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Nitrogen (N) fertilization of urban turf areas, and potential nitrate (NO$_3$-N) leaching, may pose a hazard to groundwater quality. This research utilized a Geographic Information System (GIS) approach to estimate NO$_3$-N leaching mass from urban turf areas based on a one-dimensional N leaching model and to classify the NO$_3$-N leaching risk in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA, based on soil texture. The methodology integrated a calibrated and verified Hydrus-1D N model, soil textures and urban turf areas to predict NO$_3$-N leaching to groundwater. Thirty United States Geological Survey (USGS) residential wells were installed and sampled in 1999 for NO$_3$-N concentration. A relationship between estimated NO$_3$-N leaching from urban landscapes and groundwater NO$_3$-N concentration was developed to determine the effect of soil texture and landscaped area on NO$_3$-N leaching from urban landscapes. The GIS approach was used to estimate the NO$_3$-N leaching risk to groundwater under efficient irrigation and fertilization scenarios and over-irrigation and over-fertilization scenarios. The results showed that soil texture played a role in NO$_3$-N leaching from urban landscapes to groundwater, and shallow groundwater was more susceptible to surface contamination compared to deep groundwater. The GIS technique identified areas where improved irrigation and fertilization management could reduce landscape NO$_3$-N leaching significantly, resulting in fewer NO$_3$-N leaching risk areas in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA.

INTRODUCTION

Shallow unconfined groundwater systems are susceptible to contamination from near the ground’s surface, so are not generally used as a source of drinking water in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA (Thiros and Spangler, 2010). In many areas, the shallow aquifer and underlying principal aquifer are separated by less permeable, fine-grained sediment, which can inhibit the downward movement of water and potential surface contaminants. However, leakage to the deeper aquifer from the shallow aquifer may happen when a downward gradient exists and confining layers are thin and/or discontinuous (Thiros, 2003a). In the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA one third of the public water supply is from deep
groundwater, while shallow aquifer water is not used for public supply. However, shallower groundwater quality needs to be protected to avoid contamination of deeper groundwater when a downward gradient exists (Thiros, 2003a).

Nitrate (NO$_3$-N) contamination to groundwater is a global issue (Hudak, 2000), and has been found throughout the United States (Spalding and Exner, 1993; Nolan et al., 1997; Harter et al., 2002). Drinking water with high NO$_3$-N concentrations can be harmful to human health since high NO$_3$-N concentrations can cause methemoglobinemia in infants and stomach cancer in adults (Addiscott et al., 1991; Wolfe and Patz, 2002). As a result, a maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 10 mg/l NO$_3$-N was established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA, 2002).

Although NO$_3$-N can occur naturally in groundwater, increased concentrations in groundwater may have resulted from human activities due to increased applications of nitrogenous fertilizers since the last century. Nitrogen applied to soils is subject to plant uptake and denitrification. However, when N fertilizer application exceeds plant demand and the denitrification capacity of the soil, N leaching may occur in the form of NO$_3$-N, ultimately reaching groundwater (Almasri and Kaluarachchi, 2004).

Agricultural lands receive the most N application, since N is a vital nutrient for enhancing crop production. As a result, agricultural activities are likely the major anthropogenic source of NO$_3$-N contamination to groundwater in agricultural areas (Livingston and Cory, 1998). Similarly, fertilizers applied to urban turfgrass landscapes and gardens may be a source of NO$_3$-N to urban groundwater (Thiros, 2003b), and may pose a hazard to groundwater quality. Ornamental turfgrass landscapes make up a large portion of residential property areas, and soil conditions in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA region often necessitate the application of water and fertilizers to meet turfgrass requirements as well as homeowners’ aesthetic expectations. However, homeowners often over-apply water and fertilizers because of a lack of understanding of actual plant needs. Water and fertilizer applied in excess of turf requirements may leach through the soil and contaminate ground and surface waters. Research reviewed by Petrovic (1990) suggested that NO$_3$-N applied to turf areas had the potential to leach through soils
and contaminate groundwater if not properly applied. The use of fertilizers on recreational turf
lands, such as golf courses, has also been identified as a potential source of NO$_3$-N in urban
aquifers (Sharma et al., 1996; Wong et al., 1998), as well as turf fertilization in residential areas (Kopp
and Guillard, 2005; Saha et al., 2007).

