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Abstract

Sandstones that overlie or that are interbedded with evaporitic or other ductile strata commonly contain numerous localized domains of fractures, each covering an area of a few square miles. Fractures within the Entrada Sandstone at the Salt Valley Anticline are associated with salt mobility within the underlying Paradox Formation. The fracture relationships observed at Salt Valley (along with examples from Paleozoic strata at the southern edge of the Holbrook basin in northeastern Arizona, and sandstones of the Frontier Formation along the western edge of the Green River basin in southwestern Wyoming), show that although each fracture domain may contain consistently oriented fractures, the orientations and patterns of the fractures vary considerably from domain to domain. Most of the fracture patterns in the brittle sandstones are related to local stresses created by subtle, irregular flexures resulting from mobility of the associated, interbedded ductile strata (halite or shale).

Sequential episodes of evaporite dissolution and/or mobility in different directions can result in multiple, superimposed fracture sets in the associated sandstones. Multiple sets of superimposed fractures create reservoir-quality fracture interconnectivity within restricted localities of a formation. However, it is difficult to predict the orientations and characteristics of this type of fracturing in the subsurface. This is primarily because the orientations and characteristics of these fractures typically have little relationship to the regional tectonic stresses that might be used to predict fracture characteristics prior to drilling. Nevertheless, the high probability of numerous, intersecting fractures in such settings attests to the importance of determining fracture orientations in these types of fractured reservoirs.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Relatively brittle reservoir strata such as sandstones are prone to fracture under stress. Most outcroppings of sandstone are fractured, and wherever definitive data exist, most subsurface sandstones are also known to be fractured to varying degrees. Both regional and local stresses are capable of creating such fractures. One way to create significant, local stresses in sandstones is to flex them by the addition or removal of material to the adjacent beds. For example, the ability of relatively ductile strata such as evaporites and certain types of shales to move laterally in the subsurface allows the formation of local but significant thickens and thins that flex the interbedded non-ductile strata. Additionally, dissolution of evaporites removes material locally, again flexing strata in order to accommodate the change in evaporite thickness at the dissolution front. Finally, wedges of heterogeneous material that are pushed laterally, as in a thrust plate, will be rearranged internally according to the variable material properties in the wedge, creating local stresses and related local fracture patterns.

Because the range of structural variability under such conditions is wide, and the constraints on parameters such as mechanical properties and timing of movements are poor, it is difficult to predict subsurface fracture patterns in such conditions prior to drilling. However, the fracture domains at Salt Valley do provide a suite of patterns that may be useful as analogies for similar subsurface reservoirs. This study provides insights into the range of possibilities for fracture patterns in such settings.

The spectacular and varied fractures found in the Mesozoic sandstones overlying mobile Paleozoic evaporites of the Paradox basin, as seen in outcrop at Arches National Park, southeastern Utah will be emphasized in this report and compared with additional field data from the following two sites:

1) The reactivated regional fractures found in the abruptly folded and extended Paleozoic Coconino sandstones that overlie a salt dissolution front at the southern edge of the Holbrook basin in eastern Arizona.
2) The sandstones of the Frontier Formation within the shaley Upper Cretaceous section, which has been incorporated into the Hogsback Thrust of the Sevier, Idaho-Wyoming thrust belt in southwestern Wyoming.

The well exposed, outcrop system at Arches National Monument provides a wealth of data that can be interpreted and characterized in three dimensions. However, this system and the two supporting systems would be difficult to characterize from a limited suite of downhole or geophysical measurements.
2.0 SALT VALLEY ANTICLINE, UTAH

