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Mountain pine beetle (MPB) (*Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins) is considered the most destructive forest insect in western North America (Furniss and Carolin 1977, p. 353). This organism is capable of killing most overstory pines across multiple stands or an entire landscape, particularly in lodgepole pine type (*Pinus contorta* Dougl. Ex Loud.). Outbreaks in recent years have been unusually widespread and severe, and their impact on ecological processes has been identified as a research gap (Bentz et al. 2009). MPB outbreaks directly affect forest structure, with cascading ecological effects including changes in forest development, primary productivity, and biogeochemical dynamics. In this article, I will first review how MPB outbreaks alter forest structure and modify the course of ecological succession. Because, in lodgepole pine systems, autotrophic inputs are the main drivers of carbon dynamics (Brown et al. 2012, Kashian et al. 2013, Moore et al. 2013), this framework is essential as my review turns to the effects of MPB-caused pine mortality on ecosystem carbon storage and productivity. This review is focused on lodgepole pine systems, especially regarding carbon dynamics, but I include literature from ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa* Dougl. Ex Laws.) and whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis* Engelm.) systems where available. In the interest of parsimony, I will disregard climate disruption influences on bark beetle activity (Seidl et al. 2008, Bentz et al. 2010) and host species distributions (Rehfeldt et al. 2012).

It should be remembered that MPB is a native organism in the pine forests of western North America, albeit one exhibiting invasive range expansion (de la Giroday et al. 2012). Furthermore, MPB has been infesting its hosts for thousands (Brunelle et al. 2008), if not millions (Sturgeon and Mitton 1982), of years. Finally, stand-replacing wildfires notwithstanding, MPB outbreaks affect mature (e.g., >80 years old) lodgepole pine systems every 20–50 years (Cole and Amman 1980, Alfaro et al. 2004, Axelson et al. 2009) and, perhaps, ponderosa pine in South Dakota every 11–20 years (Schmid and Mata 1996). Given that these systems undergo renewal despite repeated MPB disturbance, it is apparent that MPB is an integral component of the ecosystems within which it operates.

It may also be useful, within a topic of disturbance ecology, to briefly consider broad-scale drivers of ecosystem dynamics. Holling (1992) made us aware that cycles of birth, growth, death, and renewal occur at many temporal and spatial scales, including entire ecosystems. In Holling’s model, ecosystems become “over-connected” (i.e., increasingly fragile) as they mature and are eventually...
released by disturbance agents. The system is then reorganized by heterotrophs, setting the stage for a new phase of autotrophic exploitation (Holling 1992). Grounded on Prigogine and Stengers’ (1984) theory of self-organizing structures as dissipaters of system disequilibrium, Kay (2000) explicitly framed Holling’s cycle in terms of ecosystem thermodynamics. Autotrophs self-organize to dissipate the solar exergy (useful energy) gradient, leading to a second exergy gradient in the form of stored carbon chains. As carbon storage increases with time, the system becomes increasingly out of balance, thermodynamically, with the surrounding environment and eventually some other structure self-organizes to dissipate the stored exergy. The release of stored exergy in ecosystems is initiated by disturbance agents, such as fire, storms, diseases, insects, and land-use activities such as timber harvesting and grazing, and is completed by microbial heterotrophs (Kay 2000). Thus, thermodynamics might be used to predict both the creation of an ecosystem in response to the solar exergy gradient and the destruction of that ecosystem in response to the accumulated biomass exergy gradient. The implication is that ecosystem creation with destruction is an inexorable dance; the fullness of each contains the seeds of the other. Improved understanding of the ecological “role” of MPB outbreaks can be expected to result in enlightened and more effective management of pine forests and MPB populations.

Host Mortality Patterns and Residual Forest

Lodgepole Pine

Under epidemic conditions, MPBs prefer larger diameter trees with relatively thick phloem, and the mortality rate of large diameter overstory trees can approach 100% in mature stands (Roe and Amman 1970, Shore et al. 2006). MPB’s preference for larger diameter trees appears to hold regardless of outbreak severity or whether the forest has previously experienced an outbreak (Kashian et al. 2011). The mortality rate declines with decreasing diameter (Safranyik 2004), typically resulting in survivors among subcanopy stems even if most overstory trees are killed throughout the stand or forest. Although single-storied stands of large diameter pines may undergo near complete loss of lodgepole pine, average stem mortality at the landscape level will range from 25 to 50% because of age and size class diversity across stands (Shore et al. 2006). That is, increasing spatial scales have increasing diversity in stand structure and, thus, reduced susceptibility to MPB infestation. Aerial surveys from the recent widespread and severe outbreak in British Columbia confirmed the relative lack of extreme mortality at the landscape scale. At a spatial scale of 16 ha, <1% of the affected area was classified with 100% mortality, whereas just 5% was classified with >85% mortality (Walton 2011, Simard et al. 2012).

At the stand level, the overall course of an outbreak may last 10 years, including pre- and postepidemic years. The epidemic phase of an outbreak, defined as >7 infested stems ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, lasts about 6 years (Cole and Amman 1980). In Wyoming studies, mortality peaked during the third year of the epidemic phase with the loss of 30–40% of large diameter trees and 5–10% of small diameter trees (Cole et al. 1976, Klein et al. 1978). There is considerable variability in lodgepole pine mortality but, generally, not all pines are killed and, of those that are, not all are killed in a single season (Simard et al. 2012 and references therein). Among other factors such as outbreak severity and residual stand structure, this pattern has consequences with regard to carbon cycling, particularly forest floor dynamics (discussed below).

Because MPB preferentially infests larger diameter trees, surviv-
Generally, larger diameter lodgepole pine and whitebark pine are increasingly susceptible; the relationship between diameter and ponderosa pine susceptibility is uncertain, although trees <20 cm dbh are less susceptible.

