Utah State University DigitalCommons@USU

All Graduate Theses and Dissertations

Graduate Studies

5-1960

A Diallelic Study of Six Chaff Variations in Wheat

Royal Jay Swenson Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd

Part of the Plant Breeding and Genetics Commons

Recommended Citation

Swenson, Royal Jay, "A Diallelic Study of Six Chaff Variations in Wheat" (1960). *All Graduate Theses and Dissertations*. 3629.

https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd/3629

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Graduate Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



A DIALLELIC STUDY OF SIX CHAFF VARIATIONS IN WHEAT

by

Royal Jay Swenson

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Plant Breeding

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY Logan, Utah

378.2 Sur 42 d C.Z

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express appreciation to the following: Dr. Wade G. Dewey, for furnishing the material for this study and for serving as thesis director. His suggestions and criticisms made during this study and other phases of research work are appreciated.

Dr. DeVere R. McAllister, who served as major professor in undergraduate and graduate capacities to the author. His encouragement and attitude toward students is appreciated.

Dr. Orson S. Cannon, who served as a committee member.

Ann, the wife of the author deserves much credit for her love, encouragement, and help during this study.

Royal Jay Swenson

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																Page
Introducti	on	•		•		•		•		•	•	•	•	•		l
Review of	lite	rature	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•		2
Materials	and	method	5.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
Experiment	al r	esults			•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
Awns	vers	us awn	less	ness							0					12
Inher	itan	ce of	chaft	co 1	lor		•	•	•		•	٥			•	12
	9:3:	3:1 ch	aff (colo	r s	egi	ega	tio	n	•	•	0	•	•	•	12
		Cross	558	(F	x D)										12
		Cross							•							14
		Cross					•		•	•	•			•	•	14
	3:1	chaff	colo	r se	gre	gat	ion		•	•	0	0	•	•	•	20
		Cross	559	(B	x D)					0					20
		Cross	563	(D	хA)		•					•	•		20
		Cross														23
		Cross									•			•		23
		Cross						•	•	•		•				23
		Cross	574	(A	x E)	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	23
	Spec	ial se	grega	atio	n p	att	ern	5	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	26
		Cross	562	(A	хC)										. 26
		Cross	566	(C	x E)										27
		Cross	570	(C	x D)	•	•								32
		Cross														32
		Cross	575	(F	x A)										38
		Cross	567	(E	x F)	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	0	42
Discussion	and	summa	ry	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	0	•	•	44
Conclusion	S	•••				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	50
Literature	cit	ed						•	•	•	•			0	•	52

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	Selection numbers, color combinations, and letter designations of the six parents	8
2.	Cross numbers, parental selections, and identifying symbols used in this study	8
3.	F2 inheritance of awn type	13
4.	F ₂ inheritance of black x brown outer chaff color (Cross 558)	15
5.	F ₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 558)	15
6.	Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 558 (black-bronze x brown-white, F x D) 。	16
7.	F2 inheritance of brown x black outer chaff color (Cross 561)	17
8.	F ₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 561)	17
9.	Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 561 (brown-bronze x black-white, $D \ge 0$.	18
10.	F ₂ inheritance of light bronze x black outer chaff color (Cross 569)	19
11,	F ₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 569)	19
12.	Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 569 (black-bronze x light bronze-white, $F \ge F$)	21
13.	F ₂ inheritance of light bronze x brown outer chaff color (Cross 559)	22
14.	F ₂ inheritance of brown x white outer chaff color (Cross 563)	22
15.	F ₂ inheritance of white x light bronze outer chaff color (Cross 564)	24
16.	F ₂ inheritance of light bronze x black outer chaff color (Cross 565)	24

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table		Page
17.	F ₂ inheritance of bronze x black outer chaff color (Cross 571)	25
18.	F ₂ inheritance of white x black outer chaff color (Cross 574)	25
19.	F ₂ inheritance of bronze x white outer chaff color (Cross 562)	28
20.	F2 inheritance of bronze x black outer chaff color (Cross 566)	29
21.	F2 inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 566)	29
22.	Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 566 (bronze x black-white, $C \ge E$)	30
23.	Suggested genotype, phenotype, and segregation patterns in the F_2 of Cross 566	31
24.	F2 inheritance of bronze x brown outer chaff color (Cross 570)	33
25.	F ₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 570)	33
26.	Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 570 (bronze x brown-white, C x D)	34
27.	Suggested genotype, phenotype, and segregation patterns in the F_2 of Cross 570	35
28.	F ₂ inheritance of bronze x light bronze outer chaff color (Cross 572)	36
29.	F ₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 572)	36
30.	Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 572 (bronze x light bronze-white, $C \times B$).	37
31.	Suggested genotype, phenotype, and segregation patterns in the F_2 of Cross 572	39
32a.	F2 inheritance of black x white outer chaff color (Cross 575)	40

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table		Page
32b.	F ₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 575)	40
32c.	Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 575 (black-bronze x white, $F \ge A$)	40
33.	Suggested genotype, phenotype, and segregation patterns in the F_2 of Cross 575	41
34.	F inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 567)	43
35.	Summary of F ₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color of all crosses showing 3:1 segregation patterns	45
36.	Summary of F ₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color of all crosses showing 13:3 segregation patterns	45
37.	Summary of F ₂ inheritance of outer chaff colors of all crosses showing 3:1 segregation patterns	46
38.	Suggested genotype and phenotype of the parental selections used in each cross	48
39.	Suggested genotype and phenotype of F_1 plants	49

INTRODUCTION

The colors of the glumes on wheat have been described as being white, yellow, brown, or black. The white actually ranges from cream or pale straw-yellow to dark yellow. Some varieties have white or yellow glumes with brown or black nerves.

Yellow chaff color may range from a buff to a bronze. Brown chaff color ranges from light brown to dark brown and bluish brown. In some varieties, there is a reddish or mahogany tinge. Black-glumed wheats are rare in America. No common varieties are known that possess black glumes. Under dry conditions, they may only be tinged and may be more of a blue than a black (Clark, Martin, and Ball, 1922).

Although genetic studies involving chaff color in wheat are quite common, little information is available on the interrelationships and inheritance patterns of the six chaff color combinations used in this study. Such information is useful to the plant breeder by adding to his knowledge of the breeding behavior of wheat.

This study is a genetic investigation of six chaff color variants crossed in all 15 possible combinations. The purpose was to gain information pertaining to the interrelationships and inheritance of chaff color in wheat.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The inheritance of chaff color in wheat has been reported by numerous investigators. Some of the classifications reported are: white, yellow, light bronze, bronze, dark bronze, buff, grey, mousegrey, black, light brown, medium brown, dark brown, mahogany, and red.

Dr. Spillman, Washington State College, studied chaff color inheritance from 1899-1901. Without knowledge of Mendel's Laws of Inheritance, Dr. Spillman made 14 crosses and studied the F_1 and F_2 generations. In crossing brown and white chaffed varieties, he noticed in the F_1 that they were all brown. In the F_2 generation, he reported that brown chaff and light chaff color segregated into a 3:1 ratio, brown being dominant over white. He also reported a 1:2:1 ratio of one brown parental type, two intermediate browns, and one white parental type (Johnson, 1948).

Biffen (1905) in Cambridge, England, found brown dominant over white in the ratio of three brown to one white. Clark (1924) reported the results of a cross between brown chaff color and white chaff color. When Hard Federation (brown chaff) was used as the female parent and Kota (white chaff) as the male, a very close three brown to one white, simple Mendelian ratio, was obtained. When the reciprocal cross was made, the ratio was two brown to one white. Other investigators reported monogenic inheritance of brown versus white chaff color, resulting in a ratio of three brown to one white (Hayes, 1918; Clark and Hooker, 1926; and Worzella, 1942). Fultz Mediterranean (white chaff), when crossed with Harvest King (red chaff), gave red dominant, the F_2 segregating into a 3:1 ratio. When Turkey Red (white chaff) was crossed with Harvest King, the F_1 generation was an intermediate. The F_2 gave one red, two intermediates, and one white. Reciprocal crosses gave similar results. In a cross involving Black Winter Emmer (black chaff) and Fultz Mediterranean, the F_1 gave an intermediate mulatto black. Segregation in the F_2 was somewhat varied. Red and brown chaff appeared, neither color having been visible in either of the parents. Considering colored and non-colored only, segregation approached a 3:1 ratio (Kezer and Boyack, 1918). Similar results were reported by Spillman (1902), Harrington (1922), and Tingey, Woodward, and Stewart (1934).

