO + S (4) MANURE (3)	AVERAGE 16.803 18.345 21.942 24.459 64.338	TREATMENT NH4,PO4,S (5) MINING CO (2) CONTROL (1) MINING CO + S (4) MANURE (3)	AVERAGE 23.328 23.589 25.048 25.113 32.428	TREATMENT MINING CO (2) MANURE (3) NH4,P04,S (5) MINING CO + S (4) CONTROL (1)	AVERACE 7.0917 8.9433 10.392 11.438 11.600		
1 CONTROL (1)	*	5 NH4,PO4,S (5)	*	2 MINING CO (2)	*		
5 NH4, PO4, S (5)	*	2 MINING CO (2)	*	3 MANURE (3)	* *		
2 MINING CO (2)	*	1 CONTROL (1)	* *	5 NH4,PO4,S (5)	*		
4 MINING CO + S (4)	* *	4 MINING CO + 5 (4)	* * * *	4 MINING CO + S (4)	*		
3 MANURE (3)	*	3 MANURE (3)	* *	t CONTROL (1)	* *		

<sup>a</sup>Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xil.

<sup>C</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 29.

Table 39. Summary of Duncan's multiple range analysis<sup>a</sup> of ATP ( $\mu$ g/g), period x depth for all treatments (a) and treatment x depth for all periods (b).<sup>b</sup>,<sup>c</sup>

(a) 1 c	In	15 cm		60 cm	
	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	50 C.	AVERAGE
3WEEKS	0.39287	<b>GWEEKS</b>	0.43087	1 3WEEKS	0 33593
6WEEKS	0.46547	WEEKS	0.69033	3WEEKS	0 34200
1 3WEEKS	0.85080	1 3WEEKS	0.76547	OVEEKS	0 35160
9WEEKS	0.97793	9WEEKS	0.98420	9WEEKS	0.41653
1 3WEEKS	*	2 GWEEKS	*	4 13WEEKS	*
	*		*		*
2 6WEEKS	* *	1 3WEEKS	* *	1 3WEEKS	*
	* *		* *		*
4 13WEEKS	* *	4 13WEEKS	*	2 6WEEKS	*
	* *		*		*
3 9WEEKS	*	3 9WEEKS	*	3 9WEEKS	*
	*		*		*
(b)					
1 ci	n	15 cm		60 cm	
TREATMENT	AVERAGE	TREATMENT	AVERAGE	TREATMENT	AVERAGE
MINING CO (2)	0,42758	NH4, PO4, S (5)	0.56917	MANURE (3)	0.32783
NH4,PO4,S (5)	0.53283	CONTROL (1)	0.58033	MINING CO (2)	0.35458
MINING CO + S (	4) 0.65108	MINING CO (2)	0.59958	NH4, PO4, S (5)	0.35692
CONTROL (1)	0.78883	MINING CO $+$ S (4)	0.88817	MINING CO $+$ S (4)	0.36658
MANURE (3)	0.95850	MANURE (3)	0.95133	CONTROL (1)	0.40167
2 MINING CO (2)	*	5 NH4,PO4,S (5)	*	3 MANURE (3)	*
	*		*		*
5 NH4,PO4,S (5)	* *	1 CONTROL (1)	*	2 MINING CO (2)	*
	* *		*		*
4 MINING CO + S (4	4) * *	2 MINING CO (2)	*	5 NH4,PO4,S (5)	*
	* *		*		*
1 CONTROL (1)	· * *	4  MINING CO + 5 (4)	*	4  MINING CO + S (4)	*
	* *		*		*
3 MANURE (3)	*	3 MANURE (3)	*	1 CONTROL (1)	*
	*		*		*

 $^{\rm a}$ Those groups within a comparison which are not significantly different from each other at the 95% level of confidence are connected by a line of stars to the right of the ranking list.

<sup>b</sup>For definition of terms see page xii.

100

<sup>C</sup>For treatment descriptions see Table 29.

the death of the plants. The amount and timing of water applications were closely controlled to simulate snow melt and storm events typical of the growing season in southeastern Montana. This means that over the 13 week study period, a total of only 35 cm of water was added to each lysimeter. The control treatment did not include a wood fiber mulch application which reduces evaporation and therefore, lost most of its moisture to evaporation soon after watering took place.

In treatment 5, incipient aqueous ammonia toxicity may possibly have caused the death. In soils of pH ranging from 7.2 to 8.0, approximately 0.5 to 2.0 percent of the molar concentration of  $NH_4-N$  in the solution is in the nonionic ammonia form. Incipient toxicity levels are those concentration levels at which plant growth inhibition is just noticeable. Those concentrations then, are not lethal to the plants affected but may cause damage which leads to serious complications that result in death.

Seven weeks into the experiment, lysimeters one and five were reseeded. The reseeding of the control did not result in any germination, apparently due to the continued adverse moisture conditions. The reseeding of the ammonium-N treatment resulted in germination and growth without adverse effects. This new growth is probably the result of decreased ammonium-N concentrations due to the process of nitrification.

When the lysimeter experiment was terminated the grass from each treatment was harvested. After air drying the samples, dry weights and the total nitrogen contents were determined (Table 40). Treatment three, the manure treatment, had a yield of more than twice the amount of grass of any other treatment while treatment one, the control, had no yield at all, in spite of two seedings. There appears to be no difference, in total nitrogen concentrations in the grass, among treatments.

#### Table 40. Grass yields.

Treatment	Dry Weight of Grass (g)	%n	
1	0.	_	
2	0.6	4.3	
3	2.0	4.4	
4	0.2	4.6	
5	0.3	3.8	

# Interrelationships between chemical and biological parameters

From the Duncan's multiple range analyses it was found that both dehydrogenase activity and ATP concentrations were highest in the 1 cm sampling depth of the manure treatment. This treatment also gave a higher grass yield than the other treatments. To determine the dependence of the microbial activity on the magnitude of each of the various chemical parameters measured, a simple linear regression analysis was performed. The resulting coefficients of determination are tabulated in Table 41.

Dehydrogenase activity in the manure sample shows a high degree of dependence on total nitrogen concentrations ( $r^2 = 0.93993$ ), total phosphorus concentrations ( $r^2 = 0.80004$ ) and the N:P ratios ( $r^2 = 0.99246$ ). The total phosphorus concentrations in the manure samples were no different from the control and less than the concentrations found in the other treatments.

The ATP concentrations in the manure samples at the 1 cm sampling depth were determined to be dependent upon the extractable phosphorus concentrations ( $r^2 = 0.92862$ ). These concentrations were higher, although not significantly different, than the concentrations found in the other treatments.

Table 41. Coefficients of determination  $(r^2)$  relating dehydrogenase activity (a) and ATP (b) to various chemical parameters at the 1 cm sampling depth for each treatment.

		C/N	Ext P	TP	NO <sub>3</sub> -N	TN	pН	%н <sub>2</sub> 0	TOC	N/P	NH <sub>4</sub> -N
(a)	Dehy	drogenase	activity								
T1 1 T2 T3 T4 T5	сm	0.26066 0.96882 0.40553 0.41370 0.08061	0.00797 0.57565 0.00574 0.54162 0.03155	0.84714 0.11628 0.80004 0.44444 0.58358	0.00060 0.09227 0.13749 0.03206 0.01641	0.11185 0.88401 0.93993 0.36884 0.01035	0.12899 0.00177 0.68738 0.16899 0.00001	0.03078 0.36577 0.08067 0.00965 0.28645	0.00001 0.01879 0.21432 0.89050 0.21099	0.26665 0.69650 0.99246 0.53087 0.20504	0.45879 0.09831 0.79481 0.99966 0.35137
(b)	ATP	concentrat	tions								
T1 1 T2 T3 T4 T5	СШ	0.28936 0.60106 0.31720 0.09493 0.25362	0.02661 0.11865 0.92862 0.05545 0.00176	0.89448 0.14517 0.06415 0.88692 0.37689	0.00882 0.11277 0.02818 0.78205 0.16148	0.19190 0.82502 0.00124 0.96507 0.19739	0.01710 0.20563 0.01185 0.03552 0.00013	0.03796 0.21075 0.54489 0.15251 0.88549	0.05315 0.11169 0.61329 0.19275 0.14197	0.37194 0.59970 0.02067 0.55067 0.02888	0.18261 0.12468 0.06203 0.24063 0.35575
Linear correlation of dehydrogenase activity with ATP concentrations was not significant at the 95th percentile (Table 42). This indicated that dehydrogenase activity and ATP concentrations did not estimate the same microbial communities.

Table 42. Linear relation between different estimates of biomass for lysimeter study.

	y	Number of	Correlation
	Variable	Data Points	Coefficient
dehydrogenase activity	ATP	20	0.406

÷

Critical value for n = 20 in 0.423 at the P > 0.95.

#### DISCUSSION

# Observations in Field Study

# Seasonal variations

Seasonal variation had little influence on stable nutrient pools such as total nitrogen, total phosphorus and total organic carbon. Although there are fluxes in the availability of these nutrients with changes in season, the total pools themselves are unaffected. This does not mean that over long periods of time these pools will not change. In the absence of any outside perturbation a decrease in organic carbon could be expected and, depending on environmental conditions, a decrease in total nitrogen also.

Seasonal variations had the greatest effect on the availability of ammonium-N. Ammonium-N concentrations were highest in the summer and lowest in the winter. The summer high was due, perhaps, to water stress inhibiting the nitrifying population of the soil. The low concentrations found in the winter were probably the result of markedly reduced rates of ammonification in cold soils.

# Variations with sampling depth

\$.

5

Only the undisturbed site showed decreases in carbon and nitrogen concentrations from the surface to lower depths. The undisturbed site receives the majority of its organic matter input through litter fall on the surface and to a lesser extent from root material. The revegetated sites are the products of a drastically disturbed system in which surface soils, rich in nitrogen and carbon, are intermixed with other soil

horizons so there is no longer any differences between depths. Organic matter which may be placed at the lower depths, due to this mixing, will be slow to decompose due to low partial pressures of oxygen.

Differences in total phosphorus concentrations with depth were not dependent upon differences among sites. Since the source of soil phosphorus is the parent mineral rock, large variations in total phosphorus concentrations would not be expected over a localized area. Differences in total phosphorus concentrations with depth were small. The 15 cm depth had a significantly lower concentration than did the 1 cm depth but the difference was less than 0.1 mg/g.

Nitrate-N decreased in concentration from the surface down in the undisturbed site but not in the revegetated sites. In all three revegetated sites, the lowest depth sampled (90 cm) had the highest NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentration. These concentrations however, were not statistically significantly different from the other depths sampled.

Extractable phosphorus concentrations were significantly higher in the surface samples than any other depth. This higher concentration of extractable phosphorus found in the l cm samples probably results from the mineralization of organic matter.

In all sites, dehydrogenase activity was greatest in the 1 cm samples. In the undisturbed, Sp 75 and Sp 77 sites, the dehydrogenase activity decreased sharply in the 15 cm samples and was not significantly different from the lower depths. The Sp 76 site showed no significant difference in dehydrogenase activity among depths.

There was no significant difference in respiration activity among depths in the three sampling periods in which this parameter was measured. Instead of being representative of the system however, these

63

results may be an indication of problems with the test. During sampling, contamination of lower depths with some surface soil may have occurred. Samples returned to the lab were air dried, wet to -5 bars water potential and incubated for 24 hrs before analysis. This may have allowed any contaminating organisms to establish themselves. All sampling depths were incubated under the same partial pressure of oxygen. The samples were placed in sealed sampling chambers and the chambers were evacuated for 1.5 hrs before being flushed with a gas mixture of 22 percent  $0_2$ , balance helium. This evacuation step, intended for the removal of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> from the soil pores, may have had a detrimental affect on the microbial population, many obligate aerobes do not have the capacity to grow or the ability to sporulate at low partial pressures of O2. Whether any of these procedural steps effected the respiration activities in the samples is unsubstantiated. However, because analysis of the data showed there was no difference in activity with depths, and all of these potential problems did exist, it was determined that this parameter did not adequately represent the system and its measurement was eliminated from the fourth sampling period.

# Differences among sites

ŝ, j

There was no difference among sites in either ammonium-N or nitrite-N concentrations. Although there was an increase in ammonium-N concentrations in the summer samples over the winter samples, all sites showed this same trend. This may indicate that the nitrification potential in the revegetated sites is equivalent to the potential found in the natural soil systems in the area.

There was no difference among sites in total phosphorus concentrations. Since the parent mineral material is the major source of soil phosphorus, large variations in total phosphorus concentrations in a localized area would not be expected.

Although the undisturbed site was the only site which exhibited a gradation in total organic carbon concentration with depth, there was no significant difference in organic carbon concentrations among sites. Aridsols, typical of this area, are characterized by low concentrations of organic carbon. None of the sites studied had concentrations higher than 1 percent. In the absence of a rich A horizon in the undisturbed site, and with the addition of a wood fiber mulch on the surface of the revegetated sites, the organic carbon concentration in the revegetated sites is not seriously affected by the mining process.

Total nitrogen concentrations in the undisturbed site were higher than in the revegetated sites in the 1 and 15 cm sampling depths. The Sp 75 site, in the 1 cm sampling depth, was the only revegetated site in which the total nitrogen concentration was not significantly lower than the undisturbed site.

Dehydrogenase activity in the 1 cm depth of the undisturbed site exhibits a high degree of dependence on the total nitrogen concentration as indicated by the linear regression analysis. This dependence of microbial activity in the undisturbed site on total nitrogen is also apparent in the relationship between dehydrogenase activity and the C:N and N:P ratios.