Severe infestations can kill ≥95% of large diameter pines at the stand level, including up to 40% of overstory trees in a single year.

Landscape-level mortality rates are considerably less because of diversity in stand structure and composition.

Nonhost trees are rarely affected.

MPB outbreaks can last up to 10 yr at the stand level and ≥10 yr at the landscape level.

Although pine composition is reduced after a MPB outbreak, pines often remain the dominant species.

Surviving lodgepole pine and whitebark pine generally come from smaller diameter classes, whereas surviving ponderosa pine may include trees from larger size classes.

The density of surviving stems (all size classes and species) is generally ≥500 ha⁻¹.

Whitebark Pine

Whitebark pines are periodically infested by MPBs, including an outbreak from 1909–1940 that killed many mature pines in the northern US Rockies (Arno and Hoff 1989). Among surveyed stands in central Idaho, most lost more than one-half of all whitebark pine stems, during this early 20th century outbreak, with few surviving trees larger than 20 cm dbh (Perkins and Roberts 2001). Surveyed stands in Montana lost 22–44% of whitebark pines during a 1980s outbreak, mostly among larger diameter classes (Bartos and Gibson 1990). During the most recent outbreaks, MPB outbreaks killed 15–96% of whitebark pines among surveyed stands in Idaho, Montana, and Wyoming, mostly among larger diameter classes (Gibson et al. 2008). Thus, the postoutbreak forest will include mostly smaller diameter whitebark pines as well as any nonpines such as fir and spruce. Landscape-level whitebark pine mortality may be more severe than that in lodgepole or ponderosa pine systems. In an extensive assessment of aerial photography, 46% of ~900,000 ha of whitebark pine distribution in the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem was classified with severe mortality (near complete loss of overstory pines) with another 36% classified with moderate mortality (Macfarlane et al. 2013). See Table 1 for highlights of MPB-caused mortality patterns and residual forest conditions.

Forest Development After Outbreaks

Disturbance-Influenced Succession

Lodgepole pine is a disturbance-adapted species that rapidly re-colonizes landscapes after stand-replacing wildfires, often forming pure, even-aged stands (Lotan et al. 1985). Cone serotiny is variable, sometimes even within a stand, and, thus, seeds are released with or without fire, albeit exposed mineral soil enhances germinant success (Schoennagel et al. 2003). After multiple decades of development, stands become increasingly susceptible to MPB infestation (Amman et al. 1977). After a MPB outbreak, forest development depends on multiple factors including infestation severity, advance regeneration, the presence of nonhost trees, biogeography, and further disturbance such as wildfire (Shore et al. 2006).

Four successional roles have been described for lodgepole pine, ranging from prone to resistant to species conversion after MPB disturbance: (1) minor seral; (2) dominant seral; (3) persistent; and (4) climax (Pfister and Daubenmire 1975). Bark beetle outbreaks are widely observed to release the secondary stand structure (i.e., subcanopy and understory trees) (Axelson et al. 2010), which includes various amounts of lodgepole pine. Repeated MPB outbreaks without subsequent wildfire will hasten conversion to shade-tolerant species, although lodgepole pine may persist on some sites (Roe and Amman 1970). Many postoutbreak studies found lodgepole pine advance regeneration and seedling recruitment lacking compared with that of more shade-tolerant species, supporting the hypothesis that outbreaks result in successional acceleration (Page and Jenkins 2007, Sibold et al. 2007, Vyse et al. 2009, Collins et al. 2011, Pelz and Smith 2012, Kayes and Tinker 2012). The pace of conversion is partly dependent on species composition among advance regeneration, which itself may depend on stand age or successional status (Sibold et al. 2007, Hawkins et al. 2012). For example, a forest vegetation simulator predicted lodgepole pine among harvested stands, with a relatively high lodgepole pine component among advance regeneration and recruited seedlings, to remain the dominant species for ~140 years, whereas untreated stands, with less lodgepole pine in the understory, were predicted to become dominated by subalpine fir ~90 years postoutbreak (Collins et al. 2011). Regardless, future stand-replacing wildfires ensure the continued central role of lodgepole pine in these forests despite possible dominance by shade-tolerant associates after MPB outbreaks (Lotan et al. 1985, Minckley et al. 2012).

It should not be assumed, however, that lodgepole pine will be displaced from a stand or forest by MPB infestation. Multiple empirical studies demonstrate the resilience of this species even given the recent, severe MPB outbreaks. In central British Columbia, mixed severity wildfires and repeated MPB outbreaks have resulted in a landscape of multiaged lodgepole pine stands (Axelson et al.
Oregon resulted in the release of surviving overstory stems for 20–30 years (Pelz and Smith 2012). Among advance regeneration beneath a MPB-killed overstory, the annual height growth of lodgepole pine and subalpine fir doubled over 3 years (Collins et al. 2011).

**Advance Regeneration**

Advance regeneration is widely considered the most important source for replacing MPB-killed overstory stems (Astrup et al. 2008, Collins et al. 2011, Kayes and Tinker 2012, Pelz and Smith 2012), and these stems can respond more quickly to the modified forest conditions than postoutbreak recruited seedlings (Pelz and Smith 2012). Except for nearly pure lodgepole pine stands, advance regeneration typically includes a high proportion of shade-tolerant species, and lodgepole pine is often a minor component (Vyse et al. 2009, Collins et al. 2011, Kayes and Tinker 2012, Pelz and Smith 2012). Nevertheless, lodgepole pine advance regeneration can be abundant even in stands where the species is seral. In Colorado, for example, lodgepole pine advance regeneration stems averaged 1,207 ha\(^{-1}\) in harvested stands and 805 ha\(^{-1}\) in untreated stands despite only representing 39 and 35%, respectively, of total advance regeneration (Collins et al. 2011). Likewise in Wyoming, lodgepole pine advance regeneration averaged 971 stems ha\(^{-1}\) despite accounting for only 23% of the total (Kayes and Tinker 2012). In nearly pure lodgepole pine stands, however, the understory may be dominated by that species. In surveys of Idaho, Utah, and Montana stands infested 3–80 years earlier, wherein lodgepole pine accounted for 84–100% of the basal area, lodgepole pine averaged ~90% of 1,195 stems ha\(^{-1}\) among saplings (<7.6 cm dbh but >1.3 cm diameter at rootcollar); in the seedling size class (<1.3 cm diameter at rootcollar), lodgepole pine stems average ~89% of 15,108 stems ha\(^{-1}\) (author’s unpublished data).

