

1-1-1913

## The Dentate Margin of the Abdomen in Chrysis

T. Algernon Chapman

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/bee\\_lab\\_ca](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/bee_lab_ca)



Part of the [Entomology Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Chapman, T. Algernon, "The Dentate Margin of the Abdomen in Chrysis" (1913). *Ca*. Paper 91.  
[https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/bee\\_lab\\_ca/91](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/bee_lab_ca/91)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Bee Lab at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Ca by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact [digitalcommons@usu.edu](mailto:digitalcommons@usu.edu).



THE  
ENTOMOLOGIST'S  
MONTHLY MAGAZINE:

CONDUCTED BY

G. C. CHAMPION, F.Z.S. J. E. COLLIN, F.E.S.

W. W. FOWLER, D.Sc., M.A., F.L.S.

R. W. LLOYD, F.E.S. G. T. PORRITT, F.L.S.

J. J. WALKER, M.A., R.N., F.L.S.

---

---

SECOND SERIES—VOL. XXIV.

[VOL. XLIX.]

---

---

"It was his faith—perhaps is mine—  
That life in all its forms is one,  
And that its secret conduits run  
Unseen, but in unbroken line,  
From the great fountain-head divine,  
Through man and beast, through grain and grass."

LONGFELLOW.

---

LONDON:

GURNEY & JACKSON (MR. VAN VOORST'S SUCCESSORS),

33, PATERNOSTER ROW.

1913.

DESCRIPTION OF THE MALE OF *CERATOPHYLLUS BOREALIS*,  
ROTHS. (1906).

BY THE HON. N. CHARLES ROTHSCCHILD, M.A., F.L.S.

PLATE IV.

In Ent. Mo. Mag. (2), XVIII, p. 11, I described a new species of flea as *Ceratophyllus borealis* from a single ♀ obtained by Mr. N. H. Joy on the Island of St. Kilda from the nest of a gannet.

The specimen remained unique until 1912, when the Rev. J. Waterston found a number of examples of this species in the Shetland Islands in a nest of *Anthus obscurus*, of which he kindly gave me some specimens of both sexes.

The ♂ being still undescribed, I submit a drawing of the genital organs which, as usual in *Siphonaptera*, offer easily recognisable distinctions from the allied species.

*C. borealis* comes near *C. columbee* and *spinusus* in the armature of the ninth abdominal sternite of the ♂. The movable process (F) of the clasping organs bears five bristles in the apical half of the distal (= ventral) margin of which the upper one is somewhat thicker than the others, being, however, slightly shorter than the fourth from the top. The ninth sternite bears three very stout apical bristles on each side, one of them being usually distinctly longer than the others. The apical membranous lobe of this sternite is triangular.

As in the ♀, the hind femur bears a subventral row of four bristles on the inner surface, exclusive of the subapical bristle, and no subventral bristles on the outer side apart from the subapical one. In this *C. borealis* differs from *C. spinusus*, Wagn. (1903), which bears a much larger number of bristles on the hind femur.

Arundel House,  
Kensington Palace Gardens, W.:  
May 6th, 1913.

THE DENTATE MARGIN OF THE ABDOMEN IN *CHRYSIS*.

BY T. A. CHAPMAN, M.D., F.Z.S.

The President's address at the Entomological Society set me thinking on this matter. He did not discuss Chrysidids but, in the Aculeates, he told us that female secondary characters had relation to maternal duties and that any dorsal apical teeth spines are practically unknown in ♀♀ Aculeates.

Chrysidids are not Aculeates, and one may not regard the latter with much certainty, not only because Chrysidids are not Aculeates but because the female *Chrysis* has no duties toward her young beyond oviposition. It is further the case that the dentate margin in *Chrysis* is a specific and not a sexual character, identical in both sexes of the species that possess it. The dentate margin is not of a terminal segment. The segment carrying it is the last visible one, but so, only the third visible segment of the abdomen, probably really the fourth.

Taking all these circumstances and some others into account, the theory of these spines that seems to me probable is that they are hypothetical, and can only claim acceptance in the future. In the Ent. Mo. Mag. of 1869 and 1870, I described the habits of the Chrysidids parasitic on *Odynerus* species. *Chrysis neglecta* substitutes her own egg for that of the wasp. The cell is still open and even before the tale of green is complete, the existence of the larva is complete. *Chrysis bidentata* does not lay her eggs until the wasp larva has hatched. No special effort is necessary therefore on the part of the wasp. The place where her egg is to be laid; *bidentata*, on the other hand, has to burrow through some earthen stopping, and push her ovipositor through the wasp's cocoon. *Chrysis* has terminal teeth, *bidentata* has this armature.