The major source of these higher nitrogen levels, and consequently higher biological activity is plant litter. Although the litter is

sparse, as evidenced by low levels of organic carbon, the contribution to the total nitrogen pool is significant.

The differences in nitrate-N concentrations among sites was largely dependent upon differences among depths and to a lesser extent, on differences among seasons. In general, the concentration of nitrate-N in the lower depths (60 and 90 cm) of the undisturbed site were less than the concentrations found at the same depths in the revegetated sites. In a semi-arid region such as this, leaching is not considered a major factor in the movement of nitrate-N below the root zone. The high nitrate-N concentration in these lower depths was probably due to the mixing of soil horizons during the mining process.

The reclamation practices of the mining company at the times the Sp 75, Sp 76 and Sp 77 sites were revegetated, although including the segregation of "topsoil," did not include the separation of the A horizon from the B horizon. The mining company now separates these two horizons and this practice may improve the nitrogen status and therefore the microbial activity of their revegetated areas.

In the upper depths sampled (1 and 15 cm) there is little difference in nitrate-N concentrations among sites. The 1 cm depth of the Sp 75 site was however, significantly higher at the winter and spring sampling periods. The Sp 75 site at the 1 cm depth is also the only site in which the dehydrogenase activity, although significantly lower than the undisturbed site, is at elevated levels. The microbial activity in this site, however, does not appear to have a strong dependence on total nitrogen concentrations, although there appears to be some dependence on nitrate-N.

There was no nitrogen fixation activity detected in any of the regular samples brought in from the field. However, surface samples from

an undisturbed area, specifically chosen because of the presence of an algal crust, did exhibit nitrogen fixation activity. West and Skujins (1978) have indicated that these crusts are closely correlated with the clay content in arid soils, apparently because clays retain more water and nutrients and bind soil particles together. This heterogeneous spatial distribution of nitrogen fixation activity however, makes it difficult to estimate the importance of this parameter to the system.

There was no significant difference in extractable phosphorus concentrations among sites. Since the availability of phosphorus is inextricably linked to soil pH, the best way to make native phosphorus more available would be to lower the soil pH.

# Microbial Bioassay

It was determined from the field study that the factor which had the greatest effect on microbial activity was total nitrogen, and consequently, C:N and N:P ratios. The microbial bioassay allowed for rapid screening of several nutrient additions at different levels, which otherwise would have been too costly and time consuming to carry out.

Those treatments which involved the addition of nitrogen only were no different or lower than the controls in the three week measurements of chlorophyll-a and dehydrogenase activity, and in the four week measurements of ATP. This indicates that the addition of nitrogen alone is not enough to increase microbial activity in the soil.

Those treatments which involved the addition of phosphorus only had significantly higher microbial activity than did the controls but had, in general lower microbial activity than did those treatments which included both phosphorus and nitrogen additions. The higher activity in the

phosphorus treatments may have been made possible by the presence of nitrogen fixing algae. Some heterocystic blue-green algae were observed on the soil surface. However, since no nitrogen fixation measurements were made its occurrence is purely conjectural.

The three week analyses were basically intended to measure the response of the autotrophic community to the different soil treatments. Chlorophyll-a concentrations were highest in those treatments which included both nitrogen and phosphorus with little difference among these treatments. Dehydrogenase activity was highest in those treatments which included nitrogen, phosphorus and sulfur. Heterotrophic activity as determined by ATP concentrations in the samples was highest in treatments 14 and 9 with little difference among the other treatments.

Those treatments with the highest nitrogen levels did not stimulate microbial activity any more than the lower nitrogen concentrations did. These was no significant difference in microbial response to the two different nitrogen sources.

After four weeks of incubation there was no difference in ammonium-N concentrations among treatments indicating that those samples receiving ammonium-N had adequate nitrifying populations to oxidize the ammonium-N to nitrate-N. The greatest difference in nitrogen source was the affect of the nitrogen source on the soil pH. The oxidation of ammonium-N re-sulted in a decrease in pH to a value significantly less than the pH found in samples receiving nitrate-N.

Only two of the three samples receiving elemental S had significantly lower pH values after four weeks of incubation.

Linear regression analysis, to determine the dependence of microbial responses on chemical parameters did not reveal any strong relationships.

This however, is probably a result of the chemical parameters measured. From the field study it was determined that microbial activity depended more on total nutrient pools rather than available nutrients. However, in the bioassy study, only available nutirents were measured primarily to determine what would be available for plant growth.

Results from the bioassay indicate that the addition of nitrogen and phosphorus at levels currently used by the mine, plus the addition of elemental S for decreasing pH, should provide a suitable environment for important microbial communities to establish themselves. This coupled with the new practice of the mining company of segregating A horizon soils from deeper horizons should reduce the amount of time it takes to alleviate the great disparity in microbial activity between undisturbed and revegetated sites.

# Lysimeter Study

Unlike the field study, in which the replicate samples were composited and then subsampled for analysis, the samples from the lysimeters were not composited but, analyzed separately. The initial reason for compositing the field samples was to eliminate problems associated with horizontal heterogeneity of soil systems. Because the lysimeter samples were not composited, this horizontal heterogeneity resulted in large degrees of variation in the analytical results. This large amount of variation in the data occasionally made it difficult to obtain statistically significant differences at the 5 percent level.

# Nutrients

Total nitrogen, found to be so important in the field study, was not the major nutrient of interest in the lysimeter study. Due to the

 $1\,00$ 

replacement of the A horizon soils to the top 15 cm of the soil column, the indigenous nitrogen is no longer lost to lower depths. This eliminated the problems encountered in the revegetated areas of the mine, of lower nitrogen concentrations in the upper soil column than those found in the undisturbed areas.

Differences in available nitrogen among treatments indicated that the soils have an active nitrifying population. The ammonium-N concentrations in the four treatments not receiving any ammonium-N additions did not change throughout the experiment. The concentration found in the lysimeter receiving an ammonium-N addition decreased from 44.5  $\mu$ g NH<sub>4</sub>-N/g at three weeks to 2.4  $\mu$ g NH<sub>4</sub>-N/g at 13 weeks. This value was comparable to the concentrations found in the other treatments. At no time was the nitrite-N concentration greater than 0.5  $\mu$ g/g in any of the treatments.

The nitrate-N concentrations found in the lysimeter receiving ammonium-N were approximately equal to the concentrations found in the lysimeter receiving nitrate-N fertilizer. This indicated that an active nitrifying population was present in the soil. The control and manure treatment had significantly lower nitrate-N concentrations than did the lysimeters receiving inorganic nitrogen fertilizer.

The addition of inorganic phosphorus fertilizer to the soils increased the total phosphorus concentrations in the surface samples to levels almost twice as high as the total phosphorus concentrations found in the manure treatment and the control. The manure treatment however, had higher levels of extractable phosphorus in both the 1 and 15 cm sampling depths. Meek et al. (1979) have also found that manure applications increase the NaHCO3-extractable phosphorus in calcareous soils

and they report that this increase may persist for many years after applications have stopped.

The lysimeters which received elemental sulfur had lower extractable phosphorus levels than did the manure treatment but, these levels were higher than the treatment not including sulfur and the control. Also, the sulfur additions did not decrease the overall the soil pH. This was probably due to the production of insufficient quantities of  $H_{2}SO_{4}$  to overcome the buffering capacity of the soil.

# Manure

÷.,

The manure treatment resulted in higher grass yields and greater microbial activity in the soil than did any of the other treatments. The higher dehydrogenase activity appeared to be associated with total phosphorus, total nitrogen and N:P ratios: the higher ATP concentrations appeared dependent upon extractable phosphorus concentrations.

Goss and Stewart (1979) have shown that phosphorus from manure sources is more efficient in producing a unit of yield than are equal rates of commercial phosphorus forms. They also suggest that the availability, rate of availability, and mobility of phosphorus are functions of microbial activity.

The benefits associated with manure application are unfortunately, frequently exceeded by the costs involved with transporting and spreading the manure. Based on a hauling cost of \$0.43/km (\$.70/mile) and a spreading cost of \$370/ha (\$150/acre), it would cost about \$494/ha (\$200/acre) to apply manure (Larry Bond, personal communication). This is based on the assumption that the mining company is able to procure adequate manure supplies from within a 25 kilometer (40 mile) radius.

# Revegetation of the Decker Mine

The major factors contributing to high levels of microbial activity in the undisturbed area of the Decker Mine were the total nitrogen concentration and consequently the N:P and C:N ratios. In this semi-arid region, most of the total nitrogen was concentrated in the upper few centimeters of the soil profile. Removal of this horizon greatly reduces soil fertility.

Previous revegetation techniques used at the mine did not conserve this nutrient rich A horizon but mixed it with other less fertile horizons. This left the surface soils of the revegetated areas deplete in nutrients and consequently with limited microbial activity. Segregation and replacement of the A horizon soils to the surface of the revegetated areas eliminated the need for increased use of inorganic nitrogen fertilizers.

Addition of manure to the soil system increased extractable phosphorus concentrations and increased microbial activity. The manure addition had an added advantage of higher grass yields also.

Due to the hazards of pollution from excessive use of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers, and the high cost, in terms of fossil energy, of chemical fertilizers, the simultaneous use of chemical and physical practices which enhance microbial growth should dramatically improve revegetation.

1

# CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# Conclusions

# The field study

1

1. Seasonal variations had minimal effect on the nutrient sinks of total nitrogen, total phosphorus and total organic carbon.

2. Gradation in nutrient concentrations with depth were apparent only in the undisturbed site. In the undisturbed areas the surface contained the highest concentrations of nutrients.

3. In the revegetated sites, due to mixing of different soil horizons, there was no nutrient pool at the surface. Total nitrogen and total carbon levels were significantly lower than those found at the surface of the undisturbed site.

4. High nitrate-N concentrations in the lower sampling depths of the revegetated areas are a potential groundwater pollution problem.

5. There was little difference in total phosphorus concentrations with depth. This was attributed to the fact that the major source of soil phosphorus is the parent mineral rock.

6. Microbial activity was highest in the surface samples and decreased sharply at lower depths.

7. The microbial activity in the surface of the undisturbed site was significantly higher than the activity found in the other sites.

8. The higher microbial activity in the undisturbed site was apparently dependent upon total nitrogen concentrations and C:N and N:P ratios.

9. The failure to detect nitrogen fixation activity in any of the samples was presumably the result of the heterogenous spatial distribution of nitrogen fixing algal crusts.

# The bioassay study

10. The microbial bioassay provided a fast, inexpensive technique for the rapid screening of several nutrient additions at different levels.

11. The addition of nitrogen or phosphorus alone was not enough to improve soil fertility.

#### The lysimeter study

100

12. The replacement of A horizon soils to the top 15 cm of the soil column eliminated the problem of loss of soil nitrogen due to mixing of soil horizons and maintained soil fertility.

13. The surface applications of wood fiber mulch were effective barriers to moisture movement out of the soil.

14. The addition of ammonium-N to the soil resulted in rapid nitrification to nitrate-N with no build up of nitrite-N.

15. The addition of inorganic phosphorus fertilizers resulted in higher total phosphorus concentrations but the addition of manure as a source of phosphorus resulted in higher extractable phosphorus concentrations.

16. The levels of elemental sulfur used in this experiment were not high enough to reduce soil pH and therefore did not affect extractable phosphorus concentrations.

17. The manure treatment resulted in higher grass yields and greater microbial activity in the soil than did any of the other treatments.

18. Because of the availability of adequate manure supplies in close proximity to the mine, manure as a soil amendment is an economically feasible alternative.

#### Overall

1

1

19. The technique developed to measure respiration activity in soils had too many potential problems to be considered a reliable estimate of microbial activity.

# Recommendations for Further Research

1. A field study is needed to substantiate the positive results obtained from the addition of manure to the soil. This study should include the determination of optimum application rates based on biological response, nutrient availability and economic alternatives.

2. Another laboratory study is needed to further evaluate the use of elemental sulfur as a soil amendment. Application rates which will overcome the soil buffer capacity need to be determined and the resulting sulfate concentrations should be examined.

3. More work is needed to determine nitrogen fixation potentials of algal crusts and legumes in the undisturbed and revegetated areas.

4. A continuation of the field research done in this project should include a comparison of the old revegetated sites with the undisturbed sites and with new revegetated sites which have A horizon soils at the top 15 cm.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Aldon, E. F. 1978. Reclamation of coal-mined land in the southwest. Soil and Water Cons. 33(2):75-79.
- Alexander, M. 1961. Introduction to soil microbiology. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 472 p.
- Alexander, M. 1965. Nitrification. In: Bartholomew, W. V., and F. E. Clark, eds. Soil Nitrogen. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 307-343.
- Alexander, M. 1971. Microbial ecology. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. 311 p.
- Alexander, M. 1977. Introduction to soil microbiology. 2nd Ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 467 p.
- Allison, F. E. 1965. Evaluation of incoming and outgoing processes that affect soil nitrogen. In: Bartholomew, W. V., and F. E. Clark, eds. Soil Nitrogen. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 573-606.
- Allison, F. E. 1973. Soil organic matter and its role in crop production. Developments in Soil Science, 3. Elsevier, Amsterdam. 637 p.
- Anderson, M. A. 1976. Microbial bioassay techniques for assessing acid mine spoil amendments for revegetation. Thesis, Utah State University, Logan, Utah. 117 p.
- APHA. 1975. Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water. 14th Edition. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- Atwood, G. 1975. The strip-mining of western coal. Sci. Am. 233(6): 23-29.
- Balph, D. F., coordinator. 1973. Curlew Valley validation site report. US/IBP Desert Biome Res. Memo. 73-1. Utah State University, Logan, Utah. 336 p.
- Barber, D. A., and J. M. Lynch. 1977. Microbial growth in the rhizosphere. Soil Biol. Biochem. 9:305-308.
- Bardsley, C. E., and J. D. Lancaster. 1965. Sulfur. In: C. A. Black, ed. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 1102-1116.

