

The vacuum excitation and squeezing properties of two quantum oscillators with delta-kicked interactions

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 3429

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/34/16/311>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.123.125.21

The article was downloaded on 23/09/2011 at 18:27

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

The vacuum excitation and squeezing properties of two quantum oscillators with delta-kicked interactions

Jeong-Young Ji and David Peak

Department of Physics, Utah State University, Logan, UT 84322, USA

E-mail: jyji@cc.usu.edu and peakd@cc.usu.edu

Received 13 March 2000, in final form 11 January 2001

Abstract

The vacuum excitation and squeezing of two harmonic oscillators with delta-kicked interactions for four types of elementary coupling are studied. The exact quantum motion for the Heisenberg operators and the explicit form of squeezing operators are found. The variances are calculated to study the squeezing properties for position, momentum and generalized quadrature operators.

PACS numbers: 0365, 4250

1. Introduction

Two coupled harmonic oscillators with parametric excitations comprise an especially suitable system for studying the phenomena of zero-point excitation and squeezing of coherent states [1, 2]. The correlated squeezed states of two permanently coupled oscillators with delta-kicked frequencies have been studied using a phase-space approach in [3]. Senitzky [4] has studied the effects on zero-point energy in elementary systems using a model consisting of two permanently coupled oscillators with four types of interaction (rotating-wave coupling, counter-rotating-wave coupling, dipole–dipole coupling and elastic-attraction coupling). There the interactions were assumed to be turned on at one time and remain constant thereafter. Senitzky found that all couplings except the rotating wave produce a lower zero-point energy than for the decoupled system, thus leading to a van der Waals attraction.

In this paper, we consider a different system of two oscillators with delta-kicked interactions of the four couplings mentioned above as exactly solvable models. These models are appropriate for describing a scattering process of two oscillators where the two oscillators are decoupled both before and after the interaction, for example, for approximately describing vibration modes of two colliding molecules. If the time interval of scattering process is relatively small compared to a timescale of interest, the delta-kicked interaction may be a good approximation. On the other hand, the study of squeezing properties of oscillator systems have long played important roles in studying particle creation (vacuum excitation) in quantum field theory [5] as well as in studying nonclassical effects of photon statistics in quantum optics [6]. The purpose of this work is to study the vacuum excitations and squeezing properties produced

by the four couplings. In section 2, we introduce two harmonic oscillators with general couplings and find the exact quantum solution. In section 3, we study the vacuum excitation and the squeezing for each coupling. The last section is devoted to a discussion.

2. Coupled quantum oscillators with delta-kicked interaction

Consider a system of two oscillators interacting via delta-kicked interactions. The Hamiltonian of this system is described by

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + H_I \quad (1)$$

where

$$H_j = \frac{1}{2M_j} P_j^2 + \frac{M_j \omega_j^2}{2} Q_j^2 \quad (2)$$

for $j = 1, 2$. We first introduce dimensionless position and momentum operators

$$\begin{aligned} q_j &= \sqrt{\frac{M_j \omega_j}{\hbar}} Q_j \\ p_j &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M_j \hbar \omega_j}} P_j \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

that satisfy the commutation relation $[q, p] = i$. In terms of these new variables, the free oscillator Hamiltonians become

$$H_j = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_j (p_j^2 + q_j^2) = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_j (a_j^\dagger a_j + \frac{1}{2}) \quad (4)$$

where the annihilation and creation operators are

$$a_j = (q_j + ip_j)/\sqrt{2} \quad a_j^\dagger = (q_j - ip_j)/\sqrt{2}. \quad (5)$$

In other words,

$$\begin{pmatrix} q_1(t) \\ p_1(t) \\ q_2(t) \\ p_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = D \begin{pmatrix} a_1(t) \\ a_1^\dagger(t) \\ a_2(t) \\ a_2^\dagger(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

For the interaction Hamiltonian, we let

$$H_I = \hbar \delta(t) [\lambda_1 q_1^2 + \lambda_2 q_2^2 + 2\lambda_q q_1 q_2 + 2\lambda_p p_1 p_2]. \quad (8)$$