2.1 Introduction and geologic setting

The Moab and Slickrock members of the Jurassic Entrada Formation have large, extensively fractured, bedding-plane exposures on the limbs of the Salt Valley anticline in Arches National Park, southeastern Utah (Figures 1, 2). The fracture patterns are regular and consistent at the sub-kilometer scale, yet are diverse at the scale of the over 40 km-long, NW-SE trending anticline. The Salt Valley anticline formed over an elongated, evaporite-cored diapiric structure (Figure 3) that has a compound history of salt mobility, beginning contemporaneously with or soon after deposition and continuing intermittently through to the present (e.g., Dyer, 1983; Doelling, 1985, 1988, 2000; Oviatt, 1988; Cruikshank and Aydin, 1995; Hudec and May, 1998, 1999). Evidence for this includes unconformities in the sedimentary record, thickness changes in sedimentary rock units and deformed Quaternary deposits. The evaporite core itself is over 3600m thick and composed of primarily halite (87%) with some potash. The remaining volume consists of anhydrite, dolomite and shale (Hite, 1977). Most of the fractures in the Entrada sandstones appear to be related to flexure of the strata during thinning and thickening due to lateral flow of salt within the Paradox Formation 1500 meters below the Entrada (Lorenz and Neal, 1997). This flow resulted in flexure and fracturing of the Entrada sandstones (Dyer, 1983), within rim synclines overlying areas of salt withdrawal and over anticlines above salt accumulations, and at local twists and compound curves.

The Entrada Sandstone and the conformably overlying Summerville Formation are part of the San Rafael Group (Figure 4; Dyer, 1983). The Entrada Sandstone is approximately 150 m thick and can be subdivided in ascending order into the Dewey Bridge Member, the Slick Rock Member and the Moab Member. The Dewey Bridge Member varies in thickness from 6m to greater than 30 m. This member is an orange to orange/red unit of interbedded siltstones, mudstones, and sandstones, possibly representing a lagoonal depositional environment. The Slick Rock Member is a 1-5 m thick, orange to dark red, massive, fine-grained sandstone deposited in a near shore to shallow marine environment. The Moab Member, containing most of the fractures described within this report, is a light-gray to white, 27 m thick, medium to fine-grained sandstone representing a near shore beach and dune environment.

The Entrada Formation delineates the surface expression of the Salt Valley anticline. Removal of overlying shales has left the upper bedding surface of the Entrada exposed over large areas, and the topographic contours of this pavement provide a natural structure-contour map over much of the anticline. These contours document the subtly twisted and folded nature of this unit, and allow insights into the relationship between the anticline, the mobility of the underlying salt, and the associated fractures.
Figure 1: Arches National Monument is located directly north of Moab in southeastern Utah.
Figure 2: View is northwestward along the northeast limb of the breached, salt-cored Salt Valley Anticline. Numerous fractures can be seen in this aerial photograph as a “texture” on the white caprock, which is the Slickrock Member of the Entrada Formation.
Figure 3: Cross-section of the Salt Valley Anticline illustrating the evaporitic-core of this diapiric structure (from Doelling, 1985). Jmt = Tidwell Member of the Morrison Formation; Jem = Moab Tongue of the Entrada Sandstone; Je = Slickrock Member of the Entrada Sandstone; Jed = Dewey Bridge Member of the Entrada Sandstone; JRN = Navajo Sandstone; TRk = Kayenta Formation; TRw = Wingate Sandstone; TRc = Chinle Formation; TRm = Moenkopi Formation; Pc = Cutler Formation; Ppg = Paradox Formation.
**Figure 4:** Generalized stratigraphic column for the Arches National Park region (from Dyer, 1983)

Fractured member studied in this report
2.2 Fracture Patterns in the Entrada Formation of the Salt Valley Anticline

In their most generalized form, fractures in the Entrada Formation trend sub-parallel to the long axis of the anticline, reflecting arching and stretching of the strata normal to the long axis of a rising pillow of salt. However, some of the fracture patterns are not directly related to the present structural configuration because of the compound history of the structure, and the earlier history of the flexures caused by now-obscure salt migration must be postulated and reconstructed from the preserved record of the fractures in these areas. Some fracture sets may be related to earlier episodes and directions of evaporite mobility and thus are not geometrically related to the present-day structure. Superimposed fracture sets may also have been created during successive mobility events. The flexure that caused many of the fracture patterns cannot be reconstructed due to obscuration of the evidence by later events.

