

5-2012

# A Study of the Spawning Ecology and Early Life History Survival of Bonneville Cutthroat Trout

Phaedra Budy  
*Utah State University*

Sarah Wood

Brett Roper  
*US Forest Service*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/wats\\_facpub](https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/wats_facpub)

 Part of the [Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons](#), [Environmental Sciences Commons](#), and the [Fresh Water Studies Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Phaedra Budy, Sara Wood & Brett Roper (2012): A Study of the Spawning Ecology and Early Life History Survival of Bonneville Cutthroat Trout, *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*, 32:3, 436-449

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Watershed Sciences at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Watershed Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact [rebecca.nelson@usu.edu](mailto:rebecca.nelson@usu.edu).



1 **A Study of the Spawning Ecology and**  
2 **Early Life-History Survival of Bonneville Cutthroat Trout**

3  
4  
5  
6  
7 PHAEDRA BUDY<sup>1,2</sup> AND SARA SEIDEL<sup>2</sup>

8 *<sup>1</sup>U.S. Geological Survey, Utah Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit,*

9 *<sup>2</sup>Department of Watershed Sciences, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322-5210, USA*

10 DAVID KOONS

11 *Department of Wildland Resources and the Ecology Center,*

12 *Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322-5230, USA*

13 BRETT ROPER

14 *Fish and Aquatic Ecology Unit, U.S. Forest Service, 860 North 1200 East, Logan, Utah 84321,*

15 *USA*

16  
17  
18 *Key Words:* spawning, early life-history survival, redds, Bonneville cutthroat trout,

19 *Oncorhynchus clarkii utah*, substrate availability, emergence, discharge

20  
21 *Running Title:* Early Life-History Survival of Cutthroat Trout

25           *Abstract.*—We completed a large-scale field experiment in four tributaries of the Logan  
26 River, Utah, where the largest metapopulation of imperiled Bonneville cutthroat trout  
27 (*Oncorhynchus clarkii utah*; BCT) persists. We documented the spatial and temporal  
28 distribution of BCT spawners, quantified substrate use versus substrate availability, and  
29 evaluated differences in hatch and emergence fry success between and among sites in relation to  
30 habitat characteristics. We observed considerable variability in the timing, magnitude, and  
31 duration of spawning among study areas (streams), in part as a function of a variable, multi-  
32 peaked hydrograph. Nevertheless, across study areas, > 70% of redds were constructed on the  
33 final descending limb of the hydrograph. Despite large differences in the amount of spawning  
34 substrate available, BCT utilized a narrow range of substrate and sizes (3 - 80mm) similar to that  
35 utilized by other sub-species of cutthroat trout albeit biased towards larger sizes. Water  
36 temperatures generally remained below the recommended range (6 – 17 °C) for spawning;  
37 however, the viability of this meta-population of BCT suggests the recommended temperature  
38 range for spawning is likely over-estimated for BCT and/or does not account for local thermal  
39 adaptation. Hatch and emergence survival varied from 43-77% and 39-65%, respectively,  
40 among streams, within-stream variability was substantial, and both survival rates declined  
41 significantly as a function of increased fine sediment concentrations. Egg development rates  
42 were nearly 50% longer in a high elevation tributary, where redd counts were also lowest. In  
43 high, mountain systems with short growing seasons, this incubation delay likely presents a  
44 significant growth disadvantage for age-0 trout. Our research enhances our understanding of  
45 BCT spawning ecology and early survival and provides critical information for aiding in the  
46 development of benchmarks for recovery of BCT. Effective conservation efforts for BCT should

47 be directed towards minimizing anthropogenic activities that result excess sedimentation in  
48 critical spawning tributaries.

49

50 In the last century, cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarkii*) have experienced large, range-  
51 wide reductions in distribution and abundance, due to the combined effects of habitat loss and  
52 fragmentation, competition and hybridization with nonnative species, disease, and  
53 overharvesting (Behnke 1992; Duncan and Lockwood 2001; Fausch 2008) and now, the  
54 additional effects of climate change (Williams et al. 2009). Today, the range of this species is  
55 extremely fragmented, with subspecies limited primarily to high elevation lakes and rivers  
56 (Behnke 2002). Consequently, of the 14 recognized subspecies of cutthroat trout, two are  
57 extinct, three are listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act and the remaining  
58 subspecies are generally imperiled (Williams et al. 2009). Cutthroat trout prefer habitat with  
59 clear, cold water, sufficient stream flows, adequate stream side vegetation and habitat complexity  
60 and heterogeneity. Their criteria for spawning are thought to be quite specific and require a  
61 narrow range of substrate and hydrologic conditions (Hickman and Raleigh 1982; Bjorn and  
62 Reiser 1991; Behnke 1992). As a result of such stringent habitat requirements, cutthroat trout  
63 are particularly sensitive to human disturbances (e.g., livestock grazing, irrigation diversions,  
64 road construction); such sensitivity is likely most pronounced in the important spawning and  
65 highly variable early life-history stages (Duff 1988; Behnke 1992; Kershner 1995).

66 As spring spawners, typical in high mountain streams, the spawning and early life-history  
67 stages of cutthroat trout often correspond with the snowmelt and spring spates and are thus  
68 extremely difficult to study. While considerable information exists describing the spawning  
69 ecology and early life-history of other salmonids (e.g., salmon species; Beauchamp et al. 1994;

70 Isaak et al. 2007), significant gaps remain in our understanding of these life stages for Bonneville  
71 cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarkii utah*; BCT), the focus of this study (*see also* Hilderbrand  
72 2003). As with other spring-time spawners, cutthroat trout spawning is thought to be initiated in  
73 response to seasonal changes, when environmental conditions reflect the transition from winter  
74 to spring with increasing water temperatures, increasing day length and receding flows from  
75 spring runoff (Behnke 1992). The environmental conditions that follow spring runoff (e.g.,  
76 stable flows and warm water temperatures) are representative of high mountain rivers and  
77 provide ideal conditions for embryo incubation, fry emergence, and the rearing of juveniles  
78 (Behnke 1992; Kershner 1995).

79         While information describing the spawning ecology and early life-history of BCT is  
80 limited, a considerable body of literature provides insight into this critical stage for other species  
81 of cutthroat trout. Such studies include the description of physical characteristics of redds (e.g.,  
82 Thurow and King 1994; Schmetterling 2000), the determination of age at maturity and fecundity  
83 of females (e.g., Downs et al. 1997), and the characterization of the relationship of habitat  
84 availability, habitat type, and substrate characteristics (e.g., percent fine sediment) to spawning  
85 distribution, and redd composition and redd densities (e.g., Magee et al. 1996; Joyce and Hubert  
86 2004). However, to our knowledge, there has been a paucity of research focused on the  
87 spawning ecology of BCT, specifically, the quantification of the spawning distribution, spawning  
88 duration and timing, fecundity, egg incubation period, emergence time, and egg-to-fry survival  
89 of BCT. While restoring these imperiled cutthroat trout populations and protecting and  
90 preserving remaining healthy populations remains a top priority and concern (Budy et al. 2007;  
91 Williams et al. 2009), these data gaps challenge our ability to identify links between land

92 management and cutthroat trout viability and thus limit the effective prioritization of  
93 conservation and recovery actions.