The Heisenberg equations of motion for the quantum position and momentum operators are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_1 &= \omega_1 p_1 + 2\delta(t) \lambda_p p_2 \\ \dot{p}_1 &= -\omega_1 q_1 - 2\delta(t) (\lambda_1 q_1 + \lambda_q q_2) \\ \dot{q}_2 &= \omega_2 p_2 + 2\delta(t) \lambda_p p_1 \\ \dot{p}_2 &= -\omega_2 q_2 - 2\delta(t) (\lambda_2 q_2 + \lambda_q q_1). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Solutions of the autonomous equations of motion for $t < 0$ and $t > 0$ oscillate in time as

$$\begin{aligned} q_j(t) &= q_j(0^\pm) \cos \omega_j t + \frac{p_j(0^\pm)}{\omega_j} \sin \omega_j t \\ p_j(t) &= -\omega_j q_j(0^\pm) \sin \omega_j t + p_j(0^\pm) \cos \omega_j t \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for $j = 1, 2$. The $t < 0$ amplitudes and the $t > 0$ amplitudes are related by

$$B \begin{pmatrix} q_1(0^+) \\ p_1(0^+) \\ q_2(0^+) \\ p_2(0^+) \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} q_1(0^-) \\ p_1(0^-) \\ q_2(0^-) \\ p_2(0^-) \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_p \\ \lambda_1 & 1 & \lambda_q & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda_p & 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_q & 0 & \lambda_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

and

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_p \\ -\lambda_1 & 1 & -\lambda_q & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_p & 1 & 0 \\ -\lambda_q & 0 & -\lambda_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

The time evolutions of the associated annihilation and creation operators, from (6) and (11), are given in simple forms by

$$a_j(t) = \begin{cases} a_j(0^-) e^{-i\omega_j t} & \text{for } t < 0 \\ a_j(0^+) e^{-i\omega_j t} & \text{for } t > 0 \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1(0^+) \\ a_1^\dagger(0^+) \\ a_2(0^+) \\ a_2^\dagger(0^+) \end{pmatrix} = D^{-1} B^{-1} C D \begin{pmatrix} a_1(0^-) \\ a_1^\dagger(0^-) \\ a_2(0^-) \\ a_2^\dagger(0^-) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

3. Excitation of a ground state and squeezing properties of coherent states

In this section we consider four types of coupling, four different conditions on the λ in (8). Suppose that the oscillators are initially in the vacuum (ground) state, $|0\rangle$ (which is annihilated by both $a_1(0^-)$ and $a_2(0^-)$). Excitation of the ground state resulting from the interaction can be obtained by evaluating the expectation value of the number operator for each oscillator after the interaction

$$N_{j,\text{created}} = \langle 0 | a_j^\dagger(0^+) a_j(0^+) | 0 \rangle \quad (j = 1, 2). \tag{16}$$

Squeezing of states can be assessed by examining the value of the variance of the quadrature operators X

$$\Delta X^2 = \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | X^2 | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \rangle - \langle \alpha_1 \alpha_2 | X | \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \rangle^2 \tag{17}$$

where X can be q_1, p_1, q_2, p_2 or their linear combinations such as

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_1 - p_2) & Y_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_1 + q_2) \\ X_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q_2 - p_1) & Y_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_2 + q_1). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

These quadrature operators satisfy $[X_j, Y_k] = i\delta_{jk}$ and $[X_j, X_k] = 0 = [Y_j, Y_k]$. In (17), the two-mode coherent state is

$$|\alpha_1, \alpha_2\rangle = D_1(\alpha_1)D_2(\alpha_2)|0\rangle \quad (19)$$

where $D_j(\alpha_j) = e^{\alpha_j a_j^\dagger(0^-) - \alpha_j^* a_j(0^-)}$ ($j = 1, 2$).

3.1. Rotating-wave coupling

Let us first consider the interaction

$$H_I = 2\hbar g \delta(t)(q_1 q_2 + p_1 p_2) \quad (20)$$

which, for $\omega_1 \approx \omega_2$, has only slowly varying terms. By setting $\lambda_q = \lambda_p = g$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ from (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(0^+) &= \frac{1-g^2}{1+g^2}a_1(0^-) - \frac{2ig}{1+g^2}a_2(0^-) \\ a_2(0^+) &= -\frac{2ig}{1+g^2}a_1(0^-) + \frac{1-g^2}{1+g^2}a_2(0^-). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