Tingey (1924) studied Dicklow (white chaff) crossed with Hard Federation (bronze chaff). All plants displaying any tinge of reddishbrown made up the group called "bronze." All plants lacking this color were called "white." In F_3 he got a 3:1 ratio, bronze being dominant over white glume color. Dhesi (1950) studied the F_2 and F_3 of a cross involving bronze versus white chaff color. In the F_2 both parental types were recovered as well as an intermediate group. The intermediate group in the F_3 was segregated into three types--the parental bronze type, the parental white type, and an intermediate type. Stewart and Tingey (1928) and Dewey (1956) also studied bronze versus white chaff color and reported a 3:1 ratio, bronze being dominant over white.

Biffen (1916) studied Rivet Wheat (mouse-grey chaff color) X a white chaffed common wheat and got a 3:1 ratio, grey being dominant over white. When Rivet was crossed with another grey chaffed wheat, the F_2 showed grey, black, and white chaffed plants. No ratio was

mentioned.

Variations from the normal 3:1 ratio have been reported. Mortenson (1922), after a study of three crosses, reports a nine bronze to seven white ratio in the F_2 generation. Separating the F_2 generation into dark bronze, medium-bronze, light bronze, and white from a white X bronze cross, Beach (1923) reports a 9:3:3:1 ratio.

In crossing a wild type Emmer wheat with a Durum wheat, Love and Craig (1919) reported a 15:1 ratio for brown over white. In this cross, two plants characteristic of the wild type appeared in the F_2 segregates. Seed from these two plants was sown, and one plant produced progeny that segregated approximately 3:1 for brown and white. It was assumed that two genes, R_1 and R_2 , produced the brown color. When acting together, they have a cumulative effect with R_1 being a darker brown than R_2 .

Matsuura (1929) summarized the work done by 24 investigators on chaff color inheritance. He described the glumes of wheat as being black, brown, or yellow. The black included various shades from black to grey, the brown included shades of brown to red, and the yellow included shades of dark yellow to white.

Fifteen of the 24 authors, each studying brown chaff color crossed with white chaff color, concluded that the difference between brown and yellow is monogenic, brown being dominant. However, two other authors reported a 15:1 ratio, brown being dominant over white in the F_2 generation when Spelta was crossed with Brown Vulgare and Yellow Durum was crossed with Brown Vulgare.

In a study of white chaff X brown chaff by Kressling and Lindhart, reported by Matsuura (1929), several instances were found where the inheritance of brown chaff color did not follow the ordinary Mendelian

rules. The white plants often gave some brown plants in a complicated ratio.

Five of the 24 authors reported studies involving yellow chaff X black chaff color. When Black Turgidum was crossed with Yellow Vulgare, and Black Emmer crossed with White Vulgare, the ratios were three black or nearly black to one yellow. However, when Black Emmer (Dicoccum) was crossed with a yellow chaffed Sphaerococcum, the F_2 gave black, brown, and yellow chaff and chaff with tints of these colors in the outer margins. Black proved to be dominant to brown and white.

Matsuura (1929) also reported work by Vavilov and Jakushkina in which they experimented with a series of crosses between a black Persian wheat and several yellow and brown chaff colors. They showed that black differs from brown or red by a single gene. In the F_2 from a black Persian X Red Dicoccum cross they obtained black, brown, and yellow in a dihybrid ratio of 12:3:1. The gene for black pigment proved epistatic to the gene for red; the absence of both black and red resulted in white chaff color.

Three of the 24 authors reviewed a cross between Polish wheat (white chaff) X grey chaffed Turgidum and found white dominant to grey.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The crosses used in this study were made at Logan in the spring of 1957. Six selections exhibiting different chaff color combinations were used as parents. All of the six, with the exception of Brevor, were selected from genetic stocks and are fully awned.

Crosses were made in all combinations among six chaff color variants: white, bronze, and types designated as light bronze-white, brown-white, black-white, and black-bronze. Two rather distinct color series were noted on the same head--one affecting color expression in the outer florets of each spikelet and the other determining the color of the central florets. Color expression in the outer chaff ranged from black through white, including such intermediates as brown, bronze, and light bronze. Color expression in the central florets was limited to either bronze or white.

In referring to the chaff color combinations used in this study, the first word always refers to the color exhibited in the outer florets of each spikelet, or the outer chaff color. The second word always signifies the color expressed by the inner florets, or the inner chaff color. The bronze and white parents have only a single word description since no difference could be detected between outer and inner chaff color.

Brevor wheat, a standard variety of the area, was chosen as one of the parents. It possesses yellowish-white outer and inner chaff color. No color difference can be detected between the outer and inner florets. This variety is considered the white parent in this study.

The selection 217-19-5, one of the parental selections, possesses yellowish-tan outer chaff color and white inner chaff color, with a rather distinct color break between the two colors. This selection is referred to hereafter as the light bronze-white parent.

The selection 193a-465-1-2 has a dark bronze color in both outer and inner florets. No color separation can be seen between the outer and inner florets.

The brown parental selection exhibits dark brown outer chaff color. The brown plants used as parents appear to have been segregating for white and bronze inner chaff color. In some crosses, a brownwhite plant was apparently used, and in other crosses a brown-bronze plant was involved. Both types are known to exist within this selection, and they cannot be distinguished at the time of pollination. This parent is designated as brown-white or brown-bronze, depending on the cross.

The two selections 198-7-5 and 198-4-2 both possess black outer chaff color. However, the inner florets differ in color, 198-7-5 being bronze and 198-4-2 being white. The selection 198-7-5 is referred to as the black-bronze parent and 198-4-2 is designated as the black-white parent.

Letters were assigned to each parent for purposes of abbreviation. Table 1 shows the parental selections, color combination, and letter designation of each of the six parents. Table 2 summarizes cross numbers, parental selections, and identifying symbols used in this study.

In the fall of 1957, the F_0 seed was space planted one foot apart to permit the production of a large number of kernels.

When the resulting F_1 plants were mature, each plant was harvested and threshed individually. Care was taken to assure that no mixing

Selection	Color combination	Letter
Brevor	White	A
217-19-5	Light bronze-white	В
193a-465-1-2	Bronze	С
Brown	Brown-white or -bronze	D
198-4-2	Black-white	E
198-7-5	Black-bronze	F

Table 1. Selection numbers, color combinations, and letter designations of the six parents

Table 2. Cross numbers, parental selections, and identifying symbols used in this study

Cross	Parents	Color combinations of parents*				
			cro	os	ses	
558	198-7-5 x brown	Black-bronze x brown-white	F	x	D	
559	217-19-5 x brown	L. bronze-white x brown-white	B	x	D	
561	Brown x 198-4-2	Brown-bronze x black-white	D	x	E	
562	Brevor x 193a-465-1-2	White x bronze	A	x	С	
563	Brown x Brevor	Brown-white x white	D	x	A	
564	Brevor x 217-19-5	White x L. bronze-white	A	x	В	
565	217-19-5 x 198-4-2	L. bronze-white x black-white	В	x	E	
566	193a-465-1-2 x 198-4-2	Bronze x black-white	C	x	E	
567	198-4-2 x 198-7-5	Black-white x black-bronze	E	x	F	
569	217-19-5 x 198-7-5	L. bronze-white x black-bronze	В	x	F	
570	193a-465-1-2 x brown	Bronze x brown-white	C	x	D	
571	193a-465-1-2 x 198-7-5	Bronze x black-bronze	C	x	F	
572	193a-465-1-2 x 217-19-5	Bronze x 1. bronze-white	С	x	B	
574	Brevor x 198-4-2	White x black-white	A	x	E	
575	198-7-5 x Brevor	Black-bronze x white	F	x	A	

* The first term of a hyphenated color combination refers to outer chaff and the second term to inner chaff color.

took place between seeds of different plants. This was done to detect possible self-fertilized plants and to keep F_2 families separate.

The F_2 's were planted in the fall of 1958. Each cross was planted in rows one foot apart and 400 feet in length. Each row was divided into five 80 foot sections. In most instances, a different family was planted in each section. Every third row was left unplanted to facilitate taking notes and observing the plants.

The F_2 's were planted with a V-belt seeder. Seeds were spaced approximately five to six inches apart in the row.

At maturity, when the color could be classified, the plants were pulled individually, bundled into families, and labeled. The plants were stored in a closed shed until classification. Identification of the characters was made during the fall of 1959. Each family was classified and recorded separately in order to check the consistency of the inheritance pattern from family to family within a cross.

Ricker mounts were made showing both parental types and a typical F_1 head. A color description was attached to the ricker mounts. This was done so that a good visual example, plus a written description, would be available for comparison with the F_2 plants during classification.

Plants from the crosses involving the awnless parent, Brevor, were classified as awned or awnless. All crosses were classified on the basis of outer and inner chaff color. Natural sunlight was used in making the classifications. As each cross was recorded, the appropriate ricker mount was in view.

The data presented in this thesis were obtained from a study of the F_2 generation. Analysis of the data consisted of chi-square calculations for goodness of fit to hypothesized ratios. Individual

chi-squares were calculated for each family, and a total chi-square was computed on each cross. A chi-square was also computed on the pooled data for each cross. An interaction chi-square was calculated to check the consistency or inconsistency from family to family (Snedecor, 1950).