Regardless of shade tolerance, species among the preoutbreak overstory will be represented among advance regeneration (Kayes and Tinker 2012). In southcentral British Columbia, advance regeneration in surveyed stands averaged 2,689 stems ha\(^{-1}\) (range, 120–23,540) with an inverse relationship between stem density and overstory basal area; lodgepole pine dominated the taller size classes and subalpine fir the shorter size classes (Nigh et al. 2008). In central British Columbia, advance regeneration was highly variable among stands but averaged 2,200–3,500 stems ha\(^{-1}\), depending on stand age class. Although spruce and fir dominated the smallest size class, a trend increasing with stand age, lodgepole pine was present among all stand age classes and all size classes (Hawkins et al. 2012). In a broad survey of MPB-affected stands in British Columbia, regeneration (advance regeneration and postoutbreak seedlings were not distinguished) was variable but nonetheless averaged 4,300–6,800 stems ha\(^{-1}\), including about 2,100 stems ha\(^{-1}\) of lodgepole pine; less than 1% of surveyed plots lacked regeneration (LeMay et al. 2007). With or without lodgepole pine, these densities of advance regeneration are more than adequate to ensure fully stocked future stands.

**Seedling Recruitment**

Lodgepole pine seedling recruitment is variable after MPB outbreaks, ranging from sparse to very abundant. In central British Columbia, a moss-dominated forest floor apparently contributed to poor recruitment up to 10 years postoutbreak, especially for lodgepole pine (Astrup et al. 2008). In contrast, lodgepole pine stands in Colorado had substantial recruitment within 3 years postoutbreak,
pine recruitment was positively correlated to the proportion of over-
Boyden et al. 2005, Puhlick et al. 2012). In whitebark pine type,
Negron 2000, Puhlick et al. 2012), recruitment is not necessarily
area may enhance successful pine regeneration (Lundquist and
sustained by the moss-dominated forest floor. Cone serotiny may also
greater seedling recruitment, fir was favored because it was less in-
al. (2007) model can be found among the results of Astrup et al.
derying on the forest type (e.g., Diskin et al. 2011, Kayes and
establishment. The Sibold et al. (2007) rule well fits observations
among plots with moderate levels of mortality, consistent with the
intermediate disturbance hypothesis. See Table 2 for highlights of
outbreak forest development.

Thus, succession can be either paused or accelerated, depending
on disturbance severity and stand age. An exception to the Sibold et
al. (2007) model can be found among the results of Astrup et al.
(2008); although greater MPB-caused mortality did correlate with
greater seedling recruitment, fir was favored because it was less in-
hibited by the moss-dominated forest floor. Cone serotiny may also
confound lodgepole pine colonization of canopy gaps, especially
among younger stands that tend to have fewer open cones (Schoen-
nagel et al. 2003, Sibold et al. 2007).

In ponderosa pine type, pine recruitment is uncertain after MPB
disturbance. Although the resulting canopy gaps and reduced basal
area may enhance successful pine regeneration (Lundquist and
Negron 2000, Puhlick et al. 2012), recruitment is not necessarily
correlated with areas of high overstory mortality (Boyden et al.
2005). Instead, favorable weather or microsites are probably more
important for ponderosa pine recruitment (Brown and Wu 2005,
Boyden et al. 2005, Puhlick et al. 2012). In whitebark pine type,
pine recruitment was positively correlated to the proportion of over-
story killed by MPB in stands surveyed in Montana, Idaho, and
Oregon (Larson and Kipfmueller 2010 and references therein). The
beetle-caused canopy gaps are apparently attractive to seed-caching
Clark’s nutcrackers and the presence of snags increases seedling
survival in these high-elevation systems.

Changes in Nontree Vegetation
Stone and Wolfe (1996) conducted a study regarding the influ-
ence of MPB outbreak severity on nontree vegetation among lodge-
pole pine-dominated stands in Utah. The biomass of nontree veg-
etation was found to increase exponentially with increasing propor-
tions of canopy killed, suggesting a positive response to in-
creased photosynthetically active radiation (see Morehouse et al.
2008 for an example of postoutbreak changes in photosynthetically
active radiation). Similarly, grasses, forbs, and shrubs were observed
to have a 3-fold increase in Douglas-fir beetle (Dendroctonus pseu-
dotsugae Hopkins)-infested Douglas-fir stands compared with that
in uninfested stands in Wyoming (McMillin and Allen 2003). In
MPB-infested lodgepole pine stands in Colorado, measured 0–7
years postoutbreak, the percent cover of nontree vegetation was not
significantly different from that among uninfested stands although
maximal grass and forb heights were significantly higher among
infested stands (Klutsch et al. 2009). Among Stone and Wolfe’s
(1996) Utah plots, maximal understory species diversity was found
among plots with moderate levels of mortality, consistent with the
intermediate disturbance hypothesis. See Table 2 for highlights of
postoutbreak forest development.