94 In addition to being difficult to quantify and sensitive, for most salmonids, these early life  
95 stages typically exhibit high rates of natural mortality for both incubating embryos and emergent  
96 fry (e.g., Knight et al. 1999; Kershner 1995). Furthermore, a wide suite of abiotic variables (e.g.,  
97 water temperature, dissolved oxygen, water velocity, gravel size, percent fine sediment) can be  
98 influential in determining early survival (Hickman and Raleigh 1982; Bjorn and Reiser 1991;  
99 Kondolf 2000) and disturbances to habitat, via land-use activities, can alter these key physical  
100 factors. Hickman and Raleigh (1982) suggest that suitable spawning criteria for cutthroat trout  
101 in general include: 1) water temperatures between 6-17°C, with optimal embryo incubation at  
102 10°C, 2) mean water column velocities suitable for embryo incubation between 0.11-0.90 m/sec,  
103 with optimal velocities between 0.30-0.60 m/sec, and 3) a range of substrate sizes for embryo  
104 incubation between 3-80 mm and optimal between 15-60 mm. The critical value for dissolved  
105 oxygen is not known at this time for cutthroat trout embryos but is assumed to be similar to that  
106 of adult cutthroat trout; optimal dissolved oxygen levels for adult cutthroat trout is >7mg/l at  
107  $\leq 15^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $\geq 9$  mg/l at  $>15^{\circ}\text{C}$ . (Hickman and Raleigh 1992). Overall, the abundance of spawning  
108 gravel is perhaps one of the most critical and limiting factors for both successful redd  
109 construction and embryo incubation (Kondolf et al. 1991). Despite these general criteria, we  
110 know extremely little about how spawning criteria differ among the different species of cutthroat  
111 trout, species that are adapted to very different environments.

112 Land-use activities, such as livestock grazing, can have direct and indirect detrimental  
113 impacts on spawning, as redds are extremely vulnerable to trampling by livestock and/or fine  
114 sediment accumulation via bankside disturbances from grazing livestock (Gregory and Gamett

115 2009). Anthropogenic activities such as road construction and irrigation diversions also have the  
116 potential to negatively affect spawning either by fine sediment increases or redd dewatering  
117 (Hickman and Duff 1978; Kershner 1995). The effects of fine sediment accumulation can be  
118 significant, as sediment caps can form over redds and smother or suffocate incubating embryos  
119 and prevent fry emergence (Tappel and Bjornn 1983; Lisle 1989). Given the challenges of  
120 quantifying early life-history survival in the wild, lab-based studies have evaluated the  
121 relationship between some of these key abiotic variables and cutthroat trout survival at the early  
122 life stage in controlled laboratory settings. Young (1991), for example, used Colorado River  
123 cutthroat trout (*O. c. pleuriticus*) eggs in a lab setting to assess the degree to which different  
124 proportions of sediment impacted early survival and concluded that egg-to-fry survival declined  
125 in respect to the percent fine sediment 13.8 mm and greater in size. Similarly, while studying the  
126 effects of water temperature reduction on survival of cutthroat trout embryos fertilized at 7°C,  
127 Hubert and Gern (1995) found survival to the hatching stage to be lower for those embryos that  
128 were at an earlier development stage when water temperatures were reduced to 3°C. While these  
129 studies have advanced our knowledge of the early life stages of cutthroat trout in general, they  
130 have not identified mechanistic linkages between habitat quality and quantity, and survival as  
131 they occur in nature.

132         Within the context of native trout conservation and recovery, the overall goal of our  
133 research was to gain a better understanding of, and to identify, the underlying factors controlling  
134 the spawning ecology and early life stage survival of BCT. To meet that goal, we selected four  
135 study streams that captured the natural range of habitat characteristics and BCT redd densities,  
136 and focused our research on three primary objectives: 1) documenting the spatial and temporal  
137 distribution of BCT spawning among four study streams in the Logan River drainage, 2)

138 quantifying substrate use versus substrate availability in four study areas in the Logan River  
139 drainage, and 3) evaluating differences in hatch and emergence fry success among and within  
140 study areas as a function of variation in habitat quality and quantity.

141



142

## Methods

143 *Study Area.*--Our study area is located within the Logan River drainage, in northern Utah. The  
144 headwaters of the Logan River (2,600 m elevation) originate in the Bear River Mountains of  
145 southeastern Idaho, flowing approximately 64 river km southwest into the Logan Canyon of  
146 northern Utah, eventually draining into the Little Bear River in Cache Valley (see Budy et al.  
147 2007 for a more detailed site description; Figure 1). The upper Logan River is characterized by a  
148 fairly unconfined valley, with moderate to steep gradient channels, coarse sediment loads, and  
149 discharge ranging from 0.24 to 7.57 m<sup>3</sup>/s. The lower reaches of the river are typified by a  
150 dissected canyon, with lower gradient channels, smaller substrate, and fluctuations in discharge  
151 from 1.41 to 29.03 m<sup>3</sup>/s. The Logan River's hydrograph is dominated by seasonal variation in  
152 flow, with snowmelt-driven high flows in the spring (April-May) followed by relatively stable  
153 base-flow conditions throughout the remainder of the year. As is typical across the  
154 Intermountain West, anthropogenic activities that potentially affect in-stream and riparian habitat  
155 in this area are concentrated over summer and early autumn seasons (June-October) and include  
156 livestock grazing, horseback riding, dispersed camping, and off-road motorized vehicle use. The  
157 Logan River drainage is home to one of the largest remaining metapopulations of imperiled BCT.  
158 Densities in the Logan River drainage currently far exceed those documented for any other BCT  
159 population throughout the Bonneville Basin (Budy et al. 2007), making this population ideal for  
160 ecological research and also a conservation priority.

161 Based on a pilot study of all tributaries and a subset of mainstem areas in 2007, we *a*  
162 *priori* chose four study streams within the Logan River drainage to conduct our research (Table  
163 1; Figure 1). Spawn Creek (1800 m in elevation) is a small, spring-fed, 1st-order tributary to  
164 Temple Fork, with perennial flows largely controlled by groundwater input, and mean

165 summertime water temperatures of 9°C. Temple Fork (1745 m in elevation), also a perennial  
166 creek and a tributary to the mainstem of the Logan River, originates from Temple Springs with  
167 stream flow largely driven by spring runoff, with mean summertime temperatures of 10°C.  
168 Beaver Creek (2000 m in elevation) and Franklin Basin (2052 m in elevation) each originate  
169 from headwater springs in southern Idaho, with perennial stream flows dominated by spring-  
170 runoff, and mean summer water temperatures of 10°C and 8°C, respectively. Franklin Basin and  
171 Beaver Creek join to form the mainstem of the Logan River. We chose these sites because they  
172 represented a wide range of redd densities and habitat characteristics. In addition, Spawn Creek,  
173 anecdotally known as a primary area for BCT spawning (Fleener 1951; Bernard and Israelsen  
174 1982), was the site of a recent restoration project, where a fenced enclosure was constructed to  
175 prevent cattle access to the entirety of the stream, except for the first 200 meters (Hansen and  
176 Budy 2011). In contrast, Temple Fork is susceptible to livestock grazing and the associated  
177 impacts of riparian grazing during the spring and summer months.

178

179 *Redd Counts.*--We refer to the sample areas within which we surveyed for redds, within each  
180 study stream, as “study areas.” We initiated biweekly redd counts during the last week of April  
181 (2008) in each of our four study areas to document the spatial and temporal distribution of BCT  
182 spawning, and continued until spawning activity ceased in July (2008). These study areas  
183 constituted the majority of spawning activity as observed during the pilot study of 2007; redd  
184 counts were conducted throughout approximately 2.5 km of each stream, depending on stream  
185 topography. In each study area, we identified the presence and location of new redds and  
186 classified a redd as an area of cleaned gravel with a characteristic pocket and pillow shape  
187 (Hassemer 1993) and recorded the location of each redd using a hand-held Global Position

188 System unit. We also marked the vegetation near each redd with flagging tape to visually  
189 identify redds and prevent double-counting in subsequent surveys. In addition, all efforts were  
190 made to visually identify fish presence, whether directly on a redd or nearby.

191  
192 *Discharge and Water Temperature.*--We used a combination of stage-height recorders and  
193 discharge measurements to project an hourly discharge value throughout spawning in each of our  
194 four study areas. In addition, we installed temperature data loggers in each of our four study  
195 areas; each logger was set to record temperature every hour.

196  
197 *Spawning Substrate Use and Availability.*--To describe the physical characteristics of spawning  
198 substrate used by BCT in our four study areas, we measured the gravel composition along the  
199 width of each redd via 100-count Wolman pebble surveys (Wolman 1954). Given the  
200 conservation status of BCT and our desire to not disturb any redds, the gravel immediately  
201 adjacent to each redd was assumed to be of a similar composition to the gravel that was  
202 encountered by the spawning female prior to redd construction (Thurow and King 1994). To  
203 avoid bias, we measured a randomly selected subset of redds during different times (every one to  
204 two weeks as based on new redd activity) throughout the spawning season in each of our four  
205 study areas. All attempts were made to measure the gravel composition of an arbitrary but  
206 logistically feasible minimum of 30% of the total redds per study area.