It would seem then, that in view of these two species, the terminal spines are correlated with the necessity of pushing the cocoon with the ovipositor.

This ovipositor is not a sting, but it is very strong. It is more than once pricked by it in handling the living wasp. It is, however, considerable force must be necessary to push the cocoon, a portion of the process, doubtless, being widened by pushing the silk aside as the ovipositor progresses. The displaced returning afterwards to their position, as the *Chrysis* retires, is practically closed. In making the whole work is done by a direct thrust, not by the alternate thrust and pull by which the Tenthredo's cut their incisions or the *Rhyssa* group penetrate solid wood. In making such a fulcrum necessary, but even more important is some direction that the thrust may be throughout in exactly the same direction. My idea is that the teeth of the marginal spine are a fulcrum, but by pressing down on to the wasp cocoon.

THE MALE OF *CERATOPHYLLUS BOREALIS*,  
ROTHS. (1906).

DR. N. CHARLES ROTHSCILD, M.A., F.L.S.

PLATE IV.

Mag. (2), XVIII, p. 11, I described a new species *Chrysus borealis* from a single ♀ obtained by Mr. N. H. St. Kilda from the nest of a gannet.

It remained unique until 1912, when the Rev. J. G. Rehn, Esq., sent me a number of examples of this species in the Shetland Islands. *Chrysus borealis*, of which he kindly gave me some examples.

As the species is undescribed, I submit a drawing of the genitalia, which is unusual in *Siphonaptera*, offer easily recognisable characters for allied species.

The male of *C. borealis* is near *C. columbae* and *spinosa* in the armature of the sternite of the ♂. The movable process (F) of the sternite bears five bristles in the apical half of the distal margin, of which the upper one is somewhat thicker than the others, slightly shorter than the fourth from the apex. The sternite bears three very stout apical bristles on each side, being usually distinctly longer than the others. The apical lobe of this sternite is triangular.

The hind femur bears a subventral row of four bristles on its surface, exclusive of the subapical bristle, and no bristles on the outer side apart from the subapical one. In the male of *C. spinosa*, Wagn. (1903), which bears a similar armature of bristles on the hind femur.

Palace Gardens, W.:  
1913.

MARGIN OF THE ABDOMEN IN *CHRYSIS*.

BY T. A. CHAPMAN, M.D., F.Z.S.

At the address at the Entomological Society set me forth. He did not discuss Chrysidids but, in the course of his paper, that female secondary characters had relation to the fact that any dorsal apical teeth spines are practically aculeates.

Chrysidids are not Aculeates, and one may not reason from the latter with much certainty, not only because Chrysidids are not Aculeates, but because the female *Chrysis* has no duties towards her offspring beyond oviposition. It is further the case that the dentate margin in *Chrysis* is a specific and not a sexual character, i.e., it is nearly identical in both sexes of the species that possess it. The dentate margin is not of a terminal segment. The segment carrying the teeth is the last visible one, but so, only the third visible segment of the abdomen, probably really the fourth.

Taking all these circumstances and some others into consideration, the theory of these spines that seems to me probable is, of course, very hypothetical, and can only claim acceptance in the absence of a better. In the Ent. Mo. Mag. of 1869 and 1870, I gave some notes on the habits of the Chrysidids parasitic on *Odynerus spinipes*. *Chrysis neglecta* substitutes her own egg for that of the wasp, laying it whilst the cell is still open and even before the tale of green grubs for subsistence of the larva is complete. *Chrysis bidentata* on the other hand does not lay her eggs until the wasp larva has spun its cocoon. No special effort is necessary therefore on the part of *neglecta* to reach the place where her egg is to be laid; *bidentata*, on the contrary, often has to burrow through some earthen stopping, and always to thrust her ovipositor through the wasp's cocoon. *Chrysis neglecta* is without terminal teeth, *bidentata* has this armature.

It would seem then, that in view of these two species at least, the terminal spines are correlated with the necessity of piercing a tough cocoon with the ovipositor.