To reduce N leaching from urban turfgrass landscapes, it is necessary to determine the causal
factors of increased groundwater nitrate concentration. The USGS studied the occurrence and
distribution of NO$_3$-N in shallow groundwater underlying areas of recently developed (post 1963)
residential and commercial land use in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA based on the assumption that
human activities influenced groundwater quality, with results indicating possible human influence on
shallow groundwater quality (Thiros, 2003b). Since turfgrass landscapes make up a large portion of
residential property areas and may receive excessive amounts of water and fertilizer, there may be a
correlation between groundwater quality and the existence of residential areas around monitoring wells,
as has been shown between agricultural land use activities and NO$_3$-N concentration in groundwater of
agricultural areas (Keeney, 1989; Wylie et al., 1995; Hudak, 2000; Harter et al., 2002). However, in the
Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA, no correlation was found between the percentage of residential land
surrounding the monitoring wells and the concentration of NO$_3$-N in water sampled from the wells in a
USGS study (Thiros, 2003b). The absence of correlation between the percentage of residential area
around the wells and groundwater NO$_3$-N concentration may be due to the fact that turfgrass areas,
rather than the entire residential property area, receive the most fertilizer. In addition, the percent of
landscaped area on each residential property is different. Soil textures under the landscapes may affect
NO$_3$-N leaching as well (Sun, 2011). In this study, it was hypothesized that as the percentage of
turfgrass area around the monitoring wells increased, the probability of contamination by NO$_3$-N in the
well water also increased. Surface soil texture comprised of the largest soil particle sizes was also
hypothesized to increase the probability of NO$_3$-N in the monitoring wells (Burkart et al., 1999; Nolan et
al., 2002; Sun, 2011). Because no such correlations were found in the USGS study, a different
Various approaches have been used to assess NO$_3$-N leaching to groundwater. For example, assuming that a specific fraction of on-ground N loading will leach as NO$_3$-N (Kim et al., 1993; Cox and Kahle, 1999; Shamrukh et al., 2001), conducting simple, efficient N mass balance calculations to estimate the NO$_3$-N leaching to groundwater in agricultural areas (Barry et al., 1993; Goss and Goorahoo, 1995; Puckett et al., 1999), and using soil N models to simulate the N dynamics in the soil (Ramanarayanan et al., 1998). To estimate NO$_3$-N leaching from different soil textures and different management scenarios, a N model is a logical choice. Therefore, a calibrated and verified Hydrus-1D model was utilized to simulate the fate and transport of NO$_3$-N from turfgrass and to determine the mass leaching of NO$_3$-N to groundwater for different soil textures. Spatial analysis techniques are also needed to assess NO$_3$-N leaching from turfgrass areas including different soil textures, and GIS provides a sound approach to evaluate the NO$_3$-N leaching from various soil textures (Almasri, 2008).

Identification of areas with high N leaching potential is also of importance for land use planners and environmental regulators. When identified, preventive activities can be implemented to decrease the NO$_3$-N leaching risk to groundwater in those identified high-risk areas (Tesoriero and Voss, 1997; Ramanarayanan et al., 1998). Identification of high-risk N leaching areas can pinpoint where groundwater needs to be protected and where improved and efficient turfgrass management is most needed.

Therefore, the objectives of this research were: (1) to reanalyze the 1999 USGS groundwater NO$_3$-N concentration dataset for NO$_3$-N leaching potential based on a current Hydrus-1D simulation, (2) to determine whether a relationship exists between potential NO$_3$-N leaching from urban landscapes and groundwater NO$_3$-N concentration using a current Hydrus-1D simulation, and (3) to identify the high NO$_3$-N leaching risk areas in the Salt Lake Valley that may pose potential effects to groundwater quality.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Study Area. The Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA is 45 km long and 29 km wide, and is an urban area bounded by the Wasatch Mountain Range, the Oquirrh Mountains, the Traverse Mountains, and the Great Salt Lake. The valley contains the most populated portions of Salt Lake County, including the Salt Lake City metropolitan area. The population of Salt Lake County in 2010 was 1,029,655 (USCB, 2010), and is projected to be 1,223,218 in 2020 (Utah State Data Center, 2000), requiring more water for public supply.

