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Short Communication

The sky is falling: Chemical characterization and corrosion evaluation of deposition produced during the static testing of solid rocket motors

William J. Doucette a,⁎, Laurie S. McNeill a, Scout Mendenhall b, Paul V. Hancock c, Jason E. Wells c, Kevin J. Thackeray c, David P. Gosen d

a Utah Water Research Laboratory, Utah State University, 8200 Old Main Hill, Logan UT 84322, USA
b Molzen-Corbin, 2701 Miles Road SE, Albuquerque, NM 87106, USA
c ATK Launch Systems, 9160 North Highway 83, Corinne, UT 84307, USA
d Univar, 17425 NE Union Hill Rd, Redmond WA 98052, USA

HIGHLIGHTS

► Static tests of rocket motors result in deposition of entrained soil and fuel combustion products over large areas
► Chloride is the main combustion product generated from the ammonium perchlorate–aluminum based propel-
► Steel coupons exposed to test fire soil (TFS) deposition had higher corrosion rates than paired non-exposed cou-
► Sites receiving more TFS deposition had higher corrosion rates.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

A B S T R A C T

Static tests of horizontally restrained rocket motors at the ATK facility in Promontory UT, USA result in the deposition of entrained soil and fuel combustion products, referred to as Test Fire Soil (TFS), over areas as large as 30–50 mile² (80–130 km²) and at distances up to 10–12 miles (16–20 km) from the test site. Chloride is the main combustion product generated from the ammonium perchlorate–aluminum based composite propellant. Deposition sampling/characterization and a 6-month field corrosivity study using mild steel coupons were conducted in conjunction with the February 25th 2010 FSM-17 static test. The TFS deposition rates at the three study sites ranged from 1 to 5 g/min/m². TFS contained significantly more chloride than the surface soil collected from the test site. The TFS collected during two subsequent tests had similarly elevated chloride, suggesting that the results obtained in this study are applicable to other tests assuming that the rocket fuel composition remains similar. The field-deployed coupons exposed to the TFS had higher corrosion rates (3.6–5.0 mpy) than paired non-exposed coupons (1.6–1.8 mpy). Corrosion rates for all coupons...
1. Introduction

As part of a multi-year program designed to evaluate and improve the performance and safety of reusable solid rocket motors (RSRMs), static ground tests are conducted at the Alliant Techsystems (ATK) Promontory, Utah facility. During static tests, the heavily instrumented RSRMs, containing an ammonium perchlorate–aluminum based composite propellant, are horizontally restrained and ignited while data on performance are collected. During a typical 2-minute test, a high-temperature cloud of combustion products and an estimated 1.5 million kg of entrained soil are generated. This combustion cloud typically reaches heights of 10,000–15,000 ft above ground surface.

As the cloud cools, the deposition material, referred to as Test Fire Soil (TFS), drops on the surrounding area (usually at a 10–20° angle that extends out to 10–12 miles) that includes rangeland, farmland, low-density residential housing and several wildlife management areas. Due to its exposure to elevated temperatures (combustion gas temperatures approach 6000 °F) and combustion products (largely aluminum oxide and hydrogen chloride [Dreschel and Hall, 1990]), the composition of the Test Fire Soil (TFS) is expected to be different from the native soil.

The monitoring conducted during several past Space Shuttle launches and vertically restrained static rocket motor tests having similar fuel composition provides some pertinent information on the potential constituents and impact of the deposition material generated during the static tests. The typical RSRM used in the Space Shuttle program contained over 500,000 kg of a composite propellant comprised of an ammonium perchlorate oxidizer (70%), an aluminum-based fuel (20%), and a binder (10%). During static tests, the plume generated from the horizontally restrained static rocket tests, but only temporarily because alkalinity in the soil neutralized the acid.

Nowak and Friend (2006) observed that soil pH was decreased by vertically restrained static rocket tests, but only temporarily because alkalinity in the soil neutralized the acid.

In contrast to the Space Shuttle launches and vertically restrained static rocket tests, the plumes generated from the horizontally restrained static tests in Northern Utah contain large quantities of soil. For very large static tests, the plumes generated from the horizontally restrained static rocket tests sites close to a small mountain range that were allowed to wind direction and led to short-term decreases in soil and water pH surrounding the Kennedy Space Center after shuttle launches. At a NASA test facility in Mississippi, Nowak and Friend (2006) observed that soil pH was decreased by vertically restrained static rocket tests, but only temporarily because alkalinity in the soil neutralized the acid.

