Utah State University DigitalCommons@USU

All Graduate Theses and Dissertations

**Graduate Studies** 

5-2014

# Factors Affecting the Toxic Cyanobacteria Nodularia Spumigena in Farmington Bay of Great Salt Lake, Utah

B. Eric McCulley Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd

Part of the Life Sciences Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

McCulley, B. Eric, "Factors Affecting the Toxic Cyanobacteria Nodularia Spumigena in Farmington Bay of Great Salt Lake, Utah" (2014). *All Graduate Theses and Dissertations*. 4014. https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd/4014

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Graduate Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



# FACTORS AFFECTING THE TOXIC CYANOBACTERIA

## NODULARIA SPUMIGENA IN FARMINGTON BAY

### OF GREAT SALT LAKE, UTAH

by

B. Eric McCulley

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

# MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Watershed Science

Approved:

Wayne Wurtsbaugh Major Professor

Jennifer Follstad-Shah Committee Member

David Stevens Committee Member Mark McLellan Vice President for Research and Dean of the School of Graduate Studies

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY Logan, UT

2014

Copyright © B. Eric McCulley 2014

All Rights Reserved

#### ABSTRACT

# Factors Affecting the Toxic Cyanobacteria *Nodularia spumigena* in Farmington Bay of Great Salt Lake, Utah

by

B. Eric McCulley, Master of Science

Utah State University, 2014

Major Professor: Dr. Wayne Wurtsbaugh Department: Watershed Sciences

Farmington Bay is a 140 km<sup>2</sup> estuary that has restricted mixing with the saltier main body of the Great Salt Lake due to an automobile causeway on the north that connects the mainland and Antelope Island. The bay receives a significant amount of the nutrient-polluted runoff from Salt Lake and Davis Counties, Utah. This nutrient-laden runoff has led to anthropogenic eutrophication and seasonal blooms of the toxic cyanobacteria *Nodularia spumigena*. *Nodularia* has been observed in many brackish estuaries across the globe and contains the liver toxin nodularin. This study focused on understanding the physical and chemical factors controlling the growth of *Nodularia* in order to improve our knowledge about nutrients and the dynamics of phytoplankton in the Great Salt Lake.

In 2012 and 2013 sampling was conducted across the bay at nine locations during five separate sampling events to help understand the seasonal and year-to-year changes in *Nodularia*, where the salinity ranged from fresh water (2 g L<sup>-1</sup>) to saline (80 g L<sup>-1</sup>). The

results showed that *Nodularia* densities and concentrations of the toxin nodularin exceeded World Health Organization "moderate" levels of adverse human health affect by as much as 1300%. The maximum concentration of *Nodularia* was up to 1,358,000 cells mL<sup>-1</sup> and nodularin reached 69  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. However, *Nodularia* were not present at salinities > 49 g L<sup>-1</sup>. Correlation analysis and laboratory bioassays indicated that *Nodularia* responded to changes in both nutrients and salinity.

The relative concentrations of major nutrients changed along the gradient from the south to the north, with nitrogen increases possibly related to the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen by cyanobacteria. Mean and maximum concentrations of total nitrogen were 5.2 and 7.8 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, whereas those of total phosphorus were 0.57 and 3.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Mean and maximum chlorophyll *a* concentrations were 110 and 267  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. Decreasing nutrient loading to the bay, or increasing salinities by making the automobile causeway more permeable, are possible management options to constrain *Nodularia* population in the bay.

(71 pages)

#### PUBLIC ABSTRACT

# Factors Affecting Nodularia spumigena in Farmington Bay of Great Salt Lake, Utah

#### B. Eric McCulley

Farmington Bay of Great Salt Lake receives a significant amount of the nutrientpolluted runoff from Salt Lake and Davis Counties, Utah. This nutrient-laden runoff has led to seasonal blooms of blue-green algae, Nodularia spumigena, which produce a toxin called nodularin that has been shown to be toxic to aquatic organisms, birds, and mammals. Nodularia spumigena are the most common algae found in Farmington Bay. This study focused on understanding the physical and chemical factors controlling the growth of Nodularia spumigena in order to improve our knowledge about how nutrients impact algae in the Great Salt Lake. The salinity of the bay ranged from almost fresh water (less than 0.2%) to water twice as salty as the sea (7.0%). Nutrient (nitrogen and phosphorus) levels were high in the bay, and showed patterns of change from south to north. Nodularia spumigena was found in concentrations that greatly exceeded the World Health Organization's standards for contact recreation. Laboratory studies suggest that nutrients and salinity are significantly correlated with levels of Nodularia spumigena from Farmington Bay. In combination with complex ecosystem interactions, nutrients and salinity in Farmington Bay apparently contribute to the high levels of Nodularia spumigena that we measured.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I would like to thank Dr. Wayne Wurtsbaugh for providing inspiration and assistance in completing this research. I would also like to thank my committee members, Drs. David Stevens and Jennifer Follstad Shah, for their support and assistance. I also thank Brian Bailey and Enid Kelly for helping me navigate the administrative responsibilities needed for this degree. Additionally, I would like to acknowledge my funders, including Central Davis Improvement District; Utah Division of Forestry, Fire, and State Lands; and Utah Division of Water Quality.

I give special thanks to my wife, Audrey, who tirelessly tended to the needs of our family as it grew over the last five years. I would also like to acknowledge my children Owen and Hazel, who inspired me to stay up at night working to complete these requirements. They now both love water, experiments, and sea monkeys.

I would like to also thank all those that helped me along the way. This list includes those that helped me in the field and lab, provided comments on the direction of the research along the way, or provided significant insights into the direction and interpretation of my research. These people include: Michael Stevens, Brian Barnes, Calah Seese, Susan Tahir, Erica Gaddis, Laura Vernon, Jodi Gardberg, Brian Nicholson, Marshall Baille, Leland Meyers, Theron Miller, Arthur Morris, Mark Morris, and Dave Barnes.

#### B. Eric McCulley

# CONTENTS

Page

ABSTRACTiii
PUBLIC ABSTRACTv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS vi
LIST OF TABLES ix
LIST OF FIGURES
INTRODUCTION
Background
METHODS
Study Site and Field Sampling
RESULTS
RESULTS
RESULTS
RESULTS 13   Environmental Conditions - gradients in physical factors 13   Chemical Gradients 14   Salinity 14   Nutrients 14   Isotopes 17   Cyanobacteria and other phytoplankton 20   Zooplankton densities and biomass estimates 24   Bioassay results 31   June 3, 2013 Nutrient addition bioassay 31   June 28, 2013 Nutrient addition and salinity bioassays 33
RESULTS 13   Environmental Conditions - gradients in physical factors 13   Chemical Gradients 14   Salinity 14   Nutrients 14   Isotopes 17   Cyanobacteria and other phytoplankton 20   Zooplankton densities and biomass estimates 24   Bioassay results 31   June 3, 2013 Nutrient addition bioassay 31   June 28, 2013 Nutrient addition and salinity bioassays 33   DISCUSSION 36

	vii	i
Nutrie	nts across the bay	9
Top-do	own and Bottom-up Controls on Phytoplankton42	2
Recom	mendations for Future Research4	3
CONCLUSIO	9N4	5
		_
REFERENCE	44	/
ADDENIDICE	5	1
AFFENDICE		+
A. Fie	eld parameters measured using a data sonde and sample locations during	
th	ne 2012-2013 transects in Farmington Bay	5
B. Da	atabase of laboratory results for nutrients, pigments, and isotopes	7
C. Da	atabase of phytoplankton densities measured in Farmington Bay on five	
da	ates in 2012-2013	0

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Matrix of laboratory analyses and field parameters done in 2012-2013 in Farmington Bay	8
2	Zooplankton length to weight coefficients from Reeve (1963) and McCauley (1984)	10
3	Design of the three bioassays using water collected from different stations in Farmington Bay on June 3 and 28, 2013	12
4	Nodularia concentration ranges (cells mL <sup>-1</sup> ) found in other studies	36
5	Mean and peak chlorophyll <i>a</i> concentrations ( $\mu g L^{-1}$ ) found in other studies	38

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1	Map of Farmington Bay showing some inputs4
2	Secchi depth at the nine stations in Farmington Bay on five dates in 2012 and 2013
3	Salinities at the nine stations in Farmington Bay on five dates in 2012 and 2013
4	Nutrient concentrations in the surface (0.2 m) water at nine stations (x-axis) in Farmington Bay for the five transects completed in 201316
5 6	Total nitrogen to total phosphorus ratios across the bay on each transect date18 Particulate material $\delta^{15}$ N levels at nine stations across Farmington Bay on four dates. Particulate material $\delta^{15}$ N enrichments of sewage effluents and rivers flowing into Farmington Bay are shown on the left of the figure
7	Particulate material $\delta^{13}$ C enrichments across Farmington Bay on four dates. Particulate material $\delta^{13}$ C enrichments of sewage effluents and rivers flowing into Farmington Bay are shown on the left of the figure
8	Cyanobacteria (blue-green algae), bacillariophyta (diatoms) and chlorophyta (green algae) concentrations in cells $mL^{-1}$ across all transects at Stations 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9
9	The concentration of <i>Nodularia</i> across Farmington Bay in June of 2012 and 2013 during the study
10	Cyanotoxin nodularin concentration across Farmington Bay across all transects at Stations 1, 3, 5, 7, and 925
11	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> levels at the nine station locations in Farmington Bay on five dates in 2012 and 2013
12	Relationship between total nitrogen and <i>Nodularia</i> cell densities measured at five transect stations on five dates in 2012 and 201327
13	Relationship between total phosphorus and <i>Nodularia</i> cell densities measured at five transect stations on five dates in 2012 and 2013

	xi
14	Relationship between phycocyanin, a common pigment in cyanobacteria, and nodularin, the toxin found in <i>Nodularia</i>
15	a) <i>Nodularia</i> biovolume as a function of salinity. b) Concentrations of phycocyanin (Turner Fluorescence Units [TFU])29
16	Zooplankton biovolume recorded for each transect with concentration shown in individuals $L^{-1}$ on the left and biomass shown in $\mu g L^{-1}$ on the right
17	Boxplots of chlorophyll $a$ (µg L <sup>-1</sup> ) and phycocyanin (Turner fluorescence units [TFU]) after 8-day nutrient addition bioassay experiment using water from three locations along the transect from south to north showing differences in concentrations between treatments
18	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> and phycocyanin concentrations in nutrient addition and salinity change bioassays conducted over an 8-day period using water from Station 5 and starting on June 29, 2013

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### Background

Blooms of Nodularia spumigena (Nodularia) have been documented in Farmington Bay of the Great Salt Lake (GSL), USA over the last several decades (Hayes 1971, Felix and Rushforth 1978, Wurtsbaugh and Marcarelli 2005, Marcarelli et al. 2006, Wurtsbaugh and Epstein 2011, Wurtsbaugh et al. 2012, Marden et al. in prep.). Two key factors that affect the growth of algae and autotrophic cyanobacteria, such as *Nodularia*, include salinity and nutrient availability. Bioassays using water from the GSL, ranging in salinity from 10-160 g L<sup>-1</sup> (1-16 %), have shown that *Nodularia* can grow in water with salinity of 70 g  $L^{-1}$ , but growth was strongest between 10-40 g  $L^{-1}$  (Marcarelli et al. 2006). The optimum salinity for *Nodularia* growth in experiments using water from the Baltic Sea and lakes in Australia was 5-20 g  $L^{-1}$  (Blackburn et al. 1996, Moissander et al. 2002). Nodularia have been shown to fix atmospheric  $N_2$  at rates of 8-35 µmol  $C_2H_4$  mg chlorophyll a<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Moisander et al. 2002, Marcarelli et al. 2006). Hence, Nodularia growth is often limited by phosphorus (P). Consistent with its N-fixing capacity, low total nitrogen (TN) to total phosphorous (TP) ratios have been correlated with higher production of Nodularia in the Gippsland Lakes, Australia (Cook and Holland 2012). Bioassays using water from the Farmington Bay showed that P additions stimulated Nodularia growth in long-term (30-day) studies (Marcarelli et al. 2006).