Fractures observed from a distance at Arches National Park are typically composed of zones of smaller-scale fractures (Dyer, 1983; Cruikshank and Aydin, 1995). Many of these natural fracture zones on the Salt Valley Anticline have been weathered and filled in with vegetation and wind-blown sand. Therefore, large-scale fracture-pattern signatures are more readily studied from a distance than when standing directly on the outcrop. High-altitude air photos provided the initial basis for the pattern recognition and characterization presented here. These photos were supplemented with low-altitude, oblique aerial photography that provided more detail of the structure-fracture relationships.

The fractures in sandstones at Arches National Park have been intensively studied by previous authors (e.g., Dyer, 1983, 1988; Doelling, 1988; Zhao and Johnson, 1991, 1992; Cruikshank and Aydin, 1994, 1995), primarily on the southwestern limb of the Salt Valley Anticline. The focus of most of these previous studies has been on interpreting the interactions between different fracture sets at the outcrop scale. Few of the authors have tried to relate fracture origins to regional or local stresses. However, they have provided an important background for this study, which approaches the problem at a larger scale, relating the fracture patterns to the stresses created by the subtle structures and structural history of the area. Meter-scale characteristics such as individual fracture interactions or the fractography of fracture surfaces are not addressed here. This study concentrated on the northeastern limb of the anticline where the fracture-structure relationships are relatively clear cut. The fracture domains and areas studied in this report are different from the areas previously studied by the aforementioned authors. However, the systems of fractures observed are similar. Kilometer-scale fracture domains can be readily identified and these domains are related to lithology and salt movement in the underlying Paradox Formation.

A map of the structure of the northeastern limb of the Salt Valley Anticline with the superimposed natural fracture patterns shows seven fracture domains (A-G, Figure 5), with transitional areas between them. Most of these domains can be interpreted in terms of the twisting and flexure of the beds, although the fracture-structure relationships are obscure in some areas due to the cumulative history of different stages of salt mobility and local changes in the structure after fracturing.
Figure 5: Structure map of the northeastern limb of the Salt Valley Anticline with the natural fracture patterns superimposed, illustrating seven fracture domains and the transition zones between the domains.
2.2.1 Fracture Domain A

Arching of the Entrada sandstones over the rising salt core of the anticline caused extension fractures to form, striking parallel to the axis of the arch and normal to the axis of maximum extension (Figures 5, 6A,B). In fact, several normal faults have been mapped in this area (Doelling, 1985), striking parallel to the structural and fracture strikes and indicating that extensile strain exceeded that required for fracturing, probably exploiting fracture-provided planes of weakness. This basic, ‘primitive’ fracture pattern of parallelism between fracture strike and bedding strike is preserved in the five-square-kilometer area of domain A (Figure 6A). Growth of the anticline, both in height and length, and the coalescence of smaller features to form the present structure, has complicated this fracture-structure relationship on other parts of the structure.

The present northeasterly dip in this area averages about 8°, although the strata on the limb are slightly concave-upward (by about 20 m of deflection from the straight-line dip across 1 km). This suggests that uplift did not increase at a uniform rate towards the anticlinal crest (i.e., that diapiric uplift became concentrated along the crest during the late stages of development), and shows that the fractured strata were not uplifted as a planar slab. Evaporitic strata were withdrawn from beneath the adjacent Salt Wash Syncline to the northeast, forming a rim syncline and subjecting the overlying strata to flexure, extension, and fracturing.
Figure 6A: Fracture domain A. Aerial photograph illustrating that fractures in the Entrada Sandstone of fracture domain A are parallel to the axis of the fold. View is to the northwest, along the northeastern limb of the anticline. Individual members of the Entrada Formation are identified by color with the dark red sandstone as the Slick Rock Member and the overlying light-gray to white sandstone as the Moab Member. Figure 6B illustrates how arching of strata over a rising salt core causes extensional fracturing parallel to the axis of the arch.
Figure 6B: Fracture domain A. Diagrammatic illustration of how ductile flow of a rising salt core bends the overlying strata forming extension fractures striking parallel to the axis of the arching strata.

