



Jason D. Searcy Michael W. Dancer Advisor: Henry J. Pernicka University of Missouri - Rolla



August 15, 2007

UMR SAT Overview

 Multi-disciplinary team • Design satellite pair Formation flight evaluation • Fifty-meter separation Low-cost technology demonstration University Nanosat-4 participant • Final Competition Review: March 2007 Achieved 3rd Place and Most Improved

UMR SAT Overview





Phase II: Free Formation Flight

Phase III: Extended Operations

21st Small Satellite Conference Logan, Utah

August 15, 2007

Limitations

"We've got to make this, fit in the hole for this, using nothing but that!" – Apollo 13

Propulsion System

- Max Thrust: 25 mN (44 s Isp)
- Total ΔV: 1.05 m/s @ 100 psi
- Magnetic Coil
 - Max Torque: 10⁻⁴ N*m
- Power: ~ 50 Watts
- Volume: 47.5 cm x 47.5 cm Cylinder
- Mass: < 30 kg</p>
- Budget: ~ \$150,000

Magnetometer-Only Determination

Benefits

- Low Cost and Mass
- No Additional Sensors Needed
- Reliable

Challenges

- Two Axis Resolution w/ Mag. Field Alone
- Need Additional Vector for Remaining Axis
 Magnetic Field Derivative (Natanson, 1990)

Linearly Independent Vectors

Inertial Frame

- Satellite Position From Orbit Determination
- NASA Magnetic Field Model
- Derivatives Found Using Finite Differencing
- Satellite Body Frame
 - Magnetometer Measures Magnetic Field
 - Derivatives via Filtered Measurements
 Third Order Markov Process

Attitude Calculation

TRIAD Method (Shuster, 1981)

- Two Linearly Independent Vectors
- Coordinates Known in Two Frames
- Calculate Rotation Matrix Between Frames

Additional Calculations

- Satellite Angular Velocity Appears in Mag. Field Derivative Equation
- Second Derivative Used to Resolve Rotation Rates

Results





Magnetic Field Vector vs. Time

Derivative vs. Time

Orbit Determination

 Challenges • Limited Computer Processing Power Low Budget Sensors "You get what you paid for!" • Benefits of θ -D Technique Computationally Efficient Improved Accuracy **Fully Nonlinear Formulation**

θ -D* Filter Formulation

 Based on State Dependent Riccati Equation technique (SDRE) • Cloutier, 1996 • Approximate solution to SDRE (θ part) Add disturbance terms to SDRE (D part) Guarantees series convergence Suboptimal filter

*Balakrishnan, 2002

*θ***-D Modifications**

 θ approximation:

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = F(\hat{x})\hat{x} + K(\hat{x})\left[z - H(\hat{x})\hat{x}\right]$$

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = \left[A_0 + \frac{A(\hat{x})}{\theta}\theta\right]\hat{x} + K(\hat{x})\left\{z - \left[C_0 + \frac{C(\hat{x})}{\theta}\theta\right]\hat{x}\right\}$$

Power series solution:

$$P(\hat{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i(\hat{x}, \theta) \theta^i$$



Solved recursively!

Disturbance terms:

$$0 = \Gamma W \Gamma^{T} + P(\hat{x}) F^{T}(\hat{x}) + F(\hat{x}) P(\hat{x}) - P(\hat{x}) H^{T}(\hat{x}) V^{-1} H(\hat{x}) P(\hat{x})$$

$$0 = \left[\Gamma W \Gamma^{T} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{i}(\hat{x},\theta) \theta^{i} \right] + P(\hat{x}) F^{T}(\hat{x}) + F(\hat{x}) P(\hat{x}) - P(\hat{x}) H^{T}(\hat{x}) V^{-1} H(\hat{x}) P(\hat{x})$$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} D_{i}(\hat{x},\theta) \theta^{i} \right\| = \left\| \Gamma W \Gamma^{T} \right\| \qquad \text{Ensures near optimal solution!}$$

August 15, 2007

θ-D Solution

21st Small Satellite Conference Logan, Utah

August 15, 2007

17

Filter Implementation

 Continuous Filter Issues
 Discrete Time Measurements
 Solution to Filter Dynamics
 Filter Dynamics Numerically Integrated
 Runge-Kutta 4th Order

Staggered Filter Concept

Filter Comparison





θ-D w/ Staggered Filter

Extended Kalman Filter

Orbit and Attitude Control

Orbit Control

- Uses Orbit Determination Model
 - Application of θ -D

Attitude Control

- Quaternion Dynamics
- Application of θ -D

In Progress

Integrated Orbit/Attitude Control

Actuator Logic

 Actuator Selection Magnetic Coil Logic • Currents via Lagrange Multipliers Minimize Power Cold-Gas Thrusters • Throttle via Linear Programming Minimize Fuel Consumption Rate • Switching Logic (Throttle Filter)

Conclusion

 UMR SAT Design Team Need for Novel ADAC Design Real-Time, Magnetometer-Only **Attitude Determination** Revolutionary Orbit Determination • Nonlinear θ -D Technique Staggered Filter Implementation • Orbit/Attitude Control with θ -D

Questions?



Backup Slides

August 15, 2007

SDRE Filter



Actual system dynamics:

 $\dot{x} = F(x)x + \Gamma w$ z = H(x)x + v

Linear-like structure!

Filter dynamics:

 $\dot{\hat{x}} = F(\hat{x})\hat{x} + K(\hat{x})[z - H(\hat{x})\hat{x}]$

Measurement error

$$E\left[w(t)w(\tau)^{T}\right] = W\delta(t-\tau)$$
$$E\left[v(t)v(\tau)^{T}\right] = V\delta(t-\tau)$$

Noise spectral densities

Filter gain:

 $K(\hat{x}) = \mathbf{P}(\hat{x})H^{T}(\hat{x})V^{-1}$

SDRE solution

State dependent Riccati equation:

 $0 = \Gamma W \Gamma^{T} + P(\hat{x}) F^{T}(\hat{x}) + F(\hat{x}) P(\hat{x}) - P(\hat{x}) H^{T}(\hat{x}) V^{-1} H(\hat{x}) P(\hat{x})$

August 15, 2007

Filter Implementation

 Continuous filter issues Discrete time measurements Solution to filter dynamics Couple filter with numerical integration • Runge-Kutta 2nd order Runge-Kutta 4th order Staggered filter concept

Numerical Integration

General filter dynamics:

$$\dot{x} = f(x,t) = g\left[x,t,z(t)\right]$$

I)

Measurement needed at t!

Runge-Kutta 2nd order:

 $k_{1} = f(x_{n}, t_{n})h$ $k_{2} = f(x_{n} + k_{1}, t_{n} + h)h$ $x_{n+1} = x_{n} + (k_{1} + k_{2})/2$

Two measurements needed!

Runge-Kutta 4th order: $k_1 = f(x_n, t_n)h$ $k_2 = f(x_n + k_1/2, t_n + h/2)h$ $k_3 = f(x_n + k_2/2, t_n + h/2)h$ $k_3 = f(x_n + k_3, t_n + h)h$ $x_{n+1} = x_n + (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)/6$

21st Small Satellite Conference Logan, Utah

August 15, 2007

30

Staggered Filter

 Propagate bootsen measurements ♦ INTERVALEGRATOR Measurements avavlable Bose Istaterator estimateement at · Addessite no added of estimates



Staggered Filter

 Two filters

 Alternating
 4th order accuracy
 Discrete filter

- Discrete estimates at discrete times
- Approximates continuous filter