Previous studies have identified a physiochemical gradient that exists within Farmington Bay, where factors such as salinity and nutrients change in concentration from south to north (Marcarelli et al. 2006). Goel and Meyers (2009) found little or no Nodularia in open water areas in the far southern extent of Farmington Bay where salinities were low. More recent studies (Marden et al. in prep.) have shown more widespread Nodularia, still mostly found in the middle and north part of the bay. Other studies have found increasing concentrations of Nodularia and cyanotoxins along the south to north gradient (Marcarelli et al. 2006, Wurtsbaugh and Epstein 2011). Previous studies have also documented pronounced seasonality in Nodularia blooms, which peak from May-July, but can still persist into the fall. Our study helps to shed additional light on how salinity and nutrient limitation regulate the growth of *Nodularia* in Farmington Bay. We found *Nodularia* concentrations above 100,000 cells mL<sup>-1</sup>, and nodularin concentrations above 20  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, which are the World Health Organization's moderate risk level for contact with human skin (Chorus and Bartram 1999). Also, water collected for previous bioassay experiments was not collected during the early spring into summer, which may have affected the interpretation of results on nutrient limitation. Consequently, we conducted two experiments to determine if nutrient limitation changes along the gradient from south to north. In another bioassay experiment we modified salinity to determine its role in regulating the growth of cyanobacteria and other phytoplankton. We also collected zooplankton to assess whether their grazing pressure might be sufficient to decrease phytoplankton abundance. The observations presented here help us further understand how nutrients and biota interact, within the existing physical landscape and across time, so we can better understand the spatial and temporal variation of the algal blooms.

#### Watershed Context

Farmington Bay is located at the downstream end of the Jordan River watershed and receives surface runoff and secondary-treated waste water from the Jordan River, several small streams, state-run waterfowl management areas and wetland outfalls, the Salt Lake Sewage Canal, and waste water treatment plants in northern Salt Lake County and Davis County. In all, a total of thirteen waste water treatment plants serving approximately 1.5 million people provide inputs of water and nutrients to the bay. Some of this water has been filtered through managed wetlands and private duck clubs, but much of the water entering the bay is secondary-treated waste water.

The total watershed area of Farmington Bay is approximately 9,000 km<sup>2</sup> (3,500 square miles), which is the most highly-urbanized land in Utah. The bay covers approximately 140 km<sup>2</sup> (50 square miles), with depths at our sampling stations ranging from 0.2 meters (8 inches) to 1.5 meters (60 inches). The flow in Farmington Bay is from south to north (Figure 1). Mixing of Farmington Bay with the main lake (Gilbert Bay) is restricted by Antelope Island on the west, and an automobile causeway on the north. Bi-directional exchange of water between Farmington Bay and the more saline Gilbert Bay is primarily through a 16.5 meter (50 foot) breach/bridge near the west end of the causeway (Figure 1).

Farmington Bay is recognized as internationally important for migratory birds, has a beneficial use class of 5D, which has the designated use to protect for infrequent primary and secondary contact recreation, waterfowl, shorebirds, and other wateroriented wildlife including their necessary food chain. Farmington Bay includes



Figure 1. Overview of Farmington Bay showing some inputs. The arrow spanning the bay indicates the direction of flow in the bay from south to north. The smaller white arrows to the northeast, east and south indicate urban or wastewater treatment plant inflows, white arrow to the north shows the causeway, and the small black arrow indicating the location of the Jordan River. The circles show the sampling stations used in the transect.

Antelope Island and south of the Antelope Island Causeway (UDWQ 2012).

Whereas many communities around the world are concerned about *Nodularia* and other toxin producing cyanobacteria due to drinking water concerns, Farmington Bay is not used for drinking water, thus the issues are different. There have been reports of foul odors, bird deaths due to avian disease, and high levels of the liver toxin nodularin, but no significant environmental or human health impacts of these *Nodularia* blooms in Farmington Bay have been documented. It was important for us to study the bay in order

to determine if *Nodularia* blooms are common and if they have any adverse effect on the beneficial uses.

#### **METHODS**

Five separate sampling events were conducted at nine locations (Figure 1) along the physical and chemical gradient in Farmington Bay during the spring and autumn of 2012 and the spring and summer of 2013. These sampling points were selected to cover the length of the bay and were evenly spaced with approximately 1.6 km (1 mile) between each location. The Utah Division of Water Quality collects samples at two stations in the north end of the bay as part of the GSL Comprehensive Water Quality Strategy (UDWQ 2012). The location of those sampling points corresponds with our sampling Stations 7 and 9.

#### **Study Site and Field Sampling**

Field sampling was conducted at each location along the transect in June and September of 2012; and in May, early June, and late June of 2013. These sampling periods were selected because they represent distinct periods during the spring, summer, and autumn seasons where relative concentrations of nutrients and salinity were expected to be distinctly different, and when blooms of cyanobacteria have previously been highest (Wurtsbaugh et al. 2012). Particulate material (seston) in the water from Central Davis Sewer District outfall, two locations on the lower Jordan River (above and below the South Davis Sewer Improvement District outfall), and one sample from the Salt Lake Sewage Canal at Cudahey Lane (lat. 40.8424° / long. -111.9500°) was also collected on June 20, 2012 and analyzed for comparison with isotopic signatures found across the bay. This was done to determine: (1) if wastewater from the treatment plants might influence the isotopic signature, and (2) if varying levels of nitrogen fixation along the south to north gradient lowers the  $\delta^{15}$ N signal or if there were any interesting trends in carbon isotopes

At each site vertical profiles of temperature, oxygen, and conductivity were completed at 0.2 meters increments using an InSitu® data sonde (Appendix A). Salinity was measured with a refractometer and changed to units of g  $L^{-1}$  using the following equation derived from hundreds of measurements in the Great Salt Lake (Wally Gwynn, unpublished):

Salinity (g 
$$L^{-1}$$
) = 0.08164 (% Salinity) 2 + 9.96334 (% Salinity) - 0.43533 (1)

Water for nutrient analyses, phytoplankton, cyanotoxins, pigments, and isotope samples were collected at "elbow-depth" or approximately 0.2 meters below the surface for laboratory analysis (Appendix B). Light attenuation was measured with a 20-cm diameter Secchi disk. Zooplankton were collected using a vertical haul of a 0.3 meter diameter, 153-µm meshed zooplankton net. However, on the first sample date half of the samples were collected in 2.0 liter jugs. The 153-µm mesh size should have collected nearly all the crustacean zooplankton, but most rotifers would have passed through it. During the May and late-June transects in 2013, water samples were collected at every other station for analysis of total mercury (Hg), methylmercury (MeHg), arsenic (Ar), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), selenium (Se), and thallium (Tl). Additionally, Hg and Se in zooplankton samples were analyzed from these stations, but these parameters are discussed in a separate report. Table 1 provides a detailed list of laboratory samples and field parameters collected during each transect.

No. Stations per transect		2012			2013		
	Lab samples	JUN 18-19	SEP 21	MAY 03	JUN 03	JUN 28	
9	Total and dissolved nutrients	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	
9	Chlorophyll a	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	
9	Phycocyanin	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	
9	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ lsotopes	¤		Ħ	¤	¤	
5	Algal taxonomy	¤		¤	¤	¤	
5	Cyanotoxins (nodularin)	¤		¤	¤	¤	
5	Zooplankton abundance	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	
1 or 3	Bioassays	3			3	1	
	Field parameters						
9	Temperature and salinity	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	
9	Total depth, Secchi depth	¤	¤	¤	¤	¤	

Table 1. Matrix of laboratory analyses and field parameters done in 2012-2013 in Farmington Bay.

#### **Analytical Methods**

All water samples were first processed in the Limnology Laboratory at USU for chlorophyll *a*, phycocyanin, and zooplankton. Other parameters were analyzed at various commercial laboratories, as indicated in Table 2. To measure chlorophyll *a*, 10 mL of water was filtered through  $1-\mu$  m Gelman A/E filters and frozen. Chlorophyll *a* from the frozen filters was extracted in 10 ml of 95% ethanol for 20-24 hours and analyzed with the non-acidification method of Welschmeyer (1994) on a Turner 10-AU fluorometer. A pigment indicative of cyanobacteria, phycocyanin, was analyzed with a Turner 10AU

filter kit which provided relative concentrations measured in Turner fluorometer units (TFU).

Nutrient samples from 0.2 meters were collected in 2.0 liter polyethylene bottles in the field and were analyzed for nitrate + nitrite (NO<sub>3</sub> + NO<sub>2</sub>), ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub>), total nitrogen (TN), soluble reactive phosphorus (including phosphate [PO<sub>4</sub>]), and total phosphorus (TP). Raw water for total nutrient concentration was frozen at -20 C, and subsequently analyzed as described below. Water for dissolved nutrients was filtered in the laboratory using vacuum filtration pressures < 33 x 10<sup>3</sup> Pa through 1-  $\mu$  m Gelman A/E filters and stored in polyethylene bottles at -20 C until analyzed using the persulfate digestion method of Valderrama (1981) using an Astoria Pacific autoanalyzer.

To measure  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C of particulate material in the water column, samples were filtered using a pre-weighed and pre-combusted, 25-mm Gelman AE filter. Samples for isotopic analysis were sent to the University of California - Davis Isotope Facility for analysis using GC-combustion isotope ratio mass spectrometry.

Algal composition in glutaraldehyde-preserved water sampled from the transects was determined by an outside laboratory (Phycotech, St. Joseph, MI). Identification and biovolume estimates were completed by mounting samples in resin on slides and counting and measuring cells at 100x-1000x magnification to the level of genus or species, where possible.

Concentrations of the toxin nodularin were analyzed with EnviroLogix (Portland, Maine) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA; Quantiplate Kit for detection of microcystin) by Limnology Lab personnel utilizing facilities in the Center for Integrated Biosystems at USU. Not all samples fell within the range of detection for the ELISA standards. Nodularin levels were derived by using a 0.73 correction factor on the microcystin measurement following EnviroLogix protocol (EnviroLogix 2010).

The composition of zooplankton at every other sample location (Stations 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9) was analyzed using a dissecting microscope at 30x power after collection in the field. The total sample was shaken to allow for even distribution of organisms and a subsample was taken with a Hensen-Stempel pipette and put into a zooplankton counting chamber. All organisms in the subsample were identified to species using a higher magnification (up to 100x) then 10 individuals of each taxa were counted and measured using a micrometer scale at 30x. Measurements were used to calculate biomass following the length-weight regressions shown below given in McCauley (1984) and listed in Table 2, where  $\alpha$  is equal to the length of the organism in millimeters (mm) and dry weight is in micrograms (µg):

Weight = 
$$\alpha * (\text{Length})^{-\beta}$$
 (2)

Table 2. Zooplankton length to weight coefficients used to derive the zooplankton biomasses from Reeve (1963) and McCauley (1984).							
Organism Taxa size (mm) α β							
Artemia	4.9 (avg.)	0.9	3.0				
Copepods	0.14-2.45	7.0	2.4				
Daphnia	0.6-4.00	4.3	2.8				

#### **Bioassays**

Three laboratory bioassays were conducted to test the influence of nutrients and salinity on chlorophyll *a*, phycocyanin, and nodularin levels. For each experiment, bottles

were incubated in a light-  $(150 \ \mu Mol \ cm^{-2} \ sec^{-1})$  and temperature-  $(20^{\circ}C)$  controlled environment and agitated twice daily.