 $\bigcirc$ 

- Bartholomew, W. V. 1965. Mineralization and immobilization of nitrogen in the decomposition of plant and animal residue. In: Bartholomew, W. V., and F. E. Clark, eds. Soil Nitrogen. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 287-308.
- Belser, L. W., and E. L. Schmidt. 1978. Diversity in the ammoniaoxidizing nitrifier population of a soil. Appl. and Environ. Microb. 36(4):584-588.
- Bjugstad, A. J. 1978. Reestablishment of woody plants on mine spoils and management of mine water impoundments: An overview of Forest Service research on the northern high plains. In: R. A. Wright, ed. The Reclamation of Disturbed Arid Lands. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, New Mexico, pp. 3-12.
- Bohn, H. 1976. Estimates of organic carbon in world soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 40:468-469.
- Bremner, J. M. 1965a. Inorganic forms of nitrogen. In: C. A. Black, ed. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 1179-1237.
- Bremner, J. M. 1965b. Organic nitrogen in soils. In: Bartholomew,
  W. V., and F. E. Clark, eds. Soil Nitrogen. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 93-150.
- Bremner, J. M. 1965c. Total nitrogen. In: C. A. Black, ed. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 1149-1178.
- Bremner, J. M. 1967. Nitrogenous compounds. In: McLaren, A. D., and G. H. Peterson, eds. Soil Biochemistry. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, pp. 19-66.
- Brill, W. J. 1977. Biological nitrogen fixation. Scientific American 236:68-81.
- Brown, R. W., and B. P. Van Haveren, eds. 1972. Psychrometry in water relations research. Utah Agric. Exp. Stn., Utah State University, Logan, Utah. 342 p.
- Burford, J. R., and J. M. Bremner. 1975. Relationships between the denitrification capacities of soils and total, water-soluble and readily decomposable soil organic matter. Soil Biol. Biochem. 7:389-394.
- Burns, G. R. 1967. Oxidation of sulphur in soils. The Sulfur Institute Tech. Bull. No. 13.

Burns, R. G. 1978. Soil enzymes. Academic Press, New York. 380 p.

- Campbell, C. A. 1978. Soil organic carbon, nitrogen and fertility. In: Schnitzer, M., and S. U. Khan. Soil Organic Matter. Elsevier Scientific Publ. Co., New York, pp. 173-271.
- Casida, L. E., Jr., D. A. Klein, and D. Santoro. 1964. Soil dehydrogenase activity. Soil Sci. 98:371-376.
- Chan, E. C. S., H. Katznelson, and J. W. Rouatt. 1963. The influence of soil and root extracts on the associative growth of selected soil bacteria. Can. J. Microb. 9:187-197.
- Child, J. J. 1976. New developments in nitrogen fixation research. Bioscience 26(10):614-617.
- Coleman Instruments. 1968. 29-900, operating directions, Coleman model 29 nitrogen analyzer. Coleman Instruments, A Division of the Perkin-Elmer Corp., Maywood, Illinois, 60153. 41 p.
- Colket, M. B., D. W. Naegeli, F. L. Dryer, and D. Glassman. 1974. Flame ionization detection of carbon dioxide and hydrocarbon oxygenates. Environ. Sci. and Tech. 8:43-46.
- Cook, C. W. 1976. Surface-mine rehabilitation in the American west. Environ. Cons. 3(3):179-183.
- Cundele, A. M. 1977. The role of microorganisms in the revegetation of strip-mined land in the western United States. J. Range Man. 30(4):299-305.
- Donahue, R. L., R. W. Miller, and J. C. Shickluna. 1977. Soils: An introduction to soils and plant growth. 4th Ed. Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey. 626 p.
- Doyle, W. S. 1976. Strip mining of coal: Environmental solutions. Noyes Data Corp., New Jersey. 352 p.
- Dunn, I. S., L. R. Anderson, and F. W. Kiefer. 1977. Fundamentals of geotechnical analysis. Laboratory Manual, Utah State University, Logan, Utah.
- EPA. 1971. Algal assay procedure bottle test. National Eutrophication Research Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, Oregon. 82 p.
- EPA. 1973. Biological field and laboratory methods for measuring the quality of surface waters and effluents. National Environmental Research Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio.

- EPA. 1974a. Mine spoil potentials for soil and water quality. Environmental Protection Technology Series 670/2-74-070, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 301 p.
- EPA. 1974b. Environmental protection in surface mining of coal. Environmental Protection Technology Series 670/2-74-093, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 277 p.
- Ettershank, G., N. Z. Elkíns, P. F. Santos, W. G. Whitford, and E. F. Aldon. 1978. The use of termites and other soil fauna to develope soils on strip mine spoils. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station Research Note RM 361.
- Farmer, E. E., R. W. Brown, B. Z. Richardson, and P. E. Packer. 1974. Revegetation research on the Decker Coal Mine in southeastern Montana. USDA Forest Service Research Paper Int-162, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden, Utah, 84401. 13 p.
- Farmer, E. E., and B. Z. Richardson. 1976. Hydrologic and soil properties of coal mine overburden piles in southeastern Montana. NCA/BCR Coal Conference and Expo III Proc., pp. 120-130.
- Fenn, L. B., and D. E. Kessel. 1974. Ammonia volatilization from surface applications of ammonium compounds on calcareous soils: II. Effects of temperature and rate of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N application. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 39:606-610.

\$3

- Fenn, L. B. 1975. Ammonia volatilization from surface applications of ammonium compounds on calcareous soils: II. Effects of mixing low and high loss ammonium compounds. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 39: 366-368.
- Fliermans, C. B., B. B. Bohlool, and E. L. Schmidt. 1974. Autecological study of the chemoautotroph <u>Nitrobacter</u> by immunofluorescence. Appl. Microbiol. 27:124-129.
- Gandhí, A. P., and K. V. Paliwol. 1976. Mineralization and gaseous losses of nitrogen from urea and ammonium sulphate in salt affected soils. Pl. Soil 45:247-255.
- Gasser, J. K. R. 1969. Some processes affecting nitrogen in the soil. In: Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food. Nitrogen and Soil Organic Matter. Her Majesty's Stationary Office, London, pp. 15-29.
- Gilliam, J. W., S. Dasberg, L. J. Lund, and D. D. Focht. 1978. Denitrification in four California soils: Effect of soil profile characteristics. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 42:61-66.

- Glass, G. B. 1972. Midyear review of Wyoming coalfields. Geol. Surv. Wyo. Annu. Rep. 42 p.
- Goss, D. W., and B. A. Stewart. 1979. Efficiency of phosphorus utilization by alfalfa from manure and superphosphate. Soil. Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43(3):523-528.
- Griffith, S. M., and M. Schnitzer. 1975. Analytical characteristics of humic and fulvic acids extracted from tropical volcanic soils. 39:861-867.
- Guttay, J. R., R. L. Cook, and A. E. Erickson. 1956. The effect of green and stable manure on the yield of crops and on the physical condition of a Tappan-Parkhill loam soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 20:526-528.
- Hardy, R. W. F., R. C. Burns, and R. D. Holsten. 1973. Applications of the acetylene-ethylene assay for measurements of nitrogen fixation. Soil Biol. Biochem. 5:47-81.
- Hardy, R. W. F., and U. D. Havelka. 1975. Nitrogen fixation research: A key to world food. Science 188:633-643.
- Harmsen, G. W., and G. J. Kolenbrander. 1965. Soil inorganic nitrogen. In: Bartholomew, W. V., and F. E. Clark, eds. Soil Nitrogen. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 43-92.
- Hayman, D. S. 1975. Phosphorus cycling by soil microorganisms and plant roots. In: N. Walker, ed. Soil Microbiology. John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 67-91.

\$ 1

- Hersman, L. E. 1977. Microbial activity in strip mine spoils. Ph.D. Dissertation, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana.
- Howard, G. S., G. E. Schuman, and F. Rauzi. 1977. Growth of selected plants on Wyoming surface-mined soils and flyash. J. Range Man. 30(4):306-310.
- Hurst, R. L. 1972. Statistical program package (STATPAC). Department of Applied Statistics and Computer Science, Utah State University, Logan, Utah. Unpublished mimeo.
- Jordan, H. V., and L. E. Ensminger. 1958. The role of sulfur in soil fertility. Advanc. Agron. 10:408-432.
- Jurínak, J. J. no date. Salt-affected soils. Utah State University, Logan, Utah.
- Karl, D. M., and P. A. LaRock. 1975. Adenosine triphosphate measurements in soil and marine sediments. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 32:599-607.

- Keeney, D. R., and J. M. Bremner. 1966. Comparison and evaluation of laboratory methods of obtaining an index of soil nitrogen availability. Agronomy J. 58:498-503.
- Kentucky Agr. Exp. Sta. 1970. Soils handbook. Kentucky Agr. Exp. Sta. Misc. 383. 28 p.
- Kittams, H. A. 1963. Use of sulfur for increasing the availability of phosphorus in rock phosphate. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin.
- Laura, R. D. 1977. Salinity and nitrogen mineralization in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 9:333-336.
- Lee, H., and J. H. Quastel. 1946a. Biochemistry of nitrification in soil. I. Kinetics of, and the effects of poisons on soil nitrification, as studied by a soil perfusion technique. Biochem. J. 40:803-815.
- Lee, H., and J. H. Quastel. 1946b. Biochemistry of nitrification in soil. II. The site of soil nitrification. Biochem. J. 40:815-823.
- Lee, H., and J. H. Quastel. 1946c. Biochemistry of nitrification in soil. III. Nitrification of various organic nitrogen compounds. Biochem. J. 40:824-828.
- Li, Paulina, and A. C. Caldwell. 1966. The oxidation of elemental sulfur in soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 30:370-372.
- Liang, C. N., and M. A. Tabatabai. 1978. Effects of trace elements on nitrification in soils. J. Environ. Qual. 7(2):291-293.
- Lipman, J. G., H. C. McLean, and H. C. Lint. 1916a. The oxidation of sulfur in soils as a means of increasing the availability of mineral phosphates. Soil Sci. 1:533-539.
- Lipman, J. G., H. C. McLean, and H. C. Lint. 1916b. Sulfur oxidation in soils and its effect on the availability of mineral phosphates. Soil Sci. 2:499-534.
- Macura, J., and I. Malek. 1958. Continuous flow methods for the study of microbiological processes in soil samples. Nature 182:1796-1797.
- Meek, B. D., L. Chesnin, W. F. Fuller, R. W. Miller, and D. Turner. 1974. Guidelines for manure disposal in the Western United States. Report of the Western Regional Research Committee.
- Meek, B. D., L. E. Graham, T. J. Donovan, and K. S. Mayberry. 1979. Phosphorus availability in a calcareous soil after high loading rates of animal manure. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43(4):741-744.
- Mishustín, E. N. 1975. Microbial associations of soil types. Micro. Ecol. 2:97-118.

- Molina, J. A. E., and A. D. Rovira. 1964. The influence of plant roots on autotrophic nitrifying bacteria. Can. J. Microbiol. 10:249-257.
- Morrill, L. G., and J. E. Dawson. 1962. Growth rates of nitrifying chemoautotrophs in soil. J. Bacteriol. 83:205-206.
- Morrill, L. G., and J. E. Dawson. 1967. Patterns observed for the oxidation of ammonium to nitrate by soil organisms. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 31:757-760.
- Moser, U. S., and R. V. Olson. 1953. Sulfur oxidation in four soils as influenced by soil moisture tension and sulfur bacteria. Soil Sci. 76:251-257.
- Moser, U. S., W. H. Sutherland, and C. A. Black. 1959. Evaluation of laboratory indexes of absorption of soil phosphorus by plants: I. Plant and Soil 10:356-374.
- McGill, W. B., J. A. Shields, and E. A. Paul. 1975. Relation between carbon and nitrogen turnover in soil organic fractions of microbial origin. Soil Biol. Biochem. 7:57-63.
- McLean, H. C. 1918. The oxidation of sulfur by microorganisms and its relation to the availability of phosphates. Soil Sci. 5:251-290.

\$ \*

- National Academy of Sciences. 1974. Rehabilitation potential of western coal lands. Ballinger, Cambridge, Mass. 198 p.
- Northern Great Plains Resources Program. 1975. Effects of coal development in the Northern Great Plains: A review of major issues and consequences at different rates of development. Denver, Colo. 165 p.
- Oceanography International Corporation. no date. Operating procedures manual for 0524B total carbon system. Oceanography International Corporation, College Station, Texas, 77840.
- Olsen, S. R. 1953. Inorganic phosphorus in alkaline and calcareous soils. In: Pierre, W. H., and A. G. Norman, eds. Soil and Fertilizer Phosphorus in Crop Nutrition. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 89-122.
- Olsen, S. R., and L. A. Dean. 1965. Phosphorus. In: C. A. Black, ed. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 1035-1049.
- Packer, P. E. 1974. Rehabilitation potential and limitations of surfacemined land in the Northern Great Plains. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report INT-14, 1974, Ogden, Utah. 44 p.