The climate in Salt Lake Valley is semi-arid with hot summers and moderately cold winters. The average annual precipitation is 250-500 mm mostly in the form of snow (Murphy, 1981). The hot and dry summers in the valley necessitate irrigating turfgrasses and ornamental landscapes to supplement precipitation during the growing season.

2. Shallow Well Monitoring. Shallow well NO\(_3\)-N concentration data from a 1999 USGS study were obtained from a USGS database. The original USGS data were collected in 1999 to quantify relationships between recent residential and commercial areas and groundwater quality (Thiros, 2003b). In the USGS study, “potential well locations were selected by using a computerized, stratified random selection process to ensure that the data collected were unbiased and representative of the quality of water underlying recently developed residential and commercial areas” (Scott, 1990). Forty-one sites in the Salt Lake Valley were selected using the following study criteria:

(1) A location in residential and commercial areas developed during 1963-94,
(2) A downward gradient between the shallow and deeper aquifers, and,
(3) A minimum distance between each site of 1 km.

In the USGS study, more newly developed areas (post 1994) were excluded due to the time necessary for new construction to affect groundwater quality (Squillace and Price, 1996). Similarly, urban areas developed before 1963, such as downtown Salt Lake City, were excluded because of the
potential for the land use to have changed over time (Thiros, 2003b). The position of each well was
determined in latitude and longitude (Figure 1) and shallow groundwater samples were collected in the
summer and fall of 1999 (Thiros, 2003b). Nitrate plus nitrite (NO$_2$-N) were detected in samples, and
NO$_3$-N was reported as the sum of NO$_3$-N and NO$_2$-N (Thiros, 2003b).

3. Soil Map. The soil map (scale 1:12,000) of the area was obtained from the Soil Survey
Geographic (SSURGO) database distributed by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)-National Geospatial Management Center (NGMC)
(Figure 1). The SSURGO-certified soils dataset is the most detailed level of soil geographic data
developed by the National Cooperative Soil Survey. The information was prepared by digitizing maps,
by compiling information onto a planimetrically correct base and digitizing, or by revising digitized
maps using remotely sensed and other information. The data included a detailed, field verified inventory
of soils and miscellaneous areas that normally occur in a repeatable pattern on the landscape and that
can be cartographically shown at the scale mapped. The soil map was symbolized according to soil
hydraulic conductivities from low to high (Figure 1).

4. Growing Season NO$_3$-N Leaching Simulation. A calibrated and validated public domain
computer software package (Hydrus-1D) was used to simulate NO$_3$-N leaching from turfgrass grown on
different soil textures during the local growing season (June to September). Over-irrigation and over-
fertilization scenarios and efficient irrigation and fertilization scenarios were input to the model (Sun,
2011). The model simulated soil N transformation and transport in turfgrass using boundary condition
inputs and outputs, including N-leaching from the root zone. All NO$_3$-N transform and transport
parameters were the same as those utilized in the Hydrus-1D calibration process (Sun, 2011), and
efficient irrigation and 2010 weather data were used as input boundary conditions to simulate NO$_3$-N
leaching under an efficient irrigation and fertilizer management scenario. According to irrigation system
evaluations in Salt Lake City, 150% of efficient irrigation and 200% of efficient monthly fertilization at
48.8 kg N ha$^{-1}$ rates are typical and were applied in the simulation as over-irrigation and over-
fertilization scenarios. Monthly fertilizer (33-0-0) applications were simulated from June to Sept. at a rate of 48.8 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) [2.22% ammonium, 3.93% urea, 8.53% NO\(_3\)-N, and 18.32% water insoluble nitrogen (WIN)]. Nitrogen leaching rates for different soil textures were also simulated. There are 23 soil textures on the soil map. However, only eight sets of van Genuchten parameters for the soil textures were available, either in the Hydrus-1D built-in database or from references (Table 1; van Genuchten, 1980). As a result, NO\(_3\)-N leaching for these eight soil textures was simulated, and for the rest of the soil textures, N leaching rates were estimated based on the eight simulations (Table 2). In the simulations, a 15 cm layer of top soil was assumed based on local information that property owners typically bring in top soil regardless of existing soil. Furthermore, it was assumed that Kentucky bluegrass (\textit{Poa pratensis} L.) was grown on landscapes in the valley, that NO\(_3\)-N leached out of root zone (beyond 80 cm depth) ultimately reached groundwater, and that only turfgrass areas of the landscapes received N fertilizer.