207 We conducted 55 Wolman pebble surveys in each of our four study areas to characterize  
208 substrate availability (Wolman 1954). We delineated each study area (~2.5 km) into eleven 200-  
209 m reaches. Within each 200 m reach, we then defined twenty 10-m segments, parallel to stream  
210 flow. We then randomly selected five of the twenty transects in each reach to conduct a 100-  
211 count pebble survey. We assessed the distribution of available substrate in each study area

212 within the range recommended for cutthroat trout spawning (i.e., 3-80mm; Hickman and Raleigh  
213 1982), and calculated the proportion of substrate used versus the proportion available for each  
214 substrate size category.

#### 215 *Egg-to-Fry Survival Experiment*

216 In order to assess differences in fry hatch and emergence success of BCT, we placed  
217 hatchery (local fluvial Bear Lake strain) brood-stock, eyed eggs (i.e., day 20 of development at  
218 time of installation) into slotted egg incubation boxes and buried the boxes in locations  
219 throughout the Logan River drainage on 1 July 2008. Mean daily water temperatures at the time  
220 of installation as recorded by the temperature loggers at the egg-box level were 11.4 °C in Spawn  
221 Creek, 9.1 °C in Temple Fork, 7.2 °C in Franklin Basin and 10.4 °C in Beaver Creek. Our  
222 primary goal was to install egg boxes in sites where spawning had been observed during the  
223 2008 redd counts. Sites in which egg boxes were installed are referred to hereafter as “study  
224 sites.” To determine appropriate sites for egg box installation, we randomly selected a subset of  
225 redds in each of our four study areas, and selected nearby sites for our egg boxes, after assessing  
226 flow and substrate characteristics via a Wolman pebble survey.

227 In total, we installed 96 egg boxes throughout our four study areas, with 24 egg boxes  
228 installed in each study area; these 24 egg boxes were separated into 4 study sites, with 6 egg  
229 boxes installed per study site. We used an egg box design based on Harris (1973) and modified  
230 according to Wood and Budy (2009). Each egg box (tubular in shape) was 10 cm long by 10 cm  
231 wide, and constructed of rigid, tubular polypropylene, with an approximate slot size of 1 mm by  
232 5 mm to allow adequate delivery of water and oxygen, while still preventing the escape of sac fry  
233 and emergent fry. We equipped each egg box with lightweight, flexible polyethylene caps  
234 perforated with small holes (1.5 mm). Each egg box was filled with spawning sized gravel and  
235 100 eyed, hatchery-fertilized BCT eggs (Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, Mantua Fish

236 Hatchery). The top 3-4 cm of each box was left empty to allow room for emerging fry. While  
237 we did not record the mean size or shape of the gravel used in the egg boxes, every effort was  
238 made to use similar sized gravel in each egg box. Each study site consisted of a T-post driven  
239 into the stream bed, with six egg boxes attached to the base of the T-post by wire, buried  
240 approximately 10-15 cm into the gravel to approximate the natural conditions of a spawning  
241 cutthroat trout (Smith 1941). In addition, each study site was equipped with a temperature data  
242 logger, buried at the depth of the egg boxes and set to record temperature every hour.

243         In order to assess survival to both the hatch and emergence stages and compensate for the  
244 differing water temperatures among the streams, we first used periodic temperature readings and  
245 a relationship between development times and mean temperatures from Merriman (1935) to  
246 predict hatch and emergence timing for each of our study sites. At the times predicted for the  
247 completion of each stage, we removed half of our egg boxes ( $n=3$ ) at hatch and the remaining at  
248 emergence. If live eggs or live sac-fry were present when we checked the egg boxes at the actual  
249 installation site, we reinstalled those egg boxes to allow for further hatching or emergence  
250 development. After the majority of eggs had emerged, we transported all egg boxes back to the  
251 laboratory, where all fry and any remaining eggs were counted. Survival was calculated as the  
252 number of fry alive divided by the number of eggs at initiation.

253         Based on past research of the deleterious impacts of fine sediment accumulation on  
254 incubating embryos and developing fry, we evaluated for potential relative differences in fine  
255 sediment accumulation within the egg boxes for both the hatch and emergence stages. We  
256 collected the accumulated substrate from each egg box, and oven dried (110 °C for  
257 approximately 12 hours) and weighed fine sediment, as defined as less than 4 mm in diameter.

258 Relative differences in within egg box sedimentation were expressed as the proportion of fines  
259 standardized by the maximum weight of fines observed across all boxes.

### 260 *Statistical Analysis*

261 For our third objective, evaluating differences in hatch and emergence fry success  
262 among and within sites as a function of variation in habitat quality and quantity, we first used a  
263 single factor ANOVA test of hatch and emergence survival rates both among and within study  
264 areas. Across site tests compared the mean hatch or emergence survival (dependent variable)  
265 across each of four study areas. Within site tests compared the hatch or emergence survival  
266 (dependent variable) of each set of three egg boxes within each study area ( $n= 16$ ). Second, as  
267 survival values range 0-1 (binomial link function), we used logistic regression to assess the  
268 relationship between mean hatch and emergence survival (dependent variables) as a function of  
269 fine sediment (independent variable) measured in each of the 16 study sites, across our four  
270 study areas (SAS Institute 2005). We standardized the proportion of fine sediment by the  
271 maximum weight of fines for both hatch (208 g) and emergence (291 g). Lastly, in order to  
272 assess whether sedimentation was more influential at earlier (hatch) or later (emergence)  
273 development stages, we compared the statistical significance between fine sediment  
274 accumulations at the hatch versus the emergence stage at all study sites, also using a single factor  
275 ANOVA.

276 We assessed statistical significance OF all tests using an *a priori*  $\alpha$ -level of 0.05.

277  
278

## Results

279 *Redd Counts.*--We observed substantial variability in the timing, magnitude, and duration of  
280 BCT spawning among our four study areas during the 2008 spawning season. Notably, the onset  
281 of spawning in our two mid-elevation tributaries occurred a month earlier (mid-May) than in our

282 high-elevation tributaries (mid-June; Figure 2). We identified the onset of spawning in our mid-  
283 elevation tributaries during May 2008 and first counted redds on 13 May at Spawn Creek and 15  
284 May at Temple Fork (Figure 2). In Spawn Creek, spawning peaked on 19 May; we observed  
285 two peaks in spawning in Temple Fork, with the first peak occurring on 9 June and the second  
286 peak occurring on 23 June. In these stream areas, spawning continued through to the first week  
287 of July 2008, commencing on 7 July, with 128 and 213 redds counted in Spawn Creek and  
288 Temple Fork, respectively. In the high-elevation streams, the first redds were identified on 10  
289 June and 16 June, respectively, at Franklin Basin and Beaver Creek (Figure 2), and spawning  
290 peaked in early July and was completed 15 July and 23 July for Beaver Creek, and Franklin  
291 Basin, respectively.

292 In total, from May to July 2008, we counted 388 BCT redds throughout tributaries:  
293 Temple Fork (85.2 redds/km), Spawn Creek (58.2 redds/km), Beaver Creek (7.6 redds/km), and  
294 Franklin Basin (8.0 redds/km). Redds identified in Beaver Creek and Franklin Basin contributed  
295 12% to the total number of redds counted, whereas Temple Fork and Spawn Creek contributed  
296 55% and 33%, respectively.

297

### 298 *Discharge and Water Temperature*

299 The winter of 2007/2008 was characterized by an above average snowfall in Logan River  
300 drainage, followed by three distinct peaks in the hydrograph during spring and summer months  
301 (USGS 2010). Similarly, we observed three distinct, well-spaced peaks in discharge in three of  
302 our four study streams (Figure 2). Characteristics of spawning (e.g., timing, magnitude,  
303 duration, frequency) appeared to be largely controlled by the respective hydrology of each  
304 stream.

