Utah State University

DigitalCommons@USU

All Graduate Theses and Dissertations, Spring 1920 to Summer 2023

Graduate Studies

5-1949

Seed and Forage Production in Four Clonal Lines of Alfalfa as Influenced by Lygus Infestation

John Keith Noyes Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd

Part of the Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Noyes, John Keith, "Seed and Forage Production in Four Clonal Lines of Alfalfa as Influenced by Lygus Infestation" (1949). *All Graduate Theses and Dissertations, Spring 1920 to Summer 2023*. 3934. https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd/3934

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Graduate Theses and Dissertations, Spring 1920 to Summer 2023 by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



SEED AND FORAGE PRODUCTION IN FOUR CLONAL LINES OF ALFALFA AS INFLUENCED BY LYCUS INFESTATION

by

John Keith Noyes

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Science

in

The School of Agriculture

Department of Agronomy

Utah State Agricutural College

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Appreciation is expressed to the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, Bureau of Plant Industry, and the Bureau of Entomology and Plant Quarantime for their cooperation and suggestions; and for permission to use data that were obtained in the 1946 field tests.

I particularly acknowledge the valuable suggestions and cooperation of Dr. J. W. Carlson, Prof. Eliss H. Grandall and Prof. C. J. Sorenson which lad to the selection of this problem, and for encouragement and guidance throughout this investigation; and to William P. Mye for the photographs which appear in this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	e
Review of Literature	7
Method of Study	,
Description of Experimental Plots	?
Method of Planting 15	3
Treatments	8
Sources of Data	5
Results	5
Height in Relation to the Total Number of Racemes per Plant 16	5
Relation of Forage to Seed Yield 22	
Quality of Alfalfa Seed as Influenced by Lygus Infestation	2
Stage of Flowering and Seed Quality	,
Relation of Seed Quality to Different Genotypes of Alfalfa	,
Conclusion	5
Summary	1
Literature cited	5

INDEX TO TABLES

			Page
Table	1.	Average height and average number of racemes per plant of four clonal lines of alfalfa as influenced by intensity of lyggs. (Newton).	17
Table	2.	Analysis of variance of the average height and average number of racemes per plant of four clonal lines of	
		alfalfa as influenced by intensity of Lygus. (Newton)	18
Table	3.	Average height and average number of racemes per plant of four clonal lines of alfalfa as influenced by intensity of lygus. (Forage-crops Farm)	19
Table	lı.	Number of flowering racemes per plant on four clonal lines of alfalfa as influenced by lygus infestation. (Newton)	20
Table	5.	Number of flowering racemes per plant on four clonal lines of alfalfa as influenced by lygus infestation. (Forage-crops Farm)	27
Table	6.	Seed and forage production in relation to four genotypes differing in fertility and seed production potentials. (Forage-crops Farm)	23
Table	7.	Quality of alfalfa seed as influenced by lygus infestation in various stages of growth and development. (Forage-crops Farm)	24
Table	8.	Quality of alfalfa seed as influenced by lygus infestation in various stages of growth and development. (Petersboro)	25
Table	9.	The quality of alfalfa seed as influenced by early, late and medium stages of flowering. (Forage-crops Farm)	28
Table	10.	Quality of seed in relation to four clonal lines of alfalfa. (Newton)	29
Table	11.	Quality of seed in relation to four clonal lines of alfalfa. (Forage-crops Farm)	30

INTRODUCTION

Ho other forage crop cultivated in the United States can be used in as many ways as alfalfa. The variety of its uses make it a most useful forage crop.

The distribution of alfalfa is world wide and it plays a leading role in the production of livestock and their products. For general feeding of farm animals, alfalfa is unsurpassed. Used for pasture, it has a high capacity, which leads to large gains in grazing animals. If properly rotated and cared for, it adds nitrogen to the soil in a form that is svailable to plants and thereby increases crop yields. In addition to these assets, alfalfa is a valuable source of essential nutrients and vitamins for livestock.

With cultivation and practices of intensified farming, insects and diseases have made great strides in establishing themselves in alfalfa, as in other crops. During the period preceeding 1928, Utah was one of the major alfalfa-seed producing states. Immediately following this period seed production declined rapidly. As a result, the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station in the summer of 1930 conducted a preliminary survey in Millard county and the Unitah Basin on various harmful insects inhabiting the alfalfa-seed fields. Lygus bugs were found the most mumerous in these fields, with the single exception of thrips during the blossom period of the alfalfa.

Prof. C. J. Sorenson and Dr. J. W. Carlson, from 1930 to 1933, found lygus bug injury to be the essential limiting factor in the production of alfalfa seed. Their control, however, was not found practical until 19kk when DDT was first used experimentally. Its commercial use began in 19k6, and seed production in the state began to show marked improvement. During the three-year period 1943-45, inclusive, prior to the commercial use of DDT, the average per-acre yield of alfalfa seed in Utah was 81 pounds, in comparison with 154 pounds per acre for the three-year period 1946-48, inclusive, after it was used commercially. It is doubtful if more than one-half of the seed growers of the state used DDT for dusting their alfalfa fields during the latter period.