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

When classifying the F_2 plants, more classes were used to describe the outer chaff color than are used in the presentation of the data. For example, three variations of brown were used: (1) light brown, (2) medium brown, and (3) dark brown. The black outer chaff was classed as: (1) black or (2) light speckled black. Two separations of outer chaff color were made for the bronze color: (1) medium bronze and (2) dark bronze. Only one outer chaff color classification each was made for the light bronze and the white classes.

A certain range of color variation was nearly always present among the individual heads of a single plant; consequently, plants were classified into their respective groups on the basis of the color exhibited by the majority of the heads. For purposes of analysis, all of the various outer chaff color classifications were grouped into five major color categories: black, brown, bronze, light bronze, and white. Thus "black" includes the black and speckled black classes; "brown" includes the light, medium, and dark brown classes; and "bronze" includes dark and medium bronze.

The central florets were either bronze or white, so only the two categories were necessary for inner chaff color.

Whenever segregation for both outer and inner chaff color was taking place, a simultaneous classification was made. Segregation for inner chaff color was observed within all classes of outer chaff color except bronze and white. No white central florets were observed in plants with bronze outer chaff, nor were bronze central florets observed in plants with white outer chaff; consequently, the double classification was not possible on white or bronze plants.

Awns Versus Awnlessness

Awn inheritance is only incidental to this study; however, since segregation for this character was taking place in several crosses, opportunity was taken to add to the information presently available on awn inheritance. In crosses involving the awnless parent, Brevor, the F_1 plants were awnless, indicating the dominance of awnlessness over the awned condition. Short beaks and awn-tipped plants are here considered awnless. Table 3 summarizes the F_2 data for awn inheritance in crosses between Brevor and five awned parents.

Segregation for awn type can be explained on a monogenic basis. Individual family chi-squares, as well as the pooled chi-square data, fit the expected 3:1 ratio well. A small interaction chi-square value indicates homogeneity from cross to cross.

Inheritance of Chaff Color

Chaff color data will be presented in three major groups. The crosses are grouped according to similarities in segregation patterns. 9:3:3:1 chaff color segregation

Crosses 558, 561, and 569 were segregating for both outer and inner chaff color. Data on inheritance patterns are presented by cross.

<u>Cross 558 (F x D)</u>. Cross 558 involves black-bronze and brownwhite parents. The F_1 plants exhibited medium brown outer chaff color and bronze inner chaff color. This indicates brown outer chaff is

13

021		Aw	nless	A	wned	Total		2	_
Cross	Family	Obs.	Constitution of the second second second	Obs.	State of the local division of the local div	plants	d.f.	x ²	P
	1	102	95.25	25	31.75	127	1	1.9133	.1020
		77	78.75	28	26.25	105	1	.1556	.5070
562	2 3 4	74	78.75	31	26.25	105	1	1.1461	.2030
	4	60	61.50	22	20.50	82	1	.1477	.7080
	5	70	69.75	23	23.25	93	1	.0036	.9598
	1	73	75.00	27	25.00	100	1	.2133	.5070
	2	80	74.25	19	24.75	99	1	1.7810	.1020
563	3	69	72.00	27	24.00	96	1	.5000	.3050
	4	57	60.75	24	20.25	81	1	.9257	.3050
	5	59	59.25	20	19.75	79	1	.0043	.9095
	1	100	106.50	42	35.50	142	1	1.5868	.2030
	2	91	92.25	36	31.75	127	1	.0661	.7080
564	34	81	81.00	27	27.00	108	1	.0000	.99-1.00
		61	61.50	21	20.50	82	1	.0163	.8090
	5	102	93.75	23	31.25	125	1	2.9040	.0510
	1	46	48.75	19	16.25	65	1	.6250	.3050
574	2	44	44.25	15	14.75	59	1	·0057	.8090
	3	18	16.50	4	5.50	22	1	·5455	.3050
	4	12	12.75	5	4.25	17	1	.1767	.5070
575	Composite	10	9.75	3	3.25	13	1	.2309	.5070
Total	x ²						20	12.9431	.8090
Peeleo	1 x ²	1287	1295.25	440	431.75	1727	l	.2102	.5070
Intera	action X ²		1				19	12.7329	.8090

Table 3. F2 inheritance of awn type

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

MAN STATE UNIVERSIAN LIBRARY

dominant to black outer chaff color, and bronze inner chaff color is dominant to white inner chaff color.

Brown versus black outer chaff color (table 4) fits a 3:1 ratio in F_2 . Bronze inner chaff versus white inner chaff (table 5) also fits a 3:1 ratio. To test inheritance patterns of outer and inner chaff color in combination, a 9:3:3:1 ratio was hypothesized (table 6). The close correspondence of observed and expected values, as evidenced by the high probability values, supports the suggested ratio and indicates the independent inheritance of outer and inner chaff color.

<u>Cross 561 (D x E)</u>. Cross 561 is a cross between brown-bronze and black-white parents. The F₁ plants showed medium brown outer chaff and bronze inner chaff color, again indicating that brown outer chaff is dominant to black outer chaff color, and that bronze inner chaff is dominant to white inner chaff color.

In F_2 brown outer chaff dominated black outer chaff color (table 7), and bronze inner chaff dominated white inner chaff color in a 3:1 ratio (table 8).

A 9:3:3:1 hypothesized ratio was calculated to test inheritance of outer and inner chaff color in combination (table 9). The low chisquare values again indicate independent inheritance of the outer and inner chaff colors.

<u>Cross 569 (B x F)</u>. Cross 569 involves light bronze-white and black-bronze parents. The F₁ plants possessed light speckled black outer chaff with the inner chaff color being bronze, which indicates that black is dominant to light bronze outer chaff, and again that bronze is dominant over white inner chaff color.

Tables 10 and 11 show a 3:1 segregation of black outer chaff over light bronze outer chaff color and a 3:1 segregation of bronze inner

Family	Brown or	iter chaff	Black o	uter chaff	Total plants	2 6	x ²	P
ramily	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	u.r.		P
1	69	70.50	25	23.50	94	1	.0992	.7080
2	60	63.75	25	21.25	85	1	.8821	.3050
3	47	48.00	17	16.00	64	1	.0833	.7080
2 3 4 5	69	69.00	23	23.00	92	1	.0000	.99-1.00
5	61	64.50	25	21.50	86	1	•7597	.3050
Total	χ ²					5	1.8243	.8090
Pooled	x ² 306	315.75	115	105.25	421	l	1.2043	.2030
Intera	ction X ²				421	4	.6200	•95 -•98

Table 4. F2 inheritance of black x brown outer chaff color (Cross 558)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Family	Bronze i Obs.	nner chaff Exp.*	White i Obs.	nner chaff Exp.	Total plants	d.f	• X2	Р
1 2 3 4 5	68 62 48 70	70.50 63.75 48.00 69.00	26 23 16 22	23.50 21.25 16.00 23.00	94 85 64 92	1 1 1 1	•3547 •1921 •0000 •0580	•50 -•70 •50 -•70 •99-1•00 •80 -•90
5	64	64.50	22	21.50	86	l	°0122	.9095
Total)	²					5	.6203	.9899
Pooled	x ² 312	315.75	109	105.25	421	l	.1781	.5070
Interac	etion X ²				421	4	.4422	•95 -•98

Table 5. F2 inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 558)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

		Brown out	er ch	aff	E	lack out	er ch	aff	Total		0	
Family	Bron	Bronze inner		White inner		e inner	White inner		plants	d.f.	x ²	P
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	prants			
1	50	52.875	19	17.625	18	17.625	7	5.875	94	3	.4870	.9095
2	44	47.817		15.939	18	15.939	7	5.313	85	3	1.1075	.7080
2 3 4 5	35	36.000	12	12.000	13	12.000	4	4.000	64	3	.1111	.99-1.00
4	52	51.750	17	17.250	18	17.250	5	5.750	92	3	.1352	.9899
5	45	48.375	16	16.125	19	1 6.125	6	5.375	86	3	.8217	.8090
Total X ²			÷					<u>e</u> 1.		15	2.6625	.99-1. 00
Pooled X ²	2 226	236.813	80	78.939	86	78.939	29	26.313	421	3	1.4217	.7080
Interacti	on x ²				n dyfar y negor ar fra				421	12	1.2408	.99-1.00

Table 6. Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 558 (black-bronze x brown-white, F x D)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 9:3:3:1 ratio.