2.2.2 Fracture Domain B

The flank of the Salt Valley Anticline flattens to a more gentle dip southeast of domain A, and its strike gradually changes clockwise by 10-12°. Concurrently, the fracture strikes in domain B begin to fan out, those farthest from the crest of the Salt Valley Anticline changing strike counterclockwise by 15-20° (Figures 5, 7A,B), those closer to the crest showing very little change in strike. The fractures locally strike up to 30°–40° oblique to the present bedding strike.

This is a significant change in the relationship between fracture strike and structure from the primitive pattern seen in domain A, and it derives from the subtly compound structural history of the strata. As discussed below, the structure and fracture patterns suggest that the southern part of the Salt Valley Anticline probably initiated as a separate structure, offset slightly to the southwest of the axis of the main structure, and that the two anticlines coalesced during the formation of the present structure.

A fanning fracture pattern is interpreted to be associated with fan-wise extension normal to the axis of a plunging anticline (Figure 7B) or to fan-wise extension at the nose of a syncline. From observations at Palm Valley Anticline, in Australia, Berry et al. (1996) describes a different dominant fracture pattern within the plunging nose region of an anticline. They suggest fractures would radiate or splay away from the anticlinal axis due to the downward bending of the fold axis. Alternatively, Cooper (2000) and Cooper et al. (2001) describe fractures at Teapot Dome, in Wyoming wherein the dominant fractures are modeled as forming due to extension normal to the axis of the anticline. Within this regime a fanning fracture pattern like that observed at the Salt Valley Anticline would be expected to develop in the plunging nose region of the anticline.
Figure 7A: Fracture domain B. Aerial photograph of fractures in domain B southeast of domain A. View is to the northwest, of a section of the northeastern anticlinal limb several km southeast of Figure 6A. Fractures here begin to fan out and strike up to 40° oblique to bedding strike. Figure 7B illustrates how these fractures are due to fan-wise extension normal to the axis of a plunging anticline.
Figure 7B: Fracture domain B. Fracturing due to fan-wise extension normal to the axis of a plunging anticline. Fan-wise extension can also occur near the nose of a syncline. Visualization of fractures associated with a syncline can be achieved by simply turning over the anticline model (above).

The most plausible explanation for the fanning fracture pattern of domain B is that it formed in a local salt-withdrawal depression overlying the source of the adjacent proto-southern anticline. (The Salt Wash Syncline, located just east of the map of Figure 5 and trending sub-parallel to the Salt Valley Anticline, is the larger structure forming the salt-source rim syncline). Remnants of overlying stratigraphic layers disrupt the topography-structure relationship and obscure fracture patterns in this area, but fractures seem to form radiating patterns at the northwest and southeast of the local depression, as would be expected of extension at the noses of a broad syncline.
2.2.3 Fracture Domain C

The fractures in domain C strike nearly parallel to fractures in domain A, and the beds in both zones have strikes that are parallel to the general axis of the anticline (Figure 5). However, the topography/structure-contours show that the transition zone between domains B and C is structurally oblique to the overall axis of the anticline, forming a shallow-angle dog-leg within the flank of the anticline. This transition zone contains an intriguing pattern of overlapping fractures (Figure 8). The structural and fracture geometries suggest the following progression in fracture propagation (Figure 9):