In contrast to the Space Shuttle launches and vertically restrained static tests, the plumes generated from the horizontally restrained static tests in Northern Utah contain large quantities of soil. For very large static tests, the plumes generated from the horizontally restrained static rocket tests sites close to a small mountain range that makes up the eastern boundary of the facility. The rising grade behind the test facility creates a point where the high thrust exhaust entrains large amounts of soil and rock.

By using aircraft to make real time measurements, Cofer et al. (1993) found that the number of large diameter particles (>5 um) in the Utah site’s static test exhaust cloud was greater than that of a shuttle launch and most of the large material was composed of soil debris. They also found that although the peak atmospheric HCl concentrations during a static test in Utah were slightly greater than that observed during a shuttle launch in Florida (50 ppm, vs. 35 ppm), the HCl concentrations decreased from the peak much more rapidly at the Utah site. We speculate that the relatively alkaline soil (pH 8, 30% carbonate content) entrained in the combustion cloud neutralized some of the HCl.

Questions regarding the composition and potential corrosivity of the TFS generated during static rocket testing prompted this investigation. The deposition material (TFS) was collected during the February 2010 FSM-17 static test and analyzed for chloride and other major anions and metals. This material was also compared to the TFS collected during several other static tests in order to evaluate compositional variability. To assess the potential corrosivity of the TFS under environmental conditions and compare it to other locations, fifteen, pre-weighed, standard mild carbon steel specimen coupons were mounted on tripod stands, 15 min before the February 2010 static test at the three sites within the projected deposition plume (exposed). After the deposition was visually observed to have stopped, a second panel of 15 coupons was mounted next to the exposed panel (non-exposed). Triplicate coupons were collected from each set at five times over a six-month exposure period and were cleaned to remove the corrosion byproducts. Corrosion rates, determined from weight loss measurements, were compared to those obtained from non-exposed samples and to literature values.

2. Experimental

The FSM-17 static rocket motor test started at 11:50 am MST on February 25, 2010. Sky conditions were mostly cloudy with a light morning fog dissipating by the time of the test. Winds above 10,000 ft mean sea level (MSL) were out of the northwest at 10 m/s. Temperatures at 10,000 and 20,000 ft MSL were −10 and −20 °C, respectively. Weather conditions were monitored by ATK meteorologists and used to make pre-test plume path predictions and select three sites for the collection of deposition material and the initiation of a six-month corrosion monitoring experiment (Fig. 1). Sites were located at approximately 41°N 112°W with elevations ranging from 1327 to 1448 m. All three sites did receive TFS deposition, although snow cover and atmospheric conditions likely limited soil entrainment based on visual and radar comparisons with previous static tests.

2.1. Deposition material (TFS) collection

Polyethylene tarps (3 m²), placed on the ground at each of the three locations just before the start of the static motor test, were used to collect TFS for the post-test characterization of inorganic materials (major anions and metals). Universal pH paper test strips placed on the tarps were used to provide a rough estimate of pH since the amount of wet deposition collected was insufficient for the use of a standard pH probe and meter. After the deposition stopped, the collected material was transferred from the tarp into a labeled HDPE bucket by using a nylon scraper. The buckets were sealed and transported to the Utah Water Research Laboratory (UWRL, Logan UT, USA) where the material was allowed to air dry for two days. After drying, the material was weighed and transferred to HDPE plastic containers pending characterization. Large stainless steel bowls (12 in. diameter) were used to collect TFS for organic material characterization but insufficient material prevented the analysis. Soil cores (10 cm × 2.5 cm diameter) were also collected at the test site by using a hand driven ASM soil corer (American Fork, ID, USA) and divided into four 2.5 cm sections prior to the analysis. However, since no trends in metals or major ion concentrations were observed with depth, the results for the soil cores are presented as the average and standard deviation for all four sections.
2.2. TFS and soil analysis

For anion analysis, 5-gram samples of air-dried TFS or soil were added to 5 mL of deionized water (DI) in 40 mL polyethylene centrifuge tubes. The tubes were agitated for 48 h on a rotary tumbler operating at 40 rpm, then centrifuged at 5000 \( g \) for 15 min to separate the phases. An aliquot of supernatant, diluted if necessary to stay within the instrument calibration range, was analyzed by using a Dionex DX500 Ion Chromatography system equipped with a 50 mm guard column, 250 mm × 4.5 mm AS11-HC analytical column and a CD20 conductivity detector. The isocratic elution program utilized a 30 mM NaOH solution at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min.

