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I. Introduction

Statics is a gateway engineering course for many engineering majors. As a result, many students use their performance in a statics course to evaluate and judge their desire to continue within an engineering field.

Students’ performance can be adversely impacted by misconceptions they may have regarding class content. Feedback from instructors can help students navigate through their misconceptions. It is critical that this feedback be concise and timely to prevent a slip in self-efficacy, or an increase in their frustration. Both factors can negatively impact a student’s desire to persist in engineering.

This study is designed to explore misconceptions exhibited through learning logs, or discussions about the course material, written by 85 students in the Fall 2013 statics course at Utah State University during the unit involving trusses. A “discourse analysis” technique called “coding” was used to categorize their statements, find misconceptions, and discover student’s trouble areas in the course.

Study conducted with funding from the USU Engineering Undergraduate Research Project Grant from the College of Engineering and administered through the Department of Engineering Education.

II. Methods

Discourse analysis is the study of written or spoken communication, especially how the communication is structured. Coding is the process by which messages are broken down into smaller parts and sorted by categories.

The categories used in this study were:

- Definition of a concept
- Discussion of a topic the student is having difficulty with
- Discussion of an equation or approach to solving a problem
- Discussion of a real-world application

Their responses were then compared to performance on the unit exam.

“Dissection analysis” of student learning logs

III. Results

While the results were not as anticipated, they were still insightful in identifying not just misconceptions, but struggles and other barriers to learning.

The distribution of test scores was weighted toward the higher scores. There were a number of students who didn’t turn in both learning logs for the unit, or who didn’t write a discussion that could contain statements of truth or misconception. By comparing the number of completed assignments with the test scores, a correlation was seen where a greater portion of those who scored low on the exam didn’t turn in both learning logs.

IV. Conclusions

The main areas in which students had misconceptions were:

1. The sign convention used in determining tension and compression.
2. Reactionary forces and moments.
3. How forces and moments oppose each other at every point.

Furthermore, students who performed less than average were more likely to not turn in the assignments or not say anything that could be incorrect than to say a misconception. They were more open to express struggles as well than to make an incorrect statement.