This time evolution via delta-kicked interaction can be described by the following unitary, squeezing-like operator (hereafter $j = 1, 2$ and a_j denotes $a_j(0^-)$, unless otherwise stated)

$$S_r = e^{r^* a_1 a_2^\dagger - r a_1^\dagger a_2} \quad (22)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} S_r^\dagger a_1 S_r &= \cos |r| a_1 - \sin |r| e^{i\theta_r} a_2 \\ S_r^\dagger a_2 S_r &= \sin |r| e^{-i\theta_r} a_1 + \cos |r| a_2 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $r = |r|e^{i\theta_r}$, $g = \tan \frac{|r|}{2}$ and $\theta_r = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

For this interaction there is no vacuum excitation

$$N_{j,\text{created}} = 0. \quad (24)$$

The variances

$$\Delta q_j^2 = \Delta p_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad (25)$$

satisfy the minimal uncertainty relation before and after interaction

$$\Delta q_j \Delta p_j = \frac{1}{2} \quad (26)$$

and, hence, there is no state squeezing in the two individual modes. The variances of generalized quadratures

$$\Delta X_j^2 = \Delta Y_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad (27)$$

also show no squeezing.

3.2. Counter-rotating-wave coupling

Now let us consider the following interaction:

$$H_I = 2\hbar g \delta(t)(q_1 q_2 - p_1 p_2) \quad (28)$$

which has only fast-varying terms. By setting $\lambda_q = -\lambda_p = g$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ from (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(0^+) &= \frac{1+g^2}{1-g^2}a_1(0^-) - \frac{2ig}{1-g^2}a_2^\dagger(0^-) \\ a_2(0^+) &= -\frac{2ig}{1-g^2}a_1^\dagger(0^-) + \frac{1+g^2}{1-g^2}a_2(0^-). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We introduce the two-mode squeezing operator [7]

$$S_c(r) = e^{r^* a_1 a_2 - r a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger} \tag{30}$$

which transforms a_1 and a_2 to

$$\begin{aligned} S_c^\dagger a_1 S_c &= \cosh |r| a_1 - \sinh |r| e^{i\theta_r} a_2^\dagger \\ S_c^\dagger a_2 S_c &= -\sinh |r| e^{i\theta_r} a_1^\dagger + \cosh |r| a_2. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

For $|g| < 1$, this operator describes the time evolution of (29) with $g = \tanh \frac{|r|}{2}$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. The variances of quadratures

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta q_j^2 = \Delta p_j^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\cosh^2 |r| + \sinh^2 |r|) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + 6g^2 + g^4}{(1 - g^2)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

and uncertainty relations

$$\Delta q_j \Delta p_j = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + 6g^2 + g^4}{(1 - g^2)^2}; \tag{33}$$

there is no squeezing in the two individual modes, as is well known [8]. Instead, the variances of generalized quadratures (18)

$$\Delta X_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} e^{2|r|} \quad \Delta Y_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2|r|} \tag{34}$$

which clearly show ideal squeezing ($\Delta X_j \Delta Y_j = 1/2$). Therefore, the coherent state (19) before interaction becomes the squeezed state after interaction. Finally the vacuum excitation is

$$N_{j,\text{created}} = \sinh^2 |r| = \frac{4g^2}{(1 - g^2)^2}. \tag{35}$$

3.3. Dipole–dipole coupling

Our oscillator system for the interaction

$$H_1 = 4\hbar g \delta(t) q_1 q_2 \tag{36}$$

is described by setting $\lambda_q = 2g$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_p = 0$ from (15). The time evolutions are

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(0^+) &= a_1(0^-) - 2ig[a_2(0^-) + a_2^\dagger(0^-)] \\ a_2(0^+) &= a_2(0^-) - 2ig[a_1(0^-) + a_1^\dagger(0^-)]. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

As in the previous interactions, the unitary operator

$$S_d = e^{-i4gq_1q_2} = e^{-i2g(a_1a_2+a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger+a_1a_2^\dagger+a_1^\dagger a_2)} \tag{38}$$

gives the time evolution (37). The variances are

$$\Delta q_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad \Delta p_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} + 8g^2 \tag{39}$$

and the uncertainty relations

$$\Delta q_j \Delta p_j = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 16g^2}. \tag{40}$$

