



#### Assessing Long Term Stability of Landsat 5 TM, Landsat 7 ETM+ and Landsat 8 OLI

CALCON 2017 – Logan, UT August 22-25, 2017

Esad Micijevic<sup>a</sup>, Nischal Mishra<sup>b</sup>, Md. Obaidul Haque<sup>a</sup> and Dennis Helder<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>SGT, Inc., contractor to the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center, Sioux Falls, SD
 <sup>b</sup>SSAI, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA
 <sup>c</sup>South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD
 <u>esad.micijevic.ctr@usgs.gov</u>, +1 (605) 594-2801



### Outline

- Introduction / background
- Landsat Collection 1 radiometric updates
- Stability trending updates
- Comparison of OLI and ETM+ PICS trends

- Temporal uncertainties
- Summary





## Introduction

Satellite	Launched	Status	Sensor
Landsat 5 (L5)	March 1, 1984	Decommissioned on June 5, 2013	Thematic Mapper (TM)
Landsat 7 (L7)	April 15, 1999	Operational	Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+)
Landsat 8 (L8)	February 11, 2013	Operational	Operational Land Imager (OLI)

- Long term stability of L5 TM and L7 ETM+ was characterized in 2011 using image statistics from various Pseudo Invariant Calibration Sites (PICS)
  - ◆ For ETM+, degradation of up to -0.21% per year was observed in all bands
    - This led to an update of L7 ETM+ calibration in 2012
  - ◆ For TM, drifts of -0.27 and -0.15% per year were observed in Blue and Red bands

3

 L5 TM calibration was updated in 2007 based on the PICS trends, cross calibration with L7 ETM+ and prelaunch calibration

EROS CalVal Center of Excellence

• Therefore, another calibration update was due



### Introduction

- Performance of OLI is continuously monitored using well defined sets of onboard calibrators, operated at various time intervals:
  - Three stim lamp sources
  - Two solar diffusers
- From the on-board calibrator trends, a steep decline followed by a gradual decrease of about 0.1% per year observed in Coastal Aerosol (CA) band
  - Other bands stable to within ~0.3%
- Vicarious sources, such as Moon and PICS, are used to complement the onboard calibrators





# Landsat Collection 1 Radiometric Updates

- Landsat data archive has been restructured into a formal tiered data Collection (Collection 1)
  - OLI, ETM+ and most of TM data reprocessing completed in Spring 2017
- OLI relative and absolute gains (up to ~1.5% worst case)
- TM life-long gain adjustment (effect of ~2.1%)
  - Adjustment of cross calibration gain between L5 TM and L7 ETM+ for all bands, due to update in L5 TM bias estimation method in 2012
  - Original exponential+linear gain model replaced with a double exponential model

#### Reflectance based cross-calibration

- Reflectance-based calibration transferred from L8 OLI to previous Landsat sensors
  - Up to 5% change in estimated TOA reflectance for TM and ETM+ data
- All the PICS radiometric trends were updated to include Collection 1 changes
  - More than 1000 scenes from Libya 4, Libya 1, Sudan 1, Egypt 1 were reprocessed

5

EROS CalVal Center of Excellence



# **PICS Based Stability Monitoring**

- Responses of TM, ETM+ and OLI over North African PICS are continuously trended for stability monitoring
  - For TM and ETM+, PICS based method acts as a primary method for stability monitoring
    - Couple years after launch, the on-board calibrators were found unreliable for long term stability monitoring
  - For OLI, PICS based method acts as a backup to on-board calibrators
- Drift (percent change per year) is calculated using linear regression
- Processed through Landsat Image Assessment System (IAS) to Top-Of-Atmosphere (TOA) reflectance and trended for stability monitoring
  - Band averages over the standard Regions of Interest (ROIs)
  - Filtered for clouds
  - Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Factor (BRDF) correction
    - Empirical model based on linear regression of the solar zenith angle and TOA reflectance





# L5 TM PICS Trends Update

#### • L5 TM PICS statistics were updated to reflect the update in TM gain model

- Old Exponential+Linear model replaced with double exponential model
- L5 TM has very limited PICS coverage outside Libya 4
  - Various ROIs within Libya 4 were used to validate the updated model
  - Algeria 3 was also used for validation, but there are much fewer scenes than for Libya
    4
- Update in the absolute gain model in blue and red band improves the temporal stability of L5 TM over PICS
  - Remaining residual drifts under further investigation





## **PICS Trending for L5 TM, Blue Band**







## **PICS Trending for L5 TM, Red Band**







# **Drift Analysis for L5 TM**

- The updated gain model reduced drifts in the lifetime gain trends to about 0.15% per year
- The residual drift in the trend is believed to be related to inconsistent data processing