- Parnas, Hanna. 1975. Model for decomposition of organic material by microorganisms. Soil Biol. Biochem. 7:161-169.
- Parnas, Hanna. 1976. A theoretical explanation of the priming effect based on microbial growth with two limiting substrates. Soil Biol. Biochem. 8:139-144.
- Parsons, J. W., and J. Tinsley. 1975. In: J. E. Gieseking, ed. Soil Components. 1. Organic Components. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 263-304.
- Paul, E. A., and R. L. Johnson. 1977. Microscopic counting and adenosine 5'-triphosphate measurement in determining microbial growth in soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 34(3):263-269.
- Paul, E. A., and R. L. Victoria. 1978. Nitrogen transfers between the soil and atmosphere. In: W. E. Krumbein, ed. Environmental Biogeochemistry and Geomicrobiology. Vol. 2: The Terrestrial Environment. Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, Mich., pp. 525-541.
- Peech, M. 1965. Hydrogen-ion activity. In: C. A. Black, ed. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 914-926.
- Petersen, R. G., and L. D. Calvin. 1965. Sampling. In: C. A. Black, ed. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical Properties, Including Statistics of Measurement and Sampling. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 54-72.

€ ;

- Porcella, D. B., J. E. Fletcher, D. L. Sorensen, G. C. Pidge, and A. Dogan. 1973. Nitrogen and carbon flux in a soil-vegetation complex in the desert biome. US/IBP Desert Biome Res. Memo 73-36, Utah State University, Logan, Utah. 23 p.
- Postgate, J. R. 1974. New advances and future potential in biological nitrogen fixation. J. Appl. Bact. 37:185-202.
- Quispel, A., ed. 1974. The biology of nitrogen fixation. Elsevier Publ. Co., New York.
- Rolston, D. E., M. Fried, and D. A. Goldhamer. 1976. Denitrification measused directly from nitrogen and nitrous oxide gas fluxes. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 40:259-266.
- Rosswall, T. 1976. The internal nitrogen cycle between microorganisms, vegetation and soil. In: Svensson, B. H., and R. Soderlund, eds. Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Sulphur - Global Cycles. Scope Report 7 Ecol. Bull. (Stockholm) 22:157-167.
- Russell, E. J. 1961. Soil conditions and plant growth. 9th Ed. Longmans, London.

- Rychert, R. C., and J. Skujins. 1974. Nitrogen fixation by blue-green algae-lichen crusts in the Great Basin Desert. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 38:768-771.
- Ryden, J. C., L. J. Lund, and D. D. Focht. 1978. Direct infield measurement of nitrous oxide flux from soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42:731-737.
- Ryden, J. C., L. J. Lund, and D. D. Focht. 1979a. Direct measurement of denitrification loss from soils: I. Laboratory evaluation of acetylene inhibition of nitrous oxide reduction. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:104-110.
- Ryden, J. C., L. J. Lund, J. Letey, and D. D. Focht. 1979b. Direct measurement of denitrification loss from soils: II. Development and application of field methods. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:110-118.
- SAI Technology Co. 1975. Model 3000 integrating photometer instruction manual. SAI Technology Co., A Division of Science Application, Inc., San Diego, CA, 92121.
- Salter, P. J., G. Berry, and J. B. Williams. 1967. The effect of farm yard manure on matric sections prevailing in a sandy loam soil. J. Soil Sci. 18:318-328.
- Scarsbrook, C. E. 1965. Nitrogen availability. In: Bartholomew, W. V., and F. E. Clark, eds. Soil Nitrogen. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 481-502.
- Scarseth, G. D. 1962. Man and his earth. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. 143 p.
- Schuman, G. E., W. A. Berg, and J. F. Power. 1976. Management of mine wastes in the Western United States. In: Land Application of Waste Materials. Soil Conservation Society of America, Ankeny, Iowa, 50021, pp. 180-194.
- Sindelar, B. W., R. J. Hodder, and M. E. Majerus. 1973. Surface mined land reclamation research in Montana: Progress report 1972-73. Montana Agricultural Experiment Station. 122 p.

Skinner, K. J. 1976. Nitrogen fixation. C & EN, Oct. 4:23-35.

- Skujins, J. 1973. Dehydrogenase: An indicator of biological activities in arid soils. Bull. Ecol. Res. Comm. (Stockholm) 17:235-241.
- Skujins, J., and B. Klubek. 1978. Nitrogen fixation and denitrification in arid soil cryptogamic crust microenvironments. In: W. E. Krumbein, ed. Environmental Biogeochemistry and Geomicrobiology. Vol. 2: The Terrestrial Environment. Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, Mich., pp. 543-552.

- Skujins, J. J., and N. E. West. 1974. Nitrogen dynamics in stands dominated by some major cool desert shrubs. US/IBP Desert Biome Res. Memo. 74-42, Utah State University, Logan, Utah. 56 p.
- Smith, M. S., M. F. Firestone, and J. M. Tiedje. 1978. The acetylene inhibition method for short-term measurement of soil denitrification and its evaluation using nitrogen-13. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42: 611-615.
- Sorensen, D. L., M. A. Anderson, W. A. Kneib, and D. B. Porcella. 1975. Establishment of microbial populations in sterile mine spoils and overburden. Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State University, Logan, Utah. 93 p.
- Soriano, S., and N. Walker. 1973. The nitrifying bacteria in soils from Rothamsted classical fields and elsewhere. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 36:523-529.
- Stevenson, F. J. 1965. Origin and distribution of nitrogen in soil. In: Bartholomew, W. V., and F. E. Clark, eds. Soil Nitrogen. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 1-42.
- Strickland, J. D. H., and T. R. Parsons. 1972. A practical handbook of seawater analysis. Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 311 p.
- Stumm, W., and J. O. Leckie. 1970. Phosphate exchange with sediments; its role in the productivity of surface waters. Proc. Fifth Inter. Water Pollution Research Conf., Pergamon Press.
- Stumm, W., and J. J. Morgan. 1970. Aquatic chemistry: An introduction emphasizing chemical equilibrium in natural waters. Wiley-Interscience, New York. 583 p.
- Terman, G. L., E. C. Moreno, and G. Osborn. (1964. Acidulation of phosphate rock in soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 28:104-107.

- Tiarks, A. E., A. P. Mazurak, and L. Chesnin. 1974. Physical and chemical properties of soil associated with heavy application of manure from cattle feedlots. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 38: 826-830.
- U.S. Dept. Agr. 1968. Wastes in relation to agriculture and forestry. Misc. Publ. No. 1065, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- USDA Forest Service. 1979a. User guide to vegetation. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-64, Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah, 84401. 85 p.
- USDA Forest Service. 1979b. User guide to vegetation. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-64, Intermt. For. and Range Exp. Stn., Ogden, Utah, 84401. 85 p.

- U.S. Department of the Interior. 1971. Strippable resources of bituminous coal and lignite in the United States. Bur. Mines Inf. Circ. 8531, Washington, D.C.
- Walker, N. 1975. Nitrification and nitrifying bacteria. In: N. Walker, ed. Soil Microbiology. John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 133-146.
- Walsh, L. M., and R. F. Hensler. 1971. Manage manure for its value. Wisconsin Ext. Circ. 550. 4 p.
- Weeks, M. E., M. E. Hill, S. Karczmarczyk, and A. Blackmer. 1972. Heavy manure application: Benefit or waste? Proc. Cornell Agr. Waste Management Conf., pp. 441-448.
- West, N. E., and J. Skujins. 1978. Nitrogen in desert ecosystems. Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross, Inc., Pennsylvania. 307 p.
- Westerman, R. L., and T. C. Tucker. 1974. Effects of salts and salts plus nitrogen-15-labeled ammonium chloride on mineralization of soil nitrogen, nitrification and immobilization. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 38:602-605.
- Wiebe, H. H., G. S. Campbell, W. H. Gardner, S. L. Rawlins, J. W. Cary, and R. W. Brown. 1971. Measurement of plant and soil water status. Utah Agric. Exp. Stn. Bull. 484, Utah State University, Logan, Utah.
- Wilson, D. O. 1977. Nitrification in soil treated with domestic and industrial sewage sludge. Environ. Pollut. 12:73-82.
- Wilson, Jill M., and D. M. Griffin. 1975. Water potential and the respiration of microorganisms in the soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 7:199-204.
- Wright, J. C., and E. A. Wright. 1948. Grassland types of South Central Montana. Ecology 29(4):449-460.
- Yoshinari, T., and R. Knowles. 1976. Acetylene inhibition of nitrous oxide reduction by denitrifying bacteria. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 69:705-710.
- Young, J. L. 1962. Inorganic soil nitrogen and carbon: Nitrogen ratios of some Pacific Northwest soils. Soil Sci. 93:397-404.
- Zajic, J. E. 1969. Microbial biogeochemistry. Academic Press, New York. 345 p.
- Zar, J. H. 1974. Biostatistical analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey. 620 p.

APPENDICES

•

.

Appendix A

.

.

Summary of the Field Results

6         7         6         7         1011         NO2=N         NO3=N         NMA         Fact         1         1011	Sa	mp1	ing			, adalah kana kana kana kana kana											
B         B         C         Total N         NO2-N         NO1-N         NBLA         Nat. P         Total N         NO1-N         NBLA         Nat. Nat.         Nat.	uo		£								1	Respira-	Field <sup>a</sup>			Nitrogen	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	sas	L C	5 b	Total N	NO2-N	NO3-N	$NH_4 - N$	Ext. P	Total P	TOC	pH	tion 10-4	Moisture	Dehydrogenase	C/N	Fixation	N/P
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ň	N.	ă.	mg/ g	Ug/g	<u> </u>	Hg/g	ug/g	mg/g	mg/g		ng C x 10		ug tormazan/g	stade - Announcement also an ann		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SP	UD	01 X	1.52	0.043	1.43	13.42	23.17	0.480	7.75	8.28	8.31	7.3	224,74	5.11		3.17
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			SD	0.006	0.0076	0.097	5.90	4.44	0.0135	0.647		5,32		13.26			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			CV	0.004	0.176	0.068	0,440	0.192	0.028	0.083		0.640		0,0590	-		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			15 X	1.23	0.037	0.98	9.08	5.97	0.423	11.93	8.22	1.15	15.3	2.32	9.73		5.84
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			SD	0.064	0.0029	0.110	1.66	0.115	0,0080	1.77		0.54		2.85			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			<u>CV</u>	0.002	0.079	0.112	$\frac{0.183}{00}$	$\frac{0.019}{3.76}$	$\frac{0.019}{0.031}$	$\frac{0.148}{6.77}$	a 07	0.471	12 3	1,228	0 51		1.63
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			SU SU	0.79	0.005	0.055	5 15	0.326	0.487	1.01	0.07	10.65	16.2	2.27	0.34		. 1.62
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			6V	0.038	0.005	0.000	0 461	0.198	0.017	0.283		9.72		0 087		1	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			7 00	0.42	0.077	0.54	6.67	5.71	0.51	$-\frac{3}{2}.\overline{21}$	8.64	10.79	15.1	0.902	5.35	·	0.79
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			SD	0.074	0.0029	0.023	0.629	1.06	0.0242	0.439		2.63		0	2.72		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			CV	0.177	0.038	0,043	0.094	0,185	0.046	0.197		0.244		0			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Sp	<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	0.72	0.047	4.74	16.83	20.23	0.599	5.74	8.38	11.23	9.6	130.58	8.01		1,20
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		75	SD	0.093	0.0029	0.411	12.27	1.16	0.0176	0,481		3.04		6.87			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			CV	0.130	0.062	0.087	0.729	0.057	0.029	0.084		0,271		0.053			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			15 X	0.56	0.07	0.50	16.92	4.13	0.480	4.19	8.81	12.78	12,6	0	7.44		1.17
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			SD	0.047	0	0.029	6.99	0,306	0.0259	0.096		6.48		0			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			<u>CV</u>	0.084	0	0.058	0.413	0.074	0.054	0.023		0.508		0			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			60 X	1.73	0.043	3.89	21.67	2.13	0.613	8.78	8.2	13.77	10.4	0	3.84		2.82
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			SD	0.251	0.003	0.454	6.92	2.48	0.027	2.85		6.37		0		ļ	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			CV	0.119	0.067	0.116	0.319	1.16	0.045	0.324		0.462		00		D.	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			90 X	2.32	0.053	2.75	14.58	2.53	0.61	13.54	8.23	16.77	12.1	0	5.87	10	3.80
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			SD	0,528	0.003	0.218	5.99	2,50	0.019	0.257		2.90		0		Ľé.	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			<u>CV</u>	0.228	0.054	0.079	0.411	0.987	0.032	0,019		0.173		0			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sp	01 X	0,86	0.055	2.30	11.58	10.77	0.530	8.06	8.41	13.76	11.0	18.39	9.37	a	1.62
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		76	SD	0.087	0.005	0.393	4.13	0.115	0.051	0.552		6.59		0.866		uo	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			<u>CV</u>	0.101	0.091	0.171	0,356	0.011	0.097	0.068	0.01	0.479		0.047		z	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			15 X	0.67	0.038	0.65	23.5	2.11	0.467	5-15	8.81	9.74	13.5	3.20	1.00		1,43
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			50	0.100	0.000	0.071	13.07	1,23	0.028	0.400		3.35		1.88			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			<u>60 8</u>	0.158	N 052	0.107	8 0	7 0	0.000	7 08	9 27	16.344	17. 7	1 79	12 72		1 15
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			40 VU 50	0.075	0.002	0.90	1 12	0.656	0.407	1 77	0.23	7 53	1.4.7	1.21	12.72		1.15
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			CV CV	.0.135	0.056	0 147	0 165	0.168	0.005	0.250		0 532		0.872			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			7 00	0.36	0.062	2.61	8.17	0.73	0.469	3.18	8,47	10.65	13.6	0.08	8.91		0.77
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			SD	0.038	0.003	0.247	0.577	0.416	0.024	0.538		8,59		0.138			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			CV	0.106	0.047	0.095	0.071	0,568	0.051	0.169		0.807		1.73			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sp	01 X	0.64	0.047	4.14	8.17	31.07	0.648	6.58	8.65	6.59	19.4	33.76	10.28		0.99
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		77	SD	0.087	0.008	0.061	2,50	3.92	0.015	0.970		2.74		3.84			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			CV	0.136	0.164	0.053	0.307	0,126	0.023	0.148		0.417		0.114			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			15 X	0.73	0.043	0.73	11.58	5.3	0.482	7.50	8.56	10.69	16.5	2.34	10.27		1.51
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			SD	0.02	0.003	0.076	2.36	0.458	0.004	2,60		3.97		1.66			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			CV	0.027	0.067	0.105	0.204	, 0.086	0.008	0.347		0.372		0.708			
SD         0.01         0.030         0.382         0.529         0.055         1.12         1.32         1.72           CV         0.018         0.182         0.026         0.042         0.230         0.112         0.239         0.211         1.08           90         X         0.47         0.082         1.66         8.33         1.43         0.509         4.48         8.84         3.86         13.8         3.65         11.02         2.			60 X	0.54	0.055	1.18	9.17	2.30	0.494 .	4.71	8,72	6.23	14.3	1.59	8.72		1.09
CV         0.018         0.182         0.026         0.042         0.230         0.112         0.239         0.211         1.08           90         X         0.47         0.082         1.66         8.33         1.43         0.509         4.48         8.84         3.86         13.8         3.65         11.02         2.			SD	0.01	0.01	0.030	0.382	0.529	0,055	1.12		1.32		1.72	-		
90 $\tilde{X}$ 0.47 0.082 1.66 8.13 1.43 0.509 4.48 8.84 3.86 13.8 3.65 11.02 2.			CV	0.018	0,182	0.026	0,042	0.230	0.112	0.239		0,211		1.08			
			90 ¥	0.47	0.082	1.66	8.11	1.41	0.509	4.48	8.84	3.86	13.8	3.65	11.02		2,13
SD 0.075 0.021 0.211 2.27 0.586 0.021 0.677 2.58 4.34			SD	0.075	0.021	0.211	2.27	0.586	0.021	0.677		2.58		4.34			
CV. 0.158 0.255 0.127 0.272 0.409 0.042 0.151 0.670 1.19			CV.	0.158	0.255	0.127	0.272	0,409	0.042	0.151		0,670		1.19		1	

