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Abstract: Managing damage by wild vertebrates often is important, and wildlife damage management (WDM) has incorporated important tenets of integrated pest management (IPM). However, largely separate academic backgrounds have nurtured the IPM and WDM communities. The controversial “hot button” topics have tended to differ. While WDM research and outreach have received some IPM funding, and wildlife studies occasionally appear in IPM journals, attendance at infrequent wildlife sessions during IPM meetings has been sparse. The objectives of this paper are to review important examples of collaboration, and evaluate possibilities for future synergy between these related disciplines.
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Although wildlife generally is valued by society, conflicts with human interests occur and are increasing. Today’s field of wildlife damage management (WDM) has incorporated many components of an integrated pest management (IPM) approach for resolving human–wildlife conflicts. These include a focus on preventing, not just reacting to, conflicts with wildlife. Monitoring potential pest species, and preventing conditions that may promote conflicts are common to both disciplines. For example sanitation and removal of or covering food that could attract pest species are core principles of both IPM and WDM. However, WDM has largely developed separately from IPM. The primary academic disciplines have differed, with WDM associated with wildlife and natural resources departments. IPM programs, on the other hand, often are developed by entomology educators. The 2 fields also have developed largely separate outreach outlets and professional meetings.

Important topics are viewed differently between the 2 disciplines. For example, disagreement often occurs concerning the use of lethal versus nonlethal methods to control wildlife. For IPM, use of pesticides has dominated many interactions in pest management. However, it often is a minor tool for WDM dealing with wildlife conflicts. Vertebrate wildlife tends to be valued by society more than insect and plant pests, and humane treatment of wildlife is essential for many people (Braband and Clark 1992). While attention to wildlife issues has been largely lacking in IPM, this situation seems to be changing. Wildlife extension projects and research have received some funding from IPM sources, and wildlife-related studies have appeared in IPM journals. For example, the Internet Center for Wildlife Damage Management was supported with funding from the North Central and Northeastern IPM Centers. Recently, a literature review of crop damage by turkeys appeared in the Entomological Society of America’s Journal of IPM (Groepper et al. 2013).

Although attendance at wildlife sessions during IPM meetings has been sparse (personal observation), the Eighth International IPM Symposium, held during March 2015 in Salt Lake City, Utah, was an exception. The WDM session, titled “Increasing connections between IPM and wildlife damage management,” drew >40 participants. The objectives of the session
were to review important examples of recent collaborations and assess the future of WDM.

Three articles in this special topics section of Human–Wildlife Interactions are based on papers presented at the conference. They include an innovative review of the history of WDM by Maureen Frank and Michael Conover. In addition, 2 papers, one by Raj Smith, Paul D. Curtis, and Scott Hygnstrom and other by L. C. (Fudd) Graham, Janet Hurley, and Kathy Flanders, discuss recent IPM-WDM collaborations, with an eye to the future, including major IPM-funded wildlife outreach projects and extension interactions.
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