Utah State University

DigitalCommons@USU

All Graduate Theses and Dissertations, Fall 2023 to Present

Graduate Studies

5-2024

ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention

Megan E. Golson Utah State University, megan.golson@usu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd2023

Part of the Psychology Commons

Recommended Citation

Golson, Megan E., "ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention" (2024). *All Graduate Theses and Dissertations, Fall 2023 to Present*. 156. https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd2023/156

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Graduate Theses and Dissertations, Fall 2023 to Present by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



ADHD KNOWLEDGE: SUPPORT FOR CURRENT MEASURES AND

CONNECTIONS TO STIGMA AND INTERVENTION

by

Megan E. Golson

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Psychology

Approved:

Maryellen Brunson McClain, Ph.D. Major Professor Gretchen Gimpel Peacock, Ph.D. Committee Member

Melanie M. Domenech Rodríguez, Ph.D. Committee Member Jeffrey D. Shahidullah, Ph.D. Committee Member

Sarah E. Schwartz, Ph.D. Committee Member D. Richard Cutler, Ph.D. Vice Provost of Graduate Studies

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY Logan, Utah

2024

Copyright © Megan Golson 2024 All Rights Reserved

ABSTRACT

ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and

Intervention

by

Megan Golson, Master of Science

Utah State University, 2024

Major Professor: Dr. Maryellen Brunson McClain Department: Psychology

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms, associated behaviors, and the presence of commonly co-occurring disorders can result in a variety of suboptimal outcomes for individuals with ADHD. Timely identification and intervention are warranted to increase the likelihood of positive outcomes for individuals with ADHD. Research suggests that ADHD knowledge is related to increased intervention favorability across samples and intervention types. However, further study is necessary to evaluate the quality and appropriateness of existing ADHD knowledge measures and to understand the causal mechanism connecting ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability. The two studies in this dissertation are related to this goal. In the first study, we systematically reviewed 94 ADHD knowledge measures used in 163 publications and found that approximately one-third of all measures did not have reported psychometric evidence. Additionally, most measures were designed for and validated with only one population—most commonly general education teachers. Given the differences in knowledge expectations across populations, this limits the generalizability of existing measures without adaptation. In the second study we investigated of the mediating role of decreased stigma in the relation between increased ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability in a sample of 266 parents of children with ADHD. It was hypothesized that increased ADHD knowledge leads to decreased stigma, which results in greater intervention favorability. While a direct effect of ADHD knowledge on intervention favorability broadly was not supported, ADHD knowledge and ADHD stigma were directly and indirectly, respectively, associated with increased favorability for medication. The relations between ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and intervention views appear to be complex, requiring further investigation. Further improved understanding of these relations will illuminate avenues to promote intervention use and inform ADHD psychoeducational and public health programming.

(267 pages)

PUBLIC ABSTRACT

ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention

Megan Golson

Many children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) may be atrisk for social, educational, and daily functioning challenges. Identifying ADHD and beginning intervention as early as possible improves outcomes for children with ADHD. Research suggests that parents, teachers, and the general population have more favorable opinions about ADHD interventions the more they know about ADHD. While many measures of ADHD knowledge have been created to measure this, there is little research on the validity of these measures. Further, additional research is needed to better understand relation between ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability. The two studies in this dissertation aim to fill these gaps. The first study is a systematic review of 94 ADHD knowledge measures found in 163 publications. Approximately one-third of the measures did not have reported psychometric evidence and most measures were designed for only one population, which limits the use of measures across populations. The second study investigated the role of decreased stigma in the relation between increased ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability in a sample of 266 parents of children with ADHD. Results supported a direct effect of increased ADHD knowledge on increased favorability toward intervention for children with ADHD and medication as an intervention. The role of ADHD stigma in this relation was not well supported. Overall, additional research regarding ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and intervention is

needed to help researchers, practitioners, and public health agents promote intervention use.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my friends, family, and colleagues for their support and encouragement throughout my graduate training. I give special thanks to my mentor Dr. Maryellen Brunson McClain and my committee for their support and guidance. I would not be where I am today without the generous support, attention, and opportunities provided by such an admirable group of scholars. Thank you to the excellent staff of the Institutional Review Board at Utah State University (USU) who not only reviewed this research but later became supporters along my graduate journey. Thank you as well to the training faculty and mentors at Mailman Center for Child Development and the University of Miami Miller School of Medicine for their flexibility and support throughout internship and the final steps of this dissertation.

I have many thanks to Psi Chi for providing me a Graduate Research Grant and to the Emma Eccles Jones College of Education and Human Services Graduate Student Award. This funding was indispensable in the actualization of my research. The generosity of these two organizations is remarkable. Thank you as well to my colleagues and co-authors. Jennifer Ha, Renae Stigler, Jac'lyn Bera, Kaelah Kieffer, and Abigail Gibson, you each are spectacular researchers and practitioners. I could not have done the literature review without you and cherish the time spent researching and talking with each of you.

Last but certainly not least, I would like to thank my dog Sora who has patiently waited at my side while I spent late nights writing and analyzing data. I promise there will be much more time for adventures and fetch now that this is done, buddy.

Megan Golson

CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	iii
Public Abstract	V
Acknowledgments	vii
List of Tables	X
List of Figures	xi
Chapter	
I. General Introduction	1
II. A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their	
Psychometric Support	10
Introduction	10
Method	
Results	19
Discussion	
References	29
III. Predicting Parent Intervention Favorability: The Roles of ADHD	
Knowledge and ADHD Stigma	90
Introduction	90
Method	
Results	103
Discussion	105
References	110
IV. General Discussion	125

Appendices	133
Appendix A. Author Permission Forms	
Appendix B. Proof Of Copyright And Reuse Agreement	
_ Appendix C. Systematic Review Article Coding Book	
Appendix D. Data Collection Materials	
Appendix E. Data Analysis For Mediation Analysis	
Vitae	245

LIST OF TABLES

Pag	ze
able 1. Selected descriptive information regarding included articles	7
able 2. Selected descriptive information for studied populations	8
able 3. Summary statistics for participant demographic information	8
able 4. Summary statistics for selected child demographic information	9
able 5. Correlations between outcome measures	20

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 1. Illustration of literature search and screening procedure	. 88
Figure 2. Illustration of the proposed mediation model	121
Figure 3. Illustration of the three path models	122

CHAPTER I

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental condition characterized by a pattern of inattention and/or hyperactivity and impulsivity. An individual may present with symptoms from both or either inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity to be identified (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2023). The prevalence rates of ADHD vary extensively, but a global prevalence is estimated to be 5-7.2% in children (Abdelnour et al., 2022). Race, ethnicity, and gender impact a person's likelihood of receiving timely and proper identification. Racially and ethnically minoritized (REM) groups are less likely to be identified than their White peers (e.g., Morgan et al., 2014), and girls and women are often identified later in life or not at all (Walters, 2018).

In addition to the symptoms and behaviors characteristics, ADHD often co-occurs with several behavioral and mood disorders including oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) and conduct disorder (CD) (Barkley, 2015), substance use disorders (Charach et al., 2011), and mood disorders such as anxiety or depression (Sandstrom et al., 2021). Individuals with ADHD are also at greater risk of having learning disorders (APA, 2023), aggressive behavior, and engaging in risk-taking activities (Nigg & Barkley, 2014). Taken together, ADHD and its myriad of co-occurring conditions result in a variety of potential suboptimal outcomes for individuals including greater risk of encounters with school discipline and the criminal justice system (Bussing et al., 2012; Robb et al., 2011), peer rejection and bullying (Grygiel et al., 2018), and academic and occupational challenges (Barkley & Fischer, 2011). Importantly, the likelihood of suboptimal outcomes decreases as access to timely identification and intervention increases (e.g., Arnold et al., 2020; Tarver et al., 2015).

Identification of ADHD is the result of a multi-method assessment process that can take place in clinical or educational settings. However, it is important to distinguish between a clinical or medical diagnosis and educational identification under the classification of Other Health Impairment (OHI). The former relies on criteria set forth in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition-Text Revision (APA, 2023) while the latter classification is a much broader category not limited to ADHD and does not necessarily translate to a clinical diagnosis and related services. Further, educational identification uses state and federal criteria to provide support for the receipt of educational services (Individuals with Disabilities Educational Improvement Act, 2004). A child may receive a diagnosis of ADHD but not qualify for special education due to the additional requirement that ADHD impact the student's educational functioning. Regardless of setting or identification type, best practice assessment for ADHD often includes observation, direct measures, rating scales, interviews, and a review of records. The use of multiple methods and informants is crucial to increasing the accuracy of assessment results and informs intervention planning (DuPaul & Stoner, 2014).

Following identification, intervention options for ADHD range considerably with stimulant medication being the most common option; an estimated 3-6% of all insured children—not just those with ADHD—in the United States are prescribed stimulant medications as part of their intervention program (Danielson et al., 2023). However, the

2

American Academy of Pediatrics recommends school-aged children receive a combination of stimulant medication *and* behavioral intervention (Wolraich et al., 2019). Behavioral interventions for ADHD can include self-monitoring training (Sluiter et al., 2020), token economies (Coelho et al., 2015), and executive functioning skills training (DuPaul & Stoner, 2014). For children in preschool, parent training is also an efficacious approach (Wolraich et al., 2019). Within school settings, the use of peer-delivered intervention (Sibley et al., 2020) and study skills training (Breaux et al., 2019) can also support learning. Most frequently, students with ADHD receive accommodations such as preferential seating, visual schedules, and structured time supports for tests and assignments, though there is limited evidence to support or refute the efficacy of these strategies (Lovett & Nelson, 2021).

Knowledge of ADHD appears to be a critical factor in increasing teacher (Vereb & DiPerna, 2004), parent (Corkum et al., 1999), and lay population (Sciutto, 2015) favorability toward intervention services. When intervention favorability and ADHD knowledge are high, the likelihood a person will pursue intervention increases (Corkum et al., 1999). In addition to increasing intervention use, ADHD knowledge among practitioners is also critical in improving service quality (Perle & Vasilevskis, 2021) and self-efficacy (Sherman et al., 2008). Among the general population, ADHD knowledge is associated with decreased stigma (Kosyluk et al., 2016). Given the importance of ADHD knowledge for a variety of populations and its relation to other constructs (e.g., intervention favorability, stigma), research related to this construct is vital. However, ADHD knowledge studies are often limited to understanding of symptoms (Climie & Henley, 2018) rather than its relation to causes and outcomes (Gilmore, 2010). Increased

research related to ADHD knowledge, including how to improve it across populations, is warranted. Subsequent chapters in this dissertation examines critical issues related to ADHD knowledge, including measurement of the construct and its relation to stigma and intervention favorability.

- Abdelnour, E., Jansen, M. O., & Gold, J. A. (2022). ADHD diagnostic trends: increased recognition or overdiagnosis?. *Missouri medicine*, *119*(5), 467-473.
- American Psychiatric Association. (2023). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-5-TR).* Washington, DC: Author.
- Arnold, L. E., Hodgkins, P., Kahle, J., Madhoo, M., & Kewley, G. (2020). Long-term outcomes of ADHD: academic achievement and performance. *Journal of attention disorders*, 24(1), 73-85.
- Barkley, R. A. (2015). *Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (4th ed.)*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A., & Fischer, M. (2011). Predicting impairment in major life activities and occupational functioning in hyperactive children as adults: Self-reported executive function (EF) deficits versus EF tests. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 36(2), 137-161.
- Breaux, R. P., Langberg, J. M., Molitor, S. J., Dvorsky, M. R., Bourchtein, E., Smith, Z.
 R., & Green, C. D. (2019). Predictors and trajectories of response to the
 Homework, Organization, and Planning Skills (HOPS) intervention for
 adolescents with ADHD. *Behavior therapy*, 50(1), 140-154.
- Bussing, R., Zima, B. T., Mason, D. M., Meyer, J. M., White, K., & Garvan, C. W.
 (2012). ADHD knowledge, perceptions, and information sources: Perspectives from a community sample of adolescents and their parents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *51*, 593–600.

- Casey, M. B., Cohen, M., Schuerholz, L. J., Singer, H. S., & Denckla, M. B. (2000). Language-based cognitive functioning in parents of offspring with ADHD comorbid for Tourette syndrome or learning disabilities. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 17(1), 85-110.
- Charach, A., Yeung, E., Climans, T., & Lillie, E. (2011). Childhood attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and future substance use disorders: Comparative meta-analyses. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 50(1), 9–21.
- Climie, E. A., & Henley, L. (2018). Canadian parents and children's knowledge of ADHD. *Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies*, *13*(3), 266–275.
- Coelho, L. F., Barbosa, D. L., Rizzutti, S., Muszkat, M., Bueno, O. F., & Miranda, M. C. (2015). Use of cognitive behavioral therapy and token economy to alleviate dysfunctional behavior in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *6*, 1-19.
- Corkum, P., Rimer, P., & Schachar, R. (1999). Parental Knowledge of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Opinions of Treatment Options: Impact on Enrolment and Adherence to a 12-Month Treatment Trial. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 44(10), 1043–1048.
- Danielson, M. L. (2023). Trends in stimulant prescription fills among commercially insured children and adults—United States, 2016–2021. MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 72(13), 327-332.
- DuPaul, G. J., & Stoner, G. (2014). *ADHD in the Schools* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

- Gilmore, L. (2010). Community knowledge and beliefs about ADHD. *The Educational and Developmental Psychologist, 27*(1), 20-30.
- Grygiel, P., Humenny, G., Rębisz, S., Bajcar, E., & Świtaj, P. (2018). Peer rejection and perceived quality of relations with schoolmates among children with ADHD. *Journal of attention disorders*, 22(8), 738-751.

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1400 (2004).

- Kosyluk, K. A., Al-Khouja, M., Bink, A., Buchholz, B., Ellefson, S., Fokuo, K., ... & Corrigan, P. W. (2016). Challenging the stigma of mental illness among college students. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 59(3), 325-331.
- Lovett, B. J., & Nelson, J. M. (2021). Systematic review: Educational accommodations for children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 60(4), 448-457.
- Morgan, P. L., Hillmeier, M. M., Farkas, G., & Maczuga, G. (2014). Racial/ethnic disparities in ADHD diagnosis by kindergarten entry. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 55(8), 905-913.
- Nigg, J. T., & Barkley, R. A. (2014). Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. In *Child Psychopathology* (3rd ed., pp. 75-144). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Perle, J. G., & Vasilevskis, G. (2021). Psychologists' evidence-informed knowledge of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): Evaluating the domains of informational strength and areas for improvement. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 52(3), 213.

- Robb, J. A., Sibley, M. H., Pelham, W. E., Michael Foster, E., Molina, B. S., Gnagy, E.M., & Kuriyan, A. B. (2011). The estimated annual cost of ADHD to the US education system. *School mental health*, *3*, 169-177.
- Sandstrom, A., Perroud, N., Alda, M., Uher, R., & Pavlova, B. (2021). Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in people with mood disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 143(5), 380-391.
- Sciutto, M. J. (2015). ADHD Knowledge, Misconceptions, and Treatment Acceptability. Journal of Attention Disorders, 19(2), 91–98.
- Sherman, J., Rasmussen, C., & Baydala, L. (2008). The impact of teacher factors on achievement and behavioural outcomes of children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A review of the literature. *Educational research*, 50(4), 347-360.
- Sibley, M. H., Morley, C., Rodriguez, L., Coxe, S. J., Evans, S. W., Morsink, S., & Torres, F. (2020). A peer-delivered intervention for high school students with impairing ADHD symptoms. *School Psychology Review*, 49(3), 275-290.
- Sluiter, M. N., Groen, Y., de Jonge, P., & Tucha, O. (2020). Exploring neuropsychological effects of a self-monitoring intervention for ADHDsymptoms in school. *Applied Neuropsychology: Child*, 9(3), 246-258.
- Tarver, J., Daley, D., & Sayal, K. (2015). Beyond symptom control for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): What can parents do to improve outcomes?. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 41(1), 1-14.

- Vereb, R. L., & DiPerna, J. C. (2004). Research Brief: Teachers' Knowledge of ADHD, Treatments for ADHD, and Treatment Acceptability: An Initial Investigation. *School Psychology Review*, 33(3), 421–428.
- Walters, A. (2018). Girls with ADHD: Underdiagnosed and untreated. *The Brown* University Child and Adolescent Behavior Letter, 34(11), 8-8.

Wolraich, M. L., Hagan, J. F., Allan, C., Chan, E., Davison, D., Earls, M., ... &
Zurhellen, W. (2019). Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*, 144(4).

CHAPTER II

A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF ADHD KNOWLEDGE MEASURES AND THEIR PSYCHOMETRIC SUPPORT

The first manuscript is titled *A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support.* The authors are Megan E. Golson, Maryellen Brunson McClain, Jennifer Ha, Renae Stigler, Jac'lyn Bera, Kaelah E. B. Kieffer, and Abigail Gibson. The manuscript was accepted for publication in the *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* on December 13, 2022. The remainder of this chapter is a reprint of the published manuscript published in 2023. It can be found in the *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* in Volume 48, Issue 4, pages 356-374.

Introduction

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by a pattern of inattention and/or hyperactivity and impulsivity (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2013). Systematic reviews of prevalence studies indicate ADHD occurs in 2%–7% of children worldwide. However, identification rates vary by country, sex, and race/ethnicity with clear disparities that underrepresent girls and racially and ethnically minoritized (REM) individuals. For example, Black and Latinx children (especially those for whom English is their second language) are less likely to receive an ADHD diagnosis compared to their White peers (Morgan et al., 2014). Females have diagnosed with ADHD an average of 5 years later than males and an estimated two-thirds of females with ADHD remain undiagnosed (Walters, 2018).

For both diagnosed and undiagnosed children, having ADHD poses significant challenges for many. In addition to symptoms—such as disorganization, difficulty regulating attention, restlessness, and increased motor activity (APA, 2013)—ADHD is associated with possible suboptimal academic and social outcomes. Many children with ADHD demonstrate lower performance on academic achievement measures and in-class academic tasks compared to their neurotypical peers even when controlling for cognitive abilities (Arnold et al., 2020). Additionally, children with ADHD are more likely to experience peer rejection (which is positively correlated with hyperactivity) and perceive social relationships more negatively than their neurotypical peers (Grygiel et al., 2018; Nigg & Barkley, 2014). ADHD is also associated with several possible lifelong suboptimal outcomes. Adolescents and adults with ADHD are more likely to have substance use disorders and have greater encounters with law enforcement (Bussing et al., 2012). Goffer et al. (2020) note young adults with ADHD report difficulty managing deadlines and maintaining employment. ADHD is also often co-occurring with conduct disorders (Barkley, 2015), learning disorders (Charach et al., 2011), and mood disorders (Jarrett & Ollendick, 2008), which pose their own unique risks and treatment requirements.

Given the prevalence of ADHD and its proximal and long-term impacts, it is imperative that both professionals likely to serve children with ADHD and the general public have a sufficient knowledge of ADHD. Because accurate knowledge of ADHD and its presentation is essential to appropriate and timely identification and intervention, professionals, particularly pediatricians and psychologists, must receive adequate technical training in assessing, diagnosing, and interventions for ADHD (Perle & Vasilevskis, 2021). Increased knowledge of ADHD is also associated with increased selfefficacy to serve children with ADHD (Sherman et al., 2008). ADHD knowledge is also vital to ensuring professionals make appropriate referrals to specialists and/or create effective treatment plans for children with ADHD (Salt et al., 2005). Unfortunately, several professional populations demonstrate inadequate training in ADHD, including general education teachers (e.g., Greenway & Edwards, 2020), medical professionals (e.g., Adamis et al., 2019), and mental health providers (e.g., Frankenberger et al., 1990). Notably, the knowledge expectations for professionals such as medical doctors or psychologists are significantly different compared to parents and peers.

There are significant benefits to increased knowledge of ADHD in lay populations as well. Previous research has highlighted the importance of knowledge interventions in reducing stigma toward mental health disorders like ADHD (Kosyluk et al., 2016). Increased knowledge is associated with more positive perceptions of ADHD (Barnett et al., 2012; Youssef et al., 2015). Increased knowledge of ADHD and its symptoms is also associated with earlier identification since parents and community members are often the first to observe and voice concerns about children (Park et al., 2018), prompting professional evaluation. Knowledge of ADHD can also increase public awareness of intervention options (Pescosolido et al., 2008) and is associated with increased positive attitude toward accessing ADHD services among parents and people with ADHD (Taylor & Antshel, 2021). Current research suggests mixed findings related to parent and lay population knowledge. For example, while recognition of ADHD symptoms is fairly common (Climie & Henley, 2018), causes and prognosis are less well known (Gilmore, 2010). Effectively evaluating the current state of ADHD knowledge, its relationship to other constructs and outcomes, and tracking learning over time requires standardized measurements.

As the benefits of psychoeducation have become more widely known, several standardized measures of ADHD have been created (e.g., Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale [KADDS], Sciutto et al., 2000; Knowledge of ADHD Scale, Kos et al., 2004). However, no published summary of their varying content and psychometric quality exists to-date. Other fields have attempted to address this issue through systematic reviews of present measures and their psychometric quality to aid researchers in determining what measures meet their study's goals (e.g., Harrison et al., 2017). Ensuring measures of ADHD knowledge have reported and updated psychometric support relevant to the sample of interest improves the precision and validity of the measurement.

Though knowledge has been demonstrated to differ by occupation, relationship to persons with ADHD, and other demographic factors, such as race (Bussing et al., 2012; Gerdes et al., 2013) and gender (e.g., Gerdes et al., 2020), it is unknown if or how many measures have been validated for these subpopulations. Without an accurate understanding of the psychometric support for these measures within the populations with which they are employed, the resulting findings stand on shaky ground. A thorough review of the psychometric support and validation populations is needed to evaluate the appropriateness and quality of currently published ADHD knowledge measures.

Current Study

The current study is a systematic review of studies including an investigation of ADHD knowledge using an ADHD knowledge measure. The study is guided by two central questions. First, what psychometric support is reported for each published ADHD knowledge measure? Second, for what populations are the measures validated? Specifically, what was the responding population of interest, and to what extent were REM populations represented in the validation sample for the knowledge measure? Understanding the answers to these questions will aid in the evaluation and selection of appropriate ADHD knowledge measures used in both research and applied contexts.

Method

Literature Search

M.E.G. manually conducted the literature search in four phases using the three databases: PsycINFO (1620–Present), ERIC (1966–Present), and PubMed (1996–Present). Publications identified that included the keywords "knowledge," "awareness," "understanding," or "training" paired with the terms "ADHD" or "attention deficit hyperactivity disorder" in either the title or abstract were reviewed. No other restrictions (e.g., publication date) were used. To be included in the review, the articles had to include a direct measure of ADHD knowledge used for original data collection and have translations or original versions of the publication available in English. A direct measure of ADHD knowledge was operationalized as an assessment tool with correct answers regarding ADHD. No exclusion criteria related to study design, participant population, or other factors were employed in order to capture as many knowledge measures as possible. To reduce the possibility of publication bias, theses and dissertations were included in addition to peer-reviewed publications.

In the first phase, this search yielded 550 possible publications from PsycINFO, ERIC, and PubMed databases. Of these, 95 duplicates were removed prior to review of abstracts for inclusion criteria. A total of 154 articles met the inclusion criteria and were retrieved for coding. An ancestral search manually and collaboratively conducted by M.E.G. and J.H. produced 48 additional, nonduplicate studies based on the review of article abstracts, titles, and methods sections for the aforementioned inclusion criteria. An additional 28 articles were removed during the coding process based on a thorough review of the article methods for a total of 163 articles included in the review. This review was not preregistered but was conducted in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, with predetermined inclusion criteria, literature review procedures, and coding strategies. While measure quality was assessed (see below), study quality was not as outcome variables and design of the studies were not relevant to the current investigation. See Figure 1 for an illustration of the article search, review, and selection processes.

Development of the Code Book

M.E.G. created the data entry database and code book in Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap; Harris et al., 2019), an Internet platform for secure data collection and entry. The code book was developed to collect relevant information from selected studies to evaluate the two research questions of the project and included: the population of interest, the representation of REM participants, and the quality and response options of ADHD knowledge measures used. General article information, including the year of publication, author, and journal name, was also recorded. After development, the entire research team reviewed the coding structure together for readability and coding ease. See Appendix B for the finalized form.

Coder Training

Coder training occurred over the course of two sessions. First, the entire coding team (M.E.G., J.H., R.S., J.B., K.E.B.K, and A.G.) met for a 1-hr coder training. During this time, M.E.G. reviewed the completed code book and coding process. After each member

had the opportunity to clarify the coding process and everyone indicated they understood, the team was assigned three randomly selected articles to code from the 163 included articles in pairs. For each article, the pairs independently coded the first author's last name, publication year, publication outlet (i.e., peer reviewed article, dissertation, etc.), and the study purpose

as described in the abstract and current study section of the manuscript. The pairs then independently coded the sample information. They recorded the population included (e.g., parents, medical doctors, etc.), the sample size, the country of study, and whether racial and/or ethnic demographic information was reported. If reported, each coder transcribed the proportion of each race/ethnicity comprised in the sample.

Regarding the knowledge measure, coders coded the name of the measure, whether it was qualitative and/or quantitative, the response format used, whether psychometric information was reported for a previous sample using the measure, whether psychometric information was reported for the measure in the current sample, what types of reliability and validity information were reported, psychometric values (if provided), and whether the measure was provided in the publication.

Using this information, each measure was coded using Cohen et al. (2008) criteria for evidence-based measures, as previous systematic reviews of measures have done (e.g., Harrison et al., 2017). These criteria assign one of three descriptors ("wellestablished," "approaching well-established," and "promising assessment") based on the number of publications a measure has been investigated in, details regarding measure development, and reported reliability and validity evidence. Per Cohen et al. (2008), a "well-established" measure must be present in two different publications by different investigators in at least one of which statistics are presented indicating good reliability and validity. A measure that is "approaching well-established" must also be presented in two different publications, but the authors may be the same; and the associated reliability and validity support can be presented without associated statistics (in "vague terms") or with moderate values. Finally, the criteria for a "promising assessment" includes that the measure is published in at least one article and the associated reliability and validity support again be presented without statistics (in "vague terms" or with moderate values). In each case, to be evaluated, Cohen et al. (2008) stipulate that measures must be sufficiently described to allow for critical evaluation. As such, a fourth descriptor was employed in this study to code measures that did not include sufficient information to qualify for one of the previous three (i.e., did not include sufficient information, included only evidence for one psychometric type, psychometric values too low to be considered moderate). During a second 1-hr meeting coding responses were

reviewed together. All discrepancies were discussed and consensus was achieved before article coding was initiated.

Coding Process

Each coding pair was assigned to code a randomly assigned one-third of the collected articles. The coding process for each partnership included a full review of the article for inclusion and the recording of all variables specified in the code book using the REDCap (Harris et al., 2019) data entry form. Following the completion of independent coding, each partnership

met to discuss and resolve coding discrepancies. Intercoder reliability prior to achieving consensus via discussion for the three partnerships was r = 0.938, r = 0.942, and r = 0.949. The review protocol and workflow used by the research team are available upon request.

Data Analysis

During the coding process, each knowledge measure was given a unique identifier to accurately track the number of measures currently in the literature. Modifications and translations of measures were also given a separate identifier since many measures did not identify the degree of modification to allow for evaluation of similarity to the original measure (McClain et al., in review). The resulting count was 96 measures. The Cohen score, frequencies of each reliability type reported (i.e., internal consistency, test– retest), and each validity type reported (i.e., face, convergent, discriminant, criterion, construct, content) for the 96 resulting measures were calculated. Then, the frequencies of each population of interest (e.g., parents, special education teachers, doctors) and REM populations for each measure were also calculated for articles reporting validation of a measure. Articles using previously validated measures in a new population were not included in this analysis if they did not validate the measure in their sample since the research question regarding populations of interest concerns validation populations only. See Tables 1 & 2 for selected descriptive information for included articles.

Results

Reported Psychometric Support

Of the 96 measures found across 163 articles, less than half included statistical evidence of reliability (n = 40, 41.7%). A marginal few more included a discussion of reliability in vague terms (n = 42, 43.8%). The most common type of reliability evidence reported across all 96 measures was internal consistency (n = 40, 41.7%), followed by test–retest reliability (n = 10, 10.4%). Discussion of validity was slightly more common across the 96 measures (n = 52, 54.2%). However, significantly fewer articles included statistical analyses and/or data to support the validity claims (n = 7, 7.3%). Within validity, content validity (n = 32, 33.3%) and face validity (n = 11, 1.0%) were the most common. Convergent (n = 2, 2.1%) and discriminant validity (n = 1, 1.0%) were less likely to be discussed for ADHD knowledge measures. Considering the lack of reliability and validity evidence overall, it is unsurprising that the majority (n = 69, 71.8%) of measures had insufficient information or too poor of psychometric quality to be coded as an evidence-based assessment using the Cohen et al. (2008) scheme. In many of these

cases, accounting for 34.4% of all measures, absolutely no psychometric evidence was reported or discussed.

Promising Assessments

Seventeen (17.8%) measures were coded as "promising assessments." Of these, the unnamed knowledge measure by Khademi et al. (2016)—which included moderate internal consistency and test–retest values and discussed face and content validity evidence without statistical evidence—the ADHD Knowledge-Based Questionnaire (Stampoltzis & Antonopoulou, 2013)—which included moderate internal consistency values and significant construct validity statistics—and the ADHD-Specific Knowledge Scale (Greenway & Edwards, 2020)—which included strong internal consistency values and strong construct validity statistics but had only been included in one publication were the most promising. The remaining 14 measures coded as "promising assessments" had the same two similarities: while they provided either internal consistency or test– retest statistical values; face, content, or criterion validity evidence was only discussed vaguely.

Approaching Well-Established Assessments

Seven measures (7.3%) were coded as "approaching well-established": the ADHD Beliefs Scale (Weyandt, 2009), the ADHD Knowledge and Opinion Scale (AKOS; Corkum et al., 1999), the AKOS-Revised (AKOS-R; Bennet, 1996), the Questionnaire to Assess Teacher's Knowledge of ADHD (QATKA; Soroa, 2012), an unnamed measure developed by Bussing et al. (1998), an unnamed measure developed by Ghanizadeh et al. (2006), and an unnamed measure developed by Jerome et al. (1994). Four measures included four types of psychometric evidence, one measure included three types, and two measures included two types. Similar to the "promising assessments," these seven commonly included reported reliability statistics for internal consistency and/or test–retest reliability. However, only two measures, the ADHD Beliefs Scale and the unnamed measure by Bussing et al. (1998) reported validity statistics rather than discussing face, construct, criterion, or convergent validity in vague or summative terms. Interestingly, while the AKOS was present in the most publications (n = 7), it had the most heterogeneity in psychometric evidence, with test–retest values ranging from r =0.15 to 0.75 and Cronbach's alpha values ranging from $\alpha = 0.54$ to 0.71. By contrast, the unnamed measure by Bussing et al. (1998) had been used in four publications and had test–retest values ranging from r = 0.78 to approximately 0.99, which is both more acceptable and less variable.

Well-Established Assessments

Only three measures qualified as "well-established": the Knowledge of ADHD Rating Evaluation (KARE; Vereb & DiPerna, 2004), the KADDS (Sciutto et al., 2000), and an unnamed measure developed by Awadalla et al. (2016). The KADDS (Sciutto et al., 2000) had the most psychometric evidence, including reported statistics for internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.38-0.92$), test–retest reliability (r = 0.59-0.76), and criterion validity (r = 0.23), as well as discussion in of face, convergent, discriminant, and construct validity in vague or summative terms collectively across the articles in which it appeared. By contrast, the other two "well-established" measures sported fewer types of psychometric evidence. The KARE included internal consistency, test–retest reliability, face validity, and content validity; and Awadalla et al.'s (2016) measure included internal consistency and content validity statistics. Notably, in comparison to the significant heterogeneity in values seen across the KADDS' internal consistency and, to a lesser degree, test–retest reliability values, the KARE is less heterogeneous: $\alpha = 0.58-0.81$, r = 0.76-0.80 (see Table I).

Populations with Psychometric Evidence

Most of the 96 measures were only used and evaluated in one population (n = 57, 59.4%). However, 23 (23.9%) measures were used in two populations, and seven measures (7.3%) were used in four or more distinct populations. The KADDS (Sciutto et al., 2000) was the most widely used measure; it was used and/or evaluated for psychometric evidence in 12 different populations. Measurement of ADHD knowledge in general education teachers were most common (n = 57, 59.4%), followed by parents (n = 19, 19.8%), and special education teachers (n = 15, 15.6%). Medical doctors (n = 6, 6.3%) and school psychologists (n = 6, 6.3%) appeared with similar frequency in the dataset. Measurement of ADHD knowledge in populations of professional trainees was uncommon overall. Only four measures (4.2%) were used to evaluate ADHD knowledge in these populations. Measures that evaluated ADHD knowledge in siblings (n = 1, 1.0%) or psychologists (n = 1, 1.0%) were the least common.

Of the 89 articles that reported psychometric evaluations in their sample, only 17 (18.1%) reported the racial and ethnic demographics of their participants. Of these 17, 14 were published in the United States, one in Canada, one in Saudi Arabia, and one in

Qatar. Across these, the multiracial and Pacific Islander/Native Hawaiian populations were unrepresented. On average, 70.9% of the study samples were White. Otherwise, there was significant variability in the degree of racial and ethnic representation. Latinx populations constituted 26.8% of the sample on average (SD = 33.8), and Black individuals composed an average 13.6% of the sample (SD = 13.1). Asian, Native American, and "Other" racial and ethnic groups (e.g., studies that collapsed multiple racial and ethnic identifications) constituted less than 8% of the sample on average, respectively. Only one study-conducted in Saudi Arabia and using the unnamed measure by Awadalla et al. (2016)—reported including Middle Eastern/North African participants, comprising 81% of their sample. Significantly, those measures designated "well-established" or "approaching well-established" were often those with which REM populations were validated. For example, the KADDS (Sciutto et al., 2000) has been used in samples of primarily Latinx (Ramos, 2008) participants. Additionally, the unnamed measure by Bussing et al. (1998) has been used consistently in samples constituted at approximately half by Black participants (Bussing et al., 1998, 2007, 2012). Unfortunately, there are no strong measures validated with significant sample representation from other racial and ethnic groups (see Table 2).

Discussion

In this study, we reviewed ADHD knowledge studies to investigate the reported psychometric evidence for ADHD knowledge measures as well as the characteristics of the populations the measures were used to evaluate. Within the 163 articles reviewed, 96 distinct ADHD knowledge measures were used. However, this impressive quantity of measures is in stark contrast to the limited reporting of psychometric evidence approximately a third of these measures were not accompanied by any reported psychometric support of any type—and the lack of psychometric quality. Lack of psychometric information in publications limits the ability of researchers to evaluate a measure and the validity of findings on which it is based. The lack of psychometric support for ADHD knowledge measures may be due to several factors, including a lack of proper psychometric investigation prior to the measure's use. Alternatively, authors may not be reporting the existing psychometric evidence for ADHD knowledge measures they did not develop themselves. However, this is unlikely as the majority of measures were developed for and used in only one study. Further research is needed to understand this lack of psychometric evidence and address it in the literature for the improvement of measure and research quality. Researchers, clinicians, and trainers interested in evaluating ADHD knowledge should reconsider creating new ADHD measures and instead evaluate or improve existing measures.

Among the remaining measures boasting reported psychometric support, it was uncommon to find psychometric evidence of more than one type, suggesting researchers are either investigating reliability or validity of their measure, but not both. Less than half of the ADHD knowledge measures were accompanied by reliability evidence and only half sported validity evidence. The lack of reliability evidence is concerning especially related to ADHD knowledge research as these measures are often involved in psychoeducational program evaluation (e.g., Graeper, 2011; Lasisi et al., 2017). Without reliability evidence—in particular, test–retest reliability—researchers have a limited capacity to track improvement in ADHD knowledge over time. The lack of reported
validity evidence is also concerning as it hinders reviewers' ability to evaluate the measure in use and the study's findings. Without support for the validity of a measure, researchers and practitioners cannot be confident that the measure in question accurately assesses the construct to which it claims. However, evidence of both reliability and validity is required for consideration as an evidence-based measure per Cohen et al. (2008). Without inclusion of both evidence types, it is clear why so many ADHD knowledge measures fail to meet this standard. When selecting ADHD knowledge measures, the authors recommend that preference be shown to measures with both reliability and validity evidence.

Though the majority of measures lacked sufficient and/or strong psychometric evidence, there were three measures that meet the criteria of "well-established" per Cohen et al. (2008): the KARE (Vereb & DiPerna, 2004), the KADDS (Sciutto et al., 2000), and an unnamed measure by Awadalla et al. (2016). An additional seven measures showed promise and, with additional validation and investigation, could be considered "well-established" as well. A crucial part of this effort will be expanding the use of these measures to additional research teams and populations. This review illustrates that most ADHD knowledge measures are designed for and validated with only one population another possible explanation for the sheer number of ADHD knowledge measures in the literature. As researchers investigate ADHD knowledge in new populations, new measures are developed rather than validating existing measures across populations. Changing this precedent and focusing on building the psychometric support of existing measures may help not only to address the limited psychometric evidence in the literature but also increase the number of available measures for researchers working across populations. The KADDS is one example of this already within the field; though there is significant heterogeneity in the psychometric strength of the measure across populations, the repeated investigation has amassed sufficient evidence with which researchers can evaluate the measure for their purposes. Researchers would prioritize validation of existing ADHD knowledge measures in their samples in pursuit of stronger, more established measures.

This review highlights that general education teachers are the most researched population related to ADHD knowledge. This is important as teachers serve students with ADHD daily; however, additional research is needed to understand the knowledge levels of parents and siblings of people with ADHD, special education teachers, medical and mental/behavioral health professionals, and trainees within the aforementioned fields. Expanding ADHD knowledge research to these populations is important for several reasons, including the different roles each of these populations has for people with ADHD. Each distinct role is associated with different knowledge expectations that cannot be generalized from the findings gleaned from research with another population. As such, clinicians and researchers implementing knowledge measures should consider the populations with which a measure has been validated when selecting a tool to evaluate the construct. When evaluating multiple populations with a single tool, well-established measures such as the KADDS (Sciutto et al., 2000) may be most appropriate. However, when evaluating specific, single populations (e.g., parents, doctors), readers are encouraged to examine reviews such as these and the validation information of individual measures to find the most appropriate tool.

Finally, this review investigated the level of representation for REM populations in ADHD knowledge research, particularly in relation to the validation of measures for use with them. Previous research suggests that some REM groups may be less knowledgeable about ADHD (e.g., Bussing et al., 2007). However, additional research is needed to understand the root of these potential knowledge gaps and how to address them, which requires reliable and valid ADHD knowledge measures for REM respondents. Despite this, less than a quarter of the reviewed studies reported race and/or ethnicity data for their validation samples. Of those articles that included these data, sample demographics were overwhelmingly White. Although the majority of these reporting studies were conducted in the United States, this is a vast underrepresentation given the international arena from which this review drew. Not only is 70.9% an overestimation of White composition in the United States—compared to 60% (United States Census, 2021)—but it is a greater overestimation in the global context—compared to less than 20% in 2021 (Population Reference Bureau, 2021). To address these gaps, researchers need to be more diligent about reporting the racial and ethnic makeup of their samples. Additionally, there needs to be a concentrated effort to validate ADHD knowledge measures for use within REM groups, particularly for use with Asian, Pacific Islander/Native Hawaiian, and Native American participants. Without this, an accurate understanding of ADHD knowledge trends across race and ethnicity is limited. Fortunately, a few measures— such as the unnamed measure by Awadalla et al. (2016) for Middle Eastern/North African participants, the KADDS for Latinx participants, and the unnamed measure by Bussing et al. (1998) for Black participants- have both strong psychometric quality and are appropriate for use with specific REM populations.

Limitations and Future Directions

This review highlights some of the shortcomings of psychometric reporting and population sampling within ADHD knowledge research. The authors recognize that the review is limited by the inclusion of only published sources, dissertations, and theses. As such, unpublished test manuals and related validation data were excluded. The inclusion of only published measures mirrors more closely that is readily available to researchers developing ADHD knowledge studies and practitioners searching for clinical tools. Additionally, the authors recognize that the decision to limit the review to publications in English or with English translations available may have further led to an underestimation of ADHD knowledge measures currently available internationally. Finally, this review did not employ any methods to control for potential publication bias other than the inclusion of theses and dissertations as a preventative measure. Since publication bias derives from the overrepresentation of positive findings in published literature (Dalton et al., 2016), ADHD knowledge measures created for studies with negative findings or measures without support may have been missed. Together, these three limitations may have resulted in an underrepresentation of available ADHD knowledge measures and a potential overestimation of their quality. While these are all limitations, one of the central conclusions of the review—that there is a vast number of measures available with limited psychometric support—would likely remain the same. Future research could include additional gray literature, seek publications not available in English, and employ quality control methods for publication bias.

