# The Things You Can't Ignore: Evolving a Sub-Arcsecond Star Tracker

John Enright, Tom Dzamba (Ryerson University) Doug Sinclair (Sinclair Interplanetary)

Toronto, Canada

Small Satellite Conference Utah State University

August 16, 2012

### Motivation and Context

- The S3S development project has produced the ST-16 star tracker.
- There is demand for a higher accuracy star tracker that has:
  - 1 arc-second (~ 4.8 µrad) accuracy, while slewing at
  - 1 °/s (track a target on the Earth's surface from LEO), with
  - 99% availability of an attitude fix



S3S/ST-16 Star Tracker

- This paper tries to answer the question: what modifications must be made to the ST-16 to meet these requirements?
- Can these modifications be achieved through an evolutionary approach to the ST-16 or do these changes drive a revolutionary approach where signification architecture changes are necessary?

Availability – the fraction of the sky where a good attitude fix is possible.

• Calculated using a sky survey, stellar detection threshold and FOV

Accuracy – expected accuracy of the sensor

- Difficult to calculate analytically because it depends on the accuracy of individual star vectors as well as their distribution in the FOV
- We examine what centroid error we must have to ensure we meet the accuracy requirements.
- How does the ST-16 optical design limit performance?
- Can we achieve better performance with better optics and detectors?
  - We look for valid designs by changing F# and D

Parameter	MT9P031	CMV4000	
h (mm)	4.28	11.3	
γ (μm)	2.2	5.5	
$\sigma_e$	3.5	13	
Pix. Dim.	1944 x 2592	2048 x 2048	

Table 1 – Detector Parameters

Each design is assessed by its availability and accuracy

- Smaller focal lengths have a larger FOV and can thus see more stars.
- Star distribution favors increasing the # of stars in view by increasing the FOV rather than merely detecting dimmer stars via larger D.



- Trade studies show that without an improvement in centroid accuracy we cannot meet target requirements with just a lens change.
- If we can improve this from the current ~0.2 pixels to ~0.07 pixels, we can meet accuracy requirements.



## Effects we can't ignore: Thermal

We consider two types of thermal deformation:

- Change in bulk temperature
  - Causes thermal expansion in the glass lens elements and in the structure that positions them.
  - Changes the index of refraction of the glass itself.
  - If we can still match stars, we can use the observed star positions to determine the effective focal length and correct these effects.
- Temperature gradients
  - Lateral temperature gradients across a cantilever lens assembly will result in bending.
  - Nothing inherent in the image that would allow for the bend angle to measured and corrected. The design must minimize the thermal gradient bending of the optics.

## Effects we can't ignore: Star Positions

We consider three effects that can change the apparent star positions:

- Annual Parallax Nearby stars will move slightly over six months due to the angular motion of the Earth around the Sun.
- Proper Motion Caused by secular motion of the stars themselves
- Stellar Aberration Angular displacement caused by the velocity of the observer (Earth around Sun ~100µrad & Satellite around Earth ~25µrad)

Effect	Magnitude	Correctable	Extra Info Required:
Annual Parallax	Small	Not Necessary	None
Proper Motion	Large	Yes	Absolute Time
Stellar Aberration	Small/Large	Yes	Ephemeris

## Effects we can't ignore: Chromatic Aberration

- Effective focal length varies as a function of wavelength
- Different stars have different surface temperatures and thus different dominant colors.
- Leads to uncertainty in the true star vector
- Can be eliminated from the optics (via Hardware changes) or compensated by software.
  - Optics can be made almost achromatic by careful selection of glasses, and/or bandpass filters.
  - Can be corrected in software with a colordependent correction. (Catalog must include spectral information)



**Centroid Shift** 

### **Optical Trades:**

- The impact of optical design on availability is well understood. Star distribution favors increasing the FOV over aperture diameter.
- The impact of optical design on accuracy is less clearly understood.

#### **Star Tracker Calibration:**

- Lab. calibration of a sub-arcsecond ST using our current setup is not feasible.
- On-orbit self calibration not only allows for high accuracy model estimates but also tolerance to dimensional changes over time.

### Effects we cannot ignore:

- Chromatic aberration is significant and will require correction either via optics selection or software.
- Bulk thermal changes can be corrected using on-orbit recalibration. Temperature gradients cannot and must be minimized through mech. design.
- Stellar aberrations and proper motion can and must be corrected. To do this we need access to an accurate real-time clock and orbital ephemeris.



### Thank you for you time.

Questions?

Space Avionics and Instrumentation Laboratory

Small Satellite Conference 2012