This ovipositor is not a sting, but it is very sharp, and I was more than once pricked by it in handling the living insects; sharp as it is, however, considerable force must be necessary to pierce the cocoon, a portion of the process, doubtless, being widening the opening by pushing the silk aside as the ovipositor progresses, the threads so displaced returning afterwards to their position, as the opening, after the *Chrysis* retires, is practically closed. In making the opening, the whole work is done by a direct thrust, not by the alternate movements by which the Tenthredo's cut their incisions or the ichneumonids of the *Rhyssa* group penetrate solid wood. In making such a thrust not only is a fulcrum necessary, but even more important is some guidance in order that the thrust may be throughout in exactly the same line and direction. My idea is that the teeth of the margin do not provide a fulcrum, but by pressing down on to the wasp cocoon, make the end

of the abdomen fixed and steady, so that the thrust may be direct and true.

In pushing a slender object, like a needle, into any resisting mass, if it be held at a distance from the object, it is difficult to advance it so steadily that it does not bend and break; or if, unlike a needle, it is flexible enough not to break, it will double up and go no further. In either case the operation will succeed if the needle be held steadily, fairly close to the object to be pierced. In the case of the *Chrysis*, the short grip and the steadiness of the fulcrum is secured by the terminal teeth engaging the rough surface of the cocoon. The actual fulcrum, however, must be taken by the insect in some other way, either by its legs or by pressure against the wall of the burrow in which the cocoon is.

*Chrysis ignita* has a toothed margin, yet in the only cases in which I noted its ovipositing habits, it did so in the open cell. This would tell against my theory of the use of the teeth, but *ignita* is an abundant species, attacking a number of different hosts, and probably commands with this object different procedures in different cases, procedures that with other species of the genus are each confined to one species.

The dentate margin is not, however, a sexual but a specific character. This seemed to me at first a difficulty, but I think a secondary sexual character is often transferred to the opposite sex, and if not injurious when so transferred, may easily become a specific character. Darwin refers to a good many cases of secondary sexual characters being transferred to the opposite sex. There are really many such cases, which we do not usually recognise: In *Balaninus*, for instance; the female requires a long rostrum to reach the proper point at which to deposit her egg. The shorter, but still very long, rostrum of the male is, so far as I know, of no special use. A curious instance of what seems to be a transfer to the male of what is almost a primary sexual character, occurs in some Sphingids. The cephalic margin of the 8th abdominal sternite is prolonged in a way that can hardly be anything but an echo of the female structure of this plate.

M. du Buysson (André's Hyménoptères, Vol. VI, p. 32) strongly asserts that *Chrysis* only eats the larva of *Odynerus* and not the provisions. He obviously never saw my paper (Ent. Mo. Mag., Vol. VI, p. 154), nor can he have made any observations on *Chrysis neglecta* with reasonable care, or he would not say it only eats the *Odynerus*

larva. I reared many larvæ of *C. neglecta* on "green grass" and found the eggs of the wasp had been damaged by the larva. That the egg of the *Chrysis* should remain sound during the week during which the wasp larva is feeding and should not itself be eaten by the wasp, is absurd. Yet he asserts this to be the universal method of feeding of *Chrysid*s amongst *Odynerus*. He asserts only one method of oviposition and only one method of feeding, and this goes with the method of oviposition of which he sees only one.

My observations were made five-and-forty years ago, and can be repeated at any time by any one who will take the trouble.

The discussion on "Winter Moths" reminds me of what I made as to the plumose antennæ of *Pt. plumigera pennaria*, that these are reflections in the male insect of the activity of the antennæ of the female, rendered necessary by the odours (in winter) of the food plant she has to find. Such antennæ are quite exceptional in the insects to which they belong, nor do they occur in winter moths which are apterous.

Betula, Reigate:  
April, 1913.