References

*Indicates articles included in the systematic review

- *Abed, M., Pearson, S., Clarke, P., & Chambers, M. (2014). Saudi Arabian Teachers' Knowledge and Beliefs about ADHD. *Journal of the International Association of Special Education, 15(*1).
- *Adamis, D., Tatlow-Golden, M., Gavin, B., & McNicholas, F. (2019). General practitioners' (GP) attitudes and knowledge about attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in Ireland. *Irish Journal of Medical Science (1971 -)*, 188(1), 231–239.
- *Aguiar, A. P., Kieling, R. R., Costa, A. C., Chardosim, N., Dorneles, B. V., Almeida, M. R., Mazzuca, A. C., Kieling, C., & Rohde, L. A. (2014). Increasing Teachers' Knowledge About ADHD and Learning Disorders: An Investigation on the Role of a Psychoeducational Intervention. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, *18*(8), 691–698.
- *Akram, G., Thomson, A. H., Boyter, A. C., & McLarty, M. (2009). ADHD and the role of medication: Knowledge and perceptions of qualified and student teachers. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 24(4), 423–436.
- *Al-Ahmari, A. A., Bharti, R. K., Al-Shahrani, M. S., Alharthi, M. H., Alqarni, H. M., & Alshehri, H. M. (2018). Knowledge, attitude, and performance of primary healthcare physicians in Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia about attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Family & Community Medicine*, 25(3), 194– 198.

- *Alfageer, H. H., Aldawodi, M. D., Queflie, S. A. A., Masud, N., Harthy, N. A. A., Alogayyel, N., Alrabah, M., & Qureshi, S. (2018). Knowledge and attitude of male primary school teachers about attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Natural Science, Biology and Medicine*, 9(2), 257.
- *Al-Moghamsi, E. Y., & Aljohani, A. (2018). Elementary school teachers' knowledge of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 7(5), 907–915.
- *Al-Omari, H., Al-Motlaq, M. A., & Al-Modallal, H. (2015). Knowledge of and attitude towards attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder among primary school teachers in Jordan. *Child Care in Practice*, 21(2), 128–139.
- *Alkahtani, K. D. F. (2013). Teachers' Knowledge and Misconceptions of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. *Psychology*, 4(12), 963–969.
- *Alshehri, A. M., Shehata, S. F., Almosa, K. M., & Awadalla, N. J. (2020).
 Schoolteachers' Knowledge of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder—
 Current Status and Effectiveness of Knowledge Improvement Program: A
 Randomized Controlled Trial. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(15).
- *Alsuhaibani, M., Alsaawi, O., Alsuwayti, K., & Alahmed, I. (2020). Awareness and knowledge of attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder among medical students of Qassim University in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 9(2), 1191–1196.

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.)*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Anastopoulos, A. D., Guevremont, D. C., Shelton, T. L., & DuPaul, G. J., (1992).
 Parenting stress among families of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 20(5), 503-520.
- *Anastopoulos, A. D., & King, K. A. (2015). A Cognitive-Behavior Therapy and Mentoring Program for College Students With ADHD. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice, 22(2), 141–151.
- *Anderson, D. L., Watt, S. E., Noble, W., & Shanley, D. C. (2012). Knowledge of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and attitudes toward teaching children with ADHD: The role of teaching experience. Psychology in the Schools, 49(6), 511–525.
- *Andrews, J. N. (1994). Effects of educating parents about ADHD characteristics and interventions on the subsequent behavior of their ADHD children [Ph.D., University of Houston].
- Arnold, L. E., Hodgkins, P., Kahle, J., Madhoo, M., & Kewley, G. (2020). Long-term outcomes of ADHD: academic achievement and performance. *Journal of attention disorders*, 24(1), 73-85.
- Awadalla, N. J., Ali, O. F., Elshaer, S., & Eissa, M. (2016). Role of school teachers in identifying attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among primary school children in Mansoura, Egypt. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 22(8), 586-595.
- *Badeleh, M. T. (2013). Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Elementary Teachers awareness. Journal of Medical Sciences, 13(8), 829–833.

- *Bai, G., Wang, Y., Yang, L., & Niu, W. (2015). Effectiveness of a focused, brief psychoeducation program for parents of ADHD children: Improvement of medication adherence and symptoms. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment, 11, 2721–2735.
- *Barbaresi, W. J., & Olsen, R. D. (1998). An ADHD educational intervention for elementary schoolteachers: a pilot study. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 19(2), 94-100.
- Barkley, R. A. (2015). *Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (4th ed.)*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- *Barnett, J. (2017). Understanding gender differences in teachers' level of insight as it relates to ADHD [Ph.D., Capella University]. In *ProQuest Dissertations and Theses*.
- Barnett, B., Corkum, P., & Elik, N. (2012). A web-based intervention for elementary school teachers of students with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Psychological Services*, 9(2), 227.
- *Baudinette, K., Sparks, J., & Kirby, A. (2010). Survey of paediatric occupational therapists' understanding of developmental coordination disorder, joint hypermobility syndrome and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *British Journal of Occupational Therapy*, *73*(8), 366–373.
- *Beirne, M., McNamara, N., O'Keeffe, G., & McNicholas, F. (2013). Survey examining the views of Adult Psychiatry Consultants and Senior Registrars regarding ADHD. *Irish Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 30(3), 197–203.

- *Bekle, B. (2004). Knowledge and attitudes about Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A comparison between practicing teachers and undergraduate education students. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 7(3), 151–161.
- *Bender, A. S. (1996). *Effect of active learning on student teachers identifications and referrals of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder* [Ph.D., Hofstra University].
- *Bennett, D. S., Power, T. J., Rostain, A. L., & Carr, D. E. (1996). Parent Acceptability and Feasibility of ADHD Interventions: Assessment, Correlates, and Predictive Validity1. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *21*(5), 643–657.
- *Berri, H., & Al-Hroub, A. (2016). *Researching Lebanese Teachers' Knowledge and Perceptions of ADHD*. Springer.
- *Blotnicky-Gallant, P., Martin, C., McGonnell, M., & Corkum, P. (2015). Nova Scotia Teachers' ADHD Knowledge, Beliefs, and Classroom Management Practices. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*, *30*(1), 3–21.
- *Bolinger, S. J., Mucherah, D., Markelz, D., & Andrew, M. (2020). Teacher Knowledge of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Classroom Management. *The Journal of Special Education Apprenticeship*, 9(1), 5.
- *Booksh, R. L. (2005). *Ability of college students to simulate ADHD on objective measures of attention* [Ph.D., Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College].
- *Bradley-Klug, K. L., Shapiro, E. S., & DuPaul, G. J. (1997). Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Kids in the Middle: A Field Test of a School-Based Consultation Model. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 20(2), 179–188.

- *Bradshaw, L., & Kamal, M. (2013). Teacher knowledge, training and acceptance of students with ADHD in their classrooms: Qatar case study. *Near and Middle Eastern Journal of Research in Education*, 2013(1), 5.
- *Bramham, J., Young, S., Bickerdike, A., Spain, D., McCartan, D., & Xenitidis, K. (2009). Evaluation of Group Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Adults With ADHD. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, *12*(5), 434–441.
- *Brook, U., & Geva, D. (2001). Knowledge and attitudes of high school pupils towards peers' attention deficit and learning disabilities. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *43*(1), 31–36.
- *Brook, U., Watemberg, N., & Geva, D. (2000). Attitude and knowledge of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and learning disability among high school teachers. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *40*(3), 247–252.
- *Bussing, R., Gary, F. A., Mills, T. L., & Garvan, C. W. (2007). Cultural variations in health beliefs, knowledge, and information sources related to attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Family Issues*, 28(3), 291-318.
- *Bussing, R., Schoenberg, N. E., & Perwien, A. R. (1998). Knowledge and information about ADHD: Evidence of cultural differences among African-American and white parents. *Social Science & Medicine*, *46*(7), 919–928.
- *Bussing, R., Zima, B. T., Mason, D. M., Meyer, J. M., White, K., & Garvan, C. W. (2012). ADHD knowledge, perceptions, and information sources: Perspectives from a community sample of adolescents and their parents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *51*, 593–600.

- *Canu, W. H., & Mancil, E. B. (2012). An Examination of Teacher Trainees' Knowledge of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. *School Mental Health*, 4(2), 105– 114.
- *Capizzi, R. R. (2018). ADHD and the Elementary School Teacher: Personal Experience and Professional Knowledge, Self-Efficacy, and Attitude Toward Students Diagnosed With ADHD [Ed.D., Caldwell University].
- *Carpenter, T. (1995). Teaching High School Students with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self Advocacy Skills and Strategies for Coping with Their Disability in School. [Ed.D., Nova Southeastern University].
- Charach, A., Yeung, E., Climans, T., & Lillie, E. (2011). Childhood attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and future substance use disorders: Comparative meta-analyses. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 50(1), 9–21.
- *Climie, E. A., & Henley, L. (2018). Canadian parents and children's knowledge of ADHD. *Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies*, *13*(3), 266–275.
- Cohen, L. L., La Greca, A. M., Blount, R. L., Kazak, A. E., Holmback, G. N., & Lemanek, K. L. (2008). Introduction to special issue: Evidence-based assessment in pediatric psychology. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 33(9), 911-915.
- *Coles, E. K., Owens, J. S., Serrano, V. J., Slavec, J., & Evans, S. W. (2015). From Consultation to Student Outcomes: The Role of Teacher Knowledge, Skills, and Beliefs in Increasing Integrity in Classroom Management Strategies. *School Mental Health*, 7(1), 34–48.

- *Corkum, P., Rimer, P., & Schachar, R. (1999). Parental Knowledge of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Opinions of Treatment Options: Impact on Enrolment and Adherence to a 12-Month Treatment Trial. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 44(10), 1043–1048.
- *Cormier, E. (2004). Effects of in -home parent training for parents of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) based on results of a brief functional analysis [Ph.D., University of Florida].
- *Coronado, C. L. (2012). *An examination of teachers' knowledge and perceptions of ADHD* [Ed.D., University of Houston-Clear Lake].
- *Currier, R. O. (2004). *The relation between knowledge of ADHD and treatment acceptability in a multi-disciplinary pediatric clinic* [Ph.D., Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College].
- Dalton, J. E., Bolen, S. D., & Mascha, E. J. (2016). Publication bias: The elephant in the review. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*, *123*(4), 812-813.
- *de Oliveira, C. T., Teixeira, M. A. P., & Dias, A. C. G. (2018). Effectiveness of a psychoeducational booklet on ADHD in college students. *Psicologia: Teoria e Prática*, 20(2), 281–292.
- *Diaz, M. (2015). Facilitating urban school social worker collaboration with teachers in addressing ADHD: A mixed-methods assessment of urban school social worker knowledge. *School Social Work Journal, 39*(2), 63-78.
- *Dielmann, K. B. (2005). Treatment acceptability and perceived time to implement interventions for children with ADHD moderated by general education teachers'

training in ADHD and disability law, and eligibility for disabling conditions [Ph.D., Oklahoma State University].

- *Dilaimi, A. (2013). New Zealand primary school teachers' knowledge and perceptions of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master in Educational Psychology at Massey University, Albany, New Zealand [Thesis, Massey University].
- *Doak, J. S. (2003). The effect of teachers' beliefs, perceived stress, and student characteristics on teachers' acceptance of treatment interventions for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder [Ph.D., University of Houston].
- *Doucet, J. C. (2012). Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: The sociocultural influences on ADHD knowledge and diagnosis decision making [Ph.D., The University of Alabama].
- *Egan, T. E. (2019). Examining Elementary School Teacher Preferences for School-Based Intervention Characteristics and Implementation Supports for Youth with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder [Ph.D., Ohio University].
- *Eng, R. V. (2007). *Improving treatment acceptability among teachers by increasing knowledge of ADHD* [Ph.D., Lehigh University].
- *Ervington, A. (2013). Barriers to recommendation adherence to psychological testing in a school setting for students with ADHD [Psy.D., Adler School of Professional Psychology].
- *Flood, M., Hayden, J. C., Gavin, B., & McNicholas, F. (2019). A qualitative study exploring the decision of parents to use medication in attention-deficit

hyperactivity disorder. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 15(9), 1095–1101.

- *Frankenberger, W., Lozar, B., & Dallas, P. (1990). The use of stimulant medication to treat Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD) in elementary school children. *Developmental Disabilities Bulletin*, 18(1), 1-13.
- *Frigerio, A., Montali, L., & Marzocchi, G. M. (2014). Italian Teachers' Knowledge and Perception of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). *International Journal of School & Educational Psychology*, 2(2), 126–136.
- *Fuller, W. S. (2015). *Teacher knowledge of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder* (*ADHD*) and teacher perceived effectiveness [Ph.D., Capella University].
- *Garcia, M. J. (2009). Teacher knowledge of attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and effective classroom interventions [M.S.W., California State University, Long Beach].
- Gerdes, A. C., Lawton, K. E., Haack, L. M., & Hurtado, G., D. (2013). Assessing ADHD in Latino families: evidence for moving beyond symptomology. *Journal of Attention Disoders*, 17(2), 128-140.
- *Gerdes, A. C., Malkoff, A., Kapke, T. L., & Grace, M. (2020). Parental ADHD Knowledge in Latinx Families: Gender Differences and Treatment Effects. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 1087054720951853.

*Germayne, K. (1994). A study to determine the effects of the distribution of informational facts concerning attention deficit/hyperactivity disordered (ADHD) children on teachers' knowledge and attitudes towards these students [Ed.D., Wayne State University].

- *Ghanizadeh, A. (2007). Educating and counseling of parents of children with attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *68*(1), 23–28.
- *Ghanizadeh, A., Bahredar, M. J., & Moeini, S. R. (2006). Knowledge and attitudes towards attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among elementary school teachers. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 63(1), 84–88.
- *Giannopoulou, I., Korkoliakou, P., Pasalari, E., & Douzenis, A. (2017). Greek teachers' knowledge about attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Psychiatriki*, *28*(3).
- *Gilmore, L. (2010). Community knowledge and beliefs about ADHD. *The Educational* and Developmental Psychologist, 27(1), 20-30.
- Goffer, A., Cohen, M., & Maeir, A. (2020). Occupational experiences of college students with ADHD: A qualitative study. *Scandinavian Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 1-12.
- Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., McMahon, C. (in review). Autism knowledge: Critical issues in the literature and recommendations for selecting and reporting on assessments. *Autism*.
- *Graczyk, P. A., Atkins, M. S., Jackson, M. M., Letendre, J. A., Kim-Cohen, J., Baumann, B. L., & McCoy, J. (2005). Urban Educators' Perceptions of Interventions for Students With Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Preliminary Investigation. *Behavioral Disorders*, 30(2), 95–104.
- *Graeper, K. D. (2011). ADHD in-service training: An examination of knowledge, efficacy, stress, teaching behavior, and irrational thoughts [Psy.D., St. John's University (New York)].

- *Greenway, C. W., & Rees Edwards, A. (2020). Knowledge and attitudes towards attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A comparison of teachers and teaching assistants. *Australian Journal of Learning Difficulties*, 25(1), 31–49.
- Grygiel, P., Humenny, G., Rębisz, S., Bajcar, E., & Świtaj, P. (2018). Peer rejection and perceived quality of relations with schoolmates among children with ADHD.
 Journal of attention disorders, 22(8), 738-751.
- *Grynkewich, M. A. U. (1996). Elementary and secondary preservice educators' attitudes and knowledge about attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. [Ph.D., The University of Arizona.]
- *Guerra, F. R., Jr., & Brown, M. S. (2012). Teacher Knowledge of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder among Middle School Students in South Texas. *RMLE Online: Research in Middle Level Education*, 36(3).
- *Guerra, F., Tiwari, A., Das, A., Vela, J. C., & Sharma, M. (2017). Examining teachers' understanding of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 17(4), 247–256.
- Harris, P.A., Taylor, R., Minor, B.L., Elliott, V., Fernandez, M., O'Neal, L., McLeod, L., Delacqua, G., Delacqua., F., Kirby, J., & Duda, S.N. (2019). The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software partners. *Journal of Biomedical Information*.
- Harrison, A. J., Slane, M. M., Hoang, L., & Campbell, J. M. (2017). An international review of autism knowledge assessment measures. *Autism*, *21*(3), 262-275.
- *Harrison, C., & Sofronoff, K. (2002). ADHD and parental psychological distress: Role of demographics, child behavioral characteristics, and parental

cognitions. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 41(6), 703-711.

*Hepp, S. L. (2009). *A psychometric examination of the knowledge of ADHD scale* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Saskatchewan).

*Hepperlen, T. M., Clay, D. L., Henly, G. A., Barké, C. R., Hehperlen, T. M., & Clay, D. L. (2002). Measuring teacher attitudes and expectations toward students with ADHD: Development of the Test of Knowledge About ADHD (KADD). *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 5(3), 133–142.

- *Herbert, J. D., Crittenden, K., & Dalrymple, K. L. (2004). Knowledge of social anxiety disorder relative to attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among educational professionals. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 33(2), 366-372.
- *Hirvikoski, T., Lindström, T., Carlsson, J., Waaler, E., Jokinen, J., & Bölte, S. (2017).
 Psychoeducational groups for adults with ADHD and their significant others
 (PEGASUS): A pragmatic multicenter and randomized controlled trial. *European Psychiatry*, 44, 141–152.
- *Hofer, S. B. (2010). Self-perceived and actual knowledge of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A comparison between graduate students in medicine, mental health, and education [Ph.D., University of Houston].
- Holdaway, A., Egan, T., Mixon, C., Serrano, V., Owens, J., Evans, S., Coles, E., & Kerwin, S. (2014). Factors associated with teacher self-report of instructional and classroom management techniques. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Disorders, 26*(3), 156-169.

- Jarrett, M. A., & Ollendick, T. H. (2008). Treatment of comorbid attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and anxiety in children: A multiple baseline design analysis. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 80(2), 239–244.
- *Jawaid, A., Zafar, A. M., Naveed, A., Sheikh, S., Waheed, S., Zafar, M. A., ... & Fatmi, Z. (2008). Knowledge of primary paediatric care providers regarding attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and learning disorder: a study from Pakistan. *Singapore Medical Journal, 49*(12), 985.
- *Jerome, L., Gordon, M., & Hustler, P. (1994). A Comparison of American and Canadian Teachers' Knowledge and Attitudes towards Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (Adhd). *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 39(9), 563–567.
- *Jimoh, M. (2014). Knowledge and Attitudes towards Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder among Primary School Teachers in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Advances in Life Science and Technology*, 23(0), 7–15.
- *Johnston, C., Weiss, M., Murray, C., & Miller, N. (2011). The effects of instructions on mothers' ratings of child Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder symptoms. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 39(8), 1099–1110.
- *Jones, H. A., & Chronis-Tuscano, A. (2008). Efficacy of teacher in-service training for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Psychology in the Schools*, 45(10), 918– 929.
- *Kalafut, C. M. (2012). Examining the knowledge of ADHD diagnostic criteria and ADHD imitators among teachers and medical professionals [Psy.D., Fairleigh Dickinson University].

- *Kaufman, K. G. (2003). Parental satisfaction with evaluations for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder [Ph.D., The George Washington University].
- *Khademi, M., Rajeziesfahani, S., Noorbakhsh, S., Panaghi, L., Davari-Ashtiani, R., Razjouyan, K., & Salamatbakhsh, N. (2016). Knowledge and attitude of primary school teachers in Tehran/Iran towards ADHD and SLD. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 8(12), 141-149.
- *Kikas, E., & Timoštšuk, I. (2016). Student teachers' knowledge about children with ADHD and depression and its relations to emotions. *Emotional & Behavioural Difficulties*, *21*(2), 190–204.
- *Klein, D. A. (2001). The relation between elementary school teachers' understanding of attention deficit -hyperactivity disorder and teaching stress [Psy.D., The Chicago School of Professional Psychology].
- *Kleynhans, S. E. (2005). Primary school teachers' knowledge and misperceptions of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) [Thesis, Stellenbosch : University of Stellenbosch].
- *Kos, J. M., Richdale, A. L., & Jackson, M. S. (2004). Knowledge about Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A comparison of in-service and preservice teachers. *Psychology in the Schools, 41*(5), 517-526.
- Kosyluk, K. A., Al-Khouja, M., Bink, A., Buchholz, B., Ellefson, S., Fokuo, K., ... & Corrigan, P. W. (2016). Challenging the stigma of mental illness among college students. *Journal of adolescent health*, 59(3), 325-331.
- *Kowalczyk, M. J. (2013). *Categorization of information and decision-making: A developmental perspective* [Ph.D., Fordham University].

- *Krowski, K. F. (2009). *ADHD: Urban teachers' knowledge, beliefs and classroom practice* [Ed.D., University of Massachusetts Boston].
- *Lasisi, D., Ani, C., Lasebikan, V., Sheikh, L., & Omigbodun, O. (2017). Effect of attention-deficit-hyperactivity-disorder training program on the knowledge and attitudes of primary school teachers in Kaduna, North West Nigeria. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatryand Mental Health*, 11.
- *Latouche, A. P., & Gascoigne, M. (2019). In-Service Training for Increasing Teachers' ADHD Knowledge and Self-Efficacy. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 23(3), 270– 281.
- *Lee, J. Y. (2014). Predictors of teachers' intention to refer students with ADHD to mental health professionals: Comparison of US and South Korea. *School Psychology Quarterly, 29*(4), 385.
- *Lee, K. N. M. (2015). Primary school teacher knowledge of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Hong Kong [Psy.D., Alliant International University].
- *Lee, Y., Lammers, H. S., & Witruk, E. (2015). Knowledge of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A comparison of pre-service teachers in primary and special education in Germany. *Health Psychology Report*, *3*(3), 237–245.
- *Liang, L., & Gao, X. (2016). Pre-service and in-service secondary school teachers' knowledge about Attention-deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and attitudes toward students with ADHD. *International Journal of Disability, Development* and Education, 63(3), 369–383.

- *Liesveld, J. (2007). *Teachers' knowledge, beliefs, and values about children with ADHD* [Ph.D., The University of New Mexico].
- *Losapio, G. (2010). *Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Treatment methods and parental perceptions* [Psy.D., St. John's University (New York)].
- *Macey, K. D. (2006). Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Teacher knowledge and referral for assessment (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A&M University).
- *MacKay, L., & Corkum, P. (2006). Evaluation of a Demystification Workshop for Children with ADHD. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 18(3), 319–332.
- *Massey-Harvell, L. (2017). Modifying the Educational Experience for Students with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A Single-Embedded Case Study [Ed.D., Northcentral University].
- McClain, M. B., Golson, M. E., Haverkamp, C. R., Ryan, T., Harris, B., McMahon, C., Campbell, J. M., Ha, J., Bakner, K., Bera, J. (in review). Autism knowledge publication trends.
- *Merritt, P. H. (2017). How Does a Teacher's Level of Knowledge of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Impact a Teacher's Efficacy in Student Engagement, Instructional Practices, and Classroom Management [Ed.D., Gardner-Webb University].
- *Mohr-Jensen, C., Steen-Jensen, T., Bang-Schnack, M., & Thingvad, H. (2019). What Do Primary and Secondary School Teachers Know About ADHD in Children? Findings From a Systematic Review and a Representative, Nationwide Sample of Danish Teachers. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 23(3), 206–219.

- *Montoya, A., Hernández, S., Massana, M. P., Herreros, O., Garcia-Giral, M., Cardo, E., Diez, J. A. A., Soutullo, C., Fernández-Jaén, A., & Fuentes, J. (2013). Evaluating Internet information on attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) treatment: Parent and expert perspectives. *Education for Health: Change in Learning & Practice*, *26*(1), 48–53.
- Morgan, P. L., Hillmeier, M. M., Farkas, G., & Maczuga, G. (2014). Racial/ethnic disparities in ADHD diagnosis by kindergarten entry. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 55(8), 905-913. doi: 10.1111/jcpp.12204
- *Mukherjee, S., Shah, H. R., Ramanathan, S., & Dewan, M. (2016). Knowledge and Attitudes About Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Specific Learning Disorder in an Urban Indian Population: The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 204(6), 458–463.
- *Mulholland, S. M., Cumming, T. M., & Jung, J. Y. (2015). Teacher Attitudes Towards Students Who Exhibit ADHD-Type Behaviours. Australasian Journal of Special Education, 39(1), 15–36.
- *Munshi, A. M. A. (2014). Knowledge and misperceptions towards diagnosis and management of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) among primary school and kindergarten female teachers in Al-Rusaifah district, Makkah city, Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health, 3(4), 444-51.
- *Nasewicz, N. (2009). Recognizing, differentiating, and referring students with absence seizures: What factors affect preservice teachers' decision making? [Ph.D., University of Florida].

- Nigg, J. T., & Barkley, R. A. (2014). Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. *In Child Psychopathology (3rd ed., pp. 75–144).* New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- *Niznik, M. E. (2004). An exploratory study of the implementation and teacher outcomes of a program to train elementary educators about ADHD in the schools [Ph.D., The University of Texas at Austin].
- *Nowaiser, A., Elkhodary, H., Meligy, O., Shinawi, L., Asiri, E., & Aldosari, S. (2017). Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Knowledge and Perception of Dental Care Providers. *Oral Health and Dental Management*, 16, 1–7.
- *O'Connor, E. P. (2000). Self -help and consultation procedures for the treatment of children with attention deficit /hyperactivity disorder: An evaluation of parent mediated interventions [Ph.D., The University of Wisconsin Madison].
- *Odom, S. E. (1996). Effects of an Educational Intervention on Mothers of Male Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. *Journal of Community Health Nursing*, *13*(4), 207–220.
- *Ohan, J. L., Link to external site, this link will open in a new window, Cormier, N., Hepp, S. L., Visser, T. A. W., Link to external site, this link will open in a new window, & Strain, M. C. (2008). Does knowledge about attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder impact teachers' reported behaviors and perceptions? *School Psychology Quarterly*, 23(3), 436–449.
- *Õim, A. (2004). Teachers' knowledge and misconceptions concerning attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder, learning disabilities and childhood depression. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Tartu, Estonia.

- *Ojionuka, A. N. (2016). Nigerian Educators' Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Knowledge and Classroom Behavior Management Practices [Ph.D., Walden University].
- *Padilla, A. M., Cuartas, D. B., Henao, L. F. D., Arroyo, E. A. B., Fló, J. E. S., & rez. (2018). Conocimientos sobre TDAH de los docentes de primaria de colegios publicos de Sabaneta, Antioquia. *Revista Colombiana de Psiquiatriacutea*, 47(3), 165–165.
- Park, S., Lee, Y., Lee, E. S., & Kim, C. E. (2018). Public recognition of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in Korea: Correct identification, causes, treatments, and social distance. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 38, 3-8.
- *Pentecost, D., & Wood, N. (2002). RESEARCH NOTE: Knowledge and Perceptions of Child-Care Social Workers about ADHD. *The British Journal of Social Work*, 32(7), 931–943.
- Perle, J. G., & Vasilevskis, G. (2021). Psychologists' evidence-informed knowledge of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): Evaluating the domains of informational strength and areas for improvement. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 52*(3), 213-225.
- *Perold, M., Louw, C., & Kleynhans, S. (2010). Primary school teachers' knowledge and misperceptions of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). South African Journal of Education, 30(3), 457–473.
- *Persaud, D. S. (2019). Portuguese-American Parents' Knowledge of Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder (ADHD) [Ph.D., Walden University].

- Pescosolido, B. A., Jensen, P. S., Martin, J. K., Perry, B. L., Olafsdottir, S., & Fettes, D. (2008). Public knowledge and assessment of child mental health problems:
 Findings from the National Stigma Study-Children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 47(3), 339-349.
- *Piccolo-Torsky, J., & Waishwell, L. (1998). Teachers' Knowledge and Attitudes Regarding Attention Deficit Disorder. ERS Spectrum, 16(1), 36-40.
- *Pindiprolu, S. S. (2014). Preservice School Personnel's Knowledge of Stimulant Medication and ADHD. Journal on Educational Psychology, 8(1), 19-25.

Population Reference Bureau. (2021). https://www.prb.org/collections/data-sheets/

- *Power, T. J., Hess, L. E., & Bennett, D. S. (1995). The acceptability of interventions for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder among elementary and middle school teachers. Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 16(4), 238-243.
- *Poznanski, B., Hart, K. C., & Cramer, E. (2018). Are Teachers Ready? Preservice Teacher Knowledge of Classroom Management and ADHD. *School Mental Health*, 10(3), 301–313.
- *Poznanski, B., Hart, K. C., & Graziano, P. A. (2020). What Do Preschool Teachers Know About Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Does It Impact Ratings of Child Impairment? *School Mental Health*.
- *Qashqari, H. F., Alsulami, A. O., Kamal, E. K., & Jan, M. M. S. (2017). ADHD Awareness Among Medical Students. 4(3), 4.
- *Ramos, N. (2008). *Hispanic teachers' perceptions of children with ADHD* [Ph.D., Texas A&M University].

- *Rodrigo, M. D. A., Perera, D., Eranga, V. P., Williams, S. S., & Kuruppuarachchi, K. (2011). The knowledge and attitude of primary school teachers in Sri Lanka towards childhood attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Ceylon Medical Journal*, 56(2), 51–54.
- *Rostain, A. L., Power, T. J., & Atkins, M. S. (1993). Assessing Parents' Willingness to Pursue Treatment for Children with Attention-deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 32(1), 175– 181.
- *Safaan, N. A., El-Nagar, S. A., & Saleh, A. G. (2017). Teachers' Knowledge about Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder among Primary School Children. *American Journal of Nursing Research*, 5(2), 42–52.
- Salt, N., Parkes, E., & Scammell, A. (2005). GPs' perceptions of the management of ADHD in primary care: a study of Wandsworth GPs. *Primary Health Care Research & Development, 6*(2), 162-171.
- *Sarraf, N., Karahmadi, M., Marasy, M. R., & Azhar, S. M. M. (2011). A comparative study of the effectiveness of nonattendance and workshop education of primary school teachers on their knowledge, attitude and function towards ADHD students in Isfahan in 2010. *Journal of Research in Medical Sciences : The Official Journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences*, 16(9), 1196–1201.
- *Schachter, D., Tharmalingam, S., & Kleinman, I. (2011). Informed consent and stimulant medication: Adolescents' and parents' ability to understand information about benefits and risks of stimulant medication for the treatment of attention-

deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology, 21(2), 139–149.

- *Sciutto, M. J., Terjesen, M. D., Kučerová, A., Michalová, Z., Schmiedeler, S., Antonopoulou, K., ... & Rossouw, J. (2016). Cross-national comparisons of teachers' knowledge and misconceptions of ADHD. *International Perspectives in Psychology*, 5(1), 34-50.
- *Sciutto, M. J. (2015). ADHD Knowledge, Misconceptions, and Treatment Acceptability. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, *19*(2), 91–98.
- *Sciutto, M. J., Terjesen, M. D., & Frank, A. S. B. (2000). Teachers' knowledge and misperceptions of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Psychology in the Schools*, 37(2), 115-122.
- *Seabi, J. (2010). Foundation Phase Educators' Perceptions of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder at a Mainstream Primary School. South African Journal of Higher Education, 24(4), 616–629.
- *Shahwan, M., Suliman, A., Jairoun, A., Alkhoujah, S., Mohammed, H., & Abdullah, H. (2020). Attention-deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Knowledge and Perception of Dental Care Providers at Ajman. *Journal of Pharmacy & Bioallied Sciences*, *12*(1), 16–21.
- *Shapiro, E. S., DuPaul, G. J., Bradley, K. L., & Bailey, L. T. (1996). A school-based consultation program for service delivery to middle school students with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 4(2), 73–81.

- Sherman, J., Rasmussen, C., & Baydala, L. (2008). The impact of teacher factors on achievement and behavioural outcomes of children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A review of the literature. *Educational research*, 50(4), 347-360.
- *Shetty, A., & Rai, B. S. (2014). Awareness and knowledge of attention deficit hyperactivity disorders among primary school teachers in India. International Journal of Current Research and Review, 6(9), 30.
- *Shroff, H. P., Hardikar-Sawant, S., & Prabhudesai, A. D. (2017). Knowledge and misperceptions about Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) among school teachers in Mumbai, India. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 64(5), 514–525.
- *Small. (2003). Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: General Education Elementary School Teachers' Knowledge, Training, and Ratings of Acceptability of Interventions. [Ed.S. at University of South Florida].
- *Snider, V. E., Busch, T., & Arrowood, L. (2003). Teacher Knowledge of Stimulant Medication and ADHD. *Remedial and Special Education*, *24*(1), 47–57.
- *Soroa. (2012). Evaluation of the level of knowledge of infant and primary school teachers with respect to the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Content validity of a newly created questionnaire.
- *Soroa, M., Balluerka, N., & Gorostiaga, A. (2014). Development and validation of a questionnaire (the IRA-AGHN) to assess teachers' knowledge of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. *Anales de Psicología*, 30(3), 1035–1043.

- *Soroa, M., Balluerka, N., & Gorostiaga, A. (2014). Measuring teachers' knowledge of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: The MAE-TDAH Questionnaire. *The Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 17.
- *Soroa, M., Gorostiaga, A., & Balluerka, N. (2016). Teachers' Knowledge of ADHD: Relevance of Training and Individual Perceptions // Conocimiento de los maestros sobre el TDAH: Relevancia de la formación y de las percepciones individuales. *Revista de Psicodidáctica*, 21(2), Article 2.
- *Stampoltzis, A., & Antonopoulou, K. (2013). Knowledge and Misconceptions About Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): A Comparison of Greek General and Special Education Teachers. *International Journal of School & Educational Psychology*, 1(2), 122–130.
- *Steiner, K. (2014). The Impact of ADHD Knowledge and Empathy on the Quality of Sibling Relations Involving a Young Adult with ADHD [Psy.D., Alliant International University].
- *Stormont, M., & Stebbins, M. S. (2005). Preschool Teachers' Knowledge, Opinions, and Educational Experiences with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 28(1), 52–61.
- *Stroh, J., Frankenberger, W., Cornell-Swanson, L. V., Wood, C., & Pahl, S. (2008). The Use of Stimulant Medication and Behavioral Interventions for the Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Survey of Parents' Knowledge, Attitudes, and Experiences. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 17(3), 385–401.

- *Syed, E. U., & Hussein, S. A. (2010). Increase in Teachers' Knowledge About ADHD After a Week-Long Training Program: A Pilot Study. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 13(4), 420–423.
- Taylor, L. E., & Antshel, K. M. (2021). Factors associated with parental treatment attitudes and information-seeking behaviors for childhood ADHD. *Journal of attention disorders*, 25(4), 607-617.
- *Taylor, L. A., Climie, E. A., & Yue, M. W.-Y. (2020). The role of parental stress and knowledge of condition on incidences of bullying and ostracism among children with ADHD. *Children's Health Care*, 49(1), 20–39.
- *Topkin, B., & Roman, N. V. (2015). Attention Deficit Disorder (ADHD): Primary school teachers' knowledge of symptoms, treatment and managing classroom behaviour. *South African Journal of Education*, 35(2), 988-988.
- *Toye, M. K., Wilson, C., & Wardle, G. A. (2019). Education professionals' attitudes towards the inclusion of children with ADHD: The role of knowledge and stigma. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 19(3), 184–196.
- United States Census. (2021). https://www.census.gov/programs-survey/decennialcensus/decade/2020/2020-census-results.html
- *Venter, A., van der Linde, G., du Plessis, J., & Joubert, G. (2004). A comparison between South African psychiatrists' and paediatricians' knowledge, attitudes and current practices regarding the management of children with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Mental Health*, *16*(1), 11–18.

- *Vereb, R. L., & DiPerna, J. C. (2004). Research Brief: Teachers' Knowledge of ADHD, Treatments for ADHD, and Treatment Acceptability: An Initial Investigation. *School Psychology Review*, 33(3), 421–428.
- Walters, A. (2018). Girls with ADHD: Underdiagnosed and untreated. *The Brown* University Child and Adolescent Behavior Letter, 34(11), 8-8.

*Ward, V. A. (2014). What Knowledge and Conceptions Do Irish Primary Schoolteachers Hold on Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder? Irish Educational Studies, 33(4), 489–512.

- *Webb, M. (2017). The impact of teacher education in ADHD and ODD on perceived efficacy, proposed interventions, and behavior attributions [Psy.D., Indiana University of Pennsylvania].
- *West, J., Taylor, M., Houghton, S., & Hudyma, S. (2005). A Comparison of Teachers' and Parents' Knowledge and Beliefs About Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder(ADHD). School Psychology International, 26(2), 192–208.
- *Weyandt, L. L., Fulton, K. M., Schepman, S. B., Verdi, G. R., & Wilson, K. G. (2009). Assessment of teacher and school psychologist knowledge of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. Psychology in the Schools, 46(10), 951–961.
- *White, S. W., Sukhodolsky, D. G., Rains, A. L., Foster, D., McGuire, J. F., & Scahill, L. (2011). Elementary school teachers' knowledge of tourette syndrome, obsessivecompulsive disorder, & attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: effects of teacher training. Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 23(1), 5-14.

- *Youssef, M. K., Hutchinson, G., & Youssef, F. F. (2015). Knowledge of and attitudes toward ADHD among teachers: Insights from a Caribbean nation. *Sage Open*, 5(1), 2158244014566761.
- *Zima, B. T., Bussing, R., Tang, L., & Zhang, L. (2013). Do Parent Perceptions Predict Continuity of Publicly Funded Care for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder? *Pediatrics*, 131(Supplement 1), S50–S59.

