

Utah State University

DigitalCommons@USU

Faculty Evaluation Committee

Faculty Senate

10-15-2013

Faculty Evaluation Committee Minutes, October 15, 2013

Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/fs_faceval

Recommended Citation

Utah State University, "Faculty Evaluation Committee Minutes, October 15, 2013" (2013). *Faculty Evaluation Committee*. Paper 39.

https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/fs_faceval/39

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Senate at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Evaluation Committee by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



Minutes from Faculty Evaluation Committee (FEC)
15October13, 3:00-4:00pm DE 204

Present:

Alan Stephens (Business)
Arthur Caplan (Agriculture)
Daryn Frischknecht (ASUSU Student Advocate)
Brittney Garbrick (ASUSU Grad Studies Senator)
Joan Kleinke (AAA ex officio)
Oenardi Lawanto (Engineering)
Karen Mock (Chairperson, Natural Resources)
Kit Mohr (Education)
Anne Mackiewicz (USU Eastern)
Sandra Weingart (Libraries)
Karen Woolstenhulme (Business; Roosevelt) (special arrangements for fall 13)
Michael Torrens (AAA, by invitation)

Absent:

Jeffrey Banks (Extension, Nephi)
Michael Lyons (CHaSS)
Emily Esplin (ASUSU VP)
Thomas Lachmar (Science)
Representative from Arts

- 1) Approved minutes from September 19, 2013 meeting.
- 2) Briefly discussed Annual Report to the Faculty Executive Committee (2012-13 activities & draft annual report for Faculty Executive Committee 21Oct13), which had been submitted the previous week.
- 3) Discussion with Michael Torrens about assessment of how IDEA results and other forms of teaching assessment are being used by faculty and Department Heads:
 - a. Review of history of IDEA adoption
 - b. Student representatives commented that the ability to give feedback about courses was important
 - c. Discussion of ideas on how to increase participation and value of IDEA evaluations
 - d. MT reiterated that his concern is getting good data and that AAA is primarily about logistics, not how the survey results are used.
- 4) Discussion about possible questions to include in a survey of faculty & Dept. Heads:
 - a. Do instructors review objectives with students?
 - b. Do instructors use incentives to increase participation (what kinds)?
 - c. Do instructors find IDEA results useful (how, specifically)?
 - d. Do instructors add custom questions to IDEA survey?
 - e. Do instructors use pre-evaluations
 - f. For faculty on P&T committees – how much are IDEA scores weighted relative to other aspects of teaching documentation (list specifically)?
 - g. For Department Heads – how much are IDEA scores weighted relative to other aspects of teaching documentation (list specifically)?
 - h. Which AAA resources do faculty find particularly valuable with respect to IDEA (list)?
- 5) Next meetings:

Thurs. Nov.14 (DE 005) (second Thursday)
Thurs. Dec.5 (DE 005) (first Thursday)