Rami lar	Brown ou	ter chaff	Black o	uter chaff	Total	2 F	x ²	P
ramily	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	u _e r e		ſ
1	70	72.00	26	24.00	96	ı	.2223	.5070
2	94	92.25	29	30.75	123	1	.1328	.7080
3	71	72.00	25	24.00	96	1	.0556	.8090
4	64	60.75	17	20.25	81	1	.6955	.3050
2 3 4 5	77	74.25	22	24.75	99	l	.4075	.5070
Total)	²					5	1.5137	.9095
Pooled	x ² 376	371.25	119	123.75	495	l	. 2431	.5070
Interac	ction X ²				495	4	1.2706	.8090

Table 7. F2 inheritance of brown x black outer chaff color (Cross 561)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Table 8. F₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 561)

Family				nner chaff	Total	d.f	• X ²	Р
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants			
1	71	72.00	25	24.00	96	ı	.0556	.8090
2	91	92.25	32	30.75	123	1	.0677	.7080
3	71	72.00	25	24.00	96	1	.0556	.8090
4	61	60.75	20	20.25	81	1	.0041	.9095
1 2 3 4 5	76	74.25	23	24.75	99	1	.1649	.5070
Total)	²					5	• 3479	.99-1.00
Pooled	x ² 370	371.25	125	123.75	495	1	.0168	.8090
Interac	ction X ²				495	4	.3311	.9899

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

	Brown outer chaff				Black outer chaff				m + - 7		-	
Family	Bron	Bronze inner		e inner	Bronz	e inner	Whit	e inner	Total	d.f.	x ²	P
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	plants			
1	52	54.000	18	18.000	19	18.000	7	6.000	96	3	.2934	•95 - •98
2	69	69.186	25	23.063	22	23.063	7	7.688	123	3	.2726	.9598
3	50	54.000	21	18.000	21	18.000	4	6.000	96	3	1.9866	.5070
2 3 4 5	49	45.563	15	15.188	12	15.188	5	5.063	81	3	.9314	.8090
5	59	55.688	18	18.563	17	18,563	5	6.188	99	3	• 5734	.9095
Total X ²										15	4.0574	.99-1.00
Pooled X ²	279	278.437	97	92.814	91	92.814	28	30.939	495	3	.4849	. 90 −.95
Interacti	on X ²					e ⁻		na managa kining pengatan na p	495	12	3.5725	.9899

Table 9. Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 561 (brown-bronze x black-white, D x E)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 9:3:3:1 ratio.

Family 1	Black ou Obs.	ter chaff Exp.*	L.brz.o Obs.	uter chaff Exp.	Total plants	d.f	• X ²	P
1 2 3 4 5	142 107 101 107	135.50 111.00 95.25 109.50	36 41 26 39	44.50 37.00 31.75 36.50	178 148 127 146	1 1 1 1	1.5758 .5765 1.3884 .2283	.2030
5	90	91.50	32	30.50	122	ĩ	.0984	.7080
Total X2	2			tan dalamin katego ya na ma majer da na		5	3.8674	.5070
Pooled)	² 547	540.75	174	180.25	721	l	.2901	.5070
Interact	tion X ²				721	4	3.5773	.3050

Table 10. F₂ inheritance of light bronze x black outer chaff color (Cross 569)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Table 11. F_2 inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 569)

Family	Bronze in	mer chaff	White i	nner chaff	Total	d f	v2	P
ranzzy	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants		• 1	r
1	136	135.50	42	44.50	178	1	.1865	.5070
2	111	111.00	37	37.00	148	1	.0000	.99-1.00
2 3 4 5	97	95.25	30	31.75	127	1	.1287	.7080
4	110	109.50	36	36.50	146	1	.0095	.9095
5	90	91.50	32	30.50	122	1	.0984	.7080
Total X	2					5	.4231	.99-1.00
Pooled	x ² 544	540.75	177	180.25	721	1	.0781	.7080
Interac	tion X ²			•	721	4	•3450	.9899

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

chaff over white inner chaff color.

To test for independent inheritance of outer and inner chaff color, the goodness of fit to a 9:3:3:1 ratio was again calculated. As shown in table 12, the suggested independence ratio fits the observed data.

In all three crosses both outer and inner chaff color appeared controlled by single but separate factor pairs. The observed numbers fit the expected values quite well. Small interaction chi-square values show the material is consistent from family to family, and validates the combination of data to obtain pooled chi-squares.

3:1 chaff color segregation

Crosses 559, 563, 564, 565, 571, and 574 were segregating only for outer chaff color since the parents involved possess the same inner chaff color (both white or both bronze).

<u>Cross 559 (B x D)</u>. Cross 559 is a cross between light bronzewhite and brown-white parents. The F_1 plants exhibited medium brown outer chaff color and white inner chaff color, pointing to the dominance of brown over light bronze in the outer chaff color. F_2 segregation is presented in table 13, and the observed values fit a 3:1 ratio.

<u>Cross 563 (D x A)</u>. Cross 563 is a cross between brown-white and white parents. The F_1 plants possessed light brown color in the outer chaff and white inner chaff color. This indicates brown outer chaff color is dominant to white outer chaff color.

A comparison of the observed and expected values is presented in table 14. The low chi-square values obtained support the suggested 3:1 ratio.

Family	State State State State State	Black out ze inner Exp.*	States of the state of the stat	e inner	Contraction of the local division of the loc	ht bronze ze inner Exp.	A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OF THE	e inner	Total plants	d.f.	x ²	Р
1	109 79	100.125 83.250	33 28	33.375 27.750	27 32	33.375 27.750	9	11 .1 25 9 . 250	178 148	3	1.3186 .8268	.7080 .8090
2 3 4 5	76 80 67	71.442 82.125 68.625	25 27 23	23.814 27.375 22.875	21 30 23	23.814 27.375 22.875	5 9 9	7.938 9.125 7.625	127 146 122	2000	1.5081 .3135 .2903	•50 -•70 •95 -•98 •95 -•98
Total X ²										15	4.2573	.99-1.00
Pooled X	2 411	405.567	136	135 .1 89	133	135.189	41	45.063	721	3	°4794	·90 -·95
Interact	ion X ²								721	12	3.7779	•98 - •99

Table 12. Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 569 (black-bronze x light bronze-white, B x F)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 9:3:3:1 ratio.

Family				uter chaff	Total		x ²	P	
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants			-	
1 2 3 4	92	91.50	30	30.50	122	ı	.0109	.8090	
2	79	86.25	36	28.75	115	1	2.4334	.1020	
3	74	82.50	36	27.50	110	1	3.5031	.0510	
4	76	75.00	24	25.00	100	1	.5333	.3050	
5	65	68.25	26	22.75	91	1	.6191	.3050	
Total 1	²		10	2 2		5	7.0998	.2030	
Pooled	x ² 386	403.50	152	134.50	538	1	3.0360	.0510	
Interac	ction X ²				538	4	4.0638	.3050	

Table 13. F_2 inheritance of light bronze x brown outer chaff color (Cross 559)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Family	Brown ou	ter chaff	White or	uter chaff	Total	d.f.	χ2	Р	
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants				
l	75	75.00	25	25.00	100	ı	.0000	.99-1.00	
2 3 4 5	73	74.25	26	24.75	99	1	.0837	.7080	
3	70	72.00	26	24.00	96	1	.2223		
4	56	60.75	25	20.25	81	1	1.4856	.2030	
5	59	59.25	20	19.75	7 9	l	.0042	.9095	
Total	x ²				8	5	1.7958	.7080	
Pooled	x ² 333	341.25	122	113.75	455	l	.8027	.3050	
Intera	ction X ²				455	4	.9931	.9095	

Table 14. F_2 inheritance of brown x white outer chaff color (Cross 563)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

<u>Cross 564 (A x B)</u>. Cross 564 involves white and light bronzewhite parents. The F₁ plants had light bronze color in the outer chaff and white in the inner chaff, indicating the dominance of light bronze over white outer chaff color. The segregation pattern for the F₂ is presented in table 15. If observed and expected values are compared, they support a 3:1 ratio.

<u>Cross 565 (B x E)</u>. Cross 565 a cross involving light bronzewhite and black-white parents gave F_1 plants with light speckled black outer chaff color and white inner chaff color. The coloring in the F_1 indicates that black is dominant over light bronze outer chaff color. F_2 segregation is presented in table 16. Observed numbers fit the expected 3:1 ratio.

<u>Cross 571 (C x F)</u>. Cross 571 is a cross between bronze and black-bronze parents. The F_1 plants exhibited light speckled black outer chaff color and bronze inner chaff color. This points out that black is dominant to bronze outer chaff color.

A comparison of the observed and expected values is presented in table 17. The segregation fits a 3:1 ratio closely.

<u>Cross 574 (A x E)</u>. Cross 574 involves parents with white and black-white chaff colors. The F_1 plants possessed light speckled black outer chaff color and white inner chaff color, indicating black is dominant to white outer chaff color. The F_2 segregation pattern presented in table 18 fits the 3:1 suggested ratio.