Carbon Dynamics after MPB Outbreaks
The death of some varying proportion of overstory trees during a
MPB outbreak modifies carbon, nutrient, and water cycling in the
affected system. The killed trees no longer take up carbon, and the
loss of each stem contributes immediately to a decrease in site
productivity. Infested stems are transferred from live to dead pools,
increasing heterotrophic respiration but also decreasing au-
totrophic respiration (Edburg et al. 2011). These factors alter the
balance of carbon fluxes in the affected area and, depending on
outbreak severity, can result in switching systems from net carbon
sinks to net carbon sources (Hicke et al. 2012 and references
therein). Total plant uptake of nutrients is reduced, increasing the
pool size of inorganic nitrogen available to surviving stems
(Morehouse et al. 2008, Griffin et al. 2011), and the dynamic of

Table 2. Highlights of postoutbreak stand development.

- Multiple factors shape postoutbreak stand development, including infestation severity, advance regeneration, presence of nonhost trees,
biogeography, stand age, successional status, and further disturbance such as wildfire.
- In all pine types, postoutbreak stand development may favor shade-tolerant species or maintenance of pine, depending on those factors.
- Advance regeneration responds quickly to MPB-modified forest conditions and is considered the most important source for replacing killed
overstory.
- In mixed stands, advance regeneration may be dominated by shade-tolerant species.
- Advance regeneration in lodgepole pine type is typically more than adequate to ensure fully stocked stands.
- Surviving stems respond to postoutbreak conditions with increased radial growth rates, as high as 266%, beginning within 5 yr of peak
outbreak and continuing for ≥20 yr.
- Seedling recruitment into canopy gaps is typically substantial in lodgepole pine type and can include mostly lodgepole pine.
- Ponderosa pine recruitment may be enhanced by canopy gaps, although favorable weather or microsites may be more important.
- In whitebark pine, canopy gaps may be attractive to seed-caching Clark’s nutcrackers, which favors pine establishment.
- Grasses, forbs, and shrubs may significantly increase in species diversity, cover, biomass, and/or height in postoutbreak stands compared with
those in uninfested stands.

Averaging 5,800 stems ha⁻¹ (3,000 were lodgepole pine) in har-
vested stands and 1,800 stems ha⁻¹ (875 were lodgepole pine) in
untreated stands (Collins et al. 2011). These recruited stems should
join with advance regeneration in forming the new overstory, albeit
seedling mortality rates will be very high at such densities. Recruited
seedlings, combined with surviving overstory stems and advance
regeneration, will transform a typical even-aged lodgepole pine
stand into a multiaged, multistoried stand (Sibold et al. 2007, Axel-
son et al. 2009, Diskin et al. 2011). Episodic recruitment of lodge-
pole pine in MPB-caused canopy gaps has been reported from Or-
egon, Colorado, and British Columbia, and seedling density was
correlated to the severity of MPB infestation (Stuart et al. 1989,
Sibold et al. 2007, Axelson et al. 2009, 2010). The severity of
disturbance, as well as stand age or successional status, may also in-
fuence the species composition of recruitment with higher severity
events favoring lodgepole pine over more shade-tolerant species (Si-
the following: high-severity disturbance in relatively young lodge-
pole pine stands results in a pulse of lodgepole pine recruitment; high-
severity disturbance in older stands results in mixed species recruit-
ment; and moderate-severity disturbance in older stands favors fir
establishment. The Sibold et al. (2007) rule well fits observations
elsewhere wherein lodgepole pine or fir dominate among seedlings,
depending on the forest type (e.g., Diskin et al. 2011, Kayes and
Tinker 2012).

Thus, succession can be either paused or accelerated, depending
on disturbance severity and stand age. An exception to the Sibold et
al. (2007) model can be found among the results of Astrup et al.
(2008); although greater MPB-caused mortality did correlate with
greater seedling recruitment, fir was favored because it was less in-
hibited by the moss-dominated forest floor. Cone serotiny may also
confound lodgepole pine colonization of canopy gaps, especially
among younger stands that tend to have fewer open cones (Schoen-
nagel et al. 2003, Sibold et al. 2007).

In ponderosa pine type, pine recruitment is uncertain after MPB
disturbance. Although the resulting canopy gaps and reduced basal
area may enhance successful pine regeneration (Lundquist and
Negron 2000, Puhlick et al. 2012), recruitment is not necessarily
correlated with areas of high overstory mortality (Boyden et al.
2005). Instead, favorable weather or microsites are probably more
important for ponderosa pine recruitment (Brown and Wu 2005,
Boyden et al. 2005, Puhlick et al. 2012). In whitebark pine type,
pine recruitment was positively correlated to the proportion of over-
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Reduction in Carbon Production

To fully understand the impact of MPB outbreaks on carbon production, it is instructional to first consider temporal patterns in the absence of an intermediate disturbance (i.e., MPB outbreak) subsequent to a stand-replacing event. A widely observed pattern in even-aged forest development is a relatively early peak in gross (GPP) and net primary production (NPP) followed by a gradual decline, possibly to near steady-state conditions (Figure 1) (Ryan et al. 2004, Goulden et al. 2011). Given that lodgepole pine stands biomass increment peaks at age 30–60 years (Pearson et al. 1987, Ryan and Waring 1992, Smith and Resh 1999) and MPB infestations seldom initiate in stands <60 years old with stands aged >80 years at greatest risk of outbreak (Amman et al. 1977), it is likely that outbreaks are restricted to stands with reduced carbon productivity relative to maximal rates. Indeed, heightened MPB susceptibility among trees of reduced productive efficiency has been shown for lodgepole and ponderosa pines (Mitchell et al. 1983, Larsson et al. 1983).