1. Two, semi-simultaneously active, axially-offset, salt-cored anticlines were initially located approximately adjacent to (southwest of) domains A and C.
2. Fractures in domain B formed at the northwest corner of a broad depression, salt from beneath this depression fed evaporites to the anticline adjacent to domain C as described above.
3. Fractures from domain A propagated southward as the salt-cored anticline rose, and fanned out into domain B as salt was withdrawn from underneath the area immediately to the southeast. Fractures from domain C propagated northward as that precursor anticline rose.
4. Propagating fractures from each domain changed their direction of propagation as they entered the adjacent regions of differently oriented stresses between the two anticlines.
5. As the two precursor anticlines coalesced, continued uplift of the flanks of the now-composite anticline warped the strata within this dog-leg in new directions, superimposing new, low-angle-oblique fracture orientations onto the existing fractures.
Figure 8: Fracture Domain C. The transition zone between fracture domains B and C is oblique to the general axis of the anticline and is an area of overlapping fractures. View is to the northwest.
Figure 9: Fracture Domain C. Sequence of fracture development that could produce the observed transition zone between, as well as orientation of fracture domains B and C. Arching at two different times is inferred: A) fractures of domain B form as the older set; B) fractures in domain C propagate next, some of which initiate from pre-existing domain B fractures; C) others form oblique cross fractures within domain B.
2.2.4 Fracture Domain D

Domain D is composed of several fracture sub-domains on a small, east-west trending, anticlinal nose (Figure 5). This nose forms an anomalous, oblique appendage at the southern end of the Salt Valley Anticline, owing its existence to an east-west fault system that marks the southeastern end of the anticline. High-altitude photos suggest that both the structure and the fracture patterns of domain D transition gradually but definitively from domain C. However, oblique, low-altitude photographs (Figure 10A) show that the fracture pattern transitions rather abruptly between the two domains, with a distinct change in fracture frequency and a seven-degree change in structural strike at an ill-defined line. The associated abrupt decrease in spacing suggests an increase in strain, consistent with the tighter structure of the nose. The mutually abutting nature of the fracture intersections at the transition between domains C and D suggests that the fractures formed synchronously, propagating towards each other.

The primary fractures of domain D (those that are contiguous with the fractures of domain C) fan out westward from the eastward-plunging axis of the nose to form region D1. This fracture pattern and its relationship to structure are similar to those inferred for fracture radiation about the axis of a developing synclinal nose in domain B, only the sense of curvature is inverted. The origin of the fractures is thus inferred to be similar, i.e., fractures formed normal to the direction of extension on the anticline, with the direction of extension changing with strike of the beds on the plunging nose.

Domain D2 (Figure 10B) consists of an enigmatic superposition of what appear to be conjugate shear fractures onto the D2 fracture system. If these are in fact conjugate fractures, they imply a unique, local zone of compression for which a ready explanation is not apparent within this overall extensile setting. However, a wide gulch marks the eastern crest of the nose, suggesting extension faulting (the gulch is too deep and wide for the non-existent catchment area at the crest of the anticline and thus a primary origin from erosion is unlikely). The absence of this fault in the zone of apparent conjugate fracturing is consistent with compression at the western end of the nose. Such large-scale conjugate shear fracture pairs suggest volume constraints associated with rotation of the strata about a vertical axis (Figure 11). Right-lateral strike-slip along the fault that forms the southern boundary of Domain E (see below) would have enhanced the effect, although lateral slip on this fault has not been mapped.
**Figure 10A:** Fracture domain D. Aerial photograph illustrating the abrupt transition of fractures from domain C to domain D. View is to the northwest.
Figure 10B: Fracture domain D. Photograph showing the plan view orientation of possible conjugate shear fractures within domain D$_2$. View is to the southeast.
Figure 11: Fracture domain D. Arching about a horizontal axis forms extension fractures of domain D₁. Rotation about a vertical axis causes faulting at the anticlinal nose and compression related shear fractures of domain D₂ at anticline shoulder.

2.2.5 Fracture Domain E

Domain E (Figure 5) contains two superimposed fracture sets (Figures 12, 13, and 14). One set is the southern continuation of the D₁ fracture domain, related to the bending stresses associated with the anticlinal nose and radiating across the domain to maintain a parallel relationship to the structure contours and to the local axes extension on the flexure. The other fracture set trends parallel to both the axial gulch immediately to the north and to the mapped normal fault system that defines the southern edge of the anticline. These fractures are inferred to be an extensional set related to the local faulting.