Study	Country	N	Population	Measure	Reported Reliability	Reported Validity
Abed (2014)	Saudi Arabia	54	General education teachers	Knowledge about Attention Deficit Disorder Questionnaire (KADD-Q; West et al., 2005)		
Adamis (2019)	Ireland	140	Medical Doctors	Unnamed (Adamis et al., 2019)		
Aguiar (2014)	Brazil	37	General education teachers	Unnamed (Aguiar et al 2014)		
Akram (2009)	Scotland	68	General education teachers, preservice teachers	Unnamed (Akram, 2009)		Face, content
Al-Ahmari (2018)	Saudi Arabia	340	Medical doctors	Unnamed (Al-Ahmari et al 2018)	Internal consistency	Face, content
Alfageer (2018)	Saudi Arabia	141	General education teachers	Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale (KADDS)	Internal consistency	
Al-Moghamsi (2018)	Saudi Arabia	416	General education teachers, special education teachers, school staff	KADDS		
Al-Omari (2015)	Jordan	130	General education teachers	Unnamed (Ghanizadeh & Zarei, 2010)	Test-retest	Face
Alkahtani (2013)	Saudi Arabia	429	General education teachers, special education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	
Alshehri (2020)	Saudi Arabia	100	General education teachers	Unnamed (Awadalla et al., 2016)	Internal consistency	Content
Alsuhaibani (2020)	Saudi Arabia	224	Medical residents	Unnamed (Qashqari et al., 2017)		
Anastopoulos (2015)	USA	43	People with ADHD	Test of ADHD Knowledge		
Anderson (2012)	Australia	454	General education teachers, preservice teachers	Unnamed (Anderson et al 2012)	Internal consistency	
Andrews (1994)	USA	46	Parents	ADHD, What Do You Know and What Can You Do?	Internal consistency	Content

 Each
 Each

 Selected descriptive information regarding included articles

Badeleh	Iran	245	General education teachers	Unnamed (Badeleh, 2013)		Content
Bai (2015)	China	89	Parents	Unnamed (Bai et al., 2015)		
Barbaresi (1998)	USA	44	General education teachers	Unnamed (Barbaresi and Olsen, 1998)		
Barnett (2017)	USA	60	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	Criterion
Baudinette (2010) Beirne (2013) Bekle (2004)	United Kingdom Ireland	225 91	Medical professionals Psychiatrists General education	Unnamed (Baudinette, 2010) Survey on Adult ADHD		Face
	Australia	70	teachers, preservice teachers	Unnamed (Bekle, 2004)		
Bender (1996)	USA	56	Preservice teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	Criterion, content
Bennett (1996)	USA	150	Parents	ADHD Knowledge and Opinion Scale-Revised (AKOS-R)	Internal consistency, test- retest	
Blotnicky-Gallant (2015)	Canada	113	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	
Bounger (2020)	USA	17	teachers, special education teachers	Unnamed (Kos et al., 2004)		Face, content
Booksh (1995)	USA	110	College students	Unnamed (Booksh, 1995)		
Booksh (2005) Bradley-Klug (1997)	USA	110	College students General education teachers, school	Unnamed (Booksh, 2005)		
	USA	169	administrative stari, guidance counselors, school psychologists, school nurses, special education teachers	Unnamed (Anastopoulos et al., 1992)		
Bradshaw (2013)	Qatar	233	General education teachers	KADDS		
Bramham (2009)	Great Britain	61	People with ADHD	Unnamed (Bramham et al., 2009)		
Brook (2000)	Israel	46	General education teachers	Unnamed (Brook et al, 2000)		Face
Brook (2001)	Israel	104	Peers	Unnamed (Brook & Geva, 2001)		Face, content

Bussing (1998)	USA	499	Parents	Unnamed (Bussing et al., 1998)	Internal consistency, test- retest	Criterion
Bussing (1998)	USA	127	Parents	Unnamed (Bussing et al., 1998)	Inter-rater, test- retest	Content
Bussing (2007)	USA	1615	Parents	Unnamed (Bussing et al. 1998)	Internal consistency, inter-rater, test- retest	
Bussing (2012)	USA	374	People with ADHD, peers	Unnamed (Bussing et al., 1998)		
Canu (2021)	USA	911	Preservice teachers, college students	Knowledge and Beliefs Questionnaire (Kos et al., 2004)	Internal consistency	Content
Capizzi (2018)	USA	179	General education teachers	Test of Knowledge About ADHD	Internal consistency	
Carpenter (1995) Climie (2018)	USA	8	People with ADHD	Unnamed (Carpenter, 1995) KADDS, Children ADHD		
	Canada	29	People with ADHD, parents	Knowledge and Opinions Scale (MacKay & Corkum, 2006)		
Coles (2015)	USA	13	General education teacher	Unnamed (Mixon et al., 2014)		Criterion, content
Corkum (1999)	Canada	81	Parents	ADHD Knowledge and Opinion Scale (AKOS)		
Cormier (2004)	USA	3	Parents	Test of ADHD Knowledge	Test-retest	
Coronado (2013)	USA	353	General education teachers	Unnamed (Kos et al., 2004)	Internal consistency	Face, content
Currier (2004)	USA	48	Parents	AKOS-R		
deOliveira (2018)	Brazil	241	College students	Unnamed (de Oliveira et al., 2018)		Content
Diaz (2015)	USA	43	Social workers	The ADHD Belief and Attitude Scale		
Dielmann (2006)	USA	81	General education teachers	Questionnaire of Knowledge and Management Procedures (QKMP) (modified from Miranda, Presentacion, & Soriano, 2002)	Internal consistency	Face

Dilaimi (2013)	New Zealand	84	General education teachers, special education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency, test- retest reliability	Criterion, content
Doak (2003)	USA	159	General education teachers	Unnamed (Jerome et al., 1994)		
Doucet (2013)	USA	20	General education teachers	Unnamed (Doucet, 2012)		
Egan (2019)	USA	230	General education teachers	KADDS		
Eng (2007)	USA	47	General education teachers	Knowledge of ADHD Rating Evaluation (KARE).	Internal consistency, test- retest	
Ervington (2013)	USA	52	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	
Flood (2019)	Ireland	10	Parents	Unnamed (Flood et al., 2019)		
Frankenberger (2001)	USA	258	School psychologists	Unnamed (Frankenberger et al, 2001)		Face
Frigerio (2014)	Italy	579	General education teachers	ADHD Knowledge Scale		Content
Fuller (2015)	USA	349	General education teachers, special education teachers	Knowledge of ADHD Rating Evaluation (KARE) (Vereb & DiPerna, 2004)	Internal consistency	Face, content
Garcia (2009)	USA	32	General education teachers	(Modified) Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale (Garcia, 2009)	Internal consistency	
Gerdes (2020)	58	USA	Parents	ADHD Knowledge Measure		
Germayne (1994)	USA	91	General education teachers	Unnamed (Germayne, 1994)		Face
Ghanizadeh (2006)	Iran	196	General education teachers	Unnamed (Ghanizadeh et al., 2006)	Test-retest	Face
Ghanizadeh (2007)	Iran	119	Parents	Unnamed (Ghanizadeh et al., 2006)		
Giannopoulou (2017)	Greece	143	General education teachers	ADHD Knowledge Questionnaire	Internal consistency	Convergent
Gilmore (2010) Graczyk (2005)	Australia	645	Parents General education teacher_school	Unnamed (Gilmore, 2010)		Content
	USA	428	psychologists, school social workers, school counselors	AKOS-R	Test-retest	Criterion
Graeper (2011)	USA	35	General education teachers, special education teachers, teaching assistants	KADDS	Internal consistency, test- retest	Criterion
--------------------------------	-------------------------	------------	---	--	--	--------------------------------------
Greenway (2020)	United Kingdom	322	General education teachers, paraprofessionals	ADHD-Specific Knowledge Scale	Internal consistency	Construct
Grynkewich (1996)	USA	115	Preservice teachers	Unnamed (Grynkewich, 1996)	Internal consistency	Face
Guerra (2012)	USA	107	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	Face, criterion, content
Guerra (2017)	USA	173	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency, test- retest	Criterion, content
Harrison (2002)	Australia	100	Parents	Test of ADHD Knowledge	Internal consistency, test- retest	
Hepp (2009)	Canada	169	General education teachers, preservice teachers	modified Knowledge of ADHD Scale (ADHD (Jerome et al., 1994), KADDS	Internal consistency	Face, convergent, discriminant
Hepperlen (2002)	USA	103	General education teachers	The Test of Knowledge About ADHD	Internal consistency	
Herbert (2004)	USA	51	General education teachers, school psychologists, school counselors	KADDS	Internal consistency	Content
Hirvikoski (2017)	Sweden	179	People with ADHD, significant others of people with ADHD	Unnamed (Hirvikoski et al., 2017)		
Hofer (2010)	USA	79	Graduate students, psychologists, school psychologists, medical doctors, other medical	KADDS	Internal consistency	Criterion
Jawaid (2006) Jerome (1994)	Pakistan USA, Canada	194 439	Medical doctors General education teachers	Unnamed (Jawaid et al, 2008) Unnamed (Gordon et al., 1991)		Face

Jimoh (2014)	Nigeria	250	General education teachers	Unnamed (Jimoh, 2014)	Test-retest		
Johnston (2011)	Canada	100	Parents	Unnnamed (Johnston et al., 2011)	Interrater		
Jones (2008)	USA	142	General education teachers	Unnamed (Jones & Chronis- Tuscano, 2008)	Internal consistency	Content	
Kalafut (2012)	USA	54	General education teachers, medical doctors, special education teachers	Assessments of ADHD Diagnostics and Imitators Scale	Internal consistency	Face, content	
Kaufman (2004)	USA	44	Parents	Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Knowledge and Opinion Survey - III (AKOS-III, Bennett et al., 1996).	Internal consistency, test- retest		
Khademi (2016)	Iran	205	General education teachers	Unnamed (Khademi et al, 2016)	Internal consistency, test- retest	Face	
Kikas (2016)	Estonia	186	Preservice teachers	Unnamed (Kikas et al., 2016)			
Klein (2001)	USA	60	General education teachers	AKOS	Test-retest		
Kleynhans (2005)	South Africa	552	General education teachers	Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale (modified by Kleynhans, 2005)	Internal consistency	Face, content	
Kowalczyk (2013) Krowski (2009)	USa	115	General population General education	Unnamed (Kowalczyk, 2013)	 Internal		
1110 ((blin (2007))	USA	119	teachers, special	KADDS	consistency, test-	Face, content	
Lasisi (2017)	Nigeria	84	General education teachers	Self-Report ADHD Questionnaire	Internal consistency		
LaTouche (2019)	Australia	274	General education teachers, special education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	Criterion	
Lee (2014)	USA, South Korea	379	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency, test- retest	Convergent, criterion	
Lee (2015)	Germany	235	Preservice teachers	Modified Kos (2004)	Internal consistency		62

Lee (2015)a	Hong Kong	50	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	
Liang (2016)	Hong Kong	99	General education teachers, preservice teachers	Unnamed (Liang et al, 2016)		
Liesveld (2007)	USA	133	General education teachers, special education teachers	KADDS	Internal Consistency, test-retest	Face, convergent, criterion, construct
Losapio (2010)	USA	206	Parents	KADDS	Internal consistency, test retest	
Macey (2005)	USA	73	General education teachers	Unnamed (Macey, 2005)	Internal consistency	Content
MacKay (2006)	Canada	25	People with ADHD	Children's ADHD Knowledge & Opinions Questionnaire		
Massey-Harvell (2017)	USA	33	General education teachers, special education teachers	Unnamed (Massey-Harvell, 2017)		Face
Merritt (2017)	USA	123	General education teachers	KADDS		
Mohr-Jensen (2019)	Denmark	528	General education teachers, special education teachers	Unnamed (Mohr-Jenson et al., 2019)	Internal consistency	Face, content
Montoya (2013)	Spain	51	Parents, psychiatrists, other medical professionals	ADHD-Knowledge and Motivation for Treatment		
Mukherjee (2016)	India	120	People with ADHD, children with SLD	Unnamed (Mukherjee, 2016)	Test-retest	Content
Mulholland (2015)	Australia	116	General education teachers	Unnamed (Mulholland, 2015)		
Munshi (2014)	Saudi Arabia	130	General education teachers	Unnamed (Munshi, 2014)		Face
Naseqicz (2009)	USA	100	Preservice teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency, test retest	
Niznik (2004)	USA	47	General education teachers	Educator ADHD Knowledge Form	Internal consistency	
Nowaiser (2017)	Saudi Arabia	190	Dentists	Unnamed (Nowaiser, 2017)		Face

O'Connor (2000) Odum (1996)	USA USA	4 20	Parents Parents	Unnnamed (Andrews, 1995) AKOS		
Ohan (2008)	Australia	140	General education teachers	ADHD Knowledge Scale		
Oim (2004)	Norway, Estonia	376	General education teachers	Unnamed (Oim, 2004)	Internal consistency	Content
Okionuka (2016)	Nigeria	975	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency, test retest	Content
Padilla (2018)	Columbia	62	General education teachers	KADDS, Spanish edition		
Pentecost (2002)	Great Britain	174	Social workers	Unnamed (Pentecost & Wood, 2004)		
Perold (2010)	South Africa	552	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal Consistency	Content
Persaud (2019)	USA	10	Parents	Unnamed (Persaud, 2019)		
Piccolo-Torsky (1998)	USA	154	General education teachers	Unnamed (Jerome, Gordon, and Hustler 1994)		Content
Pindiprolu (2014)	USA	76	Preservice teachers, school psychologists in training, speech and language pathologists	Unnamed (Snider et al., 2003)		
Power (1995)	USA	147	General education teachers	ADHD Knowledge Scale		
Poznanski (2018)	USA	107	Preservice teachers	Knowledge and Opinions of ADHD (KOAD)	Internal consistency	Construct, content
Poznanski (2020)	USA	107	General education teachers	KOAD	Internal consistency	
Qashqari (2017)	Saudi Arabia	111	Medical doctors in training, other medical professionals in training	Unnamed (Qashqari et al 2017)		
Ramos (2009)	USA	133	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	
Rodrigo (2011)	Sri Lanka	202	General education teachers	Unnamed (Rodrigo et al., 2011)		Face
Rostain (1993)	USA	116	Parents	AKOS	Internal consistency	Face, construct
Safaan (2017)	Egypt	500	General education teachers	KADDS	Test-retest	Face, content

Sarraf (2011)	Iran	67	General education teachers	Unnamed (Azhar & Safari, 2010)	Internal consistency	Face
Schachter (2011)	Canada	122	People with ADHD, parents, peers	Measure of Understanding	Internal consistency	Face
Sciutto (2000)	USA	149	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	Content
Sciutto (2015)	USA	196	College students, general population	Strength of Beliefs in ADHD Knowledge Scale	Internal consistency	Content
Sciutto (2016)	Czech Republic, Germany, Greece, Iraq, the Republic of Korea, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, USA, Vietnam	2307	General education teachers, special education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency, test- retest	Criterion
Seabi (2010)	South Africa	5	General education teachers	Unnamed (Seabi, 2010)		Face
Shahwan (2020)	United Arab Emirates	137	Dentists	Unnamed (Shahwan et al., 2020)		
Shapiro (1996)	USA	169	General education teachers, school administrative staff, school psychologists, other medical professionals, special education teachers	ADHD Knowledge Test		
Shetty (2014)	India	312	General education teachers	Unnamed (Shetty et al., 2014)		Content
Shroff (2017)	India	106	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	
Small (2003)	USA	72	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	
Snider (2003)	USA	45	Special education teachers, general education teachers	Unnamed (Snider et al., 2003)		
Soroa (2014)	Spain	752	General education teachers	Questionnaire to Assess Teachers' Knowledge of ADHD	Internal consistency, test retest	Convergent, construct

Soroa (2012)	Spain	182	General education teachers, psychologists, psychiatrists, medical	Unnamed (Soroa et al 2012)		Content
Soroa (2014)	Spain	166	doctors, professors General education teachers, special education teachers	Unnamed (Soroa et al., 2014)	Internal consistency	Content
Soroa (2016)	Spain	1278	General education teachers	Questionnaire to assess teacher's knowledge of ADHD	Internal consistency, test retest	Convergent
Stampoltzis (2013)	Greece	234	General education teachers, special education teachers	The ADHD Knowledge Based Questionnaire (McNicholas & Santosh, 1997)	Internal consistency	
Steiner (2014)	USA	172	Siblings	Jerome ADHD Knowledge Questionnaire		Criterion
Stormont (2005)	USA	138	General education teachers	The Preschool ADHD Questionnaire	Internal consistency	Criterion, content
Stroh (2008)	USA	146	Parents	Unnamed (Stroh et al., 2008)		Content
Syed	Pakistan	49	General education teachers	Unnamed (Syed & Hussein, 2010)		
Taylor (2018)	Canada	29	People with ADHD	AKOS	Internal consistency	
Taylor (2020)	Canada	29	Parents	AKOS	Internal consistency	
Topkin (2015)	South Africa	200	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency	
Toye (2019)	Scotland	135	General education teachers, school administration, school	KADDS	Internal consistency	
Venter (2004)	South Africa	423	psychologists Psychiatrists, medical Doctors	Unnamed (Venter et al., 2004)		Content
Vereb (2004)	USA	47	General education teachers, special education teachers	Knowledge of ADHD Rating Evaluation	Internal consistency, test- retest	Content
Ward (2014)	Ireland	90	General education teachers	KADDS	Internal consistency, test- retest	Criterion

Weyandt (2009)	USA	132	General education teachers, school psychologists, special education teachers	ADHD Beliefs Scale	Internal consistency	Construct
Webb (2017)	USA	54	General education teachers, preservice teachers	Unnamed (Kos et al, 2004)	Internal consistency	Face
West (2005)	Australia	348	Parents, general education teachers	KADD-Q	Internal consistency	
White (2011)	USA	134	General education teachers, special education teachers	Unnamed (White et al., 2011)		
Zima (2013)	USA	529	People with ADHD	AKOS		

Study	Country	N	Population	Age Statistics	% Female	Racial and Ethnic Representation	Study Purpose	Measure
Abed (2014)	Saudi Arabia	54	General education teachers	N/A	48.1%		Examine teachers' knowledge of ADHD	Knowledge about Attention Deficit Disorder Questionnaire (KADD-Q; West et al., 2005)
Adamis et al. (2019)	Ireland	140	Medical Doctors	25-66+ years	40.4%		Examine general practitioners' attitudes and knowledge of ADHD	Unnamed (Adamis et al., 2019)
Aguiar et al. (2014)	Brazil	37	General education teachers	26-61 years	100%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and LD	Unnamed (Aguiar et al 2014)
Akram (2009)	Scotland	68	General education teachers, preservice teachers		86.8%		Compare ADHD knowledge across teachers and preservice teachers	Unnamed (Akram, 2009)
Al-Ahmari (2018)	Saudi Arabia	340	Medical doctors	<30 – 40+ years	39.7%		Examine medical doctor knowledge of ADHD and related factors	Unnamed (Al- Ahmari et al 2018)
Alfageer (2018)	Saudi Arabia	141	General education teachers	26-55 years			Examine teacher ADHD knowledge	Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale (KADDS), Arabic Version
Al-Moghamsi (2018)	Saudi Arabia	416	General education teachers, special education teachers, school staff	22-66 years	48.3%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and predicting factors	KADDS, Arabic Version

Table 2	
Selected descriptive information for studied populations regarding included articles	

Al-Omari (2015)	Jordan	130	General education teachers	18-60 years	94.6%		Examine teachers' knowledge and attitudes toward ADHD	Unnamed (Ghanizadeh et al., 2006)
Alkahtani (2013)	Saudi Arabia	429	General education teachers, special education teachers	23-59 years	55.5%		Examine teachers' knowledge of ADHD	KADDS, Arabic Version
Alshehri (2020)	Saudi Arabia	100	General education teachers		0%	81% Middle Eastern or North African	Assess the effectiveness of an ADHD knowledge program	Unnamed (Awadalla et al., 2016)
Alsuhaibani (2020)	Saudi Arabia	224	Medical residents	21-28 years	41.1%		Examine ADHD knowledge in medical students Evaluate an	Unnamed (Qashqari et al., 2017)
Anastopoulos (2015)	USA	43	People with ADHD	17-27 years	62.8%	63% White, 16% Latinx, 21% Other	intervention to increase ADHD student retention	Test of ADHD Knowledge
Anderson (2012)	Australia	454	General education teachers, preservice teachers	18 - 61 years	79.3%		Examine teacher ADHD knowledge and attitudes	Unnamed (Anderson et al 2012)
Andrews (1994)	USA	46	Parents		54.3%		Assess the effectiveness of an informational video about ADHD	ADHD, What Do You Know and What Can You Do?
Badeleh (2013)	Iran	245	General education teachers		75.5%		Examine teacher ADHD knowledge	Unnamed (Badeleh, 2013)
Bai (2015)	China	89	Parents	M = 40 years	73%		Assess effectiveness of an ADHD psychoeducation program	Unnamed (Bai et al., 2015)

Barbaresi & Olsen (1998)	USA	44	General education teachers	<i>M</i> = 42	75%		Assess effectiveness of an inservice on increasing ADHD knowledge and decreasing teacher stress	Unnamed (Barbaresi and Olsen, 1998)	
Barnett (2017)	USA	60	General education teachers	21 – 55+ years	50%	85% Black, 15% White	Examine differences in teacher knowledge of ADHD by gender and ethnicity	KADDS	
Baudinette (2010)	United Kingdom	225	Occupational therapists		96%		Examine occupational therapists' knowledge of ADHD	Unnamed (Baudinette, 2010)	
Beirne (2013)	Ireland	91	Psychiatrists				Examine psychiatrists' knowledge of ADHD and available	Survey on Adult ADHD	
Bekle (2004)	Australia	70	General education teachers, preservice teachers	20 –60+ years	78.6%		interventions Compare ADHD knowledge between teachers and preservice teachers	Unnamed (Bekle, 2004)	
Bender (1996)	USA	56	Preservice teachers	19-44 years	95%		Assess the effectiveness of an ADHD knowledge	KADDS	
Bennett (1996)	USA	150	Parents		58%		program Evaluated the relationship between treatment adherence and predictive variables	ADHD Knowledge and Opinion Scale- Revised (AKOS- R)	

Berri (2016)	Lebanon	301	General education teachers		100%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD	KADDS	
Blotnicky- Gallant (2015)	Canada	113	General education teachers	24-58 years	87.6%		Evaluate the relationship between teacher ADHD knowledge, beliefs, and classroom	KADDS	
Bolinger (2020)	USA	17	General education teachers, special education teachers	21-56 years	94.1%	88.2% White, 5.9% Latinx, 5.9% Other	practices Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and relationship to classroom management	Knowledge and Beliefs Questionnaire	
Booksh (2005)	USA	110	College students	18-31 years	80%	79% White, 18% Black, 2% Native American, 1% Prefer Not to Respond	Assess college student ability to simulate ADHD symptoms and relationship to ADHD knowledge	Unnamed (Booksh, 2005)	
Bradley-Klug (1997)	USA	169	General education teachers, school administrative staff, guidance counselors, school psychologists, school nurses, special education				Evaluate a psychoeducational intervention for ADHD in schools	Test of ADHD Knowledge (Anastopoulos et al., 1992)	
Bradshaw & Kamal (2013)	Qatar	233	teachers General education teachers			70.9% Middle Eastern or North African	Examine teacher ADHD knowledge	Unnamed (Bradshaw & Kamal, 2013)	
Bramham (2009)	Great Britain	61	People with ADHD	M = 32.95 years	34.4%	100% White	Evaluate the effectiveness of a CBT intervention for ADHD	Unnamed (Bramham et al., 2009)	-

Brook (2000)	Israel	46	General education teachers	M = 40.2 years	86.7%		Examine teacher knowledge and attitudes toward ADHD	Unnamed (Brook et al, 2000)
Brook and Geva (2001)	Israel	104	Peers	14 – 18 years	50%		Examine peer knowledge of ADHD and learning disorders	Unnamed (Brook & Geva, 2001)
Bussing (1998a)	USA	499	Parents		83%	46% Black	Compare White and Black parent ADHD knowledge and information	Unnamed (Bussing et al., 1998)
Bussing (1998b)	USA	127	Parents				sources Examine parent knowledge of ADHD	Unnamed (Bussing et al., 1998)
Bussing (2007)	USA	1615	Parents			41% Black	Examine cultural differences in ADHD knowledge, beliefs, and information	Unnamed (Bussing et al. 1998)
Bussing (2012)	USA	374	People with ADHD, Parents, Peers	M = 15.4, SD = 1.8 years	57%	36% Black	sources Examine parent and adolescent knowledge, attitudes, and information sources for ADHD and related factors	Unnamed (Bussing et al., 1998)
Canu (2021)	USA	911	Preservice teachers, college students	Teacher Trainees: M = 24.8, SD = 5.96, Students: M = 19.7, SD = 3.65	77.2%	1.5% Asian, 2.4% Black, 1.5% Latinx, 0.1% Native American, 94.6% White, 0.3% Other	Compare preservice teachers to other college students on ADHD knowledge	Knowledge and Beliefs Questionnaire
Capizzi (2018)	USA	179	General education teachers		92.7%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and related factors	Test of Knowledge About ADHD

Carpenter (1995)	USA	8	People with ADHD				Assess the effectiveness of a psychoeducational program for students with ADHD	Unnamed (Carpenter, 1995)
Climie (2018)	Canada	29	Children with ADHD, parents	Children: 8- 12 years	Children: 37.9%, Parents: 93.1%	79.3% White	Examine parent ADHD knowledge	KADDS, Children ADHD Knowledge and Opinions Scale (MacKay & Corkum, 2006)
Coles (2015)	USA	13	General education teacher				Develop a consultation package to improve teacher knowledge and skills	Unnamed (Mixon et al., 2014)
Corkum (1999)	Canada	81	Parents	M = 37, SD $= 5.6$	100%		Evaluate relationship between parent ADHD knowledge, treatment enrollment, and adherence	ADHD Knowledge and Opinion Scale (AKOS)
Cormier (2004)	USA	3	Parents		100%		Assess the effectiveness of in- home parent training for parents of children with ADHD	Test of ADHD Knowledge (Anastopoulos et al., 1992)
Coronado (2013)	USA	353	General education teachers				Examine teacher knowledge and attitudes of ADHD	Knowledge and Beliefs Questionnaire
Currier (2004)	USA	48	Parents	20-60 years	100%	87.5% Black, 2.1% Native American, 8.3% Latinx, 2.1% Prefer Not to Respond	Assess the effectiveness of an ADHD knowledge intervention	Modified AKOS-R (Currier, 2004)

deOliveira (2018)	Brazil	241	College students	18-64 years	79.7%		Assess the effectiveness of an online psychoeducational	Unnamed (de Oliveira et al., 2018)
Diaz (2015)	USA	43	Social workers		90.1%	White (51.1%), Latinx (39.6%), Black (9.3%)	Examine school social workers' knowledge of ADHD	The ADHD Belief and Attitude Scale
Dielmann (2006)	USA	81	General education teachers		95.1%	92.7% White, 4.9% Black, 1.2% Asian, 1.2% Native American	Assess the effectiveness of an inservice training	Questionnaire of Knowledge and Management Procedures (QKMP)
Dilaimi (2013)	New Zealand	84	General education teachers, special education teachers	>30-60+ years	85.7%		Examine teacher knowledge and attitudes of ADHD and predictive factors	KADDS
Doak (2003)	USA	159	General education teachers	25-64 years	92.5%		Examine teacher ADHD knowledge and predictive factors Explore decision-	Unnamed (Jerome et al., 1994)
Doucet (2013)	USA	20	Parents				making process in families with ADHD and related factors	Unnamed (Doucet, 2012)
Egan (2019)	USA	230	General education teachers	21-68 years	89.6%	74.3% White, 3.9% Native American, 6.1% Asian, 3.5% Multiracial, 12.2% Black	Evaluate teacher intervention preferences for ADHD and related factors	KADDS
Eng (2007)	USA	47	General education teachers		85%	85% White, 11% Black, 4% Asian	Assess the effectiveness of psychoeducation for teachers	Knowledge of ADHD Rating Evaluation (KARE)

Ervington (2013)	USA	52	General education teachers	22-70 years, M = 32.6	88.5%		Assess potential factors related to ADHD recommendation adherence	KADDS	
Flood et al. (2019)	Ireland	10	Parents		90%		Explore parent experiences with ADHD medication	Unnamed (Flood et al., 2019)	
Frankenberger et al. (2001)	USA	258	School psychologists		61.1%		Examine school psychologists' knowledge and attitudes of ADHD	Unnamed (Frankenberger et al, 2001)	
Frigerio (2014)	Italy	579	General education teachers	24-63 years	96%		Examine teacher ADHD knowledge	ADHD Knowledge Scale	
Fuller (2015)	USA	349	General education teachers, special education teachers				Evaluate relationship between teacher ADHD knowledge and perceived effectiveness	KARE	
Garcia (2009)	USA	32	General education teachers		56.3%	61.5% White, 21.9% Latinx, 6.3% Black, 3.1% Asian or Pacific Islander	Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and support for related interventions	(Modified) Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale (Garcia, 2009)	
Gerdes (2020)	58	USA	Parents	Mothers: M = 35, SD = 5.1, Fathers: M = 38.9, SD = 9.7 years	49.1%	100% Latinx	Assess the effect of psychosocial treatment on improving parent knowledge of ADHD	ADHD Knowledge Measure	
Germayne (1994)	USA	91	General education teachers		76%		Assess effect of information distribution on teacher knowledge of ADHD	Unnamed (Germayne, 1994)	
Ghanizadeh et al. (2006)	Iran	196	General education teachers	M = 39.8 years	55.1%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD	Unnamed (Ghanizadeh et al., 2006)	75

Ghanizadeh (2007)	Iran	119	Parents	M = 32.6, SD = 6.3	>73%		Examine parent ADHD knowledge and relationship to service utilization	Unnamed (Ghanizadeh et al., 2006)	
Giannopoulou (2017)	Greece	143	General education teachers	22-41+ years	85.3%		Develop and assess an ADHD informational seminar for teachers	ADHD Knowledge Questionnaire	
Gilmore (2010)	Australia	645	Parents	20-60+ years	51.5%		Examine ADHD knowledge and attitudes in parents	Unnamed (Gilmore, 2010)	
Graczyk (2005)	USA	428	General education teacher, school psychologists, school social workers, school counselors		84.6%	49% White, 35% Black, 12% Latinx, 2% Asian, 0.7% Native American, 0.72% Other	Evaluate school staff opinions toward ADHD interventions and related factors	AKOS-R	
Graeper (2011)	USA	35	General education teachers, special education teachers, teaching assistants	22-60+ years	91.4%		Assess the effectiveness of an ADHD inservice	KADDS	
Greenway (2020)	United Kingdom	322	General education teachers, paraprofessionals	18-62 years	78%		Compare ADHD training across teachers and paraprofessionals	ADHD-Specific Knowledge Scale	
Grynkewich (1996)	USA	115	Preservice teachers	18-31+ years	68%		Examine preservice teachers' ADHD knowledge and attitudes	Unnamed (Grynkewich, 1996)	
Guerra (2012)	USA	107	General education teachers				Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD	KADDS	

Guerra (2017)	USA	173	General education teachers				Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD	KADDS
Harrison (2002)	Australia	100	Parents	25-53 years	100%		Evaluate parent distress and related factors	Test of ADHD Knowledge (Anastopoulos et al., 1992)
Hepp (2009)	Canada	169	General education teachers, preservice teachers	20-60 years	81.1%		Examine teacher and preservice teacher ADHD knowledge	Unnamed (Jerome et al., 1994), KADDS
Hepperlen (2002)	USA	103	General education teachers	M = 39.4, SD = 9.1 years	81.6%	91.3% White, 8.7% Prefer Not to Respond	Develop an ADHD knowledge measure	The Test of Knowledge About ADHD
Herbert (2004)	USA	51	General education teachers, school psychologists, school counselors		70.6%		Compare school professional knowledge of ADHD to other disorder	KADDS
Hirvikoski (2017)	Sweden	179	People with ADHD, significant others of people with ADHD Graduate	19-67 years	62.0%		Assess the effectiveness of a group psychoeducational intervention	Unnamed (Hirvikoski et al., 2017)
Hofer (2010)	USA	79	students, psychologists, school psychologists, medical doctors, other medical		72.2%		Examine self- perceived and actual knowledge of ADHD	KADDS
Jawaid (2006)	Pakistan	194	professionals Medical doctors		46.4%		Evaluate the relationship between ADHD knowledge and screening ability	Unnamed (Jawaid et al, 2008)

Jerome (1994)	USA, Canada	439	General education teachers		86%		Compare American and Canadian teachers' knowledge of ADHD	Unnamed (Jerome et al., 1994)
Jimoh (2014)	Nigeria	250	General education teachers	20-60 years	50%		Examine teacher knowledge and attitudes toward ADHD	Unnamed (Jimoh, 2014)
Johnston (2011)	Canada	100	Parents	Intervention: M = 40.6, SD = 5.8, Control: M = 38.7, $SD =6.1$ years	100%	55% White, 29% Asian, 16% Other	Assess the effectiveness of instructional materials in parent accuracy on rating forms	Unnnamed (Johnston et al., 2011)
Jones & Chronis- Tuscano (2008)	USA	142	General education teachers	M = 37.1, SD = 12.5 years	92%	57% White, 33% Black, 4% Latinx, 6% Other	Assess efficacy of inservice about ADHD	Unnamed (Jones & Chronis-Tuscano, 2008)
Kalafut (2012)	USA	54	General education teachers, medical doctors, special education teachers		81.5%		Examine teachers' and medical professionals' ADHD knowledge	Assessments of ADHD Diagnostics and Imitators Scale
Kaufman (2004)	USA	44	Parents		79.5%	61.0% White, 24.4% Black, 14.6% Latinx	Evaluate predictive factors of parent satisfaction	Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Knowledge and Opinion Survey - III (AKOS-III, Bennett et al., 1996).
Khademi (2016)	Iran	205	General education teachers		86.8%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and SLD	Unnamed (Khademi et al, 2016)
Kikas (2016)	Estonia	186	Preservice teachers	22-52 years	83.3%		Examine preservice teacher knowledge of	Unnamed (Kikas et al., 2016)

Klein (2001)	USA	60	General education teachers	<i>M</i> = 44, <i>SD</i> = 11 years	90%	97% White, 1.5% Black, 1.5% Latinx	ADHD and related factors Evaluate relationship between teacher ADHD knowledge and stress	AKOS
Kleynhans (2005)	South Africa	552	General education teachers	M = 42.2, SD = 8.6	79%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD	Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale (modified by Kleynhans, 2005)
Kos	Australia	165	General education teachers, preservice teachers	Inservice: M = 15.2, SD = 8.8, Preservice: M = 23.6, SD = 5.6 years	82.4%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and relationship to variables	Knowledge and Beliefs Questionnaire
Kowalczyk (2013)	USA	115	Adolescents and adults in general population	11-70 years			Evaluate whether people categorized specific information about ADHD as fact or belief	Unnamed (Kowalczyk, 2013)
Krowski (2009)	USA	119	General education teachers, special education teachers	20-50+ years	92.4%		Evaluate relationships between ADHD intervention use, ADHD knowledge, and other factors	KADDS
Lasisi (2017)	Nigeria	159	General education teachers	M = 42.5, SD = 8.0 years	89.9%		Assess the effectiveness of an ADHD training program	Self-Report ADHD Questionnaire
LaTouche (2019)	Australia	274	General education teachers, special education teachers	Intervention: M = 39.9, SD = 11.1, Control: M	85.8%		Assess the effectiveness of an inservice in increasing ADHD knowledge	KADDS

				11.8 years				
Lee (2014)	USA, South Korea	379	General education teachers	21-65 years	71.2%		Evaluate predictive factors in teachers' intention to refer for services	KADDS
Lee (2015a)	Germany	235	Preservice teachers	18-39 years	88.3%		Examine ADHD knowledge and related factors in preservice teachers	Modified Kos (2004)
Lee (2015b)	Hong Kong	50	General education teachers	26-57 years	82%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD	KADDS
Liang et al. (2016)	Hong Kong	99	General education teachers, preservice teachers		67.7%		Examine teacher knowledge and attitudes toward ADHD	Unnamed (Liang et al, 2016)
Liesveld (2007)	USA	133	General education teachers, special education teachers		80%	80% White, 8% Native American, 7% Latinx, 2% Asian, 1% Black, 2% Prefer Not to Repond	Examine teacher ADHD knowledge and attitudes	KADDS
Losapio (2010)	USA	206	Parents	24-60 years	79%	73.4% White, 8.4% Black, 7.5% Latinx, 5.2% Asian, .5% Native American	Evaluate parent acceptance of ADHD interventions and related factors	KADDS
Macey (2005)	USA	73	General education teachers	M = 39.5, SD = 11.2 years	98.6%	80.8% White, 13.7% Latinx, 2.7% Black, 2.7% Other	Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and relationship to related factors	Unnamed (Macey, 2005)
MacKay (2006)	Canada	25	People with ADHD	8-12 years	20%		Assess effectiveness of a workshop in increasing ADHD knowledge	Children's ADHD Knowledge & Opinions Questionnaire

Massey- Harvell (2017)	USA	33	General education teachers, special education	21-30+ years	94%		Examine teacher knowledge and attitudes of ADHD	Unnamed (Massey-Harvell, 2017)
Merritt (2017)	USA	123	General education teachers				Evaluate teacher self-efficacy and related factors	KADDS
Mohr-Jensen et al. (2019)	Denmark	528	education teachers, special education teachers	<29-60+ years	76.1%		Examine teacher ADHD knowledge and predictive factors	Unnamed (Mohr- Jenson et al., 2019)
Montoya (2013)	Spain	51	Parents, psychiatrists, child neurologists, pediatricians	30-50+ years	70%		Evaluate quality of ADHD information online	ADHD- Knowledge and Motivation for Treatment
Mukherjee (2016)	India	120	Children with ADHD, children with SLD	8-14 years	17.5%		Examine children's ADHD knowledge and attitudes	Unnamed (Mukherjee, 2016)
Mulholland (2015)	Australia	116	General education teachers	23-70 years		84% White, 25% Other	Examine teacher ADHD knowledge and attitudes	Unnamed (Mulholland, 2015)
Munshi (2014)	Saudi Arabia	130	General education teachers	<25-35+ years			Examine teacher ADHD knowledge and related factors	Unnamed (Munshi, 2014)
Nasewicz (2009)	USA	100	Preservice teachers	19-49 years	95%	83% White, 7% Black, 4% Latinx, 3% Asian, 3% Multiracial, 1% Other	Examine preservice teacher recognition of seizures and relationship to ADHD knowledge	KADDS
Niznik (2004)	USA	47	General education teachers	21-50+ years	92%		Assess the effectiveness of an inservice	Educator ADHD Knowledge Form
Nowaiser (2017)	Saudi Arabia	190	Dentists		57.9%		Examine dentist knowledge of ADHD	Unnamed (Nowaiser, 2017)

							Assess effectiveness of	
O'Connor	USA	4	Parents				ADHD behavioral	Unnnamed
(2000)	0.011	•	1 41 91140				interventions and	(Andrews, 1995)
							related factors	
							Assess the	
							effectiveness of a	
							psychoeducational	
Odum (1996)	USA	20	Parents		100%		intervention on	AKOS
							increasing parent	
							knowledge of	
							ADHD	
			~ .				Examine teacher	
C1 (2 000)		1.40	General	M = 42.3,	0.50/	62.9% White, 1%	knowledge of	ADHD Knowledge
Ohan (2008)	Australia	140	education	SD = 9.8 /	85%	Asian, 0.7%	ADHD and	Scale
			teachers	years		Indigenous	relationship to	
							Examine and	
							compare	
	Norway		General				Norwegian and	Unnamed (Oim
Oim (2004)	Estonia	376	education				Estonian teacher	2004)
			teachers				knowledge of)
							ADHD	
							Evaluate the	
							relationship	
Ojionuka			General				between teacher	
(2016)	Nigeria	975	education		69%		knowledge of	KADDS
(2010)			teachers				ADHD and use of	
							behavioral	
			Comonal	M = 45.0			intervention	
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}}$	Columbia	62	General	M = 45.9, SD = 7.6	08 10/		Examine teacher	KADDS, Spanish
1 aunia (2018)	Columbia	02	teachers	SD = 7.0	90.4 /0		ADHD knowledge	Edition
			teachers	years			Examine social	
Pentecost	Great						worker ADHD	Unnamed
(2002)	Britain	174	Social workers		75%		knowledge and	(Pentecost, 2002)
							attitudes	())
	South		General	M = 41.19,			Evenine teechen	
Perold (2010)	Africa	552	education	SD = 8.61	79%		ADHD knowledge	KADDS
	Africa	irica	teachers	years	1270		ADTID KIIOwieuge	

Persaud (2019)	USA	10	Parents	35 – 52 years	70%	100% Latinx	Examine Portuguese- American parents' knowledge of ADHD	Unnamed (Persaud, 2019)
Piccolo- Torsky (1998)	USA	154	General education teachers	20-40+ years	88%		Examine teacher ADHD knowledge	Unnamed (Jerome, Gordon, and Hustler 1994)
Pindiprolu (2014)	USA	76	teachers, school psychologists in training, speech and language pathologists				Examine ADHD knowledge in preservice teachers and other graduate students	Unnamed (Snider et al., 2003)
Power (1995)	USA	147	General education teachers				Evaluate acceptability of ADHD interventions and predictive factors	ADHD Knowledge Scale
Poznanski (2018)	USA	107	Preservice teachers		95.3%	80.4% White, 8.4% Black, 1.9% Asian, 9.8% Other	Examine preservice teacher knowledge of ADHD and classroom management	Knowledge and Opinions of ADHD (KOAD)
Poznanski (2020)	USA	107	General education teachers		97.2%	59.2% Latinx, 16.8% Black	Examine teacher ADHD knowledge and relationship to student perceptions	KOAD
Qashqari (2017)	Saudi Arabia	111	Medical students	20-29 years	69%		Examine medical student knowledge of ADHD and related factors	Unnamed (Qashqari et al 2017)
Ramos (2009)	USA	133	General education teachers	21-67 years	84.2%	89% Latinx, 11% White	Evaluate relationship between teacher knowledge of ADHD and self- efficacy	KADDS

Rodrigo et al. (2011)	Sri Lanka	202	General education teachers	M = 44 years, $SD = 9.1$			Examine teacher ADHD knowledge	Unnamed (Rodrigo et al., 2011)
Rostain (1993)	USA	116	Parents		57.1%		Evaluate parent willingness to pursue ADHD intervention and	AKOS
Safaan (2017)	Egypt	500	General education teachers	24 – 58 years	62.4%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD	KADDS
Sarraf (2011)	Iran	67	General education teachers	25-55 years			Compare two intervention conditions for effectiveness in increasing ADHD knowledge	Unnamed (Azhar & Safari, 2010)
Schachter (2011)	Canada	122	People with ADHD, parents, adolescents		33.6%		Compare adolescent, parent, and client understanding of ADHD and informed consent	Measure of Understanding
Sciutto (2000)	USA	149	General education teachers	M = 40.8, SD = 11.4	89.9%		Examine teacher ADHD knowledge	KADDS
Sciutto (2015)	USA	196	College students, general population	M = 25.97, SD = 10.52 years	75%	85% White, 4% Black	Evaluate the influence of ADHD knowledge and treatment acceptability on help-seeking behavior	Strength of Beliefs in ADHD Knowledge Scale
Sciutto (2016)	Czech Republic, Germany, Greece, Iraq, the Republic of Korea,	2307	General education teachers, special education teachers	30 - 48 years	100%		Evaluate the relationship between training and ADHD knowledge in teachers	KADDS

	Saudi Arabia, South Africa, USA, Vietnam						
Seabi (2010)	South Africa	5	General education teachers			 Examine teacher ADHD knowledge	Unnamed (Seabi, 2010)
Shahwan et al. (2020)	United Arab Emirates	137	Dentists		75.9%	 Examine dentist ADHD knowledge and predictive factors	Unnamed (Shahwan et al., 2020)
Shapiro (1996)	USA	169	General education teachers, school administrative staff, school psychologists, other medical professionals, special education teachers			 Describe a consultation program for professionals working with ADHD	ADHD Knowledge Test
Shetty & Rai (2014)	India	312	General education teachers		98.4%	 Examine teacher ADHD knowledge and predictive variables	Unnamed (Shetty et al., 2014)
Shroff (2017)	India	106	General education teachers	M = 37.10, SD = 9.51 years	97%	 Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD	KADDS
Small (2003)	USA	72	General education teachers	M = 39.9, SD = 10.6 years	97%	 Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and intervention	KADDS
Snider et al. (2003)	USA	45	Special education teachers, general education teachers		80%	 Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD	Unnamed (Snider et al., 2003)

Soroa (2014)	Spain	752	General education teachers	20 - 64 years	86%		Develop an ADHD knowledge measure	Questionnaire to Assess Teachers' Knowledge of ADHD (OATKA)
Soroa et al. (2012)	Spain	182	General education teachers, psychologists, psychiatrists, medical doctors, professors		74.7%		Develop another version of an ADHD knowledge measure	Unnamed (Soroa et al 2012)
Soroa et al. (2014)	Spain	166	General education teachers, special education teachers	22-65 years	84.4%		Develop and ADHD knowledge measure	QATKA
Soroa (2016)	Spain	1278	General education teachers	20-65 years	85%		Examine teacher knowledge of ADHD and related factors	QATKA
Stampoltzis (2013)	Greece	234	General education teachers, special education teachers	36-45 years	78.5%		Compare general and special education teachers' ADHD knowledge	The ADHD Knowledge Based Questionnaire
Steiner (2014)	USA	172	Siblings	18-25 years	62.2%	7% Asian, 5.2% Black, 4.7% Latinx, 0.6% Native American, 75% White, 5.8% Multiracial, 0.5% Other	Evaluate the relationship between sibling knowledge of ADHD and relationship quality	Unnamed (Jerome et al., 1994)
Stormont (2005)	USA	138	General education teachers		95%	82% White, 18% Black	Examine teacher ADHD knowledge and related variables	The Preschool ADHD Questionnaire
Stroh et al. (2008)	USA	146	Parents		82.4%		Examine parent knowledge, attitudes, and information	Unnamed (Stroh et al., 2008)

							sources about ADHD	
Syed & Hussain (2010)	Pakistan	49	General education teachers	18 - 45 years	100%		Develop and assess an ADHD training program	Unnamed (Syed & Hussein, 2010)
Taylor (2018)	Canada	29	People with ADHD	M = 40.0, SD = 9.2 years	73.4%	92.9% White		AKOS
Taylor (2020)	Canada	29	Parents		90%	68.9% White, 6.9% Asian, 24.1% Prefer not to Respond	Evaluate the relationship between parent ADHD knowledge, stress, and bullying	AKOS
Topkin (2015)	South Africa	200	General education teachers	M = 43, SD = 11 years	89%	33.8% Black, 40.9% Multiracial, 1.5% Asian, 23.7% White	Evaluate the relationship between parent ADHD knowledge, stress, and bullying	KADDS
Toye (2019)	Scotland	135	General education teachers, school administration,	23-62 years	83%		Evaluate relationship between ADHD knowledge and	KADDS
Venter (2004)	South Africa	423	psychologists Psychiatrists, Medical doctors	30 – 60+ years			stigma on inclusion Examine ADHD knowledge, attitudes, and practices in psychiatrists and pediatricians	Unnamed (Venter et al., 2004)
Vereb (2004)	USA	47	General education teachers, special education teachers		94%		Evaluate relationship between teacher ADHD knowledge and acceptability of known interventions	KARE
Ward (2014)	Ireland	90	General education teachers		81.2%		Examine teacher ADHD knowledge	KADDS

Weyandt (2009)	USA	132	General education teachers, school psychologists, special education teachers		75%		Examine teachers' and school psychologists' knowledge of ADHD	ADHD Beliefs Scale
Webb (2017)	USA	54	General education teachers, preservice teachers	18 – 49 years			Develop an ADHD knowledge intervention	Knowledge and Beliefs Questionnaire
West (2005)	Australia	348	Parents, general education teachers		81.9%		Develop and assess an ADHD knowledge measure	KADD-Q
White (2011)	USA	134	General education teachers, special education teachers	22-68 years	87%		Assess the effectiveness of an informational workshop	Unnamed (White et al., 2011)
Zima (2013)	USA	529	People with ADHD	M = 10, SD $= 1.8 years$	19.4%	17.2% White	Evaluate the relationship of parent perceptions to care adherence and related factors	AKOS

Note. Values for age, gender, and race and ethnicity are blank when unreported by the manuscript.