Nevertheless, MPB outbreaks result in further reduced production for years to decades (Figure 1) or longer (Pfeifer et al. 2010). Among lodgepole pine stands in and around Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming, postoutbreak annual wood production was reduced ~25% relative to that of uninfested controls (Romme et al. 1986). Using input data from infested plots in central Idaho, empirically based growth-and-yield model simulations found that aboveground carbon accumulation was reduced by 12–51% as a result of a MPB outbreak (Pfeifer et al. 2010). Using a process-based ecosystem model and a hypothetical infestation, Edburg et al. (2011) found that the infestation reduced GPP by almost 60% and NPP by ~30%. With use of an empirically driven ecological process model, landscape level (374,000 km²) NPP was estimated to be reduced from an average of 440 g C m⁻² in 2000 to 400 g C m⁻² in 2009 during the recent outbreak in British Columbia (Kurz et al. 2008). In these studies, recovery generally began within a few years postoutbreak, albeit at different rates, depending on multiple factors. In Romme et al.’s (1986) Wyoming study, infested stands returned to preoutbreak wood production levels after 5–15 years and exceeded the preoutbreak level in one stand. Preoutbreak biomass and productivity were concentrated among canopy stems, whereas postoutbreak, they were more evenly distributed among canopy, subcanopy, and understory trees. In another Wyoming study, carbon production was not significantly different among stands infested 25–30 years before measurement and similarly aged uninfested stands (Kashian et al. 2013). In Pfeifer et al.’s (2010) simulations of Idaho stands, conducted using the secondary stand structure but not recruitment, aboveground carbon production did not return to preoutbreak levels during 200-year simulations for any of 12 stands, although it did rebound from postoutbreak minimums in most cases. Uninfested simulations also exhibited declining production, however, and the infested and uninfested simulations had matching carbon production levels after 29–161 years. This range in timing was influenced by overstory mortality rates and the density of smaller, more productive surviving stems. For one stand wherein postoutbreak pine recruitment was simulated (300 stems ha⁻¹), annual production was boosted by up to 11%, mostly during the first 75 years postoutbreak (Pfeifer et al. 2010). Among Edburg et al.’s (2011) process-based simulations, postoutbreak GPP recovered to 80% of GPP in the uninfested control simulation within 10 years. Full recovery to uninfested values occurred after ~40 years (note that these simulations did not explicitly include age-related declines in carbon productivity which particularly affect uninfested stands; see Figure 1). Several factors were found to affect the rate of recovery.

Figure 1. Aboveground net primary productivity in trees (top panel) and total system carbon storage (all compartments represented except mineral soil; bottom panel) in 300-year simulations using the empirically based Forest Vegetation Simulator (FVS). Simulations used the Tetons variant of the FVS (output in 10-year time steps), the Fire and Fuels Extension to generate total system carbon, and the Mountain Pine Beetle Extension to simulate mortality. Initial snag and downed woody material carbon were averaged from that of two recently burned stands (author’s unpublished data). Initial live tree density was 3,100 lodgepole pine ha⁻¹, the median postfire density reported by Turner et al. (2004). At each subsequent 10-year time step, recruitment was specified as 12.5 lodgepole pine, 7.5 subalpine fir, and 2.5 whitebark pine ha⁻¹. The carbon mass of individual stems was calculated at each time step using allometric equations (Lambert et al. 2005) and carbon concentrations of tree components (author’s unpublished data); NPP was calculated by summing the time step averaged annual carbon increment of individual surviving trees (“Approach 1” in Clark et al. 2001). MPB outbreaks were manually scheduled every 50 years beginning at stand age 100 years, with a pulse of lodgepole pine recruitment (1,000 stems ha⁻¹) one time step later.
including the severity and duration of the outbreak as well as timing to snagfall initiation and rates of subsequent snagfall (Edenburg et al. 2011), the effects of which will be addressed in the next section.

**Decomposition of Coarse Woody Debris and the Forest Floor**

Because larger canopy trees are killed during MPB outbreaks, a considerable amount of material is transferred to dead matter pools. After a high-severity outbreak in lodgepole pine in central Idaho, for example, Pfeifer et al. (2010) determined that 6–65% of aboveground live biomass was killed by beetles. Across all plots, this was 54% of all lodgepole pine stems, representing an average of ~19 Mg C ha⁻¹. Components of this dead material have various degrees of quality and recalcitrance to decomposition. Moreover, decomposition rates are dramatically slower for tree components not in contact with the ground, and aboveground components may not fall for years to decades.

In Edenburg et al.’s (2011) simulations, coarse root carbon in dead pools accumulated during each year of the infestation and then decreased because of decomposition. Fine roots can be expected to decay first and fastest because they are relatively high quality (low carbon/nitrogen ratio) and in an environment favorable for microbial success (relative to aboveground substrates; see below). Coarse roots are more recalcitrant owing to their lower quality and greater volume. In a Wyoming study, lodgepole pine roots 5- to 10-mm in diameter had exponential decay coefficients (k) of 0.0404–0.0624 (half-life, 11–17 years), whereas 26- to 50-mm roots had k ranging from 0.024 to 0.0293 (half-life, 24–29 years) (Yavit and Fahey 1982). Among other variables, temperature and moisture will influence decomposition rates for lodgepole and ponderosa pines (Chen et al. 2000). Thus, rates will vary among ecosystems as well as seasonally and with year-to-year weather variations. Soil carbon stocks may be increased by bark beetle disturbance. Using a hybrid empirically based growth and yield/ecological process model, Seidl et al. (2008) found greater soil carbon (forest floor and mineral soil combined) among 100-year simulations that included bark beetle series compared with undisturbed series in Norway spruce.