The outer chaff colors represented in this group are apparently controlled by allelic genes at a single locus. In all six crosses, the observed segregation fits the expected 3:1 ratio quite well. Small interaction chi-square values show consistency from family to family and validates the combination of data to obtain a pooled

Family	L. brz. ou	L.brz.outer chaff		uter chaff	Total	d f	x ²	Р
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants ""	0.010) A	r
1	104	105.50	38	35.50	142	1	.2348	.5070
	97	95.25	30	31.75	127	l	.1286	.7080
3	79	81.00	29	27.00	108	1	.1975	.5070
2 3 4 5	61	61.50	21	20.50	82	l	.0163	.8090
5	99	93.75	26	31.25	125	1	1.1760	.2030
Total)	²					5	1.7532	.8090
Pooled	x ² 440	438.00	144	146.00	584	1	٥ <u>3</u> 65 ،	.8090
Intera	etion X ²				584	4	1.7167	.7080

Table 15. F₂ inheritance of white x light bronze outer chaff color (Cross 564)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Table 16. F₂ inheritance of light bronze x black outer chaff color (Cross 565)

Family	Black ou	ter chaff	L.brz.o	uter chaff	Total	4.6	• X ²	Р
ramily	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	U.	• •	r
l	96	96.00	32	32.00	128	1	.0000	.99-1.00
2	117	120.75	44	40.25	161	1	.4658	.3050
1 2 3 4 5	82	77.25	21	25.75	103	1	1.1682	.2030
4	81	83.25	30	27.75	111	1	.2429	.5070
5	7 9	81.75	30	27.25	109	1	.3700	• 50 - • 70
Total)	²			an ann fean a gur an fean an ann an		5	2.2468	.8090
Pooled	x ² 455	459.00	157	153.00	612	1	.1394	.7080
Interac	ction X ²		ŭ.		612	4	2.1074	.7080

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Family	Black ou Obs.	ter chaff Exp.*	Bronze Obs.	outer chaff Exp.	Total plants	d,f	. x ²	P
	114	115.50	40	38.50	154	1	.0779	.7080
1 2 3 4 5	110	108.00	34	36.00	144	ī	.1481	A STATE IN VITE A DEPENDENT OF THE OWNER
3	83	81.75	26	27.25	109	ī	and the second s	.7080
4	97	98.00	31	32.00	128	1	.0415	1000
5	85	84.75	28	28.25	113	1	.0029	•95 -•98
Total)	1 ²				area Loomallaginaciona	5	.3469	.99-1.00
Pooled	x ² 489	486.00	159	162,00	648	l	.0741	.7080
Interac	tion X ²				648	4	.2728	.99-1.00

Table 17. F₂ inheritance of bronze x black outer chaff color (Cross 571)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

P	Black ou	ter chaff	White ou	White outer chaff			• x ²	Р
ramily	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	P	
l	47	48.75	18	16.25	65	1	.2513	.507
1 2 3 4	44	44.25	15	14.75	59	1	.0057	.909
3	17	16.50	5	5.50	22	l	.0608	.809
4	13	12.75	4	4.25	17	l	.0196	.809
Total)	²		10			4	•3374	.989
Pooled	x ² 121	122.25	42	40.75	163	1	.0511	.809
Interac	etion X^2			an an trainight Anna an	1 63	3	.2863	.959

Table 18. F₂ inheritance of white x black outer chaff color (Cross 574)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

chi-square. The only cases where significant deviations from the expected ratios were approached, were families two and three of Cross 559. It is probable that the difficulty in accurate classification of the light brown and the light bronze segregates is responsible for the larger than usual deviations.

Special segregation patterns

As pointed out under materials and methods, two exceptions to the apparently independent inheritance of outer and inner chaff color were noted. Plants classified as bronze (medium or dark bronze classes) never exhibited white center florets, nor did plants possessing white outer chaff ever exhibit bronze center florets. Crosses 562, 566, 570, 572, and 575 involve parents which possess white and bronze chaff in certain critical combinations.

Inner chaff segregation patterns in these crosses are modified by the apparent masking effect that bronze outer chaff has on the expression of white in the central florets, and by the apparent lack of pigment development in the central florets of white chaffed plants. If the assumptions are made that normal genetic segregation for inner chaff coloration takes place in these crosses, but, that bronze color in the outer chaff masks the expression of white in the center florets, and that white chaffed plants are incapable of developing or expressing bronze color in the center florets, logical ratios can be suggested for the observed segregation patterns.

<u>Cross 562 (A x C)</u>. Cross 562 involves the bronze and white parents and shows no segregation for inner chaff color. The F_1 plants showed bronze outer and inner chaff, indicating bronze chaff color is dominant to white chaff color. Bronze versus white outer chaff color is apparently controlled by a single factor pair, giving a 3:1 ratio in F_2 (table 19). The interaction chi-square value shows consistency from family to family, and justifies the combination of data to obtain a pooled chi-square.

Inner chaff color was identical with outer chaff color, as would be expected on the basis of the two assumptions made at the beginning of this section.

<u>Cross 566 (C x E)</u>. Cross 566 is a cross between bronze and black-white parents. The F_1 plants possessed black outer and bronze inner chaff color, indicating that black outer chaff color is dominant over bronze outer chaff, and that bronze inner chaff color is again dominant over white inner chaff color.

Outer chaff color in the F_2 segregated three black to one bronze (table 20).

The observed segregation for bronze versus white inner chaff also fits the expected 13:3 ratio (table 21).

In testing for independent inheritance of outer and inner chaff color in Cross 566, the 9:3:3:1 ratio is not applicable, inasmuch as the "1" would represent a bronze-white plant, which phenotypically does not exist in this cross. In keeping with the assumption that white centers are masked by bronze outer chaff, the expected independence ratio would now be 9:3:4. The observed data are consistent with this hypothesis, as is evidenced by table 22.

The application of the 9:3:4 and 13:3 ratios to the data from Cross 566 can possibly be seen best in terms of suggested genotypes. Letting Br3 represent black outer chaff, Br_2 represent bronze outer chaff, B represent bronze inner chaff, and b represent white inner chaff, the ratios can be demonstrated in table 23.

Family	Bronze ou	ter chaff	White or	uter chaff	Total	d.f.	x ²	Р
ramity	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	CLO L C	А	
1	90	95.25	37	31.75	127	ı	1.1575	.2030
2	73	78.75	32	26.25	105	1	1.6794	.1020
1 2 3 4 5	78	78.75	27	26.25	105	1	.0286	.8090
4	64	61.50	18	20.50	82	1	.4064	.5070
5	73	69.75	20	23.25	93	l	.6079	.3050
Total)	²	- 9 ⁻			18 fer och 18 fer och	5	3.8798	.5070
Pooled	x ² 378	384.00	134	128.00	512	1	•3750	.5070
Interac	ction X ²				512	4	3.5048	.3050

Table 19. F₂ inheritance of bronze x white outer chaff color (Cross 562)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Family.	Black outer chaff		Bronze o	Bronze outer chaff			x ²	Р
. antay	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	Total plants	u	Λ	F
1	102	104.25	37	34.75	139	1	.1943	.5070
1 2 3 4 5	76	79.50	30	26.50	106	1	.6164	.30 50
3	65	65.25	22	21.75	87	1	.0039	
4	97	98.25	34	32.75	131	1	.0636	.8090
5	84	88.25	34	29.50	118	1	.9152	.3050
Total)	²					5	1.7934	.8090
Pooled	x ² 424	435.75	157	145.25	581	1	1.3534	.2030
Interac	tion X ²				581	4	. 4400	.9598

Table 20. F_2 inheritance of bronze x black outer chaff color (Cross 566)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

								Р
Family	Bronze in	mer chaff	White i	nner chaff	Total plants	d.f.	x ²	
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.				
1	113	112.938	26	26.063	139	1	.0185	.8090
2	83	86.125	23	19.875	106	1	.6047	.3050
3	67	70.688	20	16.313	87	1	.8347	.3050
2345	102	106.438	29	24.563	131	1	.9911	.3050
5	98	95.875	20	22.125	118	1	.2512	.5070
Total X ²						5	2.7002	.7080
Pooled X ² 463 4		472.063	118	108.938	581	1	.9279	.3050
Interaction X ²					581	4	1.7723	.7080

Table 21. F_2 inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 566)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 13:3 ratio.