Figure 1. Illustration of literature search and screening procedure

68

PREDICTING PARENT INTERVENTION FAVORABILITY: THE ROLES OF ADHD KNOWLEDGE AND ADHD STIGMA

CHAPTER III

Introduction

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a high incidence neurodiverse condition. Clinically and educationally, it is conceptualized within the class of neurodevelopmental disorders and characterized by persistent patterns of inattentiveness and/or hyperactivity and impulsivity (American Psychiatric Association, 2023). ADHD presents with a myriad of associated strengths—such as creativity (White & Shah, 2006) and the ability to focus on subjects of interest for long periods (Royal et al., 2015)—and challenges—such as academic difficulties (Arnold et al., 2020) and executive dysfunction (Martel et al., 2007). When developing and evaluating programs of support for children with ADHD, it is important to consider these strengths, challenges, and additional protective factors (Climie, 2015). One such protective factor is parent knowledge of ADHD.

Parent knowledge of ADHD is highly influential in the outcomes and experiences of their children. For instance, parent knowledge of ADHD can serve as a protective factor against bullying toward their children with ADHD (Taylor et al., 2020). Psychoeducational programs for parents of children with ADHD have also shown efficacy in increasing parent knowledge following intervention. In addition to increased knowledge, these parents demonstrate increased ability to help their children manage ADHD symptoms and adhere to medication interventions (Bai et al., 2015).

Alternatively, adolescents with ADHD report that parents who have lower ADHD knowledge levels are less able to help them cope with symptoms and related behaviors and espouse more stigmatizing beliefs about ADHD (e.g., "ADHD is not real;" Golson et al., 2022).

Though parent knowledge of ADHD broadly is important, research suggests that parents demonstrate significant knowledge gaps within this domain. Parents report limited perceived knowledge of ADHD, particularly prior to the receipt of their child's diagnosis (Flood et al., 2019). Objective measures of parent knowledge of ADHD corroborate this finding. Climie and Henley (2018) found parents of children with ADHD demonstrated greater knowledge of ADHD symptoms than causes and outcomes. Similarly, a study of parent knowledge of ADHD conducted in Australia found that parents can identify features of ADHD but did not know that it has a lifelong course or about its genetic basis (Gilmore, 2010).

Demographic factors play a role in parent ADHD knowledge. For example, fathers generally have lower ADHD knowledge scores than mothers (e.g., Gerdes et al., 2020; Gilmore, 2010). Much attention in the literature has also been given to differences in ADHD knowledge across race and ethnicity. For example, Bussing and colleagues (2012) examined Black and White parents' and teens' knowledge of ADHD. They found Black parents were more likely to endorse the misconception that ADHD is caused by too much sugar intake and had less awareness of ADHD than White parents overall. Among Latinx parents, Gerdes et al. (2013) found that many lacked knowledge about ADHD etiology and effective interventions. Limited knowledge about the latter in particular inhibited parent ability to seek appropriate services for their children. Socioeconomic status (SES) similarly is related to ADHD knowledge. In one study, economically disadvantaged parents were less informed about the causes of ADHD and had lower knowledge levels than economically advantaged parents generally (Bussing et al., 1998). Economically disadvantaged parents were also more likely to have limited knowledge about medication interventions for ADHD in another study (Bussing et al., 2007). This may be due, in part, to differences in available information sources across SES about ADHD. Economically advantaged parents are more likely to learn about ADHD from professionals or formal written publications compared to economically disadvantaged parents (Bussing et al., 2007). Given the importance of credible information sources and the protective influence of ADHD knowledge on overall outcomes, public health campaigns and psychoeducational initiatives are vitally important.

Relation Between ADHD Knowledge and ADHD Stigma

Closely related to the construct of ADHD knowledge is ADHD stigma. ADHD stigma refers to prejudice, discrimination, and negative evaluations related to having or association with someone who has ADHD (Kellison et al., 2010). The effects of ADHD stigma can range from social rejection and limited social support to difficulty accessing intervention services (Kellison et al., 2010). Unfortunately, limited research has been conducted on ADHD stigma generally. However, the limited literature indicates people with ADHD are subject to greater social rejection than those with physical disabilities (Pescosolido et al., 2007). Moreover, children are more likely to associate violent behavior with people who have ADHD or depression than people diagnosed with asthma (Coleman et al., 2009). Adult samples also endorse social distancing from people who have ADHD (Canu et al., 2008).

Research in other mental health domains points to the connection between increased knowledge of a disability and reduced stigma toward that disability. Simmons and colleagues (2017) found that English university students exhibited decreased stigma toward mental illness broadly following a psychoeducational session. This relation extends to other neurodevelopmental disorders closely related to ADHD. For example, negative stereotypes and stigma mediates the relation between autism knowledge and social distance from autistic individuals in China such that individuals with less autism knowledge espoused more negative stereotypes and stigma toward ADHD, resulting in greater social distance (Lu et al., 2021). Unfortunately, the relation between ADHD knowledge and stigma is not well studied—to the best of our knowledge, there is only one publication on this topic. In this study, Leung (2014) evaluated possible predictors of ADHD stigma in a general population sample in China. ADHD knowledge was not a significant predictor of ADHD stigma, but the strength of association with Chinese cultural values and participant age were significant predictors of ADHD stigma. Further research is needed to evaluate this relation or lack thereof in other samples and cultures.

Relation Between ADHD Knowledge and ADHD Intervention

Interventions for ADHD vary depending on setting, age, and services available. Best practices for ADHD intervention include parent training for preschool-aged children and a combination of behavioral and pharmacological interventions for school-aged children (Wolraich et al., 2019). School-aged children with ADHD may also be eligible for special education services through the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA, 2011) or accommodations at school via a 504-plan (Rehabilitation Act, 1973). ADHD intervention services are vital to improving long-term outcomes for youth with ADHD, such as improving academic performance (Jitendra, et al., 2008), peer relationships (Morris et al., 2021), and emotion regulation (Vacher et al., 2020).

Many factors influence engagement in ADHD intervention. At the systems level, availability of providers, availability of interpretation services or bilingual providers, insurance coverage, transportation services, and public awareness of services all impact access and engagement. Individual and family factors such as social support and past experiences with intervention also play a role (Eiraldi et al., 2006). Though service use patterns for ADHD are not well studied, Cuffe and colleagues (2009) found less than half of youth with ADHD had received mental or behavioral health intervention and factors such as higher family education and cooccurring mental health concerns increased usage rates. Importantly, another significant factor in predicting intervention engagement is favorable views of the intervention (e.g., Eiraldi et al., 2006; Kellison, et al., 2010).

ADHD knowledge is also intimately related to favorability toward ADHD intervention services. Notably, most of the literature supporting the relation between intervention favorability and ADHD knowledge is based on samples other than parents (e.g., Toye et al., 2018). For example, Vereb and DiPerna (2004) found that teachers' knowledge of ADHD is positively correlated with favorable attitudes toward medication and behavioral intervention. A similar pattern holds true for college student samplescollege students who demonstrate greater ADHD knowledge also endorse more favorable attitudes toward pharmacological interventions (Sciutto, 2015). Conversely, those college students with lower knowledge scores were more likely to endorse dietary interventions and viewed medication as an intervention less favorably. Corkum and colleagues (1999) are the only research team to investigate the relation between ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability within parent samples. In a sample of 81 parents of children with ADHD, two important trends were found. First, parents with greater ADHD knowledge demonstrated greater favorability toward behavioral interventions such as parent training, but not medication. Second, despite lack of favorability toward medication, ADHD knowledge scores were positively correlated with the likelihood to enroll in intervention services and prescription medication use for ADHD. Notably, neither ADHD knowledge nor intervention favorability were predictive of long-term adherence (Corkum et al., 1999). Future research is needed to evaluate if this relation holds true in more contemporary samples.

ADHD Knowledge, ADHD Stigma, and Intervention Favorability

In Eiraldi and colleagues' (2006) Model of Help-Seeking Behavior, which describes factors of service utilization for ADHD with focus on minoritized populations, all three variables play a role. Specifically, the model describes a pathway from problem recognition to deciding to seek help to service selection to, finally, service use. In this model, parent ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and attitudes about intervention all influence their decision to seek help. However, this model does not elaborate on the interrelations between the three constructs. Further, while relations between ADHD knowledge and ADHD stigma and ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability have been studied, relatively little attention has been given to their interrelations, and the research that has been published in this domain has resulted in mixed findings.

For example, Toye and colleagues (2018) investigated variables that may predict favorable attitudes toward inclusion of children with ADHD in general education classrooms, including the educational and behavioral interventions required to make this possible. Using regression analysis, the authors found that high ADHD knowledge scores and low ADHD stigma were significant predictors in their sample of Scottish educators. A similar pattern was replicated in a sample of parents not currently seeking intervention for their child with ADHD (Taylor & Antshel, 2021). Favorable attitudes toward pharmacological and behavioral interventions for ADHD were predicted by three factors per a multiple regression analysis: (1) high ADHD knowledge, (2) low levels of ADHD stigma, and (3) positive experiences with past service providers for ADHD intervention. Conversely, Bussing and colleagues (2012) used a similar multiple regression paradigm to investigate predictors of willingness to engage in ADHD intervention in a mixed sample of parents, adolescents with ADHD, and service providers. While ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability were significant predictors, consistent with research, stigma was not a significant predictor (Bussing et al., 2012). Of note, stigma measured in this study was stigma toward intervention services for ADHD and not stigma toward ADHD generally, which may account for some of the discrepancy. However, further research is needed in this domain to better understand the relation between ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and intervention favorability.
Current Study

Improved outcomes through intervention delivery for children with ADHD associated with reduced stigma and increased intervention engagement related to ADHD is an important domain of concern for researchers and practitioners alike. Despite the extant research highlighting the relations between parent knowledge of ADHD and stigma as well as ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability separately, the intersection of these three variables and their causal relation is lacking empirical attention. Preliminarily, research suggests that increased ADHD knowledge and low levels of stigma predict increased intervention favorability in educators (Toye et al., 2018) and parents (Taylor & Antshel, 2021). However, this relation has not been evaluated through a causal lens. As such, this study evaluated the potential mediating role of stigma in the relation between increased ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability. It is predicted that greater parent ADHD knowledge will predict less ADHD stigma which, in turn, increases favorability toward ADHD interventions.

Method

Participants

Participants were 266 parents of at least one school-aged (aged 5-18 years) child diagnosed with ADHD. The age range of 5-18 years was selected to include children in kindergarten through grade 12. Of the 535 people who initiated the survey, 209 did not meet inclusion criteria: parents of a child with ADHD aged 5-18 years, fluency in speaking and reading English, and residence in the United States. Fluency was selected as an inclusion criterion to reduce possible confounding factors related to linguistic differences as research suggests school and clinical services differ for linguistically minoritized children (e.g., Ochoa et al., 2004). An additional 29 participants exited the survey prior to providing consent and 31 were excluded from analysis due to failed attention check questions. The resulting sample size of 266 parents exceeds the proposed target sample range of 200-250 participants needed for mediation analysis, assuming a medium effect of knowledge on stigma (Fritz & MacKinnon, 2007).

Participants were mostly White (n = 177, 66.5%) and employed full-time (n = 185, 69.5%). Parent gender was evenly distributed between men (n = 131, 49.2%) and women (n = 131, 49.2%). Two participants (0.8%) identified as nonbinary. Average participant age was 42.1 years (SD = 12.4). The racial composition for children was similar to their parents, being primarily White (n = 169, 63.5%). Parents answered the survey with a boy child in mind (n = 197, 74.1%), more often than a girl child. Average child age was 10.7 years (SD = 3.6). While most children were not receiving special education services (n = 163, 61.3%), the majority were currently (n = 261, 98.1%) or had previously (n = 262, 98.5%) received some form of clinical or school-based intervention. See Tables 3 and 4 for descriptive statistics.

Materials

Demographic Questionnaire

The demographic questionnaire included questions to verify inclusion criteria and gather participant background information such as race and ethnicity, gender, child diagnoses, diagnosing provider's profession, present ADHD symptoms, and parent income and employment. Questions related to previous and current ADHD service use were also included, such as eligibility for special education services, use of medication, and behavioral interventions. Some questions were included at different time points but phrased differently to identify bot responders or inattentive participants (e.g., "Do you have a child between the age of 5-18?" and "How old is your child?"). In cases where participants had multiple children within the designated age range with ADHD, they were instructed to answer the questions with their youngest child in mind. Two attention check questions ("Please select the option that is not a vegetable" and "Please select Agree") were included at random timepoints to identify inattentive respondents (Shamon & Berning, 2020; Silber et al., 2022).

Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale

The Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale (KADDS; Sciutto & Feldhamer, 2005) is a 39-item measure of a person's knowledge of ADHD. This measure was selected because it is the most used ADHD measure and has the most reported psychometric evidence (Golson et al., 2023). Questions are presented with "true", "false", and "don't know" response options. Psychometric support for the KADDS has been extensively evaluated in samples of general and special education teachers (e.g., Sciutto et al., 2004), school personnel (e.g., Herbert et al., 2004), and college students (Sciutto & Terjesen, 2004). Across samples, the KADDS demonstrates strong internal consistency ($\alpha = .80$ -.90) and moderate test-retest reliability (r = .59-.76). Criterion validity of the KADDS is supported with teacher samples; teachers who consume more information sources related to ADHD receive higher scores on the measure (Sciutto & Feldhamer, 2005). The KADDS has been administered to parents previously (e.g., Climie & Henley, 2018; Losapio, 2010) but not evaluated for reliability and validity in this population. The KADDS is scored by totaling the number of correctly answered questions. In the present sample, the KADDS demonstrated acceptable internal consistency ($\alpha = .78$).

ADHD Stigma Questionnaire

Participants completed the ADHD Stigma Questionnaire (ASQ; Kellison et al., 2010) to evaluate parent stigma toward ADHD. The ASQ is a 26-item measure presented on a 4-point Likert scale (1 = "strongly disagree" to 4 = "strongly agree"). It was initially developed and evaluated for psychometric quality in a sample of adolescents (Kellison et al., 2010). The ASQ demonstrated strong internal consistency (α = .93). Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) supported a three-factor structure. Strong internal consistency (α = .92) and the proposed factor structure were confirmed in a sample of general and special education teachers. In the current sample, the ASQ demonstrated similarly strong internal consistency (α = .95). The ASQ is scored by averaging all item responses. Higher average scores indicate greater ADHD stigma.

Intervention Favorability Ratings

Sliding scale items were used to measure participant favorability broadly toward (1) intervention for children with ADHD, (2) for their child specifically, and (3) for their child if intervention were recommended by a professional. Additional specific ratings were obtained for specific ADHD intervention types, including: medication, psychological and behavioral support, parent training, and educational support such as special education or 504-plan accommodations. For each intervention type, parents rated favorability across the same three scenarios as the broad ratings. A total of 15 sliders were presented. The scales ranged from 0 ("strongly disagree") to 100 ("strongly agree")

following the foundational prompt "I have a favorable opinion of . . . " Internal consistency for favorability ratings was strong ($\alpha = .96$).

Procedure

Participants were recruited through Prolific (2023), a market research crowdsourcing service. Prolific has been used in previous research, demonstrating high data quality compared to other panel and crowdsourcing options (e.g., Douglas, et al., 2023; Peer et al., 2021). Potential participants were presented with a brief description of the study, inclusion criteria, and a link to the survey, which included screening questions, the informed consent document, the demographic survey, KADDS, ASQ, and intervention favorability rating scales. The latter three elements were presented in randomized order to reduce order effects. Those who did not meet inclusion criteria were immediately exited from the survey prior to presentation of the informed consent document. Following completion of the survey, participants were paid \$10 for their time. See Appendix C for data collection materials.

Data Analysis Plan

Data cleaning and analysis were conducted in RStudio (Version 2023.09.25). First, the outcome measures were scored per the respective measures' scoring guidelines, resulting in a total correct score on the KADDS and a mean rating score on the ASQ. Some participants were missing responses (n = 22, 8.3%), in most cases missing only 1 item, with no evidence of systematic or cross-measure missingness. Intervention favorability ratings were averaged across intervention recipient (i.e., children with ADHD, the participant's child, and their child if recommended by a professional) for each intervention type to create five intervention favorability scores. Additionally, the first three broad intervention favorability ratings were also averaged across intervention recipient to create a broad intervention favorability score.

Missingness was visualized using the package "naniar" (Tierney & Cook, 2023). As such, in cases where participants were missing 1-2 items on the KADDS, their missing responses were marked as incorrect. The one case where more than 2 items were missing was excluded from scoring. For participants missing 1 item on the ASQ (no more than 1 item was missing on this measure), averages were computed excluding that item. Similar proration of item scores has been supported in conjunction with full information maximum likelihood (FIML) estimation where missingness is minimal and does not appear to be systematic (Wu et al., 2022). The combination of item proration and FIML has been supported in mitigating loss of power and produces less biased and more efficient estimates relative to other methods such as multiple imputations (e.g., Enders & Bandalos, 2001; Mazza et al., 2015).

Second, descriptive statistics were computed for parent and child demographic variables and performance on outcome measures. Internal consistency using Cronbach's alpha was computed for the outcome measures to evaluate reliability and validity of these measures in the current sample. Internal consistency analyses were conducted using the "psych" package (Revelle, 2023). Correlations between outcome measures were computed and associated scatterplots generated to evaluate statistical significance and linearity of relations. Pearson product moment correlations were generated using the "furniture" package (Barrett & Brignone, 2017). Third and finally, a path analysis through structural equation modeling (SEM) was conducted to evaluate the proposed mediating role of stigma in the relation between ADHD knowledge and overall intervention favorability, such that greater ADHD knowledge leads to decreased stigma which, in turn, increases intervention favorability (see Figure 2). With the "lavaan" (Rosseel, 2012) package, the model was fit using FIML to account for missingness and to estimate means and variances for relations between variables with each other rather than using existing sample means. SEM was selected over Hayes' (2017) PROCESS mediation algorithm, which relies on regression, because the latter does not allow for missingness in the data. Covariates were not added to the model because the initial model did not achieve statistical significance (see Results). Informed by correlations within the sample and literature (e.g., Sciutto, 2015; Vereb & DiPerna, 2004), two additional exploratory path models were generated and evaluated (1) using the broad intervention favorability rating for children with ADHD and (2) the average of medication favorability ratings.

Results

Participants on average answered 20.7 (SD = 5.6) KADDS items correctly, of a possible 39. The average stigma score on the ASQ was 2.6 (SD = 0.5). The broad intervention favorability rating average (M = 67.9, SD = 23.3), as well as average intervention favorability toward psychological and behavioral supports (M = 72.9, SD = 22.0), parent training (M = 72.1, SD = 21.1), and educational support (M = 68.7, SD = 25.1) were generally high. The lowest average favorability rating was toward medication (M = 53.8, SD = 29.3). See Table 3.

Pearson product moment correlations between ADHD knowledge as measured by the KADDS, ADHD stigma scores on the ASQ, and average broad intervention favorability ratings were not statistically significant. KADDS scores were only significantly correlated with average medication favorability, r = .175, p = .004, and the broad favorability rating for intervention for children with ADHD, r = .133, p = .030. ASQ scores were likewise positively and significantly correlated with average medication favorability, r = .171, p = .005. As expected, intervention favorability ratings were all significantly correlated with each other, p < .001. However, the correlations between average broad intervention favorability and intervention favorability for children with ADHD, for the participant's child, and when recommended by a professional should be interpreted with caution as these ratings comprise the average broad intervention favorability score (see Table 5).

The proposed mediation model that assumed decreased ADHD stigma mediates the causal relationship between increased ADHD knowledge and increased average broad intervention favorability did not meet statistical significance. This is unsurprising given the non-significant correlation between these variables in this sample. Specifically, while the direct effect of ADHD knowledge on average broad intervention favorability was approaching significance, b = 0.474, p = .060, there was no evidence in this sample of an indirect effect of ADHD knowledge on ADHD stigma, b = 0.004, p = .441, or of ADHD stigma on average broad intervention favorability, b = 3.380, p = .232.

Due to the significant correlations between ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability for children with ADHD, a path analysis was conducted substituting average broad intervention favorability from the original model with broad intervention favorability for children with ADHD. This model supported a significant direct effect of ADHD knowledge on broad intervention favorability for children with ADHD, b = 0.538, p = .033. The indirect effects of ADHD knowledge on ADHD stigma, b = 0.004, p = .441, and of ADHD stigma on broad favorability toward intervention for children with ADHD, b = 3.470, p = .221 were again not supported.

A final variation on the proposed model substituted average broad intervention favorability with average favorability toward medication. This model again yielded a significant direct effect of ADHD knowledge on average medication favorability, b =0.866, p = .005. The indirect effect of ADHD stigma on average medication favorability was also supported, b = 9.517, p = .006. However, the indirect effect of ADHD knowledge on ADHD stigma was not statistically significant, b = 0.004, p = .440. See Figure 3 for an illustration of models.

Discussion

The current study aimed to evaluate the potential mediating role of ADHD stigma in the relation between increased ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability. Broadly, parents in this sample demonstrated ADHD knowledge levels (e.g., Climie & Henley, 2018; Losapio, 2010), ADHD stigma (e.g., Kellison et al., 2010), and intervention favorability (e.g., Corkum et al., 1999) consistent with previous literature. By comparison, the lack of correlation between ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and average broad intervention favorability differs from the extant literature. It is wellestablished within the related fields (Lu et al., 2021), and mental health broadly (Simmons et al., 2017), that greater knowledge is correlated with lower stigma across populations. While this relation has not been well studied within ADHD, the failure to replicate it in the current sample points to the need for additional inquiry. It may be that, like Leung (2014) found in China, other variables such as participant age or cultural values are better predictors of ADHD stigma.

ADHD knowledge and broad average intervention favorability ratings were likewise not significantly correlated in this sample. However, small significant positive correlations between ADHD knowledge and medication favorabilty were observed, like the findings of Sciutto (2015) in a general population sample. ADHD knowledge was also significantly positively correlated with intervention favorabilty for children with ADHD broadly. The differences in relations across ratings suggests that parent views of intervention may not be generalizable across modalities and populations. Likewise, the relation to ADHD knowledge varies. This trend may account for the discrepant findings in the broader literature regarding the relation. For example, while some studies found ADHD knowledge to be correlated with favorability toward medication (e.g., Sciutto, 2015), others did not (e.g., Corkum et al., 1999). Additional research examining the variability in parent views of interventions across modalities and populations is warranted.

The proposed mediation model hypothesizing that increased ADHD knowledge leads to increased average broad intervention favorability by way of decreased ADHD stigma was not supported in this sample. This is unsurprising given the lack of significant correlation between these variables within the sample. However, given the discrepant findings in the literature surrounding these variables' interrelations (e.g., Bussing et al., 2012; Taylor & Antshel, 2021) as well as the above-mentioned variability in intervention favorability ratings may point to a possible explanation. Notably, while Bussing and colleagues (2012) evaluated predictors of intervention favorability broadly, stigma toward interventions rather than toward ADHD broadly was included in the model. Conversely, Taylor and Antshel (2021) evaluated predictors of favorability toward medication and behavioral interventions specifically and measured ADHD stigma broadly. It appears the interrelations between ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and intervention favorability are more complex and specific than has yet been systematically investigated.

This possibility is supported by the two exploratory path models computed using (1) broach intervention favorability for children with ADHD and (2) medication favorability. In both cases, while the indirect effect of ADHD knowledge on ADHD stigma remains unsupported, the direct effect of ADHD knowledge on the favorability in both models was supported. Further, in the case of medication favorability but not for broad intervention favorability for children with ADHD, ADHD stigma had a small positive indirect effect. Parents with greater ADHD stigma were slightly more likely to endorse favorable views of medication as an intervention for ADHD. This is contrary to the relation noted by Taylor and Antshel (2021), which noted a negative correlation. Thus, additional research is needed to better understand the intricacies of parent ADHD knowledge, stigma, and intervention favorability.

Implications

The findings of this study and extant literature suggest that parents continue to demonstrate ADHD knowledge gaps. Given the protective effect of parent ADHD

knowledge (e.g., Taylor et al., 2020) and its direct link to increased intervention favorability for children with ADHD, endeavors to increase parent knowledge are paramount. Systematic factors such as access to information sources (e.g., Bussing et al., 2007; Sciutto, 2015) may influence ADHD knowledge. Thus, public awareness campaigns that utilize a variety of modalities (e.g., video recordings, billboards, pamphlets, community seminar series) may aid in increasing knowledge across populations. Further, practitioners in schools, primary care, and more intensive care settings should prioritize psychoeducation throughout the course of services, particularly during screening and diagnosis to best position parents and families.

The findings of this study also suggest that ADHD knowledge and ADHD stigma may not be related as expected and observed in other fields and disorders. Established practice and wisdom attempts to reduce stigma through psychoeducation and increasing knowledge (e.g., Simmons et al., 2017). However, if the two variables are not related, additional interventions may be warranted to reduce ADHD stigma and its associated negative impacts. For example, finding opportunities to increase contact and/or proximity with people with ADHD may be a valuable addition to current psychoeducational programs.

Finally, the variability in parent intervention favorability based on intervention type and population and its implications for the causal relation with ADHD knowledge suggests that practitioners need to be intentional and thorough when discussing recommendations. Taking time to discuss parent perceptions of each recommended intervention, explain its structure and benefits, and problem-solve possible barriers to access rather than advocating for intervention generally and providing a list of possible agencies and clinics may improve parent knowledge of intervention, intervention favorability, and engagement with services. For public health, this finding suggests that specificity in materials and campaigns (e.g., for medication) rather than promoting awareness of services generally may be warranted.

Limitations and Future Directions

Some limitations of the current study include the limited representation of racially and ethnically minoritized participants relative to White participants. While our sample demographics overrepresent Black or African American and multiracial parents, Latinx and White parents were underrepresented relative to the 2020 United States' Census (U.S. Census Bureau, 2020). Given the disparities in ADHD information access (Bussing et al., 2007) and service utilization (Eiraldi et al., 2006), additional research would benefit from oversampling techniques. Additionally, the current study administered all measures concurrently. To further understand the interrelations (or lack thereof) between ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and intervention favorability, future studies might measure these and additional outcome variables (e.g., treatment engagement, treatment adherence) before and after psychoeducational intervention.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2023). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-5-TR).* Washington, DC: Author.
- Arnold, L. E., Hodgkins, P., Kahle, J., Madhoo, M., & Kewley, G. (2020). Long-term outcomes of ADHD: academic achievement and performance. *Journal of attention disorders*, 24(1), 73-85.
- Bai, G., Wang, Y., Yang, L., & Niu, W. (2015). Effectiveness of a focused, brief psychoeducation program for parents of ADHD children: Improvement of medication

adherence and symptoms. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment, 11*, 2721–2735.

- Barrett T, Brignone E (2017). Furniture for Quantitative Scientists. *The R Journal, 9*(2), 142-148.
- Bussing, R., Gary, F. A., Mills, T. L., & Garvan, C. W. (2007). Cultural variations in health beliefs, knowledge, and information sources related to attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of Family Issues*, 28(3), 291-318.
- Bussing, R., Schoenberg, N. E., & Perwien, A. R. (1998). Knowledge and information about ADHD: Evidence of cultural differences among African-American and white parents. *Social Science & Medicine*, 46(7), 919–928.
- Bussing, R., Zima, B. T., Mason, D. M., Meyer, J. M., White, K., & Garvan, C. W.
 (2012). ADHD knowledge, perceptions, and information sources: Perspectives from a community sample of adolescents and their parents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *51*, 593–600.

- Canu, W. H., Newman, M. L., Morrow, T. L., & Pope, D. L. (2008). Social appraisal of adult ADHD: stigma and influences of the beholder's Big Five personality traits. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 11(6), 700-710.
- Charach, A., Yeung, E., Climans, T., & Lillie, E. (2011). Childhood attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and future substance use disorders: Comparative meta-analyses. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 50(1), 9–21.
- Climie, E.A. (2015). ADHD in schools: Adopting a strengths-based perspective. *Canadian Psychology*, *56*(3), 295-300.

Climie, E. A., & Henley, L. (2018). Canadian parents and children's knowledge of ADHD.

Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies, 13(3), 266–275.

Coleman, D., Walker, J. S., Lee, J., Friesen, B. J., & Squire, P. N. (2009). Children's beliefs

about causes of childhood depression and ADHD: A study of stigmatization.

Psychiatric

Services, 60(7), 950-957.

Corkum, P., Rimer, P., & Schachar, R. (1999). Parental Knowledge of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Opinions of Treatment Options: Impact on Enrolment and

Adherence to a 12-Month Treatment Trial. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 44(10),

1043–1048.

- Cuffe, S. P., Moore, C. G., & McKeown, R. (2009). ADHD and health services utilization in the national health interview survey. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 12(4), 330-340.
- Douglas, B. D., Ewell, P. J., & Brauer, M. (2023). Data quality in online human-subjects research: Comparisons between MTurk, Prolific, CloudResearch, Qualtrics, and SONA. *Plos one*, 18(3), e0279720.
- Eiraldi, R. B., Mazzuca, L. B., Clarke, A. T., & Power, T. J. (2006). Service utilization among ethnic minority children with ADHD: A model of help-seeking behavior. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 33, 607-622.
- Enders, C. K., & Bandalos, D. L. (2001). The relative performance of full information maximum likelihood estimation for missing data in structural equation models. *Structural equation modeling*, 8(3), 430-457.
- Flood, M., Hayden, J. C., Gavin, B., & McNicholas, F. (2019). A qualitative study exploring the decision of parents to use medication in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 15(9), 1095–1101.
- Fritz, M. S., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2007). Required sample size to detect the mediated effect. *Psychological science*, *18*(3), 233-239.
- Gerdes, A. C., Lawton, K. E., Haack, L. M., & Hurtado, G. D. (2013). Assessing ADHD in Latino families: Evidence for moving beyond symptomatology. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 17(2), 128-140.

- Gerdes, A. C., Malkoff, A., Kapke, T. L., & Grace, M. (2020). Parental ADHD Knowledge in Latinx Families: Gender Differences and Treatment Effects. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 1087054720951853.
- Gilmore, L. (2010). Community knowledge and beliefs about ADHD. *The Educational and Developmental Psychologist, 27*(1), 20-30.
- Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., Ha, J., Stigler, R., Bera, J., Kieffer, K. E. B., & Gibson,A. (in review). A systematic review of ADHD knowledge measures and their psychometric support. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*.
- Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., Roanhorse, T. T., Galliher, R. V., & Domenech

Rodriguez, M.

- M. (in review). The experience of ADHD as reported by racially and ethnically minoritized adolescents. *The Journal of Attention Disorders*.
- Grygiel, P., Humenny, G., Rębisz, S., Bajcar, E., & Świtaj, P. (2018). Peer rejection and perceived quality of relations with schoolmates among children with ADHD.
 Journal of attention disorders, 22(8), 738-751.
- Hayes, A. F. (2017). Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach. Guilford publications.
- Herbert, J. D., Crittenden, K., & Dalrymple, K. L. (2004). Knowledge of social anxiety disorder

relative to attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among education professional. Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 33, 366-372.

IDEIA. (2004). *Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004*. Pub. L. no. 108-446. 108th Congress, 2nd Session.

- Jitendra, A. K., DuPaul, G. J., Someki, F., & Tresco, K. E. (2008). Enhancing academic achievement for children with Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: Evidence from school-based intervention research. *Developmental disabilities research reviews*, 14(4), 325-330.
- Kellison, I., Bussing, R., Bell, L., & Garvan, C. (2010). Assessment of stigma associated with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: psychometric evaluation of the ADHD stigma questionnaire. *Psychiatry research*, 178(2), 363-369.
- Leung, K. K. W. (2014). Attitudes of Chinese people towards children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Alliant International University.
- Losapio, G. (2010). *Children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Treatment methods and parental perceptions* [Psy.D., St. John's University (New York)].
- Lu, M., Wang, R., Zou, Y., & Pang, F. (2021). Chinese College Students' Knowledge of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and Social Distance from Individuals with ASD: The Mediating Role of Negative Stereotypes. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 1-10.
- Martel, M., Nikolas, M., & Nigg, J. T. (2007). Executive function in adolescents with ADHD. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *46*(11), 1437-1444.
- Mazza, G. L., Enders, C. K., & Ruehlman, L. S. (2015). Addressing item-level missing data: A comparison of proration and full information maximum likelihood estimation. *Multivariate behavioral research*, 50(5), 504-519.

- Morris, S., Sheen, J., Ling, M., Foley, D., & Sciberras, E. (2021). Interventions for adolescents with ADHD to improve peer social functioning: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of attention disorders*, 25(10), 1479-1496.
- Ochoa, S. H., Riccio, C., Jimenez, S., Garcia de Alba, R., & Sines, M. (2004).
 Psychological assessment of English language learners and/or bilingual students: An investigation of school psychologists' current practices. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 22*, 185-208.
- Peer, E., Rothschild, D., Gordon, A., Evernden, Z., & Damer, E. (2021). Data quality of platforms and panels for online behavioral research. *Behavior Research Methods*, 54, 1643-1662.
- Perle, J. G., & Vasilevskis, G. (2021). Psychologists' evidence-informed knowledge of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): Evaluating the domains of informational strength and areas for improvement. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 52*(3), 213.
- Pescosolido, B. A., Fettes, D. L., Martin, J. K., Monahan, J., & McLeod, J. D. (2007). Perceived dangerousness of children with mental health problems and support for coerced treatment. *Psychiatric Services*, 58(5), 619-625.
- Rehabilitation Act (1973). *Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973*. 34 C.F.R. Part 104.
- William Revelle (2023). psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Personality Research. Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois. R package version 2.3.9, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych>.

- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software, 48*(2), 1-36.
- Sciutto, M. J. (2015). ADHD Knowledge, Misconceptions, and Treatment Acceptability. Journal of Attention Disorders, 19(2), 91–98.
- Sciutto, M. J., & Feldhamer, E. (2005). *Test manual for the Knowledge of Attention* Deficit Disorders Scale (KADDS). Unpublished Test Manual.
- Sciutto, M. J., Nolfi, C. J., & Bluhm, C. A. (2004). Effects of child gender and symptom type on elementary school teachers' referrals for ADHD. *Journal of Emotional* and Behavioral Disorders, 12, 247 -253.
- Sciutto, M. J. & Terjesen, M. D. (2004). *Psychometric properties of the Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale (KADDS)*. Unpublished test development data.
- Shamon, H., & Berning, C. (2020). Attention check items and instructions in online surveys with incentivized and non-incentivized samples: Boon or bane for data quality? *Survey Research Methods*, 14(1), 55-77.
- Silber, H., Robmann, J., & Gummer, T. (2022). The issue of noncompliance in attention check questions: False positives in instructed response items. *Field Methods*, 34(4), 346-360.
- Simmons, L., Jones, T., & Bradley, E. (2017). Reducing mental health stigma: the relationship between knowledge and attitude change. *European Journal of Mental Health*, 1(12), 25-40.
- Taylor, L. E., & Antshel, K. M. (2021). Factors associated with parental treatment attitudes and information-seeking behaviors for childhood ADHD. *Journal of attention disorders*, 25(4), 607-617.

- Taylor, L. A., Climie, E. A., & Yue, M. W.-Y. (2020). The role of parental stress and knowledge of condition on incidences of bullying and ostracism among children with ADHD. *Children's Health Care*, 49(1), 20-39.
- Tierney, N., & Cook, D. (2023). Expanding Tidy Data Principles to Facilitate Missing Data Exploration, Visualization and Assessment of Imputations. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 105(7), 1-31.
- Toye, M. K., Wilson, C., & Wardle, G. A. (2019). Education professionals' attitudes towards the inclusion of children with ADHD: The role of knowledge and stigma. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 19(3), 184–196.
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2020). U.S. Census Quick Facts: https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/PST045222
- Vacher, C., Goujon, A., Romo, L., & Purper-Ouakil, D. (2020). Efficacy of psychosocial interventions for children with ADHD and emotion dysregulation: a systematic review. *Psychiatry research*, 291, 113151.
- Vereb, R. L., & DiPerna, J. C. (2004). Research Brief: Teachers' Knowledge of ADHD, Treatments for ADHD, and Treatment Acceptability: An Initial Investigation. *School Psychology Review*, 33(3), 421–428.
- Wolraich, M. L., Hagan, J. F., Allan, C., Chan, E., Davison, D., Earls, M., ... & Zurhellen, W. (2019). Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics, 144*(4).

Wu, W., Gu, F., & Fukui, S. (2022). Combining proration and full information maximum likelihood in handling missing data in Likert scale items: A hybrid approach. *Behavior Research Methods*, 54(2), 922-940.

	n	%
Race/Ethnicity		
White	177	66.5
Black or African American	41	15.4
Latino/a/x or Hispanic	16	6
Asian or Asian American	16	6
Multiracial	11	4.1
Native American	3	1.1
Prefer Not to Respond	2	0.8
Gender		
Woman	131	49.2
Man	131	49.2
Nonbinary	2	0.8
Prefer Not to Respond	2	0.8
Employment Status		
Full-Time	185	69.5
Part-Time	41	15.4
Homemaker	18	6.8
Unemployed and Looking for Work	13	4.9
Unemployed and Not Looking for Work	5	1.9
Retired	3	1.1
Student	1	0.4
	M	SD
Age in Years	42.1	12.4
Household Income (in dollars)	83228.90	54172.3
KADDS Total Correct Score	20.7	5.6
ASQ Score	2.6	0.5
Broad Intervention Favorability for Children with ADHD	67.5	23.5
Broad Intervention Favorability for Participant's Child	67.1	24.4
Broad Intervention Favorability if Recommended	69.3	24.3
Broad Average Intervention Favorability	67.9	23.3
Average Medication Favorability	53.8	29.3
Average Psychological and Behavioral Support	72.9	22.0
Favorability		
Average Parent Training Favorability	72.1	21.1
Average Educational Support Favorability	68.7	25.1

 Table 3

 Summary statistics for participant demographic information

Notes. Possible KADDS score ranges from 0-39. ASQ possible scores range from 1-4. Favorability ratings completed on a 0-100 slider scale.

	n	<u>%</u>
Race/Ethnicity		
White	169	63.5
Black or African American	42	15.8
Latino/a/x or Hispanic	21	7.9
Asian or Asian American	16	6
Multiracial	16	6
Native American	2	0.8
Gender		
Girl	66	24.8
Boy	197	74.1
Prefer Not to Respond	3	1.1
Diagnosing Professional		
Pediatrician	127	47.7
Psychiatrist	57	21.4
Psychologist	79	29.7
Other	3	1.1
Special Education Services		
Yes	103	38.7
No	163	61.3
Currently Receiving Intervention		
Yes	261	98.1
No	5	1.9
Previously Received Intervention		
Yes	262	98.5
No	4	1.5
	M	SD
Current Age in Years	10.7	3.6
Age in Years at Diagnosis	7.4	3.0
Number of Symptoms Endorsed	6.1	3.6

 Table 4

 Summary statistics for selected child demographic information

Notes. Number of symptoms calculated by summing the number of endorsed symptom statements derived from the DSM-5 criteria for ADHD for a possible maximum of 18.