305 In Temple Fork, spawning activity began with a mean daily discharge of  $0.5 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  on 15  
306 May. Discharge at this time was extremely low, with flows receding from the stream's first large  
307 peak in discharge (Figure 2). As discharge increased to a second peak on 20 May, spawning  
308 ceased, but resumed again on a very small scale, following the second peak. Spawning increased  
309 rapidly after the third and final peak in discharge on 2 June, with 86% of the redds constructed  
310 after the third peak (Figure 2).

311 In contrast to Temple Fork, stream flows at Spawn Creek were considerably lower at the  
312 onset of spawning, with a mean daily discharge of  $0.1 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ . Spawn Creek is primarily spring-fed  
313 and no pronounced spring-time peaks in discharge were observed (Figure 2). However, we  
314 observed the onset of spawning during the lowest spring-time flow. Discharge gradually  
315 increased in Spawn Creek during the course of spawning, reaching a peak of  $0.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$  on July 3.

316 Similar to the hydrology at Temple Fork, both high-elevation tributaries experienced  
317 three peaks in discharge. The magnitude of these peaks, though, was much larger than at Temple  
318 Fork. Beaver Creek experienced its first peak event on 20 May (Figure 2). Spawning at Beaver  
319 Creek started approximately 12 days after the second peak in discharge at a discharge of  $0.9$   
320  $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$ . Spawning activity was relatively low, but stable, as discharge increased to a third and final  
321 peak on 18 June. As discharge receded, spawning peaked, with 83% of the total redds created  
322 after the third peak.

323 Franklin Basin also experienced its first peak in discharge on 20 May, but with a  
324 considerably higher discharge (Figure 2), as compared to Beaver Creek. Spawning started on the  
325 descending limb of the hydrograph's second peak, at a discharge of  $10.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ , with one redd  
326 identified (Figure 2). As discharge increased to a third peak, spawning started to increase  
327 slightly, and six redds were observed before the peak. We counted zero redds during a redd



328 count on 19 June, four days before the third and final peak. Seventy one percent of the total  
329 redds counted were identified after the last peak.

330 Daily mean temperatures during the spawning season were variable and fluctuated  
331 largely in response to flow (Figure 3). The daily range in water temperatures during the  
332 spawning season (May- July) ranged from 4.1-14.0 °C in Temple Fork, 3.7-16.7 °C in Spawn  
333 Creek, 3.1-10.9 °C in Franklin Basin, and 3.9-13.0 °C in Beaver Creek. In the colder, high  
334 elevation streams Beaver Creek and Franklin Basin, spawning activity appeared to increase after  
335 mean daily stream temperatures remained above 7 °C.

#### 336 *Substrate Use and Availability*

337 Bonneville cutthroat trout used a relatively narrow range of substrate throughout our four  
338 study areas, but primarily within the range of 3-80 mm (Figure 4). We did observe a few  
339 exceptions to this pattern in Temple Fork and Spawn Creek, where fish used a small proportion  
340 of larger particles ( $\geq 90$  mm; Figure 4). We quantified the percentage of substrate considered  
341 suitable for cutthroat trout spawning (i.e., 3-80mm; Hickman and Raleigh 1982) as  
342 approximately 55% in Temple Fork, 81% in Spawn Creek, 26% in Franklin Basin, and 60% in  
343 Beaver Creek.

#### 344 *Egg-to-Fry Survival Experiments*

345 Egg-to-hatch survival (i.e., hatch survival rate) varied substantially both among and  
346 within our four study areas (Figure 5; Table 2). Egg boxes in Temple Fork and Beaver Creek  
347 supported high rates of hatch survival, with mean hatch survival rates of 77% and 74%,  
348 respectively. In contrast, mean hatch survival rates were lower in Spawn Creek and Franklin  
349 Basin, with 43% and 60% of eggs hatching, respectively (Table 2). Hatch survival rates were

350 variable across our four study areas ( $P=0.12$ ; Table 3). We also observed a large degree of  
351 spatial variability in hatch survival across sites within some, but not all, study areas (e.g.,  
352 Franklin Basin ( $P=0.06$ ; Table 3) and Spawn Creek ( $P=0.03$ ; Table 3).

353         Similar to the pattern of hatch survival rates, emergence survival rates also varied among  
354 and within our four study areas (Figure 5). Emergence survival rates were relatively high in  
355 Temple Fork and Beaver Creek, with mean emergence survival rates of 65% and 57%,  
356 respectively (Table 2). Franklin Basin and Spawn Creek supported lower rates of emergence  
357 survival, with mean emergence survival rates of 41% and 39%, respectively. Despite this  
358 variability, mean study area emergence survival rates were not significantly different among the  
359 four areas (Table 3). Emergence survival rates were variable within individual study sites for  
360 both Franklin Basin ( $P=0.03$ ; Table 3) and Spawn Creek ( $P=0.11$ ; Table 3) study areas, ranging  
361 from 1-61% and 6-60%, respectively (Table 2).

362         We observed a relatively wide range of fine sediment accumulation within egg boxes  
363 throughout our 16 study sites, with many boxes having little accumulated sediment and a few  
364 being nearly full (Table 2). Across study areas fine sediment accumulation within egg boxes was  
365 lowest in study sites in Temple Fork and Beaver Creek and higher levels in some study sites  
366 throughout Spawn Creek and Franklin Basin. We observed a significant, negative relationship  
367 between survival, for both hatch and emergence, and fine sediment (Table 3; Figure 7).

368         Mean daily water temperatures during the course of the experiment were similar and  
369 close to the optimal temperature for cutthroat trout embryo incubation in all study areas except  
370 Franklin Basin. Mean daily water temperatures during the course of the experiment were 9.0 °C  
371 and 10.7 °C in mid-elevation tributaries, Temple Fork and Spawn Creek, respectively and 10.2  
372 °C and 7.2 °C in high-elevation tributaries, Beaver Creek and Franklin Basin, respectively

373 (Figure 7). Mean daily stream discharge in general during the course of the egg-to-fry  
374 experiment was 0.3 m<sup>3</sup>/s in Temple Fork, 3.7 m<sup>3</sup>/s in Franklin Basin, 0.1 m<sup>3</sup>/s in Spawn Creek,  
375 and 0.38 m<sup>3</sup>/s in Beaver Creek.

376 Embryo developmental rates in study sites within the high-elevation tributary, Franklin  
377 Basin, were considerably later in comparison to our other study sites. Embryo development  
378 progressed rapidly in study sites at Spawn Creek and Beaver Creek, with hatching completed on  
379 12 July, only 12 days after installation. Stream conditions in Temple Fork also supported rapid  
380 development, with hatching completed at 14 days after installation. In contrast, fry in Franklin  
381 Basin finished hatching 22 days after installation. Fry completed emergence on 28 July in  
382 Temple Fork, Spawn Creek, and Beaver Creek, only 28 days after installation, and a full 11 days  
383 before emergence completed in Franklin Basin (7 August).

384

385

### Discussion

386 Although we observed BCT spawning during a similar time frame (e.g. May-August) as  
387 other subspecies of cutthroat trout, we also observed large variability in the onset, magnitude and  
388 duration of spawning in response to, in part, a unique, multi-peaked hydrograph. Cutthroat trout  
389 strategize to maximize fitness and survival of young in challenging mountain environments by  
390 spawning on the descending limb of the hydrograph, such that subsequent flow and water  
391 temperatures will be at near optimal conditions for embryo incubation and the rearing of young  
392 after hatch (Hickman and Raleigh 1982; Bjorn and Reiser 1991; Behnke 1992). For example,  
393 Thurow and King (1994) observed Yellowstone cutthroat trout (YCT; *O. c. bouvieri*) spawning  
394 on the descending limb of the hydrograph in Pine Creek, Idaho, and likewise, Schmetterling

395 (2000) noted that the spawning period of Westslope cutthroat trout (WSCT; *O. c. lewisi*) in four  
396 tributaries in western Montana occurred after a single peak flow event in May.