The June 4, 2013 bioassay was conducted with nutrient additions that were approximately 3x ambient background levels. In order to develop a better understanding of how nutrient limitation might vary across the spatial extent of the bay, naturally varying levels of salinity in lake water from three stations were used (see Table 3). Experiments were conducted with water collected from stations 1, 5 and 9 which provided phytoplankton communities growing at salinities ranging from 3-37 g L<sup>-1</sup>. Nutrients were added to 900 mL glass jars with plastic tops. Each salinity combination was replicated three times for each treatment with control, +N, +P, and +N+P additions of 35 mg N (as NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.5 mg P (as Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>-7H<sub>2</sub>O) L<sup>-1</sup>. Chlorophyll *a*, nodularin and phycocyanin (as a measure of cyanobacterial levels) levels were analyzed before the bioassay commenced (as part of initial field sampling) and on day eight.

Two additional experiments were conducted between June 29 and July 5, 2013 using water collected on June 28, 2013. These experiments included an additional nutrient limitation bioassay and a salinity alteration experiment, both using water from Station 5. The initial salinity of water from this station was 16 g L<sup>-1</sup>. For the salinity alteration experiment, salinity was increased using 400 mL of the raw lake water mixed with 400 mL of saline solution, which was created by mixing InstantOcean® aquarium salt to provide salinity treatments ranging from 16-59 g L<sup>-1</sup>. To insure that phytoplankton in these treatments were not nutrient limited, N and P were also added to all of the jars at the same concentration used in the N+P bottles in the nutrient addition bioassay on June 28.

Table 3. Design of the three bioassays using water collected from different stations in Farmington Bay on June 3 and 28, 2013. In the Salinity Assay, salt concentrations were increased with InstantOcean® aquarium salt above the background concentration of 16 g  $L^{-1}$  measured at Station 5. The **x** symbol indicates nutrients that were added to bottles in each experiment.

Nutrient Addition Bioassay (June 3, 2013)							
Station	Salinity	Ν	Р	N and P	control		
Station 1	$3 \mathrm{g L}^{-1}$	×	¥	¥	¥		
Station 5	16 g L <sup>-1</sup>	Ħ	¥	¥	¥		
Station 9	$37 \text{ g L}^{-1}$	×	×	×	×		

		 	-	
Station 5	16 g L <sup>-1</sup>		¤	×
Station 5	26 g L <sup>-1</sup>		Ħ	
Station 5	37 g L <sup>-1</sup>		¥	
Station 5	48 g L <sup>-1</sup>		¥	
Station 5	59 g L <sup>-1</sup>		×	

Salinity Bioassay (June 28, 2013)

Nutrient Addition Bioassay (June 28, 2013)

Station 5	16 g L <sup>-1</sup>	×	×	¥	¥

#### **Statistical Methods**

Field data were analyzed using Pearson's product-moment correlation or linear regression using R (R Core Team 2014) to determine if there were any correlations between physical and chemical parameters as compared to cyanotoxins, *Nodularia*, phytoplankton levels, and pigment concentrations. Results of the bioassay experiments were analyzed in R using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with log-normalized values to meet ANOVA assumptions. Post-hoc Tukey's Studentized Range tests were used to evaluate which treatment responses were significantly different from each other.

#### RESULTS

The results of the study are discussed below first by physical parameters, which outline the south to north environmental gradient that is typically present in the bay. Chemical parameters such as nutrients and isotopes are then discussed, followed by phytoplankton and related parameters such as phycocyanin, chlorophyll *a*, the hepatotoxin nodularin and zooplankton densities. The final section provides observations of the laboratory bioassays, which help us understand both nutrient limitation and salinity controls on phytoplankton.

#### **Environmental Conditions - gradients in physical factors**

During the study, the depth of our sampling stations ranged from 0.2 meters at the south end to over 1.5 meter at the north end. The water in the bay generally flowed in a northerly direction and spanned about 3 kilometers (1.9 miles) across, or one-third of the total width when the bay is at a higher elevation. Temperature ranged from 13-29°C, which is within the range tolerated by *Nodularia* in other parts of the world (Hobson and Fallowfield 2003, Mazur-Marzek et al. 2006). Secchi depths ranged between 0.14 m to 1.1 m (Figure 2). The depth at which photosynthesis can occur is approximately 2-3x Secchi depths. Consequently, sufficient light for photosynthesis was usually available throughout most of the shallow water column at most locations.



Figure 2. Secchi depth at the nine transect locations on five dates in 2012 and 2013. The water clarity at Station 1 was always greater than the maximum depth (0.2-0.5 m) so Secchi depth measurements were not possible at that location. On two transects Secchi depths were also greater than the maximum depth (0.4-0.6 m) at Station 2.

#### **Chemical Gradients**

#### Salinity

Spatial and temporal variation in the salinity was high across the bay during the study (Figure 3). During the runoff period in May and June of 2013, salinities ranged from 1-4 g L<sup>-1</sup> near the freshwater inflows in the south, to 26-37 g L<sup>-1</sup> in the north. In 2012, a low runoff year, salinities were higher, both in June, and particularly in September when they reached 74 g L<sup>-1</sup> at the north end of the bay.

#### Nutirents

Total nitrogen concentrations were generally high in the bay, ranging from a low of 1.6 mg  $L^{-1}$  to over 7 mg  $L^{-1}$  (Figure 4). The nutrient concentrations differed across the



Figure 3. Salinities at the nine transect stations in Farmington Bay on five dates in 2012 and 2013. The salinity across the bay changes from south (Station 1) to north (Station 9) and is also variable based on the time of sampling. Seawater is represented at a dashed line at 35 g  $L^{-1}$  salinity. As shown, the salinity in the bay changes from below that of seawater to above, creating a complex interaction with physical parameters, nutrients and biota. Note that the salinities at most stations during the second year were below that of seawater.

bay on each of the different dates, but there were some similarities in patterns (Figure 4). Nitrogen patterns were similar on different dates, but the peak of TN shifted between sample events. For example, TN peaked at over 7 mg L<sup>-1</sup> at Station 3 on June 3, 2013 and peaked at a similar level at Station 6 on September 21, 2012. TN was high across the bay on May 3, 2013 (3-4 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and increased approximately 2-fold by June 28, 2013 to over 7 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (ammonia, nitrate, and/or nitrite) had different patterns across the dates with a NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> maximum of 1.2 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> maximum of 1.1 mg L<sup>-1</sup> at Station 9 on September 21, 2012. On June 3, 2013 there was a consistent increase in dissolved inorganic nitrogen from the south to the north in the bay.



Figure 4. Nutrient concentrations in the surface (0.2 m) water at nine stations in Farmington Bay for the five transects completed in 2012-2013. The top line on these charts represents the total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) in the samples. Denotes stations where bioassay water was collected. SRP = soluble reactive phosphorus.

Total phosphorus concentrations were fairly consistent across the bay, but with slightly higher concentrations in the south end (Figure 4). Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) was most pronounced in the south end of the bay and was consistently reduced to very low or non-detectable concentrations (below 0.01 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) by Station 3.

TN:TP ratios always increased from the southern-most stations to the north (Figure 5). At Stations 1 and 2 the TN:TP ratio was usually below or near the Redfield ratio of 7.2:1 (by mass), but further north the ratio increased to more than 15:1. The increase in this ratio indicates increasing P limitation as you go to the north. If the Redfield ratio is applicable in the bay, the nutrient limitation may change from N limited in the south to P limited in the north end of the bay.

#### Isotopes

The results of the isotope ratios for  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{13}$ C in the bay and from some specific sources showed consistent trends across the bay, with minor variations for each date (Figures 6 and 7). Sources included the Jordan River above and below the South Davis Improvement District South Outfall, the Salt Lake Sewage Canal, and the Central Davis Improvement District. The water collected from the Central Davis Improvement District outfall showed the highest level of  $\delta^{15}$ N (+15.6), which is typical of sewage effluent (Onodera et al. 2015). The reduction in  $\delta^{15}$ N from the source areas in the south (left) to the north (right) indicate increasing levels of atmospheric nitrogen (with  $\delta^{15}$ N of 0.0) may have been fixed by cyanobacteria. The Salt Lake Sewage Canal and the Central Davis Improvement District outfall showed the highest values in comparison to levels across the bay, which decreased (became more negative) to the north.



Figure 5. Total nitrogen to total phosphorus ratios across the bay on each transect date. The general trend of TN:TP is to increase from south to north, with the exception of June 18, 2012. This trend indicates increasing P limitation towards the north. The N:P ratios giver here are based on weight:weight.



Figure 6. Particulate material  $\delta 15N$  levels at nine stations across Farmington Bay on four dates.  $\delta 15N$  levels of four wastewater discharges ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and the Jordan River (JR) that enter at the south end of the bay are plotted to the left of the Station 1 data and were collected on June 20, 2012.



Figure 7. Particulate material  $\delta^{13}$ C across Farmington Bay with some source water levels on the left of the chart.  $\delta^{13}$ C levels of four wastewater discharges ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and the Jordan River (JR) that enter at the south end of the bay are plotted to the left of the Station 1 data and were collected on June 20, 2012.

The  $\delta^{13}$ C values also changed across the bay, generally increasing from south to north (Figure 7). Particulate matter in the Salt Lake Sewage Canal and Central Davis Sewer District outfall showed the most negative values for  $\delta^{13}$ C and most values across the bay were similar to those found in the Lower Jordan River.

#### Cyanobacteria and other phytoplankton

On most dates and at most stations, cyanobacteria dominated algal cell density in Farmington Bay (Figure 8). Other abundant taxa included Bacillariophyta (diatoms) and Chlorophyta (green algae), which had different abundances and distribution depending on the time of the year. In general, the highest levels of both cyanobacteria and phytoplankton were observed in June for both years, but the temporal extent of our study was limited. On some dates Bacillariophytes and Chlorophytes had a larger percentage of the total concentrations in the bay. The difference between concentrations on each of these dates indicates that there can be major swings in the biota on any given period.

On June 18, 2012 the highest levels of cyanobacteria (primarily *Nodularia*) were observed at Station 3, which is approximately 3 km (2 miles) north of the outfall for the Salt Lake Sewer Canal, with up to 1.3 million cells mL<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 8). This level was approximately 1500% of the World Health Organization's (WHO) indicator level for "moderate" effects with exposure to human skin, which is 0.10 million cells mL<sup>-1</sup>. Diatoms and green algae were relatively consistent across the bay representing 10-30% of the cell count.

The June 3, 2013 sampling date showed a similar pattern with the cyanobacteria peak at Station 3, but concentrations were slightly lower than June, 2012, but still were 700% of the WHO "moderate" health risks of exposure to skin for humans. Green algae also peaked at Station 3 with concentrations of 15-20% of the total phytoplankton, with a similar peak in diatoms at >10% of the total.

On September 21, 2012, the cyanobacteria species shifted away from the *Nodularia* domination to that of *Synechocystis* sp. at the south end and *Pseudoanabaena* sp. at the north (Appendix C). *Pseudoanabaena* can produce the hepatotoxin microcystin (Paerl and Otten 2012), which is similar to the nodularin produced by *Nodularia*. *Synechocystis* can also produce cyanotoxins. Green algae were also a larger component of the cell concentration towards the north end of the bay.