5. Landscape Areas. Green pixels were extracted from an Aug. 3, 1999 satellite image to determine the green areas in the map with Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) method and green areas in the valley were assumed to be turfgrass landscapes. The NDVI is a standardized index that allows the generation of an image displaying greenness according to the characteristics of two bands from a multispectral raster dataset—the chlorophyll pigment absorptions in the red band and the high reflectivity of plant materials in the near-infrared (NIR) band.

\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{(\text{IR} - \text{R})}{(\text{IR} + \text{R})}
\]  

(1)

where IR = pixel values from the infrared band, and R = pixel values from the red band. The index outputs values between -1.0 and 1.0, and values between 0.2 to 0.3 representing shrub and grasslands, while high values from 0.6 to 0.8 represent temperate and tropical rainforests. The equation ArcGIS uses to generate the output is:

\[
\text{NDVI} = \left(\frac{(\text{IR} - \text{R})}{(\text{IR} + \text{R})}\right) \times 100 + 100
\]  

(2)

This results in a value range of 0 to 200 and fits within an 8-bit structure. In this study, 125 < NDVI < 180 were considered green areas.
6. Predicted NO₃-N Leaching Mass. Nitrates leaching from within a 500-m radius area around monitored wells was considered to affect well NO₃-N concentration since the minimum distance between each well site was 1 km. Therefore, a 500-m radius buffer was developed around each well location. An ArcGIS script was used to clip the soil polygons and the extracted landscape polygons within the 500-m radius buffer. Clipped soil and landscape polygons were intersected and new polygons of soils with landscapes were obtained. Nitrates leaching mass from the landscapes was calculated based on soil texture where:

\[
\text{NO₃-N leaching mass (kg) = } \sum \text{ landscaped soil areas (ha)} \times \text{simulated NO₃-N leaching rate for each soil type (kg ha}^{-1}) \tag{3}\]

7. Regression Between NO₃-N Concentration and Estimated NO₃-N Leaching Mass. The groundwater NO₃-N concentration data were divided into 6 groups according to well depth, which were designated in units of feet as per the USGS report (Thiros, 2003b). The divided groups were 23-36, 38.5-43.5, 48.5-67.5, 77.5-83.5, 92.3-123.5 feet (Table 3). Regressions and correlations were developed between groundwater NO₃-N concentrations and simulations based NO₃-N leaching masses within a 500-m radius around each well. Nitrate-N concentrations of less than 1 mg L⁻¹ were removed from the regression since those wells were considered to be unaffected by human activities (USGS, 1999). The 153.5 feet deep well was also removed from the regression analysis because it was the only well that was deeper than the 95.5-123.5 feet group.