397         Despite the importance of strong annual cues, flexibility in spawn timing in response to a  
398 dynamic hydrograph is an evolutionarily robust life-history strategy that has been observed for  
399 other species of cutthroat trout. Accordingly we observed pronounced differences in the timing,  
400 magnitude, and duration of spawning between just our mid-elevation and high-elevation  
401 tributaries. Humboldt cutthroat trout (*O. clarkia*), for example, a closely related species to the  
402 Lahontan cutthroat trout (*O. c. henshawi*) in Nevada (Nelson et al. 1987) similarly demonstrated  
403 two discrete spawning periods following two discrete peak flow events in April and May,  
404 respectively. As such, we believe the variation we observed in the timing of BCT spawning  
405 among our four study areas may be explained, in part, by characteristics of the hydrograph. The  
406 spring and summer of 2008 represented a somewhat unique year for the Logan River, both  
407 spatially and temporally, with three peak flow events occurring from May-July (USGS 2010).  
408 More typically, the Logan River has either one, large snowmelt driven pulse or two smaller  
409 pulses, with the majority of spawning likely occurring on the descending limb of the final peak  
410 in the hydrograph. In this study, while we did observe spawning initiating in response to the first  
411 two peak flow events of the spring and summer, the majority of spawning was delayed until after  
412 the third peak flow event, when spawning conditions (e.g., water temperature, discharge)  
413 appeared closer to optimal conditions. Interestingly, in Spawn Creek, one of our small, first  
414 order study tributaries, the spring-runoff spate is insignificant in magnitude and the hydrograph  
415 demonstrates little variability due to perennial spring inputs. In this study area, BCT may be  
416 cueing into flows either at Temple Fork and/or the mainstem of the Logan River as they stage

417 and migrate through to Spawn Creek (Figure 1) and/ or stream water temperature (e.g., Homel  
418 and Budy 2008; Seidel 2009).

419 Water temperature is also known to play an important role in cutthroat trout spawning  
420 (Behnke 2002), and notably, the temperature ranges we observed in our four study streams were  
421 considerably lower than spawning temperatures observed for other subspecies of cutthroat trout.  
422 In each of our four study streams, water temperatures were often below the recommended range  
423 for cutthroat trout spawning (e.g., 6 -17 °C; Hickman and Raleigh 1982) throughout the  
424 spawning season. Furthermore, in the coldest, high elevation streams, BCT appeared to delay  
425 spawning until stream temperatures remained above 7 °C. Thurow and King (1994) observed  
426 YCT spawning in a mean water temperature range of 10 - 14 °C, a minimum water temperature  
427 range of 4 - 9 °C, and a maximum water temperature range of 16 - 20 °C. In addition, the onset  
428 of spawning was not observed until mean daily temperatures were above 10 °C and minimum  
429 daily temperatures above 4 °C; however, they did not measure or report associated discharge. In  
430 this study, the unique multi-peaked hydrograph contributed to non-linear patterns of warming  
431 and cooling across the spring season, in contrast to a consistent pattern of warming along a  
432 descending limb of the hydrograph, and temperatures were colder than reported elsewhere during  
433 spawning. Given the extremely large size and viability of this meta-population of BCT (Budy et  
434 al. 2007), we suggest the recommended temperature range for spawning in the published Habitat  
435 Suitability Index for cutthroat trout in general (Hickman and Raleigh 1982) is likely over-  
436 estimated and/or does not account for local thermal adaptation (e.g., Keleher and Rahel 1996;  
437 Jensen et al. 2003).

438 While discharge and temperature may provide important cues for spawning, suitable  
439 substrate must be available for successful spawning, incubation and emergence (Kondolf and

440 Wolman 1993; Kondolf 2000). Tributary streams, such as those studied herein, often represent  
441 ideal spawning conditions including a high availability of suitable sized substrate (Bjornn and  
442 Reiser 1991; Knapp and Vredenburg 1996; Magee et al. 1996). While we documented BCT  
443 spawning over a large spatial scale, from mid-to-high elevation tributaries, the size range of  
444 substrate used was relatively narrow but still corresponded with the size range available. For  
445 example, Franklin Basin is dominated by a steep gradient and cobble-boulder sized substrate  
446 with limited suitable spawning gravel available. The spawning habitat that is available is sub-  
447 optimal, restricted to marginal areas along the bank and silty in composition; these areas along  
448 the bank are also highly susceptible to cattle intrusion during the spring and summer months  
449 (Seidel 2009; Hansen and Budy 2011). Our observed low redd counts appeared to coincide with  
450 the low abundance of available spawning substrate in Franklin Basin (and perhaps also colder  
451 stream temperatures; see above). These results confirm that even in systems where fish densities  
452 are high overall and habitat is near pristine, the local abundance of spring-spawning salmonids  
453 may be limited at the reproductive stage, if spawning substrate availability is low and/or stream  
454 conditions are unsuitable for the successful spawning and rearing of young (Magee et al. 1996;  
455 Knapp et al. 1998).

456         Despite differences in the amount of available spawning substrate among our four study  
457 areas, BCT appeared to primarily utilize substrate within the range recommended for cutthroat  
458 trout spawning in all four study areas (e.g., 3 - 80mm, Hickman and Raleigh 1982). BCT used  
459 substrate similar to that of WSCT (e.g., 0.07 - 50.8 mm, Magee et al. 1994; 6 – 110 mm,  
460 Schmetterling 2000) but of sizes greater than the range observed for Snake River spotted  
461 cutthroat trout (*O. c. [proposed] behnkei*; 2 – 20 mm; Joyce and Hubert 2004). The variability

462 observed in spawning substrate use among subspecies of cutthroat trout may simply be a  
463 function of fish size (i.e., larger fish utilize large substrate) and/or available substrate.

464         While we made every effort to accurately identify the onset of spawning and the presence  
465 of redds, our study did have important and obvious limitations and constraints. Observer error  
466 can limit the accuracy and precision of redd counts, when counts are used as a technique to  
467 monitor adult fish populations (Dunham et al. 2001; Al-Chokhachy et. al 2005; Muhlfeld et al.  
468 2006). The purpose of our redd counts, though, was not to monitor and/or estimate adult BCT  
469 populations, but rather, to provide critical information in furthering our understanding of the  
470 temporal and spatial distribution of BCT throughout the Logan River drainage. Nevertheless,  
471 observers may have underestimated the number of redds and/or the date of the onset of  
472 spawning, especially in our high-elevation study streams, where flow conditions were high and  
473 turbid into late June. However, we might expect that in years when the magnitude, frequency,  
474 and duration of peak flows events is smaller, flow and water conditions (i.e., turbidity levels)  
475 could potentially be suitable for spawning earlier in the spring and summer than what we  
476 observed in 2008. As such, our identification of the onset of BCT spawning in our four study  
477 areas may have been accurate, and the low density of redds observed in Franklin Basin and  
478 Beaver Creek may simply be a function of a shorter available spawning season, due to  
479 hydrologic stream conditions (e.g., Hickman and Raleigh 1982; Thurow and King 1994).

480         In addition to the constraints on our ability to identify the onset of spawning, it is  
481 important to understand the somewhat unavoidable limitations of estimating hatch and  
482 emergence survival even with a large-scale field experiment and substantial degree of effort.  
483 Our estimates of survival are likely an overestimation of survival under natural conditions.  
484 Incubating embryos, sac fry and emergent fry were protected, by a large degree, from both

485 abiotic and biotic factors, such as scouring flows, predation (e.g., by sculpin, *Cottus bairdii*), and  
486 to a certain degree, anthropogenic impacts (e.g., trampling from recreationists and/or grazing  
487 livestock; Kershner 1995; DeVries 1997; Gregory and Gamett 2009). In contrast, some boxes  
488 may have experienced artificially high sediment accumulation based simply on small  
489 microhabitat differences in location within the artificial redd (see further discussion below).  
490 Despite these potential limitations, our estimates of BCT hatch and emergence survival provided  
491 an excellent description of the relative variability in early survival among a wide range of habitat  
492 conditions and provides novel information on a life stage for which there was little previously  
493 known.