Because needles from killed pines begin to fall about 1 year after infestation with most needles fallen within 5 years, a severe infestation can be expected to result in a pulse of litterfall and forest floor accumulation. For example, infested stands measured 4–7 years postoutbreak in Colorado had significantly greater litter depth than more recently infested and uninfested stands (Klutsch et al. 2009, Moore et al. 2013). In a northwest Wyoming study, however, lodgepole pine litter biomass did not significantly differ among uninfested, red stage (~2 years postattack), gray stage (~4 years postattack), and 30 years postattack stands (Griffin et al. 2011). Among stands surveyed in Idaho, Utah, and Montana, including some infested within 5 years of measurements, no significant differences were found in the forest floor biomasses of infested and uninfested plots (author’s unpublished data). In an Arizona study conducted ~2 years after peak outbreak (mortality caused by multiple *Dendroctonus* and Ips species), forest floor biomass under infested and uninfested ponderosa pine stands were not significantly different despite most needles having fallen from infested trees (Morehouse et al. 2008). Moreover, needlefall was significantly greater among uninfested plots; litterfall was not significantly different (Morehouse et al. 2008). Counterintuitively, Kashian et al. (2013) found significantly greater forest floor biomass among stands infested 25–30 years before measurement compared with similarly aged, uninfested stands. As with all compartments, forest floor biomass is a function of inputs (litterfall) and outputs (decomposition). Thus, the uninfested stands, with significantly greater live overstory biomass, should be expected to have greater litterfall than stands infested many years earlier. That is, infested stands should have a pulse of increased litterfall within the first few years postoutbreak but, thereafter, have significantly reduced litterfall because of the reduction in live canopy. Conceivably, the foliar biomasses among postoutbreak stands in Kashian et al.’s (2013) study could have recovered such that they surpassed that of uninfested stands.

In addition, relatively quick decomposition of litter will offset any temporary increase in litterfall after just a few years. In a Wyoming study, decomposing lodgepole pine needles lost ~20% mass annually, indicating a half-life of about 3 years (Fahey 1983). Using litterbags at infested and uninfested plots in Idaho, Utah, and Montana, the half-life of forest floor lodgepole pine foliage was estimated to be 2–2.5 years; rates were not significantly different among infested and uninfested plots (author’s unpublished data). Thus, the lack of conspicuous differences in forest floor accumulations among uninfested and recently infested stands might be explained by the following: relatively quick decomposition of litterfall coupled with delayed and uneven needle loss from individual trees; the pattern and timing of MPB attacks within a stand (see Host Mortality Patterns and Residual Forest); and the fact that uninfested trees continue to produce litter irrespective of the disturbance (Simard et al. 2012). Regardless, the pulse of foliar carbon inputs from MPB-killed trees is relatively minor compared with that from other compartments. For example, Edenburg et al.’s (2011) simulations, which focused on extreme infestation scenarios, showed foliar carbon (and nitrogen) to have little effect on system dynamics, especially compared with belowground and bole components.

The lack of favorable aboveground conditions for decay organisms is evident among reported decomposition rates for snags. Harvey (1986) reported <1% volume loss 11 years after death by MPB in Oregon, and in Wyoming, Fahey (1983) found no significant decline in specific gravity of 5- to 12-year old snags compared with living trees, whereas 20-year-old snags retained ~95% of original specific gravity. The decomposition rate increases after snags fall and contact the ground (note that “jackstrawed” downfall may remain elevated above the forest floor for many years or decades after snagfall). Rates continue to remain low, however, in absolute terms. In Oregon, Busse (1994) reported a k of 0.027 (half-life, ~26 years), whereas Fahey (1983) reported a k of 0.0163 (half-life, ~43 years) for a Wyoming forest. In Colorado, most lodgepole pine bole volume remained intact after multiple decades on the ground, including one bole dated as dying 139 years before being measured (Brown et al. 1998). In lodgepole and ponderosa pine, snagfall is minimal for the first 5 years. In Oregon lodgepole pine, 50% of snags fell after 8–9 years (Mitchell and Preisler 1998). In Utah, 5–40% of infested lodgepole pine stems remained standing on plots measured ~25 years after infestation, although all snags had fallen in Idaho and Montana plots infested ~35 years before measurement (author’s unpublished data). In California and Oregon ponderosa pine, most snags fell 5–15 years after beetle infestation with ~10% standing after 25 years (Keen 1955), whereas infested Colorado ponderosa pine fell at 3–5% year⁻¹ unless affected by high winds (Schmid et al. 1985). In some systems, snags can remain standing for many decades. For example, whitebark pine snags were common 70 years after a MPB outbreak dated circa 1930 (Perkins and Roberts 2001).
**Net Carbon Fluxes**

In the preceding sections, I reviewed evidence that loss of live overstory results in substantial, if temporary, reductions in NPP and that decomposition, and by extension, heterotrophic respiration, should increase commensurate with increases in dead matter pools (although note that heterotrophic respiration rates may be confounded by complex changes in microclimate; see Morehouse et al. 2008, Bewley et al. 2010, and Griffin et al. 2011 for examples of postoutbreak changes in solar radiation, air temperature, soil temperature, and soil moisture). These factors will shift the net carbon balance of affected ecosystems (Hicke et al. 2012). In process model simulations for British Columbia, landscape-level heterotrophic respiration increased by 6% in conjunction with a 10% loss in NPP (Kurz et al. 2008). The result shifted the landscape from a net carbon sink in 2000 (0.59 M t C year\(^{-1}\)) to a net carbon source in 2009 (\(\sim 20\) M t C year\(^{-1}\)). Net biome production then recovered, but the system remained a net carbon source through 2020, the end of the simulation (Kurz et al. 2008).

Smaller scale studies found more moderate impacts of MPB outbreaks. For example, eddy covariance data from two severely infested lodgepole pine stands in British Columbia (60–95% canopy mortality), measured 1–5 years postoutbreak, showed higher than expected net ecosystem productivity (NEP) (Brown et al. 2010, 2012). Values were only slightly negative, recovering to near neutral by the fifth year. This finding was attributed to increased productivity by the surviving secondary stand structure as well as shrubs and herbs, and heterotrophic respiration was not observed to substantially increase (Brown et al. 2010, 2012). Eddy covariance data from infested stands in northcentral Colorado showed that GPP declined 13.8%, but respiration was reduced by nearly as much (12.4%), resulting in only a modest decline in carbon sink capacity during the first 6 years postoutbreak (Moore et al. 2013). In an analysis of eddy covariance data of multiple forest disturbance events throughout North America, including the Brown et al. (2010) data, it was concluded that all measured systems recovered from being a net carbon source to sink within 10–20 years after stand-replacing disturbance (i.e., wildfire) (Amiro et al. 2010). Intermediate disturbances, including bark beetle outbreaks, exhibited smaller decreases in NEP and even faster recoveries.