Correlations between outcom										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
(1) KADDS Score	1.00									
(2) ASQ Score	.047 (.442)	1.00								
(3) Broad Average Favorability	.118 (.055)	.078 (.204)	1.00							
(4) Medication Favorability	.175 (.004)**	.171 (.005)**	.299 (<.001)** *	1.00						
(5) Psychological /Behavioral Favorability	.105 (.088)	.083 (.177)	.612 (<.001) ***	.294 (<.001) ***	1.00					
(6) Parent Training Favorability	.051 (.409)	.070 (.257)	.499 (<.001) ***	.304 (<.001) ***	.555 (<.001) ***	1.00				
(7) Education Support Favorability	.0385 (.534)	.009 (.879)	.604 (<.001) ***	.314 (<.001) ***	.572 (<.001) ***	.565 (<.001) ***	1.00			
(8) Broad Favorability for Children with ADHD	.133 (.030)*	.080 (.193)	.960 (<.001) ***	.304 (<.001) ***	.598 (<.001) ***	.521 (<.001) ***	.565 (<.001) ***	1.00		
(9) Broad Favorability for Their Child	.108 (.079)	.077 (.209)	.973 (<.001) ***	.278 (<.001) ***	.573 (<.001) ***	.468 (<.001) ***	.599 (<.001) ***	.899 (<.001) ***	1.00	
(10) Broad Favorability if Recommended	.112 (.069)	.070 (.255)	.970 (<.001) ***	.289 (<.001) ***	.603 (<.001) ***	.463 (<.001) ***	.586 (<.001) ***	.890 (<.001) ***	.925 (<.001) ***	1.00

Table 5Correlations between outcome measures

Note. * p > .05, ** p > .01, p > .001; broad average favorability derived from an average of ratings of intervention favorability for children with ADHD overall, the participant's child, and when recommended by a professional.



Figure 2

An illustration of the proposed relation between ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and intervention favorability using a mediation model







An illustration of the three path models depicting the relation between ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and various intervention favorability ratings and their associated parameter estimates (*b*). *Note*. Dotted lines indicate direct and indirect effects that are not statistically significant. Solid lines indicate direct and indirect effects that are statistically significant. Statistical significance indicated by an asterisk: * p < .05, ** p < .01 *** p < .001.

CHAPTER 4

GENERAL DISCUSSION

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental condition characterized by inattention and/or hyperactivity and impulsivity (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2023). In addition to the symptoms and behaviors characteristic of ADHD, it often co-occurs with conditions such as oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) and conduct disorder (CD) (Barkley, 2015), substance use disorders (Charach et al., 2011), mood disorders such as anxiety or depression (Sandstrom et al., 2021), and learning disorders (APA, 2023). The symptoms of ADHD paired with its possible co-occurring conditions can lend to a variety of potential suboptimal outcomes for individuals including greater risk of encounters with school discipline (Robb et al., 2011) and the criminal justice system (Bussing et al., 2012), peer rejection and bullying (Grygiel et al., 2018), and academic and occupational challenges (Barkley & Fischer, 2011). However, access to and receipt of timely identification and intervention is associated with improved outcomes (Arnold et al., 2020).

Knowledge of ADHD appears to be associated with positive views of and engagement in identification and intervention services (e.g., Eiraldi et al., 2006). In particular, the literature suggests the knowledge of ADHD has influence on favorability toward intervention across populations, including teachers (Vereb & DiPerna, 2004), parents (Corkum et al., 1999), and the general population (Sciutto, 2015). Increasing intervention favorability and ADHD knowledge is a possible avenue for increasing intervention engagement (Corkum et al., 1999). Additionally, ADHD knowledge can increase service quality among professionals (Perle & Vasilevskis, 2021) and decrease stigma toward ADHD (Kosyluk et al., 2016). This dissertation comprises two studies that evaluate current issues related to ADHD knowledge measurement and the relation between ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and intervention favorability. Together, these studies contribute to the understanding of ADHD knowledge and its implications for researchers, practitioners, and families.

The first study reviewed ADHD knowledge studies to evaluate reported psychometric evidence for the utilized ADHD knowledge measures across populations. While the review yielded an impressive quantity (n = 96) of measures, there was a general lack of reported psychometric evidence, which limits the ability of researchers and trainers to evaluate a measure and results from its use. The review also found that most ADHD knowledge measures are created and used with only one population, with teachers serving as the most studied population. Additionally, less than 25% of ADHD knowledge measure validation studies report racial/ethnic demographic data and among those that did, the samples were mostly White. Among the reviewed measures, a total of 10 measures demonstrated strong or promising psychometric support and fewer were used across populations and with racially and ethnically minoritized (REM) populations (e.g., the Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorders Scale [KADDS]; Sciutto et al., 2000).

Drawing from the review completed in the first study, the second study used the KADDS (Sciutto et al., 2000) in a path analysis to evaluate the causal relation between ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and intervention favorability. Within the present sample, ADHD knowledge, ADHD stigma, and broad average intervention favorability

were not correlated nor was their evidence of a causal relation between the three constructs. However, when evaluating intervention favorability through more specific lenses, significant relations emerged. Specifically, increased ADHD knowledge has a direct effect on both broad intervention favorability for children with ADHD and medication favorability. While the indirect effect of ADHD knowledge on ADHD stigma was not supported in this sample across models, ADHD stigma had a significant indirect effect on medication favorability. Taken together, these findings support the extant literature that ADHD knowledge and stigma are important avenues for influencing parent favorability toward intervention. However, the relations do not hold across interventions overall and indicate the factors associated with parent intervention favorability may vary across intervention modality. Further research is warranted to better understand these relations in parents and other populations.

This dissertation contributes meaningfully to the broader literature on ADHD knowledge. While ADHD knowledge benefits from significant scholarly inquiry, previous research has neglected to evaluate the current state of the psychometric quality of ADHD knowledge measures or evaluate the relation between ADHD knowledge and related constructs such as ADHD intervention favorability. The systematic review of ADHD knowledge measures informed measure selection for the mediation analysis and can serve a similar function for other researchers and practitioners selecting ADHD knowledge measures to best suit their population of interest for research and training. Similarly, the finding that the relation between ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability varies based on intervention type and who receives the intervention provides a valuable foundation for further inquiry into ADHD knowledge and avenues to increase favorability toward and engagement in ADHD intervention.

General Implications

The findings of the first study imply that the priorities of ADHD knowledge research may benefit from a change from the creation of new measures to a focus on evaluating and revising existing measures across populations. Similarly, when researchers, trainers, and practitioners are selecting an ADHD knowledge measure, priority should be given to measures with strong psychometric quality across populations as well as applicability to the population of interest. For example, despite the significant number of ADHD knowledge measures, very few include racial/ethnic demographic information in their sample statistics and, among those that do, representation of REM participants is lacking. Efforts to validate (and revise as needed) existing ADHD knowledge measures in diverse samples can improve the broader understanding of ADHD knowledge.

The systematic review illustrated the relative lack of scholarly attention given to ADHD knowledge among parents. The second study is consistent with previous research that parents demonstrate knowledge gaps warranting further study and psychoeducational efforts. Public health campaigns and individual or group psychoeducational efforts may also benefit from tailored and specific information about interventions for ADHD to increase parent knowledge of their child's options and favorability toward those interventions. Use of valid and reliable ADHD knowledge measures can serve as an important tool to evaluate the efficacy of these efforts.

128

Review of Future Directions

Given that the systematic review was limited to peer-reviewed articles, dissertations, and theses available in English, it is likely that there are additional ADHD knowledge measures exist and could provide additional insight into trends in ADHD knowledge measurement broadly as well as factors that may predict publication of a measure. Future research may include additional gray literature and collaborate with international researchers to review measures available only in other languages to better understand the breadth and quality of available tools. In both the psychometric evaluation of ADHD knowledge measures and their use in studies such as the described mediation analysis, possible oversampling of REM participants to combat the previous underrepresentation. Further, to better understand the causal relation between ADHD knowledge and other outcomes such as intervention favorability, future research may evaluate ADHD knowledge and intervention favorability before and after psychoeducational intervention.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2023). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-5-TR).* Washington, DC: Author.
- Arnold, L. E., Hodgkins, P., Kahle, J., Madhoo, M., & Kewley, G. (2020). Long-term outcomes of ADHD: academic achievement and performance. *Journal of attention disorders*, 24(1), 73-85.
- Barkley, R. A. (2015). *Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (4th ed.)*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R. A., & Fischer, M. (2011). Predicting impairment in major life activities and occupational functioning in hyperactive children as adults: Self-reported executive function (EF) deficits versus EF tests. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 36(2), 137-161.
- Bussing, R., Zima, B. T., Mason, D. M., Meyer, J. M., White, K., & Garvan, C. W.
 (2012). ADHD knowledge, perceptions, and information sources: Perspectives from a community sample of adolescents and their parents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *51*, 593–600.
- Charach, A., Yeung, E., Climans, T., & Lillie, E. (2011). Childhood attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and future substance use disorders: Comparative meta-analyses. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 50(1), 9–21.
- Corkum, P., Rimer, P., & Schachar, R. (1999). Parental Knowledge of Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Opinions of Treatment Options: Impact on Enrolment

and Adherence to a 12-Month Treatment Trial. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, *44*(10), 1043–1048.

- Eiraldi, R. B., Mazzuca, L. B., Clarke, A. T., & Power, T. J. (2006). Service utilization among ethnic minority children with ADHD: A model of help-seeking behavior. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 33, 607-622.
- Grygiel, P., Humenny, G., Rębisz, S., Bajcar, E., & Świtaj, P. (2018). Peer rejection and perceived quality of relations with schoolmates among children with ADHD. *Journal of attention disorders*, 22(8), 738-751.
- Kosyluk, K. A., Al-Khouja, M., Bink, A., Buchholz, B., Ellefson, S., Fokuo, K., ... & Corrigan, P. W. (2016). Challenging the stigma of mental illness among college students. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 59(3), 325-331.
- Perle, J. G., & Vasilevskis, G. (2021). Psychologists' evidence-informed knowledge of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): Evaluating the domains of informational strength and areas for improvement. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 52(3), 213.
- Robb, J. A., Sibley, M. H., Pelham, W. E., Michael Foster, E., Molina, B. S., Gnagy, E.M., & Kuriyan, A. B. (2011). The estimated annual cost of ADHD to the US education system. *School mental health*, *3*, 169-177.
- Sandstrom, A., Perroud, N., Alda, M., Uher, R., & Pavlova, B. (2021). Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in people with mood disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 143(5), 380-391.

- Sciutto, M. J., Terjesen, M. D., & Frank, A. S. B. (2000). Teachers' knowledge and misperceptions of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Psychology in the Schools*, 37(2), 115-122.
- Vereb, R. L., & DiPerna, J. C. (2004). Research Brief: Teachers' Knowledge of ADHD, Treatments for ADHD, and Treatment Acceptability: An Initial Investigation. *School Psychology Review*, 33(3), 421–428.
APPENDICES

Appendix A. Author Permission Forms

2/10/2024

Dear Jennifer Ha:

I am in the process of preparing my dissertation in the psychology department at Utah State University. I plan to defend in March 2024 and complete my degree program in August 2024.

I am writing to you to request your permission to include our published study: "A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support" (published in 2023 in the Journal of Pediatric Psychology). I will include acknowledgments and/or appropriate citations to your work in the dissertation. The bibliographic citation listed below will appear in the corresponding dissertation chapter:

Golson, M. E., Brunson McClain, M., Ha, J., Stigler, R., Bera, J. L., Kieffer, K. E., & Gibson, A. (2023). A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 48(4), 356-374.

Please advise me of any changes you require.

Additionally, please indicate your approval of this request by signing in the space provided. If you have any questions, please call me at the number below. I look forward to your quick response.

Thank you for your previous collaboration.

Best wishes,

Megan Golson, MS Doctoral Candidate School Psychology Utah State University

I hereby give permission to Megan Golson to use the above listed published work in her dissertation.

Signed Jennifer Ha 02/10/24

Date:

Dear Renae Stigler:

I am in the process of preparing my dissertation in the psychology department at Utah State University. I plan to defend in March 2024 and complete my degree program in August 2024.

I am writing to you to request your permission to include our published study: "A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support" (published in 2023 in the *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*). I will include acknowledgments and/or appropriate citations to your work in the dissertation. The bibliographic citation listed below will appear in the corresponding dissertation chapter:

Golson, M. E., Brunson McClain, M., Ha, J., Stigler, R., Bera, J. L., Kieffer, K. E., & Gibson, A. (2023). A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 48(4), 356-374.

Please advise me of any changes you require.

Additionally, please indicate your approval of this request by signing in the space provided. If you have any questions, please call me at the number below. I look forward to your quick response.

Thank you for your previous collaboration.

Best wishes,

Megan Golson, MS Doctoral Candidate School Psychology Utah State University

I hereby give permission to Megan Golson to use the above listed published work in her dissertation.

Signed: Renae Stigler

Date: 2/16/2024

Dear Jac'lyn Bera:

I am in the process of preparing my dissertation in the psychology department at Utah State University. I plan to defend in March 2024 and complete my degree program in August 2024.

I am writing to you to request your permission to include our published study: "A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support" (published in 2023 in the *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*). I will include acknowledgments and/or appropriate citations to your work in the dissertation. The bibliographic citation listed below will appear in the corresponding dissertation chapter.

Golson, M. E., Brunson McClain, M., Ha, J., Stigler, R., Bera, J. L., Kieffer, K. E., & Gibson, A. (2023). A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 48(4), 356-374.

Please advise me of any changes you require.

Additionally, please indicate your approval of this request by signing in the space provided. If you have any questions, please call me at the number below. I look forward to your quick response.

Thank you for your previous collaboration.

Best wishes,

Megan Golson, MS Doctoral Candidate School Psychology <u>Utah State University</u>

I hereby give permission to Megan Golson to use the above listed published work in her dissertation.

Signed: 2/28/2024 Date:

Dear Kaelah Kieffer:

I am in the process of preparing my dissertation in the psychology department at Utah State University. I plan to defend in March 2024 and complete my degree program in August 2024.

I am writing to you to request your permission to include our published study: "A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support" (published in 2023 in the *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*). I will include acknowledgments and/or appropriate citations to your work in the dissertation. The bibliographic citation listed below will appear in the corresponding dissertation chapter:

Golson, M. E., Brunson McClain, M., Ha, J., Stigler, R., Bera, J. L., Kieffer, K. E. B., & Gibson, A. (2023). A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 48(4), 356-374.

Please advise me of any changes you require.

Additionally, please indicate your approval of this request by signing in the space provided. If you have any questions, please call me at the number below. I look forward to your quick response.

Thank you for your previous collaboration.

Best wishes,

Megan Golson, MS Doctoral Candidate School Psychology Utah State University

I hereby give permission to Megan Golson to use the above listed published work in her dissertation.

Signed: Date:

Dear Abigail Gibson:

I am in the process of preparing my dissertation in the psychology department at Utah State University. I plan to defend in March 2024 and complete my degree program in August 2024.

I am writing to you to request your permission to include our published study: "A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support" (published in 2023 in the *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*). I will include acknowledgments and/or appropriate citations to your work in the dissertation. The bibliographic citation listed below will appear in the corresponding dissertation chapter:

Golson, M. E., Brunson McClain, M., Ha, J., Stigler, R., Bera, J. L., Kieffer, K. E., & Gibson, A. (2023). A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 48(4), 356-374.

Please advise me of any changes you require.

Additionally, please indicate your approval of this request by signing in the space provided. If you have any questions, please call me at the number below. I look forward to your quick response.

Thank you for your previous collaboration.

Best wishes,

Megan Golson, MS Doctoral Candidate School Psychology Utah State University

I hereby give permission to Megan Golson to use the above listed published work in her dissertation.

Signed: <u>Holeful Gubson</u> Date: <u>Holeful</u>

Appendix B. Proof of Copyright and Reuse Agreement

Order Completed Thank you for your order: Thank you for your order: Thank you for your order Thank you for your order Your confirmation email will contain your order number for future reference. License Aumber \$760770124503 License date Apr 02, 2024 License Content Image: Content Publisher Licensed Content Journal of Pediatric Psychology Licensed Content Title A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Licensed Content Title A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Licensed Content Title A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Licensed Content Title A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Licensed Content Title A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Licensed Content Title Masures and The Psychonetric Will you be translating? Licensed Content Title ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connectors to Sigma and Intervention Licensed Content Tase 4 About Your Work Image Content State Intervention Measures and Connectors to Sigma and Intervention Ensettion Measures and Connectors to Sigma and Intervention Ensettion Measures and Connectors to Sigma and Intervention </th <th>OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS</th> <th>A Systematic Review of ADHD Know wthor: Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Ma 'ublication: Journal of Pediatric Psychology ublisher: Oxford University Press Pate: 2023-02-20 'opyright © 2023, © The Author(s) 2023, Published by or permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@</th> <th>rledge Measures and Th ryellen Oxford University Press on behalf of pup.com</th> <th>neir Psychometric Support</th>	OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS	A Systematic Review of ADHD Know wthor: Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Ma 'ublication: Journal of Pediatric Psychology ublisher: Oxford University Press Pate: 2023-02-20 'opyright © 2023, © The Author(s) 2023, Published by or permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@	rledge Measures and Th ryellen Oxford University Press on behalf of pup.com	neir Psychometric Support
Thank you for your order. This Agreement between Megan Golson ("You") and Oxford University Press ("Oxford University Press") consists of your license details and the terms and copyright Clearance Center. Your confirmation email will contain your order number for future reference. License Number 5760770124503 License date Apr 02, 2024 Licensed Content If Order Details Licensed Content If Order Details Licensed Content Publisher Oxford University Press Licensed Content Title Aystematic Review of ADH Knowledge Megane Golton Date Feb 20, 2023 Licensed Content Nublisher Golton, Megane E Brumon McClain, Mayelien Licensed Content Nublisher Golton, Megane E Brumon McClain, Mayelien Licensed Content State 4 About Your Work Image: Additional Data About Your Work Image: Additional Data Megan Golson Suit S Farabalon Circle Requestor Location Megan Golson Megan Golson Suit S Farabalon Circle Requestor Location Megan Golson Megan Golson Suit S Farabalon Circle Requestor Location Megan Golson Megan Golson Suit S F	Order Completed			
Vour confirmation email will consider number for future reference. License Number 5760770124503 License date A r 02, 2024 Licensed Content Order Details Licensed Content Publishtom Oxford University Press Licensed Content Title A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Mayeller Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Maryeller Licensed Content Date Feb 20, 2023 Licensed Content Date ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Title of new work ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Maxerer and Connections to Stigma and intervention Intervention Institution name Jun 2024 P Requestor Location Megan Golson 301 S Porobund Circle Requestor Location Megan Golson 301 S Porobund Circle Requestor Location McGNA, UT 84044 United States Attr: Megan Golson	Thank you for your order. This Agreement between M conditions provided by Oxfo	egan Golson ("You") and Oxford University Press (rd University Press and Copyright Clearance Cent	'Oxford University Press'') consist er.	s of your license details and the terms and
License Number 570072124503 License date Apr 02, 2024 Licensed Content Licensed Content Licensed Content Publisher Licensed Content Publisher Licensed Content Publisher Content Publisher Content Review of ADHD Knowledge Keasures and TherP Psychonogy Licensed Content Title Content Author Colors, Magan E; Brunson McClain, Margellen Licensed Content Issue 4 About Your Work About	Your confirmation email will	contain your order number for future reference	ce.	
License date Apr 02, 2024 Licensed Content Oxford University Press Oxford University Press Thesis/Dissertation Licensed Content Publication Journal of Pediatric Psychology Achyor of this OUP content Licensed Content Title ASystematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Pormat Print and electronic Licensed Content Author Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Maynelien Portion Pull article Licensed Content Volume 48 Portion No Licensed Content Volume 48 Portion No Licensed Content Volume 48 Portion Portion Licensed Content Volume 48 Portion No Licensed Content Volume 48 Portion Portion Portion Licensed Content Volume 48 Portion Portion Portion Portion Iterestion ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Intervention Megan Golson 3301 S Porabund Circle Publisher Tax ID GB125506730 Preparent Location Magan Golson 3301 S Porabund Circle Publisher Tax ID GB125506730 Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Total: 0.00 USD	License Number	5760770124503		😨 Printable Details
Licensed Content Oxford University Press Licensed Content Publisher Oxford University Press Licensed Content Publication Journal of Pediatric Psychology A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Maryelien Feb 20, 2023 Licensed Content Jate Feb 20, 2023 Licensed Content Issue 4 About Your Work ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention Jun 2024 Institution name Usa State University Jun State University Tax Details Requestor Location Megan Golson Magan Golson Magan Golson Magan Golson Magan Golson Magan Golson Sate States Attr: Megan Golson Sate States Magan Golson Sate States Magan Golson Magan Golson Magan Golson Sate States Attr: Megan Golson Sate States Magan Golson Sate States Attr: Megan Golson Sate States Attr: Megan Golson Attr: Megan Golson<	License date	Apr 02, 2024		
Licensed Content Publisher Licensed Content Publication Licensed Content Title Content Title Licensed Content Title Licensed Content Title Licensed Content Title Licensed Content Author Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Margelien Licensed Content Date Per to Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Margelien Licensed Content Volume 48 Licensed Content Issue ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention Institution name Uab State University Provide Provi	Licensed Content		Drder Details	
Licensed Content Publication journal of Pediatric Psychology ASystematic Review of ADHO Knowledge Support Full article Will you be translating? No Licensed Content Title Golson, Megan E, Brunson McClain, Maryellen Licensed Content Date Feb 20, 2023 Licensed Content Super 4 About Your Work 48 Licensed Content Issue 4 ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Intervention Journal Data Title of new work ADHO Knowledge: Support for Current Intervention Journal Data Privation name Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 Publisher Tax ID GB125506730 MaGNA, UT 84044 United States Attr: Megan Golson Jato Stars At	Licensed Content Publisher	Oxford University Press	Type of Use	Thesis/Dissertation
Licensed Content Title Asystematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Support Support Full article Massures and Their Psychometric Support Will you be translating? No Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Maryelen Licensed Content Date Feb 20, 2023 Licensed Content Volume 48 Licensed Content Issue 4 About Your Work Field Content of Current Title of new work ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Intervention Institution name Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 P Requestor Location Magan E; Brunson di Circle Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attr: Megan Golson MaGNA, UT 84044 United States Attr: Megan Golson	Licensed Content Publication	Journal of Pediatric Psychology	Requestor type	Author of this OUP content
Licensed Content Tube Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Maryellen Licensed Content Author Maryellen Licensed Content Date Feb 20, 2023 Licensed Content Issue 4 About Your Work ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Title of new work Measures and Connections to Stigma and Institution name Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 Requestor Location Magna Golson MaGNA, UT 84044 United States Attr: Megan Golson MaGNA, UT 84044 United States Attr: Megan Golson	Licensed Content Title	A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge	Format	Print and electronic
Licensed Content Author Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Maryellen Licensed Content Date Feb 20, 2023 Licensed Content Volume 48 Licensed Content Issue 4 About Your Work ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention Institution name Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 Requestor Location Magan Golson 3301 S Florabund Circle Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attn: Megan Golson Solson Solso	Licensed Content Title	Support	Portion	Full article
Licensed Content Date Feb 20, 2023 Licensed Content Volume 48 Licensed Content Issue 4 About Your Work ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention Institution name Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 Requestor Location Magna Golson 3301 S Florabund Circle Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84004 United States Attn: Megan Golson Total: 0.00 USD	Licensed Content Author	Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain,	win you be translating:	NO
Licensed Content Volume 48 Licensed Content Issue 4 ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Idle of new work ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Institution name Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 Requestor Location Institution Megan Golson 3301 S Florabund Circle Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84004 United States Attr: Megan Golson Total: 0.00 USD	Licensed Content Date	Feb 20, 2023		
Licensed Content Issue 4 ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and intervention Institution name Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 P Requestor Location Megan Golson 33015 Florabund Circle Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attr: Megan Golson Total: 0.00 USD	Licensed Content Volume	48		
About Your Work ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention Intervention Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 Requestor Location	Licensed Content Issue	4		
ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention Addition from the state University Expected presentation date Institution name Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 Requestor Location Image: Tax Details Megan Golson Bat25506730 3301 S Florabund Circle GB125506730 Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attn: Megan Golson Total: 0.00 USD Total: 0.00 USD	About Your Work		🚔 Additional Data	
Institution name Utah State University Expected presentation date Jun 2024 Requestor Location Megan Golson 3301 S Florabund Circle Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attn: Megan Golson Total: 0.00 USD	Title of new work	ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention		
Expected presentation date Jun 2024	Institution name	Utah State University		
Requestor Location Image: Tax Details Megan Golson 3301 S Florabund Circle Publisher Tax ID GB125506730 Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attr:: Megan Golson Total: 0.00 USD	Expected presentation date	Jun 2024		
Megan Golson 3301 5 Florabund Circle Publisher Tax ID GB125506730 Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attn: Megan Golson Total: 0.00 USD	Requestor Location		Tax Details	
Requestor Location MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attn: Megan Golson Total: 0.00 USD		Megan Golson 3301 S Florabund Circle	Publisher Tax ID	GB125506730
Total: 0.00 USD	Requestor Location	MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attn: Megan Golson		
				Total: 0.00 USD

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS LICENSE TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Apr 02, 2024

This Agreement between Megan Golson ("You") and Oxford University Press ("Oxford University Press") consists of your license details and the terms and conditions provided by Oxford University Press and Copyright Clearance Center.

License Number	5760770124503
License date	Apr 02, 2024
Licensed content publisher	Oxford University Press
Licensed content publication	Journal of Pediatric Psychology
Licensed content title	A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support
Licensed content author	Golson, Megan E; Brunson McClain, Maryellen
Licensed content date	Feb 20, 2023
Type of Use	Thesis/Dissertation

Institution name

Title of your work	ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention
Publisher of your work	Utah State University
Expected publication date	Jun 2024
Permissions cost	0.00 USD
Value added tax	0.00 USD
Total	0.00 USD
Title of new work	ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention
Institution name	Utah State University
Expected presentation date	Jun 2024
	Megan Golson 3301 S Florabund Circle
Requestor Location	MAGNA, UT 84044 United States Attn: Megan Golson
Publisher Tax ID	GB125506730
Total	0.00 USD

Terms and Conditions

STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR REPRODUCTION OF MATERIAL FROM AN OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS JOURNAL

1. Use of the material is restricted to the type of use specified in your order details.

2. This permission covers the use of the material in the **English** language in the following territory: *world*. If you have requested additional permission to translate this material, the terms and conditions of this reuse will be set out in clause 12.

3. This permission is limited to the particular use authorized in (1) above and does not allow you to sanction its use elsewhere in any other format other than specified above, nor does it apply to quotations, images, artistic works etc that have been reproduced from other sources which may be part of the material to be used.

4. No alteration, omission or addition is made to the material without our written consent. Permission <u>must</u> be re-cleared with Oxford University Press if/when you decide to reprint.

5. The following credit line appears wherever the material is used: author, title, journal, year, volume, issue number, pagination, by permission of Oxford University Press or the sponsoring society if the journal is a society journal. Where a journal is being published on behalf of a learned society, the details of that society <u>must</u> be included in the credit line.

6. For the reproduction of a full article from an Oxford University Press journal for whatever purpose, the corresponding author of the material concerned should be informed of the proposed use. Contact details for the corresponding authors of all Oxford University Press journal contact can be found alongside either the abstract or full text of the article concerned, accessible from www.oxfordjournals.org Should there be a problem clearing these rights, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

7. If the credit line or acknowledgement in our publication indicates that any of the figures, images or photos was reproduced, drawn or modified from an earlier source it will be necessary for you to clear this permission with the original publisher as well. If this permission has not been obtained, please note that this material cannot be included in your publication/photocopies.

8. While you may exercise the rights licensed immediately upon issuance of the license at the end of the licensing process for the transaction, provided that you have disclosed complete and accurate details of your proposed use, no license is finally effective unless and until full payment is received from you (either by Oxford University Press or by Copyright Clearance Center (CCC)) as provided in CCC's Billing and Payment terms and conditions. If full payment is not received on a timely basis, then any license preliminarily granted shall be deemed automatically revoked and shall be void as if never granted. Further, in the event that you breach any of these terms and conditions or any of CCC's

Billing and Payment terms and conditions, the license is automatically revoked and shall be void as if never granted. Use of materials as described in a revoked license, as well as any use of the materials beyond the scope of an unrevoked license, may constitute copyright infringement and Oxford University Press reserves the right to take any and all action to protect its copyright in the materials.

9. This license is personal to you and may not be sublicensed, assigned or transferred by you to any other person without Oxford University Press's written permission.

10. Oxford University Press reserves all rights not specifically granted in the combination of (i) the license details provided by you and accepted in the course of this licensing transaction, (ii) these terms and conditions and (iii) CCC's Billing and Payment terms and conditions.

11. You hereby indemnify and agree to hold harmless Oxford University Press and CCC, and their respective officers, directors, employs and agents, from and against any and all claims arising out of your use of the licensed material other than as specifically authorized pursuant to this license.

12. Unless otherwise specified, inclusion under a Creative Commons license or any other Open Access license allowing onward reuse is prohibited.

13. Where permission to translate has been agreed, the credit line and any copyright/disclaimer notices provided by OUP shall be included on the same page as the Material, translated into the language of the new work, except for trademark names:

Translated and reproduced by permission of Oxford University Press on behalf of the <Society Name>. Translation Disclaimer: OUP and the <Society Name> are not responsible or in any way liable for the accuracy of the translation. The Licensee is solely responsible for the translation in this publication/reprint.

14. Other Terms and Conditions:

v1.5

Questions? customercare@copyright.com.

Appendix C. Systematic Review Article Coding Book

2/19/22, 11:32 AM

ADHD Knowledge Review (Team M&A) | REDCap

02/19/2022 11:31am

i

#	Variable / Field Name	Field Label Field Note	Field Attributes (Field Type, Validation, Choices, Calculations, etc.)
Insti	ument: Review Coding	Original (review_coding_original)	
1	[article_id]	Article ID First Author Name & Year (e.g., Golson2021)	text
2	[author]	Section Header: Study Basics First Author Last name of first author should match first half of the record ID.	text, Required
3	[pubyear]	Publication Year Publication year should match second half of the record ID.	text, Required
4	[pubtype]	Publication Type	radio, Required
			1 Peer-Reviewed Journal Article
			2 Dissertation or Thesis
			3 Other
5	[journal] Show the field ONLY if: [pubtype] = '1'	Journal Name Please use the journal's full name rather than its abbreviation (e.g., Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders instead of JADD). If the journal name is abbreviated on the document, type it into Google to make sure you get the correct full name.	text, Required
6	[pubtype_other] Show the field ONLY if: [pubtype] = '3'	Other Publication State what type of publication this record is (e.g., newsletter, research record, conference proceedings)	text, Required
7	[studypurpose]	Study Purpose	checkbox. Required
		Review abstract, current study, and/or methods to identify study purpose. If you check the fourth option, make sure there was a correlation or regression analysis to evaluate relationship.	u 1 studypurpose1 Development of ADHD Knowledge Measure Knowledge Measure
			2 studypurpose2 Measurement of ADHD Knowledge in a Specific Population
			3 studypurpose3 Evaluation of an Intervention
			4 studypurpose4 Relationship of ADHD Knowledge to Other Construct(s)
			5 studypurpose5 Other Purpose
8	[studypurpose_other]	Other Study Purpose	text, Required
	Show the field ONLY if: [studypurpose(5)] = '1'	Briefly state study purpose if not described above.	
9	[purposequote]	Study Purpose Statement Paste the purpose statement from the abstract or current study section of the manuscript.	notes, Required
10	[resultquote]	Study Result Statement Paste the central findings written in the abstract of the manuscript.	notes, Required
11	[population]	Section Header: Study Sample	checkbox, Required
		What population does the study sample? Identify which population(s) are included in the sample.	1 population1 General Population or Lay People People
			2 population_2 Professionals Likely to Work with People with ADHD
			3 population3 Professionals in Training
			4 population4 Other Population

45					
12	[generalpopulation]	General Population Groups Included Select the group(s) that best describe the sample discussed in the manuscript.	ch	eckbox, Required	
	Show the field ONLY if:		1	generalpopulation	1 People with ADHD
	[population(1)] = 1		2	generalpopulation	2 Peers (i.e., of the same age group as identified interest group with ADHD)
			3	generalpopulation	_3 Parents
			4	generalpopulation	_4 General education teachers
			5	generalpopulation	_5 School administrative staff
			6	generalpopulation	_6 Siblings
			7	generalpopulation	_7 Other
13	[generalpopulation_other] Show the field ONLY if: [generalpopulation(7)] = '1'	Other General Population Group List the general population group(s) represented if not included in the options above.	te>	t, Required	
14	[profpopulation]	Professional Population Groups Included	ch	eckbox, Required	
	Show the field ONLY if: [population(2)] = '1'	Select the group(s) that best describe the sample alscussed in the manuscript.	1	profpopulation1	Psychologists (e.g., clinical, counseling)
			2	profpopulation2	School Psychologists
			3	profpopulation3	Psychiatrists
			4	profpopulation4	Medical Doctors (e.g., pediatricians, general practitioners)
			5	profpopulation5	ABA / BCBAs
			6	profpopulation6	Other Medical Professionals (e.g. nurses, nurse practitioners, physicians assistant)
			7	profpopulation7	Special Education Teachers
			8	profpopulation8	Other
15	[profpopulation_other] Show the field ONLY if: [profpopulation(8)] = '1'	Other Professional Population Group List the professional population group(s) represented if not included in the options above.	text, Required		
16	[trainpopulation]	Training Population Groups Included	ch	eckbox, Required	
	Show the field ONLY if: [population(3)] = '1'	seret, the group(s) that best describe the sample discussed in the manastript.	1	trainpopulation1	Psychologists (e.g., clinical, counseling)
			2	trainpopulation2	School Psychologists
			3	trainpopulation3	Psychiatrists
			4	trainpopulation4	Medical Doctors (e.g., pediatricians, general practitioners)
			5	trainpopulation5	ABA / BCBAs
			6	trainpopulation6	Other Medical Professionals (e.g., nurses, nurse practitioners, physicians assistant)
			7	trainpopulation7	Special Education Teachers
			8	trainpopulation8	Other
17	[trainpopulation_other] Show the field ONLY if: [trainpopulation(8)] = '1'	Other Training Population Group List the training population group(s) represented if not included in the options above.	te>	t, Required	



18	[population_other] Show the field ONLY if: [population(4)] = '1'	Other Population List the population represented in the manuscript if not included in the option above.	text, Required
19	[samplesize]	Sample Size How many total participants COMPLETED the study?	text, Required
20	[country]	Study Country or Countries List the countries where sampling occurred. Please use abbreviations only for USA.	text, Required
21	[racedata]	Was racial/ethnic demographic information reported?	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
22	[race_ethnicity] Show the field ONLY if: [racedata] = '1'	Racial/Ethnic Categories Represented If a manuscript collapses two or more of these categories (e.g., Asian and Native Howaiian) please select Other and describe in the associated field. Base your selection on the manuscript wording.	1 race_ethnicity1 Asian or Asian American 2 race_ethnicity2 Black or African American 3 race_ethnicity3 Latinx, Hispanic, or of Spanish Origin 4 race_ethnicity4 Middle Eastern or North African 5 race_ethnicity5 Native American or Alaska Native 6 race_ethnicity6 Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander 7 race_ethnicity_8 Biracial or Multiracial 8 race_ethnicity_9 Other race or ethnicity
23	[race_ethnicity_other] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(9)] = '1'	What other race/ethnicity category was included? If this is in reference to a collapsed category, list all categories collapsed.	text, Required
24	[asian] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(1)] = '1'	Percent of Asian or Asian American Participants:	text, Required
25	[black] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(2)] = '1'	Percent of Black or African American Participants:	text, Required
26	[latinx] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(3)] = '1'	Percent of Latinx, Hispanic, or Participants of Spanish Origin:	text, Required
27	[mena] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(4)] = '1'	Percent of Middle Eastern or North African Participants:	text, Required
28	[native_american] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(5)] = '1'	Percent of Native American or Alaska Native Participants:	text, Required
29	[pacific_islander] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(6)] = '1'	Percent of Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Participants:	text, Required
30	[white] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(7)] = '1'	Percent of White or European American Participants:	text, Required
31	[multiracial] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(8)] = '1'	Percent of Biracial or Multiracial Participants:	text, Required

l

-;

32	[other_race] Show the field ONLY if: [race_ethnicity(9)] = '1'	Percent of Participants Included in "Other Race/Ethnicity" Category:	text, Required
33	[knowledge_measure]	Section Header: Knowledge Measure ADHD Knowledge Measure Used State the name of the measure without abbreviations. If unnamed, type "Unnamed (Authors, Yean" referencing the authors of the measure rather than the authors of the manuscript. If different. If adapted from a previous measure, cite the current authors but note in the Notes section who the measure was adapted from.	text, Required
34	[measure_type]	Measure Type Note: For these and related questions, evaluate only the knowledge measure itself or if multi-construct measure, only the knowledge portions.	radio, Required 1 Quantitative 2 Qualitative (e.g., open-ended questions,
			3 Both Quantitative and Qualitative
35	[quant_type] Show the field ONLY if: [measure_type] = '3' or [meas ure_type] = '1'	Quantitative Response Format	checkbox, Required 1 quant_type1 2 quant_type2 3 quant_type3 4 quant_type4 5 quant_type5 6 quant_type6 7 quant_type7 8 quant_type8 9 quant_type_9 0 Other
36	[quant_type_other] Show the field ONLY if: [quant_type(9)] = '1'	Other Quantitative Format Describe what response format(s) were used other than listed abave.	text, Required
37	[qual_type] Show the field ONLY if: [measure_type] = '2' or [meas ure_type] = '3'	Qualitative Response Format	radio, Required 1 Interview 2 Open-Ended Questions 3 Other
38	[qual_type_other] Show the field ONLY if: [qual_type] = '3'	Other Qualitative Format	text, Required
39	[sample_valid]	Did the authors validate the measure in this sample?	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
40	[prev_valid]	Did the authors report reliability/validity evidence for the measure from a previous study?	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
41	[reliability] Show the field ONLY if: [sample_valid] = '1' or [prev_v alid] = '1'	Was reliability data reported? Consider both own sample and previous validation analyses in your response.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
42	[reliability_type] Show the field ONLY if: [reliability] = '1'	Reliability Evidence Reported	checkbox, Required 1 reliability_type1 Internal Consistency (e.g., Chronbach's alpha, KR-20) 2 reliability_type2 3 reliability_type3 Test-Retest Reliability

l

147

- ;

43	[validity] Show the field ONLY if: [sample_valid] = '1' or [prev_v alid] = '1'	Was validity data reported? Consider both own sample and previous validation analyses in your response.	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
44	[validity_type]	Validity Evidence Reported	checkbox, Required
	Show the field ONLY if: [validity] = '1'		1 validity_type1 Face Validity (i.e., measure "looks right")
			2 validity_type2 Convergent Validity (e.g., correlation with other relat measures)
			3 validity_type3 Discriminant Validity (e.g., correlation with unrelated measures)
			4 validity_type4 Criterion Validity (e.g., correlation with related outcomes)
			5 validity_type5 Construct Validity (e.g., CFA IRT)
			6 validity_type6 Content Validity (i.e., accurately includes importa aspects of ASD knowledge)
45	[measure_included]	Is the measure provided?	yesno, Required 1 Yes 0 No
46	[supplemental]	Is there supplemental material?	radio, Required 1 Yes 2 No
47	[notes]	Notes Include any important information related to your ratings or that might be useful in analysis.	notes
48	[review_coding_original_com plete]	Section Header: Form Status Complete?	dropdown 0 Incomplete 1 Unverified 2 Complete

Appendix D. Data Collection Materials

Start of Block: Screening Questions

I1 Thank you for your interest in this survey. Before beginning, we would like to ask a couple of screening questions to see if you qualify to participate.

Q112 What is your Prolific ID?

S1 Are you a parent?

 \bigcirc No (1)

 \bigcirc Yes (2)

Skip To: End of Survey If Are you a parent? = No

Q94 Does at least one of your children have ADHD, as diagnosed by an appropriately licensed professional?

 \bigcirc No (1)

 \bigcirc Yes (2)

Skip To: End of Survey If Does at least one of your children have ADHD, as diagnosed by an appropriately licensed professio... = No

O Display This Question:

 \bigcirc If Does at least one of your children have ADHD, as diagnosed by an appropriately licensed professio... = Yes

Q106 Who diagnosed your child?

 \bigcirc A pediatrician (1)

 \bigcirc A psychologist (2)

 \bigcirc A psychiatrist (3)

 \bigcirc Other, please describe: (4)

 \bigcirc My child has not been diagnosed with ADHD (5)

Skip To: End of Survey If Who diagnosed your child? = My child has not been diagnosed with ADHD

Q100 How old is your child with ADHD?

- \bigcirc Younger than 4 years old (1)
- \bigcirc 5 (2)
- 0 6 (3)
- \bigcirc 7 (4)
- $\bigcirc 8$ (5)
- 0 9 (6)
- 10 (7)
- \bigcirc 11 (8)
- 0 12 (9)
- 0 13 (10)
- 0 14 (11)
- 0 15 (12)
- 0 16 (13)
- 0 17 (14)
- 0 18 (15)
- \bigcirc 19 years or older (16)

Skip To: End of Survey If How old is your child with ADHD? = Younger than 4 years old Skip To: End of Survey If How old is your child with ADHD? = 19 years or older

*

S2 What is your age in years?