494         In our experiments, we observed variability in hatch and emergence survival rates both  
495 among but also within our four study areas, especially throughout study sites in tributaries,  
496 Spawn Creek and Franklin Basin. Such variability is likely driven by important microhabitat site  
497 differences in intragravel conditions such as the proportion of fine sediment and other substrate  
498 characteristics (Bjorn and Reiser 1991). The negative relationship between salmonid early life-  
499 stage survival and fine sediment we observed herein has been firmly and mechanistically  
500 documented in the literature (e.g., Chapman 1988; Julien and Bergeron 2006). Further, using  
501 Colorado River cutthroat trout (*O. c. pleuriticus*) eggs in a lab setting, Young (1991) assessed the  
502 degree to which different proportions of sediment impacted early survival, concluding that egg-  
503 to-fry survival was highest with geometric mean particle sizes 13.8 mm and greater. Kondolf  
504 (2000) similarly highlights the importance of water flow through redds for the delivery of  
505 dissolved oxygen and the removal of metabolic waste. Fine sediment accumulation within the  
506 incubation gravel can greatly impede these critical and necessary processes and may explain the  
507 differences we observed in hatch survival in emergence survival in Franklin Basin. In contrast,



508 in Spawn Creek, we actually observed a low mean hatch survival and a low mean amount of fine  
509 sediment in one of our four study sites, highlighting the role of other environmental conditions,  
510 perhaps outside of the egg boxes. Further, it is also important to note that the level of fine  
511 sediment we observed in our egg boxes may be a function of natural conditions, anthropogenic  
512 conditions, our egg box design, or some combination of the three. Regardless of the ultimate  
513 determinate of the proportion fines, the negative relationship we observed between fine sediment  
514 and hatch and emergence survival rates has important and obvious implications for land-use  
515 management and BCT conservation (e.g., McHugh et al. 2004). In systems or areas that are  
516 naturally near the upper limits for fine sediments, even a small degree of increase in  
517 sedimentation can have large effects on early survival. Based on these results, if protection of  
518 BCT is a management priority, efforts should be made to prevent excessive sedimentation in  
519 critical spawning streams.

520 In addition to fine sediment, water temperature also serves as a key physical factor that  
521 has a strong, mechanistic influence on salmonid early life-stage survival and embryo  
522 development. In our study, embryo development was delayed in the cold, high-elevation  
523 tributary, Franklin Basin, and fry emerged approximately 10 days later in Franklin Basin than in  
524 Temple Fork, Spawn Creek, and Beaver Creek. The relationship between delayed cutthroat trout  
525 embryo development and cooler and/or decreasing water temperatures has been documented  
526 extensively in the lab (e.g., Merriman 1935; Stonecypher et al. 1994; Hubert and Gern 1995),  
527 with Hickman and Raleigh (1982) recommending optimal water temperatures for cutthroat trout  
528 embryo incubation at 10 °C. Mean daily water temperatures in all study sites except Franklin  
529 Basin were close to the optimal temperature for embryo incubation, while in contrast, mean daily  
530 temperatures observed in study sites in Franklin Basin were on average at least 2 °C cooler over

531 the course of the experiment (e.g.,  $\sim 7$  °C). Fry that emerge earlier in the summer may have a  
532 greater potential to reach the critical body size need to successfully survive winter, as opposed to  
533 fry that emerge later in the season and lack the body size and mass needed to endure the harsh  
534 winter conditions common in the Logan River drainage (Cerven 1973; Smith and Griffith 1994).  
535 Based on the results of our spawning surveys, as well as our egg-to-fry survival experiments,  
536 high-elevation tributary, Franklin Basin appears to be naturally less suited for both spawning and  
537 embryo incubation, relative to the conditions observed in the other three tributaries. These  
538 results have important implications for local restoration activities (Budy et al. 2007) and  
539 demonstrate a template for prioritizing conservation actions more broadly.

540         The conservation and recovery of imperiled, native fish species poses several significant  
541 recovery challenges. Specifically, identifying the life stage(s) most limiting for a given fish  
542 species and then prioritizing conservation efforts accordingly can be a complicated and  
543 challenging endeavor (e.g., Budy and Schaller 2007; Williams et al. 2009). Our study is one of  
544 few to quantify both the spawning ecology and early life-history survival of cutthroat trout via a  
545 large-scale, replicated field study. The variability we observed in the timing of BCT spawning,  
546 and redd densities appeared to be strongly linked to variation in in-stream habitat conditions  
547 (e.g., discharge, substrate), and as such, has important implications for the conservation and  
548 restoration of spawning habitat for BCT range wide. In addition, the results of our BCT egg-to-  
549 fry survival experiments highlighted the deleterious effects of fine sediment to hatch and  
550 emergence survival. By conducting this studying in a system where the quality and connectivity  
551 of habitat still supports a very large metapopulation of BCT, our research enhances our  
552 understanding of cutthroat trout spawning ecology and early survival and provides critical

553 information for aiding in the development of benchmarks for the recovery and persistence of  
554 BCT in this as well as other systems.

555

556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583

### Acknowledgements

This research was primarily funded by the United States Forest Service, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, Project XII, Sport Fisheries Research, Grant Number F-47-R, Segment 20 and in-kind support from the U.S. Geological Survey, Utah Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit. Special thanks to Gary Thiede for providing technical advice and logistical oversight of our field crews, and graduate students in the Fish Ecology Laboratory at Utah State University. Susan Durham provided statistical advice and assistance and Christy Meredith assisted with GIS and graphical issues. We would also like to thank Jeremiah Wood for intellectual and field contributions; a long list of seasonal technicians also assisted with field work. Any use of trade product or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

### References

Al-Chokhachy, R., P. Budy, and H. Schaller. 2005. Understanding the significance of redd counts: a comparison between two methods for estimating the abundance of and monitoring bull trout populations. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 25:1505–1512.

Beauchamp, D.A., P.E. Budy, B.C. Allen, and J.M. Godfrey. 1994. Timing, distribution and abundance of kokanees spawning in a Lake Tahoe tributary. *Great Basin Naturalist* 54: 130-141.

Behnke, R. 1992. Native trout of western North America. American Fisheries Society, Monograph 6, Bethesda, Maryland.

Behnke, R. 2002. Trout and salmon of North America. Free Press, New York, New York.

584  
585 Bernard, D.R., and E.K. Israelsen. 1982. Inter- and intrastream migration of cutthroat  
586 trout (*Salmo clarki*) in Spawn Creek, a tributary of the Logan River, Utah. Northwest  
587 Science 56:148–157.  
588  
589 Bjorn, T.C., and D.W. Reiser. 1991. Habitat requirements of salmonids in streams:  
590 Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fisheries and their habitats.  
591 American Fisheries Society Special Report 19:83-138.  
592  
593 Budy, P., G.P. Thiede, and P. McHugh. 2007. Quantification of the vital rates, abundance and  
594 status of a critical, endemic population of Bonneville cutthroat trout. North American  
595 Journal of Fisheries Management 27:593-604.  
596  
597 Budy, P., and H. Schaller. 2007. Evaluating tributary restoration potential for Pacific  
598 salmon recovery. Ecological Applications 17:1068-1086.  
599  
600 Cerven, D.R. 1973. Overwinter mortality of trout in Temple Fork of the Logan River. Thesis,  
601 Utah State University, Logan, Utah.  
602  
603 Chapman, D.W. 1988. Critical review of variables used to define effects of fines in redds  
604 of large salmonids. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 117:1-21.  
605  
606 DeVries, P. 1997. Riverine salmonid egg burial depths: review of published data and  
607 implications for scour studies. Canadian Journal of Aquatics and Fisheries Science 54:  
608 1685–1698.  
609  
610 Downs, C.C., R.G. White, and B.B. Shepard. 1997. Age at sexual maturity, sex ratio,  
611 fecundity, and longevity of isolated headwater populations of westslope cutthroat trout  
612 *Oncorhynchus clarki lewisi*. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 17:85-92.  
613  
614 Duncan, J. R. and Lockwood, J. L., 2001. Extinction in a field of bullets: a search for

615 causes in the decline of the world's freshwater fishes. *Biological Conservation* 102:97-  
616 105.