From the variances

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X_j^2 &= \frac{1}{2} + 2g + 4g^2 \\ \Delta Y_j^2 &= \frac{1}{2} - 2g + 4g^2 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

we see the squeezing in the quadrature Y_1 and Y_2 when $0 < g < \frac{1}{2}$. The vacuum excitation is given by

$$N_{j,\text{created}} = 4g^2. \tag{42}$$

3.4. Elastic-attraction coupling

For the interaction

$$H_1 = \hbar g \delta(t) (q_1 - q_2)^2 \quad (43)$$

by setting $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -\lambda_q = g$ and $\lambda_p = 0$ from (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(0^+) &= (1 - ig)a_1 - ig a_1^\dagger + ig a_2 + ig a_2^\dagger \\ a_2(0^+) &= (1 - ig)a_2 - ig a_2^\dagger + ig a_1 + ig a_1^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

which are evolved in time by

$$S_e = e^{-ig(q_1 - q_2)^2}. \quad (45)$$

The variances are

$$\Delta q_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} \quad \Delta p_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} + 4g^2 \quad (46)$$

and the uncertainties are

$$\Delta q_j \Delta p_j = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 8g^2}. \quad (47)$$

Quite different from the dipole–dipole coupling, there are squeezings in X_1 and X_2 when $0 < g < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\Delta X_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} - g + 2g^2 \quad \Delta Y_j^2 = \frac{1}{2} + g + 2g^2. \quad (48)$$

Finally, the vacuum excitation is as follows:

$$N_{j,\text{created}} = 2g^2. \quad (49)$$

4. Discussion

We considered two coupled harmonic oscillators with four types of delta-kicked interaction: rotating-wave coupling, counter-rotating-wave coupling, dipole–dipole coupling and elastic-attraction coupling. Since we have found the time evolutions of annihilation and creation operators, it is trivial to calculate coordinate dispersions, momentum dispersions, correlations, squeezing parameters and correlation coefficients. We have calculated the vacuum excitation (excitation of ground state or particle creation) and squeezing of the quantum coherent states. In this paper we have only considered an ideal case and ignored dissipation. In order to take a real situation such as molecule collisions, we have to consider a series of delta-kicks with irregular time intervals (for regular time intervals in a single oscillator, see [9, 10]) and either use the quantum Fokker–Planck equation [11] or couple the system to a dissipative heat bath [12]. This generalization will be considered in our future work.

All couplings but the rotating-wave coupling give vacuum excitation and squeezing. This result is very interesting in relation to the conclusion of [4], where all couplings but the rotating wave lead to the presence of a van der Waals attraction. In fact, the rotating-wave coupling and the counter-rotating-wave coupling are closely related to nonlinear interactions in quantum optics. It is well known that the vacuum is not excited by a rotating-wave coupling. As physical examples of the other two couplings, the elastic coupling is a standard textbook example of an oscillator chain and the dipole–dipole coupling describes the interaction of two polar molecules. Our model calculation may be applied to the excitation of molecule vibration when they interact for a very short time and the two-mode squeezing in the quantum optics. The well known squeezing operator S_c keeps the minimal uncertainty relations $\Delta X_j \Delta Y_j = \frac{1}{2}$ while squeezing the ΔY_j . The new squeezing operators S_d and S_e squeeze the quadrature Y_j and X_j , respectively. Since a small oscillation from equilibrium in any physical system is modelled by a harmonic oscillator, our interaction models may be applied to many physical situations when the interactions between oscillators are considered.

References

- [1] Kim Y S and Man'ko V I 1991 *Phys. Lett. A* **157** 226
- [2] Lo C F 1992 *Phys. Lett. A* **162** 299
- [3] Man'ko O V and Yeh L 1994 *Phys. Lett. A* **189** 268
- [4] Senitzky I R 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **57** 40
- [5] Hu B L, Kang G and Matacz A 1994 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **9** 991
- [6] Kolobov M I 1999 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71** 1539
- [7] Yuen H P 1976 *Phys. Rev. A* **13** 2226
- [8] Barnett S M and Knight P L 1985 *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **2** 467
- [9] Dodonov V V 1996 *Phys. Lett. A* **214** 27
- [10] Gardiner S A, Cirac J I and Zoller P 1997 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** 4790
- [11] Dodonov V V and Man'ko O V 1985 *Physica A* **130** 353
- [12] Ford G W, Lewis J T and O'Connell R F 1988 *Phys. Rev. A* **37** 4419