The alfalfa-seed crop ranked fourth in total value among farm crops produced in Utah during 19h8 (\$3,861,000). It has, therefore, played an important part in the economic welfare of the state. Utah alfalfa seed is also of superior quality. In 19h8 Utah seed growers received 7 cents more per pound than the average price paid elsewhere in the United States. (2)

The purpose of the present study has been to determine the effects of lygus infestation on growth and development in four clonal lines of alfalfa differing in fertility levels and their potential capacity in seed and forage production. Data were taken on height of plants, number of racemes produced, development of racemes and buds, stage of flowering, and quality of seed. The significance of the results will be discussed in the order of these headings.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In general, a close agreement has been found between seed yields and size of alfalfa plants. Exceptions occur, however, owing largely to factors which affect the growth but little, while at the same time inhibit pollination and seed setting. (6)

Stitt (19) found differences between average growth of the plants in insect-free cages (26.3 inches) and infested cages (15.5 inches) to be a good indication that harmful insects may greatly reduce the growth of alfalfa during the summer months. Sorenson (15) confined lygus bugs on alfalfa plants, and measured the length of stems at various intervals. He found from 8 to 35 per cent reduction in length, as a result of injuries caused by these bugs. Similar cage studies conducted by Shull (1k) indicated that if lygus occur in sufficient numbers on growing plants they will so limit the growth as to cause considerable reduction in hay yield. MacLeod and Jeppson (11) also showed that feeding lygus reduced alfalfa hay yields.

Damage to the seed crop caused by the feeding of the tarnished plant bug, <u>Lygus pratensis</u> (Linn) and the superb plant bug, <u>Adelphocoris</u> <u>superbus</u> (Whier) was reported by Sorenson (16) in 1932. He found considerable flower-drop in alfalfa to result from damage caused by these bugs. In California and Arisona, Stitt (20) found a population of 5.01 to 9.50 lygus bugs per sweep resulted in a loss of 34.91 per cent of the flowers that normally might have formed pods, and 53.89 per cent with populations in excess of 9.50 bugs per stroke of the nat. Sorenson (15) reported in 1939, that as the damage of the buds and flowers by lygus bugs was increased, alfalfa seed production was proportionately reduced. Carlson (8) in 1928, found alfalfa racemes with flowers ranging in number from 17-27 set about 50 per cent more pods than did those having flowers ranging in number from 5 to 16. This is in agreement with the work conducted by Piper (13) <u>et al.</u> (1914). The results are significant in the light of present knowledge concerning the effects of lygus infestation in relation to alfalfa-seed production.

During seed quality studies in Minnesota in 1943, Hughes (10) found that mirid-bug, <u>Adelphogoris lineolatus</u> (Goese), adults and nymphs feed on young alfalfa-seed pods, especially when there were few buds or blossoms. He observed that the injured pods shriveled, turned brown, and usually dropped to the ground within a few days following injury. Bolton and Peek (3) found a negative correlation coefficient ($r = -.58^{+5}$) between seed quality and lygus numbers, which agrees with results reported by Carlson (6) and Sorenson (16).

Varieties of alfalfa differ widely in their ability to flower abundantly with moderate lygus infestation. Of a large number of varieties studied Grimm was found to be the most resistant in preliminary studies made cooperatively by Asmodt and Carlson (1).

METHOD OF STUDY

Description of Experimental Plots

Location. Three sites were selected for the study of seed and forage production in four clonal lines of alfalfa, namely:1/

- 1. The Forage-crops Farm, Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, south of Logan, Utah.
- Alfalfa-seed experimental plots located two miles north of Newton, Utah.
- 3. Alfalfa-seed experimental plots located near Petersboro, Utah.

Flora. Red root, <u>Amaranthus</u> spp., and other native weeds were found growing along the ditch banks and waste places at the Forage-crops Farm. Immediately bordering the experimental plots on the east, south and north were irrigated fields of wheat and sugar bests. Irrigated fields of corn and alfalfa occurred on the west.

Teasel, <u>Dipsacus</u> spp., sunflowers, <u>Helianthus</u> annuus, and other native weeds were found along the ditch banks and waste places at Newton experimental plots. (See fig. 1 p. 10) Field adjacent to these experimental plots were planted to sugar beets that were irrigated periodically. Alfalfa in this vicinity was grown and cut for hay.

In the Petersboro area, gumweed, <u>Grindelia squarrosa</u>, ragweed, <u>Ambrosia elatior</u>, and other native weeds were found growing along the fence lines. (See fig. 2 p. 10) Some alfalfa grown in this vicinity had been left for seed production.

Fauna. The most injurious insects observed at the Forage-crops Farm were: lygus, Lygus elisus (Van Duzee) and Lygus hesperus (Knight); pea aphids, <u>Illinoia pisi</u>; thrips, <u>Franklinialla</u> spp. and <u>Thrips</u> spp.; alfalfa weevil <u>Hypera postica</u>; and several species of Lepidoptera.

1/ In the text of the paper these locations will be referred to as the Forage-crops Farm, Newton, and Petersboro.



Fig. 1. Experimental plots located two miles north of Newton, Utah. Looking north towards the Newton Reservior.



Fig. 2. An aerial photograph of the Petersboro experimental plots. Bordering the experimental plots were large fields of wheat.

Several colonies of honey bees had been placed at the Forage-crops Farm. Leaf-cutting bees, <u>Megachile</u>, and bumble bees, <u>Bombus</u> spp. were also present, but did not become conspicuous in the field until the middle of July. They were then relatively abundant until the middle of August.