		Black out	er ch	aff	Bronze o	outer chaff	-			
Family	Bron	ze inner	Whit	e inner	Bronz	ze inner	Total	d.f.	x ²	P
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	plants			
1	76	78.188	26	26.063	37	34.75	139	2	.2219	.8090
1 2 3 4 5	53	59.625	23	19.875	30	26.50	106	2	1.6897	.3050
3	45	48.938	20	16.313	22	21.75	87	2	1.1533	.5070
4	68	73.688	29	24.563	34	32.75	131	2	1.2884	.5070
5	64	66.375	20	22.125	34	29.50	118	2	•9755	.5070
Total X ²								10	5.3288	<u>8090</u>
Pooled X ²	306	326.813	118	108.939	157	145.25	581	2	3.0298	.2030
Interacti	.on X ²						581	8	2,2990	.9598

Table 22. Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 566 (bronze x black-white, C x E)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 9:3:4 ratio.

Genotype ^a	Relative number	Color outer_inner	Combination of outer-inner ratio	Inner chaff color ratio
Br3Br3BB	1	Black-bronze	9 black-bronze	
Br3Br3Bb	2	Black-bronze		13 bronze
Br3Br3bb	l	Black-white		
Br3Br2BB	2	Black-bronze	3 black-white	
Br3Br2Bb	4	Black-bronze		
Br3Br2bb	2	Black-white		3 white
Br2Br2BB	1	Bronze	4 bronze	
Br2Br2Bb	2	Bronze		
Br2Br2bb	l	Bronze ^b		

Table 23. Suggested genotype, phenotype, and segregation patterns in the F_2 of Cross 566

a Br3 = black outer chaff color, Br2 = bronze outer chaff color, B = bronze inner chaff color, and b = white inner chaff color.

b .Genotypically bronze-white, but since bronze outer chaff masks white inner chaff, this plant is phenotypically bronze. <u>Cross 570 (C x D)</u>. Cross 570 is a cross involving bronze and brown-white parents. The F₁ plants had medium brown outer chaff and bronze inner chaff, indicating that brown outer chaff color is dominant to bronze outer chaff color. Again bronze inner chaff was dominant over white inner chaff.

The comparison of brown versus bronze outer chaff fits a 3:1 ratio, and bronze inner chaff color versus white inner chaff color conforms to an expected 13:3 ratio (tables 24 and 25).

The F_2 segregation patterns in Cross 570 were similar to those just discussed for Cross 566. The suggested 9:3:4 ratio fits the observed data rather closely (table 26), and it indicates independence in the inheritance of the two color systems.

Table 27 shows the suggested genotypes and the application of the 9:3:4 and 13:3 ratios.

<u>Cross 572 (C x B)</u>. Cross 572 has the bronze and light bronzewhite selections as parents. F₁ plants showed bronze outer chaff and bronze inner chaff color. This indicates that bronze outer chaff is dominant to light bronze outer chaff color. It also indicates that bronze inner chaff color is dominant to white inner chaff color.

In F_2 the segregation for bronze versus light bronze outer chaff fits the expected 3:1 ratio (table 28). The observed segregation for bronze versus white inner chaff also fits the expected 15:1 ratio (table 29).

The combination ratio of outer and inner chaff colors differ from any of the previous crosses discussed, however. They also fall into consistent, predictable ratios (table 30) if the previously stated assumption is made, that bronze outer chaff masks the expression of white in the central florets. Using Br₂ to represent bronze and

Family	Brown ou	ter chaff	Bronze ou	ter chaff	Total	4 6	v 2	P
ramily	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	0.16	. х -	P
1	56	57.00	20	19.00	76	1	.0702	.708
1 2 3 4 5	58	60.75	23	20.25	81	1	.4980	.3050
3	40	38.25	11	12.75	51	1	3221	.5070
4	59	60.00	21	20.00	80	1	.0667	.7081
5	71	67.50	19	22.50	90	1	.7259	.3050
Total)	r ²					5	1.6829	.809
Pooled	x ² 284	283.50	94	94.50	378	1	.0035	•95 -•98
Interac	etion X^2				378	4	1.6794	.7080

Table 24. F₂ inheritance of bronze x brown outer chaff color (Cross 570)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Table 25. F₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 570)

Family	Bronze i	nner chaff	White in	mer chaff	Total		x ²	D
r anilly	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	uor o	A	P
1	61	61.750	15	14.250	76	1	.0486	.8090
1 2 3 4 5	66	65.813	15	15.188	81	1	.0028	.9598
3	42	41.438	9	9.563	51	1	.0407	.8090
4	66	65.000	14	15.000	80	1	.0820	.7080
5	74	73.125	16	16.875	90	l	°0528	.8090
Total)	r ²					5	.2299	.99-1.00
Pooled	x ² 309	307.125	69	70.875	378	l	.0611	. 80 90
Interac	ction X ²				378	4	.1688	.99 -1. 00

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 13:3 ratio.

	The state of the local division of the local	Brown out	and the second se	Contraction of the local division of the loc	states and a state of the state	uter chaff	Total		x ²	-
Family	Bronz	e inner	White	inner	Bronz	e inner	plants	d.f.	X~	P
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	prants			
1	41	42.75	15	14.25	20	19.00	76	2	.1637	.9095
	43	45.54	15	15.18	23	20.24	81	2	. 5302	.7080
3	31	28.71	9	9.57	11	12.76	51	2	.4594	.7080
4	45	45.00	14	15.00	21	20.00	80	2	.1167	.9095
2 3 4 5	55	50.60	16	16.88	19	22.50	90	2	.9729	.5070
Total X ²								10	2.2424	.99-1.00
Pooled X	² 215	212.62	69	70.88	94	94.50	378	2	.0788	.7080
Interact	ion X ²						378	8	2.1641	.9899

Table 26. Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 570 (bronze x brown-white, C x D)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 9:3:4 ratio.

Genotype ^a	Relative number	Color outer-inner	Combination of outer-inner ratio	Inner chaff color ratio
Br ₄ Br ₄ BB	l	Brown-bronze	9 brown-bronze	
Br ₄ Br ₄ Bb	2	Brown-bronze	× .	
Br4Br4bb	1	Brown-white		13 bronze
Br ₄ Br ₂ BB	2	Brown-bronze		
Br4Br2Bb	4	Brown-bronze	3 brown-white	
Br4Br2bb	2	Brown-white		3 white
Br2Br2BB	1	Bronze		
Br2Br2Bb	2	Bronze	4 bronze	
Br2Br2bb	1	Bronzeb		

Table 27. Suggested genotype, phenotype, and segregation patterns in the F_2 of Cross 570

a $Br_{4} = brown$ outer chaff color, $Br_{2} = bronze$ outer chaff color, B = bronze inner chaff color, and b = white inner chaff color.

b Genotypically bronze-white, but since bronze outer chaff color masks white inner chaff, this plant is phenotypically bronze.

Family	Bronze ou	ter chaff	L. brz. ou	ter chaff	Total	def	x2	P
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants			-
1	59	59.25	20	19.75	79	ı	.0042	.9095
1 2 3 4 5	71	69.75	22	23.25	93	1	.0896	.8090
3	45	42.75	12	14.25	57	1	.4737	.3050
4	50	52.50	20	17.50	70	1	.4761	.3050
5	56	59.25	23	19.75	79	1	.7131	.3050
Total)	²					5	1.7567	.8090
Pooled	x ² 281	283.50	97	94.50	378	1	.1728	•50 - •70
Interac	ction X ²				378	4	1.5839	.8090

Table 28. F₂ inheritance of bronze x light bronze outer chaff color (Cross 572)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Family	Bronze ir	ner chaff			Total	d.f	x ²	Р
I dinitiy	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants		А	1
1	74	74.07	5	4.94	79	1	.0011	.9598
2	88	87.20	5	5.81	93	1	.1189	.7080
1 2 3 4 5	55 65	53.45	5 5 2 5 6	3.56	57	1	.1133	.7080
4	65	65.63	5	4.38	70	1	.0952	.7080
5	73	73.07	6	4.94	79	1	.2473	•50 -•70
Total)	²					5	• 5758	.9899
Pooled	x ² 355	354.44	23	23.63	378	l	.1768	.5070
Interac	tion x^2				378	4	• 3990	.9899

Table 29. F_2 inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 572)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 15:1 ratio.

Family	and the second s	outer chaff ze inner	and the second s	t bronze e inner	the second s	r chaff e inner	Total	d.f.	x ²	Р
	Obs.	NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	plants		A	•
1	59	59.244	15	14.811	5	4.938	79	2	.0042	.9598
2 3 4	71	69.756	17	17.439	5	5.813	93	2	.1489	.9095
3	45	42.756	10	10.689	2	3.563	57	2	.6006	.7080
	50	52.500	15	13.125	5	4.375	70	2	.4000	.8090
5	56	59.244	17	14.814	6	4.938	79	2	.7299	.5070
Total X ²								10	1.8836	.99-1.00
Pooled X2	281	283.500	74	70.875	23	23.625	378	2	.1764	.9095
Interactio	on x ²						378	8	1.7072	.9899

Table 30. Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 572 (bronze x light bronze-white, C x B)

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 12:3:1 ratio.