2.2.6 Fracture Domain F

The compound structural history of the Salt Valley Anticline obscures the fracture-structure relationship northwest of the primitive domain of structure-parallel fractures (domain A), apparently due to a northwestward elongation of the anticline during growth and to the presence of a fault system on the opposite limb in this northern part of the anticline where it narrows. Fractures in domain F transition northwestward out of domain A at the point where fractures start diverging from parallel to become oblique to the strike of local bedding (Figure 5). Both bedding and fracture strikes rotate counterclockwise as traced to the northwest, but fracture strike changes more rapidly, diverging by up to 40° from the strike of bedding.
Figure 12: Fracture domain E. Aerial photograph of two fracture sets within domain E. One fracture set is parallel to structure contours the second is parallel to local faulting. View is to the east.
This curvature of fractures suggests that they formed around an early offset in the anticline or an en echelon offset of two incipient anticlines prior to coalescence (much as the transition between domains B and C described above). Continued growth into the present structural configuration “straightened out” the bedding but left a fracture-pattern record of the earlier dogleg. Faults in this area have an intermediate strike between that of the fractures and that of the present structure. Thus the fractures record the early strains associated with early deformation, faults record the continued accumulation of strain but with a slightly different orientation due to the modification of the anticline geometry during growth, and the structure contours represent the present-day configuration.
Figure 14: Fracture domain E. Diagrammatic illustration of the relationship between the two fracture sets and (1) local faulting and (2) the axis of flexure.

The relationship between fractures and structure is also complicated by the mechanical stratigraphy of the local formations (it may be equally complex elsewhere on the Salt Valley structure, e.g., the southern end west of domain D, but it is best exposed here). Fracture strikes are not consistent throughout the stratigraphic column in domain E. In fact, there is a 30-40° difference between the structure-oblique strikes of the fractures in the Moab Member just described and the strikes of fractures in the directly underlying Slickrock Member, which more closely parallel the present structure contours (Figure 15).

This indicates that the mechanical rock properties of these two members of the Entrada Formation were sufficiently different that they fractured at different times even though they were subjected to the same composite stress history. The Moab Member fractured early, as described, whereas the Slickrock Member did not fracture until a larger strain had accumulated, whereupon the fracture strikes were more nearly parallel to the present structure (Figure 16). Cruikshank and Aydin (1995) have described a similar relationship, with younger fractures in the Slickrock Member on the southeastern limb of the anticline, and Lorenz (1997) has described and interpreted similar conditions and results in the Wasatch Formation of northwestern Colorado.
Figure 15: Fracture domain F. Aerial photograph of fractures within domain F. There is a distinct 30° – 40° variation in fracture strike between the Moab Member and the underlying Slickrock Member of the Entrada Sandstone. View is to the southeast.
Figure 16: Fracture domain F. Inferred history for the formation of fractures of two different ages and orientations within adjacent beds. Fractures first form in the overlying Moab Member. Over time the axis of the flexure changes and new fractures form within the Slickrock Member. Additionally the preexisting fractures within the Moab Member are reactivated.
2.2.7 Fracture Domain G

Domain G (Figure 5) contains two, mutually cross-cutting sets of fractures. The inferred older set is a continuation of Moab-Member fractures from domain E. This set is probably related to the localized precursor anticline. The other fractures are unrelated and cross the first set at nearly right angles. The two sets of fractures are equally well developed. The origin of the stresses that caused the second set of fractures is not apparent.

2.2.8 Fracture Domain H

Numerous fracture sets are present on the southwestern limb of the Salt Valley Anticline, but their relationship to the structure is not as clear-cut as those described above and they were not investigated here. In part, this may be due to the complicating presence of a fault system paralleling this limb of the anticline and that is probably related to dissolution and collapse along the crest of the structure. However, there is a region ‘domain H’ outside the mapped area of Figure 5 that again appears to be a definable relationship between fracturing and structure. This is the Courthouse syncline, a broad depression located southeast of and parallel to the Salt Valley anticline. Hudec and May (1998, 1999) have suggested that this area was the locus of salt accumulation (the “Courthouse salt wall”) during Permian time, but that this structural high collapsed and became the locus of northward-prograding sedimentation from late Permian through Cretaceous time. Extension normal to the axis of the syncline, either during its anticlinal or synclinal phase, produced a systematic set of distinctive, axis-parallel, relatively short fractures within the surface strata exposed along the present Courthouse syncline (Figure 17).