Injurious insects observed at the Newton experimental plots were lygus, pea aphids, thrips, grasshoppers, <u>Melanoplus</u> spp., and several species of Coleoptera. These plots were also within the flight range of honey bees in nearby apiaries, consisting of approximately 178 colonies. Leaf-cutting bees and bumble bees were not conspicuous in the field until the middle of August. They remained abundant until the middle of September.

Injurious insects observed at the Petersboro experimental plots were lygus, black and gray blister beetles, <u>Epicauta</u> spp., grasshoppers, pea aphids, thrips, larvae of the alfalfa weevil, and several species of Coleoptera and Lepidoptera. Here, as at the Newton plots, were located apiaries consisting of approximately 285 colonies within flight range of the experimental plots. Bumble bees were relatively abundant from the middle of July to the middle of August, while leaf-cutting bees were not numerous at anytime during the summer.

Size of Plots. The area used for study at the Forage-crops Farm contained 16 plots 6' x 6' in size. The plots were separated by alleyways 6' wide with guard rows established from seed sown in rows 18" from the margins of the plots. Individual plants of each plot were spaced 18" apart. (See fig. h p. 12)

The area used at Newton consisted of two acres in a rectangular shape, with the length running north and south and the width east and west. (See fig. 4 p. 12) This was subdivided into 32 plots, each



Fig. 3. Alfalfa experimental plots near Logan, Utah. Cloth cages in the background were used for controlling the drift while dusting. Forage-crops Farm



Fig. 4. Alfalfa experimental plots near Newton, Utah. Sugar beet fields border the alfalfa plots. Insect cages used for the control of bees in pollination are shown in the upper one-half of the experimental field.

15' x 20' in size. Four plots occupied the width and eight the length of the field. Each plot contained four clonal lines of alfalfa, randomized within the row and four rows of Ranger alfalfa, each containing 41 plants. These plants were bordered on all sides by two guard rows sown from seed.

The area at Petersboro consisted of ten acres in a rectangular shape, with the length running east and west and the width north and south. (See fig. 2 p. 10) There were 64 individual plots, each one consisting of approximately 1/8 acre. Sixteen plots comprised the width of the field and four the length. Each plot had been sown to Ranger alfalfa.

<u>Replications</u>. Treatments at the Forage-crops Farm were not replicated, while at Newton and Petersboro, they were replicated two times. Both the first and second crop plots were replicated twice at Petersboro.

<u>Buffer Strips</u>. At the Forage-crops Farm cloth cages were used instead of buffer strips to confine the dust on the treated plots. At Newton and Petersboro strips of brome grass were planted between the plots to restrict the movement of the insects as much as possible. These strips served especially well as barriers against the free movement of lygus nymphs.

Method of Planting

<u>Genotypes</u>. Clonal lines from four genotypes of alfalfa were obtained from cuttings made in the greenhouse. The fertility levels and seed production potentials of each are as follows:

G-1 Utah Grimm No. 12, poor seeder, owing to low cross and self-fertility.
G-2 Nebraska No. 1255, highly cross-fertile and a good seeder.
G-3 Utah Ranger No. 7, cross-fertile and a very good seeder.
G-4 Utah Grimm No. 14, highly self-fertile and a fair seeder.

The plants were later transplanted to the experimental plots for special studies of seed setting and production. Each plot at the Forage crops Farm was given 16 plants of each of the four genotypes. A subsidiary portion of each plot at Newton, also contained plants of the four genotypes.

Ranger. The main portion of each plot at Newton, however, included 16h seedling plants of Ranger alfalfa. These were set in four rows 18" apart, with plants 6" apart in the rows. Thus each plot consisted of h rows with hl plants each. At Petersboro stands were limited to the regular seeding of Ranger alfalfa sown at the rate of h pounds to the acre.

Guard Rows. One guard row grown from seed was 18" from the margin of each plot at the Forage-crops Farm while two similar rows bordered the Ranger portion and the subsidiary portion of each plot at Newton,

Treatments

<u>Stage of Infestation</u>. Lygus infestation was effected and maintained artificially during approximately two weeks at four stages in the growth and development of the alfalfa, namely, (1) probud; (2) bud; (3) earlybloom; end (4) late-bloom. Infestation in the probud stage of development was maintained for two weeks prior to budding, after which the plants were protected by frequent dusting until maturity. Plants infested during the bud-stage of development, were previously exposed to the natural bug population of the area, and after infestation were dusted for protection from further damage until maturity. Plants dusted in the earlybloom stage of development were likewise exposed first to the natural bug population, and then, protected from further damage following two weeks of artificial infestation. A similar sequence of treatments was applied to plants infested in the late-bloom stage of development, except that protection by dusting was withheld until the final stages of growth.

SOURCE OF DATA

Bi-weekly sweepings with the insect net were made to determine the numbers of lygus occurring on various plots. The number of sweepings taken varied with the area of the plots. The data obtained are expressed as the average number of bugs per sweep of the net.

Height measurements were also taken at bi-weekly intervals. Random plants of each genotype were measured and the data are given in inches.

The total number of racemes found on each of the sample plants were counted at the different stages in growth and development. The racemes were classified as normal or damaged; and as "flowering" and "non-flowering".

The number of flowering racemes of the sample plants were recorded three times during the flowering period. Racemes were classified as "flowering racemes", when three-fourths of the potential florets had opened.