617

618 Dunham, J., B. Rieman, and K. Davis. 2001. Sources and magnitude of sampling error in redd  
619 counts for bull trout. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 21:343-352.

620

621 Duff, D.A. 1988. Bonneville cutthroat trout: current status and management. Pages 121–  
622 127 in R. E. Gresswell, editor. *Status and management of interior stocks of cutthroat*  
623 *trout*. American Fisheries Society, Symposium 4, Bethesda, Maryland.

624

625 Fausch, K.D. 2008. A paradox of trout invasions in North America. *Biological Invasions*  
626 10:685–701.

627

628 Fleener, G.G. 1951. Life-history of the cutthroat trout, *Salmo clarki Richardson*, in the  
629 Logan River, Utah. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 81:235–248.

630

631 Gregory, J.S. and B.L. Gamett. 2009. Cattle trampling of simulated bull trout redds. *North*  
632 *American Journal of Fisheries Management*. 29:361-366.

633

634 Hansen, E. S., and P. Budy. 2011. The potential of passive stream restoration to improve  
635 ecosystem health and minimize the impact of disease on fish: A short-term assessment.  
636 *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 30:573-588.

637

638 Harris, G.S. 1973. A simple egg box planting technique for estimating the survival of  
639 eggs deposited in stream gravel.

640

641 Hassemer, P.F. 1993. Redd count manual. Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Boise,  
642 Idaho.

643

644 Hickman, T. J., and D. A. Duff. 1978. Status of cutthroat trout subspecies in the western  
645 Bonneville basin. *Great Basin Naturalist* 38:193–202.

646  
647 Hickman, T., and R.F. Raleigh. 1982. Habitat suitability index models: cutthroat trout.  
648 U.S.D.I. Fish and Wildlife Service. FWS/OBS-82/10.5. 38 pages.  
649  
650 Hilderbrand, R. H. 2003. The roles of carrying capacity, immigration, and population  
651 synchrony on persistence of stream-resident cutthroat trout. *Biological Conservation*  
652 110:257-266.  
653  
654 Homel, K., and P. Budy. 2008. Temporal and spatial variability in the migration patterns  
655 of juvenile and subadult bull trout in northeastern Oregon. *Transactions of the American*  
656 *Fisheries Society* 137: 869-880.  
657  
658 Hubert, W. A., and W. A. Gern. 1995. Influence of embryonic stage on survival of  
659 cutthroat trout exposed to temperature reduction. *Progressive Fish-Culturist* 57:326-328.  
660  
661 Isaak, D. J., R.F. Thurow, B.E. Rieman, and J.B. Dunham. 2007. Chinook salmon use of  
662 spawning patches: Relative roles of habitat quality, size, and connectivity. *Ecological*  
663 *Applications* 17:352–364.  
664  
665 Jensen, A.J., Forseth, T. and Johnson, B.O. 2003. Latitudinal variation in growth of young  
666 brown trout *Salmo trutta*. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 69: 1010-1020.  
667  
668 Joyce, M.P. and W. A. Hubert. 2004. Spawning ecology of Finespotted Snake River cutthroat  
669 trout in spring streams of the Salt River Valley, Wyoming. *North American Naturalist*  
670 64:78–85.  
671  
672 Julien, H.P., and N.E. Bergeron. 2006. Effect of fine sediment infiltration on Atlantic  
673 salmon (*Salmo salar*) embryo survival. *Hydrobiologia*, 563:61-71.  
674  
675 Keleher, C.J., and F.J. Rahel. 1996. Thermal limits to salmonid distributions in the Rocky

676 Mountain region and potential habitat loss due to global warming: a geographic  
677 information system (GIS) approach. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society  
678 125:1–13.

679

680 Kershner, J. 1995. Bonneville cutthroat trout. Pages 28-35 *in* M. K. Young, editor.  
681 Conservation assessment for inland cutthroat trout. General Technical Report, RM-256.  
682 USDA Forest Service, Ft. Collins, Colorado.

683

684 Knapp, R. A., and V. T. Vredenburg. 1996. Spawning by California golden trout:  
685 characteristics of spawning fish, seasonal and daily timing, redd characteristics, and  
686 microhabitat preferences. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 125:519–531.

687

688 Knapp, R. A., Vredenburg, V. T., and Matthews, K. R. 1998. Effects of stream channel  
689 morphology on golden trout spawning habitat and recruitment. Ecological Applications  
690 8: 1104–1117.

691

692 Knight, C.A., R.W. Orme, and D.A. Beauchamp. 1999. Growth, survival, and  
693 migration patterns of juvenile adfluvial Bonneville cutthroat trout in tributaries of  
694 Strawberry Reservoir, Utah. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 128:553-  
695 563.

696

697 Kondolf, G. M., and M. G. Wolman. 1993. The sizes of salmonid spawning gravels. Water  
698 Resources Research 29:2275–2285.

699

700 Kondolf, G.M., G.F. Cada, M.J. Sale and R. Felando. 1991. Distribution and stability of potential  
701 salmonid spawning gravels in steep boulder-bed streams of the eastern Sierra Nevada.  
702 Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120:177-186.

703

704 Kondolf, G.M. 2000. Assessing salmonid spawning gravel quality. Transactions of the  
705 American Fisheries Society 129:262-281.

706



707 Lisle, T. E. 1989. Sediment transport and resulting deposition in spawning gravels, north coastal  
708 California. *Water Resources Research* 25:1303–1319.  
709

710 Magee, J.P., T.E. McMahon, and R.F. Thurow. 1996. Spatial variation in spawning  
711 habitat of cutthroat trout in a sediment-rich stream basin. *Transactions of the American*  
712 *Fisheries Society* 125:768-779.  
713

714 Merriman, D., 1935. The effect of temperature on the development of the eggs and larvae  
715 of the cutthroat trout (*Salmo clarkii clarkii* Richardson). *Journal of Experimental Biology*  
716 12: 297-305.  
717

718 McHugh, P., P. Budy, and H. Schaller. 2004. A model-based assessment of the potential  
719 response of Snake River-summer chinook salmon to habitat improvements. *Transactions*  
720 *of the American Fisheries Society* 133:622-638.  
721

722 Muhlfeld, C.C., M.L. Taper, D.F. Staples, and B.B. Shepard. 2006. Observer error structure in  
723 bull trout redd counts in Montana streams: Implications for inference on true redd  
724 numbers. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 135:643-654.  
725

726 Nelson, R.L., W.S. Platts, and O. Casey. 1987. Evidence for variability in spawning  
727 behavior of interior cutthroat trout in response to environmental uncertainty. *Great Basin*  
728 *Naturalist* 47:480-487.  
729

730 SAS Institute. 2005. SAS version 9.0.1. SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina.  
731

732 Schmetterling, D.A. 2000. Redd characteristics of fluvial westslope cutthroat trout in four  
733 tributaries to the Blackfoot River, Montana. *North American Journal of Fisheries*  
734 *Management* 20:776–783.  
735

736 Schmetterling, D.A. 2001. Seasonal movements of fluvial westslope cutthroat trout in

737 the Blackfoot River drainage, Montana. *North American Journal of Fisheries*  
738 *Management* 21:507–520.

739

740 Seidel, S.E. 2009. Exploring the spawning dynamics and identifying limitations to the early life-  
741 history survival of an important, endemic fish species. Thesis, Utah State University,  
742 Logan, Utah.

743

744 Smith, O.R. 1941. The spawning habits of cutthroat and eastern brook trouts. *Journal of*  
745 *Wildlife Management* 5:461–471.

746

747 Smith, R.W., and J.S. Griffith. 1994. Survival of rainbow trout during their first winter  
748 in the Henrys Fork of the Snake River, Idaho. *Transactions of the American Fisheries*  
749 *Society* 123:747–756.

750

751 Stonecypher, R.W., Jr., W.A. Hubert, and W.A. Gern. 1994. Effect of reduced incubation  
752 temperatures on survival of trout embryos. *Progressive Fish-Culturist* 56:180-184.

753

754 Tappel, P. D., and T. C. Bjornn. 1983. A new method of relating size of spawning gravel to  
755 salmonid embryo survival. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 3:123–135.