A microwave digestion procedure was used to prepare the soil and TFS for metal analysis. The digestion procedure followed the USEPA Method 3050B (US EPA, 1996). Approximately 0.5 g soil was placed in an APCU-40 75 mL TFM vessel (Milestone, Italy). Sides of the vessel were rinsed with 5 mL de-ionized water, to which 9 mL concentrated nitric acid (trace metal grade, Fisher Scientific) and 2 mL hydrogen peroxide 30% by weight (Certified ACS, Fisher Scientific) were added. These were capped in an APCU-TR40 Safety Shield (Milestone, Italy), and placed in an Ethos EZ Microwave Digestion System (Milestone, Italy). The samples experienced a 15-minute ramp time to reach 200 °C, after which they were held at constant temperature for 30 min, and then allowed a cool-down period of 20 min. Samples were diluted to 100 mL in a volumetric flask and filtered by using Whatman No. 42 filters before analysis. Each batch of digestions included 3 blanks.

Metal analysis was performed by using an Agilent 7500c inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) following procedures outlined in the USEPA Method 6020A (US EPA, 2007). The instrument was calibrated by using external standards prepared from a certified stock (High-Purity Standards, Charleston, SC). Internal standards were Sc, Ge, In, and Tb. Helium collision mode was used to remove interferences for the analysis of V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, and As. Hydrogen reaction mode was used for the Se analysis. All other metals were analyzed without the reaction cell. Quality control samples included blanks, calibration verification samples, and duplicate matrix spikes.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
<th>Site 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance from motor (km)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from estimated plume centerline (m)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposition duration (min)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposition rate (g/min/m²)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Deposition rates are based on duplicate measurements.
2.3. Corrosion monitoring

Fifteen, pre-weighed, standard mild carbon steel specimen coupons (1018 mild carbon steel, 0.125” × 0.875” × 2.875”, 5.683 in² = 36.666 cm², part number CO1113770201120, Metal Samples Co. Inc., Munford AL), fastened to plexiglass panels using plastic zip-ties or nylon bolts, were mounted on south-facing 45 degree angle tripod stands, 15 min before the static motor test at the three sites within the projected deposition plume (Fig. 1). After the deposition was visually observed to have stopped, a second panel of 15 coupons was mounted next to the exposed panel (Fig. 2). Each tripod was anchored by sand bags and equipped with a temperature/humidity datalogger (HOBO U23 Pro v2, Part Number U23-001, Onset Inc., Bourne, MA).

During each of the five sampling events (March 4, April 2, June 17, July 20 and August 17, 2010), three coupons were removed from each panel and placed in paper sample envelopes. Coupons were selected for removal by using the random number generating function “RANDBETWEEN” in Microsoft Excel. After collection, samples were placed in a desiccator for 48 h, photographed, and then sent to Metal Samples Co Inc. (Munford AL) for weight loss analysis. The coupons were cleaned in a blasting cabinet by using a fine glass abrasive at 40–50 psi for 2–3 min with the cleaning time dependent on the amount of corrosion observed on the samples. The coupons showing minimal corrosion were gently wiped with acetone instead of being subjected to the abrasive cleaning. After cleaning, the coupons were then re-weighed. Corrosion rates (mil/year, or mpy) were calculated for all coupons based on weight loss and time of exposure.

Sometime between Friday, February 26, 2010 and Monday, March 1, 2010 the corrosion test panel at Site 1 was knocked over by wind or grazing cattle. The Plexiglas metal coupon support separated from the tripod and was found face down on the ground. All coupons were still attached to the plate. The test panel was reassembled Monday, March 1, 2010 and was surrounded by a fence.

2.4. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were done using the statistical program R, version 2.12.1 (R Core Team, 2012). Metal and ion concentration data were evaluated by using a standard ANOVA, while corrosion rate data were analyzed by using a Repeated Measures ANOVA. As the rate data violated Mauchley’s test for sphericity (homogeneity of variances) (Winer et al., 1991), the ANOVA F-statistic was corrected by an epsilon-factor (Von Ende, 2001).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. TFS deposition collection

The static rocket motor test started at 11:50 AM, February 25, 2010, and deposition was first noted at 11:56 AM, 11:58 AM, and 12:02 PM at Sites 3, 2, and 1 respectively. The deposition period ranged from 4 to 8 min (Table 1). The total area of deposition was estimated to be ~20 mile² from the Next Generation Radar (NEXRAD) plume signatures. The pH test trips located on the deposition collection tarp indicated that some of the liquid depositions at all sites had a pH < 2, although they were quickly neutralized as they reacted with solid deposition materials. Subsequent tests showed that the pH of the TFS varied from 9 to 11, depending on the age of the material. The elevated pH values were due to the high temperature conversion of calcite to calcium oxide that then reacted with water to form calcium hydroxide.