Each sample plant was divided into three regions with respect to the stage of flowering, as early, medium, and late. Early flowers comprised the lower one-third of the plant; medium flowers as the middle one-third of the plant; and the late flowers as the upper one-third of the plant. Sixteen pods were taken from each region of flowering and analyzed for seed quality based on normal, shriveled, and discolored seed.

The forage weights were recorded in grans per plant and later converted into tons per acre before calculating the analysis of variance.

Seed quality studies were based on the number of normal and shriveled seed per sample taken from each treatment. Pods from each plant were opened by hand and examined.

RESULTS

The design of the experiment afforded an opportunity for several different phases of study. Emphases, however, were placed on growth and reproductive development in the four clonal lines, as influenced by lygus infestation.

Height in Relation to the Total Number of Racemes per Plant

Significant differences in height and total number of racemes found per plant are shown for the genotypes in tables 1 and 3. The analysis of variance for height and total racemes is given in table 2. This type of analysis was used throughout the investigation. Utah Ranger No. 7 was found to be significantly superior to the others in height and total racemes per plant. This genotype is a high seed and forage producer, as well as highly responsive to insect pollination.

Covariance analyses were made of the total racemes per plant and height, as affected by different levels of infestation. The correlation coefficient (r) at the Newton plots was found to be .48 (127 df), which is statistically significant. A similar correlation coefficient (r) for the Forage-crops Farm was found to be .58 (63 df) which is also statistically significant. High production of flowers was thus found to be associated with the large size of the individual plants. The number of flowering racemes produced varied for the different genotypes as shown in tables 4 and 5. In other words, Utah Ranger No. 7 produced significantly more flowering racemes than the other plants.

Table 1. Average height and average number of racemes per plant of four clonal lines of alfalfa as influenced by intensity of lygus.

(Newton - 1948)

	1			Repl	icati	ons		1			:
: Genotypes	8	A	8	B	1	C	8	D	8	Means	:
Utah Grimm No. 12											
(low fertility & a poor seeder)											
Average height in inches		33		33		33		31		32	
Total racemes per plant		132		118		180		162		148	
Nebraska No. 1255											
(highly cross fertile & a good seeder)											
Average height in inches		35		34		34		34		34	
Total racenes per plant		238		202		184		118		135	
Utah Ranger No. 7											
(cross fertile & a very good seeder)											
Average height in inches		37		35		38		37		37	
Total racenes per plant		241		230		290		301		265	
Utah Grimm No. 14											
(highly self fertile & a fair seeder)											
Average height in inches		35		31		31		33		33	
Total racemes per plant		297		227		248		228		225	
Ta	ant atom	1 Plan	mt A								
	ase oren				are l	AV	erage	e heigi	31		
								0.05		2	
							1	0.01		3	
						To	tal :	raceme	per	plant	
							-	.05		15	
							1	.01		33	
Average number of bugs per stroke		.60		1.16		1.20		1.24			

1.20

17

Table 2. Analysis of variance of the average height and average number of racemes per plant of four clonal lines of alfalfa as influenced by intensity of lygus.

(Newton - 1948)

Average Height											
Degrees of freedom	: Sum of : squares	: Mean : : square :									
3	31	10									
3	31	10									
9	79	8.8									
i	3	3									
15	402	26.9									
3	214	71*** 15***									
ó	07	10.8**									
27) 48	773 71	28.6**									
127	1839										
	sight Degrees of freedom 3 3 9 15 15 3 9 9 9 27 27) 48 127	sight Degrees of : Sum of freedom : squares 3 31 3 31 9 79 1 3 15 402 3 214 9 138 9 97 27 773 18 71 127 1839									

1	Racenes	per	Plant			Lati		
:	Source of	: 1	legrees of	1	Sum of	8	Mean	:
1	variation	1	freedom	1	squares	1	square	3
	Levels of infestation		3		630		210	
	Stages of infestation		3	4	0841		13613	
	Levels x stages		9	13	5718	1	15079	
	Replications		1	2	0939		20939	
	(Total error 1)	1	15	83	3200	:	55546	
	Genotypes		3	31	9305	1	06435**	
	Genotypes x levels		9	2	1372		2375	
	Genotypes x stages		9	8	0531		8948**	
	Genotypes x levels x stages		27	81	6370		30240**	
	Remainder (Error	2)	48	11	7159		2441	
	Total		127	238	5065			

Table 3. Average height and average number of racemes per plant of four clonal lines of alfalfa as influenced by intensity of lygus.

Replications * 2 Genotypes 1 1 Means \overline{n} . litah Grimm No. 12 (low fertility & a poor seeder) Average height in inches 25 22 28 26 25 68 59 83 Total racenes per plant 69 Nebraska No. 1255 (highly cross fertile & a good seeder) Average height in inches 27 27 27 28 27 98 Total racemes per plant 125 104 102 92 Utah Ranger No. 7 (cross fertile & a very good seeder) Average height in inches 33 32 33 32 32 Total racemes per plant 122 133 88 108 112 Utah Grimm No. 14 (highly self fertile & a fair seeder) Average height in inches 23 20 27 24 22 79 Total racenes per plant 90 84 6h 77 L.S.D. Average height 0.05 4 5 0.01 Total racemes per plant .05 20 26 0.01

.60

.61

.99

1.00

(Forage-crops Para - 1948)

Average number of bugs per stroke

Table 4. Mumber of flowering racemes per plant on four clonal lines of alfalfa as influenced by lygus infestation.