756

757 Thurow, R.T., and J.G. King. 1994. Attributes of Yellowstone cutthroat trout redds in a  
758 tributary of the Snake River, Idaho. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*  
759 123:37–50.

760

761 USGS (US Geological Survey) 2010. Real-time water data for the USA. Available on website:  
762 <http://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/rt>. 23 August 2010.

763

764 Williams, J.E., A. L. Haak, H.M., Neville, and W.T. Colyer. 2009. Potential consequences of  
765 climate change to persistence of cutthroat trout populations. *North American Journal of*  
766 *Fisheries Management* 29:533-548.

767

768 Wolman, M.G. 1954. A method of sampling coarse river-bed material Transactions American  
769 Geophysical Union 3(6):951-956.  
770

771 Wood, J. R., and P. Budy. 2009. The role of environmental factors in determining early survival  
772 and invasion success of exotic brown trout. Transactions of the American Fisheries  
773 Society 138: 756-767.  
774

775 Young, M.K. 1991. Selection of measures of substrate composition to estimate survival  
776 to emergence of salmonids and to detect changes in stream substrates. North American  
777 Journal of Fisheries Management 11:339–346.  
778

779

780 Table 1. Mean baseflow, mean width, length of survey reach and elevation of our four study  
781 streams of our four study streams. Mean baseflow calculated as the mean daily flows during  
782 baseflow conditions, August to October.

Study stream	Mean baseflow (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Mean width (m)	Length of survey reach (m)	Elevation (m)
Spawn Creek	0.11	1.85	2200	1800
Temple Fork	0.37	3.71	2500	1745
Beaver Creek	0.04	4.41	3000	2000
Franklin Basin	0.31	8.41	3000	2052

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792 Table 2. Egg-to-fry survival rates (hatch and emergence), hatch and emergence times, and  
 793 measurements of key abiotic variables for tributary sites. Daily mean temperature was calculated  
 794 over the course of each study sites' respective hatch and emergence periods. Egg boxes were  
 795 installed in all study sites on 1 July, 2008.

Site	Number of days to hatch ending	Mean hatch survival (%)	Mean water temperature (°C)	Mean fine sediment (g)	Number of days to emergence ending	Mean emergence survival (%)	Mean water temperature (°C)	Mean fine sediment (g)
<b>Mid-Elevation Tributaries</b>								
Temple Fork 1	14	65	8.99	26	28	42	9.45	34
Temple Fork 2	14	80	8.99	19	28	63	9.44	32
Temple Fork 3	14	84	8.51	22	28	84	8.99	36
Temple Fork 4	14	80	8.48	43	28	72	8.97	30
Spawn Creek 1	12	23	10.73	125	28	6	10.80	238
Spawn Creek 2	12	22	10.68	15	28	31	10.79	88
Spawn Creek 3	12	79	10.60	63	28	60	10.74	32
Spawn Creek 4	12	49	10.60	40	28	60	10.73	69
<i>Mean</i>		60	9.70	44		52	9.99	70
<b>High-Elevation Tributaries</b>								
Beaver Creek 1	12	67	10.18	26	28	61	11.21	49
Beaver Creek 2	12	74	9.95	22	28	44	10.36	58
Beaver Creek 3	12	75	9.91	29	28	65	10.28	91
Beaver Creek 4	12	81	9.86	16	28	58	10.23	49
Franklin Basin 1	22	21	6.98	141	38	1	7.50	291
Franklin Basin 2	22	62	7.04	56	38	48	7.65	124
Franklin Basin 3	22	75	6.65	85	38	61	7.09	117
Franklin Basin 4	22	83	6.39	25	38	55	6.67	55
<i>Mean</i>		67	8.37	50		47	8.87	104

796

797

798 Table 3. Results of statistical tests for BCT hatch and emergence survival. Across site tests  
 799 compared the mean hatch or emergence survival at each of the four study areas. Within site tests  
 800 compared the hatch or emergence survival (dependent variables) of each set of three egg boxes  
 801 within each study area. See Methods for additional detail.

Measurement	Statistical test	DF	F-statistic	P-value
<i>Hatch stage survival</i>				
Across study sites	Single factor ANOVA	3, 12	2.44	0.12
Temple Fork	Single factor ANOVA	3, 8	1.08	0.41
Spawn Creek	Single factor ANOVA	3, 8	4.92	0.03
Beaver Creek	Single factor ANOVA	3, 8	1.79	0.23
Franklin Basin	Single factor ANOVA	3, 8	3.78	0.06
Mean hatch fine sediment vs. mean hatch survival (all study sites combined)	Logistic regression	1	n/a	<0.001
<i>Emergence stage survival</i>				
Across study sites	Single factor ANOVA	3, 12	1.38	0.30
Temple Fork	Single factor ANOVA	3, 8	2.58	0.13
Spawn Creek	Single factor ANOVA	3, 8	2.80	0.12
Beaver Creek	Single factor ANOVA	3, 8	0.27	0.84
Franklin Basin	Single factor ANOVA	3, 8	5.24	0.03
Mean emergence fine sediment vs. mean emergence survival (all study sites combined)	Logistic regression	1	n/a	<0.001
<i>Hatch vs. Emergence</i>				
Fine sediment	Single factor ANOVA	1, 29		0.20

802

803

804 Figure 1. Map of the Logan River drainage, in northern Utah. Study streams are highlighted and  
805 include mid-elevation tributaries, Temple Fork and Spawn Creek and high-elevation tributaries,  
806 Franklin Basin and Beaver Creek. The river flows from north to southwest.

807

808 Figure 2. Number of BCT redds counted (bars) and average daily discharge (line; m<sup>3</sup>/s) during  
809 the 2008 BCT spawning season in Beaver Creek, Franklin Basin, Spawn Creek, and Temple  
810 Fork, from top to bottom.

811

812 Figure 3. Number of BCT redds counted (bars) and average daily temperature (line; °C) during  
813 the 2008 BCT spawning season in Beaver Creek, Franklin Basin, Spawn Creek, and Temple  
814 Fork, from top to bottom. Note changes in right and left y-axis scales.

815

816 Figure 4. Proportion of substrate used versus substrate available in Beaver Creek, Franklin  
817 Basin, Spawn Creek, and Temple Fork, from top to bottom. Substrate size was measured using a  
818 Wolman pebble count; sizes represent minimum size bins.

819

820 Figure 5. Percent hatch and emergence survival for study sites (from low to high elevation) in  
821 study streams Beaver Creek, Franklin Basin, Spawn Creek, and Temple Fork, from top to  
822 bottom. Dark bars represent percent hatch survival and gray bars represent percent emergence  
823 survival. The dashed line represents the mean survival, as averaged over hatch and emergence,  
824 per study stream. Please note that we did conduct two separate experiments to assess hatch and  
825 emergence survival separately, and therefore, while emergence survival appears to be higher than

826 hatch survival in two study sites in Spawn Creek, survival was estimated from two separate  
827 experiments for hatch and emergence. Error bars are 1 standard error.

828

829 Figure 6. Relationship between level of fine sediment, calculated as proportion of fines,  
830 standardized by the maximum weight of fines, and (A) percent hatch survival ( $\text{logit}(\text{hatch}$   
831  $\text{probability}) = -0.0110 * \text{fine sediment} + 1.220$ ; Wald chi-square for slope = 86.1594,  $P < 0.001$ )  
832 and (B) percent emergence survival ( $\text{logit}[\text{emergence}] = -0.0128 * \text{fine sediment} + 1.0647$ ;  
833 Wald chi-square for slope = 151.5350,  $P < 0.001$ ).

834

835 Figure 7. Mean daily temperatures in Spawn Creek, Beaver Creek, Temple Fork, and Franklin  
836 Basin during the course of the egg-to-fry experiment (1 July – 8 August). Minimum and  
837 maximum temperature thresholds refer to the temperature range recommended for cutthroat trout  
838 spawning. The optimal temperature line refers to the optimal temperature recommended for  
839 cutthroat trout embryo incubation (Hickman and Raleigh 1982).