Skip To: End of Survey If Condition: What is your age in years? Is Greater Than 118. Skip To: End of Survey. Skip To: End of Survey If Condition: What is your age in years? Is Less Than 18. Skip To: End of Survey.

Q95 Do you speak English fluently?

○ No (1)

 \bigcirc Yes (2)

Skip To: End of Survey If Do you speak English fluently? = No

S3 Can you read English fluently?

 \bigcirc Yes (1)

 \bigcirc No (2)

Skip To: End of Survey If Can you read English fluently? = No

S4 Do you currently reside in the United States?

 \bigcirc No (1)

 \bigcirc Yes (2)

Skip To: End of Survey If Do you currently reside in the United States? = No

S5 What state do you currently live in?

O Alabama (1)

 \bigcirc Alaska (2)

 \bigcirc Arizona (3)

 \bigcirc Arkansas (4)

 \bigcirc California (5)

 \bigcirc Colorado (6)

 \bigcirc Connecticut (7)

 \bigcirc Delaware (8)

- O Florida (9)
- O Georgia (10)
- O Hawaii (11)
- O Idaho (12)
- O Illinois (13)
- O Indiana (14)
- O Iowa (15)
- O Kansas (16)
- O Kentucky (17)
- O Louisiana (18)
- \bigcirc Maine (19)
- O Maryland (20)
- O Massachusetts (21)
- O Michigan (22)
- O Minnesota (23)
- O Mississippi (24)
- O Missouri (25)
- O Montana (26)
- O Nebraska (27)
- O Nevada (28)
- \bigcirc New Hampshire (29)
- \bigcirc New Jersey (30)
- \bigcirc New Mexico (31)
- \bigcirc New York (32)
- \bigcirc North Carolina (33)
- \bigcirc North Dakota (34)

- Oklahoma (36)
- \bigcirc Oregon (37)
- O Pennsylvania (38)
- \bigcirc Rhode Island (39)
- \bigcirc South Carolina (40)
- \bigcirc South Dakota (41)
- \bigcirc Tennessee (42)
- \bigcirc Texas (43)
- Utah (44)
- \bigcirc Vermont (45)
- O Virginia (46)
- \bigcirc Washington (47)
- O West Virginia (48)
- O Wisconsin (49)
- \bigcirc Wyoming (50)
- \bigcirc Washington DC (51)
- \bigcirc Puerto Rico (52)
- O Guam (53)
- O American Samoa (54)
- O Northern Mariana Islands (55)
- \bigcirc US Virgin Islands (56)
- \bigcirc None of the Above (57)
- Skip To: End of Survey If What state do you currently live in? = None of the Above **End of Block: Screening Questions**

Start of Block: Informed Consent

Q115 Parent Views of ADHD and ADHD Services

Introduction

You are invited to participate in a research study conducted by Dr. Maryellen McClain and Megan Golson, researchers in the Psychology department at Utah State University. The purpose of this research is to investigate parent views of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and available services for ADHD. Your participation is entirely voluntary. This form includes detailed information on the research to help you decide whether to participate. Please read it carefully and ask any questions you have before you agree to participate.

Procedures

Your participation will involve answering some demographic questions about yourself, completing two rating forms related to views on ADHD, and rating your favorability toward use of ADHD services. The entire survey is estimated to require 15-20 minutes to complete. We anticipate that 300 people will participate in this research study. Before you read this form, you responded to some questions regarding your eligibility for this study, including your age and whether you have a child with ADHD. Researchers will keep that data once you agree to enter the full study.

Risks

This is a minimal risk research study. That means that the risks of participating are no more likely or serious than those you encounter in everyday activities. The foreseeable risks or discomforts include the potential loss of data or data breach possible whenever completing online forms. In order to minimize those risks and discomforts, the researchers will utilize password-protected, HIPPA-compliant online databases to store

your participant information and collect no identifying data. If you have a bad researchrelated experience, please contact Megan Golson at megan.golson@usu.edu.

Benefits

Although you will not directly benefit from this study, it has been designed to learn more about parent views of ADHD and ADHD services. We cannot guarantee that you will directly benefit from this study, but a greater understanding of this topic can inform ADHD intervention.

Confidentiality

The researchers will make every effort to ensure that the information you provide as part of this study remains confidential. Your identity will not be revealed in any publications, presentations, or reports resulting from this research study. We will collect your information through a Qualtrics survey link. Online activities always carry a risk of a data breach, but we will use systems and processes that minimize breach opportunities. Data will be securely stored in a restricted-access folder on Box.com, an encrypted, cloudbased storage system. It is unlikely, but possible, that others (Utah State University or state or federal officials) may require us to share the information you give us from the study to ensure that the research was conducted safely and appropriately. We will only share your information if law or policy requires us to do so.

Voluntary Participation & Withdrawal

Your participation in this research is completely voluntary. If you agree to participate now and change your mind later, you may withdraw at any time by closing the survey window. If you choose to withdraw after we have already collected information about you, we will maintain that data due to the anonymous nature of our survey. We are unable to determine whose data is whose to destroy your data should you choose to withdraw.

Payment

Because this is a survey panel, compensation is handled by Prolific. You will be compensated by Prolific, in line with the information you received in your invitation to participate. Only participants who complete the task in line with the invitation instructions will be paid through Prolific.

IRB Review

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) for the protection of human research participants at Utah State University has reviewed and approved this study. If you have questions about the research study itself, please contact Megan Golson at 801-673-7166 or megan.golson@usu.edu. If you have questions about your rights or would simply like to speak with someone other than the research team about questions or concerns, please contact the IRB Director at (435) 797-0567 or irb@usu.edu.

Informed Consent

By clicking "I agree" below, you agree that you are 18 years of age or older and wish to participate in this study. You indicate that you understand the risks and benefits of participation, and that you know what you will be asked to do. You also agree that you have asked any questions you might have, and are clear on how to stop your participation in the study if you choose to do so.

 \bigcirc I agree (1)

 \bigcirc I do not agree (2)

Skip To: End of Survey If Parent Views of ADHD and ADHD Services Introduction You are invited to participate in a research... = I do not agree

Q114 Please download this form for your records:

Q107 Please complete the following:

End of Block: Informed Consent

Start of Block: Demographics

I2 Please answer the following questions about yourself.

P1 Which of the following best describes your race/ethnicity?

Asian or Asian American (1)
Biracial (2)
Black or African American (3)
Latino/a/x or Hispanic (4)
Multiracial (5)
Native American (6)
Native Hawaiian or Polynesian (7)
North African or Middle Eastern (8)
White (9)
Other, please describe: (10)
Prefer not to respond (11)

Prefer not to respond (11)

P2 With which gender do you most identify?

 \bigcirc Male (1)

 \bigcirc Female (2)

 \bigcirc Nonbinary (3)

 \bigcirc Other (4)

 \bigcirc Prefer not to respond (5)

P3 Please estimate your household's annual income in dollars.

P4 What is your current employment status?

 \bigcirc Employed full time (40 or more hours per week) (1)

 \bigcirc Employed part time (up to 39 hours per week) (2)

 \bigcirc Unemployed and looking for work (3)

 \bigcirc Unemployed and not looking for work (4)

 \bigcirc Student (5)

 \bigcirc Retired (6)

O Homemaker (7)

P5 How many children do you have?

- 0 1 (1)
- $\bigcirc 2$ (2)
- \bigcirc 3 (3)
- 0 4 (4)
- 0 5 (5)
- \bigcirc 6 or more (6)

P6 How many of your children currently attend school or are old enough to attend public school (ages 5-18)?

1 (1)
2 (2)
3 (3)
4 (4)
5 (5)
6 or more (6)
My children are not within this age range (7)

Skip To: End of Survey If How many of your children currently attend school or are old enough to attend public school (ages... = My children are not within this age range

I3 For the following questions, please answer with your child in mind that has a diagnosis of ADHD. If multiple of your children are diagnosed with ADHD, answer these questions with the youngest child aged 5-18 diagnosed with ADHD in mind.

Page Break

Q98 What services does your child currently receive for ADHD?



Q99 What services has your child your used previously for ADHD?



C1 Is your child diagnosed with a psychological disorder or mental illness other than ADHD by an appropriately licensed professional?

Yes (1)No (2)

O Display This Question:

 \bigcirc If Is your child diagnosed with a psychological disorder or mental illness other than ADHD by an app... = Yes

Q21 What was their diagnosis?

Depression (1)
Anxiety (2)
Oppositional Defiant Disorder (3)
Conduct Disorder (4)
Autism (5)
Specific Learning Disorder (6)
Other, please describe: (7)

Q22 Does your child receive special education services?

- No (1)
- Yes (2)

O Display This Question:

○ *If Does your child receive special education services?* = Yes

Q23 Under what special education eligibility category? (Select up to 2 if your state uses multiple)

Autism (1)
Other Health Impairment (2)
Specific Learning Disorder (3)
Orthopedic Impairment (4)

Multiple Disabilities (5)
Emotional Disturbance (6)
Hearing Impairment (7)
Visual Impairment (8)
Deaf-Blindness (9)
Speech Language Impairment (10)
Deafness (11)
Intellectual Disability (12)
Traumatic Brain Injury (13)

Q24 What grade is your child in?

- \bigcirc Kindergarten (1)
- \bigcirc 1st grade (2)
- \bigcirc 2nd grade (3)
- \bigcirc 3rd grade (4)
- \bigcirc 4th grade (5)
- \bigcirc 5th grade (6)
- \bigcirc 6th grade (7)
- \bigcirc 7th grade (8)
- \bigcirc 8th grade (9)
- \bigcirc 9th grade (Freshman) (10)
- \bigcirc 10th grade (Sophomore) (11)
- \bigcirc 11th grade (Junior) (12)
- \bigcirc 12th grade (Senior) (13)

Q25 Has your child ever been retained in school?

- \bigcirc Yes (1)
- O No (2)

Q27 What is your child's gender?

- \bigcirc Male (1)
- \bigcirc Female (2)
- \bigcirc Nonbinary (3)
- \bigcirc Other (4)
- \bigcirc Prefer not to say (5)

Q28 What race/ethnicity best describes your child?

- \bigcirc Black or African American (1)
- \bigcirc Latino/a/x or Hispanic (2)
- \bigcirc White (3)
- \bigcirc Asian or Asian American (4)
- \bigcirc Native American (5)
- \bigcirc Native Hawaiian or Polynesian (6)
- \bigcirc North African or Middle Eastern (7)
- \bigcirc Biracial (8)
- \bigcirc Multiracial (9)
- \bigcirc Other, please describe: (10)

Q101 Think of your child's behavior over the past 6 months. Which of the following have you seen in your child? Select all that apply:

	Fails to pay close attention to details or makes careless mistakes (1)
	Has difficulty sustaining attention (i.e., can't remain focused) (2)
	Does not seem to listen when spoken to directly (3)
	Does not follow through or fails to finish tasks (4)
deadlines)	Has difficulty organizing tasks (e.g., poor time management, doesn't meet (5)
	Avoids or is reluctant to do things that require sustained mental effort (6)
	Loses things necessary to complete tasks or activities (7)
	Easily distracted (8)
	Forgetful (9)
	Fidgets or squirms in seat (10)
(11)	Leaves seat when remaining seated is expected (e.g., gets up during class)
	Runs around or climbs when it is inappropriate to do so (12)
	Cannot play quietly (13)
	Is always moving (14)
	Talks too much (15)
	Blurts out an answer before the question has been completed (16)
	Has a hard time waiting their turn (17)
	Interrupts others (18)

End of Block: Demographics

Start of Block: KADDS

Q55 Most estimates suggest that ADHD occurs in approximately 15% of school age children.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q56 Current research suggests that ADHD is largely the result of ineffective parenting skills.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q57 ADHD children are frequently distracted by extraneous stimuli.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q58 ADHD children are typically more compliant with their fathers than with their mothers.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q59 In order to be diagnosed with ADHD, the child's symptoms must have been present before age 12.

False (2)Don't Know (3)

Q60 ADHD is more common in the 1st degree biological relatives (i.e. mother, father) of children with ADHD than in the general population.

True (1)False (2)

 \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q61 One symptom of ADHD children is that they have been physically cruel to other people.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q62 Antidepressant drugs have been effective in reducing symptoms for many ADHD children.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q63 ADHD children often fidget or squirm in their seats.

 \bigcirc True (1)

- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q64 Parent and teacher training in managing an ADHD child are generally effective when combined with medication treatment.

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q65 It is common for ADHD children to have an inflated sense of self-esteem or grandiosity.

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q66 When treatment of an ADHD child is terminated, it is rare for the child's symptoms to return

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q67 It is possible for an adult to be diagnosed with ADHD.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q68 ADHD children often have a history of stealing or destroying other people's things .

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q69 Side effects of stimulant drugs used for treatment of ADHD may include mild insomnia and appetite reduction.

 \bigcirc True (1)

 \bigcirc False (2)

 \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q70 Current wisdom about ADHD suggests two clusters of symptoms: One of inattention and another consisting of hyperactivity/impulsivity

True (1)False (2)

 \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q71 Symptoms of depression are found more frequently in ADHD children than in nonADHD children.

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q72 Individual psychotherapy is usually sufficient for the treatment of most ADHD children.

 \bigcirc True (1)

 \bigcirc False (2)

 \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q73 Most ADHD children "outgrow" their symptoms by the onset of puberty and subsequently function normally in adulthood.
True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q74 In severe cases of ADHD, medication is often used before other behavior modification techniques are attempted.

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q75 In order to be diagnosed as ADHD, a child must exhibit relevant symptoms in two or more settings (e.g., home, school)

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q76 If an ADHD child is able to demonstrate sustained attention to video games or TV for over an hour, that child is also able to sustain attention for at least an hour of class or homework.

- True (1)False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q77 Reducing dietary intake of sugar or food additives is generally effective in reducing the symptoms of ADHD.

 \bigcirc True (1)

 \bigcirc False (2)

 \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q78 A diagnosis of ADHD by itself makes a child eligible for placement in special education.

- True (1)False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q79 Stimulant drugs are the most common type of drug used to treat children with ADHD

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q80 ADHD children often have difficulties organizing tasks and activities.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q81 ADHD children generally experience more problems in novel situations than in familiar situations.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q82 There are specific physical features which can be identified by medical doctors (e.g. pediatrician) in making a definitive diagnosis of ADHD.

True (1)
 False (2)

 \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q83 In school age children, the prevalence of ADHD in males and females is equivalent.

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q84 In very young children (less than 4 years old), the problem behaviors of ADHD children (e.g. hyperactivity, inattention) are distinctly different from age-appropriate behaviors of non-ADHD children.

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q85 Children with ADHD are more distinguishable from normal children in a classroom setting than in a free play situation

- True (1)
 False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q86 The majority of ADHD children evidence some degree of poor school performance in the elementary school years.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q87 Symptoms of ADHD are often seen in non-ADHD children who come from inadequate and chaotic home environments.

 \bigcirc True (1)

 \bigcirc False (2)

 \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q88 Behavioral/Psychological interventions for children with ADHD focus primarily on the child's problems with inattention

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q89 Electroconvulsive Therapy (i.e. shock treatment) has been found to be an effective treatment for severe cases of ADHD.

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q90 Treatments for ADHD which focus primarily on punishment have been found to be the most effective in reducing the symptoms of ADHD

True (1)False (2)

 \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q91 Research has shown that prolonged use of stimulant medications leads to increased addiction (i.e., drug, alcohol) in adulthood.

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q92 If a child responds to stimulant medications (e.g., Ritalin), then they probably have ADHD.

- \bigcirc True (1)
- \bigcirc False (2)
- \bigcirc Don't Know (3)

Q93 Children with ADHD generally display an inflexible adherence to specific routines or rituals.

True (1)
False (2)
Don't Know (3)

Q110 Please select the option that is not a vegetable.

- \bigcirc Plane (1)
- O Carrot (2)
- \bigcirc Onion (3)
- \bigcirc Lettuce (4)

End of Block: KADDS

Start of Block: ADHD Stigma Questionnaire

AS1 People who have ADHD feel guilty about it.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)

Agree (3)Strongly Agree (4)

Q30 People's attitudes about ADHD may make persons with ADHD feel worse about themselves.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q31 Someone who has ADHD would think it's risky to tell others about it.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q32 People with ADHD lose their jobs when their employers find out.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q33 People with ADHD work hard to keep it a secret.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)

 \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q34 Someone with ADHD feel they aren't as good a person as others because they have ADHD.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q35 People with ADHD are treated like outcasts

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q36 People with ADHD feel damaged because of it.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q37 After learning they have ADHD, a person may feel set apart and isolated from the rest of the world.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)

 \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q38 Most people think that a person with ADHD is damaged.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q39 A person with ADHD feels that they are bad because of it.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q40 Most people with ADHD are rejected when others find out.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q41 People who have ADHD are very careful about who they tell.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q42 Some people who learn of another person having ADHD grow distant.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q43 After learning they have ADHD, people worry about others discriminating against them

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q44 Most people are uncomfortable around someone with ADHD.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q45 People with ADHD worry that others may judge them when they learn that they have ADHD.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q46 People with ADHD regret having told some people that they have ADHD.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q47 As a rule, people with ADHD feel that telling others that they have ADHD was a mistake.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q48 People don't want someone with ADHD around their children once they know that person has ADHD.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q49 Some people act as though it's the person's fault that they have ADHD.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q50 People with ADHD have lost friends by telling them they have ADHD.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q51 People with ADHD have told others close to them to keep the fact that they have ADHD a secret.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q52 The good points of people with ADHD tend to be ignored.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q53 People seem afraid of a person with ADHD once they learn they have ADHD.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q54 When people learn that someone has ADHD, they look for flaws in their character.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

Q111 Please select Agree to show you are paying attention.

- \bigcirc Strongly Disagree (1)
- \bigcirc Disagree (2)
- \bigcirc Agree (3)
- \bigcirc Strongly Agree (4)

End of Block: ADHD Stigma Questionnaire

Start of Block: Treatment Favorability

Q3 Please rate the following:

	Stı dis	Strongly disagree				Strongly agree					
	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
I have a favorable opinion of intervention services for children and adolescents with ADHD. ()		!	_	-	-	J	-	-	-	-	
I have a favorable opinion of intervention services for my child with ADHD. ()											
I have a favorable opinion of intervention services recommended by an appropriately licensed professional for my child with ADHD. ()		I									

Q102 Please rate the following:



Q03 Please rate the following



Q104 Please rate the following

0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100



Q105 Please rate the following



End of Block: Treatment Favorability

Start of Block: Completion Code

Q113 Thank you for participating in our survey. Here is your completion code: CF4VI44X

End of Block: Completion Code

Appendix E. Data Analysis for Mediation Analysis

```
Preparation
Load Packages
library(readx1)
library(tidyverse)
library(naniar)
library(psych)
library(furniture)
library(lavaan)
library(tinytex)
```

Load Data

Data loaded from excel file exported by Qualtrics. Excel gives to rows worth of column names, so the first row was saved in Chunk1 as var.names. Then it was re-added to the data set as the column names in Chunk2.

Data Cleaning Rename Variables

Data variable names created.

```
df all <- data raw %>%
 tibble::rowid_to_column(var = "id") %>%
  janitor::clean_names() %>%
  dplyr::rename(prolificid = q112,
                parent =s1,
                childADHD = q94,
                diagnos prof = q106,
                diagnos_prof_other = q106_4_text,
                child_age = q100,
                parent_age = s2,
                speak_eng = q95,
                read_eng = s3,
                reside_US = s4,
                state = s5,
                consent = q115,
                parent race = p1,
                parent_gender = p2,
```

```
parent_income = p3,
parent_employ = p4,
num_chil = p5,
school_chil = p6,
child_age_diag = q97,
child_current_serve = q98,
child_current_serve_other = q98_5_text,
child_past_serve = q99,
child_past_serve_other = q99_5_text,
child adddx = c1,
child_adddx_desc = q21,
child_adddx_other = q21_7_text,
child_sped = q22,
child_sped_cat = q23,
child_grade = q24,
child_retain = q25,
child_gender = q27,
child race = q28,
child_race_other = q28_10_text,
adhdsymp = q101,
kadds1 = q55,
kadds2 = q56,
kadds3 = q57,
kadds4 = q58,
kadds5 = q59,
kadds6 = q60,
kadds7 = q61,
kadds8 = q62,
kadds9 = q63,
kadds10 = q64,
kadds11 = q65,
kadds12 = q66,
kadds13 = q67,
kadds14 = q68,
kadds15 = q69,
kadds16 = q70,
kadds17 = q71,
kadds18 = q72,
kadds19 = q73,
kadds20 = q74,
kadds21 = q75,
kadds22 = q76,
kadds 23 = q77,
kadds24 = q78,
kadds25 = q79,
kadds26 = q80,
kadds27 = q81,
kadds28 = q82,
kadds29 = q83,
kadds30 = q84,
```

```
kadds31 = q85,
kadds32 = q86,
kadds33 = q87,
kadds34 = q88,
kadds35 = q89,
kadds36 = q90,
kadds37 = q91,
kadds38 = q92,
kadds39 = q93,
ac1 = q110,
asq1 = as1,
asq2 = q30,
asq3 = q31,
asq4 = q32,
asq5 = q33,
asq6 = q34,
asq7 = q35,
asq8 = q36,
asq9 = q37,
asq10 = q38,
asq11 = q39,
asq12 = q40,
asq13 = q41,
asq14 = q42,
asq15 = q43,
asq16 = q44,
asq17 = q45,
asq18 = q46,
asq19 = q47,
asq20 = q48,
asq21 = q49,
asq22 = q50,
asq23 = q51,
asq24 = q52,
asq25 = q53,
asq26 = q54,
ac2 = q111,
fav_child_overall = q3_1,
fav_pers_overall = q3_2,
fav_int_overall = q3_3,
fav_child_pharm = q102_1,
fav_pers_pharm = q102_2,
fav_rec_pharm = q102_3,
fav_child_psy = q03_1,
fav_pers_psy = q03_2,
fav_rec_psy = q03_3,
fav_child_pmt = q104_1,
fav_pers_pmt = q104_2,
fav_rec_pmt = q104_3,
fav_child_ed = q105_1,
```

```
fav_pers_ed = q105_2,
fav_rec_ed = q105_3)
```

Collapse "Select all that apply" options

Expanded "select all that apply" variables to create variables suitable for frequency and count actions, including parent race, child race, ADHD symptoms, child past and current services, additional diagnoses, and special education categories. A count variable was created for ADHD symptoms to evaluate how many symptoms parents endorsed for their child. Character variables were mutated to factor or numeric types as appropriate.

```
df_cat <- df_all %>%
  dplyr::mutate(parent race cat = case when(parent race == "Biracial" ~
"Multiracial",
                                             stringr::str_detect(parent_
race,
                                                                 pattern
= ",") == FALSE ~ parent_race,
                                             parent_race == "Other, plea
se describe:" ~ "Other",
                                             stringr::str detect(parent
race,
                                                                 pattern
= ",") == TRUE ~ "Multiracial")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(parent white = case when(parent race == "White" ~ "Yes"
ر
                                          parent race != "White" ~ "No")
) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_race_cat = case_when(child_race == "Biracial" ~ "
Multiracial",
                                             stringr::str detect(child r
ace,
                                                                 pattern
= ",") == FALSE ~ child_race,
                                             child_race == "Other, pleas
e describe:" ~ "Other",
                                             stringr::str detect(child r
ace,
                                                                 pattern
= ",") == TRUE ~ "Multiracial")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_white = case_when(child_race == "White" ~ "Yes",
                                         child_race != "White" ~ "No"))
%>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(c(parent_race,
                         parent_race_cat,
                         parent_white,
                         child_race,
                         child_race_cat,
                         child_race_other,
                         child_white,
```

```
child age,
                         parent,
                         childADHD,
                         diagnos prof,
                         speak_eng,
                         read_eng,
                         reside US,
                         state,
                         parent_gender,
                         parent employ,
                         num_chil,
                         school chil,
                         child sped,
                         child_grade,
                         child_retain,
                         child_gender),
                       ~ forcats::fct_infreq(factor(.x)))) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child current serve bic = child current serve %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Behavior intervention
or counseling")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child current serve bic = ifelse(id == 90, TRUE, child
current_serve_bic)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_current_serve_eds = child_current_serve %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Educational support"))
%>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_current_serve_med = child_current_serve %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Medication")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_current_serve_pmt = child_current_serve %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Parent training")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child current serve none = case when(id %in% c(65, 329,
502, 505) ~ TRUE,
                                                      is.na(child_curren
t serve) ~ TRUE,
                                                      !is.na(child curre
nt serve) ~ FALSE)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(c(child current serve bic,
                         child_current_serve_eds,
                         child_current_serve_med,
                         child_current_serve_pmt),
                       ~ ifelse(child_current_serve_none == TRUE, FALSE
, .x))) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child past serve bic = child past serve %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Behavior intervention
or counseling")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child past serve bic = ifelse(id == 90, TRUE, child pas
t_serve_bic)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_past_serve_eds = child_past_serve %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Educational support"))
%>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_past_serve_med = child_past_serve %>%
```

```
stringr::str detect(pattern = "Medication")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child past serve pmt = child past serve %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Parent training")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_past_serve_none = case_when(id %in% c(184) ~ TRUE
                                                     is.na(child past s
erve) ~ TRUE,
                                                      !is.na(child past
serve) ~ FALSE)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(c(child_past_serve_bic,
                         child past serve eds,
                         child past serve med,
                         child_past_serve_pmt),
                       ~ ifelse(child_past_serve_none == TRUE, FALSE, .
x))) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_adddx_anx = child_adddx_desc %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Anxiety")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child adddx anx = ifelse(id == 362, TRUE, child adddx a
nx)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child adddx asd = child adddx desc %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Autism")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_adddx_cd = child_adddx_desc %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Conduct Disorder")) %>
%
  dplyr::mutate(child adddx mdd = child adddx desc %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Depression")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_adddx_odd = child_adddx desc %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Oppositional Defiant D
isorder")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_adddx_sld = child_adddx_desc %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Specific Learning Diso
rder")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child adddx oth = ifelse(id %in% c(55, 85, 200, 295, 38)
7), TRUE, FALSE)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child adddx oth text = ifelse(id %in% c(55, 85, 200, 29)
5, 387),
                                         child_adddx_other,
                                         NA)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_adddx_any = furniture::rowsums(child_adddx_anx, c
hild_adddx_asd, child_adddx_cd,
                                                     child adddx mdd, c
hild adddx odd, child adddx sld,
                                                     child_adddx_oth) >
0) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_adddx_any = ifelse(is.na(child_adddx_any) & child
_adddx == "No", FALSE, TRUE)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(c(child adddx anx, child adddx asd, child adddx
cd,
                         child_adddx_mdd, child_adddx_odd, child_adddx_
```

sld,

child adddx oth), ~ ifelse(is.na(.x) & child_adddx_any == FALSE, F ALSE, .x))) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_effort = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Avoids or is reluctant to do things that require sustained mental effort")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp quiet = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Cannot play quietly")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_comp = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str detect(pattern = "Does not follow throug h or fails to finish tasks")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_listen = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Does not seem to liste n when spoken to directly")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_distr = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str detect(pattern = "Easily distracted")) % >% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_mist = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str detect(pattern = "Fails to pay close att ention to details or makes careless mistakes")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_fidg = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str detect(pattern = "Fidgets or squirms in seat")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp forget = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str detect(pattern = "Forgetful")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_org = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Has difficulty organiz ing tasks (e.g., poor time management, doesn't meet deadlines)")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_susatt = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Has difficulty sustain ing attention (i.e., can't remain focused)")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_mov = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Is always moving")) %> % dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp lose = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Loses things necessary to complete tasks or activities")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_run = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str detect(pattern = "Runs around or climbs when it is inappropriate to do so")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp talk = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Talks too much")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp seat = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Leaves seat when remai ning seated is expected (e.g., gets up during class)")) %>% dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_blurt = adhdsymp %>% stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Blurts out an answer b efore the question has been completed")) %>%

```
dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp wait = adhdsymp %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Has a hard time waitin
g their turn")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp_int = adhdsymp %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Interrupts others")) %
>%
  dplyr::mutate(adhdsymp total = furniture::rowsums(adhdsymp effort, ad
hdsymp quiet, adhdsymp comp, adhdsymp listen, adhdsymp distr,
                                                    adhdsymp mist, adhd
symp fidg, adhdsymp forget, adhdsymp org, adhdsymp susatt, adhdsymp mov
ر
                                                    adhdsymp lose, adhd
symp run, adhdsymp talk, adhdsymp seat, adhdsymp blurt, adhdsymp wait,
                                                    adhdsymp int)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_sped_cat_au = child_sped_cat %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Autism")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_sped_cat_ed = child_sped_cat %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Emotional Disturbance"
)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_sped_cat_id = child_sped_cat %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Intellectual Disabilit
y")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_sped_cat_md = child_sped_cat %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Multiple Disabilities"
)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_sped_cat_sld = child_sped_cat %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Specific Learning Diso
rder")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_sped_cat_sli = child_sped_cat %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Speech Language Impair
ment")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_sped_cat_oi = child_sped_cat %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Orthopedic Impairment"
)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child sped cat ohi = child sped cat %>%
                  stringr::str detect(pattern = "Other Health Impairmen
t")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(child_sped_cat_hi = child_sped_cat %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Hearing Impairment"))
%>%
  dplyr::mutate(child sped cat deaf = child sped cat %>%
                  stringr::str_detect(pattern = "Deafness")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(c(child_current_serve_bic,
                        child_current_serve_eds,
                        child_current_serve_med,
                        child_current_serve_pmt,
                        child_current_serve_none,
                        child_past_serve_bic,
                        child_past_serve_eds,
                        child_past_serve_med,
```

```
child_past_serve_pmt,
                        child_past_serve_none,
                        child_adddx_any,
                        child adddx anx,
                        child_adddx_asd,
                        child_adddx_cd,
                        child adddx mdd,
                        child adddx odd,
                        child_adddx_sld,
                        child adddx oth,
                        adhdsymp_effort,
                        adhdsymp quiet,
                        adhdsymp comp,
                        adhdsymp_listen,
                        adhdsymp_distr,
                        adhdsymp_mist,
                        adhdsymp_fidg,
                        adhdsymp forget,
                        adhdsymp org,
                        adhdsymp_susatt,
                        adhdsymp mov,
                        adhdsymp_lose,
                        adhdsymp_run,
                        adhdsymp talk,
                        adhdsymp seat,
                        adhdsymp_blurt,
                        adhdsymp wait,
                        adhdsymp_int,
                        child_sped_cat_deaf,
                        child sped cat hi,
                        child_sped_cat_ohi,
                        child_sped_cat_oi,
                        child_sped_cat_sli,
                        child_sped_cat_sld,
                        child_sped_cat_md,
                        child sped cat id,
                        child_sped_cat_ed,
                        child_sped_cat_au),
                 ~ factor(.x,
                          levels = c(FALSE, TRUE),
                          labels = c("No", "Yes")))) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(parent income = stringr::str remove all(parent income,
pattern = "\\$")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(parent_income = stringr::str_remove_all(parent_income,
pattern = ",")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(c(parent_income,
                         child_age_diag), as.numeric))
```

Measure Scoring

Outcome measures were transformed to numeric variable type and scored. The KADDS uses a total correct algorithm. Incorrect and "Don't Know" answers were not given credit. In the case of items left unanswered, if the number of unanswered items was less than 2 for the whole measure, the item was scored as incorrect. Participants missing more than 2 items on the KADDS were not given a total score.

For additional information on prorating scores see:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4701045/ or https://leehw.com/cribsheets/prorating/

The ASQ uses a 4-point Likert response format. The measure is scored by averaging responses on the 26 items. Higher scores indicate greater ADHD stigma (Kellison et al., 2010).

Created favorability averages for general ratings (across overall for children, overall for their child, and overall when recommended by a provider) and for each of the intervention domains (e.g., medication, behavior therapy, parent training, and educational interventions).

```
kadds_items_false <- paste0("kadds", c(1, 2, 7, 11, 12, 14, 18, 19,
                                        22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30,
                                        34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39))
kadds_items_true <- paste0("kadds", c(3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, 15,
                                      16, 17, 20, 21, 25, 26, 31, 32, 3
3))
asq items <- paste0("asq", 1:26)</pre>
df scored <- df cat %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(starts_with("kadds"),
                       ~is.na(.x),
                        .names = "{col}_na")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(all_of(kadds_items_false),
                       ~ .x == "False",
                       .names = "{col} correct")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(all of(kadds items true),
                       ~ .x == "True",
                       .names = "{col} correct")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(paste0("kadds", 1:39, "_correct"), as.numeric))
%>%
  dplyr::mutate(kadds nas = furniture::rowsums(!!! rlang::syms(paste0("))
kadds", 1:39, " na")))) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(kadds tot = furniture::rowsums(!!! rlang::syms(paste0("
kadds", 1:39, " correct")),
                                                  na.rm = TRUE)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(kadds tot = ifelse(kadds nas > 2, NA, kadds tot)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(across(starts_with("fav_"), as.numeric)) %>%
  dplyr::mutate(fav_overall_avg = furniture::rowmeans(fav_child_overall)
, fav_pers_overall, fav_int_overall)) %>%
```

Save Prepared Dataset

Saved a version of the dataset with only needed variables, removing additional embedded data from Qualtrics and redundant variables previously mutated.

```
df_prep <- df_scored %>%
  dplyr::select(-ends_with("_other"),
                 -startdate,
                 -enddate,
                 -status,

    ipaddress,

                 -progress,
                 -duration_in_seconds,
                 -finished,

    recordeddate,

                 -responseid,

    recipientlastname,

    recipientfirstname,

    recipientemail,

                 -externalreference,
                 -locationlatitude,
                 -locationlongitude,
                 -distributionchannel,

    userlanguage,

                 -child_race_other,
                 -child current serve other,
                 -child past serve other,
                 -child_adddx,
                 -child adddx desc,
                 -child_sped_cat) %>%
  dplyr::select(id,
                 kadds_tot,
                 fav_overall_avg,
                 fav_pharm_avg,
```

fav_psy_avg,
fav_pmt_avg,
<pre>fav_ed_avg,</pre>
asq_avg,
<pre>everything())</pre>

Screen Out Participants

535 Prolific members started the survey. Of these, 297 met inclusion criteria and consented to participate in the survey. An additional 31 were removed due to failed attention check questions. This leaves a sample of 266 participants.

Comparing Excluded Participants

Of the 238 who did not meet inclusion criteria, 43 (18.1%) were not parents, 29 (12.2%) indicated they did not have a child with ADHD, 131 had a child outside of the stated age range (5-18 years), 8 reported they did not read English fluently, and 1 reported not residing in the United States. 4 more excluded participants, though initially endorsing that their child had ADHD stated they did not have a child diagnosed with ADHD and were excluded. 29 additional participants were not screened out but exited the survey prior to providing consent.

```
df_exc <- df_prep %>%
  dplyr::filter(is.na(consent))
df exc %>%
  dplyr::select(parent,
                childADHD,
                diagnos_prof,
                child age,
                parent_age,
                speak_eng,
                read_eng,
                reside_US) %>%
 furniture::table1(na.rm = FALSE)
                                                Mean/Count (SD/%)
                                                n = 238
 parent
    Yes
                                                195 (81.9%)
    No
                                                43 (18.1%)
    NA
                                                0 (0%)
 childADHD
```

	Yes	163 (68.5%)
	No	29 (12.2%)
	NA	46 (19.3%)
dia	gnos_prof	
	A pediatrician	66 (27.7%)
	A psychiatrist	56 (23.5%)
	A psychologist	28 (11.8%)
	Other, please describe:	9 (3.8%)
	My child has not been diagnosed with ADHD	4 (1.7%)
	NA	75 (31.5%)
chi	ld_age	
	19 years or older	101 (42.4%)
	6	2 (0.8%)
	7	4 (1.7%)
	8	1 (0.4%)
	10	6 (2.5%)
	5	2 (0.8%)
	Younger than 4 years old	30 (12.6%)
	9	4 (1.7%)
	12	0 (0%)
	16	4 (1.7%)
	17	2 (0.8%)
	13	0 (0%)
	11	1 (0.4%)
	14	0 (0%)
	18	1 (0.4%)
	15	1 (0.4%)
	NA	79 (33.2%)
par	ent_age	
		25.3 (15.3)
spe	ak_eng	
	Yes	14 (5.9%)
	NA	224 (94.1%)
rea	id_eng	
	Yes	4 (1.7%)
	No	8 (3.4%)
	NA	226 (95%)
res	ide_US	
	Yes	1 (0.4%)
	No	1 (0.4%)
	NA	236 (99.2%)

Missing Data

Each subset of questions (demographics, covariates, and measure scores) were analysed for missingness and potential patterns of missingness prior to data analysis.

Demographics

No missingness was evident in demographic responses.



Measure Scores

Overall, there is minimal missingness in measure scores. Participants missing 1 score did not have a discernible pattern of missingness across measures.

```
df_inc %>%
    dplyr::select(ends_with("_tot"), ends_with("_avg")) %>%
    naniar::gg_miss_upset(nsets = 20)
```



KADDS Items

10 participants had some degree of missingness in their KADDS responses. The majority of these were missing one item. 3 participants were missing 2 or more items. The 10 participants with KADDS missingness do not overlap with systemamtic missingness in other domains.



ASQ Items

7 participants had some degree of missingness in their ASQ responses. All of these were missing only one item. The participants with ASQ missingness do not overlap with systemamtic missingness in other domains.



NA	1									
5	351	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	3 3	4
3	3									
6	445	3	3	3	2	NA	3	3	3 3	3
3	3									
7	454	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3 3	2
3	3									
# i	14 mor	e varia	bles:	asq13	<dbl>,</dbl>	asq14	<dbl>,</dbl>	asq15	<dbl>,</dbl>	asq16 <db< td=""></db<>
1>,										
#	asq17	<dbl>,</dbl>	asq18	<dbl></dbl>	, asq19	<dbl></dbl>	, asq20	0 <dbl></dbl>	⊳, asq21	<dbl>,</dbl>
#	asq22	<dbl>,</dbl>	asq23	<dbl></dbl>	, asq24	<dbl></dbl>	, asq2	5 <dbl></dbl>	, asq26	<dbl></dbl>

Favorability Ratings

5 participants had some degree of missingness in their favorability rating responses. All of these were missing only one item. The participants with favorability rating missingness do not overlap with systemamtic missingness in other domains. Due to the limited number of ratings, no proration or mathematical adjustment was made to rating averages to account for missingness. Use of SEM path analysis instead of multiple imputations PROCESS is supported.

```
df inc %>%
  dplyr::select(starts_with("fav_")) %>%
  dplyr::select(-contains("avg")) %>%
  naniar::gg_miss_upset(nsets = 100,
                                 keep.order = TRUE,
                                 mb.ratio = c(.2, .8))
                     section Size
                                     0.9 -
                                     0.6
                                     0.3 -
                      I fav_pers_overall_NA
                      I fav_child_pharm_NA
                      I fav_pers_pharm_NA
                        fav_rec_pharm_NA
                      L
                      L
                         fav_child_psy_NA
                         fav_pers_psy_NA
                      L
                      L
                         fav_rec_psy_NA
                         fav_pers_pmt_NA
                      L
                         fav_child_ed_NA
                        fav_child_overall_NA
                                                 •
                                                          ٠
                         fav_int_overall_NA
                                                                   •
                         fav_child_pmt_NA
                                                                            ٠
                         fav_rec_pmt_NA
                         fav pers ed NA
 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
```

Set Size

```
df inc %>%
  dplyr::select(id, starts with("fav ")) %>%
  dplyr::select(-contains("avg")) %>%
   dplyr::filter(!complete.cases(.))
# A tibble: 5 \times 16
     id fav_child_overall fav_pers_overall fav_int_overall fav_child_ph
arm
  <int>
                    <dbl>
                                      <dbl>
                                                      <dbl>
                                                                       <d
bl>
    146
                                         86
                                                          79
1
                       NA
58
2
    218
                                         80
                                                          80
                       70
75
3
    221
                       50
                                         48
                                                          NA
59
4
                                         90
                                                          80
    252
                       80
80
5
   458
                       61
                                         71
                                                          58
71
# i 11 more variables: fav_pers_pharm <dbl>, fav_rec_pharm <dbl>,
   fav_child_psy <dbl>, fav_pers_psy <dbl>, fav_rec_psy <dbl>,
#
#
   fav_child_pmt <dbl>, fav_pers_pmt <dbl>, fav_rec_pmt <dbl>,
#
   fav_child_ed <dbl>, fav_pers_ed <dbl>, fav_rec_ed <dbl>
```

Covariates and Other Responses

No missingness was evident in covariate responses.