# Table A-1. Summary of analytical results from the spring sampling date.

<sup>a</sup>No replicates.

.

٠

F

Note: Mean of 3 replicates unless otherwise indicated.

121

,

Siam	aling							a dan kang menang kang kang kang kang kang kang kang		<ul> <li>A set of the set of</li></ul>		ana da mana da seba da sena mana ana da sena da mana da mana da sena Bara da mila da seba da seba da seba da sena da 17-11 da mana mana da			
Season	Jepth Jepth	Total N mg/g	NO2-N µg/g	NO3-N Pg/g	NH4−N µg/g	Ext. P µg/g	Total P mg/g	TOC mg/g	pH <sup>a</sup>	Respira- tion ug C x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	Field <sup>a</sup> Molsture %	Dehydrogenase µg formazan/g	C/N	Nitrogen Fixation	N/P
s up	01 3	1.37	0.048	1.40	23.67	12.73	0.490	10.00	7.88	13.82	1.4	169.57	7.32		2.80
	SD	0.115	0.003	0.050	17.61	1.17	0.019	1.09		4.53		77.93			
	CV	0.084	0.060	0.036	0.744	0.092	0.039	0.109		0.328		0.460			
	15 X	1.43	0.037	1.13	20.75	6.33	0.446	11.32	8.08	8.95	7.5	8.63	7.92		3.21
	SD	0.270	0.019	0.083	12.80	0.677	0.009	3.69		3.41		6.16			
	CV	0.189	0.516	0.073	0.617	0.107	0.020	0.326		0.381		0.713			
	60 X	0.73	0.083	0.51	24.5	4,54	0,491	5,05	7.87	2.37	9.4	0	6.92		1.49
	SD	0.030	0.024	0.380	6.26	1.02	0.008	0.165		0.469		0			
	<u>Cv</u>	0.041	0.283	0.750	0.256	0.224	0.017	0.033		0.198		0			
	90 X	0.60	0.093	1.03	19.33	4.88	0.558	2.72	8.56	5.10	9.6	D	4.54		1.07
	SD	0.056	0.020	0,025	2.75	0,508	0.024	0.390		3.31		0			
	<u> </u>	0.093	0.216	0.024	0,142	0.104	0,042	0.143		0.649		0			
Sp	01 X	0.90	0.057	1.23	13.67	7.00	0.552	7.50	7.46	13.40	1.5	34.8	8,33		1.63
75	SD	0.044	0.017	0.044	2.08	0.418	0,029	0.185		4.52		3.30			
	<u> </u>	0.048	0.310	0.035	0.152	0.060	0.052	0.025		0.338		0.095			
	15 X	0.55	0.04	0.90	11.83	2.65	0.513	6,02	8.61	4,17	5.0	2,73	10.95		1.07
	SD	0.03	0.006	0.023	0.577	0.587	0.006	0.242		0.428		1.52			
	<u>Cv</u>	0.054	0.157	0.026	0.049	0.222	0.013	0.040		0.102		0.555			
	60 X	0.65	0.04	1,18	14.33	2.15	0.543	5,94	8.24	7.16	9.6	0	8.97		1.20
	SD	0.110	0	0.029	1.76	0.537	0.010	0,560		1.95		0			
	CV DO V	0.169	0 000	0.024	0.122	0.250	0.018	0.094	0 17	0.273		0		1	
	90 X	0.09	0.003	2.31	23.13	0.33	0.004	0.02	8.27	4.43	11.4	0	9.41	eđ	1.04
	50 CV	0.115	0	0 486	0.221	1 72	0.075	0.101		2.00		0		ů,	
Sh	01 8	0.45	0 087	1 30	37 0	6 71	0.631	6 96	8 76	0.045	17	1 17	15 58		0.71
76	SD	0.035	0.008	0.121	15.88	0.423	0.031	1.02	0.11	4.68	1.7	1.27	13,30	De De	0.77
7.0	CV	0.079	0.088	0.093	0.429	0.090	0.050	0.147		0.399		0.378		e E	
	15 X	0.45	0.055	0.76	16.0	3.26	0.585	6.95	8.67	3.93	5.7	1,4	15.32	5	0.77
	SD	0.101	0.005	0.032	3.04	0.335	0.015	0.205		5,78		0.173			
	· CV	0.222	0.091	0.042	0.190	0.103	0.026	0.029		1.45		0.124			
	60 X	0.50	0.022	0.58	50.67	6.05	0.507	5.99	8.56	3.52	7.6	1.07	11.99		0.99
	SD	0.026	0.008	0.010	42.12	4.69	0.015	0.549		1.09		0.321			
	CV	0.053	0.352	0.017	0.831	0.775	0.029	0.092		0.310		0.301			
	90 X	0.36	0.122	2.70	15.17	1.06	0.585	6.80	8.72	3.69	12.1	4.77	19.06		0.61
	SD	0.011	0.019	0.112	1.04	0.934	0.010	1.87		0.990		4.61			
		0.032	0.156	0.042	0.069	0.881	0.017	0.276		0.268		0.967			
50	01 A	1.30	0.092	1.23	10.3	12.90	0.033	15.04	1.11	3,44	1./	22.03	11.12		2.11
11	51) CV	0.072	0.126	0.017	0.3	0.363	0.024	0.907		0.194		4.00			
	15 7	0.69	0.04	2 25	17 17	4 04	0.050	5 90	8 04	3 42	/ 7	2 30	8 56		1 51
	SD	0.036	0.009	0.148	7.69	1 21	0.038	1.22	0.09	0.967	4.7	1.11	0.90	,	1.21
	CV	0.052	0.216	0.066	0.448	0.299	0.084	0.207		0.247		0.484			
	60 X	0.55	0.052	9.62	18.33	4.15	0,486	5,38	8.32	2.36	9.1	0	9.84		1.13
	SD	0.021	0.003	0.257	5.53	1.02	0.030	0.944		0.555		Ö			
	CV	0.038	0.056	0.027	0.302	0.246	0.062	0.175		0.235		0			
_	90 X	0.54	0.097	9.79	16.83	3.43	0.563	3.64	8.41	2.72	12.3	0	6,74		0.96
	SD	0.061	0.013	0,130	5.13	0.835	0.011	0.350	1	0,776		0			
	CV	0.113	0.130	0.013	0.305	0.244	0.019	0.096		0.285		0			
	a <sub>No</sub> repl	icates.				Note	: Mean of	3 repli	cates	unless othe	rwise indi	cated.			

Table A-2. Summary of analytical results from the summer sampling date.

ŝ.

•

Table A-3.	Summary of	analytical	results	from	the	fall	sampling	date.

Samp	1 in	ß								:::::	1	0071001235. Di 4 1 5	ne ar na sa sana na sana na sa	Ant an energy colored a		
Season Site	Depth		Total N mg/g	NO <sub>2</sub> -N Fg/g	NO3-N Vg∕g	NH4-N ∋g/g	Ext. P Ng/g	Total P mg/g	TOC mg/g	pli <sup>a</sup>	$\frac{\text{tion}}{\text{ug C x 10}^{-4}}$	Fielda Moisture %	Dehydrogenase µg formazan/g	C/N	Nitrogen Fixation	N/P
F UD	0	1 X	3.57	0,072	1.72	7.42	18,25	0.656	9.46	7.44	3.64	1.4	336.0	2.65		5.44
		SD	0.813	0.006	0.345	2.67	4.59	0,012	0.565		3.62		118.91			
			0.228	0.081	0.200	0,360	0,251	0.019	0.060		0,995		0.354			
	1	5 X	1.17	0.052	0.83	4,83	5.34	0.490	6.64	7.65	7.80	9.0	18,64	5.68		2.39
		50	0.425	0.003	0, 147	0.010	0,173	0.003	1.75		2.70		13.54			
· •		<u>a `</u> ≩.	0,363	0.035	0.177		- <u>95092</u>	0.007	1,205	N 66	5.89		<u> </u>	5 97		1 37
	• 2 •	SD.	0.193	0.008	0,106	0.382	0.372	0.033	0.928	0,00	2.03	0.2	0	3, 74		.1.1/
		CV	0.244	0,093	0.192	0.070	0.123	0.056	0.198		0.414		ŏ			
	91	<u> </u>	0.27	0.078	0.39	5.17	3.17	0.609	3.11	8.46	4,81	8.3	0	11.52		0.44
		SD	0.061	0.003	0.058	0.289	0.476	0.015	0.728		0.60		0		1	
		CV .	0.225	0.037	0.149	0.056	0.150	0.024	0.234		0.125		0			
Sp	0	1 X	1.29	0,067	0.93	6.50	10,12	0.550	7.65	7.97	5.56	3.3	65.04	5.94		2.30
- 75	•	SD	0.410	0.003	0.10	0.75	0.224	0.005	0.852		3.08		7.14			
		CV	0.319	0.043	0.107	0.115	0.022	0.009	0.111		0.553		0.110			
	1	5 🕺	0.61	0.042	0.54	8,08	7.90	0.513	4,92	8.48	3.31	6.6	5.64	8.02		1,19
		SD	0.0.21	0.008	0.052	2.02	3.67	0.006	1.25		0.869		3.29			
14.00		CV	0.034	0.183	0.096	0.250	0.464	0.011	0,253		0.262		0.583			
	6	0 X	0.76	0.027	1.02	8.50	3.19	0.527	6.29	8.33	7,13	8.5	0	8.32		1.44
		50	0.015	0.003	0,350	0.25	0.530	0.009	0.627		3.88		0		1	
		<u></u>	0.020	0.108		0.029	$-\frac{0.242}{0.047}$	0.017	0,100	7 10	0.545	11.0	0	6 07	<del>-</del>	1.00
		sn	0.208	0.0.0	0 407	0.765	0.007	0.021	1 27	7,60	0.04	11.0	0	4,94	s ct	1.09
		CV	0.200	0.102	0.097	0.072	1.732	0.039	0.357		0.233		0		5	
Su	0	7 1	0.68	0.108	1.11	10.75	6.32	0.528	7.89	8.03	2.98	3.0	6.80	11.54	<del>-</del>	1.29
76		SD	0.042	0.008	0.070	0.901	0.768	0.015	0.746		1.36		2.50		. 0	
		CV	0.061	0.070	0.063	0.084	0.121	0.029	0.094		0.457		0.368		No	
	1	s x	0.69	0.037	0.71	9.58	2.38	0.474	5.45	8.39	3,80	6.3	3.06	7,86		1.46
		SD	0.055	0.008	0.078	0.520	0,173	0.014	0,190		0.647		0.376			
		CV	0.079	0.208	0.110	0.054	0.073	0.029	0.035		0,170		0.123			
	6	Χ 0	0.67	0.027	0.75	7.33	2.48	0.523	5.53	8.52	7.17	6.7	2.37	8.25		1.28
		SD	0,070	0.003	0.029	0.382	0.295	0.014	0.463		0.962		0.554			
		_ <u></u>	0.104	0.108	0.038	0.052	0.119	0.027	0.084		0.134	101100.1 Brown www.particle.com	0.234			
	9	0 X	0.34	0.045	0.97	8.37	1.10	0.508	4.99	8.88	6.24	8.2	10.42	14.69		0.67
		SD	0.026	0.01/	0,127	0.375	0.224	0.002	1.32		0.318		10.00			
		1.5	0.078	0,385	$-\frac{0.131}{7.22}$	0.045	0,203	0.003	0.265	0 11	0.051	2 1	0.959	10 10		0.00
50			0.45	0.103	0.055	0.144	1.05	0.002	4.00	0.13	4.2	2.1	44.01	10.19		0.80
		CV	0.235	0.028	0.041	0.017	0.119	0.015	0 237		0.176		0 212			
	1	5 5	0.54	0.025	0.91	7.83	3.96	0.501	6.62	8.21	6.88	7.1	4.95	12.25		1.08
	•	SD	0.128	0.005	0.141	0,629	0.645	0.041	1.83		0.543		2.38			
		CV	0.236	0.20	0.156	0.080	0.163	0.082	0.277		0.079		0.480			
	6	0 X	0.34	0.022	0.96	7,58	1.84	0.542	7.52	8.54	4.93	7.5	0	22.37		0.63
		SD	0.038	0.003	0.026	0,382	0.224	0.025	0.826		0.614		0			
		CV	0.112	0.133	0.028	0.050	0.121	0.047	0.110		0.124		0			
	9	0 X	0.21	0.036	1.64	7.42	3.17	0.574	5,36	8.59	5.31	8.8	0	25.13		0.37
		SD	0.086	0.003	0.076	0.520	2.75	0.005	1,14		1.27		0		1	
		CV	0.404	0.079	0.046	0,070	0,866	0.009	0.212		0.239		0	-		

