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A population-based prevalence sample of 355 residents of Cache County, Utah, who were diagnosed with dementia, was rated on the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI). Of the 355 residents, 119 had no neuropsychiatric symptoms at baseline and were, consequently, at risk for incident mental and behavioral disturbances. The NPI was readministered approximately 18 months later to 61 surviving participants. Sixty-nine percent developed at least one mental or behavioral symptom. Delusions were most common (28%), followed by apathy (21%), and aberrant motor behavior (21%). When this incidence rate of 69% was combined with a previously estimated prevalence rate of 61%, the cumulative 18-month prevalence approached 90%. These results argue for a routine assessment of psychiatric disturbances in all patients with dementia, even among those who have never experienced symptoms of mental or behavioral disturbances.
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dementia. The first study assessed 178 individuals with AD in the United Kingdom. The following prevalence since the onset of illness was reported: apathy (41%), major depression (24%), agitation/aggression (20%), wandering (19%), hallucinations (17%), delusions (16%), and mania (3.5%). More recently, the Cache County study used the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) to assess the prevalence of 10 mental and behavioral disturbances in a cohort of 329 individuals with dementias of various etiologies. Sixty-one percent of participants experienced at least one disturbance in the month prior to interview. In order of decreasing frequency, the following disturbances were reported: apathy (27%), depression (24%), agitation/aggression (24%), irritability (20%), delusions (19%), anxiety (17%), aberrant motor behavior (14%), hallucinations (14%), disinhibition (9%), and elation (1%).

In the general population of persons with dementia, less is known about the incidence of mental and behavioral disturbances. One study estimated the incidence of major depression in AD patients in two large community-dwelling convenience series. Findings revealed a 0%, 3-year incidence in one series and a 1.3%, 2-year incidence in the other. No population-based studies to date have assessed the incidence of mental or behavioral disturbances in dementia. Population-based studies of incidence are important, as they avoid referral biases inherent in studies from clinical samples, which would tend to overestimate incidence. This study reports findings on the incidence of mental and behavioral disturbances among participants with dementia who were followed in the Cache County study.

METHODS

Sampling and Screening

The methods of the Cache County study are reported in detail elsewhere. We contacted all permanent residents of Cache County, Utah, who were 65 years old or older in January 1995 (N = 5677) and enrolled 5092 residents (90%) who were screened for dementia with the Modified Mini-Mental State Examination. Individuals who could not participate directly were characterized using the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly that was administered by a knowledgeable informant. Individuals whose screening scores suggested possible cognitive impairment were studied further with the Dementia Questionnaire. The Dementia Questionnaire was also used to assess a weighted, stratified probability sample of the total population, irrespective of the above screening results. The latter probability subsample, along with all other participants with a Dementia Questionnaire rating of 4 (suspected dementia) or 5 (probable dementia), underwent a comprehensive clinical assessment performed by a research nurse and a trained psychometrician. This assessment was conducted in the presence of a collateral informant at the subject’s place of residence (including nursing homes). The informant was a spouse, close relative, or other person whom the subject identified as knowledgeable of his or her memory and daily functioning. The evaluation consisted of a medical and cognitive history, mental state and standardized neuropsychological exams, a brief physical evaluation, and a 1-hour neuropsychological battery, including the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). The NPI was administered by either the nurse or the psychometrician.

The study was approved by the institutional review boards of Duke University Medical Center, the Johns Hopkins University School of Public Health, and Utah State University. All study participants, or their next of kin, signed an informed consent document after a complete description of the study for each stage of the screening and assessment was given.

Procedure

After screening and careful case ascertainment, we identified 355 participants with dementia. Of these, 119 had a baseline NPI score of 0 (no symptoms in any domain). These are the populations of interest here, as they were at risk for the incidence of NPI-ascertained disturbances at follow-up examinations conducted approximately 18 months later (mean interval to follow-up 17.1, SD = 4.2). Therefore, NPI data were obtained on all of the remaining 61 (51%). Thirty-three (27%) died in the interim, and one (0.8%) moved away from the area. Twenty-two (18%) had no collateral informant available for NPI questions, and two (1.6%) refused the NPI or discontinued early. Stated otherwise, NPI follow-up was obtained on 61/85 (72%) of those who were alive and available for investigation. The same informant was used for the 18-month follow-up in 75.8% of the cases.