Complete on Demo?

variable	No	Yes	num_valid	num_miss
adhdsymp_blurt	195	71	266	0
adhdsymp_comp	145	121	266	0
adhdsymp_distr	59	207	266	0

variable	No	Yes	num_valid	num_miss
adhdsymp_effort	167	99	266	0
adhdsymp_fidg	123	143	266	0
adhdsymp_forget	168	98	266	0
adhdsymp_int	147	119	266	0
adhdsymp_listen	165	101	266	0
adhdsymp_lose	187	79	266	0
adhdsymp_mist	120	146	266	0
adhdsymp_mov	136	130	266	0
adhdsymp_org	266	NA	266	0
adhdsymp_quiet	206	60	266	0
adhdsymp_run	199	67	266	0
adhdsymp_seat	266	NA	266	0
adhdsymp_susatt	266	NA	266	0
adhdsymp_talk	189	77	266	0
adhdsymp_wait	160	106	266	0
child_adddx_anx	189	77	266	0
child_adddx_any	46	220	266	0
child_adddx_asd	228	38	266	0
child_adddx_cd	247	19	266	0
child_adddx_mdd	243	23	266	0
child_adddx_odd	251	15	266	0
child_adddx_oth	261	5	266	0
child_adddx_sld	225	41	266	0
child_current_serve_bic	121	145	266	0
child_current_serve_eds	130	136	266	0
child_current_serve_med	129	137	266	0
child_current_serve_none	261	5	266	0
child_current_serve_pmt	182	84	266	0
child_past_serve_bic	114	152	266	0
child_past_serve_eds	130	136	266	0
child_past_serve_med	131	135	266	0
child_past_serve_none	262	4	266	0
child_past_serve_pmt	171	95	266	0

Descriptives and Data Visualization Parent Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics were computed for parent participants, including means and standard deviations (SD) for age and income. Percentages and counts are provided for race, gender, employment status, and number of children in the household.

```
df_inc %>%
    dplyr::select(parent_age, parent_race_cat, parent_gender, parent_inco
me, parent_employ, num_chil) %>%
    furniture::table1()
```

	Mean/Count (SD/%) n = 266
parent_age	
	42.1 (12.4)
parent_race_cat	
White	1// (66.5%)
Black or African American	41 (15.4%)
Latino/a/x or Hispanic	16 (6%)
Asian or Asian American	16 (6%)
Multiracial	11 (4.1%)
Native American	3 (1.1%)
Other	1 (0.4%)
Prefer not to respond	1 (0.4%)
parent_gender	
Female	131 (49.2%)
Male	131 (49.2%)
Nonbinary	2 (0.8%)
Prefer not to respond	2 (0.8%)
parent_income	
	83228.9 (54172.3)
parent_employ	
Employed full time (40 or more hours per week)	185 (69.5%)
Employed part time (up to 39 hours per week)	41 (15.4%)
Homemaker	18 (6.8%)
Unemployed and looking for work	13 (4.9%)
Unemployed and not looking for work	5 (1.9%)
Retired	3 (1.1%)
Student	1 (0.4%)
num chil	
$\overline{1}$	109 (41%)
2	79 (29.7%)
3	56 (21.1%)
4	16 (6%)
5	4 (1.5%)
6 or more	2 (0.8%)

Child Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics were computed for the target child each parent repotred on, including means and standard deviations (SD) for age at diagnosis and number of ADHD symptoms endorsed. Percentages and counts are provided for diagnosing professional, child age, special education eligibility, grade, gender, race, additional diagnoses, and current and past services.

```
df inc %>%
  dplyr::select(diagnos_prof,
                child_age,
                child_age_diag,
                child_sped,
                child grade,
                child_retain,
                child_gender,
                child_race_cat,
                child_adddx_any,
                adhdsymp_total,
                child current serve bic,
                child_current_serve_eds,
                child_current_serve_med,
                child_current_serve_pmt,
                child_current_serve_none,
                child_past_serve_bic,
                child past serve eds,
                child_past_serve_med,
                child_past_serve_pmt,
                child_past_serve_none)%>%
 furniture::table1()
```

	Mean/Count (SD/%) n = 266
diagnos_prof	
A pediatrician	127 (47.7%)
A psychiatrist	57 (21.4%)
A psychologist	79 (29.7%)
Other, please describe:	3 (1.1%)
My child has not been diagnosed with ADHD	0 (0%)
child_age	
19 years or older	0 (0%)
6	32 (12%)
7	30 (11.3%)
8	30 (11.3%)
10	25 (9.4%)
5	17 (6.4%)
Younger than 4 years old	0 (0%)
9	21 (7.9%)
12	21 (7.9%)
---------------------------	-------------
16	18 (6.8%)
17	16 (6%)
13	17 (6.4%)
11	1/(5, 3%)
14	14 (5.5%)
14	12(4.5%)
18	/ (2.6%)
15	6 (2.3%)
child_age_diag	
	7.4 (3.0)
child_sped	
No	163 (61.3%)
Yes	103 (38.7%)
child grade	
2nd grade	33 (12 1%)
2nd gnade	33(12.4%)
Shu ghade	51(11.7%)
Ist grade	24 (9%)
4th grade	27 (10.2%)
6th grade	23 (8.6%)
Kindergarten	22 (8.3%)
5th grade	21 (7.9%)
11th grade (Junior)	20 (7.5%)
8th grade	18 (6.8%)
10th grada (Sanhamana)	16(6%)
12th grade (Sopromore)	10 (0%)
12th grade (Senior)	13(4.9%)
7th grade	9 (3.4%)
9th grade (Freshman)	9 (3.4%)
child_retain	
No	226 (85%)
Yes	40 (15%)
child gender	
Male	197 (74 1%)
Female	66(21.8%)
Destan net to cov	2(0, 0%)
Prefer not to say	2(0.8%)
Uther	1 (0.4%)
child_race_cat	
White	169 (63.5%)
Black or African American	42 (15.8%)
Latino/a/x or Hispanic	21 (7.9%)
Asian or Asian American	16 (6%)
Multiracial	16 (6%)
Native American	2(0.8%)
child adddy any	2 (0.0%)
NO	46 (17.3%)
Yes	220 (82.7%)
adhdsymp_total	
	6.1 (3.6)
child_current_serve_bic	
No	121 (45.5%)
Yes	145 (54.5%)
·	

child_current_serve_eds	
No	130 (48.9%)
Yes	136 (51.1%)
<pre>child_current_serve_med</pre>	
No	129 (48.5%)
Yes	137 (51.5%)
<pre>child_current_serve_pmt</pre>	
No	182 (68.4%)
Yes	84 (31.6%)
<pre>child_current_serve_none</pre>	
No	261 (98.1%)
Yes	5 (1.9%)
<pre>child_past_serve_bic</pre>	
No	114 (42.9%)
Yes	152 (57.1%)
<pre>child_past_serve_eds</pre>	
No	130 (48.9%)
Yes	136 (51.1%)
<pre>child_past_serve_med</pre>	
No	131 (49.2%)
Yes	135 (50.8%)
<pre>child_past_serve_pmt</pre>	
No	171 (64.3%)
Yes	95 (35.7%)
<pre>child_past_serve_none</pre>	
No	262 (98.5%)
Yes	4 (1.5%)

Outcome Descriptives

Descriptive statistics were calculated for outcome measures, including the KADDS total score, favorability rating averages, and the ASQ score. Ranges and medians were also computed to evaluate spread of the data.

```
df_inc %>%
  dplyr::select(kadds_tot,
            fav_overall_avg,
            fav_pharm_avg,
            fav_psy_avg,
            fav_opmt_avg,
            fav_ed_avg,
            asq_avg,
            fav_child_overall,
            fav_int_overall)%>%
furniture::table1()
```

	<pre>Mean/Count (SD/%) n = 260</pre>
kadds_tot	
fav_overall_avg	20.7 (5.6)
fay pharm avg	67.9 (23.3)
c	53.8 (29.3)
tav_psy_avg	72.9 (22.0)
fav_pmt_avg	72 1 (21 1)
fav_ed_avg	,2.1 (21.1)
asq_avg	68.7 (25.1)
fav child overall	2.6 (0.5)
	67.5 (23.5)
fav_pers_overall	67.1 (24.4)
fav_int_overall	
	69.3 (24.3)

```
df_inc %>%
 _______dplyr::select(kadds_tot) %>%
  summary()
  kadds_tot
Min. : 2.00
 1st Qu.:18.00
Median :21.00
Mean :20.77
 3rd Qu.:24.00
Max.
       :36.00
NA's
       :1
df_inc %>%
 _______dplyr::select(asq_avg) %>%
 summary()
    asq_avg
Min. :1.038
 1st Qu.:2.287
Median :2.615
Mean :2.562
 3rd Qu.:2.885
Max. :3.808
```

df_inc %>% dplyr::select(starts_with("fav_")) %>% summary()

fav_overall_avg fav_pharm_avg fav_psy_avg fav_pmt_avg Min. : 0.00 : 0.00 Min. : 5.333 : 0.6667 Min. Min. 1st Qu.: 51.25 1st Qu.: 30.83 1st Qu.: 60.000 1st Qu.: 58.0000 Median : 71.17 Median : 54.50 Median : 75.000 Median : 73.3333 Mean : 67.97 Mean : 54.10 Mean : 72.965 Mean : 71.8788 3rd Qu.: 86.67 3rd Qu.: 77.33 3rd Qu.: 90.917 3rd Qu.: 88.0833 :100.00 Max. Max. :100.00 Max. :100.000 Max. :100.0000 NA's :2 NA's :2 fav_child_overall fav_pers_overall fav_int_overall fav_ed_avg : 0.00 Min. : 0.00 Min. : 0.0 Min. Min. : 0.00 1st Qu.: 50.67 1st Qu.: 50.0 1st Qu.: 50.00 1st Qu.: 54.00 Median : 73.00 Median : 68.0 Median : 70.50 Median : 72.00 : 67.14 Mean : 68.73 Mean : 67.4 Mean Mean : 69.33 3rd Qu.: 90.00 3rd Qu.: 86.0 3rd Qu.: 86.00 3rd Qu.: 89.00 Max. :100.00 :100.0 Max. :100.00 Max. :100.00 Max. NA's NA's NA's :1 :1 :1 fav_child_pharm fav_pers_pharm fav_rec_pharm fav_child_psy : 0.00 : 14.00 Min. Min. : 0.0 Min. : 0.00 Min. 1st Qu.: 32.25 1st Qu.: 27.0 1st Qu.: 30.00 1st Qu.: 60.00 Median : 55.00 Median : 52.0 Median : 55.00 Median : 75.00 : 55.28 : 72.83 Mean Mean : 52.4 Mean : 54.63 Mean 3rd Qu.: 79.75 3rd Qu.: 78.0 3rd Qu.: 80.00 3rd Qu.: 92.00 Max. :100.00 Max. :100.0 Max. :100.00 Max. :100.00 fav pers psy fav rec psy fav child pmt fav pers pmt Min. : 0.00 Min. : 0.00 Min. : 2.00 Min. : 0.00 1st Qu.: 58.00 1st Qu.: 58.50 1st Qu.: 57.00 1st Qu.: 56.00 Median : 75.00 Median : 77.50 Median : 73.00 Median : 74.00 Mean : 72.39 Mean : 73.67 Mean : 71.33 Mean : 71.29 3rd Qu.: 90.75 3rd Qu.: 89.75 3rd Qu.: 92.75 3rd Qu.: 89.00 :100.00 :100.00 :100.00 Max. Max. :100.00 Max. Max. NA's :1 fav_rec_pmt fav_child_ed fav_pers_ed fav_rec_ed Min. : 0.00 Min. : 0.00 Min. : 0.00 Min. : 0.0 1st Qu.: 60.00 1st Qu.: 53.00 1st Qu.: 50.00 1st Qu.: 50.0 Median : 75.00 Median : 71.00 Median : 74.00 Median : 73.5 Mean : 73.13 Mean : 68.59 Mean : 68.45 Mean : 69.2 3rd Qu.: 91.00 3rd Qu.: 91.00 3rd Qu.: 92.0 3rd Qu.: 88.75 :100.00 :100.00 Max. Max. :100.00 Max. :100.0 Max. NA's :1 NA's :1

Internal Consistency of Scored Measures

Internal consistency via Cronbachs alpha was computed for the KADDS, ASQ, and favorability ratings. The KADDS has acceptable internal consistency (a = .776), while

internal consistency for the ASQ (a = .954) and favorability ratings (a = .955) were very strong.

```
KADDS
df_inc %>%
  dplyr::select(ends with(" correct")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate all(as.numeric) %>%
  psych::alpha()
Some items ( kadds4_correct ) were negatively correlated with the first
principal component and
probably should be reversed.
To do this, run the function again with the 'check.keys=TRUE' option
Reliability analysis
Call: psych::alpha(x = .)
  raw alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N
                                              ase mean
                                                         sd median r
      0.78
                0.78
                                 0.082 3.5 0.019 0.53 0.14
                                                               0.078
                        0.83
    95% confidence boundaries
         lower alpha upper
Feldt
          0.74 0.78 0.81
Duhachek 0.74 0.78 0.81
 Reliability if an item is dropped:
                raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N alpha se var.
r med.r
                               0.78
                     0.77
                                       0.82
                                                0.083 3.5
                                                              0.020 0.01
kadds1 correct
1 0.082
                               0.76
                                       0.81
                                                0.079 3.2
                                                              0.020 0.01
kadds2_correct
                     0.77
1 0.078
kadds7 correct
                     0.77
                               0.77
                                       0.82
                                                0.080 3.3
                                                              0.020 0.01
1 0.077
                                       0.82
                                                              0.020 0.01
kadds11_correct
                     0.77
                               0.77
                                                0.080 3.3
1 0.079
kadds12 correct
                     0.77
                               0.77
                                       0.82
                                                0.080 3.3
                                                              0.020 0.01
1 0.076
                                       0.82
                                                0.081 3.3
                                                              0.020 0.01
kadds14_correct
                     0.77
                               0.77
1 0.078
                     0.77
                               0.77
                                       0.82
                                                0.080 3.3
                                                              0.020 0.01
kadds18_correct
1 0.076
                     0.77
                               0.77
                                       0.82
                                                              0.020 0.01
kadds19 correct
                                                0.081 3.4
1 0.078
                                       0.82
                                                0.080 3.3
                                                              0.020 0.01
kadds22 correct
                     0.77
                               0.77
1 0.076
kadds23_correct
                     0.77
                               0.77
                                       0.82
                                                0.081 3.4
                                                              0.020 0.01
1 0.078
                     0.77
                               0.77
                                       0.82
                                                0.081 3.4
                                                              0.020 0.01
kadds24_correct
```

1 0.077							
kadds27_correct	0.78	0.78	0.83	0.085	3.5	0.019	0.01
1 0.084							
kadds28 correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.080	3.3	0.020	0.01
1 0.076							
kadds29 correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.081	3.4	0.020	0.01
1 0.078	••••	••••					
kadds30 correct	Q 77	0 77	0 82	0 082	34	a a2a	a a1
1 0 070	0.77	0.77	0.02	0.002	5.4	0.020	0.01
L 0.079	0 70	0 70	0 07	0 000	2 E	0 0 0	0 01
	0.70	0.70	0.02	0.005	5.5	0.020	0.01
	0 77	0 77	0.00	0 000	2 2	0 0 0 0	0 01
kadds35_correct	0.//	0.//	0.82	0.080	3.3	0.020	0.01
1 0.0/8							
kadds36_correct	0.//	0.//	0.82	0.080	3.3	0.020	0.01
0 0.076							
kadds37_correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.083	3.4	0.020	0.01
1 0.078							
kadds38_correct	0.78	0.78	0.82	0.084	3.5	0.019	0.01
1 0.081							
kadds39_correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.081	3.3	0.020	0.01
1 0.078							
kadds3 correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.082	3.4	0.020	0.01
1 0.078							
kadds4 correct	0.78	0.78	0.83	0.086	3.6	0.019	0.01
1 0.086	••••						
kadds5 correct	0.78	0.78	0.82	0.084	3.5	0.019	0.01
1 0 082	0.70	0.70	0.02	0.001	5.5	0.019	0.01
kadds6 connect	0 77	0 77	0 82	0 081	2 2	a a2a	a a1
1 0 078	0.77	0.77	0.02	0.001	J.J	0.020	0.01
L 0.075	0 77	0 77	0 07	0 000	2 /	0 0 0	0 01
	0.//	0.77	0.82	0.005	5.4	0.020	0.01
	0 77	o 77	0.00	0 000	2.4	0 0 0 0	0 01
Kadds9_correct	0.//	0.//	0.82	0.082	3.4	0.020	0.01
1 0.081	o ==	o ==					
kadds10_correct	0.//	0.//	0.82	0.080	3.3	0.020	0.01
1 0.076							
kadds13_correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.082	3.4	0.020	0.01
1 0.079							
kadds15_correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.081	3.4	0.020	0.01
1 0.078							
kadds16_correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.080	3.3	0.020	0.01
1 0.078							
kadds17 correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.082	3.4	0.020	0.01
1 0.079							
kadds20 correct	0.78	0.78	0.83	0.084	3.5	0.019	0.01
1 0.085							
kadds21 correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.080	3.3	0.020	0.01
1 0.078	- • • •	2		2.200			
kadds25 correct	0 77	0 77	0 82	0 082	34	a aza	Q Q1
1 0 078	5.77	5.77	5.02	0.002	5.4	0.020	0.01
kadds26 connoct	0 77	0 77	0 92	0 001	3 /	0 000	0 01
Kauuszo_COFFECL	0.//	0.//	0.02	0.001	5.4	0.020	0.01

1 0 070					
kadds31 correct	0.78	0.78	0.82	0.084 3.5	0.019 0.01
1 0.080	01/0	0170	0102		01015 0101
kadds32 correct	0.77	0.77	0.82	0.082 3.4	0.020 0.01
1 0.078	••••	••••			
kadds33 correct	0.78	0.78	0.82	0.083 3.5	0.019 0.01
1 0.082		••••			
Item statistics					
	n raw.r	std.r r.cor	r.drop	mean sd	
kadds1 correct 2	266 0.225	0.238 0.195	0.171	0.11 0.31	
kadds2 correct 2	266 0.485	0.494 0.497	0.428	0.82 0.39	
kadds7_correct 2	265 0.404	0.394 0.375	0.329	0.68 0.47	
kadds11_correct 2	266 0.433	0.412 0.390	0.355	0.47 0.50	
kadds12_correct 2	266 0.439	0.425 0.407	0.364	0.63 0.48	
kadds14_correct 2	266 0.391	0.378 0.358	0.311	0.54 0.50	
kadds18_correct 2	266 0.453	0.431 0.413	0.378	0.41 0.49	
kadds19_correct 2	265 0.358	0.342 0.314	0.272	0.52 0.50	
kadds22_correct 2	265 0.420	0.423 0.411	0.348	0.68 0.47	
kadds23_correct 2	266 0.356	0.343 0.313	0.280	0.32 0.47	
kadds24_correct 2	266 0.377	0.361 0.335	0.299	0.39 0.49	
kadds27_correct 2	266 0.141	0.134 0.085	0.075	0.16 0.37	
kadds28_correct 2	265 0.400	0.395 0.375	0.321	0.52 0.50	
kadds29_correct 2	266 0.365	0.354 0.324	0.284	0.47 0.50	
kadds30_correct 2	266 0.298	0.286 0.249	0.226	0.23 0.42	
kadds34_correct 2	266 0.240	0.228 0.183	0.164	0.24 0.43	
kadds35_correct 2	265 0.417	0.404 0.382	0.339	0.55 0.50	
kadds36_correct 2	265 0.421	0.424 0.414	0.353	0.75 0.43	
kadds37_correct 2	265 0.289	0.279 0.246	0.217	0.24 0.43	
kadds38_correct 2	266 0.244	0.222 0.178	0.158	0.39 0.49	
kadds39_correct 2	265 0.372	0.367 0.344	0.302	0.26 0.44	
kadds3_correct 2	265 0.285	0.320 0.288	0.240	0.92 0.26	
kadds4_correct 2	266 0.078	0.087 0.025	0.016	0.15 0.35	
kadds5_correct 2	266 0.196	0.203 0.160	0.126	0.21 0.41	
kadds6_correct 2	265 0.378	0.365 0.341	0.293	0.45 0.50	
kadds8_correct 2	266 0.270	0.271 0.239	0.192	0.33 0.47	
kadds9_correct 2	266 0.242	0.281 0.248	0.187	0.88 0.33	
kadds10_correct 2	265 0.383	0.394 0.368	0.313	0.79 0.41	
kadds13_correct 2	265 0.277	0.315 0.284	0.229	0.92 0.28	
kadds15_correct 2	263 0.335	0.358 0.332	0.272	0.84 0.37	
kadds16_correct 2	266 0.370	0.399 0.383	0.311	0.83 0.37	
kadds17_correct 2	265 0.293	0.294 0.259	0.213	0.65 0.48	
kadds20_correct 2	266 0.185	0.178 0.126	0.097	0.55 0.50	
kadds21_correct 2	266 0.393	0.402 0.382	0.318	0.64 0.48	
kadds25_correct 2	266 0.324	0.333 0.303	0.250	0.70 0.46	
kadds26_correct 2	266 0.317	0.348 0.325	0.262	0.89 0.32	
kadds31_correct 2	266 0.216	0.219 0.172	0.131	0.57 0.50	
kadds32_correct 2	265 0.320	0.322 0.289	0.244	0.69 0.46	
kadds33_correct 2	266 0.235	0.230 0.184	0.151	0.41 0.49	

```
Non missing response frequency for each item
                   0
                        1 miss
kadds1_correct 0.89 0.11 0.00
kadds2 correct 0.18 0.82 0.00
kadds7_correct 0.32 0.68 0.00
kadds11_correct 0.53 0.47 0.00
kadds12 correct 0.37 0.63 0.00
kadds14_correct 0.46 0.54 0.00
kadds18_correct 0.59 0.41 0.00
kadds19 correct 0.48 0.52 0.00
kadds22_correct 0.32 0.68 0.00
kadds23 correct 0.68 0.32 0.00
kadds24 correct 0.61 0.39 0.00
kadds27_correct 0.84 0.16 0.00
kadds28_correct 0.48 0.52 0.00
kadds29 correct 0.53 0.47 0.00
kadds30_correct 0.77 0.23 0.00
kadds34 correct 0.76 0.24 0.00
kadds35 correct 0.45 0.55 0.00
kadds36 correct 0.25 0.75 0.00
kadds37 correct 0.76 0.24 0.00
kadds38_correct 0.61 0.39 0.00
kadds39_correct 0.74 0.26 0.00
kadds3_correct 0.08 0.92 0.00
kadds4 correct 0.85 0.15 0.00
kadds5_correct 0.79 0.21 0.00
kadds6_correct 0.55 0.45 0.00
kadds8 correct 0.67 0.33 0.00
kadds9_correct 0.12 0.88 0.00
kadds10 correct 0.21 0.79 0.00
kadds13_correct 0.08 0.92 0.00
kadds15_correct 0.16 0.84 0.01
kadds16 correct 0.17 0.83 0.00
kadds17 correct 0.35 0.65 0.00
kadds20 correct 0.45 0.55 0.00
kadds21 correct 0.36 0.64 0.00
kadds25 correct 0.30 0.70 0.00
kadds26_correct 0.11 0.89 0.00
kadds31 correct 0.43 0.57 0.00
kadds32_correct 0.31 0.69 0.00
kadds33_correct 0.59 0.41 0.00
ASQ
df inc %>%
  dplyr::select(starts with("asq")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate_all(as.numeric) %>%
  psych::alpha()
```

Reliability analysis

Call: psych::alpha(x = .)raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N ase mean sd median r 0.43 21 0.0043 2.6 0.5 0.95 0.95 1 0.43 95% confidence boundaries lower alpha upper 0.94 0.95 Feldt 0.96 Duhachek 0.94 0.95 0.96 Reliability if an item is dropped: raw alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average r S/N alpha se var.r med.r 0.95 0.95 0.96 0.41 18 0.0046 0.0064 0.42 asq avg asq1 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0107 0.43 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0104 0.43 asq2 0.43 asq3 0.95 0.95 0.98 20 0.0045 0.0103 0.42 asq4 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.44 20 0.0043 0.0099 0.43 0.44 0.95 asq5 0.95 0.98 20 0.0044 0.0104 0.43 0.43 asq6 0.95 0.95 0.98 20 0.0044 0.0105 0.43 asq7 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0107 0.43 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0105 0.43 asq8 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 0.0044 0.0106 asq9 20 0.43 asq10 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0104 0.43 asq11 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0106 0.43 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0045 0.0101 0.43 asq12 asq13 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0105 0.43 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0045 0.0105 0.42 asq14 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0105 0.43 asq15 asq16 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.44 20 0.0044 0.0100 0.43 0.95 0.98 0.43 0.0044 0.0102 asq17 0.95 20 0.43 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0107 0.43 asq18 asq19 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0107 0.43 asq20 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0102 0.43 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.44 20 0.0043 0.0103 0.43 asq21 0.95 0.43 20 0.43 asq22 0.95 0.98 0.0044 0.0107 asq23 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0106 0.43 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.44 20 0.0043 0.0099 0.43 asq24 asq25 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0044 0.0101 0.43 0.95 0.95 0.98 0.43 20 0.0045 0.0106 asq26 0.43 Item statistics n raw.r std.r r.cor r.drop mean sd 1.00 asq avg 266 1.00 1.00 1.00 2.6 0.50 2.7 0.69 265 0.64 0.65 0.65 0.61 asq1 0.64 0.65 0.61 2.9 0.72 asq2 266 0.65 asq3 266 0.74 0.74 0.74 0.71 2.7 0.72 asq4 265 0.56 0.56 0.56 0.52 2.0 0.76 asq5 265 0.61 0.61 0.61 0.57 2.5 0.70 asq6 266 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.63 2.8 0.78 0.70 0.70 0.70 2.4 0.73 asq7 266 0.67

```
asq8
        266
             0.70 0.70
                         0.70
                                0.67
                                      2.8 0.72
asq9
        266
             0.67
                   0.68
                         0.68
                                0.64
                                      2.8 0.67
asq10
        266
             0.70
                   0.70
                         0.70
                                0.67
                                      2.4 0.80
        265
            0.68 0.68
                                0.64 2.6 0.80
asq11
                         0.68
asq12
        266
            0.71
                   0.71
                         0.71
                                0.68 2.2 0.75
            0.65
                                0.61 2.7 0.76
asq13
        266
                   0.65
                         0.65
asq14
        266
            0.75
                   0.75
                         0.75
                                0.72 2.4 0.73
asq15
        266
            0.66
                   0.66
                         0.66
                                0.63
                                      2.8 0.73
            0.63
                                0.59 2.2 0.81
asq16
        266
                   0.62
                         0.62
asq17
        266
             0.65
                   0.66
                         0.66
                                0.62
                                      2.9 0.72
asq18
        266
            0.66
                   0.66
                         0.66
                                0.62 2.7 0.75
        265
            0.69
                                      2.4 0.77
asq19
                   0.69
                         0.69
                                0.66
asq20
        266
            0.69
                   0.69 0.69
                                0.66 2.2 0.82
                   0.57
asq21
        266
            0.57
                         0.57
                                0.53 2.8 0.81
asq22
        266
            0.66
                   0.66
                         0.66
                                0.63 2.3 0.79
asq23
        265
            0.65
                   0.65
                         0.65
                                0.61 2.5 0.73
asq24
        265
            0.54
                   0.54 0.54
                                0.49
                                      2.9 0.80
asq25
        266
             0.66
                   0.66 0.66
                                0.63 2.1 0.79
asq26
        266 0.71 0.70 0.70
                                0.68 2.7 0.76
Favorability Ratings
df inc %>%
  dplyr::select(starts with("fav ")) %>%
  dplyr::mutate_all(as.numeric) %>%
  psych::alpha()
Reliability analysis
Call: psych::alpha(x = .)
  raw alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average r S/N
                                               ase mean sd median r
                                                    67 18
                0.96
                                  0.52 21 0.0046
                                                              0.52
      0.95
                        0.99
    95% confidence boundaries
         lower alpha upper
Feldt
          0.94 0.95
                      0.96
Duhachek 0.94 0.95
                      0.96
 Reliability if an item is dropped:
                  raw_alpha std.alpha G6(smc) average_r S/N alpha se va
r.r
                       0.95
                                 0.95
                                         0.98
                                                   0.51 20
                                                              0.0050 0.
fav_overall_avg
047
fav_pharm_avg
                       0.95
                                 0.96
                                         0.99
                                                   0.53
                                                         21
                                                              0.0046 0.
043
                                 0.95
                                         0.99
                                                   0.51
                                                         20
                                                              0.0050 0.
fav_psy_avg
                       0.95
047
                                 0.95
                                         0.98
                                                   0.51
                                                         20
                                                              0.0049 0.
fav_pmt_avg
                       0.95
047
                                         0.98
fav_ed_avg
                       0.95
                                 0.95
                                                   0.51 20
                                                              0.0050 0.
```

047							
fav child overall	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.51	20	0.0049 0.	
048							
fav pers overall	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.51	20	0.0049 0.	
047	•••••	0.110	••••	010-			
fav int overall	0 95	0 95	0 00	0 51	20	0 0010 0	
	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.51	20	0.0049 0.	,
647	0.05	0.00	0.00	0 5 2	21	0 0046 0	
Tav_cniid_pharm	0.95	0.96	0.99	0.53	21	0.0046 0.	•
044							
tav_pers_pharm	0.95	0.96	0.99	0.53	21	0.0045 0.	•
043							
fav_rec_pharm	0.95	0.96	0.99	0.53	21	0.0046 0.	•
043							
fav_child_psy	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.52	20	0.0049 0.	,
048							
fav pers psy	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.51	20	0.0049 0.	
047							
fav rec nsv	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.51	20	0,0050 0	
047	0.99	0.55	0.55	0.51	20	0.0050 0.	•
fav child pmt	0 95	0 95	0 00	0 52	20	0 0010 0	
	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.52	20	0.0049 0.	,
	0.05	0.05	0.00	0 51	20	0 0040 0	
tav_pers_pmt	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.51	20	0.0049 0.	•
048							
fav_rec_pmt	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.52	20	0.0049 0.	•
048							
fav_child_ed	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.52	20	0.0049 0.	
048							
fav_pers_ed	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.51	20	0.0050 0.	
048							
fav rec ed	0.95	0.95	0.99	0.51	20	0.0050 0.	
048							
010	mod n						
fay overall avg	0 52						
fav phanm avg	0.52						
Fav_pharm_avg	0.52						
tav_psy_avg	0.51						
fav_pmt_avg	0.51						
fav_ed_avg	0.51						
fav child overall	0.51						
fav pers overall	0.52						
fav int overall	0.52						
fav child phanm	0.52						
fav pape phan	0.52						
Fav_pers_priarin	0.52						
Tav_rec_pnarm	0.52						
<pre>tav_child_psy</pre>	0.52						
tav_pers_psy	0.51						
fav_rec_psy	0.51						
<pre>fav_child_pmt</pre>	0.52						
fav pers pmt	0.52						
fav rec pmt	0.52						
fav child ed	0.52						

fav_pers_ed	0.5	51					
fav_rec_ed	0.5	51					
Item statistics							
	n	raw.r	std.r	r.cor	r.drop	mean	sd
fav_overall_avg	264	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.76	68	23
fav_pharm_avg	266	0.63	0.59	0.52	0.58	54	29
fav_psy_avg	266	0.79	0.80	0.74	0.76	73	22
<pre>fav_pmt_avg</pre>	264	0.75	0.78	0.78	0.73	72	21
fav_ed_avg	265	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.78	69	25
<pre>fav_child_overall</pre>	265	0.77	0.78	0.79	0.74	67	23
fav_pers_overall	266	0.76	0.77	0.77	0.73	67	24
fav_int_overall	265	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.73	69	24
<pre>fav_child_pharm</pre>	266	0.62	0.58	0.57	0.57	55	29
fav_pers_pharm	266	0.61	0.57	0.57	0.56	52	30
fav_rec_pharm	266	0.62	0.57	0.57	0.56	55	30
<pre>fav_child_psy</pre>	266	0.72	0.74	0.73	0.69	73	22
fav_pers_psy	266	0.76	0.78	0.78	0.74	72	23
fav_rec_psy	266	0.78	0.80	0.79	0.75	74	23
<pre>fav_child_pmt</pre>	265	0.71	0.74	0.74	0.68	71	21
fav_pers_pmt	266	0.74	0.76	0.77	0.71	71	22
fav_rec_pmt	265	0.72	0.74	0.75	0.69	73	22
fav_child_ed	266	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.71	69	25
fav_pers_ed	265	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.74	68	27
fav rec ed	266	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.77	69	26

Correlations Between Variables

Correlations between KADDS total scores, ASQ scores, and overall favorability average were computed. Additionally, correlations between intervention-specific favorability ratings are also included. Scatterplots were generated to evaluate linearity of relationships.

df_inc <mark>%>%</mark>										
furniture::1	tableC	(kadds na.rm outpu	_tot, = TR t = "	asq_av UE, markdov	vg, fa [.] vn")	v_overa	all_avį	5,		
	[1	.]		[2]		[3]				
[1]kadds_tot	1.	.00								
[2]asq_avg	0.	.047 (0.4	447)	1.00						
[3]fav_overall_a	avg O.	.119 (0.0	055)	0.079 (0).201)	1.00				
<pre>df_inc %>% furniture::1 fav_psy_avg,</pre>	tableC	(kadds fav_p	_tot, mt_av	asq_av g, fav_	vg, fa _ed_av;	v_overa	all_avį child_o	g, fav <u></u> overali	_pharm_ L, fav_	_avg, _pers
_overall,		fav_i na.rm outpu	nt_ov = TR t = "	erall, UE, markdov	vn")					
	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[1 0]
[1]kadds tot	1.00									

[1]kadds_tot	1.00							
[2]asq_avg	0.05 2 (0.40 5)	1.00						
[3]fav_overall _avg	0.11 3 (0.06 9)	0.08 (0.20 1)	1.00					
[4]fav_pharm _avg	0.16 5 (0.00 8)	0.17 1 (0.00 6)	0.29 8 (<.00 1)	1.00				
[5]fav_psy_av g	0.1 (0.10 7)	0.08 3 (0.18)	0.61 1 (<.00 1)	0.29 3 (<.00 1)	1.00			
[6]fav_pmt_a vg	0.05 (0.41 8)	0.07 3 (0.24 2)	0.49 9 (<.00 1)	0.30 8 (<.00 1)	0.55 7 (<.00 1)	1.00		

	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[1 0]	
[7]fav_ed_avg	0.03 3 (0.59 5)	0.00 8 (0.9)	0.60 3 (<.00 1)	0.31 3 (<.00 1)	0.57 2 (<.00 1)	0.57 (<.00 1)	1.00				
[8]fav_child_o verall	0.12 8 (0.03 9)	0.08 1 (0.19 2)	0.96 (<.00 1)	0.30 3 (<.00 1)	0.59 9 (<.00 1)	0.52 (<.00 1)	0.56 7 (<.00 1)	1.00			
[9]fav_pers_o verall	0.09 6 (0.12 3)	0.07 9 (0.20 4)	0.97 4 (<.00 1)	0.27 5 (<.00 1)	0.57 2 (<.00 1)	0.46 8 (<.00 1)	0.59 9 (<.00 1)	0.9 (<.00 1)	1.00		
[10]fav_int_o verall	0.10 5 (0.09)	0.07 1 (0.25 4)	0.97 (<.00 1)	0.28 8 (<.00 1)	0.60 3 (<.00 1)	0.46 4 (<.00 1)	0.58 5 (<.00 1)	0.89 (<.00 1)	0.92 7 (<.00 1)	1.0 0	
<pre>df_inc %>% cor.test(~ kadds_tot + fav_overall_avg,</pre>											
Pearson's	produc	t-mome	ent cor	relati	lon						
<pre>data: kadds_tot and fav_overall_avg t = 1.9284, df = 261, p-value = 0.05489 alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval: -0.002468399 0.236095641 sample estimates:</pre>											
<pre>df_inc %>% cor.test(~ kadds_tot + fav_pharm_avg, data = .)</pre>											
Pearson's	produc	t-mome	ent cor	relati	lon						
<pre>data: kadds_t t = 2.8852, dt alternative hy 95 percent cor 0.05583581 0 sample estimat</pre>	Pearson's product-moment correlation data: kadds_tot and fav_pharm_avg t = 2.8852, df = 263, p-value = 0.004236 alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0 95 percent confidence interval: 0.05583581 0.28954349										

[1

```
cor
0.175156
df_inc %>%
  cor.test(~ kadds_tot + fav_psy_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: kadds_tot and fav_psy_avg
t = 1.7096, df = 263, p-value = 0.08852
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.01586253 0.22252406
sample estimates:
      cor
0.1048365
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ kadds_tot + fav_pmt_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: kadds tot and fav pmt avg
t = 0.82688, df = 261, p-value = 0.4091
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.07027536 0.17101516
sample estimates:
       cor
0.05111583
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ kadds_tot + fav_ed_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: kadds_tot and fav_ed_avg
t = 0.62309, df = 262, p-value = 0.5338
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.08264473 0.15845722
sample estimates:
       cor
0.03846607
```

```
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ kadds_tot + fav_child_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: kadds tot and fav child overall
t = 2.1784, df = 262, p-value = 0.03027
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.01285891 0.25007899
sample estimates:
      cor
0.1333789
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ kadds_tot + fav_pers_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: kadds_tot and fav_pers_overall
t = 1.7644, df = 263, p-value = 0.07883
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.0125041 0.2257144
sample estimates:
      cor
0.1081575
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ kadds_tot + fav_int_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: kadds_tot and fav_int_overall
t = 1.8267, df = 262, p-value = 0.06888
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.008703024 0.229758229
sample estimates:
      cor
0.1121418
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ asq_avg + fav_overall_avg,
          data = .)
```

```
Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: asq_avg and fav_overall_avg
t = 1.2724, df = 262, p-value = 0.2044
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.0427673 0.1972254
sample estimates:
       cor
0.07836432
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ asq_avg + fav_pharm_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: asq_avg and fav_pharm_avg
t = 2.818, df = 264, p-value = 0.005198
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.05167662 0.28529405
sample estimates:
      cor
0.1708861
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ asq_avg + fav_psy_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: asq_avg and fav_psy_avg
t = 1.3546, df = 264, p-value = 0.1767
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.03756392 0.20134262
sample estimates:
       cor
0.08308299
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ asq_avg + fav_pmt_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
```

```
data: asq_avg and fav_pmt_avg
t = 1.1367, df = 262, p-value = 0.2567
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.05110409 0.18918213
sample estimates:
       cor
0.07005514
df_inc %>%
  cor.test(~ asq_avg + fav_ed_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: asq_avg and fav_ed_avg
t = 0.15232, df = 263, p-value = 0.8791
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.1112324 0.1297439
sample estimates:
        cor
0.009392112
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ asq_avg + fav_child_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: asg avg and fav child overall
t = 1.3064, df = 263, p-value = 0.1926
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.0405975 0.1988685
sample estimates:
       cor
0.08029393
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ asq_avg + fav_pers_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: asq_avg and fav_pers_overall
t = 1.258, df = 264, p-value = 0.2095
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
```

```
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.0434796 0.1956507
sample estimates:
       cor
0.07719564
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ asq_avg + fav_int_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: asq_avg and fav_int_overall
t = 1.1413, df = 263, p-value = 0.2548
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 -0.05072432 0.18910236
sample estimates:
       cor
0.07020342
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_overall_avg + fav_pharm_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_overall_avg and fav_pharm_avg
t = 5.0775, df = 262, p-value = 7.266e-07
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.1852747 0.4053855
sample estimates:
      cor
0.2993069
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_overall_avg + fav_psy_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_overall_avg and fav_psy_avg
t = 12.524, df = 262, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.5303978 0.6822632
sample estimates:
```

```
cor
0.6119402
df_inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_overall_avg + fav_pmt_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_overall_avg and fav_pmt_avg
t = 9.3062, df = 260, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.4030985 0.5855818
sample estimates:
      cor
0.4998674
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_overall_avg + fav_ed_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav overall avg and fav ed avg
t = 12.231, df = 261, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.5206792 0.6752738
sample estimates:
      cor
0.6036201
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_overall_avg + fav_child_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_overall_avg and fav_child_overall
t = 55.265, df = 262, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.9488959 0.9682319
sample estimates:
      cor
0.9596841
```

```
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_overall_avg + fav_pers_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_overall_avg and fav_pers_overall
t = 68.603, df = 262, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.9660620 0.9789731
sample estimates:
      cor
0.9732761
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_overall_avg + fav_int_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_overall_avg and fav_int_overall
t = 64.891, df = 262, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.9622603 0.9766004
sample estimates:
      cor
0.9702702
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pharm_avg + fav_psy_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_pharm_avg and fav_psy_avg
t = 5.0019, df = 264, p-value = 1.037e-06
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.1803309 0.4003266
sample estimates:
      cor
0.2942212
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pharm_avg + fav_pmt_avg,
           data = .)
```

```
Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_pharm_avg and fav_pmt_avg
t = 5.1605, df = 262, p-value = 4.869e-07
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.189995 0.409466
sample estimates:
      cor
0.3037546
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pharm_avg + fav_ed_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_pharm_avg and fav_ed_avg
t = 5.3587, df = 263, p-value = 1.833e-07
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.2008387 0.4184243
sample estimates:
      cor
0.3137445
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pharm_avg + fav_child_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_pharm_avg and fav_child_overall
t = 5.1701, df = 263, p-value = 4.636e-07
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.1902054 0.4092619
sample estimates:
      cor
0.3037425
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pharm_avg + fav_pers_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
```

```
data: fav pharm avg and fav pers overall
t = 4.6963, df = 264, p-value = 4.264e-06
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.1628379 0.3850827
sample estimates:
      cor
0.2776713
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav pharm avg + fav int overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_pharm_avg and fav_int_overall
t = 4.9132, df = 263, p-value = 1.577e-06
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.1755847 0.3965910
sample estimates:
      cor
0.2899486
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_psy_avg + fav_pmt_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav psy avg and fav pmt avg
t = 10.793, df = 262, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.4652149 0.6331063
sample estimates:
     cor
0.554783
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_psy_avg + fav_ed_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_psy_avg and fav_ed_avg
t = 11.317, df = 263, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
```

```
95 percent confidence interval:
0.4852146 0.6480655
sample estimates:
      cor
0.5722548
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_psy_avg + fav_child_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_psy_avg and fav_child_overall
t = 12.097, df = 263, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.5144968 0.6701417
sample estimates:
      cor
0.5979263
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_psy_avg + fav_pers_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_psy_avg and fav_pers_overall
t = 11.349, df = 264, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.4858309 0.6482658
sample estimates:
    cor
0.572642
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_psy_avg + fav_int_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_psy_avg and fav_int_overall
t = 12.269, df = 263, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.5206941 0.6747788
sample estimates:
```

```
cor
0.6033375
df_inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pmt_avg + fav_ed_avg,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_pmt_avg and fav_ed_avg
t = 11.063, df = 261, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.4766178 0.6420786
sample estimates:
     cor
0.565002
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pmt_avg + fav_child_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_pmt_avg and fav_child_overall
t = 9.8719, df = 261, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.4274150 0.6042619
sample estimates:
      cor
0.5214152
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pmt_avg + fav_pers_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_pmt_avg and fav_pers_overall
t = 8.5639, df = 262, p-value = 9.456e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.3676886 0.5569393
sample estimates:
      cor
0.4676564
```

```
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pmt_avg + fav_int_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_pmt_avg and fav_int_overall
t = 8.4489, df = 261, p-value = 2.085e-15
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.3628041 0.5533625
sample estimates:
      cor
0.4634241
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_ed_avg + fav_child_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_ed_avg and fav_child_overall
t = 11.095, df = 262, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.4772132 0.6422575
sample estimates:
      cor
0.5653679
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_ed_avg + fav_pers_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_ed_avg and fav_pers_overall
t = 12.128, df = 263, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.5156042 0.6709712
sample estimates:
      cor
0.5988938
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_ed_avg + fav_int_overall,
          data = .)
```

```
Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_ed_avg and fav_int_overall
t = 11.699, df = 262, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.5004442 0.6598433
sample estimates:
      cor
0.5857801
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_child_overall + fav_pers_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_child_overall and fav_pers_overall
t = 33.311, df = 263, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.8732155 0.9199399
sample estimates:
      cor
0.8991088
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_child_overall + fav_int_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
data: fav_child_overall and fav_int_overall
t = 31.642, df = 262, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
0.8622184 0.9128842
sample estimates:
      cor
0.8902741
df inc %>%
  cor.test(~ fav_pers_overall + fav_int_overall,
           data = .)
    Pearson's product-moment correlation
```

```
data: fav_pers_overall and fav_int_overall
t = 39.513, df = 263, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
    0.9055603 0.9407416
sample estimates:
        cor
0.9251119
df_inc %>%
```

```
dplyr::select(kadds_tot, asq_avg, fav_overall_avg) %>%
pairs()
```







Modeling: Mediation *Define Models*

Both an unmediated model proposing the direct effect and a mediation model were defined. The proposed direct effect describes increasing ADHD knowledge (as measured by the KADDS total score) leading to increased favorable views of intervention. The mediated model proposes that ADHD stigma (ASQ score) is the intervening or causal mechanism. Namely, as ADHD knowledge increases this decreases ADHD stigma, which in turn leads to increases in intervention favorability.