3.2. Deposition characterization

3.2.1. Anions

The results of the anion analysis are summarized in Table 2. Chloride was the dominant anion as expected, with concentrations ranging from 23000 to 34,000 mg/kg, and all the TFS samples were three orders of magnitude greater than the test site background soil (collected just

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Chloride (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Sulfate (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Nitrate (mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TFS Site-1</td>
<td>34,080</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFS Site-2</td>
<td>23,260</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFS Site-3</td>
<td>33,400</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFS average ± SD</td>
<td>30,200 ± 6060</td>
<td>103 ± 8</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test site background soil</td>
<td>65 ± 17</td>
<td>49 ± 3</td>
<td>10.8 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2. Magnesium

The results of the anion analysis are summarized in Table 2. Chloride was the dominant anion as expected, with concentrations ranging from 23000 to 34,000 mg/kg, and all the TFS samples were three orders of magnitude greater than the test site background soil (collected just...
Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Site 1 (mpy)</th>
<th>Site 2 (mpy)</th>
<th>Site 3 (mpy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exposed</td>
<td>Not exposed</td>
<td>Exposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 4, 2010</td>
<td>3.33±0.53</td>
<td>1.60±0.47</td>
<td>5.00±0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2, 2010</td>
<td>0.31±0.01</td>
<td>0.09±0.00</td>
<td>0.44±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 17, 2010</td>
<td>0.19±0.02</td>
<td>0.17±0.02</td>
<td>0.32±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 20, 2010</td>
<td>0.13±0.00</td>
<td>0.08±0.01</td>
<td>0.24±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug. 17, 2010</td>
<td>0.16±0.00</td>
<td>0.05±0.00</td>
<td>0.14±0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ANOVA tests showed that concentrations of aluminum were significantly higher in the TFS (55,400 ± 3200 mg/kg) than the soil (24,100 ± 1100 mg/kg), which is consistent with previous results that found increased aluminum content in the TFS from the Al-containing motor fuel exhaust. The ANOVA tests on the other metals also demonstrated that TFS contained significantly higher chromium and nickel than the test site background soil, while concentrations of arsenic, barium, beryllium, iron, lead, selenium, thallium, vanadium, and zinc were significantly lower in the TFS compared to the soil. There were no differences in the levels of antimony, cadmium, cobalt, copper, and manganese (data not shown). The reasons for the statistical differences are unknown but the differences are not environmentally relevant.

3.3. Site characterization

Temperature and humidity were monitored throughout the year at each of the three sites (Fig. 3). Although temperature and relative humidity varied through the year, there were no significant differences between the three sites on a given sampling date. Time of wetness (TOW), defined as the time that relative humidity is in excess of 80% and the temperature is above 0°C, was considered a key parameter impacting corrosion processes. Based on the site-specific temperature and humidity data collected using the HOBO temperature/humidity dataloggers, TOW was zero during the duration of study.

3.4. Corrosion results

As expected, all coupons corroded during their six-month exposure to ambient environmental conditions. However, as illustrated in Fig. 4, within the first week coupons on the exposed panels showed visibly more corrosion compared to the non-exposed coupons. For the duration of the study, there was no significant site effect on the corrosion rates for the non-exposed coupons (all three non-exposed sites had the same rate at a given time), and these non-exposed coupons had significantly lower corrosion rates compared to the coupons exposed to the TFS (Table 4). Corrosion rates at all sites decreased with time, likely due to the formation of a protective rust layer on the surface (Knotkova-Cermakova et al., 1982), but the exposed coupons always had a greater rate than the non-exposed coupons. For each of the five sampling events, the corrosion rates of the TFS-exposed coupons at Sites 2 and 3 were the same, and both had a significantly higher corrosion rate than Site 1. This is consistent with the TFS deposition pattern observed during the test, with Sites 2 and 3 receiving more TFS and the calculated amount of chloride (deposition rate multiplied by the TFS chloride concentration) than Site 1 (Tables 1 and 2). This could also be due to the panels at Site 1 being knocked over during the first week, which may have dislodged some of the deposited TFS and thus decreased the observed corrosion. Overall, the corrosion rates observed in this study were lower than the rate of 42 mpy seen at the space shuttle launch site in the Kennedy Space Center in FL, but comparable to several marine and industrial sites (0.05–21 mpy) (Coburn, 1978).

3.5. Conclusion

The deposition resulting from the static testing of large horizontally restrained rocket motors has not been previously collected and chemically characterized. Depositional material collected during a February 2010 static test was found to have elevated levels of chloride and showed corrosive properties based on the weight loss measurement from field deployed mild steel test coupons. The elevated chloride levels were not surprising given the interaction of the plume entrained soil with the rocket fuel combustion products. Ongoing studies are focused on the potential impact of the deposition on crop plants grown on the surrounding farms.
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