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Abstract

The original Rayleigh-scatter lidar that operated at the Atmospheric Lidar Observatory (ALO) at 41°79′N, 111°8′W in the Center for Atmospheric and Space Sciences (CASS) on the campus of Utah State University (USU), collected 11 years of data between 1993 and 2004. From Rayleigh lidar photon-count returns, relative densities throughout the mesosphere, from 45 to 90 km, were determined. Using these relative densities, three climatologies are derived, each using a different density normalization method at 45 km: the first method normalized the relative densities to a constant; the second normalized them to the NRLMSISE-00 empirical model, and the third normalized them to the CPC analyses, a first principles, assimilative, meteorological model. From there, the average density profile for each night of the composite year is found by averaging the nighttime density profiles in a multi-year, 31-day window centered on that particular night. From these three density climatologies, some different and many common features in the mesospheric densities are evident. In the future, with improvements to the lidar, it will be possible to provide an absolute normalization for the density profiles.

Introduction

Rayleigh lidar has been the major ground-based technique for making mesospheric observations of absolute temperatures. Figure 1 shows the green (532 nm) laser beam coming out of the Atmospheric Lidar Observatory on the campus USU. Figure 2 shows the 11-year temperature climatology and Figure 3 follows up with monthly averages (Herron, 2007). These show very distinct variations in both time and altitude.

The Rayleigh lidar observations also provide relative neutral number densities. These densities have been much less studied because they are relative, not absolute. Here, we explore the neutral densities using 3 different normalizations at 45 km. The first, labeled “USU”, is a constant value throughout the year. The second, labeled “MSIS”, uses densities from the MSIS00 model (Picone et al., 2002) for each day of the year. This normalization is used because so many people use the MSIS90 model. The third, labeled “CPC”, is an older meteorological model from the Climate Prediction Center (Gelman, 1986). Its values were applied to each night of data. Additional normalizations will be applied in the future. The significant point is that despite the different normalizations, many of the same features are observed in all three cases.

Analysis Procedures

Data Reduction

To calculate the relative densities from the lidar photon counts, the 2 minute raw data profiles were averaged for the entire night then the background was subtracted to get a nightly signal profile. The background subtracted signal was then multiplied by the range squared to get densities.

Normalization

Three methods were used to normalize the density profiles at 45 km (Figure 4-6). The “USU” method normalized the densities to 4x10¹⁰ m⁻³. The “MSIS” method used values from the NRL MSIS-00 model to normalize each day of the composite year. The “CPC” method used CPC analysis data to normalize each of the 964 number density profiles. All night averages were averaged over 31 days by 11 years, creating a composite year average.

Percent Variation of the Number Density

The percent variation of the number density from the composite year mean was found by taking (D – D0)/D, where D0 is the nightly averaged density profile and D is the corresponding day of the year profile from the composite year average. This percent variation was then plotted over the 31 day by 11 year composite average. Note the structures that are present in all plots in Figures 7-9. The seasonal variations show a intense positive (red/yellow) percent difference structure located in the summer months. There is also a slightly negative (dark blue) density variation column that exists in the winter and late fall months. Within this column there is a structure of even more negative (purple) density variations that is apparent in all of the models. There is a slightly negative (dark blue) density region located around the summer months and into early September that propagates downward from 90 km to around 70 km. This structure is easily found in the MSIS and USU plots (Figure 7 and 8) but is not as strong in the CPC version (Figure 9). During the spring and summer months (beginning in March and going to the end of July), the percent variation of density is slightly positive (green) throughout all altitudes. Figures 10-12 show curves of monthly averages from the composite year of percent variation for each of the normalization methods.
Conclusions

Three significantly different normalizations at 45 km were applied to 11 years of relative number density profiles acquired by the Rayleigh lidar at ALO. Despite the different normalizations, many of the same features were seen in the resultant density climatologies.

- What stands out the most are the very high relative densities that peak at approximately the summer solstice and 72 km.
- Very low densities occur near winter solstice at all altitudes and for all of the normalization methods.
- The structure located late summer into early fall that shows a negative density region, extending from 90 km down to 72 km, is not present in all of the analysis methods.
- At the highest altitudes, just below 90 km, the densities are relatively constant throughout the year. However, for a given seasonal period, a bit of a reversal occurs. Relative density minima during a given period, at high altitudes, switch to a maxima at lower altitudes and vice versa.
- The rate of density increase to the summer peak and the fall off afterward are not symmetric. The fall off is slower, showing a secondary peak near fall equinox in both the MSIS and USU normalized results. That is not unexpected in the MSIS normalization because of its semiannual variation at 45 km. Its appearance in the USU normalized densities strengthens the argument that a strong semiannual variation exists in the mesospheric densities. Even in the CPC normalized densities, which are dominated by an annual variation at 45 km, a shoulder is apparent at fall equinox.
- While the absolute maximum in relative densities is near 72 km at summer solstice, the local maxima, in earlier months, occurs at higher altitudes. This earlier appearance at high altitudes is similar behavior to what is seen in the temperatures.
- Another feature that stands out is a very sharp gradient from relatively high relative densities in summer to relatively low densities in winter that occurs almost simultaneously at all altitudes.

Future Work

In addition to the MSIS00 model and CPC analyses, several other models have been developed that will predict the neutral densities at 45 km. The effects of these different normalizations will be explored. These density climatologies can also be used in the study of the ALO temperature data set and the temperature climatology in relation to Sudden Stratospheric Warmings (Sox et al., IAGA 2013 2.5-14). Furthermore, a major upgrade is underway (Wickwar et al., JAGA 2013 2.5-20). It will enable the observations to be carried out to 120 km. This will be exploring to top of what we showed and go significantly into the lower thermosphere. As part of the upgrade, the observations will be extended downward to 15 km. This will provide a much more extensive region of overlap with the meteorological based models to use for normalization.
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