The process-based modeling of Edburg et al. (2011) is instructive for understanding the underlying processes that determine net carbon flux for an infested system. Using an extreme baseline scenario (95% lodgepole pine mortality over a 3-year outbreak), heterotrophic respiration increased substantially, compared with that for an uninfested simulation, for the first \(\sim 5\) years postoutbreak as labile carbon sources (needles and fine roots) were consumed. Thereafter, heterotrophic respiration fell below that of the uninfested simulation until the snags fell and began to decompose. Even then, heterotrophic respiration was only slightly elevated, relative to that for the uninfested simulation, as decomposition of coarse woody debris was drawn out for several decades. Meanwhile, postoutbreak autotrophic respiration fell in proportion to reductions in GPP, dwarfing the short-lived spike in heterotrophic respiration (Edburg et al. 2011). The balance of these processes should be reduced soil respiration. Empirical data are generally consistent with this modeling. For example, stands in northcentral Colorado were observed to have a strong decline (40%) in soil respiration (i.e., combined autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration) during the first 3 years postoutbreak with nearly full recovery after \(\sim 6\) years, the increase corresponding to the litter pulse as needles fell from infested trees (Moore et al. 2013). On the other hand, infested and uninfested ponderosa pine stands in Arizona had similar soil respiration rates 2 years postoutbreak, suggesting that decreased autotrophic respiration and increased heterotrophic respiration cancelled each other (Morehouse et al. 2008). The Edburg et al. (2011) simulations also exhibited a quick recovery in postoutbreak GPP. After a trough \(\sim 5\) years postoutbreak, GPP fully recovered to uninfested simulation levels after 40 years. The net result in dynamics of autotrophic carbon uptake and respiration plus heterotrophic respiration was that NEP recovered to 80% of uninfested simulation levels within 5 years, became carbon neutral after \(\sim 23\) years, and matched uninfested simulation levels after 40 years. Edburg et al. (2011) also simulated less severe outbreaks. In a simulation with 25% mortality, all fluxes were more closely aligned with those of the uninfested simulations, and NEP remained positive for most years. Modifying the duration of the outbreak affected fluxes on the order of years rather than decades. Timing of snagfall initiation and subsequent snagfall rates also influenced flux trajectories. These variables affected not only heterotrophic respiration through time but also GPP/NPP because of assumptions in plant-available nitrogen as a result of microbial immobilization/mineralization. At the end of 100-year simulations; however, outbreak severity was the only variable that substantially modified cumulative NEP (Edburg et al. 2011).

**Carbon Storage**

Growth-and-yield models indicate that total system carbon storage is affected by MPB outbreaks (Figure 1). As described in the preceding sections, the temporal pattern of carbon loss in infested stands compared with that in uninfested stands may be more a function of temporarily reduced carbon production rather than of increased heterotrophic respiration. Regardless, carbon stocks in MPB-affected stands may take longer to recover than flux rates. Live vegetation carbon did not return to preoutbreak levels for 100 years in Edburg et al.’s (2011) simulations (total system carbon was not reported). In Pfeifer et al.’s (2010) simulations for infested stands, total aboveground biomass needed 1–25 years to return to preoutbreak levels. Stands in the uninfested simulations, however, continued to accrue biomass over a 200-year trajectory, and aboveground carbon stocks in infested simulations did not match those of uninfested simulations for 56–185 years. Nevertheless, Pfeifer et al. (2010, p. 11) concluded that their simulations demonstrated “significant resiliency of (carbon) storage to beetle-caused mortality.” In contrast to simulated data, empirical data show similar total carbon stocks among mature uninfested and infested stands. For example, Kashian et al. (2013) found no significant differences in total stand carbon among six lodgepole pine stands in Yellowstone National Park infested 25–30 years before measurement and six uninfested stands (stands were aged 134–262 years). Likewise, uninfested mature stands in central Idaho, southwest Montana, and northeast Utah did not have significantly more total carbon than stands infested 3–80 years before measurement (author’s unpublished data). See Table 3 for highlights of carbon dynamics after MPB outbreaks and Hicke et al. (2012) for additional perspectives regarding the effects of forest insects, including mountain pine beetle, and diseases on carbon dynamics in North American forests.

**Summary**

MPB outbreaks result in the death of many, most, or, possibly, all mature pines at the stand level. Infestations have decreasing impact,
Table 3. Highlights of carbon dynamics after MPB outbreaks.