(Newton - 1948)

:		1		Repli	cations			1		8
8	Genotypes	1 A	8	B	* C	1	D	-	Means	8
Utah	Grimm No. 12									
	(low fertility & a poor seeder)									
	Flowering racemes per plant	68		64	71		62		66	
Nebra	aska No. 1255									
	(highly cross fertile & a good seeder)									
	Flowering racemes per plant	106		121	99		108		109	
Utah	Ranger No. 7									
	(cross fertile & a very good seeder)									
	Flowering racemes per plant	136		119	101		111		117	
Utah	Grimm No. 14									
	(highly self fertile & a fair seeder)									
	Flowering racemes per plant	108		85	98		75		91	
	L.S.D. 0 .05 0 .01								19 34	
Aver	age number of bugs per stroke	.60		1.16	1.20		1.24			

Table 5. Number of flowering racemes per plant on four cional lines of alfalfa as influenced by lygus infestation.

(Forage-crops Farm - 1948)

	na Saada Barra da ya Mala na kata an anala gaya, wata ana da na daga kata ana ana ana sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa s	8			Replic	atio	18		an a	-		:
	Genotypes	1	A	1	B	8	C	:	D	1	Means	1
Utah Grimm No.	12											
(low fert	ility & a poor seader)											
Flow	ering racenes per plant		56		59		40		69		56	
Nebraska No. 1	255											
(highly c	ross fertile & a good seeder)											
Flow	ering racemes per plant		63		86		99		67		80	
Utah Ranger No.	. 7											
(cross fe	rtile & a very good seeder)											
Flow	ering racemes per plant		102		141		87		103		108	
Utah Grime No.	14											
(highly s	elf fertile & a fair seeder)											
Flow	ering racemes per plant		70		45		69		55		59	
					-							-
	L.S.D. 9 .05 9 .01										24 34	
Average number	of bugs per stroke		.60		.61		.99		1.00			

Relation of Forage to Seed Yield

Table 6 shows the amount of seed and forage per acre for each of four genotypes at the Forage-crops Farm. Utah Ranger No. 7 was found to be significantly superior to the others in this respect. The correlation coefficient (r) between random variations in seed and forage production is .92 (36 df), at the Forage-crops Farm and .93 (51 df) for Newton, both of which are significant. Thus a close agreement is shown between seed and forage production in alfalfa, provided the conditions necessary for seed production are favorable.

Quality of Alfalfa Seed as Influenced by Lygus Infestation

A study was made of the quality of alfalfa seed as affected by lygus infestation during different stages of growth and development. Data are given in tables 7 and 8. There appears to be a definite increase in seeds per pod with early protection. The reason is that plants which are protected from lygus infestation through the flowering stages of growth and development function normally, while those that are infested in the bud and bloom stage, produce various abnormal characters as well as a poor quality of seed. (See fig. 5 & 6 p. 26)

Flants that were protected immediately after the prebud stage of infestation to maturity produced significantly more normal seeds than did those which were not protected until a later stage in development. At the Forage-crops Farm there was about the same number of shriveled seeds produced with the various stages of infestation owing to the low level of lygus infestation and the small size of the plots, which made it difficult to control the drift. However, at Petersboro there was a consistent increase in the number of shriveled seeds as the length of the protection

Table 6. Seed and forage production in relation to four genotypes differing in fertility and seed production potentials.

(Forage-crops Farm - 1948)

