

12-1-2003

Winter Grazing Standing Corn Plants for Beef Cows Under Maintenance Conditions

Dale R. ZoBell
Utah State University

Donald L. Synder
Utah State University

K C. Olson
Utah State University

R D. Wiedmeier
Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/extension_histall

Warning: The information in this series may be obsolete. It is presented here for historical purposes only. For the most up to date information please visit [The Utah State University Cooperative Extension Office](#)

Recommended Citation

ZoBell, Dale R.; Synder, Donald L.; Olson, K C.; and Wiedmeier, R.D., "Winter Grazing Standing Corn Plants for Beef Cows Under Maintenance Conditions" (2003). *All Archived Publications*. Paper 1237.
http://digitalcommons.usu.edu/extension_histall/1237

This Factsheet is brought to you for free and open access by the Archived USU Extension Publications at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Archived Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact dylan.burns@usu.edu.



WINTER GRAZING STANDING CORN PLANTS FOR BEEF COWS UNDER MAINTENANCE CONDITIONS

Randy Wiedmeier¹, Don Snyder², Dale ZoBell¹, and Ken Olson¹

¹Department of Animal, Dairy and Veterinary Sciences

²Department of Economics

December 2003

AG/ 2003-10



INTRODUCTION

Corn is known as a forage crop that has the potential of yielding more energy per acre than any other forage crop in the U.S. Additionally, corn has an advantage as a winter grazing crop because its stem cures well, it stands above the snow, and it stands up in windy conditions as well as providing a windbreak for cattle grazing it.

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

During the winter of 2001, 2.43 acres of standing silage-type corn (Roundup-Ready) were grazed by 16 dry, pregnant beef cows (1420 lbs) from November 1 through February 15. Grazing was controlled using the New Zealand-type polywire electric fencing system with step-in posts. The heavy-gauged steel rod at the end of these posts allowed use even in frozen ground. Two rows of corn plants were offered to the cows at each set. The

first row remained standing while the second row was laid down using an ATV. The electric wire was stretched over the row that was laid down. The cows consumed the standing row first and usually consumed the cobs first, followed by the leaves and lastly the stalks. After consuming the standing row, cows would pull the laid down row from beneath the electric wire and consume it. When utilization of the two rows was complete, the cows were offered another two-row set in the same manner. This method allowed for high utilization with minimal waste.

RESULTS

The following is a summary of costs associated with the growing of the corn plants:

Variable Costs:	\$/acre
Land preparation and seeding	58.67
Cultivation	14.96
Fertilization	41.96
Pesticides/herbicides	31.94
Irrigation	69.90
Interest on operating @9.75%	6.69
<i>Total Variable Costs</i>	224.12
Ownership Costs:	
Insurance	2.00
Machinery	29.65
Irrigation equipment	8.25
Land ownership	22.72
<i>Total Ownership Costs</i>	82.62
Total Costs:	306.74

Performance of the cows during the winter grazing period is shown below:

Item	November	December	January
Beginning weight, lbs	1421	1443	1499
Ending weight, lbs	1443	1499	1541
Weight change, lbs	22	56	42
AGD ¹ , lbs	.75	1.81	1.35
Beginning BCS ²	4.8	5.1	5.8
Ending BCS	5.1	5.5	5.8
BCS change	.3	.4	.3

¹average daily gain

²body condition score, 1 = extremely thin, 9 = extremely fat

The following are estimated dry matter (DM) yields and value of the standing corn plants through the winter grazing period:

Item	November	December	January
tons DM/acre	10.57	9.40	8.73
value of DM ¹ , \$/lb	.0146	.0163	.0176

¹based on a production cost of \$306.74 per acre

The following are DM intake and total feed cost of cows grazing standing corn plants during the winter.

Item	November	December	January
lbs DM/cow/day	30.24	30.41	31.99
value of DM, \$/lb	.0146	.0163	.0176
supplement ¹ , \$/cow/day	.03	.03	.03
Total feed cost, \$/cow/day	.4728	.5258	.5919

¹calcium, salt, trace minerals, fat-soluble vitamins, nonprotein nitrogen

CONCLUSIONS

Cows performed well while grazing standing corn plants during the wintering period, gaining 120 lbs. of body weight and improving body condition by one full BCS.

The grazing of standing corn plants was cost effective compared to feeding mechanically harvested forage during the wintering period (30 lbs. DM hay x \$.028/lb DM = \$.84/cow/day versus \$.51/cow/day grazing standing corn).

The carrying capacity of the standing corn plants was quite high requiring only (2.43 acres ÷ 16 cows ÷ 107 days) = .0014 acres/cow/day (about 61 sq. ft/cow/day).

This project demonstrates that substantial savings can occur when standing corn is offered as the roughage source for beef cows under maintenance conditions. Harvesting of the corn is accomplished by the cow resulting in lower operating costs. This would be a viable alternative for small or large producers who have access to land suitable for growing irrigated corn.

Utah State University is committed to providing an environment free from harassment and other forms of illegal discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age (40 and older), disability, and veteran's status. USU's policy also prohibits discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation in employment and academic related practices and decisions.

Utah State University employees and students cannot, because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, or veteran's status, refuse to hire; discharge; promote; demote; terminate; discriminate in compensation; or discriminate regarding terms, privileges, or conditions of employment, against any person otherwise qualified. Employees and students also cannot discriminate in the classroom, residence halls, or in on/off campus, USU-sponsored events and activities.

This publication is issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work. Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Jack M. Payne, Vice President and Director, Cooperative Extension Service, Utah State University.