Similar to the methods we used to estimate psychiatric prevalence, we reviewed data from these 18-month follow-up examinations in order to classify study participants into distinct clinical diagnostic categories. These assignments were made at initial diagnostic conferences that included the staff who conducted the evaluation and a geriatric psychiatrist (D.C.S, M.S., J.C.S.B., or C.G.L.). A Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) level was assigned to each participant during this time. The CDR is a standardized global rating of dementia severity based on all available information about a patient. Cases were then reviewed by a panel of experts for as-
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Assignment of final diagnosis. The panel included three geriatric psychiatrists, a board-certified neurologist, a senior neuropsychologist, and a cognitive neuroscientist.

Assessment of Mental and Behavioral Disturbances

The reliability and validity of the NPI have been established17 and have been used in a variety of studies, including our study of the prevalence of mental and behavioral disturbances in Cache County.6

The NPI version assesses 10 categories of mental and behavioral disturbances that occur in dementia: delusions; hallucinations; agitation; depression; anxiety; elation; apathy; disinhibition; irritability; and aberrant motor behavior, such as wandering or pacing. The instrument examines whether symptoms have occurred over a period of one month from the time the assessment is conducted. Each operationally defined disturbance is ascertained by a trained examiner through a structured interview with the caregiver. Within each domain, the NPI includes a screening question. If the screening item is answered in the negative, the rater is instructed to move to the next domain. Otherwise, the rater asks the informant a series of individual questions describing behavior reflective of disturbance in that domain. The informant is then asked about the frequency of symptoms in the domain on a 4-point scale from 1 (occasionally: less than once a week) to 4 (very frequent: more than once a day). The informant is also asked to rate the severity (disruptiveness, burden) of the behavior on a 3-point scale (mild, moderate, or severe). By multiplying the severity and frequency scores, the NPI yields a domain rating with a range from 0 to 12.

Analysis

Our primary goal was to determine the incidence of disturbance in each NPI domain. Baseline demographics (e.g., age, sex, education) and clinical variables (e.g., MMSE score, dementia duration, percentage with AD diagnosis, CDR level) of the 61 participants with completed NPIs at follow-up and the 58 participants without completed NPIs at follow-up were compared in order to assess obvious bias in loss to follow-up. Similarly, baseline variables were compared for those with an NPI score of 0 (no disturbances) at 18-month follow-up versus those with an NPI score of 1 or greater. We determined the proportion of participants who had symptoms in at least one domain at 18-month follow-up. We further determined the proportion with symptoms in each of the 10 NPI domains. A mean severity score was estimated for those with symptoms in any given domain.

RESULTS

Table 1 compares demographic and clinical characteristics of the 61 participants who had a baseline NPI score of 0 and completed an 18-month follow-up evaluation, versus the 58 participants who did not. Those who did not complete follow-up NPIs showed a trend toward a longer duration of dementia (p = .06), but the two groups were otherwise similar.

Of the 61 participants with follow-up NPI data, 42 (68.9%) exhibited at least one NPI-ascertained disturbance. Table 2 compares the baseline characteristics of the 19 participants who did not exhibit any NPI-assessed disturbance, as compared with the 42 who did. Those with incident NPI-assessed disturbances tended to be older, although this distinction did not reach statistical significance (p = .08). Of the 42 with an NPI disturbance at follow-up, 27 (64.3%) were diagnosed with AD, 1 (2.4%) with vascular dementia, 6 (14.3%) with a mixed AD and vascular dementia and 8 (19.0%) with other dementias: dementia of undetermined etiology (2), neuropsychiatric disorder (1), Parkinson’s disease (1), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with dementia (1), normal-pressure hydrocephalus (1), dementia with Levy bodies (1), and dementia lacking distinctive histology on autopsy (1).