Br1 to represent light bronze outer chaff color, and B and b to represent bronze and white inner chaff color, respectively, the suggested genotypes and expected phenotypic ratios based on this assumption are shown in table 31.

<u>Cross 575 (F x A)</u>. Cross 575 is a cross between black-bronze and white parents. The F_1 plants possessed light speckled black outer chaff color and bronze inner chaff color. This indicates black outer chaff is dominant to white outer chaff, and bronze inner chaff is dominant to white.

A severe dwarfing characteristic showed up in the F_1 and F_2 of Cross 575. The F_1 's were dwarfed and produced only a small amount of shrivelled seed. Most of the resulting F_2 's were severely dwarfed and most failed to head. Only 13 plants headed and matured to a point where a color classification could be made.

Segregation occurred for both outer and inner chaff colors, and although no valid conclusions can be drawn from a population this small, the observed segregation was consistent with the patterns and assumptions thus far discussed.

The outer chaff color segregation fits the hypothesized ratio of three black to one white (table 32a). The inner chaff color ratio fits an expected 9:7 (table 32b). This was the only 9:7 ratio observed in this study, but was the ratio to be expected, if the assumption is true that plants with white outer chaff lack the ability to develop or express bronze color in the central florets. The combined outer and inner chaff color ratio conforms to an expected 9:3:4 (table 32c). Table 33 illustrates the derivation of the expected 9:3:4 and 9:7 ratios in Cross 575.

Genotype ^a	Relative number	Color outer-inner	Combination of outer-inner ratio	Inner chaff color ratio
Br2Br2BB	1	Bronze	v.	
Br2Br2Bb	2	Bronze	12 bronze	
Br2Br2bb	l	Bronze ^b		
Br ₂ Br ₁ BB	2	Bronze		15 bronze
Br2Br1Bb	4	Bronze		
Br2Br1bb	2	Bronzeb		
Br ₁ Br ₁ BB	1	L. bronze-bronze	3 L. bronze-bronze	
Br1Br1Bb	2 ′	L. bronze-bronze		
BrlBrlpp	1	L. bronze-white	1 L. bronze-white	l white

Table 31. Suggested genotype, phenotype, and segregation patterns in the F_2 of Cross 572

a Br₂ = bronze outer chaff color, Br₁ = light bronze outer chaff color, B and b = bronze and white inner chaff, respectively.

b Genotypically bronze-white, but phenotypically bronze.

Table 32a. F2 inheritance of black x white outer chaff color (Cross 575)

Family	Black of	uter chaff	White outer chaff		Total	d.f.	-2	D
ramily	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	G.1.0		F
Composite	11	9.75	2	3.25	13	1	.6410	.3050
* Expecte	d on the	hypothesis	of a 3:1	ratio.				

Table 32b. F2 inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 575)

Family	Bronze	inner chaff	White inner chaff		Total	d f	v2	D
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	u.o.r.o	^	F
Composite	8	7.313	.5	5.688	13	l	.1511	.5070

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 9:7 ratio.

Table 32c. Combination of outer and inner chaff color inheritance in Cross 575 (black-bronze x white, F x A)

	Bla	ack out	er chai	ff	White outer chaff		m + - 7			
Family	Bronze	inner	White	inner	White	inner	Total plants	d.f.	x ²	P
	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	prants			
Composite	8	7.313	3	2.438	2	3.250	13	1	.6742	.7080

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 9:3:4 ratio.

Genotype ^a	Relative number	Color outer-inner	Combination of outer-inner ratio	Inner chaff color ratio
Br3Br3BB	1	Black-bronze	9 black-bronze	
Br3Br3Bb	2	Black-bronze		
Br3Br3bb	l	Black-white		9 bronze
Br3Br0BB	2	Black-bronze	3 black-white	
Br3Br0Bb	4	Black-bronze		
Br3Brobb	2	Black-white		7 white
Br ₀ Br ₀ BB	1	Whiteb		
Br ₀ Br ₀ Bb	2	Whiteb	4 white	
Br ₀ Br ₀ bb	1	White		

Table 33. Suggested genotype, phenotype, and segregation patterns in the $\rm F_2$ of Cross 575

a Br3 = black outer chaff color, Br = white outer chaff color, B = bronze inner chaff color, and b = white inner chaff color.

b Genotypically white-bronze, but phenotypically white.

<u>Cross 567 (E x F)</u>. Cross 567 involves black-bronze and blackwhite parents, and shows no segregation for outer chaff color, since both parents possess black outer chaff. However, the inner chaff color segregated bronze and white.

The F_1 plants exhibited bronze inner chaff color, indicating that bronze inner chaff is dominant to white. The segregation for bronze versus white inner chaff color is shown in table 34. The observed values closely fit the expected 3:1 ratio.

Family	Bronze in Obs.	mer chaff Exp.*	White i Obs.	nner chaff Exp.	Total plants	d.f	• x ²	P	
1 2 3 4 5	113 104 78 68 73	112.50 106.50 80.25 70.50 73.50	37 38 27 26 25	37.50 35.50 26.75 23.50 24.50	150 142 107 94 98	1 1 1 1	.0088 .0763 .2524 .3547 .0136	.9095 .7080 .5070 .5070 .9095	
Total)		443.25	155	147.75	591	5	。7058 。4744	.9899	
Interac	ction X ²				591	4	.2314	•95 - •98	

Table 34. F₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color (Cross 567)

1

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Tables 35, 36, and 37 summarize inheritance patterns comparing the crosses, rather than families within a cross as was done previously. Table 35 shows the inheritance of bronze versus white inner chaff color in all crosses showing a simple 3:1 ratio. The observed numbers fit the expected ratios very well. It appears that the expression of bronze and white inner chaff color is controlled by a single factor pair.

Table 36 summarizes the inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color on a 13:3 basis when the bronze parent was involved with a parent possessing white inner chaff. Again a single gene pair appears to condition color expression in the central florets, the departure from the 3:1 ratio being explained by the suggested masking effect of bronze outer chaff color over white inner chaff.

The data on outer chaff color inheritance for all of the crosses used in this study are summarized in table 37. The five chaff colors crossed in all combinations fit a 3:1 ratio in all instances, indicating the existence of a multiple allelic series governing outer chaff color. Cross 559 comes the nearest to departing from the 3:1 ratio. This can probably be explained by the difficulty in separating light brown and light bronze plants.

Based on the results of this study, the following genotypes are suggested as a possible explanation of the observed segregation patterns for outer and inner chaff color in the six wheat selections tested.

Cross	Bronze in	nner chaff	White inner chaff		Total	d f	x ²	P	
01033	Obs.	Exp.*	Obs.	Exp.	plants	uore	A	r	
558	312	315.75	109	105.25	421	1	.1781	.5070	
561	370	371.25	125	123.75	495	1	.0168	.8090	
562	378	384.00	134	128.00	512	1	.3750	.5070	
567	436	443.25	155	147.75	591	1	.4744	.3050	
569	544	540.75	177	180.25	721	1	.0781	.7080	
Total	x ²		•			5	1.1224	.9598	
Pooled	x ² 2040	2055.00	700	685.00	2740	1	•4379	.5070	
Intera	ction X ²				2740	4	. 6845	•95 -•98	

Table 35. Summary of F₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color of all crosses showing 3:1 segregation patterns

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

Table 36. Summary of F₂ inheritance of bronze x white inner chaff color of all crosses showing 13:3 segregation patterns

Cross	Bronze in	ner chaff	White in	Total	d.f.	₩2	Ρ	
Cross .	Obs.	bs. Exp.*		Exp.		plants		
566	463	472.063	118	108.937	581	1	.9279	.3050
570	309	307.125	69	70.875	378	1	.6011	.8090
Total X	2					2	.9890	•50 - •70
Pooled 1	x ² 772	779.188	187	179.812	959	1	•3536	.5070
Interac	tion X ²	1			959	1	.6354	.3050

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 13:3 ratio.