8. High-Risk Areas. According to the Hydrus-1D simulated/estimated NO₃-N leaching rates from different soils, maps of the Salt Lake Valley with classes of NO₃-N leaching risk identified were developed based on divided NO₃-N leaching ranges. Areas with N-leaching rates of less than 10 kg ha⁻¹ were designated low risk, areas between 10-25 kg ha⁻¹ were designated medium risk, and areas between 25-40 kg ha⁻¹ were designated high risk. Areas higher than 40 kg ha⁻¹ were designated extremely high-risk.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. NO₃-N Concentration of Shallow Residential Well Water. It has been reported that background NO₃-N concentrations in groundwater from areas not associated with agricultural management practices are commonly less than 2 to 3 mg L⁻¹ (Hallberg and Keeney, 1993). As such, NO₃-N concentrations greater than 2 mg L⁻¹ may indicate groundwater quality affected by human activities (USGS, 1999). The USGS shallow groundwater NO₃-N concentration data showed that 86.7% (26 of 30) of monitoring wells had NO₃-N concentrations higher than the assumed background level of 2 mg L⁻¹, suggesting a possible human influence on shallow groundwater quality (Table 3). The high frequency of monitoring well NO₃-N concentration exceeding background levels in the residential areas may have resulted from the application of nitrogenous fertilizers that ultimately leached as NO₃-N (Thiros, 2003b). The median NO₃-N concentration of the 30 samples was 6.85 mg L⁻¹, with concentrations ranging from less than 0.05 to 13.3 mg L⁻¹ (Table 3). Three of the 30 monitoring wells had NO₃-N concentrations exceeding the USEPA MCL of 10 mg L⁻¹ NO₃-N in drinking water (USEPA, 2002) (Table 3).

2. Correlation Between NO₃-N Concentration in Wells and Estimated NO₃-N Leaching Mass Around Each Well. Although landscape areas and soil textures were included in this approach to estimating NO₃-N leaching, there was no correlation between groundwater NO₃-N concentration and estimated NO₃-N leaching mass when all well groundwater NO₃-N concentration data were included. This finding supports the conclusion of the 1999 USGS study that there was no relationship between the type and area of residential land uses surrounding the monitoring wells and the concentration of NO₃-N in water sampled from the wells (Thiros, 2003b).

3. Groundwater NO₃-N Concentration and Well Depth. In addition to the shallow groundwater NO₃-N data from USGS (1999), NO₃-N concentration data from an additional 30 deep wells were considered (Figure 2) (Wallace and Lowe, 2008). It may be expected that shallow wells are more susceptible to contamination than deeper wells, and this was confirmed by plotting shallow and
deep well NO$_3$-N concentration vs. well depth (Figure 2). In shallow groundwater (depth <50 m), NO$_3$-N concentration ranged from 0.2 to 13.3 mg L$^{-1}$. However, in deep wells (>50 m), none of the well NO$_3$-N concentrations exceeded the USEPA MCL limit of 10 mg L$^{-1}$ and most of the well NO$_3$-N concentrations were less than 4 mg L$^{-1}$. This finding indicates that while NO$_3$-N was able to contaminate deep groundwater, shallow groundwater was more susceptible to NO$_3$-N contamination. When NO$_3$-N concentrations in deep groundwater were elevated, it may have been due to leakage from the shallow aquifer to the deeper principal aquifer, since leakage is possible where a downward gradient exists (Thiros, 2003a).

In the Salt Lake Valley, water from the deeper aquifer underlying the shallow groundwater system is used for the public drinking water supply (Thiros, 2003a). Nitrate-N concentrations of less than 10 mg L$^{-1}$ observed in deep wells indicate that deep groundwater in the Salt Lake Valley is safe for drinking, when NO$_3$-N concentration is the concern. The low NO$_3$-N concentrations in deep wells may be affected by several factors. For example, the amount of time required for NO$_3$-N to reach deep groundwater results in a greater opportunity for denitrification. Additionally, leaked NO$_3$-N from shallow groundwater is diluted in the larger volumes of deep groundwater. And while the shallow aquifer may be susceptible to surface contamination from land use activities because of its proximity to the land surface, the deeper unconfined aquifer is vulnerable because of a lack of confining layers that can impede the downward movement of contaminated groundwater (Thiros, 2003a).