lepli-	: 5	eed in	pounds pe	r acre	t For	age in	tons per	acre	: Means	: Means
ation	: 0-1	: 0-2	: 0-3	: 0-4	: 0-1	: 0-2	10-3 1	0-4	: Seed	: Forage
A	128	535	985	321	1.30	2.08	4.13	2.01	492	2.38
B	171	706	771	450	1.65	2.76	3.00	2.55	524	2.49
C	171	514	578	300	2.01	2.10	3.19	1.78	391	2.27
D	192	706	557	621	1.93	2.82	2.10	3.12	519	2.49
	165	615	722	L23	1.72	2.44	3.10	2.36	181	2.41
A	171	642	707	86	1.63	2.23	2.79	.92	h01	1.89
B	107	792	899	150	1.31	2.87	3.00	1.43	487	2.15
C	192	749	557	278	1.78	3.38	2.40	1.95	Islala	2.38
D	107	792	707	321	1.41	3.10	2.95	2.05	b82	2.38
- T.	The	744	717	209	1.53	2.89	2.78	1.59	153	2.20
A	214	578	528	478	2.03	2.27	2.23	1.90	474	2.11
B	171	749	885	64	2.48	2.95	4.15	1.22	467	2.70
C	86	L28	771	236	2.10	4.34	3.74	2.40	380	3.14
D	64	492	814	236	1.48	1.84	3.49	2.30	408	2.30
	134	562	749	281	2.02	2.85	3.60	1.98	132	2.56
							-			
A	214	749	1071	171	2.10	3.21	4.07	1.56	551	2.73
B	107	599	749	64	1.46	3.60	3.12	.92	380	2.27
C	86	856	685	21h	1.37	3.96	3.32	1.52	460	2.54
D	107	557	343	471	1.50	2.89	1.57	2.57	369	2.16
	128	690	712	230	1.61	3.40	3.02	1.64	110	2. 42
	143	563	725	205	1.72	2.90	3.08	1.89	451	2.40
	125				.45				n.s.	n.s.
	166				.60					
	A B C D A B C D D A B C D D A B C D D A B C D D A B C D D A B C D D A B C D D A B C D D A B C D D A A B C D D A A B C D D A A A B C D D A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	lopli- : S ation : G-1 A 128 B 171 C 171 D 192 IO 165 A 171 B 171 C 192 D 107 D 107 D 107 D 107 D 64 D 64 D 107 C 86 D 61 T134 214 B 107 C 86 D 61 T28 107 T28 123	A 128 535 B 171 706 C 171 514 D 192 706 I 165 615 A 171 642 D 192 706 I 165 615 A 171 642 B 107 792 C 192 749 D 107 792 C 86 428 D 614 492 I34 562 134 A 214 749 B 107 599 C 86 856 D 107 557 128 69	A 128 535 985 B 171 706 771 C 171 706 771 C 171 506 557 D 192 706 557 I 165 615 722 A 171 642 707 B 107 792 897 D 107 792 707 D 107 792 8957 D 107 792 8055 D 107 792 707 A 214 749 885 C 86 428 771 D 64 492 814 134 5632 749 A <t< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td><td>lapli- : Seed in pounds per scre : For ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-4 : 0-1 A 128 535 985 321 1.30 B 171 706 771 450 1.65 C 171 514 578 300 2.01 D 192 706 557 621 1.93 I65 615 722 423 1.772 A 171 642 707 86 1.63 B 107 792 899 150 1.31 C 192 749 557 278 1.76 D 107 792 707 321 1.41 I44 744 717 209 1.53 A 21h 578 528 478 2.03 B 171 749 885 64 2.48 C 86 428 771 236 2.10</td><td>lepli- : Seed in pounds per scre : Forage in ration ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0 1.65 0.71 1.50 1.65 2.06 : 0 1.65 0.76 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.10 1.11 <td< td=""><td>Lapli- : Seed in pounds per scre : Forage in tons per scre ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : : :</td><td>lapli- : Seed in pounds per acre : Forage in tons per acre ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-2 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-4 A 128 535 985 321 1.30 2.08 4.13 2.01 B 171 706 771 450 1.65 2.76 3.00 2.55 C 171 514 578 5021 1.93 2.02 2.10 3.12 1.78 D 192 706 557 621 1.93 2.82 2.10 3.12 I 165 615 722 123 1.772 2.44 3.10 2.36 A 171 642 707 86 1.63 2.23 2.79 .92 B 107 792 899 150 1.31 2.87 3.00 1.43 C 192 749 557 276 1.76 3.38 2.40 1.95 D 107 792</td><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td></td<></td></t<>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lapli- : Seed in pounds per scre : For ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-4 : 0-1 A 128 535 985 321 1.30 B 171 706 771 450 1.65 C 171 514 578 300 2.01 D 192 706 557 621 1.93 I65 615 722 423 1.772 A 171 642 707 86 1.63 B 107 792 899 150 1.31 C 192 749 557 278 1.76 D 107 792 707 321 1.41 I44 744 717 209 1.53 A 21h 578 528 478 2.03 B 171 749 885 64 2.48 C 86 428 771 236 2.10	lepli- : Seed in pounds per scre : Forage in ration ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0 1.65 0.71 1.50 1.65 2.06 : 0 1.65 0.76 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.11 1.10 1.10 1.11 <td< td=""><td>Lapli- : Seed in pounds per scre : Forage in tons per scre ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : : :</td><td>lapli- : Seed in pounds per acre : Forage in tons per acre ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-2 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-4 A 128 535 985 321 1.30 2.08 4.13 2.01 B 171 706 771 450 1.65 2.76 3.00 2.55 C 171 514 578 5021 1.93 2.02 2.10 3.12 1.78 D 192 706 557 621 1.93 2.82 2.10 3.12 I 165 615 722 123 1.772 2.44 3.10 2.36 A 171 642 707 86 1.63 2.23 2.79 .92 B 107 792 899 150 1.31 2.87 3.00 1.43 C 192 749 557 276 1.76 3.38 2.40 1.95 D 107 792</td><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td></td<>	Lapli- : Seed in pounds per scre : Forage in tons per scre ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-3 : : :	lapli- : Seed in pounds per acre : Forage in tons per acre ation : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-2 : 0-1 : 0-2 : 0-4 A 128 535 985 321 1.30 2.08 4.13 2.01 B 171 706 771 450 1.65 2.76 3.00 2.55 C 171 514 578 5021 1.93 2.02 2.10 3.12 1.78 D 192 706 557 621 1.93 2.82 2.10 3.12 I 165 615 722 123 1.772 2.44 3.10 2.36 A 171 642 707 86 1.63 2.23 2.79 .92 B 107 792 899 150 1.31 2.87 3.00 1.43 C 192 749 557 276 1.76 3.38 2.40 1.95 D 107 792	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Total between seed x forage r = .92

Table 7. Quality of alfalfa seed as influenced by lygus infestation in various stages of growth and development. $\underline{l}/$

:	: Normal	Seeds per 50	pods	: Per cent :
: Stage of infestation*		: Discolored	: Shriveled	:Normal Seed:
Prebud	237	1.8	3.7	97.7
Bud stage	195	3.4	5.9	95.4
Early bloom	204	4.8	8.0	94.1
Late bloom	197	5.4	6.4	93.9
Means L.S.D. @ .05 @ .01	208 16 23	3.9 n.s.	6.0 n.s.	