Cross	Domina	nt outer	chaff color	Reces	sive out	er chaff color	Total	d.f.	x ²	Р
01085	Obs.	Exp.*	Color	Obs.	Exp.	Color	plant	5	A	P
558	306	315.75	Brown	115	105.25	Black	421	l	1.2043	.2030
559	386	403.50	Brown	152	134.50	Light bronze	538	1	3.0360	.0510
561	376	371.25	Brown	119	123.75	Black	495	1	.2431	.5070
562	378	384.00	Bronze	134	128.00	White	512	1	.3750	.5070
563	333	341.25	Brown	122	113.75	White	455	1	.8027	.3050
564	440	438.00	Light bronze	144	146.00	White	584	1	.0365	.8090
565	455	459.00	Black	157	153.00	Light bronze	612	1	.1394	.7080
566	424	435.75	Black	157	145.25	Bronze	581	1	1.3534	.2030
569	547	540.75	Black	174	180.25	Light bronze	721	1	.2901	.5070
570	284	283.50	Brown	94	94.50	Bronze	378	1	.0035	.9598
571	489	486.00	Black	159	162.00	Bronze	648	1	.0741	.7080
572	281	283.50	Bronze	97	94.50	Light bronze	378	1	.1728	.5070
574	121	122.25	Black	42	40.75	White	163	1	.0511	.8090
575	11	9.75	Black	2	3.25	White	13	1	.6410	.3050
Total X ²							×	14	8.4230	.8090
Pooled X2	4831	4874.25		1668	1624.75		6499	1	1.5350	.2030
Interacti	on x ²						6499	13	6.8880	.9095

Table 37. Summary of F_2 inheritance of outer chaff colors of all crosses showing 3:1 segregation patterns

* Expected on the hypothesis of a 3:1 ratio.

The alleles governing outer chaff color are designated in all cases by the genetic symbol Br. To denote dominance of color, the symbols 4, 3, 2, 1, and 0 are used as subscripts to Br, 4 being most dominant, followed by 3, 2, 1, and 0 in descending order.

The alleles representing bronze and white inner chaff color are represented by BB and bb, respectively. The six parents than have the following suggested genotypes: Br_4Br_4bb is the brown-white parent, Br_3Br_3bb is the black-white parent, Br_3Br_3BB denotes the black-bronze parent, Br_2Br_2BB denotes the bronze parent, Br_1Br_1bb is the light bronze-white parent, and Br_0Br_0bb denotes the white parent.

Table 38 illustrates the phenotype and suggested genotypes of the parents involved in each of the 15 crosses used in this study. Table 39 shows the phenotype and suggested genotypes of the 15 F_1 populations used in this study.

	and the second			
Cross	Suggested g	eno	type of parents	Phenotype of parents ^a
558	Br3Br3BB	x	Br4Br4bb	Black-bronze x brown-white
559	BrlBrlbb	x	Br4Br4bb	Light bronze-white x brown-white
561	Br4Br4BB*	x	Br3Br3bb	Brown-bronze x black-white
562	BroBrobb	x	Br2Br2BB	White x bronze
563	Br4Br4bb	x	BroBrobb	Brown-white x white
564	Br ₀ Br ₀ bb	x	BrlBrlpp	White x light bronze-white
565	BrlBrlpp	x	Br3Br3bb	Light bronze-white x black-white
566	Br ₂ Br ₂ BB	x	Br3Br3bb	Bronze x black-white
567	Br3Br3bb	x	Br3Br3BB	Black-white x black-bronze
569	BrlBrlbb	x	Br3Br3BB	Light bronze-white x black-bronze
570	Br ₂ Br ₂ BB	x	Br4Br4bb	Bronze x brown-white
571	Br ₂ Br ₂ BB	x	Br3Br3BB	Bronze x black-bronze
572	Br2Br2BB	x	BrlBrlpp	Bronze x light bronze-white
574	BroBrobb	x	Br3Br3bb	White x black-white
575	Br3Br3BB	x	BroBrobb	Black-bronze x white

Table 38. Suggested genotype and phenotype of the parental selections used in each cross

- a The first term of a hyphenated color classification refers to outer chaff and the second term to inner chaff.
- * In this cross the brown parent apparently possessed bronze inner chaff, instead of the usual white.

Cross	Suggested genotype of F1's	Phenotype of F1's*
-		
558	Br ₃ Br ₄ Bb	Medium brown-bronze
559	Br ₁ Br ₄ bb	Medium brown-white
561	Br4Br3Bb	Brown-bronze
562	Br ₀ Br ₂ Bb	Light brown-bronze
563	BruBrobb	Light brown-white
564	BroBrlpp	Light bronze-white
565	Br1Br3bb	Light speckled black-white
566	Br2Br3Bb	Light speckled black-bronze
567	Br3Br3Bb	Light speckled black-bronze
569	Br1Br3Bb	Light speckled black-bronze
570	Br ₂ Br ₄ Bb	Medium brown-bronze
571	Br ₂ Br ₄ BB	Light speckled black-bronze
572	Br2Br1Bb	Medium bronze-bronze
574	BroBr3bb	Light speckled black-white
575	Br3Br0Bb	Light speckled black-bronze

Table 39. Suggested genotype and phenotype of F_1 plants

* The first term of hyphenated color classification refers to outer chaff and the second term to inner chaff color.

CONCLUSIONS

Six wheat selections representing different chaff color variations were crossed in all combinations and a genetic analysis made of the F_1 and F_2 generations.

Based on the results of this study, the following observations and conclusions are drawn.

1. The outer chaff colors of brown, black, bronze, light bronze, and white are conditioned by a series of multiple alleles, with brown being most dominant, followed, in order, by black, bronze, light bronze, and white. Segregation for any two colors taken together can be explained on a single gene basis.

2. The F_1 plants in all crosses appeared to be lighter in color than the dominant parent, indicating dominance is not absolute.

 Bronze and white inner chaff colors are allelic to each other, but are not allelic to the outer chaff colors.

4. Segregation for inner chaff color is also monogenic. The factors for outer and inner chaff colors are located at different loci and are inherited independently of each other.

5. Bronze pigmentation in the outer chaff apparently masks or suppresses the expression of white in the center florets.

6. Plants possessing white outer chaff apparently are unable to develop or express bronze pigmentation in the center florets.

7. All of the deviations from a 3:1 ratio for inner chaff color, or from a 9:3:3:1 ratio, when outer and inner chaff color are considered together, can be explained on the basis of these two assumptions (items 5 and 6).

8. Awnlessness appears to be dominant over awned in a simple 3:1 ratio, when the short awns and beaked tips are classed with the awnless class.

LITERATURE CITED

- Beach, F. M. 1923. Hybridization of wheat. (M. S. Thesis. Dept. of Agronomy) Utah State University, Logan, Utah.
- Biffen, R. H. 1905. Mendel's law of inheritance and wheat breeding. Jour. Agr. Sci. 1: 4-48.
- _____. 1916. The suppression of characters on crossing. Jour. Genetics 5: 225-228.
- Clark, J. A. 1924. Segregation and correlated inheritance in crosses between Kota and Hard Federation wheats for rust and drought resistance. Jour. Agr. Res. 29: 201-202.
 - , and J. N. Hooker. 1926. Segregation of correlated inheritance in Marquis and Hard Federation crosses with factors for yield and quality of spring wheat in Montana. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 1430.
- _____, H. J. Martin, and R. C. Ball. 1922. Classification of American wheat varieties. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 1074.
- Dewey, W. G. 1956. Genetic and pathological studies with dwarf bunt of winter wheat. (Ph. D. Dissertation. Dept. of Plant Breeding) Cornell University, New York.
- Dhesi, N. S. 1950. Inheritance of resistance to races of covered smut, awns, and chaff color in a wheat cross. (M. S. Thesis. Dept. of Agronomy) Utah State University, Logan, Utah
- Harrington, J. B. 1922. The mode of inheritance of certain characters in wheat. Sci. Agr. 2: 319-324.
- Hays, H. R. 1918. Natural cross pollination in wheat. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 10: 120-122.
- Johnson, L. P. V. 1948. Dr. W. J. Spillman's discoveries in genetics. Jour. Cenetics 39: 51-55.
- Kezer, A., and B. Boyack. 1918. Mendelian inheritance in wheat and barley crosses. Colo. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 249: 1-139.
- Love, H. H., and W. T. Craig. 1919. The synthetic production of wild wheat forms. Jour. Heredity 10: 51-64.
- Matsuura, H. 1929. A bibliographical monograph on plant genetics (gene analysis). Tokyo Imperial University, Tokyo. Pp. 260.

- Mortenson, J. L. 1922. Mendelian inheritance in wheat hybrids. (M. S. Thesis. Dept. of Agronomy) Utah State University, Logan, Utah.
- Snedecor, G. W. 1950. Statistical methods. Ames, Iowa: The Iowa State College Press.
- Spillman, W. J. 1902. Exceptions to Mendel's laws. Science 16: 794-796.
- Stewart, G., and D. C. Tingey. 1928. Transmission and normal segregation in a cross of Marquis x Federation wheats. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 20: 620-634.
- Tingey, D. C. 1924. The inheritance of chaff color, head shape, and grain texture in wheat. (M. S. Thesis. Dept. of Agronomy) Utah State University, Logan, Utah.
- , R. W. Woodward, and G. Stewart. 1934. Miscellaneous genetic data from wheat crosses. Jour. Amer. Soc. Agron. 26: 249-250.
- Worzella, W. W. 1942. Inheritance and interrelationships of components of quality, cold resistance, and morphological characters in wheat hybrids. Jour. of Agr. Res. 65.