We also estimated the incidence of each individual NPI disturbance and the mean NPI severity score of subjects who were symptomatic in each domain. Table 3 shows these results. Incidence of delusions was highest, followed by apathy, aberrant motor behavior, and irritability. Incidence was lowest for elation, although this distinction did not reach statistical significance (p = .06). Of the 42 with an NPI disturbance at follow-up, 27 (64.3%) were diagnosed with AD, 1 (2.4%) with vascular dementia, 6 (14.3%) with a mixed AD and vascular dementia, and 8 (19.0%) with other dementias: dementia of undetermined etiology (2), neuropsychiatric disorder (1), Parkinson’s disease (1), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with dementia (1), normal-pressure hydrocephalus (1), dementia with Levy bodies (1), and dementia lacking distinctive histology on autopsy (1).

DISCUSSION

We report findings on the incidence of mental and behavioral disturbance in a population-based panel of elderly individuals with dementia who had been free from such comorbid symptoms approximately 18 months earlier. To our knowledge, no other study has reported similar estimates from a population sample. We found that 69% of participants developed at least
TABLE 1. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants with and without completed NPI at 18-month follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Completed NPI not Available at F/U (n = 58)</th>
<th>Completed NPI Available at F/U (n = 61)</th>
<th>t test (df)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs.)</td>
<td>Mean 85.7 SD 6.4</td>
<td>Mean 83.7 SD 7.1</td>
<td>1.61(117)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score</td>
<td>Mean 16.3 SD 6.9</td>
<td>Mean 18.0 SD 6.9</td>
<td>1.34(117)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (yrs.)</td>
<td>Mean 11.7 SD 3.5</td>
<td>Mean 12.6 SD 3.2</td>
<td>1.47(117)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia duration (yrs.)</td>
<td>Mean 4.4 SD 3.3</td>
<td>Mean 3.4 SD 2.4</td>
<td>1.90(117)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Completed NPI not Available at F/U (n = 58), n(%)</th>
<th>Completed NPI Available at F/U (n = 61), n(%)</th>
<th>Chi square (df)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>23(39.7)</td>
<td>27(44.3)</td>
<td>0.104(1)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% AD diagnosis</td>
<td>33(56.9)</td>
<td>41(67.2)</td>
<td>1.35(1)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR level 0.5</td>
<td>3(5.2)</td>
<td>4(6.6)</td>
<td>4.48(3)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20(34.5)</td>
<td>31(50.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15(25.9)</td>
<td>14(23.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>20(34.5)</td>
<td>12(19.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants with 18-month follow-up NPI = 0 and NPI = 1 or greater

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No NPI Disturbance at F/U (n = 19)</th>
<th>Any One NPI Disturbance at F/U (n = 42)</th>
<th>t test (df)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs.)</td>
<td>Mean 82.5 SD 7.5</td>
<td>Mean 86.0 SD 6.9</td>
<td>1.79(59)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score</td>
<td>Mean 17.6 SD 6.8</td>
<td>Mean 17.8 SD 7.2</td>
<td>0.08(55)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (yrs.)</td>
<td>Mean 12.7 SD 3.6</td>
<td>Mean 12.4 SD 3.1</td>
<td>0.33(59)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia duration (yrs.)</td>
<td>Mean 4.5 SD 2.3</td>
<td>Mean 4.9 SD 2.7</td>
<td>0.56(59)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No NPI Disturbance at F/U (n = 19), n(%)</th>
<th>Any One NPI Disturbance at F/U (n = 42), n(%)</th>
<th>Chi square (df)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male gender</td>
<td>9(47.4)</td>
<td>18(42.9)</td>
<td>0.00(1)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% AD diagnosis</td>
<td>14(73.7)</td>
<td>27(64.3)</td>
<td>0.52(1)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR level 0.5</td>
<td>3(15.8)</td>
<td>1(2.4)</td>
<td>4.96(3)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9(47.4)</td>
<td>22(52.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5(26.3)</td>
<td>9(21.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>2(10.5)</td>
<td>1(23.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3. Incidence of Individual NPI disturbances at 18-month F/U and mean severity score among those with symptoms in each disturbance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>N (%) in Domain With NPI &gt; 0 (n = 61)</th>
<th>Mean Severity Score (SD) Among Those With Symptoms in Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delusions</td>
<td>17 (27.9)</td>
<td>4.1 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apathy</td>
<td>13 (21.3)</td>
<td>5.6 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberrant Motor Behavior</td>
<td>13 (21.3)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritability</td>
<td>12 (19.7)</td>
<td>3.8 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>11 (18.0)</td>
<td>4.7 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinations</td>
<td>10 (16.4)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agitation/Aggression</td>
<td>10 (16.4)</td>
<td>6.4 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>9 (14.8)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinhibition</td>
<td>6 (9.8)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elation</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

