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Introduction

This study replicates a study by Peter Hinrichs, which found affirmative action bans negatively affect within institution graduation rates for minorities and the fraction of minorities who graduate from selective institutions. Since then, five more states have implemented affirmative action bans. (Figure 1)

Although the effects of affirmative action bans are not clear, research suggests underrepresented minority students (black, Hispanic, and Native American) experience:

- Lower graduation rates overall
- Lower graduation rates from quantitative-intense degrees
- A higher likelihood of being first generation college student

Research supports the economic value of higher education for students, colleges, and society, and its ability to improve human capital acquisition and labor market discrimination.

Methods

I used the following linear regression model to estimate the effects on affirmative action bans:

\[ y_{ist} = \text{ban}_{st} \alpha + \mu_s + \delta_t + \eta_{st} + \epsilon_{ist} \]

- \( y_{ist} \): outcome variable
- \( \text{ban}_{st} \): dummy variable for whether or not an affirmative action ban is in place
- \( \mu_s \): full set of state dummies
- \( \delta_t \): full set of year dummies
- \( \eta_{st} \): dummy for state-specific linear time trends
- \( \epsilon_{ist} \): error term

I drop Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Georgia from the regression due to the effects of affirmative action related legislation.

Graduation rates are categorized by five distinct race categories, and data is sources from the Integrated Postsecondary Enrollment Data System (IPEDS)

Results

Regression results (Table 1) suggest affirmative action bans negatively affect graduation rates for all racial cohorts; however, these preliminary coefficients are not statistically significant at standard levels.

Table 1- Regression results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Native American</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4-yr grad rate</td>
<td>-0.0030903</td>
<td>-0.0042665</td>
<td>-0.0035932</td>
<td>-0.0042817</td>
<td>-0.0125096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-yr institutions</td>
<td>[0.0240181]</td>
<td>[0.0064511]</td>
<td>[0.0098096]</td>
<td>[0.0084125]</td>
<td>[0.0173814]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-yr grad rate</td>
<td>0.0105604</td>
<td>-0.0032652</td>
<td>-0.006036</td>
<td>-0.0038432</td>
<td>-0.0121042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-yr institutions</td>
<td>[0.0298471]</td>
<td>[0.0067144]</td>
<td>[0.0069728]</td>
<td>[0.0083103]</td>
<td>[0.0166952]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

Preliminary regression results are consistent with Hinrichs’ original findings that affirmative action bans negatively affect the graduation rates of minority students; however, these results are not statistically significant and require further data cleaning for meaningful interpretation.

Despite the non-significant results in this study, literature on this topic suggests affirmative action bans and alternative affirmative action policies negatively affect graduation rates for minority students across all states.