2.3 Applicability: Salt Valley Anticline Fractures as an Analog to Reservoirs in the Cane Creek Shale

Oil is produced from the Cane Creek Shale interval of the Paradox Formation at six fields within the Paradox Basin. This interval is composed of highly fractured dolomitic siltstone and shale interbedded with halite and other evaporites (Morgan and Chidsey, 1991; Grove and Rawlins, 1997). Morgan and Chidsey (1991) indicate that fracture orientation is related to folding, with the more productive fractures occurring along the crest and flanks of anticlinal structures. Grove and Rawlins (1997) note that these highly permeable fracture systems also have a complex history that is related to episodic movement of salt within the Paradox Formation. Thus the fractures within the Cane Creek Shale interval are probably similar in initiation and propagation history to those observed within the Entrada Formation at Arches National Park. That is, the fractures are formed during salt flowage and the formation of anticlines and synclines. As observed at Arches National Park these structures have a complex history. Therefore, the fracture patterns on the surface at Arches provide a direct model for the subsurface Cane Creek interval. This in turn may help determine the best orientation for horizontal drilling to intersect the maximum number of these fracture systems.
Figure 17: Aerial photograph of the Courthouse Syncline. Fractures within this broad syncline are parallel to the axis of the syncline. The fractures probably resulted from extension normal to the axis during subsidence driven by withdrawal of underlying evaporites.
3.0 HOLBROOK ANTICLINE, EASTERN ARIZONA

The Holbrook anticline is located along the southern margin of the Holbrook basin in east central Arizona (Figure 18). Like the Salt Valley structure this anticline is cored by Paleozoic evaporites. Overlying strata at the Holbrook anticline were passively folded over a salt-dissolution front (Neal and Lorenz, 1988). Thus the Holbrook structure is similar to an early stage in the development of the Salt Valley anticline wherein the anticline is not substantially breached however folding, fracturing and fracture modification has occurred.

The Coconino and related sandstones in the Holbrook basin overlie the Corduroy Member of the Schnebly Hill Formation, a thick (1000 ft) evaporitic sequence of halites, shales, and anhydrites. Although regional dip into the basin is only 1-2 degrees to the north, the Coconino Sandstone contains both prominent regional fractures, and due to mobility of the underlying salt, locally superimposed irregular fractures. Fractures are especially evident over the Holbrook anticline where dissolution of the underlying evaporites has reversed bedding dips to a 10-degree southerly dip and widened the regional fractures at the surface (Figure 18; Lorenz and Neal, 1997; Neal and Lorenz, 1998).

Sinkholes are evident at the Holbrook anticline (Figures 18 and 19) but are not observed at Salt Valley. This is primarily due to the breached and more eroded (mature) nature of the Salt Valley anticline. Regional fractures along the crest and limbs of the Holbrook anticline are being extended into fissures and in places are vertically offset due to salt dissolusion (Figures 20A, B and 21).
Figure 18: A) Isopach map of the evaporitic Corduroy Member of the Schnelby Hill Formation in the Holbrook Basin of northeastern Arizona. B) Cross-section line A-B illustrating the dissolution front along the southwestern edge of the Holbrook Anticline.
Figure 19: “The Sinks”: sink holes in the Coconino Sandstone along the crest of the Holbrook Anticline highlight the presence and instability of the underlying evaporites. Sinks are superimposed onto a sub-parallel fracture pattern, trending upper left to lower right.
Figure 20A: A single set of regional fractures on the Moenkopi and Kaibab formations (overlying the Coconino Sandstone) near the crest of the Holbrook Anticline is being extended into local fissures by dissolution and related mobility of the evaporites.
Figure 20B: Close-up photograph of location designated in Figure 20A. The natural fissure has been used as a dump site.
Figure 21: Aerial photograph of the Twin Lakes area on the southern limb of the Holbrook Anticline. Here the fractures are being widened and offset vertically by dissolution of the underlying strata.
4.0 FRONTIER FORMATION, HOGSBACK THRUST PLATE, SOUTHWESTERN WYOMING