- MPB outbreaks affect multiple, coupled ecosystem processes such as carbon uptake and storage, nutrient uptake and storage, and evapotranspiration and water cycling, as well as modify environmental conditions such as forest temperatures and available sunlight.
- MPB outbreaks transfer carbon from live to dead pools, increasing heterotrophic respiration but also decreasing autotrophic respiration.
- During the first few years after MPB outbreaks, stand-level carbon productivity may be reduced by more than 50% compared with preoutbreak productivity.
- Recovery begins within a few years; some stands may return to preoutbreak productivity levels in as few as 5 yr, whereas others, although rebounding from postoutbreak lows, may never fully return to preoutbreak levels.
- In uninfested stands, carbon productivity peaks at age 24–60 yr and then slowly declines over the life of the stand; thus, recovering infested stands may have greater average carbon production depending on the time scale considered and the response to the partial disturbance.
- Snags, which undergo almost no decomposition, may remain standing for ~10 yr and perhaps much longer; downed boles typically require many decades to decompose.
- Any postoutbreak increase in forest floor biomass, from the litter of infested trees, and associated heterotrophic respiration rates will be short-lived due to relatively quick decomposition of this substrate.
- Autotrophic inputs, rather than heterotrophic consumption, are the primary drivers of lodgepole pine system carbon dynamics.
- Affected ecosystems can switch from net carbon sinks to net carbon sources, depending on outbreak severity, among other factors.
- Recovery to net carbon sinks occurs within 5–20 yr even for severely infested stands; moderately infested stands may remain net carbon sinks despite infestation.
- Trends in postoutbreak carbon storage are uncertain; empirical studies (with small sample sizes) indicate that total system carbon storage is essentially unaffected by MPB outbreaks, whereas model simulations suggest that infestations reduce carbon storage relative to undisturbed stands.
- Regardless of levels relative to uninfested stands, carbon stocks in infested stands remain high in absolute terms due to recalcitrance of coarse woody debris coupled with recovering live carbon pools.

The reduction in NPP after outbreaks is temporary as carbon production rebounds beginning ~5 years postoutbreak due to responses of secondary stand structure, nontree vegetation, and recruited seedlings. Because repeated, moderate-severity disturbances in forested systems sustain carbon productivity (Kimmins 1987), it might be predicted that MPB outbreaks result in cumulative productivity equal to, if not higher than, that under scenarios without disturbance (Figure 1). Although GPP or NPP is only temporarily set back by MPB outbreaks, carbon storage may be substantially affected, in comparison to that for uninfested stands, over long time spans (Figure 1; although see Kashian et al. 2013). Nevertheless, total system carbon stocks remain high, in absolute terms, because of recalcitrant snag and coarse woody debris pools combined with recovering stocks in live pools, plus stable, if not increasing, forest floor and mineral soil pools. Among stands wherein MPB infestation results in a switch of net carbon balance from sink to source, return to net carbon sink occurs within 5–20 years (Amiro et al. 2010, Edburg et al. 2011, Brown et al. 2012, Moore et al. 2013).

Ecosystems recover from disturbances regardless of the disturbance agent or severity. Unavoidably, these systems will again become increasingly susceptible to another disturbance as biomass accumulates (Holling 1992). Theoretical evidence from the field of thermodynamics suggests that these cycles of ecosystem development and destruction are the result of local energy imbalances (Kay 2000). That is, ecosystem disturbance and recovery are intertwined ecological processes, probably driven by inexorable broad-scale drivers. Although different developmental pathways become possible with each iteration of postdisturbance reorganization, lodgepole pine, in particular, is a disturbance-adapted species that persists on landscapes despite repeated MPB outbreaks and wildfire. Relative to stand-replacing events (e.g., wildfire), MPB outbreaks are intermediate disturbance agents that leave substantial secondary stand structure. As such, postoutbreak forest recovery proceeds relatively quickly. Live basal area, stem density, carbon productivity, and net carbon balance recover to preoutbreak values within 5–40 years, although impacts to total carbon storage may be longer lasting.

however, at larger spatial scales because of diversity in stand structure and composition. Regardless of the stand-level mortality rates, surviving stem density is usually adequate to meet stocking levels prescribed by foresters. Although survivors may include overstory pine stems, increasing infestation severity results in increasing proportional dominance of subcanopy pine stems, advance regeneration, and nonpines. Surviving stems are released from competition, and seedlings are typically recruited into the canopy gaps. Succession to more shade-tolerant species may be paused or accelerated, depending on multiple factors. Under certain conditions, lodgepole pine may continue to dominate the forest despite periodic disturbance by MPB (Figure 2).

These changes in forest structure, which vary with time since MPB disturbance, modify carbon dynamics. The loss of each MPB-killed tree causes an immediate decrease in stand carbon productivity. Simultaneously, the transfer of biomass from live to dead pools potentially results in increased heterotrophic respiration as substrates become available for microbial consumption. Labile, high-quality carbon substrates (e.g., fine roots and needles) are consumed within a few years of tree death, although a potentially large spike in evapotranspiration and water cycling, as well as modify environmental conditions such as forest temperatures and available sunlight. A few years after MPB outbreaks, stand-level carbon productivity may be reduced by more than 50% compared with preoutbreak productivity. Recovery begins within a few years; some stands may return to preoutbreak productivity levels in as few as 5 yr, whereas others, although rebounding from postoutbreak lows, may never fully return to preoutbreak levels. In uninfested stands, carbon productivity peaks at age 24–60 yr and then slowly declines over the life of the stand; thus, recovering infested stands may have greater average carbon production depending on the time scale considered and the response to the partial disturbance. Snags, which undergo almost no decomposition, may remain standing for ~10 yr and perhaps much longer; downed boles typically require many decades to decompose. Any postoutbreak increase in forest floor biomass, from the litter of infested trees, and associated heterotrophic respiration rates will be short-lived due to relatively quick decomposition of this substrate. Autotrophic inputs, rather than heterotrophic consumption, are the primary drivers of lodgepole pine system carbon dynamics. Affected ecosystems can switch from net carbon sinks to net carbon sources, depending on outbreak severity, among other factors. Recovery to net carbon sinks occurs within 5–20 yr even for severely infested stands; moderately infested stands may remain net carbon sinks despite infestation. Trends in postoutbreak carbon storage are uncertain; empirical studies (with small sample sizes) indicate that total system carbon storage is essentially unaffected by MPB outbreaks, whereas model simulations suggest that infestations reduce carbon storage relative to undisturbed stands. Regardless of levels relative to uninfested stands, carbon stocks in infested stands remain high in absolute terms due to recalcitrance of coarse woody debris coupled with recovering live carbon pools.
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