Figure 8. Cyanobacteria (blue-green algae), bacillariophyta (diatoms) and chlorophyta (green algae) concentrations in on five dates at Stations 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 along the transect in Farmington Bay. Cyanobacteria (primarily *Nodularia*) dominated and the dashed line at 0.1 million cells mL<sup>-1</sup> of cyanobacteria indicates where the World Health Organization (WHO) has designated a "moderate health risk" for human exposure to skin. Other taxa were usually insignificant in number.

2013

The cyanobacteria and phytoplankton densities on May 3 and June 28, 2013 were relatively low compared to the other dates. In May there were more cyanobacteria (*Synechocystis*) at the south end than at the north end and in late June there were more cyanobacteria (*Nodularia*) at the north end.

Densities of *Nodularia* were highest on June 18, 2012 and were present in most of the phytoplankton samples we collected (Figure 9). In both years of this study, the highest *Nodularia* concentrations were found in June, but the limited number of sample dates did not cover the entire year, so higher values may have occurred on other dates. *Nodularia* concentrations were lower on the three other dates and a few samples were at or below the WHO risk level for moderate health effects from contact.

The cyanotoxin nodularin was observed at most locations on all dates, with the exception of September 21, 2012, when *Pseudoanabaena* was the most prevalent cyanobacteria. The highest levels of nodularin were observed on June 3, 2013 at 69  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> (LR equivalent microcystin), which is well above moderate risk of human contact limits of 20  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> of microcystin (Figure 10).

Chlorophyll *a* levels averaged 110  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> across all samples in the study with a maximum of 263  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> at Station 3 on June 3, 2013 and a minimum of 1.3  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> at the south end of the bay on September 21, 2012 (Figure 11). Total phytoplankton biovolume measured at the different stations and dates was weakly but significantly correlated with chlorophyll *a* levels (Pearson's r = 0.56, t = 3.52, df = 27, p-value = 0.0015).

Total nitrogen concentrations were weakly correlated to concentrations of *Nodularia* (Figure 12). The correlation with TN was partially driven by the low

concentration of *Nodularia* on May 3, 2013. TP was not correlated with *Nodularia* (Figure 13).

Phycocyanin levels, a metric of cyanobacterial abundances, were significantly (p < 0.001) log-linearly correlated with nodularin concentrations (Figure 14). This analysis did not include all of the stations where nodularin may have been present because levels sometimes fell outside of the range of ELISA standards. This result indicates that phycocyanin may be a good indicator for nodularin when *Nodularia* is the most prominent cyanobacteria.

*Nodularia* biovolume was limited to salinities below 48 g L<sup>-1</sup> and phycocyanin was also mostly limited by salinity to below 48 g L<sup>-1</sup>, with the exception of values recorded from water collected on September 21, 2012 when *Pseudoanabaena* sp. was present at salinities as high as 78 g L<sup>-1</sup> (Figures 15a and 15b).

#### Zooplankton densities and biomass estimates

The density and biomass of zooplankton were highly varied between dates and were also extremely high on some dates (Figure 16). The most common zooplankton in the bay included *Moina macrocarpa*, other cladocera, harpacticoid copepods, and calanoid copepods, with smaller numbers of *Artemia fransicana*, corixids, and *Daphnia* spp. On June 18-19, 2012, *Moina* were the most abundant organism observed with some *Artemia fransicana* also observed. On September 21, 2012, corixids had increased to become the dominant organism across the bay in terms of biomass. In 2013, *Moina* were the most abundant organism for both densities and biomass, but densities and biomass of both copepods was also significant. On May 3, 2013, concentrations and biomasses of


Figure 9. Concentrations of *Nodularia spumigena* at five stations on five dates in Farmington Bay. Concentrations on 21 September 2012 were all near zero and the data points are hidden by other symbols.



Figure 10. Cyanotoxin nodularin concentration across Farmington Bay on five dates. The level of nodularin, which is a liver toxin (hepatotoxin) was far above World Health Organization levels for "moderate" health effects on humans with exposure to skin (20  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) on June 3, 2013. On some dates and stations, nodularin concentrations were outside of the range used in our standards.



Figure 11. Chlorophyll *a* levels across Farmington Bay on five dates in 2012 and 2013. The horizontal dotted line at 50  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> shows the criteria for eutrophic classification using fresh water criteria (Carlson 1977).



Figure 12. Relationship between total nitrogen and *Nodularia* cell density measured at five transect stations on five dates in 2012 and 2013. Total nitrogen to *Nodularia* concentration in cells per mL showed a weak but significant correlation (Pearson's r = 0.55, t = 3.425, df = 27, p-value = 0.0020).



Figure 13. Relationship between total phosphorus and *Nodularia* cell density measured at five transect stations on five dates in 2012 and 2013. These two variables were not significantly correlated (p = 0.79).



Figure 14. Correlation between concentrations of the cyanobacterial pigment phycocyanin and nodularin toxin measured with ELISA (p = 0.00001). Note the large ranges in phycocyanin pigment and nodularin depicted in this log-log plot.



Figure 15. a) *Nodularia* biovolume as a function of salinity along transects in Farmington Bay in 2012 and 2013. Note that *Nodularia* was not found above 48 g L<sup>-1</sup> salinity. b) Concentrations of phycocyanin pigment, a proxy for cyanobacterial biomass, along the transects. The low levels of phycocyanin pigment observed on September 21, 2012 at salinities above 50 g L<sup>-1</sup> were from *Pseudoanabena* (see Appendix C).



Figure 16. Zooplankton densities (left) and biomasses (right) at five stations along the Farmington Bay transects. Note different scales used on June 18, 2012 when abundances were extremely high.

*Moina* and copepods were consistent across the bay, with an increase in *Artemia* at the north end of the bay. On June 3, 2013, density and biomass of *Moina* and the copepods was highest in the central portion of the bay with increased concentrations of harpacticoid copepods and *Artemia* was also present in the north end of the bay. On June 28, 2013, *Daphnia* spp. was present in the south end of the bay, but was not observed north of the southern-most point along the transect. On the later date, copepods also increased in the middle of the bay, but *Moina* dominated by the north end of the bay and no *Artemia* were observed.

# **Bioassay results**

### June 3, 2013 Nutrient addition bioassay

The results indicated that nitrogen was the primary limiting nutrient, but there were different responses across the bay (Figure 17). Water from Station 1 at the south end of the bay the initial biovolume of taxa was dominated by diatoms (35%) and chlorophytes (46%), with only 7% cyanobacteria (Appendix C), and overall densities were moderate (Figure 8). At this station N and +N+P stimulated the production of chlorophyll *a*, but phosphorus alone did not stimulate the phytoplankton. There was also a stimulation of the cyanobacterial pigment phycocyanin with the addition of N and concentrations in the +N+P treatment were not significantly higher than in the +N treatment, indicating that P had no influence on pigment production. Similarly, addition of P alone did not result in any significant change in pigment concentrations. These results indicate that phytoplankton and cyanobacterial growth the south end of the bay was likely nitrogen limited on June 3, 2013.



Figure 17. Boxplots of chlorophyll a (µg L<sup>-1</sup>) and phycocyanin (Turner fluorescence units [TFU]) at the end of an 8-day nutrient addition bioassay experiment using water from three locations along the transect from south to north from water collected on June 3, 2013. Means are represented as thick black lines and ranges are represented with the whiskers. Treatments in the experiment were: C-Controls; N-NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> additions; P-PO<sub>4</sub> additions, and; NP-additions of both NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and PO<sub>4</sub>. Stations 1, 5, and 9 were located at the southern, middle, and northern parts of the bay, respectively. One-way analyses of variance done for each station indicated that there were significant differences between treatments, with the exception of Station 5 chlorophyll *a*. Letters indicate significant differences between specific treatments as determined by post-hoc Tukey's Studentized range tests. Treatments that share a common letter were not significantly different.

The initial phytoplankton biovolume at Station 5 was high and composed of 96% *Nodularia* (Appendix C). At this station in the middle of the bay chlorophyll *a* did not respond significantly from additions of N or P. Phycocyanin was increased with the addition of N and significantly reduced by the addition of P, but strangely a combination of both did not result in any significant difference. These results indicate that the cyanobacteria growth (as measured by phycocyanin) in the middle of the bay may have been limited by N, but other phytoplankton growth (as measured by chlorophyll *a*) was not limited by nutrients and instead may have been limited by another factor such as light or zooplankton grazing, which was high at this location.

At Station 9 (the north end of the bay) the addition of N and +N+P stimulated both phycocyanin and chlorophyll a, but P additions did not increase either parameter. These results indicate that both phytoplankton and cyanobacteria growth was N-limited at that location.

#### June 28, 2013 Nutrient addition and salinity bioassays

Water collected at station #5 in the middle of the bay was used to conduct two bioassay experiments designed to: 1) determine if any nutrient limitations existed at this location, and 2) determine if there was an effect from varying the salinity on the growth of cyanobacteria and phytoplankton, as measured by phycocyanin and chlorophyll *a*. The initial phytoplankton composition of the cultures was dominated by diatoms (64%, primarily *Cyclotella* sp.), and *Nodularia* (32%), but overall cell densities were low (Figure 8). *Nutrient addition bioassay*—Both phycocyanin and chlorophyll *a* increased in controls from the starting value, but only phycocyanin levels showed a significant difference from the control at the end of the experiment (p=0.0005; Figure 18, lower frames). Phycocyanin concentrations increased with the addition of both N+P, but not when either nutrient was added separately. Chlorophyll *a* did not show a significant response to N, P or N+P, but the concentration did increase over 200% compared to the starting value measured on the day of field collection.

Salinity treatment bioassay – Both phycocyanin and chlorophyll *a* showed significant differences from the control (salinity not altered and remained at 17 g L<sup>-1</sup>), but these measures responded in opposite directions to the changes in salinity (Figure 18, upper frames). Phycocyanin levels decreased as salinities increased from 17 g L<sup>-1</sup> to 43 g L<sup>-1</sup>, but did not significantly change above that level. In contrast, chlorophyll *a* levels increased significantly with each salinity increment from 17 g L<sup>-1</sup> through 58 g L<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 18. Chlorophyll *a* and phycocyanin concentrations in nutrient addition and salinity change bioassays conducted over 8 days starting on June 29, 2013. These bioassays used water from the middle of Farmington Bay (Station 5). The values for the  $18g L^{-1}$  salinity indicate the phycocyanin or chlorophyll levels in the lake water at the start of the experiment. Bars indicate max, min and mean (dark bar) and boxes indicate quartiles. One-way analysis of variance indicated that there were significant differences between treatments and control for some treatments but not all. Letters indicate significant differences that share a common letter were not significantly different.

#### DISCUSSION

## Nodularia, cyanotoxins, and eutrophication

The results of our study confirm that *Nodularia spumigena* is commonly found in Farmington Bay and the levels of it, and the toxin it produces, often exceed the World Health Organization's (WHO) advisory levels for adverse aquatic human health effects. We collected data on the concentration of Nodularia at five locations across the bay on five different dates and found that concentrations often exceeded 100,000 cells mL<sup>-1</sup>, which is the WHO's moderate risk level for contact with human skin (Chorus and Bartram 1999). These values are comparable to concentrations found in other studies of the bay (Wurtsbaugh and Marcarelli 2005, Wurtsbaugh 2007, Wurtsbaugh et al. 2012, Marden in prep.; Table 4). We found a mean concentration of nodularin of 14  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> and a maximum level of 69  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> on June 3, 2013. Concentrations were often above the 20  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> level identified as moderate human health risk by the WHO (Chorus and Bartram 1999). These conditions can be toxic to aquatic organisms, birds, and mammals (Francis 1878, Paerl and Otten 2012, Drobac et al. 2013) and may have adverse impacts on nearby human populations if the cyanobacteria cells or cyanotoxins become entrained in dust storms blowing over populated areas (Metcalf et al. 2012).