4. Risk Areas. Class of risk area maps were developed for urban areas in the Salt Lake Valley under efficient irrigation and fertilization management scenarios and over-irrigation and over-fertilization scenarios. Under conditions of over-irrigation and over-fertilization, 20% of urban areas were designated at high (25-40 kg ha$^{-1}$) or extremely high risk (>40 kg ha$^{-1}$) of contamination by NO$_3$-N leaching from urban landscapes, while 48% and 17% of urban areas had medium or low contamination risk, respectively (Figure 3). However, under efficient management, most of the urban areas were at low risk of contamination, meaning less than 10 kg ha$^{-1}$ NO$_3$-N could be leached out of root zone (Figure 4).
Under these conditions, 83% of the areas had low contamination risk, and only 1% had medium contamination risk. Under efficient management scenarios, there were no high or extremely high-risk areas designated.

Studies have illustrated that groundwater is closely connected to the landscape and land use that it underlies, and is vulnerable to the management of the land surface above (Harter et al., 2002; Lerner and Harris, 2009). Recharge to groundwater and the use of groundwater can affect groundwater quality and quantity, and were determined by land use and management. As a result, inappropriate land use and poor land management may cause chronic groundwater quality problems (Lerner and Harris, 2009).

Figures 4 & 5 indicate that groundwater may be well protected from NO$_3$-N leaching contamination from urban fertilization application if landscape irrigation and fertilization is managed efficiently. However, even if efficient management strategies are implemented in urban landscapes, immediate decreases in NO$_3$-N leaching to groundwater may not be possible because of the pool of N existing in soil (Almasri and Kaluarachchi, 2004). Research has shown that NO$_3$-N leaching continued even after the termination of operations and reduction in N loading in livestock feedlots, for example (Gormly and Spalding, 1979; Carey, 2002). And even when NO$_3$-N leaching from agricultural areas to groundwater decreases or stops immediately due to improved practices, groundwater NO$_3$-N concentrations will not drop immediately (Lerner and Harris, 2009). Some studies have found persistent groundwater N concentrations after NO$_3$-N contamination was stopped and management alternatives were in place for as long as 30 years (Gelhar and Wilson, 1974; Mercado, 1976; Hudak, 2000; Shamrukh et al., 2001; Nolan et al., 2002; Wakida and Lerner, 2002), confirming that groundwater NO$_3$-N concentrations do not drop immediately as a result.

5. Considerations. The interactions of land use, on-ground N loading, irrigation management, recharge, N dynamics, soil characteristics, and depth of soil are complex, so it is difficult to quantify NO$_3$-N leaching accurately (Almasri, 2007). Given this complexity and difficulty, the results of this
study must be carefully evaluated and considered prior to making consequential policy or
management decisions based on the findings.

One consideration results from the NO$_3$-N transport and transformation parameters. It has been
demonstrated that soil type can affect N transformation rates and that soil transformation processes
(mineralization/immobilization, nitrification, denitrification, and plant uptake) greatly affect NO$_3$-N
leaching. Soil characteristics dictate N kinetics as well. For example, in well-drained soils with high
infiltration rates, the rate of nitrification is high and denitrification may be insignificant. In contrast, in
poorly drained soils, denitrification is high and nitrification may be insignificant (Almasri, 2007). In this
study, nitrification and denitrification parameters were held constant for all the soil texture scenario
simulations to estimate NO$_3$-N leaching from different soils. Furthermore, soil depth controls the time
lag between on-ground applications of N and NO$_3$-N leaching, and influences the time span of soil N
transformations (Almasri and Kaluarachchi, 2004). As a result, the NO$_3$-N leaching mass estimation for
different soil textures is subject to some uncertainty.

Another consideration results from the soil textures of the soil survey map. The soil survey map
is based on the top 2 m of soil, and soil textures deeper than 2 m are unknown. Although in this study
the NO$_3$-N leaching estimation was based on simulated NO$_3$-N leaching from the top 80 cm of soil, the
unknown soil textures deeper than 2 m may decrease NO$_3$-N leaching, or may even stop NO$_3$-N leaching
if a confining layer exists.

Other considerations relate to the assumptions made in the study. For example, it was assumed
that all property owners/managers bring in 15 cm of top soil. It was further assumed that NO$_3$-N leaching
beyond the turfgrass root zone would reach groundwater. However, NO$_3$-N leaching out of root zones is
subject to denitrification and denitrification rates depend on soil texture and soil depth when temperature
and moisture content are the same. In addition, all the landscape areas were assumed to be covered with
turf. However, trees and shrubs are also common in landscapes and NO$_3$-N leaching out of turf root
zones may be absorbed by shrubs and trees which have much deeper root systems and may decrease NO₃-N leaching to groundwater.