ê<sup>re k</sup>

Note: Mean of 3 replicates unless otherwise indicated.

amp11	lng tj	Total N mg∕g	NO <sub>2</sub> -N µg/g	NU3-N ⊎g/g	NH4-N Ug/g	Ext. P Wg/g	Total P mg/g	TOC mg/g	рН <sup>а</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{RespIra-} \\ \operatorname{tion} \\ \operatorname{ug \ C \ x \ 10}^{-4} \end{array}$	Ffeld <sup>a</sup> Moisture Z	Dehydrogenase µg formazan/g	C/N	Nitrogen Fixation	N/P
	<u> </u>						d 500					100 02		1	
UD	01 X	1.60	0.055	2.13	1.90	15.87	0,529	12.85	7.62		3.6	180.93	8.01		3.0
	CV CV	0.030	0.009	0.213	0.975	0.071	0.072	0.700				0 178			
	15 3	1.25	0.063	1.50	1.54	6.60	0.469	8.68	8.54		16.0	9.14	6.97		2.6
	SD	0.067	0.011	0.117	0.794	2.31	0.022	0.055				6.84			
	ĊV	0.053	0.182	0.078	0.515	0.349	0.048	0.006				0.749			
	60 X	0,67	0.067	0.83	1.37	6.07	0.491	6.73	8.14		10.8	2.37	10.10		, 1.3
	SD	0.122	0.011	0.081	0.596	2.18	0.001	0.699				3.12			
	CV	0.183	0.173	0.098	0.436	0.360	0.003	0.104				1.32			
	90 Z	0.53	0.053	0,68	1.13	7.30	0.541	3,74	8.46		10.8	0	7.01		0.9
	SD	0.015	0.006	0.035	0.431	1.28	0,021	0.638				0			
	CV	0.029	0.108	0.051	0.380	0.175	0.039	0.171				()			
Sp	01 X	1.06	0.050	3,38	3.17	22.80	0,608	11.77	7,97	ľ	10.4	59.33	11.07		1.7
75	SD	0.038	0.010	0.120	0.506	2.05	0.048	3.42				8.41			
*****	10 01	0.036	0.200	0.035	0.100	7.00	0.079	0.291	D 41		15.0	0,192	0 12		1 4
	10 X	0.70	0.037	1.20	1.33	7.00	0.491	0.42	8,01	ţ	15.0	9.02	4.13		1.4
	50 CV	0.119	0.000	0,103	0.340	0.009	0.003	0.731				2,35			
	60 8	1 73	B 043	1 02	2 43	$\frac{0.127}{2.87}$	0.000	8 80	7 56		12.0		5 118		18
	CO A CD CD	0.287	0.006	0.021	0 104	0.252	n n 19	0.00	7.50		1	0	1.00		3.0
	CV CV	0.166	0.133	0.069	0.043	0.088	0.086	0.076	1			0		1	
•••••	2 09	1.49	0.056	1.43	6.28	2.03	0.623	7.87	8.32	- <del>.</del>	10.6	0	5.29	6	2.3
	SD	0.182	0.011	0.142	1.27	0.551	0.065	0.228	1	110		0		SC B	
	CV	0.122	0.204	0.099	0.202	0.271	0.104	0.029		151		0		12	
Sp	01 X	0.68	0.050	0.73	2,23	7,63	0.511	6.05	8,27		5.4	9.02	8.85		1.3
76	SD	0.032	0	0.029	0.202	1.03	0.008	0.505	,			2,02		ue Ue	
	CV	0.047	0	0.039	0,090	0,133	0.017	0.08	3	No		0.224		ON NO	
	15 \$	0.53	0.040	0.78	1.02	4.30	0.442	7.25	8,42	1	13,3	2.39	13.69	1	1.2
	SD	0.036	0	0.058	0,202	1.78	0.012	0.410	)			0,600			
	CV	. 0.068	0	0.074	0,199	0.413	0.027	0.057	7			0.251	a an a bara ta a a a a		
	60 X	0.73	0.093	0.27	0.73	3.40	0.481	8.67	8,46		11.4	3.57	11,93		1.5
	SD	0.083	0.021	0.030	0.029	1.13	0.017	0.65	l			6.18		1	
-	<u>CV</u>	0.115	0.223	0.112	0.039	0.331	0.035	0.075	; 			1.73			-
	90 🕅	0.43	0.033	3.10	1.33	2.80	0.472	4.64	9,20		વૃષ્	0	10.88		0.8
	SD	0.021	0.006	0.316	0.375	0.360	0.036	0.346	1			0			
	CV	0.049	0,173	0.102	0.281	0.129	0.076	0,072							
Sp	01 X	0.66	0.070	0.93	2.00	5.47	0.510	12.68	8.19	1	3.4	11.6	18.11	• 1	1.2
11	SU	0.025	0.035	0.270	0.826	1.79	0.021	5.20	,			1,08			
		0.038	0,495	$-\frac{0.290}{7}$	0.413	0.327	0.041	0,410	1		176	0.093	10 75		1 5
	13 8	0.09	0.040	0.74	0.110	0.551	0.441	0.04	0.15		13.0	4.37	14+73		1
	01 C1	0.013	0	0.202	0.179	0.007	0.007	0.10	2			0.207			
	60 1	0.022	0 040	1 10	1 75	······································	0.010		8 50		10.2	6 17	10.49		2.0
	sr	0.050	0.040	0.170	0.458	0.577	0.030	0.53	)		10.12	0.346	,,		
	C\	0.054	0,250	0.142	0,262	0.255	0,067	0.05	5			0.079			
	90 3	0.64	0.073	1.08	1.82	3,10	0.438	7.87	8.47		14.0	2,28	12.36		1.4
	SL	0.072	0,011	0,081	0, 188	1.67	0,007	0.36	1			0.343		I	
		0.114	0.157	0.076	0.214	0,539	0.015	0.0.	'n			0.150			

# Table A-4. Summary of analytical results from the winter sampling date.

124

Sampling Site	Sampling Depth (cm)	CEC meq/100 g	EC <sub>e</sub> mmhos/cm	Ca <sup>+2</sup> meq/l	Mg <sup>+2</sup> meq/l	Na <sup>+</sup> meq/l	SAR (mmole/ℓ) <sup>1</sup> 2	K <sup>+</sup> meq/l	SO4 <sup>-2</sup> meq/l	C1 meq/l	CaCO <sub>3</sub> eq., %	Soil Type
UD	01	18.5	0.5	4.4	0	0.58	0.39	0.46	0.85	0.3	0.039	clay
UD	15	21.5	0.9	5.2	1.6	1.51	0.82	0.24	0.83	0.1	0.112	clay
UD	60	19.7	0.6	2.0	0.4	3.97	3.62	2.49	38.63	0.1	4.23	clay
UD	90	14.8	5.6	8.0	17.7	25.05	6.99	0.22	61.52	0.1	а	clay
75	01	17.3	0.5	3.2	1.2	0.74	0.50	0.49	1.27	0.1	2.44 (	sandy clay
75	15	14.8	0.5	3.4	0	2.28	1.75	0.20	1.75	0.1	2.92 (	sandy clay
75	60	14.8	3.1	4.8	6.8	10.60	4.40	0.40	25.85	0.1	3.47	clay
75	90	13.2	5.9	15.2	19.7	20.87	5.00	0.81	67.20	0.2	а	clay
76	01	16.5	0.4	2.8	1.6	0.40	0.27	0.29	1.37	0.1	3.78	sandy clay
76	15	16.5	0.4	2.0	0.8	1.45	1.22	0.14	0.83	0.1	3.03 (	sandy
76	60	16.1	0.6	2.0	0.4	3.62	3.30	0.14	2.58	0.1	3.64 (	sandy loam
76	90	15.3	0.8	1.2	1.2	5.79	5.28	0.13	3.77	0.1	а (	sand y
77	01	12.6	0.5	3.2	1.2	0.79	0.53	0.81	42.06	0.1	3.68 (	sandy
77	15	17.3	0.3	2.8	0.4	0.56	0.44	0.17	0.62	0.1	3.24	sandy
77	60	15.2	0.7	2.0	0.8	4.16	3.51	0.17	3.56	0.1	3.83 (	loamy sand
77	90	11.6	3.4	6.0	4.8	14.52	6.25	0.33	27.68	0.1	a	sandy clay loan

Table A-5. Summary table for the characterization of the soils at each sampling site.

<sup>a</sup>Measurement not taken.

125

Section . .

.

Appendix B

Summary of the Bioassay Results

Sample Time	24 Hours	3 W	eks	4 Weeks								
Treatment	Dehydrogenase µg Formazan/g	Dehydrogenase Dg Formazan/g	Chlorophyll-a ug/g	NO <sub>2</sub> -N Ug/g	NO3-N µg/g	NH4-N ug/g	Ext. P ug/g	рН <sup>а</sup>	Dehydrogenase ug Formazan/g	ATP µg/g		
01 x SD CV	14.91 4.94 0.332	42.30 13.70 0.324	0.126 0.006 0.052	<0.01	2.38 0.333 0.140	0.52 0.06 0.112	2.42 1.01 0.416	8.37	44.75 2.66 0.059	0.171 0.003 0.019		
02 x SD CV	12.83 1.37 0.107	78.46 19.59 0.250	0.198 0.033 0.167	<0.01	10.88 3.70 0.340	1.05 0.25 0.238	5.86 0.02 0.003	8.37	63,88 16,71 0,262	0.187 0.018 0.099		
03 x SD CV	15,45 1,61 0,104	83.33 22.68 0.272	0.267 0.071 0.268	<0.01	9,23 2,76 0,299	1.80 0.28 0.155	5.54 0.09 0.016	8.32	50.75 16.14 0.318	0.186 . 0.030 0.160		
04 X SD CV	16.08 1.74 0.108	46.38 10.54 0.227	0.134 0.005 0.034	<0.01	25.53 2.27 0.089	1.58 0.86 0.544	2.94 0.11 0.036	8.27	39.04 7.43 0.190	0.148 0.015 0.102		
05 x SD CV	17.54 4.46 0.254	43.38 20.25 0.469	0.133 0.027 0.202	<0.01	70.4 2.4 0.034	1.53 1.23 0.804	2.87 0.44 0.155	8.03	34.25 5.99 0.175	0.145 0.006 0.038		
06 x SD CV	15.96 0.97 0.061	34.25 9.53 0.278	0.145 0.015 0.104	<0.01	23.47 2.16 0.092	1.12 0.33 0.298	2.77 0,26 0,096	8.11	40.58 3.09 0.076	0.156 0.005 - 0.032		
07 x SD CV	14.16 1.46 0.103	36.17 3.18 0.088	0.101 0.034 0.334	<0.01	50.27 16.06 0,319	1.23 0.84 0.680	2.93 0.19 0.065	7.95	45.30 7.23 0.160	0.153 0.007 0.044		
08 X SD CV	16.75 1.0 0.060	71.34 15.81 0.222	0.213 0.083 0.388	<0.01	3.0 0.68 0.225	0.65 0.05 0.077	6.92 0.32 0.046	8.27	43.88 4.07 0.093	0.210 0.038 0.183		
09 X SD CV	13.42 0.44 0.033	70.25 24.20 0.344	0,344 0.054 0.158	<0.01	5,60 0,346 0,062	0.98 0.37 0.382	5.80 0.17 0.030	8.36	55.29 9.63 0.174	0.236 0.053 0.225		
10 X SD CV	10.71 2.29 0.214	47.33 12.63 0.267	0.263 , 0.059 , 0.224	<0.01	31.67 5.26 0.166	1.93 0.75 0.389	6.15 0.48 0.078	8,24	63.25 26.78 0.423	0.166 0.007 0.042		
11 X SD CV	18.54 1.46 0.079	75.96 25.26 0.333	0.215 0.061 0.284	<0.01	3.97 0.97 0.246	0.72 0.06 0.081	6.37 0.69 0.108	8.27	51.30 16.00 0.312	0.155 0.035 0.225		
12 x SD CV	18.04 1.82 0.101	81.54 25.56 0.314	0.293 0.064 0.219	•0.01	16.70 10.19 0.610	0.98 0.82 0.839	7.09 0.63 0.090	7.84	58,50 22,21 0,380	0.165 0.013 0.079		
13 x SD CV	21.42 6.78 0.316	113.42 18.77 0.165	0.249 0.077 0.308	<0.01	9.48 4.17 0.439	2.08 1.15 0.551	5.73 1,19 0.208	7.89	47.50 13.92 0.293	0.176 0.017 0.096		
14 X SD CV	16.29 1.75 0.107	100.84 25.97 0.257	0.219 0.063 0.289	<0.01	5.50 2.13 0.387	0.60 0.26 0.441	6.64 0.18 0.027	7.93	55.54 4.76 0.086	0.275 0.041 0.150		

Table B-1. Summary of analyses performed at 24 hours, 3 weeks, and 4 weeks in the bioassay study.