Table 4. <i>Nodularia</i> concentration ranges (cells mL <sup>-1</sup> ) found in four studies of	
Farmington Bay.	

This study	Marden et al. (in	Wurtsbaugh	Wurtsbaugh and Marcarelli
	prep.)	et al. (2012)	(2005)
0 - 1,358,000	0 - 900,000	0 - 238,000	0 - 897,000

Accounts of ecological and human health disasters related to cyanotoxins are common across the globe and there is little doubt that human inputs of nutrients usually cause the high concentrations that precipitate such events (Paerl and Otten 2012, Drobac et al. 2013). Other studies across the globe have also found direct or indirect links between blooms of Nodularia and toxic effects on mammals, including humans (Francis 1878, Nehring 1993, Mazur-Marzec et al. 2007, Simola et al. 2012, Drobac et al. 2013). Nonetheless, the official status of Farmington Bay is that the water meets the beneficial uses in the bay, including the needs of wildlife and aquatic life and the recreation needs of humans. Additionally, Farmington Bay is not typically used for contact recreation. Furthermore, there is no definitive information to link the cyanobacteria blooms and cyanotoxins to ill effects on wildlife or aquatic life in the Great Salt Lake. Many instances of harm to birds and aquatic organisms, possibly related to cyanobacteria exposure, have been recorded in recent years around the globe (e.g. Matsunaga et al. 1999, Alonso-Andicoberry et al. 2002, Landsberg 2002, Blaha et al. 2009, Da Ferrao-Filho and Kozlowski-Suzuki 2011, Paerl and Otten 2012, Lurling and Faasen 2013). Many of these events have occured at cyanobacteria densities and toxin levels well below those that have been observed in Farmington Bay.

The results of our study also confirm that hypereutrophic conditions occur regularly in the bay, where we observed mean and maximum chlorophyll *a* concentrations of 110  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> and 263  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, across all samples in the study (Table 5). These concentrations are well above the 50  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> needed for a hypereutrophic classification (Carlson 1977) and are comparable to those observed in previous and ongoing studies (Wurtsbaugh and Marcarelli 2005, Wurtsbaugh 2012, Marden et al. in

	This study	Marden et al. in prep.	Wurtsbaugh et al. 2012
Mean	110	NA	141
Peak	263	506	470

Table 5. Mean and peak chlorophyll *a* concentrations ( $\mu g L^{-1}$ ) found in four studies of

prep.). These hypereutrophic conditions have led to periods of anoxia throughout the water column, which may not be suitable to support aquatic life at all times (Wurtsbaugh 2012). Although there were highly variable conditions across the bay during our study, some general patterns were observed. Similar to the patterns seen in many inland lakes (Lampert et al. 1986), we saw diatom and green algae growth early in the year to mid-June, transitioning into mostly cyanobacteria in mid-summer of 2013. Although densities of mostly herbivorous zooplankton such as *Moina* sp. and *Daphnia* sp. were frequently very high (Figure 16), their grazing pressure was apparently unable to keep up with the growth of cyanobacteria and other phytoplankton.

## Salinity Gradient as an Ecosystem Driver

Farmington Bay.

The spatial extent and variability of cyanobacteria and other phytoplankton across the bay was related to salinity. We observed *Nodularia spumigena* in water with salinity between 7 and 50 g L<sup>-1</sup>. Marden et al. (in prep) reported that no *Nodularia* was present over 59 g L<sup>-1</sup> salinity in a concurrent study. This threshold for the persistence and growth of *Nodularia spumigena* is higher than that found in the Baltic Sea, where *Nodularia* is typically found between 7 to 20 g L<sup>-1</sup> salinity. Lehtimaki et al. (1997) and Moissander et al. (2002) found that growth of *Nodularia* from the Baltic was inhibited above and below those thresholds. The results of our bioassays conducted between June 29, 2013 and July 5, 2013 showed that the pigment phycocyanin decreased and chlorophyll *a* increased with incremental increases in salinity in the range of 16-58 g L<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 18). These data indicate that increasing salinity diminished the growth of *Nodularia* in the natural range commonly observed in the bay. These results are similar to the bioassay results presented in Marcarelli et al. (2006), where *Nodularia* biomass decreased at salinities > 30-40 g L<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast to the response of *Nodularia* in bioassays, our salinity assay showed that overall algal levels, as measured by chlorophyll *a*, increased with rising salinity (Figure 18). Consequently, trophic status could still increase with increasing salinity, even though cyanobacteria decline (as indicated by phycocyanin levels in the June 29 experiment).

It is possible that due to genotypic variability the *Nodularia* in the Great Salt Lake has a higher salinity tolerance than *Nodularia* in the Baltic Sea. Another possibility is that the growth of Farmington Bay *Nodularia* actually peaks in the same salinity range as those found in the Baltic Sea (Moissander et al. 2002, Marcarelli et al. 2006), and the high concentrations we observed in higher salinity areas was due to advection and mixing of low-salinity water masses with more saline water to the north that had mixed with the intrusions from Gilbert Bay of Great Salt Lake.

#### Nutrients across the bay

Nutrients from municipal waste, diffuse pollution, and natural sources nourish the phytoplankton community in Farmington Bay. We observed mean total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) concentrations of 5.2 mg  $L^{-1}$  and 0.57 mg  $L^{-1}$ , respectively. These values are comparable to those found in previous years (Wurtsbaugh et al. 2012).

The high levels of nitrogen we observed were weakly but significantly correlated to *Nodularia* in our study (Pearson's r = 0.55, t = 3.425, df = 27, p-value = 0.0020). Although our data indicates that total nitrogen was correlated with Nodularia, it is unclear if the high level of TN causes the Nodularia population to increase, or if high Nfixation rates of this species increases the TN concentration. Others have found no correlation between TN and Nodularia, but those surveys included data from outside the growing season, where nutrient cycling in the water column was likely different from our study due to the seasonality of biotic processes (Marcarelli et al. 2006, Wurtsbaugh et al. 2012, Marden et al. in prep.). TP was not correlated to *Nodularia* in our study. This is likely because Nodularia have the ability to collect and hold phosphorus through a process known as "luxury uptake", where this nutrient is held within Nodularia cells above the amount needed for growth and metabolism (Litchman et al. 2010). The high phosphorus concentrations found at the south end of the bay were likely from the waste water treatment works that discharge there, but we cannot rule out periodic releases of phosphorus from legacy sediments.

Our bioassay data indicate that phytoplankton in the bay (as measured by chlorophyll *a*) was limited primarily by nitrogen. This result is consistent with the results of Marcarelli et al. (2006) when compared to their 6-day long bioassays. However, they found that when the assays were allowed to continue for 30 days, nitrogen-fixation by cyanobacteria overcame the N limitation, and the phytoplankton communities became P-limited. Similarly, water column TN:TP ratios suggest that growth of phytoplankton in the south end of Farmington Bay may be nitrogen limited, with an increasing phosphorus limitation further to the north in the bay. This change may be due to fixation of

atmospheric  $N_2$  in the heterocysts of *Nodularia* (Marcarelli et al. 2006), and to sedimentation losses of P. Our bioassay data also indicate that cyanobacteria in the bay (as measured by phycocyanin) was stimulated by the addition of N or both +N+P, with lower phycocyanin concentrations found in experiments where only P was added.

Between 40-60% of nutrients entering Farmington Bay are derived from human waste sources (Meyers and Houston 2006). This preliminary analysis of P loading to the bay from municipal wastes alone is 2.6 g P m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Meyers and Houston 2006), well above the 0.1 mg P m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> estimated to cause "dangerous loading" in shallow freshwater lakes (Wetzel 2001). Using phosphorus input and outflow data for Farmington Bay presented in Meyers and Houston (2006) we determined that the sediments there are a sink for phosphorus, with over 60% of the incoming P loading from municipal wastes remaining in the bay and not being flushed to Gilbert Bay. However, if external loading was reduced, nutrients would likely diffuse out of legacy sediments during anoxic conditions (Mortimer 1941, Van Luijn et al. 1999). Estimates from other systems indicates that over a decade is required for a new equilibrium to be established once loading is decreased (Jeppesen et al. 2007). Limited work has been done to establish the N loading to the bay, but Gray (2012) showed that ammonia release from the sediments just upstream from the bay in Farmington Bay Waterfowl Management Area had diel cycles of ammonia. That study suggested that release of N from sediments may also occur during times of low dissolved oxygen.

#### **Top-down and Bottom-up Controls on Phytoplankton**

Sommer et al. (2012) tested models that assess the limits on phytoplankton growth in lakes and the ocean and found that many factors play into the balance of different functional groups of phytoplankton. They looked at classic models that had a simple suite of parameters to determine the controls on phytoplankton growth, which included the physical controls of light and temperature combined with grazing of zooplankton and nutrient limitation (Sommer et al. 1986). In addition to those parameters, the more recent evaluation found several other factors that might control the growth of phytoplankton (Sommer et al. 2012). Those factors included overwintering populations of grazing zooplankton and grazing by heterotrophic protists which emerge early in the growing season, parasitism effects on grazing zooplankton, and the role of food quality in supporting grazing zooplankton populations.

We found high densities of zooplankton that likely grazed huge amounts of phytoplankton and preyed upon other small organisms, including smaller zooplankton, bacteria, fungi, and protists. Lampert (1987) estimated that a *Daphnia* could filter 2-15 mL of the water column per day. Although the majority of the zooplankton observed in our study were not *Daphnia*, zooplankton in high enough concentrations likely filtered significant portions of the algae in Farmington Bay, but the high chlorophyll levels normally observed indicate that there was insufficient grazing pressure to create clear water conditions.

#### **Recommendations for Future Research**

The findings in this report help us to prioritize the direction of future study in Farmington Bay. Although we found high levels of *Nodularia* in the bay, we did not address potential adverse impacts to wildlife or the aquatic food chain. Future studies should focus on this topic. In order to determine if Nodularia and nodularin are actually impacting birds or aquatic life, we should focus on areas that birds typically congregate during the months when *Nodularia* is present. Our study focused on the open waters across the middle of the bay and migratory birds use this area at times, but shoreline areas might be more likely areas for impacts because winds often push scums of cyanobacteria to the shore. It would be helpful to know if nodularin or other toxins are accumulating in sediments along these shorelines. This could be accomplished by collecting sediments during the most common bloom times (June) and testing for nodularin and other common toxins produced by cyanobacteria, including  $\beta$ -methyl-amino-L-alanine (BMAA). Once we determine what the concentration of key toxins are in the bay and what the legacy of those toxins is in surrounding sediments, relative risk models can be developed to compare the effect of cyanotoxins with other environmental contaminants such as metals and other pathogens.

The results of our study have also provided some guidance on future studies of the bay for the agencies charged with protecting Utah's natural resources. For the Utah Division of Water Quality's Great Salt Lake monitoring plan, at least one of the sample stations should be placed further south in the bay because conditions frequently were much different their than in the north. Researchers should look more closely at the ecosystem dynamics by using a phytoplankton ecology model that includes the inputs of grazing of algae by macrozooplankton, protists, parasitism, the microbial loop, release of different forms of nutrients from the sediments, and overwintering zooplankton populations. Because ammonia tends to stimulate cyanobacteria, and nitrate increases populations of diatoms and green algae (Blomqvist et al. 1994), the importance of loading and recycling of these two types of nitrogen needs to be assessed. We should also assess the loading of both N and P into the bay and explore other possible limitation of toxic cyanobacteria growth.