(Forage-crops Farm - 1948)

1/ Each value is the mean of 48 determinations

Plots were protected to maturity immediately after the stage of infestation

Table 8. Quality of alfalfa seed as influenced by lygus infestation in various stages of growth and development.1/

: Stage of Infestation [#]	: See : Normal	is per gram : Shriveled	: Per cent : Normal Seed	3
Prebud Bud stage Early blocm Late blocm	317 306 266 259	41 41 50 73	88.5 88.1 84.1 78.1	
Means L.3.D. © .05 © .01	287 22 30	51 11 15		

(Petersboro - 1948)

Each value is the mean of 16 determinations Plots were protected to maturity immediately after the stage of Ĩ infestation



Fig. 5. A plant of Utah Ranger No. 7 that was protected during the flowering stages of growth and development



Fig. 6. Plants of Utah Ranger No. 7 infested with lygus during the flowering stages of growth and development period was shortened. These results at Petersboro are in accordance with previous findings by Carlson (7) and Sorenson (16).

Stage of Flowering and Seed Quality

The relation of stage of flowering to the quality of alfalfa seed is shown in table 9. Early-season flowers appear to be superior to those forming later in the production of normal seeds. The results show no difference, however, between the stage of flowering and the discolored and shriveled seed. Although late-season flowers may often produce a substantial quantity of seed, early frosts, as a rule, frequently destroy a major portion of the expected crop.

Cage studies made by Shull, Rice and Cline (19), showed that injury to alfalfa flowers by <u>Lygus hesperus</u> (Knight) prevented their normal development and subsequent setting of seed. Their results are thus confirmed in the present study.

Relation of Seed Quality to Different Genotypes of Alfalfa

The quality of seed was not influenced significantly by the dusting treatments imposed in this study, but variations occurred, owing to differences in the genotype of the plants, as shown in tables 10 and 11. Utah Ranger No. 7 is superior to the others in the production of high quality seed. This plant proved to be highly productive, with seed of good quality and color. In conducting this study, the writer has observed many characters that can be used to distinguish plants of one genctype from another. The color of the seed of Utah Grimm No. 12 and Utah Ranger No. 7 are especially distinctive. Utah Ranger No. 7 has a very small yellow seed as compared to Utah Grimm No. 12, which has a large dark green seed. (See fig. 7 p. 31) Table 9. The quality of alfalfa seed as influenced by early, late and medium stages of flowering.1/

: Steve of Dowering	Normal	Seeds per 50	pods :	Per cent	
An and the second s	-Ten de La Branderske				-
Early-season flowers	219	4.5	6.3	95.3	
Mid-season flowers	205	4.2	5.9	95.3	
Late-season flowers	201	3.1	5.8	95.7	
lleans	200	3.9	6.0	and a substant of the second second	
L.S.D. 0.05 0.01	11 14	n.s.	n.c.		

(Forage-crops Farm - 1948)

1/ Each value is the mean of 13 determinations

Table 10. Quality of seed in relation to four clonal lines of alfalfa.1/

(Newton - 1948)

:	Genotypes	: Avg. number of : Normal	seeds per pod 1 Shriveled	: Per cent : Normal Seed	8
	Utah Orima No. 12 (low fertility & a poor seeder)	3.0	2.0	60.0	
	Nebraska No. 1255 (highly cross fertile & a good secder)	4.5	4.5	50.0	
	Utah Ranger No. 7 (cross fertile & a very good seeder)	6.2	3.0	67.3	
	Stab Grima Ho. 14 (highly self fertile & a fair sector)	4.7	3.5	57.3	
	Means L.S.D. 0 .05 3 .01	4.6 n.s.	3.2 n.s.		

1/ All values are the means of 32 determinations

Table 11. Quality of seed in relation to four clonal lines of alfalfa.1/

(Forage-crops Farm - 1948)

1 1	Genotypes	1	Normal	Seeds per 50 : Discolored	pods : Shriveled	Per cent Normal Seed
	Utah Grimm No. 12 (low fertility & a poor seeder)		92	2.0	3.8	94.0
	Nebraska No. 1255 (highly cross fertile & a good seeder)		278	3.1	6.1	96.9
	Utah Ranger No. 7 (cross fertile & a very good seeder)		257	3.5	5.1	96.6
	Utah Grimm No. 14 (highly self fertile & a fair seeder)		205	6.9	8.9	92.7
	Means L.S.D. @ .05 @ .01		208 29 39	3.9 n.s.	6.0 1.8 2.5	

1/ All values are the means of 48 determinations



Fig. 7. Alfalfa seed of four genotypes



Fig. 8. Alfalfa pods of four genotypes

The following weights in grams per hundred seeds were obtained: Utah Grimm No. 12, .30 gram; Nebraska No. 1255, .22 gram; Utah Ranger No. 7, .15 gram and Utah Grimm No. 14, .20 gram. Crandall (9) found in his studies of clonal lines in Nebraska, the following weights in grams per hundred seeds: Nebraska No. 1255, .18 gram and Utah Ranger No. 7, .12 gram, thus indicating a consistent genetic difference between individual genetypes in relation to seed size.