Fracture development in sandstones due to ductile deformation of shales can be similar to fracture development associated with salt mobility such as the Salt Valley anticline. Fractures within sandstones of the Frontier Formation along Oyster Ridge in southwestern Wyoming are related to an underlying thin-skinned thrust and the contemporaneous ductile deformation of shale interbeds.

The Oyster Ridge Sandstone Member of the Frontier Formation outcrops along a 60-mile long ridge (Oyster Ridge). The ridge was formed by uplift along the underlying Hogsback thrust of the Idaho-Wyoming Thrust Belt. The Oyster Ridge Sandstone Member is 20 meters thick and is interbedded within thick (a few hundreds of meters) units of the Hilliard and Steele shales. Oyster Ridge sandstones are ubiquitously fractured, the fracture patterns varying significantly as a function of structural location (Figures 22 and 23).

Ductile deformation of the thick shales that enclose the Oyster Ridge Sandstone allowed kilometer-scale lateral offsets to form in the thrust sheet without creating major tear faults. However, this offset strain was recorded within the relatively thin and brittle sandstone sheets by the formation of additional oblique fracture sets, superimposed on the relatively uniform pre-existing fractures (Figures 24 and 25; Lorenz and Laubach, 1994).

Fractures along Oyster Ridge, similar to fractures found in structurally simple settings at Salt Valley, have orientations that are nearly parallel and normal to the strike of bedding. More structurally complex areas show changes in fracture strike due to a change in strike of the underlying thrust (Figure 24) or a younger fracture set superimposed on an older set (Figure 25) due to local structural complications.
Figure 22: Structural trends and generalized fracture patterns along the Hogsback Thrust/Oyster Ridge. The bends in the thrust plate at Quealy Peak and the Kemmerer radio towers have caused significant perturbations in the strike-parallel and strike-normal fracture patterns (modified from Lorenz and Laubach, 1994).
Figure 23: Aerial photograph illustrating the fracture pattern on a bedding-plane surface in a structurally simple area near the seismic road in Figure 22, wherein the oldest fractures are strike-parallel (horizontal across the photograph) and younger fractures are strike-normal (vertical across the photograph). View is to the east.
**Figure 24:** Low-angle aerial photo of the change in strike of the thrust fault due to local lateral offset at the Kemmerer radio towers. View is to the southeast from just north of Kemmerer. Bedding plane surface of the Oyster Ridge Sandstone Member of the Frontier Formation.
Figure 25: Oblique fracture patterns superimposed onto strike-parallel and strike-normal fractures in the Kemmerer area (from the area indicated by the arrow in Figure 24). Two-track road across middle of photo for scale. Fractures pavement is a bedding plane surface of the Oyster Ridge Sandstone Member of the Frontier Formation.
5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Sandstones overlying or interbedded with ductile strata commonly contain different fracture domains. Each domain contains a specific fracture pattern and can cover an area of a few square miles. The fracture pattern within a domain is related to stresses arising from flexures that are in turn a result of the mobility and/or dissolution of ductile strata. Superimposed fracture sets can occur within a domain and are the result of episodic movement of ductile strata and the resulting compound geologic history.

Given the inherent complexities of fracture development under this regime it is difficult to predict subsurface fracture patterns. Nevertheless numerous clastic reservoirs are found within areas influenced by movement or dissolution of ductile strata. Therefore, outcrop observations and characterization at Arches National Park and similar structures are an important step in understanding fracture patterns and fracture domains within subsurface reservoir rocks.
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