We should continue to develop our understanding of the conditions under which we get the most concentrated blooms of the toxic cyanobacteria *Nodularia*. We should also attempt to develop a further understanding of the top-down grazing pressures or bottom-up nutrient limitation approaches that might provide some control of the phytoplankton growth. Furthermore, we may want to consider options for increasing the salinity in the bay above that tolerated by *Nodularia* by making the Antelope Island causeway more permeable to water from Gilbert Bay. This latter option may provide the most cost-effective solution, considering the limited resources available for implementing reasonable solutions, but may also produce some unexpected consequences. Overall, a collaborative approach to developing this understanding will lead to the best outcomes for all parties involved.

Some ideas for future research include developing better knowledge of the food web in the bay and its connection to the brine shrimp industry and human health. A better modeled food web would help us understand the dynamics controlling cyanobacteria and phytoplankton growth. We should also further develop the connection with nutrients and the brine shrimp industry and determine if the cyanotoxins adversely affect the brine

44

shrimp in the open waters. We also need to develop a better understanding of the human health effects of blowing dust on communities close to the lake as more dry lake bed emerges. If drought conditions persist and water withdrawals from source rivers continue unabated these dust events may become more common. We need to conduct further studies to see if there is a link between the conditions in the bay and bird health or the health of the ecosystem components that support healthy migratory bird populations. This should include the possible link to avian botulism or other bird health issues (Murphy et al. 2000).

Once we understand the conditions that support the growth and persistence of toxic cyanobacteria in the bay, we will be able to better predict when blooms might occur. Research funding might also be well spent on developing our understanding of the conditions that are most likely to produce toxins and release them into the environment effecting wildlife and other aquatic organisms.

## Conclusion

In summary, our observations indicate that there are periods when high concentrations of cyanobacteria and high levels of the liver toxin nodularin are present in the bay. These conditions may be more prevalent in the future with lower levels of the Great Salt Lake and less freshwater input reducing the effect of dilution. Although cyanobacteria react to changes in the physical parameters such as light, temperature and salinity, they also react to changes in availability of key resources needed for cell building, such as nitrogen and phosphorus and grazing from herbivorous and omnivorous metazoans. There are both top-down and bottom-up effects on the size and structure of algal and metazoan populations.

Sustaining the importance of Farmington Bay is of international importance because the bay has been designated as a Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network Important Bird Area (WHSRN, Audubon Society 1991). In some ways nutrients benefit the ecosystem of Farmington Bay and the Great Salt Lake because they stimulate the food web for migratory birds and aquatic organisms. On the other hand, the cyanotoxins that have been found in Farmington Bay have been implicated in acute poisoning of dogs, birds and humans across the globe (Francis 1878, Nehring 1993, Mazur-Marzec et al. 2007, Simola et al. 2012, Drobac et al. 2013). Murphy et al. (2000, 2003) have also suggested that cyanotoxins may be related to outbreaks of avian botulism. More work is needed to definitively link these toxins with detriments to wildlife and the aquatic life in Farmington Bay and the Great Salt Lake, but the precautionary principle provides some impetus to continue evaluating the conditions in the bay, for the health of humans and our environment.

#### REFERENCES

Alonso-Andicoberry C, García-Viliada L, Lopez-Rodas V, Costas E. 2002. Catastrophic mortality of flamingos in a Spanish national park caused by cyanobacteria. Veterinary Rec. 151(23):706-707.

Audubon Society [Internet]. Western Hemispheric Shorebird Reserve Network
 designation of Great Salt Lake as an Important Bird Area for migratory birds;
 1991 [cited 2014 November 08]. Available from: <a href="http://www.whsrn.org/site-profile/great-salt-lake">http://www.whsrn.org/site-profile/great-salt-lake</a>

- Blackburn SI, McCausland MA, Bolch CJS, Newman SJ, Jones GJ. 1996. Effect of salinity on growth and toxin production in cultures of the bloom-forming cyanobacterium *Nodularia spumigena* from Australian waters. Phycologia 35:511-522.
- Blaha L, Babica P, Maralek B. 2009. Toxins produced in cyanobacterial water blooms toxicity and risks. Interdisc. Toxicol. 2(2):36-41.
- Blomqvist P, Pettersson A, Hyenstrand P. 1994. Ammonium-nitrogen: A key regulatory factor causing dominance of non-nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria in aquatic systems. Arch. Hydrobiol. 132: 141-164.

Carlson RE. 1977. A trophic state index for lakes. Limnol. Oceanorg. 22:361-369.

Chorus I, Bartram J. 1999. Toxic cyanobacteria in water: A guide to their public health consequences, monitoring and management. Spon. Press. World Health Organization, London.

- Cook PLM, Holland DP. 2012. Long-term nutrient loads and chlorophyll dynamics in a large temperate Australian lagoon system affected by recurring blooms of cyanobacteria. Biogeochemistry 107:261-274.
- Da Ferrao-Filho A, Kozlowski-Suzuki B. 2011. Cyanotoxins: Bioaccumulation and effects on aquatic animals. Marine Drugs 9:2729-2772.
- Drobac D, Tokodi N, Simeunovic J, Baltic V, Stanic D, Svircev Z. 2013. Human exposure to cyanotoxins and their effects on health. Arh. Hig. Rada. Toksikol. 64:305-316.
- EnviroLogix [Internet]. QuantiPlate kit for microcystins. Product guide for Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay (ELISA); 2010 [cited 2014 November 06]. Available from: <u>http://www.envirologix.com/library/ep022insert.pdf</u>
- Felix, EA Rushforth SR. 1978. The algal flora of the Great Salt Lake, Utah, USA. PhD diss., Brigham Young University.
- Francis C. 1878. Poisonous Australian lake. Nature 18:11-12.
- Goel R, Meyers L. 2009. Evaluation of cyanotoxins in the Farmington Bay, Great Salt
   Lake, Utah. Project Report completed for the Central Davis Sewer District. 39 p.
   Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Gray LJ. 2012. Macroinvertebrates of the Great Salt Lake, Completion report for the Utah Division of Water Quality, Dept. of Environmental Quality, Water Quality Management Section. Salt Lake City, Utah.
- Hayes, CR. 1971. Distribution, populations, and species diversity of phytoplankton and zooplankton of Farmington Bay. Salt Lake City: University of Utah Library.

Some ecological considerations of Farmington Bay estuary and adjacent Great Salt Lake State Park; p. 2-21.

- Hobson P and Fallowfield HJ. 2003. Effect of irradiance, temperature, and salinity on growth and toxin production by *Nodularia spumigena*. Hydrobiologia. 493:7-15.
- Jeppesen E, Sondergaard M, Meerhoff M, Lauridsen TL, Jensen JP. 2007. Shallow lake restoration by nutrient loading reduction - some recent findings and challenges ahead. Hydrobiologia 584: 239-252.
- Lampert W. 1987. Feeding and nutrition in *Daphnia*. Mem. Ist. Ital. Idrobiol. 45:143-192.
- Lampert W, Fleckner W, Rai H, Taylor BE. 1986. Phytoplankton control by grazing zooplankton: As study on the spring clear-water phase. Limnol. Oceanogr. 31(3):478-490.
- Landsberg JH. 2002. The effects of harmful algal blooms on aquatic organisms. Reviews in Fisheries Sci. 10(2):113-390.
- Lehtimaki J, Moissander P, Sivonen K, Kononen K. 1997. Growth, nitrogen fixation, and nodularin production by two Baltic Sea cyanobacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63(5):1657-1656.
- Litchman E, de Tezanos Pinto P, Klausmeier CA, Thomas MK, Yoshiyama K. 2010. Linking traits to species diversity and community structure in phytoplankton. Hydrobiologia 653:15-28.
- Lurling M, Faasen EJ. 2013. Dog poisonings associated with a *Microcystis aeruginosa* bloom in the Netherlands. Toxins 5:556-567.

- Marcarelli AM, Wurtsbaugh WA, Griset O. 2006. Salinity controls phytoplankton response to nutrient enrichment in the Great Salt Lake, Utah, USA. Can. J. Fisheries Aquatic Sci. 63(10):2236-2248.
- Marden B, Miller T, Richards D. in prep. Initial Progress Report and Preliminary
   Scientific Findings, Factors influencing cyanobacteria blooms in Farmington Bay,
   Great Salt Lake, Utah. Central Davis Improvement District Draft Report. Central
   Davis Sewer District internal document.
- Matsunaga H, Harada K-I, Senma M, Ito Y, Yasuda N, Ushida S, Kimura Y. 1999. Possible cause of unnatural mass death of wild birds in a pond in Nishinomiya, Japan: sudden appearance of toxic cyanobacteria. Natural Toxins 7(2): 81-84.
- Mazur-Marzec H, Tyminska A, Szafranek J, Plinski M. 2007. Accumulation of nodularin in sediments, mussels, and fish from the Gulf of Gdansk, southern Baltic Sea. Environ. Toxicol. 22:101-111.
- McCauley E. 1984. The estimation of the abundance and biomass of zooplankton in samples. Blackwell Scientific Publications. Chapter 7, Downing JA and Rigler FH (eds.) A manual on methods for the assessment of secondary production in fresh waters. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. IBP Handbook 17.
- Metcalf JS, Richer R, Cox PA, Codd GA. 2012. Cyanotoxins in desert environments may present a risk to human health. Sci. Total Environ. 421:118-123.
- Meyers L, Houston J [Internet]. Great Salt Lake Farmington Bay: An evaluation of phosphorus loading. Central Davis Sewer District, Kaysville, UT; 2006 [cited 2014 September 12]. Available from: <u>http://www.cdsewer.org/GSLRes/2006</u>

# <u>Great\_Salt\_Lake\_Farmington\_Bay\_Sediment\_Phosphorus\_Study\_2005\_Data\_-</u> \_CDSD.pdf.

- Moissander PH, McClinton III E, Paerl HW. 2002. Salinity effects on growth, photosynthetic parameters, and nitrogenese activity in estuarine planktonic cyanobacteria. Microbial Ecol. 43:432-442.
- Mortimer CH. 1941. The exchange of dissolved substances between mud and water in lakes. J. Ecol. 29:280–329.
- Murphy TA, Lawson C, Nalewajko C, Murkin H, Ross L, Oguma K, McIntyre T. 2000. Algal toxins - initiators of avian botulism? Environ. Toxicol. 15:558-567.
- Murphy, TP, Irvine K, Guo J, Davies J, Murkin H, Charlton M, Watson SB. 2003. New microcystin concerns in the lower great lakes. Water Quality Res. J. Can. 38:127-140.
- Nehring S. 1993. Mortality of dogs associated with a mass development of *Nodularia* spumigena (Cyanophyceae) in a brackish lake at the German North Sea coast. J. Plankton Res. 1993, *15*, 867–872.
- Onodera T, Kanaya G, Syutsubo K, Miyaoka Y, Hatamoto M, and Yamaguchi T. 2015. Spatial changes in carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratios of sludge and associated organisms in a biological sewage treatment system. Water Res. 68(1):387-393.
- Paerl HW, Otten TG. 2012. Harmful cyanobacterial blooms: Causes, consequences, and controls. Environ. Microbiol. 65(4):995-1010.