one mental or behavioral symptom during the 18-month interval. For eight of the 10 NPI domains assessed, symptoms occurred in at least 15% of all subjects. Elation was the only symptom to have a 0% incidence, consistent with other findings of the relative rarity of manic and euphoric symptoms in dementia.6,15,25 These estimates likely underestimate true incidence, as we only inquired about symptoms occurring during the month prior to assessment. It is likely that some participants developed psychiatric symptoms during the 18-month interval that resolved prior to reassessment, either spontaneously or after treatment.

Additionally, we observed that 61% of participants who were initially identified with dementia had one or more NPI-ascertained mental or behavioral symptoms.
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Those subjects were not studied here, but combining their original prevalence of 61% with the 69% showing incident, symptoms indicate that the cumulative prevalence of mental or behavioral disturbances in dementia during 18 months may be as much as 88.6%.

Incident symptom severity was highest among subjects who developed agitation/aggression, depression, or apathy. Such symptoms are among the most distressing to both patients and caregivers and typically require a multidimensional treatment plan involving pharmacotherapy and/or environmental modification. Prompt diagnosis and intervention may alleviate the need for placement in an assisted living or nursing facility. Disinhibition and hallucinations were of mildest severity when they occurred. Often, these latter symptoms respond best to reassurance and redirection.

Several limitations of our study are worthy of discussion. First, as previously mentioned, we studied a population that may not be fully representative. The Cache County population is older and less ethnically diverse than the rest of the United States, and it has higher rates of religious affiliation and lower rates of alcoholism and substance abuse than elsewhere. Second, the principal method of assessing mental and behavioral disturbances, the NPI, relied on informants rather than the direct examination of participants. Third, there was a fairly wide range in the time that elapsed between baseline visits and “18-month” follow-up visits. This limits our ability to draw precise estimates about incidence, but does not compromise our study’s central finding of a high incidence of psychiatric disturbances in subjects who were previously assessed as symptom-free. Finally, the present sample was small, especially as compared with our prevalence study. Nearly one-half of the original panel of symptom-free participants did not have a follow-up NPI. Most losses to follow-up were due to intercurrent death or lack of a collateral informant. NPI data were obtained on three-quarters of those who were alive and available. Those subjects who did not complete the follow-up NPIs showed a trend toward a longer duration of dementia but otherwise had similar baseline characteristics.

These findings add to the growing body of evidence for the high occurrence of comorbid psychiatric symptoms in dementia and show that even patients who are asymptomatic at a given time are still at very high risk for developing psychiatric disturbances later. Psychiatric comorbidity in dementia is often complex to treat and typically requires a rigorous assessment and sophisticated treatment plan. Management may involve behavioral and/or pharmacological interventions. Successful strategies often involve some combination of the two. Behavioral treatments may include modifying the environment, altering the caregiving approach, and providing structured activities. Such techniques alone may be useful with symptoms of milder severity or when psychotropic use is contraindicated.

Two large placebo-controlled studies have documented the benefits of atypical neuroleptics in the treatment of behavioral symptoms in AD.27–28 Placebo-controlled studies have also demonstrated the benefits of carbamazepine29 and divalproex sodium30 for agitation and aggression. Preliminary data from another placebo-controlled trial demonstrate the effectiveness of sertraline in the treatment of depression in AD.31 Recent data also suggest that cholinesterase inhibitors, which are approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to treat the cognitive symptoms of AD, may also provide behavioral benefits.32–33 The potential for effective treatment options and the high incidence rates observed in our study make early evaluation of psychiatric disturbances in dementia a vital necessity.
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