#### MELANISM AND WET CLIMATE BY G. V. HUDSON, F.E.S.

In 1885 Lord Walsingham pointed out that the prevalence of dark insects of Alpine and Arctic *Lepidoptera* was probably due to the fact that a dark insect, would, on emergence from the pupa, be able to absorb heat and thus be ready to take wing, pair, and deposit eggs sooner than a white or pale coloured species. A dark insect is thus shown to be highly advantageous to those species which inhabit high mountains or Arctic regions, where fitful hours of sunshine are followed by prolonged periods of extreme cold, and for the propagation of the species thus very favourable instances of almost black coloration adapted to meet the conditions are found in New Zealand, and are well exemplified in the species included in the genera *Erebia*, *Orocrambus*, most of which frequent elevations of from 4,000 to 6,000 feet above sea level. Lord Walsingham's explanation has never been seriously challenged, and is, I think, correct, amongst entomologists as correct. A less pronounced



and steady, so that the thrust may be direct and

under object, like a needle, into any resisting mass, or whence from the object, it is difficult to advance it. It does not bend and break; or if, unlike a needle, it is not to break, it will double up and go no further. Success will succeed if the needle be held steadily, and not to be pierced. In the case of the *Chrysis*, the readiness of the fulcrum is secured by the terminal rough surface of the cocoon. The actual fulcrum, again by the insect in some other way, either by its head against the wall of the burrow in which the

possesses a toothed margin, yet in the only cases in which it is used in its habits, it did so in the open cell. This is a theory of the use of the teeth, but *ignita* is an insect attacking a number of different hosts, and probably employs different procedures in different cases, and other species of the genus are each confined to

margin is not, however, a sexual but a specific character. It seemed to me at first a difficulty, but I think the character is often transferred to the opposite sex, and characters so transferred, may easily become a specific character. It refers to a good many cases of secondary sexual characters transferred to the opposite sex. There are really two which we do not usually recognise: In *Balaninus*, the male requires a long rostrum to reach the proper place to deposit her egg. The shorter, but still very long, rostrum is, so far as I know, of no special use. A curious instance is, to be a transfer to the male of what is almost exclusively a female character, occurs in some Sphinges. The cephalic abdominal sternite is prolonged in a way that can almost be an echo of the female structure of this plate.

at (André's Hyménoptères, Vol. VI, p. 32) strongly suggests that it only eats the larva of *Odynerus* and not the pupa. I have never seen my paper (Ent. Mo. Mag., Vol. VI, No. 1) or have made any observations on *Chrysis neglecta*, or he would not say it only eats the *Odynerus*

larva. I reared many larvæ of *C. neglecta* on "green grubs." I always found the eggs of the wasp had been damaged by the parent *Chrysis*. That the egg of the *Chrysis* should remain sound and undisturbed during the week during which the wasp larva is feeding up in the cell, and should not itself be eaten by the wasp, is absurd on the face of it. Yet he asserts this to be the universal method of oviposition with *Chrysidæ* amongst *Odynerus*. He asserts only one of two methods of oviposition and only one method of feeding, and that the one that goes with the method of oviposition of which he seems to be ignorant.

My observations were made five-and-forty years ago, yet they can be repeated at any time by any one who will take the trouble to do so.

The discussion on "Winter Moths" reminds me of the hypothesis I made as to the plumose antennæ of *Pt. plumigera* and *Himera pennaria*, that these are reflections in the male insect of heightened activity of the antennæ of the female, rendered necessary by the weak odours (in winter) of the food plant she has to find to lay her eggs. Such antennæ are quite exceptional in the insects of the groups to which they belong, nor do they occur in winter moths whose females are apterous.

Betula, Reigate:  
April, 1913.

#### MELANISM AND WET CLIMATES.

BY G. V. HUDSON, F.E.S.

In 1885 Lord Walsingham pointed out that the dark coloration of Alpine and Arctic *Lepidoptera* was probably due to the fact that a dark insect, would, on emergence from the pupa, rapidly absorb the heat and thus be ready to take wing, pair, and deposit its eggs much sooner than a white or pale coloured species. A dark coloration was thus shown to be highly advantageous to those species inhabiting high mountains or Arctic regions, where fitful hours of hot sunshine are followed by prolonged periods of extreme cold, and the opportunities for the propagation of the species thus very transient. Excellent instances of almost black coloration adapted to meet Alpine conditions are found in New Zealand, and are well exemplified by practically all the species included in the genera *Erebia*, *Orocrambus*, and *Tauroscopa*, most of which frequent elevations of from 4,000 to 6,000 feet above the sea level. Lord Walsingham's explanation has never, so far as I am aware, been seriously challenged, and is, I think, generally accepted amongst entomologists as correct. A less pronounced form of melanism