CONCLUSION

Although there were many assumptions made in this study, the proposed methodology of integrating soil textures and N modeling was useful for estimating NO₃-N leaching from urban landscapes in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA and was validated with measured groundwater NO₃-N concentrations to some extent. Deep groundwater had much lower NO₃-N concentrations than shallow groundwater, and shallow groundwater was more susceptible to surface contamination. However, shallow groundwater contaminants are able to reach deep groundwater and decrease deep groundwater quality under conditions in which confining layers do not exist. The results of this study indicate that improvement of turf irrigation and fertilization management may decrease N-leaching significantly and greatly decrease the risk of groundwater being contaminated by NO₃-N leaching in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA although such management changes cannot immediately halt or reverse the consequences of past NO₃-N leaching.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge Susan Thiros (USGS) and Janae Wallace (USGS) for their help with the groundwater NO₃-N concentration data, and Florence Reynolds (Salt Lake City Public Utilities) for help with Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA landscape assumptions. In addition, a debt of gratitude is owed to Doug Ramsey, Nancy Mesner, and Scott Jones from Utah State University for their valuable suggestions for the study. This study received partial support from the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station, Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA.
REFERENCES


420 Sun, H. 2011. Characterizing water and nitrogen dynamics in urban/suburban landscapes. Ph.D. diss. Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA.


Table 1. van Genuchten parameters for different soil textures used in the Hydrus-1D simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil textures</th>
<th>$\theta_r$</th>
<th>$\theta_s$</th>
<th>$\alpha$ (1/cm)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$K_s$ (cm d$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sandy loam</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>350.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sandy loam</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>2.873</td>
<td>100.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>712.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravelly loam</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>106.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Simulated/estimated NO$_3$-N leaching rates for Kentucky bluegrass under efficient irrigation and fertilization (100%), and over-irrigation (150%) and over-fertilization (200%) scenarios for soils of the survey map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Texture</th>
<th>NO$_3$-N leaching (kg ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Efficient irrigation and fertilization</th>
<th>Over-irrigation and over-fertilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Coarse sandy loam</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loam</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sandy loam</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravelly loam</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silty clay</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation Silty clay loam</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravelly coarse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravelly silt loam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely stony loam</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loamy coarse sand</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very fine sandy loam</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobbly coarse sandy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely stony loam</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very cobbly loam</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobbly fine sandy loam</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobbly sandy loam</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely stony loam</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravelly clay loam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravelly sandy loam</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stony loam</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very cobbly loam sand</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very cobbly silt loam</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very gravelly sandy</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Grouping of wells, well depth, NO$_3$-N concentration, landscaped areas within a 500-m radius around wells, and estimated N leaching mass from the landscaped areas around each well in 1999.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Well Depth (ft)</th>
<th>NO$_3$-N concentration (mg L$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Landscape areas around wells (ha)</th>
<th>Sum NO$_3$-N leaching from landscape areas (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23-36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>1482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>2173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.5-48.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.5-77.5</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>1888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>2209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.5-92.5</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95.5-123.5</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>1062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>2469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>113.5</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not included</td>
<td>153.5</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>1230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>1740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>1230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Location of shallow monitoring wells and soil maps in the urban areas of Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA.
Figure 2. NO$_3$-N concentration of both deep and shallow wells in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA. Shallow well data were from 1999 and deep well data were from 2001.
Figure 3. Risk class of urban groundwater being contaminated by NO$_3$-N leaching from urban landscapes according to soil textures above groundwater under over-irrigation and over-fertilization scenarios in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA.
Figure 4. Risk class of urban groundwater being contaminated by NO$_3$-N leaching from urban landscapes according to soil textures above groundwater under efficient irrigation and fertilization management scenarios in the Salt Lake Valley, Utah, USA.