<sup>a</sup>No replicates

· · · · ·

 $\int_{2\pi}^{2\pi/3} |z_{p}|^{2} dz$ 

Note: Mean of 3 replicates unless otherwise indicated.

Appendix C

•

Summary of the Lysimeter Results

+
~	Total N mg/g	N0 <sub>2</sub> -N Vg/g	NO3-N Vg/g	NH4−N µg/g	Ext. P µg/g	Total P mg/g	TOC mg/g	рН <sup>а</sup>	Field <sup>a</sup> Mois- ture %	Dehydrogenase µg Formazan∕g	s04= <sup>a</sup> ng/g	ATP µg/g	EC <sup>a</sup> µmhos/ cm	C/N	C/P	N/P
101 X SD CV	0.99	0.062	32.4 7.59 0.234	2.10 0.250 0.119	3.58 0.157 0.044	0.48 0.13 0.279	8.71 0.106 0.012	8.22	5.8	11.6 5.39 0.464	29.95	0.323 0	820	8.80	18.15	2.06
115 X SD CV	1.37 0.02 0.015	0.042 0.006 0.139	26.6 1.44 0.054	2.00 0.265 0.132	3.80 2.37 0.624	0.41 0.02 0.049	8.39 1.08 0.129	8.20	10.4	15.5 4.99 0.322	97.55	0.418 8.0 0.019	1328	6.12	20.46	3.34
160 X SD CV	0.59 0.087 0.147	0.085 0.043 0.503	11.80 3.13 0.265	2.47 0.161 0.065	1.74 0.415 0.238	0.46 0.026 0.058	6.52 1.47 0.226	8.23	5.7	0.2 0.35 1.73	807	0.323 0	2725	11.05	14.17	1.28
201 X SD CV	1.67 0.166 0.099	0.075 0.130 1.73	74.20 31.99 0.431	1.68 0.289 0.017	7.18 0.326 0.045	0.83 0.15 0.182	8.93 2.26 0.253	8.12	9.7	18.5 3.06 0.166	21.75	0.438 25.7 0.059	1334	5.35	10.76	2.01
215 X SD CV	1.21 0.046 0.038	0	35,2 4,51 0,128	1.72 0.161 0.094	4.65 1.68 0.362	0.46 0.02 0.043	9.68 0.410 <u>0.042</u>	8.09	12.9	26.3 3.25 0.124	83.22	0.409 22.5 0.055	1352	8.00	21.04	2.63
260 X SD CV	0.91 0.084 0.092	0.012	16.55 1.021 0.062	4.07 2.31 0.567	1.74 0.469 <u>0.269</u>	0.015	9.33 0.496 <u>0.053</u> 7.02	8.20	6.8	1.8 3.1 1,73	291.5	0.323	3999	10.25	19.85	1.94
SD SD CV 215 V	0.090	0.066	12,29 0,587	1.50 0.447	4.00	0.031	1.19 0.169	8.27	12.3	41.3 14.4 0.350	104.0	28.0 0.055	1014	7.03		2.44
SD SD CV 360 V	0.108	0	2.43	0.557	10.39 1.53 0.093	0.015 0.032	0.805	8.10	7.6	7.16	268 5	45.6	4321	7.41	19 17	2 58
SD CV 601 X	0.101	0.030	2.80	0.427	1.02 0.404	0.038	1.37 0.157 6.50	7 77	8.6	6.00 <u>1.73</u>	129.5	0.323	1426	5.26	7.93	1.51
SD CV 415 X	0.006 0.005	0	0.625	16.68 0.898	1.19 0.184 4.80	0.265 0.322	0.441 0.068	7.96	13.4	4.54 0.31 18.1	111.0	58.9 0.158 0.983	1466	6.57	24.68	3.76
SD CV 460 X	0.252 0.016	0	8.74 0.124 19.92	0.525	1.68 0.351 2.34	0.015 0.037 0.47	0.626 0.062 6.84	8.31	8.5	2.04 0.11 8.1	505	62.5 0.064 0.418	3306	9.37	14.55	1.55
SD CV 501 X	0.045 0.061 1.54	0.061 0.242 0.358	3.25 0.163 60.05	0.804 0.337 128.5	0.520 0.222 8.79	0.03 0.638 0.82	2.35 0.344 10.18	7.90	7.2	2.59 0.32 14.7	186.0	95 0.227 0.323	2214	6.61	12.41	1.88
SD CV 515 X	0.107 0.069 1.41	0.176 0.490 0	31.06 0.517 42.42	21.50 0.167 2.40	0.368 0.042 4.39	0.115 0.140 0.38	1.529 0.150 8.59	8.02	13.0	8.94 0.61 22.5	143.5	0.723	1476	6.09	22.61	3.71
SD CV 560 X	0.074 0.052 0.73	0	2.40 0.057 12.08	0.805 0.335 2.90	2.16 0.493 1.99	0.015 0.040 0.45	1.26 0.147 6.12	8.40	6.8	7.14 0.32 0	714	36.9 0.051 0.323	3974	8.38	13.6	1.62
SD CV	0.074	0.020 0.217	1.01 0,084	0.823	0.229	0.026	0.341 0.557			0	-	0	-			

Table	C-1.	Summary	of	analytical	results	from	the	3	week	sampling	date.
											4

ал. 1

<sup>a</sup>No replicates.

Note: Mean of 3 replicates unless otherwise indicated.

129

.

	Total N mg/g	NO <sub>2</sub> -N µg/g	NO <sub>3</sub> -N µg/g	NH4-N ug/g	Ext. P µg/g	Total P mg/g	TOC mg/g	płl <sup>a</sup>	Field <sup>a</sup> Mois- ture Z	Dehydrogenase µg Formazan/g	SO4 <sup>ma</sup> µg/g	ATP µg/g	EC <sup>a</sup> µmhos/ cm	C/N	C/P	N/ P
101 X SD CV	1.24 0.055 0.045	0.067 0.029 0.433	13.27 3.23 0.243	1.65 0.132 0.080	5.48 1.14 0.208	0.47 0.027 0.058	9.48 0.737 0.078	7.87	5.6	10.14 1.27 0.126	295.0	0.323 0	1129	7,65	20.17	2.64
115 X SD CV	1.35 0.045 0.033	0.367 0.058 0.157	35.97 11.67 0.325	3.23 1.79 0.554	5.58 0.101 0.018	0.46 0.007 0.014	9.58 0.739 0.077	7,84	7.9	29.17 8.08 0.277	29.84	0.323 0	1152	7.10	20.83	2.93
160 X SD CV	0.65 0.059 0.091	0.117 0.029 0.247	21.05 4.51 0.214	2.97 0.425 0.143	4.71 0.939 0.200	0.51 0.016 0.031	6.91 0.335 0.048	7,99	6.8	-	248.9	0.466 143 0.307	2791	10.63	13.55	1.27
201 X SD CV	1.54 0.066 0.043	0.067 0.058 0.866	96.93 62.69 <u>0.64</u> 7	1.77 0.404 0.229	12.91 5.30 0.410	0.81 0.024 0.029	10.19 1.17 0.114	7.72	8.0	23.57 5.51 0.234	11.91	0.455 14.5 0.032	1171	6,62	12.58	1.90
215 X SD <u>CV</u>	1.58 0.069 0.044	0.15 0 0	63.35 2.65 0.042	1.62 0.202 0.125	4.94 0.231 0.047	0.50 0.014 0.028	10.36 1.64 0.158	7.76	12.3	19.30 3.29 0.171	32,59	0.395 31.6 0.080	1226	6.56	20.72	3,16
260 X SD CV	0.79 0.135 0.172	0.217 0.161 0.742	20.12 4.78 0.237	1.92 0.666 0.347	6.27 2.30 0.366	0.46 0.024 0.052	7.33 0.180 0.025	7.97	7.8	7.30 8.28 1.13	238.65	0.323	2926	9.28	15.93	1.72
301 X SD <u>CV</u>	1.52 0.061 0.040	0.067 0.029 <u>0.433</u>	18,60 5.00 0,269	1.65 0.265 0.160	10.19 1.91 0.188	0.50 0.087 <u>0.174</u>	10.15 0.902 <u>0.089</u>	7.83	8.9	56.87 35.80 0.630	34.88	0.564 58.1 0.103	899	6.68	20.30	3.04
315 X SD CV	1.57 0.168 0.107	0.067 0.029 0.433	29.1 2.05 0.070	1.72 0.115 0.067	13.10 2.94 0.225	0.46 0.007 0.015	8.88 0.648 0.073	7.98	11.6	27.20 1.76 0.065	27.54	0.790 143 <u>0.181</u>	1105	5.66	19.30	3.41
360 X SD CV	0.69 0.023 0.034	0.217 0.076 0.353	16.62 4.06 0.244	2.23 0.535 0.239	4.01 0.685 0.171	0.50 0.045 0.089	6.64 0.095 <u>0.014</u>	8,11	6.8	0	272.9	0.323	3448	9.62	13.28	1.38
401 X SD <u>CV</u>	1.36 0.078 0.057	0.167 0.029 0.173	37.83 37.54 <u>0.992</u>	1.97 0,425 <u>0.216</u>	13.34 0.733 0.055	1.02 0.235 0.230	9.86 0.509 0.052	7.75	4.9	27.8 4.60 0.165	34.88	0.453 48.5 0.107	868	7.25	9.67	1.33
415 X SD CV	1.52 0.025 0.017	0,367 0.462 1.26	37.13 3.04 0.053	1.68 0.076 0.045	5,00 0.232 0.046	0.46 0.018 0.039	9.65 0.254 0.026	8.07	17.1	26.1 0.173 0.007	28.27	0.323	1796	6.35	20,98	3.30
460 X SD <u>CV</u>	0.76 0.025 <u>0.033</u>	0.317 0.076 <u>0.241</u>	33.85 19.68 <u>0.581</u>	1.58 0.293 0.185	3.28 1.20 0.366	0.54 0.052 <u>0.096</u>	6,31 0,582 0,092	8.19	8.6	15.9 1,90 0.120	228.86	0.323	3282	8.30	11.69	1.41
501 X SD CV	1.75 0.040 0.023	0.517 0.425 0.823	85.15 29.91 0.351	59.00 7.57 0.128	12.83 2.98 0.233	0.65 0.068 0.105	8,87 1,06 0,120	7.37	7.8	18.3 8.28 0.453	50.03	0.533 100.9 <u>0.189</u>	2423	5.07	13.65	2.69
515 X SD 	1.36 0.067 0.049	0.183 0.144 0.787	57.48 2.50 <u>0.044</u>	1.78 0.448 0.251	5.28 0.220 0.042	0.46 0.041 0.090	8.95 0.301 0.034	7.83	11.6	16.3 7.84 0.480	46.39	0.323	1890	6.58	19.46	2.96
560 X SD CV	0.80 0.040 0.051	0.167 0.029 0.173	22.67 8.50 0.375	2.30 0.312 0.136	3.39 0.263 0.078	0.52 0.037 0.070	8.83 0.354 0.040	7.98	6.8	16.1 3.13 0.194	253.35	0.323	3588	11.04	16.98	1.54

Table C-2. Summary of analytical results from the 6 week sampling date.

<sup>a</sup>No replicates.

Note: Mean of 3 replicates unless otherwise indicated.

.

.