Two variations on the base model were also described based on the same theoretical foundation but accounting for potential differences in intervention type. The first variation (Med2) substitutes overall favorability with favorability toward interventions for their child specifically. The second variation (Med3) substitutes overall favorability with favorability for psychopharmacological intervention.

Mediation resources: https://davidakenny.net/cm/mediate.htm

```
mod_unmed_1 <- '
    # direct effect</pre>
```

```
fav overall avg ~ c*kadds tot
mod_med_1 <- '</pre>
  # direct effect
    fav_overall_avg ~ cp*kadds_tot
 # mediator
    asq_avg ~ a*kadds_tot
    fav_overall_avg ~ b*asq_avg
 # indirect effect (a * b)
    ab := a*b
 # total effect
    total := cp + (a*b)
mod med 2 <- '
 # direct effect
   fav_child_overall ~ cp*kadds_tot
 # mediator
    asq_avg ~ a*kadds_tot
    fav child overall ~ b*asq avg
 # indirect effect (a * b)
    ab := a*b
 # total effect
    total := cp + (a*b)
mod_unmed_2 <- '</pre>
  # direct effect
    fav_child_overall ~ c*kadds_tot
mod_med_3 <- '</pre>
  # direct effect
   fav_pharm_avg ~ cp*kadds_tot
 # mediator
    asq_avg ~ a*kadds_tot
    fav_pharm_avg ~ b*asq_avg
 # indirect effect (a * b)
    ab := a*b
 # total effect
    total := cp + (a*b)
```

Fit Models

Each of the specified models were fit using the lavaan package. Full information maximum likelihood (FIML) was used for the estimator due to the nonsystematic missingness present in the data. Using this method, means and variances for the relationships between the varaibles are estimated with each other rather than relying

on existing sample values. For more information regarding the use of FIML, please see: https://francish.net/post/accounting-for-missing-data/

```
Proposed
fit_unmed_1 <- lavaan::sem(model = mod_unmed_1,</pre>
                           data = df inc,
                           missing = "fiml",
                           fixed.x = FALSE)
summary(fit_unmed_1)
lavaan 0.6.16 ended normally after 14 iterations
  Estimator
                                                    ML
  Optimization method
                                                NLMINB
 Number of model parameters
                                                     5
  Number of observations
                                                   266
  Number of missing patterns
                                                     3
Model Test User Model:
  Test statistic
                                                 0.000
  Degrees of freedom
                                                     0
Parameter Estimates:
  Standard errors
                                              Standard
  Information
                                              Observed
 Observed information based on
                                               Hessian
Regressions:
                    Estimate Std.Err z-value P(|z|)
 fav_overall_avg ~
    kadds tot (c)
                       0.488
                                0.252
                                         1.936
                                                  0.053
Intercepts:
                   Estimate Std.Err z-value
                                               P(|z|)
   .fav overall vg
                     57.836
                               5.420
                                       10.671
                                                 0.000
    kadds tot
                     20.765
                               0.345
                                       60.274
                                                 0.000
Variances:
                   Estimate Std.Err
                                      z-value
                                               P(|z|)
   .fav overall vg 526.885
                              45.860
                                       11.489
                                                 0.000
    kadds tot
                     31.453
                               2.732
                                       11.511
                                                 0.000
lavaan::parameterEstimates(fit_unmed_1)
                                 rhs label
              lhs op
                                               est
                                                               z pvalue
                                                       se
1 fav overall avg ~
                           kadds tot
                                             0.488 0.252 1.936 0.053
                                         С
2 fav_overall_avg ~~ fav_overall_avg 526.885 45.860 11.489 0.000
```

```
3
        kadds tot ~~
                           kadds_tot
                                             31.453 2.732 11.511
                                                                   0.000
                                                                   0.000
4 fav overall avg ~1
                                             57.836 5.420 10.671
        kadds_tot ~1
                                             20.765 0.345 60.274
5
                                                                   0.000
  ci.lower ci.upper
1
   -0.006
              0.982
2 437.001 616.770
3
   26.098
            36.809
4
    47.213
             68.459
5
    20.090
             21.440
fit med 1 <- lavaan::sem(model = mod med 1,</pre>
                           data = df_inc,
                           missing = "fiml",
                           fixed.x = FALSE)
summary(fit_med_1)
lavaan 0.6.16 ended normally after 23 iterations
  Estimator
                                                     ML
  Optimization method
                                                 NLMINB
  Number of model parameters
                                                      9
  Number of observations
                                                    266
  Number of missing patterns
                                                      3
Model Test User Model:
  Test statistic
                                                  0.000
  Degrees of freedom
                                                      0
Parameter Estimates:
  Standard errors
                                               Standard
  Information
                                               Observed
  Observed information based on
                                               Hessian
Regressions:
                    Estimate Std.Err z-value P(|z|)
  fav_overall_avg ~
                       0.474
                                0.252
                                         1.882
    kadds_tot (cp)
                                                   0.060
  asq_avg ~
                                0.005
                                         0.770
    kadds_tot (a)
                       0.004
                                                   0.441
  fav_overall_avg ~
    asq_avg
               (b)
                       3.380
                                2.826
                                         1.196
                                                   0.232
Intercepts:
                   Estimate Std.Err
                                                P(|z|)
                                      z-value
   .fav_overall_vg
                               8.838
                                        5.598
                                                  0.000
                     49.476
                      2.475
                               0.117
                                       21.178
                                                  0.000
   .asq_avg
```

kadds_tot	20.765	0.345	60.275	0.000							
Variances:											
	Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)							
.fav_overall_vg	524.050	45.613	11.489	0.000							
.asq_avg	0.247	0.021	11.533	0.000							
kadds_tot	31.453	2.732	11.511	0.000							
Defined Parameters:											
	Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)							
ab	0.014	0.022	0.648	0.517							
total	0.488	0.252	1.935	0.053							

lavaan::parameterEstimates(fit_med_1)

		lhs	ор	rhs	label	est	se	z	pvalu
e 1 ด	fav_overa	ll_avg	~	kadds_tot	ср	0.474	0.252	1.882	0.06
2	a	isq_avg	~	kadds_tot	а	0.004	0.005	0.770	0.44
3	fav_overa	ll_avg	~	asq_avg	b	3.380	2.826	1.196	0.23
4 0	fav_overa	ll_avg	~~	fav_overall_avg		524.050	45.613	11.489	0.00
5 0	a	isq_avg	~~	asq_avg		0.247	0.021	11.533	0.00
6 0	kad	lds_tot	~~	kadds_tot		31.453	2.732	11.511	0.00
7 0	fav_overa	ll_avg	~1			49.476	8.838	5.598	0.00
8 0	а	isq_avg	~1			2.475	0.117	21.178	0.00
9 0	kad	lds_tot	~1			20.765	0.345	60.275	0.00
10 7		ab	:=	a*b	ab	0.014	0.022	0.648	0.51
11 3		total	:=	cp+(a*b)	total	0.488	0.252	1.935	0.05
-	ci lower	ci unne	r						
1	-0 020	0 96	57						
2	-0.020	0.90 0 01	15						
2 3	-2 159	8 91	19						
4	434,649	613.4	50						
5	0.205	0.28	39						
6	26.098	36.86	38						
7	32.154	66.79	98						
8	2.246	2.76	94						
9	20.090	21.44	10						

10 -0.029 0.057 -0.006 11 0.982 Theoretical Variations fit_med_2 <- lavaan::sem(model = mod_med_2,</pre> data = df_inc, missing = "fiml", fixed.x = FALSE) summary(fit_med_2) lavaan 0.6.16 ended normally after 25 iterations Estimator ML Optimization method NLMINB Number of model parameters 9 Number of observations 266 Number of missing patterns 3 Model Test User Model: Test statistic 0.000 Degrees of freedom 0 Parameter Estimates: Standard errors Standard Information Observed Observed information based on Hessian Regressions: Estimate Std.Err z-value P(|z|)fav_child_overall ~ kadds_tot (cp) 0.538 0.252 2.134 0.033 asq_avg ~ kadds tot (a) 0.004 0.005 0.771 0.441 fav_child_overall ~ 3.470 2.837 1.223 0.221 asq_avg (b) Intercepts: Estimate Std.Err z-value P(|z|).fav_child_vrll 47.351 8.868 5.340 0.000 .asq_avg 2.475 0.117 21.177 0.000 20.765 0.345 60.277 0.000 kadds_tot Variances: Estimate Std.Err P(>|z|)z-value .fav_child_vrll 528.229 45.890 11.511 0.000 0.247 0.021 11.533 0.000 .asq_avg

kadds_tot 31.453 2.732 11.512 0.000 **Defined Parameters:** Estimate Std.Err z-value P(|z|)0.652 0.022 ab 0.015 0.514 0.552 0.252 2.188 0.029 total fit_unmed_2 <- lavaan::sem(model = mod_unmed_2,</pre> data = df_inc, missing = "fiml", fixed.x = FALSE) summary(fit_unmed_2) lavaan 0.6.16 ended normally after 12 iterations Estimator ML Optimization method NLMINB Number of model parameters 5 Number of observations 266 Number of missing patterns 3 Model Test User Model: Test statistic 0.000 Degrees of freedom 0 Parameter Estimates: Standard errors Standard Information Observed Observed information based on Hessian Regressions: Estimate Std.Err z-value P(|z|)fav_child_overall ~ kadds_tot (c) 0.552 0.252 2.187 0.029 Intercepts: Estimate Std.Err z-value P(|z|).fav_child_vrll 55.945 5.425 10.312 0.000 kadds_tot 20.765 0.345 60.276 0.000 Variances: Estimate Std.Err z-value P(>|z|).fav_child_vrll 531.210 46.149 11.511 0.000 kadds_tot 31.453 2.732 11.512 0.000 fit_med_3 <- lavaan::sem(model = mod_med_3,</pre> data = df_inc,
missing = "fiml", fixed.x = FALSE)

summary(fit_med_3)

lavaan 0.6.16 ended normally after 24 iterations

Estimator Optimization met Number of model	hod parameters			ML NLMINB 9
Number of observ Number of missin	ations g patterns			266 2
Model Test User Mod	del:			
Test statistic Degrees of freed	om			0.000 0
Parameter Estimate	s:			
Standard errors Information Observed informa	tion based	on		Standard Observed Hessian
Regressions:	Estimate	Std.Err	z-value	P(> z)
<pre>fav_pharm_avg ~ kadds_tot (cp) </pre>	0.866	0.309	2.804	0.005
kadds_tot (a)	0.004	0.005	0.773	0.440
asq_avg (b)	9.517	3.481	2.734	0.006
Intercepts:	E a t i wa t a			
.fav_pharm_avg .asq_avg kadds_tot	11.740 2.475 20.767	10.872 0.117 0.344	2-Value 1.080 21.173 60.285	P(> 2) 0.280 0.000 0.000
Variances:				
.fav_pharm_avg .asq_avg kadds_tot	Estimate 795.522 0.247 31.452	Std.Err 68.981 0.021 2.732	z-value 11.532 11.533 11.512	P(> z) 0.000 0.000 0.000
Defined Parameters	:			
ab total	Estimate 0.040 0.906	Std.Err 0.054 0.313	z-value 0.743 2.896	P(> z) 0.457 0.004

Session Information

sessionInfo() R version 4.3.2 (2023-10-31) Platform: aarch64-apple-darwin20 (64-bit) Running under: macOS Sonoma 14.4 Matrix products: default /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-arm64/Resources/li BLAS: b/libRblas.0.dylib LAPACK: /Library/Frameworks/R.framework/Versions/4.3-arm64/Resources/li b/libRlapack.dylib; LAPACK version 3.11.0 locale: [1] en US.UTF-8/en US.UTF-8/en US.UTF-8/C/en US.UTF-8/en US.UTF-8 time zone: America/New York tzcode source: internal attached base packages: graphics grDevices utils datasets methods base [1] stats other attached packages: furniture_1.9.14 psych_2.3.9 [1] tinytex_0.47 lavaan_0.6-16 forcats 1.0.0 [5] naniar 1.0.0 lubridate 1.9.3 stringr 1.5.0 [9] dplyr 1.1.3 purrr 1.0.2 readr 2.1.4 tidyr 1.3.0 [13] tibble_3.2.1 ggplot2_3.4.3 tidyverse_2.0.0 readxl_1.4.3 loaded via a namespace (and not attached): [1] gtable_0.3.4 xfun_0.40 visdat_0.6.0 lattice_0.21 -9 [5] tzdb 0.4.0 quadprog 1.5-8 vctrs 0.6.3 tools 4.3.2 fansi 1.0.4 [9] generics_0.1.3 stats4_4.3.2 parallel 4.3.2 [13] pkgconfig_2.0.3 Matrix_1.6-1.1 rematch 2.0.0 lifecycle 1. 0.3 [17] compiler_4.3.2 farver_2.1.1 munsell_0.5.0 mnormt_2.1.1 [21] janitor 2.2.0 snakecase_0.11.1 htmltools 0.5.6.1 yaml 2.3.7 [25] pillar_1.9.0 MASS_7.3-60 nlme_3.1-163 tidyselect 1 .2.0 [29] digest_0.6.33 stringi_1.7.12 pander_0.6.5 labeling 0.4 .3 grid_4.3.2 colorspace_2 [33] splines_4.3.2 fastmap_1.1.1 .1-0 [37] cli_3.6.1 magrittr_2.0.3 utf8_1.2.3 pbivnorm_0.6 .0 [41] withr_2.5.1 scales 1.2.1 timechange_0.2.0 rmarkdown 2. 25 [45] gridExtra 2.3 cellranger 1.1.0 hms 1.1.3 evaluate 0.2 2 [49] knitr 1.44 UpSetR 1.4.0 mgcv_1.9-0 rlang_1.1.1

[53] isoband_0.2.7	Rcpp_1.0.11	glue_1.6.2	rstudioapi_0
.15.0			
[57] R6_2.5.1	plyr_1.8.9		

Package Citations

APA citations for all packages used were generated within R for documentation purposes within the manuscript.

```
citation("psych")
```

 $pages = \{1--36\},\$

To cite package 'psych' in publications use:

```
William Revelle (2023). _psych: Procedures for Psychological,
  Psychometric, and Personality Research_. Northwestern University,
  Evanston, Illinois. R package version 2.3.9,
  <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych>.
A BibTeX entry for LaTeX users is
 @Manual{,
    title = {psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Per
sonality Research},
    author = {{William Revelle}},
    organization = {Northwestern University},
    address = {Evanston, Illinois},
   year = \{2023\},
    note = {R package version 2.3.9},
    url = {https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych},
  }
citation("lavaan")
To cite lavaan in publications use:
 Yves Rosseel (2012). lavaan: An R Package for Structural Equation
 Modeling. Journal of Statistical Software, 48(2), 1-36.
  https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02
A BibTeX entry for LaTeX users is
 @Article{,
   title = {{lavaan}: An {R} Package for Structural Equation Modeling}
    author = {Yves Rosseel},
    journal = {Journal of Statistical Software},
   year = \{2012\},
    volume = {48},
    number = \{2\},
```

doi = {10.18637/jss.v048.i02},
}

VITAE

Megan E. Golson 5375 NW 7th St PH 824 | Miami, FL 33126 | 801.673.7166 megan.golson@gmail.com

EDUCATION Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology Emphasis: School Psychology Utah State University, Logan, Utah	August 2024 (anticipated)
Predoctoral Psychology Resident Specialty Track: Clinical Child Psychology Mailman Center for Child Development University of Miami Miller School of Medicine Miami, Florida	August 2023 – Present
<i>Master of Science</i> Emphasis: School Psychology Utah State University, Logan, Utah	May 2021
Bachelor of Science Major: Psychology, with Honors Southern Utah University, Cedar City, Utah	May 2018

CLINICAL CERTIFICATIONS

Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, Second Edition (ADOS-2) Clinical Workshop. Utah State University, Logan, Utah, 2019.

CLINICAL EXPERIENCE

Examiner, Interdisciplinary Developmental Evaluation Service August 2023 – Present *Mailman Center for Child Development*

University of Miami Miller School of Medicine

Miami, Florida

Supervisor: Paula Perez, PsyD

Conduct psychological, psychoeducational, neurodevelopmental, and neuropsychological evaluations of children and adolescents in collaboration with an interdisciplinary team including developmental and behavioral pediatricians, occupational therapists, physical therapists, speech-language pathologists, and audiologists. Provided feedback to families regarding results, diagnosis, and recommendations.

Co-Therapist, Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (PCIT) Mailman Center for Child Development

August 2023 – Present

University of Miami Miller School of Medicine

Miami, Florida

Supervisor: Eileen Davis, PhD

Serve as a therapist providing PCIT services to caregivers and their children aged 2-7 for disruptive behavior problems, hyperactivity, and social-emotional difficulties. Conduct intake assessments, including a clinical interview and observational coding to determine appropriateness of PCIT curriculum. Coach parents to improve relationship enhancement skills, use differential attention, and implement behavior management techniques.

Consultant and Clinician, School Health Initiative

August 2023 – Present

Mailman Center for Child Development University of Miami Miller School of Medicine Miami, Florida

Supervisors: Mileini Campaz-Pardo, MS, & Elizabeth R. Pulgaron, PhD

Provide consultation-liaison services and outpatient therapy in a school-based integrated primary care clinic in a Title I public high school using cognitive behavioral therapy and dialectical behavior therapy skills.

Clinician, Pediatric Psychology Clinic – Clinical Child Emphasis August 2023 – Present Mailman Center for Child Development

University of Miami Miller School of Medicine Miami, Florida

Supervisor: Allison Weinstein, PhD

Provide individual outpatient therapy to children and adolescents for disruptive behavior problems and internalizing concerns using parent management training and cognitive-behavioral therapy.

Psychology Extern, Integrated Assessment Division

2020 - 2023

Sorenson Center for Clinical Excellence Utah State University Logan, Utah Supervisors: Maryellen McClain, PhD, & Shelley R. Upton, PhD Conducted interdisciplinary evaluations for children and adults with neurodevelopmental, behavioral, and emotional concerns. Administered, interpreted, and provided feedback to families regarding a range of cognitive, developmental, psychosocial, academic, and observational assessments. Lead a social skills group intervention for teens with autism.

Graduate Clinician, Behavioral Health Clinic

Sorenson Center for Clinical Excellence Utah State University Logan, Utah Supervisor: Sara Boghosian, PhD, LP

Provided behavioral and psychotherapy services to clients ranging from childhood to adulthood for neurodevelopmental, social, emotional, behavioral, and elimination concerns. Used behavioral parent training, cognitive-behavioral therapy, dialectical

2021 - 2023

behavior therapy, and acceptance and commitment therapy approaches to address presenting concerns.

Graduate Clinician, McKay-Dee Behavioral Health Clinic Intermountain Health Care Orden Utah	2022
Supervisor: Bryan Bushman, PhD, LP Conducted comprehensive neuropsychological evaluations for children and with neurodevelopmental, psychological, and neuropsychological conditions.	adolescents
Behavior Specialist, Up-to-3 Early Intervention Services	2021 –2022
<i>Supervisor:</i> Gretchen Gimpel Peacock, PhD, LP Provided in-home and telehealth behavior consultation and behavioral para services to parents of children up to 36 months of age. Collaborated with other including speech-language pathology, occupational therapy, and nutrition, coordinated intervention plans.	ent training disciplines, to develop
Graduate Clinician, Edith Bowen Laboratory School Logan Utah	2021
Supervisor: Maryellen McClain, PhD, NCSP, LP Conducted comprehensive psychoeducational evaluations for special educatio and helped develop Individualized Education Programs for elementary school	n eligibility students.
Team Member, Autism Support Services	2020 –2021
Supervisor: Thomas S. Higbee, PhD, BCBA-D, LBA Implemented applied behavior analysis (ABA) interventions in individual settings to preschool children with autism.	and group
Graduate Clinician, Redwood Elementary School West Valley City, Utah	2019 –2020
<i>Supervisor:</i> Laura M. Brunning, EdS Conducted comprehensive psychoeducational evaluations for special educatio and helped develop Individualized Education Programs for elementary scho Provided behavioral, social skills, and academic interventions to students.	n eligibility ol students.
SUPERVISORY AND MENTORSHIP EXPERIENCE	

Peer Clinical Supervisor

Interdisciplinary Developmental Evaluation ServiceAugust 2023 – February 2024Mailman Center for Child DevelopmentUniversity of Miami Miller School of Medicine

Miami, Florida Supervisor: Paula Perez, PsyD Supervise predoctoral practicum student in planning test batteries, administering assessments, and completing evaluation reports.

Integrated Assessment Division

May 2021 – May 2023

2020 - 2023

Sorenson Center for Clinical Excellence Utah State University Logan, Utah Supervisors: Maryellen McClain, PhD, & Shelley R. Upton, PhD Peer reviewed interdisciplinary evaluation reports for practicum students prior to licensed psychologist review and gave feedback. Supervised practicum student case conceptualization and assessment planning.

Research Mentor

Autism and Neurodiversity (AND) Lab

Utah State University, Indiana University Logan, Utah Supervisor: Maryellen McClain, PhD

Mentored undergraduate students in lab research activities (e.g., literature review, data collection) and the development and implementation of their own research projects.

PUBLICATIONS

Peer-Reviewed Articles

Published

2024

 Quick, C., Harris, B., Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., Shahidullah, J. D. (2023). School-clinic care coordination to improve equitable and efficient autism identification. *Journal of Educational & Psychological Consultation*, DOI: 10.1080/10474412.2023.2262451

2023

- Ficklin, E., Tehee, M., Marx, S., Ortiz, E., Golson, M., & Roanhorse, T. (2023). Perceptions of disabilities among Native Americans within the state of Utah. *Disability & Society*, DOI: 10.1080/09687599.2023.2195973
- Golson, M. E., Brunson McClain, M., O'Dell, S. M., Gormley, M. J., Roanhorse, T. T., Yang, N. J., Kettlewell, P., & Shahidullah, J. D. (2023). Assessment and Management of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Pediatric Resident Perspectives on Training and Practice. *Clinical Pediatrics*, DOI: 10.1177/00099228231163687
- McClain, M. B., Schwartz, S. E., Bera, J. L., Farmer, R. L., Serang, S., Harris, B., & Golson, M. E. (2023). Vineland-3 Measurement Non-Invariance in Children With and Without Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental* Disabilities, 128(4), 334-343. DOI: 10.1352/1944-7558-128.4.334
- Golson, M. E., Benallie, K. J., Roanhorse, T. T., Haverkamp, C. R., Ficklin, E., McClain, M. B., & Aguilar, L. N. (2023). A Systematic Review of Indigenous Representation in School Psychology Research. *Canadian*

248

Journal of School Psychology, 38(3), 225-251. DOI: 10.1177/08295735221143820.

- Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., Ha, J., Stigler, R., Bera, J., Kieffer, K. E. B., & Gibson, A. (2023). A Systematic Review of ADHD Knowledge Measures and Their Psychometric Support. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 48(4), 356-374. DOI: 10.1093/jpepsy/jsac095
- McClain, M. B., Golson, M. E., Haverkamp, C. R., Harris, B., Ficklin, E., Schwartz, S. E., & Wynn, C. J. (2022). Caregiver perceptions of social communication and interaction: Development and validation of the SCIPS. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, DOI: 10.1007/s10803-022-05840-4
- 2022
- Ha, J., McClain, M. B., Covington, B., & Golson, M. E. (2022). Brief report: A brief video intervention for increasing autism knowledge in a general population sample. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, DOI: 10.1007/s10803-021-05341-w
- Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., Roanhorse, T. T., Domenech Rodríguez, M., Galliher, R. (2022). The experience of ADHD as reported by racially and ethnically minoritized adolescents: A survey-based phenomenological investigation. *Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities*. DOI: 10.1007/s40615-022-01436-x
- Golson, M. E., Roanhorse, T. T., McClain, M. B., Galliher, R. V., & Rodríguez, M. D. (2022). School-based ADHD services: Perspectives from Racially/Ethnically Minoritized Students. *Psychology in the Schools*, 59(4), 726-743. DOI: 10.1002/pits.22640
- Golson, M. E., Benallie, K., Benney, C. M., Schwartz, S., McClain, M. B., & Harris, B. (2022). Current state of autism knowledge in the general population of the United States. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 90.* DOI: 10.1016/j.rasd.2021.101886
- Golson, M. E., Ficklin, E., Haverkamp, C. R., McClain, M. B., & Harris, B. (2022). Cultural differences in social communication and interaction: A gap in autism research. *Autism Research*, 15(2), 208-214. DOI: 10.1002/aur.2657
- 8. McClain, M. B., **Golson, M. E.,** Murphy, L. E. (2022). Executive functioning skills in early childhood children with autism, intellectual disability, and co-occurring autism and intellectual disability. *Research in Developmental Disabilities, 122.* DOI: 10.1016/j.ridd.2021.104169
- 2021
- Golson, M. E., Haverkamp, C. R., McClain, M. B., Schwartz, S. E., Ha, J., Harris, B., & Benallie, K. J. (2021). Influences of student race/ethnicity and gender on autism special education classification considerations. *Autism.* DOI: 10.1177/13623613211050440
- McClain, M. B., Callan, G., Harris, B., Floyd, R. G., Golson, M. E., Haverkamp, C. R., Longhurst, D. N., Benallie, K. J. (2021). Methods for Addressing Publication Bias in School Psychology Journals: A Descriptive Review

of Meta-Analyses from 1980 to 2019. *Journal of School Psychology*. DOI: 10.1016/j.jsp.2020.11.002

2020

- McClain, M. B., Harris, B., Schwartz, S. E., & Golson, M. E. (2020). Differential item functioning in the autism spectrum rating scales: A follow up to evaluation of the autism spectrum rating scales in a diverse, non-clinical sample. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*. DOI: 10.1177/0734282920945529
- McClain, M. B., Harris, B., Haverkamp, C. R., Golson, M. E., & Schwartz, S. E. (2020). The ASKSP Revised (ASKSP-R) as a Measure of ASD Knowledge for Professional Populations. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 50(3), 998-1006. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-019-04321-5

2019

- McClain, M.B., Harris, B., Schwartz, S., & Golson, M. E. (2019). Evaluation of the autism spectrum rating scales in a diverse, non-clinical sample. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 38(6), 740-752. DOI: 10.1177/0734282919880051
- McClain, M. B., Harris, B., Schwartz, S., Benallie, K. J., Golson, M. E., & Benney, C. M. (2019). Brief report: Development and validation of the autism spectrum knowledge scale – general population: Preliminary analyses. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 49(7), 3007-3015. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-019-04019-8
- McClain, M. B., Harris, B., Schwartz, S., Haverkamp, C. R., & Golson, M. E. (2019). Development and validation of the autism spectrum knowledge scale – professional version: Preliminary analyses. *Open Science Framework*.

Revised & Resubmitted

- 3. **Golson, M. E.,** McClain, M. B., Harris, B., Schwartz, S. E., Gabrielsen, T. (in review). Measuring autism symptomology in boys and girls: Investigating measurement invariance of the Autism Spectrum Rating Scales across gender.
- Haverkamp, C. R., Roanhorse, T. T., McClain, M. B., Harris, B., Bakner, K. E., Benney, C. M., & Golson, M. E. (in review). How does culture impact school-based autism evaluations? Perspectives from school psychologists.
- McClain, M. B., Golson, M. E., Norfolk, P. A., Vohs, A. M., & Murphy, L. E. (in review). Item endorsements on the Social Communication Questionnaire among Black and White caregivers of children who have developmental disabilities.

In Review

 Wynn, C. J., McClain, M. B., Roanhorse, T. T., Golson, M. E., Harris, B., Bera, J., & Shahid, R. (in review). Culture impacts the perceived importance of social communication and interaction skills. 4. Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., & McMahon, C. (in review). Selecting and reporting

autism knowledge measures in research.

- Golson, M. E., Bera, J., Kim, J., Williams, S., McClain, M. B., Schwartz, S. E., Harris, B., Sullivan, A. (in review). Racial and Gender Bias in School Psychologists' Special Education Classification Considerations.
- 2. Haverkamp, C. R., McClain, M. B., & Golson, M. E. (in review). An App-Based Early Academic Skills Intervention for Children with Autism.
- McClain, M. B., Golson, M. E., Haverkamp, C. R., Ryan, T., Harris, B., McMahon, C., Campbell, J. M., Ha, J., Bakner, K., Bera, J. (in review). Autism Knowledge Publication Trends.

Book Chapters

 Bundock, K., Simonsmeier, V., Golson, M. E., Covington, B., McClain, M. B. (2020). Promoting academic success. In M. B. McClain, J. D. Shahidullah, & K. R. Mezher (Eds.), *Handbook of interprofessional care* for pediatric ASD. Springer.

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS

2024

- Golson, M. E., Bera, J., Palmer, J., Roanhorse, T. T., McClain, M. B., & Harris, B. (2024). *Autism knowledge of preservice teachers*. Poster accepted for the annual meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 24. Thomas, C., Beckman, P. S., & Golson, M. E. (2024). School psychologists' engagement in best practice autism assessment. Poster accepted for the annual meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 2023
- 23. Ha, J., McClain, M. B., Golson, M. E., & Christensen, H. (2023). Brief interventions for increasing autism knowledge: Effectiveness of different delivery methods. A poster presented at the American Psychological Association convention in Washington, D. C.
- 22. Roanhorse, T. T., Bera, J., McClain, M. B., Wynn, C., & Golson, M. E. (2023). Cross-cultural differences in perceived importance of social communication/interaction skills. A paper accepted for the annual meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in Denver, Colorado.
- 2022
- Golson, M. E., Ha, J., McClain, M. B. (2022). *The Role of Race/Ethnicity and Gender on Autism Special Education Identification*. A paper presented in symposia at the Division 33 meeting during the American Psychological Association convention in Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- 20. Golson, M.E., Bera, J., Kim, J., Williams, S. (2022). Implicit Bias and School Psychologists' Special Education Eligibility Considerations. Poster

accepted for American Psychological Association convention in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

- McClain, M. B., & Golson, M. E. (2022). Evidence-Based School Assessments for Autism. Presentation accepted for the Brigham Young University Autism Workshop in Provo, Utah.
- Bera, J., Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., & Farmer, R. (2022). *Testing Measurement Invariance in the Vineland 3*. Poster accepted for the annual meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in Boston, Massachusetts.
- Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., & Roanhorse, T. R. (2022). Experiences With ADHD and Related Services Voiced by Diverse Students. Paper accepted for the annual meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in Boston, Massachusetts.
- Roanhorse, T. T., & Golson, M. E. (2022). Perspectives from Practitioners: The Impact of Culture on Autism Evaluations. Paper accepted for the annual meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in Boston, Massachusetts.
- Roanhorse, T. T., & Golson, M. E. (2022). Modifications and Adaptations of the ADOS-2 with Minoritized Students. Poster accepted for the annual meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in Boston, Massachusetts.
- 2021
- Golson, M. E., Benallie, K. J., McClain, M. B., Harris, B., & Haverkamp, C. R. (2021). *Measuring parent perceptions of social communication and interaction across cultures*. Poster accepted for the virtual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Division 33.
- Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., & Schwartz, S. E. (2021). Measurement Invariance of the Conners-3. Poster accepted for the virtual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Division 16.
- Longhurst, D., Golson, M. E., Benallie, K. J., McClain, M. B., & Callan, G. (2021). An Examination of Publication Bias in School Psychology Journals. Poster accepted for the virtual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Division 16.
- Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., Schwartz, S. E., Bakner, K. E., Gabrielsen, T., & Harris, B. (2021). *Measurement Invariance across Gender of the ASRS in a Non-Clinical Diverse Sample*. Poster accepted for the virtual meeting of the International Society of Autism Research.
- Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., & Murphy, L. E. (2021). Executive Functioning Skills in Early Childhood: Comparing Children with ASD, ID, and Co-Occurring ASD and ID. Poster accepted for the virtual meeting of the International Society of Autism Research.
- 2020
- Benallie, K. J., Golson, M. E., Roanhorse, T., Haverkamp, C. R., & McClain, M. B. (2020). A systematic review of American Indian Students in School Psychology Research. Poster accepted for the meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in Baltimore, Maryland.

- 8. Benney, C. M., Golson, M. E., & McClain, M. B. (2020). *App-based mindfulness interventions for students with and without disabilities*. Poster accepted for the meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in Baltimore, Maryland.
- Golson, M. E., Benallie, K. J., Benney, C. M., McClain, M. B., Harris, B., & Muncy, M. (2020). *Knowledge of autism spectrum disorder among school-based professionals*. Paper accepted for the meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in Baltimore, Maryland.
- 6. Haverkamp, C. R., & Golson, M. E. (2020). An app-based early academic skills intervention for children with ASD. Poster accepted for the meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists in Baltimore, Maryland.
- 2019
- 5. Benallie, K., Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., & Harris, B. (2019). *Current state* of ASD knowledge in the general population. Poster presented at the meeting of the International Society of Autism Research in Montreal, Canada.
- 4. **Golson, M. E.,** McClain, M. B., & Harris, B. (2019). *Construct validity of the ASRS in a non-clinical diverse sample.* Poster presented at the meeting of the International Society for Autism Research in Montreal, Canada.
- 3. **Golson, M. E.** (2019). *ADHD Service provision for racial/ethnic minorities: A review.* Poster presented at the meeting of the Rocky Mountain Psychological Association. Denver, Colorado.
- 2. **Golson, M. E.**, Haverkamp, C. R., & McClain, M. B. (2019) *Effectiveness of a letter-writing app intervention for children with ASD*. Poster presented at the meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists. Atlanta, Georgia.
- Benallie, K., Benney, C. M., Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B., Harris, B., & Peacock, G. G. (2019). *Knowledge of ASD in the general and parent populations*. Paper presented at the meeting of the National Association of School Psychologists. Atlanta, Georgia.

GRANTS

External

2022

- 2. Golson, M. E., McClain, M. B. (2022). ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention. *Psi Chi Graduate Research Grant.* Funded: \$1,200.
- 2020
- 1. **Golson, M. E.,** McClain, M. B. (2020). Measurement Invariance of the Conners-3. *Psi Chi Graduate Research Grant*. Funded: \$1,500.

Internal

2023

3. **Golson, M. E.**, McClain, M. B. (2022). ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention. *Utah State University*

College of Education and Human Services Graduate Student Research Award. Funded: \$4,000.

2020

2. **Golson, M. E.,** McClain, M. B. (2020). Measurement Invariance of the Conners-3. *Graduate Research and Creative Opportunities Grant, Utah State University.* Funded: \$1,000.

2019

1. **Golson, M. E.**, McClain, M. B. (2019). The experience of ADHD as reported by racially and ethnically minoritized adolescents. *Utah State University College of Education and Human Services Graduate Student Research Award*. Funded: \$2,000.

Unfunded

2023

- 3. **Golson, M. E.**, McClain, M. B. (2022). ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention. *APF Violet and Cyril Franks Scholarship*. Unfunded (\$5,000).
- 2. **Golson, M. E.**, McClain, M. B. (2023). ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention. *Routh Dissertation Grant*. Unfunded (\$2,500).

2022

1. **Golson, M. E.**, McClain, M. B. (2022). ADHD Knowledge: Support for Current Measures and Connections to Stigma and Intervention. *Society for the Study of School Psychology Dissertation Grant Award*. Unfunded (\$5,000).

TEACHING EXPERIENCE	
<i>Courses Taught</i> Research Design (PSY 3500), Undergraduate Utah State University	Spring 2022
<i>Teaching Assistantships</i> Multicultural Psychology (PSY 4240), Undergraduate Utah State University	Fall 2018, Spring 2021
Introduction to Psychology (PSY 1010), Undergraduate Utah State University	Fall 2018, Spring 2021
Psychological Statistics (PSY 3010), Undergraduate Utah State University	Fall 2020
Social Psychology (PSY 3510), Undergraduate Utah State University	Spring 2019, Fall 2019
Health Psychology (PSY 3110), Undergraduate Utah State University	Spring 2019

RELEVANT WORK EXPERIENCE	
Research Assistant	2019 - 2023
Autism and Neurodiversity (AND) Lab	
Utah State University, Indiana University	
Logan, Utah	
Utah State University Institutional Review Board Assistant	August 2022 – June 2023
Utah State University	-
Logan, Utah	
SERVICE AND LEADERSHIP	
Long Town Turings	August 2022 magant

August 2023 – present Long-Term Trainee Leadership and Education in Neurodevelopmental and Related Disabilities (LEND) Mailman Center for Child Development University of Miami Miller School of Medicine **Advanced Autism Trainee** 2021 - 2022Utah Regional Leadership in Education in Neurodevelopmental and Related Disabilities (URLEND) Long Term Trainee 2020-2021 Utah Regional Leadership in Education in Neurodevelopmental and Related Disabilities (URLEND) **Doctoral Student Representative** 2022 - 2023Utah State University **Project LAUNCH Interdisciplinary Training Team Member** 2021 Utah State University **ADOS-2** Training Planning Committee 2021 Utah State University 2019 - 2021School Psychology Graduate Student Mentor Utah State University

Student Affiliates of School Psychology (SASP) Chapter Secretary2019 – 2020Utah State University2020Proposal Reviewer2020National Association of School Psychologists2018 – 2019Utah State University2018 – 2019

HONORS AND SCHOLARSHIPS Edwin B. Newman Graduate Research Award American Psychological Association and Psi Chi	2023
Walter R. Borg Scholarship: Applied Practice and Research Award Utah State University Psychology Department	2022
Trainers of School Psychologists Graduate Student Scholarship Trainers of School Psychologists	2022
APA Division 16 Student Poster Blue Ribbon Award American Psychological Association Division 16	2021
Kenneth W Merrell Scholarship Utah State University Psychology Department	2020
<i>LaPray Scholarship</i> Utah State University Psychology Department	2019
Carolyn & Edward Rondthaler Prize in Psychology Southern Utah University Psychology Department	2018

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

2023 – present	Associate member of the National Register
2019 – present	Student member of the International Society for Autism Research
2019 – present	Student member of the American Psychological Association
2018 – present	Member of the National Association of School Psychologists