- R Core Team [Internet]. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0; 2014 [cited 2014 January 25]. Available from: http://www.R-project.org/
- Reeve MR. 1963. Growth efficiency in *Artemia* under laboratory conditions. Biol Bull. 125:133-145.
- Sellner KG, Olson MM, Olli K. 1996. Copepod interactions with toxic and nontoxic cyanobacteria from the Gulf of Finland. Phycologia. 35:177-182.
- Simloa O, Wilgerg M, Jokela J, Wahlsten M, Sivonen K, and Syrja P. 2012. Pathologic findings and toxin identification in cyanobacterial (*Nodularia spumigena*) intoxication in a dog. Veterinary Path. 49(5):755-759.
- Sommer U, Gliwicz ZM, Lampert W, Duncan A. 1986. The PEG model of a seasonal succession of planktonic events in fresh waters. Arch. Hydrobio. 106:433-71.
- Sommer U, Adrian R, Domis LDS, Elser JJ, Gaedke U, Ibelings B, Jeppsen E, Lurling M, Molinero JC, Mooij WM, Van Donk E, Winder M. 2012. Beyond the Plankton Ecology Group (PEG) model: Mechanisms driving plankton succession. Annu. Re. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 43:429-48.
- UDWQ. 2012. Water Quality Monitoring Strategy for the Great Salt Lake. Utah Division of Water Quality (UDWQ), Department of Environmental Quality, Salt Lake City, Utah.
- UGS [Internet]. Map of the Great Salt Lake drainage basin. Utah Geological Survey; 2014 [cited 2014 December 2]. Available from: <u>http://geology.utah.gov/online\_html/pi/pi-39/pi39pg03.htm</u>

- Valderrama JC. 1981. The simultaneous analysis of total nitrogen and total phosphorus in natural waters. Marine Chem. 21:109–122.
- Van Luijn F, Boers PCM, Liklema L, Sweerts JPRA. 1999. Nitrogen fluxes and processes in sandy and muddy sediments from a shallow Eutrophic lake. Water Research. 33(1):33-42.
- Welschmeyer NA. 1994. Fluorometric analysis of chlorophyll *a* in the presence of chlorophyll *b* and pheopigments. Limnol. Oceanogra. 39:1985-92.
- Wetzel, RG. 2001. Limnology: Lake and river ecosystems. 3rd edition. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Wurtsbaugh WA [Internet]. Eutrophication issues in Farmington Bay and the Great Salt
  Lake. Utah Division of Forestry, Fire, and State Lands; 2007 [cited 2013 February
  05]. Available from: <u>http://www.ffsl.utah.gov/index.php/state-lands/great-salt-lake/great-salt-lake-technical-team/gsl-technical-team-presentations.</u>
- Wurtsbaugh WA, Epstein D (eds). 2011. Impact of the Farmington Bay eutrophication plume on the plankton ecology of Gilbert Bay, Great Salt Lake. Aquatic Ecology Practicum Class Report. College of Natural Resources, Utah State University. Logan, UT.
- Wurtsbaugh WA and Marcarelli A. 2005. Eutrophication in Farmington Bay, Great SaltLake, Utah. 2005 Annual Report submitted to the Central Davis Sewer District.Kaysville, UT.
- Wurtsbaugh WA, Marcarelli AM, and Boyer G. 2012. Eutrophication and heavy metals in the three bays of Great Salt Lake, USA. Report to the Utah Division of Water Quality.

APPENDICES

Date	Station	Identifier	Time	Latitude	Longitude	Depth Station (m)	Salinity (%)	Salinity (g L <sup>-1</sup> )	Temperature °C (0.2 m)	Dissolved Oxygen (µg/L)	Secchi (m)
18-Jun-12	1	FB-1	12:20 PM	40.91867	-112.03187	25.7	8.2	>0.28			
18-Jun-12	2	FB-2	11:48 AM	40.91867	-112.03187	0.8	3.4	34	25.6	15.5	0.4
18-Jun-12	3	FB-3	1:30 PM	40.93062	-112.09158	1.4	3.5	35	26.0	16.3	0.3
18-Jun-12	4	FB-4	2:13 PM	40.95892	-112.1087	1.5	3.5	35	28.1	20.4	0.3
18-Jun-12	5	FB-5	2:38 PM	40.98033	-112.11893	1.2	3.6	36	28.5	20.2	0.3
19-Jun-12	6	FB-6	10:47 AM	41.00329	-112.13969	1.6	4.8	49	20.3	5.5	0.4
19-Jun-12	7	FB-7	11:47 AM	41.01264	-112.15137	1.6	4.5	45	20.7	5.2	0.4
19-Jun-12	8	FB-8	12:29 PM	41.04402	-112.17076	1.6	4.5	45	21.5	4.4	0.3
19-Jun-12	9	FB-9	1:57 PM	41.05915	-112.18826	1.6	4.9	50	23.0	5.6	0.3
21-Sep-12	1	FB-1	9:40 AM	40.91867	-112.03187	0.3	0.5	3	14.5	1.4	0.2
21-Sep-12	2	FB-2	10:23 AM	40.91867	-112.03187	0.4	2.8	27	23.0	4.6	0.4
21-Sep-12	3	FB-3	10:41 AM	40.93062	-112.09158	0.7	2.5	24	21.3	1.2	0.5
21-Sep-12	4	FB-4	11:09 AM	40.95892	-112.1087	0.9	4.3	43	20.3	11.2	0.5
21-Sep-12	5	FB-5	11:43 AM	40.98033	-112.11893	0.8	4.9	43	20.3	12.3	0.4
21-Sep-12	6	FB-6	12:26 PM	41.00329	-112.13969	1.0	6.8	50	22.8	12.6	0.3
21-Sep-12	7	FB-7	1:00 PM	41.01264	-112.15137	0.8	6.2	71	22.4	12.3	0.4
21-Sep-12	8	FB-8	1:26 PM	41.04402	-112.17076	1.2	7.2	64	21.7	13.2	0.4
21-Sep-12	9	FB-9	1:55 PM	41.05915	-112.18826	1.3	7.4	76	22.2	12.1	0.3
3-May-13	1	FB-1	10:53 AM	40.91867	-112.03187	0.4	0.4	78	13.6	5.5	>0.44
3-May-13	2	FB-2	12:21 PM	40.91867	-112.03187	0.7	1.0	2	15.7	11.0	>0.65
3-May-13	3	FB-3	12:34 PM	40.93062	-112.09158	1.0	1.2	8	15.9	11.1	0.9
3-May-13	4	FB-4	1:35 PM	40.95892	-112.1087	1.1	1.5	10	16.5	10.1	0.8
3-May-13	5	FB-5	2:00 PM	40.98033	-112.11893	1.0	1.6	13	18.1	9.9	0.8
3-May-13	6	FB-6	2:30 PM	41.00329	-112.13969	1.0	1.6	14	18.2	8.6	0.9
3-May-13	7	FB-7	2:52 PM	41.01264	-112.15137	1.3	2.0	14	16.8	8.6	1.1
3-May-13	8	FB-8	3:45 PM	41.04402	-112.17076	1.4	2.5	24	16.1	5.5	1.1
3-May-13	9	FB-9	3:52 PM	41.05915	-112.18826	1.5	2.6	25	15.6	4.5	1.1
3-Jun-13	1	FB-1	1:55 PM	40.91867	-112.03187	0.5	0.2	1	22.9	5.3	>0.5
3-Jun-13	2	FB-2	1:33 PM	40.91867	-112.03187	0.7	0.6	4	22.6	15.4	0.2
3-Jun-13	3	FB-3	1:05 PM	40.93062	-112.09158	1.0	0.9	7	21.5	10.1	0.2
3-Jun-13	4	FB-4	12:54 PM	40.95892	-112.1087	1.1	1.4	12	21.1	11.4	0.1
3-Jun-13	5	FB-5	11:54 AM	40.98033	-112.11893	1.0	1.6	14	20.0	4.4	0.2
3-Jun-13	6	FB-6	11:29 AM	41.00329	-112.13969	1.0	2.3	22	18.8	4.3	0.2
3-Jun-13	7	FB-7	10:55 AM	41.01264	-112.15137	1.2	3.0	29	18.1	5.1	0.2
3-Jun-13	8	FB-8	10:05 AM	41.04402	-112.17076	1.5	0.3	0.5	18.3	3.7	0.3
3-Jun-13	9	FB-9	9:23 AM	41.05915	-112.18826	1.2	3.7	37	17.5	3.1	0.2
28-Jun-13	1	FB-1	9:44 AM	40.91867	-112.03187	0.2	0.2	5	25.0	2.7	>0.15
28-Jun-13	2	FB-2	10:19 AM	40.91867	-112.03187	0.4	0.6	6	26.6	4.2	0.4
28-Jun-13	3	FB-3	10:44 AM	40.93062	-112.09158	0.7	0.9	18	28.2	4.6	0.6
28-Jun-13	4	FB-4	11:30 AM	40.95892	-112.1087	0.9	1.4	25	27.8	6.1	0.6
28-Jun-13	5	FB-5	11:50 AM	40.98033	-112.11893	0.7	1.8	29	27.5	3.1	0.5
28-Jun-13	6	FB-6	1:00 PM	41.00329	-112.13969	0.8	2.3	35	28.4	7.8	0.4
28-Jun-13	7	FB-7	1:18 PM	41.01264	-112.15137	1.0	3.0	38	28.8	12.1	0.4
28-Jun-13	8	FB-8	1:57 PM	41.04402	-112.17076	1.2	3.3	40	26.9	4.9	0.6
28-Jun-13	9	FB-9	1:57 PM	41.05915	-112.18826	0.9	3.7	42			0.7