## L7 ETM+ PICS Trends Update

#### • The temporal gain model was derived in 2013 using data from 2000-2012

- The CPF extrapolates the model for forward processing
- All the L7 ETM+ trending updated with Collection 1 data
  - Includes the new ESUN values to calculate TOA reflectance
  - The stability estimates essentially have not changed
  - Sites include Libya 4, Libya 1, Sudan 1, Egypt 1, Niger 1 and Niger 2
- Overall, the instrument looks stable to about ±0.05% per year
- L7 ETM+ trending after 2013 is then compared to L8 OLI trending to assess sensors' stability estimates over the same time period





## ETM+ Radiometric Trending

#### • On-board calibrators (2- lamps and a diffuser) were deemed unreliable after few years since launch





## Update of L7 ETM+ PICS Trends



 Over the mission of the instrument (~18 years), ETM+ bands are very stable (generally within ±0.05% per year)





## **L7 ETM+ Stability Estimate**

SGS



![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **L8 OLI Trend Update**

#### • Collection 1 update

- Correction for the decay in Coastal-Aerosol band calibration trend
- Accounts for ~0.2% short-term step increase in trends of VNIR bands (attitude anomaly followed by safe hold, September 2013)
- Detector relative gains in all bands

#### Coastal Aerosol Band Validation

- Collection 1 updates were validated using several PICS
- For comparison with On-board calibrators, pre-collection calibration parameters were used

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

## **L8 CA Band PICS Trends**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Collection-1 processing indicates changes in the CA band PICS trends of ~0.2% per year, which is consistent with the desired update

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **OLI Radiometric Trending**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- On-board calibrators and lunar observations are much more precise than PICS
- PICS tend to indicate larger drift than on-board calibrators and moon across all the bands

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Landsat 8 OLI Stability Estimate

- For comparison purposes, the updated gain for the C/A band not applied in the PICS calculations
- On average, PICS estimates differ from the on-board calibrators and moon by 0.35%
  - PICS tend to disagree more with each other in the C/A & blue bands and the uncertainties in the estimates are higher too
  - A previous analysis with ETM+ data indicated that about 6-8 years of data were needed for gain estimate to start converging

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **Comparison of ETM+ & OLI Trends**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Similar trends over Libya 4 for the same time period

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Comparison of ETM+ & OLI Trends**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Similar trends over Libya 4 for the same time period

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Comparison of ETM+ and OLI PICS Trends**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The gain change estimates for ETM+ and OLI are similar and differ from the onboard calibrators' based calibration by about 0.35%
  - For a reliable estimate, more than 4 years of PICS data are needed

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Comparison of ETM+ and OLI PICS Trends**

	Gain change (%/year ±2-Sigma)				
Landsat Bands	L8 OLI (2013-2016)	L7 ETM+ (2013-2016)	L7 ETM+ (1999-2016)		
Blue	-0.62±0.21	-0.59±0.21	-0.02±0.04		
Green	-0.51±0.16	-0.56±0.19	0.010±0.03		
Red	-0.42±0.16	-0.45±0.19	0.02±0.04		
NIR	-0.30±0.12	-0.52±0.4	0.04±0.05		
SWIR-1	-0.21±0.14	-0.3±0.28	0.05±0.02		
SWIR-2	-0.47±0.32	-0.58±0.54	0.04±0.06		

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Temporal Uncertainty**

- Temporal uncertainty estimated from PICS data is due to two components: sensor uncertainty and calibration site uncertainty
- We can try to decouple the site uncertainty from OLI PICS data by assuming
  - The components are independent
  - Sensor uncertainty includes the on-board calibrator uncertainties
- Using 'known' site uncertainties, we can then estimate the L5 TM and L7 ETM+ sensor uncertainties

Band	Temporal uncertainty (percents)		
	Landsat 8 OLI	Landsat 7 ETM+	Landsat 5 TM
Coastal Aerosol	0.07		
Blue	0.11	0.8	0.8
Green	0.09	0.6	0.3
Red	0.07	0.4	0.2
NIR	0.05	1.8	1.7
SWIR 1	0.04	1.1	
SWIR 2	0.07	2.0	2.7

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Summary

- PICS trends indicate L5 TM to be stable to around 0.15% per year
  - Absolute gain model in some bands may need a re-visit if more data become available to fill gaps in PICS trends
- L7 ETM+ is extremely stable, within 0.05% per year across all bands
- The stability of OLI is monitored primarily using the on-board lamps and diffusers
  - ◆ After the calibration update, all bands are stable to within 0.05% per year
- PICS based stability estimates for OLI do not agree well with on-board calibrators and the moon
  - ◆ Differences of ~0.35% per year, on average
  - OLI and ETM+ show similar trends across PICS over the same time period indicating the changes in site behavior rather than the sensors
- Temporal uncertainties for TM and ETM+ estimated to better than 2% over the instruments' lifetimes

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_11.jpeg)