	Total N mg/g	NO2-N µg/g	NO3−N µg/g	NH4-N µg∕g	Ext. P Ug/g	Total P mg/g	TOC mg/g	рн <sup>а</sup>	Field <sup>a</sup> Mois- ture X	Dehydrogenase µg Formazan/g	SO4 <sup>=a</sup> µg/g	ATP Ug/g	EC <sup>a</sup> umhos/ cm	C/N	C/P	N/P
101 X SD CV	1.21 0.015 0.013	0.133 0.058 0.433	27.40 16.1 0.588	1.95 0.427 0.219	3.96 0.523 0.132	0.45 0.050 0.112	9.37 0.228 0.024	8.02	5.23	21,22 2.57 0.121	17.18	1.378 122.5 0.089	512	7.74	20.82	2.69
115 X SD CV	1.50 0.030 0.020	0.1	38.93 3.10 0.080	2.15 1.28 0.593	3.97 0.307 0.077	0.45 0.038 0.084	9.81 0.369 0.038	8.06	7.26	30.29 1.15 0.038	84.08	0.932 39.5 0.042	924	6.54	21.8	3.33
160 X SD CV	1.21 0.026 0.022	0.117 0.029 0.247	23.22 2.24 0.096	2.40 0.626 0.261	2.46 0.120 0.049	0.52 0.034 0.066	6.93 0.739 0.107	7,96	5.65	24.30 5.15 0.212	573	0.463 82.5 0.178	2199	5.73	13.33	2.33
201 X SD <u>CV</u>	1.63 0.134 0.083	0.117 0.029 0.247	131.9 7.08 <u>0.054</u>	2.32 0.333 0.144	7.46 1.08 0.145	0.69 0.055 0.080	9.00 1.70 0.188	7.83	7.12	17.5 4.15 0.237	108.1	0.494 171.5 0.347	1737	5.52	13.04	2.36
215 X SD CV	1.55 0.062 0.040	0.167 0.029 0.173	87.33 3.94 0.045	2.23 0.416 0.186	4.42 0.418 0.094	0.50 0.036 0.071	8.43 0.205 0.024	7.92	9,78	26.96 1.36 0.051	126.8	0.770 90.5 0.117	1545	5.44	16.86	3.10
260 X SD CV	0.91 0.040 0.044	0.20 0.05 0.25	39.63 17.76 0.448	2.00 0.391 0.195	2.03 0.801 0.394	0.48 0.009 0.019	6.03 0.197 0.033	8.02	7,39	4.60 2.93 0.637	572	0.449 41.5 10.092	3104	6.63	12.56	1.90
301 X SD <u>CV</u>	1.47 0.068 0.046	0.133 0.058 0.433	24.27 5.44 <u>0.2</u> 24	1.85 0.377 0.204	16.22 6.72 0.414	0.51 0.055 0.107	9.86 1.69 0.172	8.02	6.41	51.29 7.97 0.155	14.48	1.358 29 0.021	807	6.71	19.33	2.88
315 X SD CV	1.38 0.105 0.076	0.1	25.17 1.53 0.061	1.83 0.076 0.042	12.89 3.45 0.268	0.50 0.038 0.077	10.07 0.532 0.053	8.00	10.39	41.11 2.90 0.071	126.8	1.081 151 0.140	1272	7.30	20.14	2.76
360 X SD CV	0.86 0.097 0.113	0.267 0.029 0.108	25.23 13.46 0.533	2.35 0.229 0.098	3.31 2.09 0.631	0.53 0.048 0.091	7.14 0.762 0.107	7,94	7.93	19,34 15.42 0.797	908.5	0.342 19.5 0.057	2677	8.30	13.47	1.62
401 X SD CV	1.67 0.197 0.118	0.1	61.5 0	1.48 0.369 0.248	8.58 0.496 0.058	1.30 0.689 0.530	9.36 0.623 0.067	7.86	9.59	28.18 6.03 0.214	90.85	1.272 2.5 0.002	1292	5.60	7.20	1.28
415 X SD CV	1.53 0.012 0.008	0.1	71.5 7.76 0.109	2.33 0.742 0.318	4.60 0.383 0.083	0.55 0.028 0.051	9.38 1.16 0.123	7.95	10.49	32.05 3.96 0.123	101.8	1.464 68 0.046	1180	6.13	17.05	2.78
460 X SD <u>CV</u>	0.98 0.015 0.016	0.233 0.029 0.124	34.27 0.895 0.026	1.55 0.265 0.171	1.79 0.407 0.228	0.51 0.036 0.071	6.63 0.653 0.098	8.09	9.16	10.38 3.88 0.374	736.5	0.373 75.0 0.201	2032	6.77	13.00	1.92
501 X SD 	1.47 0.044 0.030	0.183 0.144 <u>0.787</u>	44.72 8.19 0.183	4.65 3.30 0.710	9.60 0.121 0.013	1.10 0.196 0.178	9.34 0.837 <u>0.090</u>	7.89	6.89	24.32 5.10 0.209	90.85	0.387 61.5 0.159	1190	6.35	8.49	1.34
515 X SD <u>CV</u>	1.47 0.03 0.020	0.1 0	71.40 6.14 0.086	2.32 0.325 0.140	4.56 0.171 0.037	0.59 0.032 0.054	9.66 0.364 0.038	7.90	8.55	30.92 3.73 <u>0.121</u>	126.8	0.674 16.7 0.025	1861	6.57	16.37	2.49
560 X SD 	0,78 0,017 0,0 <u>22</u>	0.133 0.029 0.216	30.90 11.00 0.356	3.72 0.029 0.008	2.19 1.62 0.742	0.59 0.048 0.081	7.34 0.779 0.106	8.07	6.93	11.17 6.96 0.623	756.5	0.455 89 0.196	2491	9.41	12.44	1.32

Table C-3. Summary of analytical results from the 9 week sampling date.

<sup>a</sup>No replicates.

Note: Mean of 3 replicates unless otherwise indicated.

131

.

Table C-4.	Summary of analytical	results from the 13 week sampling date.	•

	Total N mg/g	NO2-N µg/g	NO3-N µg/g	NH4−N µg/g	Ext. P µg/g	Total P mg/g	TOC mg/g	₽ <sup>∏</sup> a	Fleld <sup>a</sup> Mois- ture Z	Dehydrogenase µg Formazan/g	SO4 ≖a µg/g	ATP µg∕g	EC <sup>a</sup> µmhos/ cm	C/N	C/P	N/I
101 X SD CV	1.19 0.017 0.015	0.167 0.0289 0.173	18.83 3.55 0.188	2.33 0.501 0.215	4,84 0,085 0,018	0.45 0.0089 0.020	9.01 0.445 0.049	8,19	6.15	24,23 4,04 0,167	9.97	1.131 47.1 0.042	491	7.57	20.02	2.6
115 x SD CV	1.32 0.029 0.022	0.1	26.0 0.866 0.033	3.33 0.909 0.273	5.28 0.686 0.130	0.45 0.0023 0.005	10.15 0.885 0.087	8.10	6.36	23,40 1,13 0,048	90.0	0.649 118 0.182	891	7.69	22.56	2.9
160 X SD CV	0.99 0.127 0.129	0.083 0.0577 0.693	25.17 6.79 0.270	3.08 0.645 0.209	4.29 0.776 0.181	0.44 0.0208 0.048	7.56 0.21 0.028	7,98	6,45	21.90 7.50 0.343	700	0.354 31.5 0.089	3412	7.64	17.18	2.2
201 X SD CV	1.21 0.225 0.185	0.15 0	91.83 37.52 0.409	1.90 0.482 0.254	10.88 3.00 0.275	0.67 0.1349 0.201	8.86 0.321 0.036	8.00	6.15	28.23 4.04 0.143	58.0	0.323 0	1247	7.32	13.22	1.8
215 X SD CV	1.37 0.040 0.030	0.267 0.0289 0.108	81.0 10.40 0.128	2.58 0.683 0.264	4,86 0,439 0,090	0.44 0.0123 0.028	9.89 0.552 0.056	7.87	8.35	20.10 5.15 0.256	126.4	0.859 20 0.023	1368	7.22	22.48	3.1
260 X SD CV	1.29 0.02 0.016	0.25 0.10 0.40	55.67 10.20 0.183	2.53 0.840 0.332	4.00 0.356 0.089	0.43 0.0171 0.039	8,85 0,306 0,035	7.85	10.12	14.67 0.802 0.055	497	0.323 0	2223	6.86	20.58	3.0
301 X SD CV	1.07 0.108 0.101	0.217 0.076 0.323	13.33 5.01 0.376	2.57 0.900 0.351	14.98 9.28 0.619	0.44 0.023 0.053	10.44 0.315 0.030	8.04	8.42	41.23 6.38 0.155	46.55	1.404 88 0.063	1 3 2 2	9.76	23.73	2.4
315 X SD CV	1.33 0.046 0.034	0	39.50 0.50 0.013	1.92 0,208 0,109	9.63 0.911 0.095	0.44 0.0317 0.073	9.76 0.871 0.089	7.98	8.98	28.83 4.06 0.141	126.65	1.015 91.5 0.090	1232	7.34	22,18	3.0
360 X SD CV	0.81 0.03 0.037	0.23 0.058 0.247	26.33 4.48 0.170	2.53 1.46 0.575	6.64 0.583 0.088	0.48 0.0247 0.051	7.28 0.980 0.135	8.05	7.75	12.97 1.53 0.118	556	0.323 0	2510	8,99	15.17	1,6
401 X SD CV	1.33 0.032 0.024	0.10 0.05 0.5	27.0 14.03 0.520	2.88 0.301 0.105	13.18 1.63 0.124	0.92 0.284 0.309	8.56 0.820 0.096	8.00	10.04	27.32 6.77 0.248	36.97	0.508 59 0.116	1118	6.44	9.30	1.4
415 X SD CV	1.33 0.036 0.027	0 0	51.17 4.25 0.083	2.83 1.46 0.517	5.22 0.413 0.079	0.44 0.0236 0.053	8,93 0,239 0,027	8.07	11.49	25.87 2.37 0.092	97.2	0.747 48.5 0.065	974	6.71	20.30	3.0
460 X SD CV	1.06 0.095 0.090	0.183 0.115 0.630	37.5 11.17 0.298	1,80 0,05 0,028	4.13 1.56 0.378	0.47 0.0317 0.067	8.91 0.465 0.052	8.05	10.37	11.37 9.61 0.845	395	0.353 29.5 0.084	2238	8.41	18.96	2.2
501 X SD CV	1,23 0,182 0,148	0.083 0.104 1.25	35.0 9.54 0.273	2.18 0.535 0.245	8.95 4.61 0.515	0.66 0.0244 0.037	9.40 0.745 0.079	7.89	8.49	16.07 0.808 0.050	112.25	0.888 9 0.010	1287	7.64	14.24	1.8
515 X SD CV	1.34 0.021 0.016	0 0	54.83 4.75 0.087	1.73 0.161 0.093	6.39 4,00 0,626	0.40 0.0112 0.028	9,38 0.817 0.087	7.96	8.83	23.53 2.41 0.103	154.6	0.557 23.6 0.042	1519	7.00	23.45	3.3
560 X SD	0.69 0.059 0.085	0.167 0.076 0.458	30.0 22.57 0.752	3.18 2.96 0.930	3.30 0.967 0.293	0.45 0.0226 0.050	6.89 1.191 0.173	7.98	8.62	14.3 5.04 0.353	497	0.327 6.1	2808	9.99	15.31	1.5

<sup>8</sup>No replicates.

Note: Mean of 3 replicates unless otherwise indicated.

132

	Table	C-5.	Initial	condition	of	soils.
--	-------	------	---------	-----------	----	--------

	Total N mg/g	NO2-N µg/g	NO3-N DE/B	NH4-N Vg/g	Ext. P Ug/g	Total P mg/g	TOC mg/g	рн <sup>а</sup>	CaCO <sub>3</sub> eq.,	CEC <sup>a</sup> meg/ 100 g	so4 <sup>#8</sup> ug/g	EC <sup>a</sup> µmhos/ em	C/N	C/P	N/P
A Horizon X	1.23	0.6	18.73	4.53	7.24	0.44	9.80	7.91	0.877	17.7	26.31	702	7.97	22.27	2.80
SD	0.08	0	1.26	0.355	0.707	0.019	0.463		0.249						
CV	0.068	0	0.067	0.078	0.098	0.044	0.047		0.284						
B Horizon X	0,69	0.283	8,55	14.22	3.55	0.57	7.68	8.12	6,293	12.2	21.86	647	11.13	13.47	1.21
SD	0.060	0.029	0.563	0,029	0.256	0.034	0,753		0.335						*
CV	0.087	0.102	0.066	0,002	0.072	0.060	0.098		0.053						
Overburden X	0.47	0	15.00	14.58	1.73	0.66	4.77	9.22	4ma	12.4	41.98	1041	10.15	7.23	0.71
SD	0.05	0	1.32	1.67	1.01	0.019	0.140								
CV	0.106		0.088	0,114	0.585	0.029	0.029								
Manure X	4.47	1.28	6,72	4.47	244.3	6,32	31.52								
SD	0.993	0.451	5.78	0.993	12.7	0.656	0.297								
CV	0.222	0.351	0.861	0.222	0.052	0.104	0.009								

.

<sup>a</sup>No replicates.

.

Note: Mean of 3 replicates unless otherwise indicated.

133

.

,

## VITA

Margaret M. McCarthy

Candidate for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Dissertation: Alteration of Microbial Populations in Surface Mine Revegetation and Their Effects on Nitrogen Cycling

Major Field: Civil and Environmental Engineering

Biographical Information:

- Personal Data: Born at Cleveland, Ohio, December 12, 1951, daughter of George and Alice McCarthy
- Education: Received Bachelor of Science Degree from Notre Dame, 1974; Master of Science from Kent State, 1976; completed the requirements for Doctor of Philosophy degree at Utah State University, 1980.