Appendix B. Database of laboratory results for nutrients, pigments, and isotopes

			~		1									
Identifier	Date	Chl (µg/L)	Phycocyanin (TFU)	Nodularin (µg/L)	NH4-N (mg/L)	NO3-N (mg/L)	Soluble reactive phosphorus (mg/L	Total nitrogen (mg/L)	Total phosphorus (mg/L)	Organic nitrogen (mg/L)	Total inorganic nitrogen (mg/L)	Total phosphorus - soluble reactive phosphorus (mg/L	Seston δ N15	Seston §13C
FB-1	18-Jun-12	175	2.53	3.7	0.15	0.04	0.04	4.85	0.50	4.66	0.19	0.46	5.42	-18.81
FB-2	18-Jun-12	165	4.65	3.3	0.01	0.04	0.02	5.69	0.32	5.64	0.05	0.29	3.78	-15.87
FB-3	18-Jun-12	125	7.95	10.8	0.15	0.05	0.03	5.38	0.27	5.18	0.20	0.25	3.02	-15.98
FB-4	18-Jun-12	141	7.04	4.1	0.15	0.06	0.03	4.51	0.21	4.30	0.21	0.19	2.08	-15.26
FB-5	18-Jun-12	124	6.24	3.5	0.16	0.06	0.02	5.43	0.26	5.21	0.22	0.23	2.51	-15.41
FB-6	19-Jun-12	150	4.49	2.8	0.23	0.05	0.03	5.48	0.27	5.20	0.27	0.24	3.48	-15.54
FB-7	19-Jun-12	175	4.98	6.9	0.20	0.04	0.02	5.40	0.30	5.16	0.24	0.27	3.31	-15.36
FB-8	19-Jun-12	205	4.45	11.2	0.23	0.05	0.03	5.89	0.41	5.61	0.28	0.39	3.27	-15.54
FB-9	19-Jun-12	171	4.24	5.5	0.31	0.05	0.03	5.56	0.31	5.20	0.35	0.28	3.17	-15.36
FB-1	21-Sep-12	128	1.53		0.06	0.02	0.41	2.93	0.69	2.85	0.08	0.28	NA	NA
FB-2	21-Sep-12	134	0.83		0.69	0.65	0.42	6.00	0.85	4.65	1.34	0.44	NA	NA
FB-3	21-Sep-12	167	0.64		0.14	0.32	0.19	4.76	0.64	4.30	0.46	0.45	NA	NA
FB-4	21-Sep-12	160	0.60		0.18	0.05	0.06	6.40	0.69	6.18	0.22	0.63	NA	NA
FB-5	21-Sep-12	130	0.59	1.0	0.20	0.03	0.06	6.19	0.64	5.96	0.22	0.58	NA	NA
FB-6	21-Sep-12	178	0.99		0.29	0.05	0.06	7.80	0.63	7.47	0.34	0.57	NA	NA
FB-7	21-Sep-12	114	1.01		0.24	0.06	0.04	6.42	0.53	6.13	0.30	0.49	NA	NA
FB-8	21-Sep-12	167	1.25		0.28	0.06	0.05	7.18	0.59	6.84	0.34	0.54	NA	NA
FB-9	21-Sep-12	161	1.58		1.20	1.08	0.11	7.69	0.25	5.41	2.28	0.14	NA	NA
FB-1	3-May-13	9	1.31		0.18	0.01	0.53	2.26	0.64	2.07	0.19	0.10	8.95	-23.75
FB-2	3-May-13	28	1.13	0.5	0.34	0.23	0.27	2.24	0.26	1.67	0.57	-0.01	10.67	-21.29
FB-3	3-May-13	29	1.17	0.6	0.60	0.20	0.15	3.79	0.44	2.99	0.80	0.28	10.69	-21.73
FB-4	3-May-13	27	1.26	0.3	0.23	0.04	0.06	2.78	0.23	2.52	0.27	0.17	10.33	-21.48
FB-5	3-May-13	33	1.01	0.2	0.15	0.01	0.05	3.39	0.31	3.23	0.16	0.26	10.18	-21.19
FB-6	3-May-13	14	1.15		0.24	0.01	0.03	3.35	0.28	3.11	0.24	0.25	9.42	-20.42
FB-7	3-May-13	17	0.93	0.2	0.28	0.01	0.02	3.35	0.28	3.07	0.29	0.26	8.56	-21.42
FB-8	3-May-13	6	0.88	0.8	0.18	0.01	0.02	3.00	0.21	2.82	0.19	0.19	8.18	-20.61
FB-9	3-May-13	6	0.93	0.5	0.20	0.01	0.03	3.08	0.22	2.88	0.21	0.20	8.16	-20.43
FB-1	3-Jun-13	19	1.15	1.2	0.22	0.04	0.59	1.60	0.69	1.33	0.27	0.10	9.26	-23.36
FB-2	3-Jun-13	224	6.02	12.9	0.33	0.03	0.26	5.37	0.91	5.01	0.36	0.65	6.95	-20.49
FB-3	3-Jun-13	267	17.60	69.4	0.53	0.09	0.02	7.20	0.85	6.57	0.63	0.83	5.94	-18.54
FB-4	3-Jun-13	175	17.80	68.0	0.57	0.01	0.01	7.11	0.67	6.52	0.59	0.66	5.65	-17.26
FB-5	3-Jun-13	193	21.10	52.0	0.64	0.00	0.01	7.32	0.58	6.67	0.65	0.57	4.78	-17.11
FB-6	3-Jun-13	131	15.40	43.1	0.75	0.11	0.01	6.83	0.51	5.97	0.86	0.50	3.96	-17.38
FB-7	3-Jun-13	116	15.70	31.1	0.73	0.17	0.01	5.33	0.35	4.43	0.89	0.34	3.90	-17.72
FB-8	3-Jun-13	122	13.30	30.0	1.16	0.14	0.01	6.32	0.45	5.02	1.30	0.43	4.26	-18.13
FB-9	3-Jun-13	146	16.90	31.7	0.91	0.24	0.02	6.70	0.46	5.55	1.15	0.44	3.59	-17.87
FB-1	28-Jun-13	45	1.37		0.50	0.04	3.36	4.15	3.50	3.60	0.55	0.14	NA	NA
FB-2	28-Jun-13	48	1.74		0.50	0.04	3.36	4.15	3.50	3.60	0.55	0.14	NA	NA
FB-3	28-Jun-13	54	1.83		0.09	0.01	0.01	5.77	0.54	5.67	0.10	0.53	NA	NA
FB-4	28-Jun-13	67	5.38		0.11	0.01	0.01	6.21	0.37	6.09	0.12	0.36	NA	NA
FB-5	28-Jun-13	78	2.21		0.16	0.02	0.02	6.78	0.45	6.61	0.17	0.43	5.35	-16.03
FB-6	28-Jun-13	118	3.05		0.13	0.01	0.01	6.47	0.34	6.32	0.15	0.33	4.36	-15.73
FB-7	28-Jun-13	85	5.60		0.06	0.01	0.01	6.48	0.36	6.41	0.07	0.35	3.36	-16.46
FB-8	28-Jun-13	39	3.16		0.14	0.01	0.01	4.91	0.23	4.76	0.15	0.22	3.49	-16.70
FB-9	28-Jun-13	47	4.28		0.05	0.01	0.01	6.06	0.29	5.99	0.07	0.28	3.10	-16.36

	-B-9	1 5 1 5	н 	-В-1	-B-9	=B-7	-B-5	- В-З	-B-1	=B-9	=B-7	-5 -5	<del>В</del> -3	-B-1	-B-9	=B-7	-В-5	-В- З	-B-1	-B-9	-B-8	<del>-</del> B-7	<del>-</del> B-6	-В-5	=B-4	<del>-</del> В-З	<del>-</del> B-2	-B-1	Identifier
	28-Jun-1:	28-Jun-13	28-Jun-13	28-Jun-13	28-Jun-13	3-Jun-13	3-Jun-13	3-Jun-13	3-Jun-13	3-Jun-13	3-May-13	3-May-13	3-May-13	3-May-13	3-May-13	21-Sep-1	21-Sep-1.	21-Sep-1	21-Sep-1	19-Jun-12	19-Jun-12	19-Jun-12	19-Jun-12	18-Jun-12	18-Jun-12	18-Jun-12	18-Jun-12	18-Jun-12	Date
ľ	20 00 20	54 2	3 79	3 46	80	34	39	52	12	19	41	3 14	18	11	33	0	2 24	2 30	53	61	31	2 116	2 116	2 78	2 112	2 344	2 196	2 258	Zooplankton (individuals/L)
	20	54	79	46	8	34	39	52	12	19	41	14	18	11	ш	0	24	30	ង	901	321	615	579	303	423	1802	763	1135	Zoop Biomass (μg/L)
	1243189	10348841	12671050	1608038	2570285	1385403	930243	11930196	844288	1107037	2861057	6073486	2311113	1892887	2730089	5284129	5284129	5311534	2122193	8691819	23761593	15889775	14439335	16245693	19568321	2 53116012	22957022	5 26498674	Bacillariophyta Biovolume (μm/mL)
	2520050	1132049	539975	31669	242542	195561	774217	3262742	1104813	170773	243872	189993	300058	929947	21919744	2242618	2242618	1449352	305199	2447681	6221738	2571077	1658516	822231	574364	4565783	1712301	1541118	Chlorophyta Biovolume (μm/mL)
	3991	11352	4989	0	0	0	41507	0	25700	0	479	0	2478	115261	0	0	0	0	75682	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Chrysophyta Biovolume (μm/mL)
	0	0	4730	4730	0	9978	3548	104765	20694	0	0	0	8514	14190	0	15420	15420	7095	3611440	0	0	85142	0	136227	0	766279	95785	275529	Cryptophyta Biovolume (μm/mL)
	77412037	7784524	8385131	32343	53270377	56325311	107649839	79941523	160748	536528	2717365	924595	4310558	147311	6708764	1513663	1513663	37868	182562	41487478	27913121	14318703	10700470	42072946	44781254	282431518	74415866	33707	Cyanophyta Biovolume (μm/mL)
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	125585	0	180842	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30864	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Euglenophyta Biovolume (μm/mL)
	0	0	0	0	43909	51227	38420	461045	140875	0	0	0	0	0	742794	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5123	0	38420	0	89506	Haptophyta Biovolume (µm/mL)
	0	0	0	106241	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14390	54988	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Misc Algae (µm/mL)
	25543	0	57944	0	0	0	0	0	3427	0	0	0	0	0	2043410	1227268	1227268	148112	0	204341		340568	155384	106428	180927	0	214274	354759	Pyrrophyta Biovolume (μm/mL)
	54026492	16050036	18234758	1762635	56127113	57967479	109437775	95700270	2426130	1526451	4937294	6768670	5399304	2971587	34144802	10283097	10283097	6968351	6382929	44139499	34134859	17315491	12514370	43142955	45536545	287801999	76438226	2294618	Tot. Phyto Biovolume (μm/mL)
	12446	36813	36813	847	17023	9422	5964	89632	4658	10267	19107	32797	27086	20750	22020	7854	35571	28054	4235	81135	145671	115605	69024	61250	86894	118569	93105	82999	Bacillariophyta (cells per mL)
	6259	2400	2400	692	4065	2329	33361	222212	52028	271	796	1306	2244	18209	268221	110312	20467	18971	10728	30489	63519	41796	13381	6843	7602	54556	22867	23149	Chlorophyta (cells per mL)
	0 22	353	353	0	0	0	106	0	20	0	34	0	127	3811	0	0	0	0	2258	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Chrysophyta (cells per mL)
	42	141	141	141	0	106	106	1270	282	0	0	0	42	423	0	0	20	212	106430	0	0	423	0	678	0	1270	847	3105	Cryptophyta (cells per mL)
	45254	45254	45254	16766	302931	405875	642059	710365	153742	5564	16940	24100	45132	174581	1197165	269102	194624	72877	585707	607424	559578	508647	307812	341100	297508	1571339	577821	51538	Cyanophyta (cells per mL)
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	141	0	102	0	ω	0	0	0	0	0	282	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Euglenophyta (cells per mL)
	0	0	0	0	1452	1694	1270	15245	4658	0	0	0	0	0	24561	10163	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	169	0	1270	0	847	Haptophyta (cells per mL)
	0 0	0	0	1397	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	였	0	0	0	0	212	847	0	0	0	0	847	0	0	0	0	Misc Algae (cells per mL)
	£2 2	2	Ц	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	3388	2329	3529	423	0	169	0	847	339	339	282	0	565	1129	Pyrrhophyta (cells per mL)
	273066	85031	85031	19844	325471	419426	682866	1038723	215551	16102	36979	58203	74719	217774	1515355	399761	254211	120749	710487	719218	768768	667318	390557	411225	392287	1747004	695205	162768	Tot. Phyto (cells per mL)
	51272456	5182813	5140898	0	53258065	56261247	107599882	79737641	45232	356832	1798055	612370	2890592	0	0	0	1880221	212	847	41200245	27671171	13976602	10566434	41982133	44723235	282207633	74210770	0	Nodularia Biovolume (μm/mL)
	250365	25229	25147	0	257007	273249	527297	393027	225	1737	8779	2981	14009	0	0	0	5505	0	0	201653	135508	65319	51971	180494	197663	1358169	307363	0	Nodularia Concentration (cells per mL)
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53214	0	79821	170284	95785	204341	148999	1207162	222761	765531	Dunalliela Biovolume (μm/mL)
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	886	0	0	0	0	1412	0	423	423	508	1355	886	16515	3105	12139	Dunalliela Concentration (cells per mL)
	29031 19347	2283	1759	0	22020	13318	31442	14221	0	157	681	271	1534	0	0	0	423	0	0	13043	8469	2964	2329	13339	13551	181665	28607	0	Heterocysts per mL
	8%	%6	7%	AN	9%	5%	6%	4%	0%	9%	8%	9%	11%	AN	Å	Å	8%	AN	Ņ	6%	6%	5%	4%	7%	7%	13%	9%	NA	Heterocysts per cell (ratio)