Pods of different plants may also be used for identification. Those of Nebraska No. 1255 are lighter in color then those of the others studied. Pods of Utah Ranger No. 7 are very small and black; and, therefore, can be easily distinguished from those of other plants. (See fig. 8 p. 31)

CONCLUSION

Studies on the Forage-crops Farm and Newton plots, show that height in alfalfa, although affected adversely by lygus infestation, is influenced by the genetic composition of the plant. The results also show, that there is a tendency for height to be positively associated with the total number of racemes and vice versa.

The quality of alfalfa seed was found only slightly affected by lygus infestation during different stages of growth, although it was not found practical to maintain the desired levels which, no doubt, would have produced an effect.

In view of its seed size, germination, and other desirable characters possessed, it appears that Utah Ranger No. 7 is significantly superior to other clonal lines used in this investigation.

The data from this research are probably inadequate to show conclusively the importance of the genetic composition of alfalfa plants in relation to seed production. Further investigations should be made with reference to breeding for increased seed production.

SUMMARY

1. In an attempt to find the effects of lygus on vegetative growth and reproductive development of alfalfa, investigations were made at Petersboro, Forage-crops Farm, and Newton, Utah, during the 1948 season.

2. Results of these investigations indicate that height in alfalfa, although affected adversely by lygus infestation, is largely influenced by the genetic composition of the plant.

3. The tendency for more racemes to be associated with taller plants is shown by a significant correlation between these characters.

4. The number of flowering racemes produced was found to vary with the genotype.

5. There was a pronounced tendency for seed and forage productivity to be positively associated, as shown by correlations of 0.92 and 0.93 at two different locations between the characters.

6. The quality of alfalfa seed was only slightly affected by variations in lygus infestation during the different stages of growth, although the desired levels of infestation were not practical to maintain.
7. Early-season flowers appear to be superior to those forming later in the production of normal seeds. The results, however, show no difference between the stage of flowering and the discolored and shriveled seed.

8. In view of its seed size, germination, and other desirable characters possessed, it appears that Utah Ranger No. 7 is significantly superior to other clonal lines used in this investigation.

- Aamodt, O. S., J. W. Carlson. Alfalfa investigations. Wis. Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 440 Part II, 1938.
- (2) U. S. Dept. of Agr. Agricultural statistics, 1948.
- (3) Bolton, J. L., O. Peck. Alfalfa seed production in Northern Saskatchewan as affected by lygus bugs, with a report on their control by burning. Sci. Agr. 20:130-136, 1938.
- (4) Brink, R. S., D. D. Cooper. Partial Self-incompatibility in medicago sativa. Nat. Acad. Sci. Proc. 24:497-499, 1938.
- (5) Carlson, J. W. Alfalfa seed investigations in Utah. Utah Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 258, 1935.
- (6) Lygus bug damage to alfalfa in relation to seed production. Jour. Agr. Res. 61:791-814, 1940.
- (7) Pollination, Lygus infestation, genotype, and size of plants as affecting seed setting and seed production in alfalfa. Am. Soc. Agron. Jour. 38:502-513, 1946.
- (8) Seasonal behavior of alfalfa flowers as related to seed production in alfalfa. Am. Soc. Agron. Jour. 20:542-556, 1928.
- (9) Crandall, Bliss H. Alfalfa investigations. Annual report. Lincoln, Nebraska. (Unpublished) 1945.
- (10) Hughesy John H. The alfalfa plant bug. Minn. Agr. Exp. Sta. . Tech. Bul. 161, 1943.
- (11) Jeppson, Lee R., G. F. MacLeod. Lugus bug indury and its effect on the growth of alfalfa. Hilgardia. 17:165-185, 1946.
- (12) Knowles, R. P. The role of insects, weather conditions, and plant character in seed setting of alfalfa. Sci. Agr. 24:29-50, 1943.
- (13) Piper, C. V. Alfalfa seed production: Pollination studies.
 U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 75, 1914.
- (14) Shull, Earl W., Paul L. Rice., and Harry F. Cline. Lygus hesperus Knight, in relation to plant growth, blossom drops, and seed set in alfalfa. Jour. Econ. Ent. 27:265-269, 1934.
- (15) Sorenson, C. J. Lygus bugs in relation to alfalfa seed production. Utah Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 284, 1939.

- (16) Sorenson, C. J. Lygus bugs in relation to occurrence of shriveled alfalfa seed. Jour. Econ. Ent. 29:454-457, 1936.
- (17) Mirid-bug injury as a factor declining alfalfaseed yields. Fifth annual faculty research lecture delivered at the Utah Agr. College, Logan, Utah. Apr. 29, 1946.
- (18) Stevenson, T. M., J. L. Bolton. An evaluation of the self-tripping character in breeding for improved seed-yield in alfalfa. Empire Jour. Agr. 15:82-38, 1947.
- (19) Stitt, L. L. Reduction of the vegetative growth of alfalfa by insects. Jour. Econ. Ent. 41:739-741, 1948.
- (20) Three species of the genus Lygus and their relation to alfalfa seed production in Southern Arizona and California. U. S. Dept. of Agr. Tech. Bul. 7hl, 1940.