ABSTRACT

Growth of Lactobacillus paracasei ATCC 334, in a cheese-ripening model system based upon a medium prepared from ripening Cheddar cheese extract (CCE) was evaluated. Lactobacillus paracasei ATCC 334 grows in CCE made from cheese ripened for 2 (2mCCE), 6 (6mCCE), and 8 (8mCCE) mo, to final cell densities of 5.9 × 10^8, 1.2 × 10^8, and 2.1 × 10^7 cfu/mL, respectively. Biochemical analysis and mass balance equations were used to determine substrate consumption patterns and products formed in 2mCCE. The products formed included formate, acetate, and D-lactate. These data allowed us to identify the pathways likely used and to initiate metabolic flux analysis. The production of volatiles during growth of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 in 8mCCE was monitored to evaluate the metabolic pathways utilized by Lb. paracasei during the later stages of ripening Cheddar cheese. The 2 volatiles detected at high levels were ethanol and acetate. The remaining detected volatiles are present in significantly lower amounts and likely result from amino acid, pyruvate, and acetyl-coenzyme A metabolism. Carbon balance of galactose, lactose, citrate, and phosphoserine/ phosphoserine-containing peptides in terms of D-lactate, acetate, and formate is in agreement with the amounts of substrates observed in 2mCCE; however, this was not the case for 6mCCE and 8mCCE, suggesting that additional energy sources are utilized during growth of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 in these CCE. This study provides valuable information on the biochemistry and physiology of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 in ripening cheese.
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INTRODUCTION

The microbiota of ripening Cheddar cheese consists of the starter lactic acid bacteria (SLAB) and nonstarter LAB (NSLAB). Starter LAB are intentionally added to milk at the beginning of cheese manufacture, whereas NSLAB are adventitious microorganisms. The NSLAB reach levels of 10^7 to 10^8 cfu/g of cheese (Weinrichter et al., 2004) and can have a significant effect (positive or negative) on cheese flavor development (Broadbent et al., 2003). To enhance cheese quality and the consistency of cheese flavor, the dairy industry is interested in methods to control the NSLAB microbiota. However, efforts to control the NSLAB microbiota are limited by a lack of understanding of the factors that control this microbiota, in particular a detailed understanding of the substrates utilized by NSLAB for growth in cheese.

Several putative energy sources used by NSLAB to grow in ripening cheese sources have been identified, and can be divided into 2 categories: milk-derived or starter-derived substrates. Milk components include simple carbohydrates (lactose, galactose), complex carbohydrates, citrate, phosphoserine-containing peptides, fatty acids, and glycerol. Metabolic pathways for the utilization of lactose, galactose, and citrate are well characterized in NSLAB (Johnson and Steele, 2008). Complex carbohydrate-containing molecules in milk include the glycomacropeptide (GMP) derived from κ-CN (Fiat et al., 1972), as well as glycoproteins and glycolipids present in the milk-fat globule membrane (MFGM; Mather, 2000; Shah, 2000). These complex carbohydrates contain galactose, N-acetylgalactosamine, N-acetylglucosamine (Fiat et al., 1972; Farrar and Harrison, 1978; Neeser et al., 1991), N-acetylneuraminic acid (Martín-Sosa et al., 2003), mannose, and fucose (Kim et al., 1992). Starter-derived components are introduced into the cheese matrix largely as a consequence of starter autolysis, which results in fragmentation of the cell wall and release of ribose- and deoxyribose-containing nucleic acids. Disintegration of the cell wall is thought to enhance the bioavailability of peptidoglycan, which contains N-acetylgalactosamine,
and N-acetylmuramic acid. Thomas (1987) first demonstrated that NSLAB can grow on products from starter autolysis, but the enzymes and metabolic pathways involved in the utilization of the milk-derived complex carbohydrates, nucleic acids, and cell wall components remain unknown. However, research conducted by Adamberg et al. (2005) demonstrated that Lactobacillus paracasei strains could grow on the monosaccharide building blocks of these complex carbohydrates. Interestingly, those authors (Adamberg et al., 2005) observed that Lb. paracasei utilized N-acetylglucosamine preferentially over lactose.

Research to determine the influence of specific nutrients or conditions on growth of NSLAB in the cheese matrix is difficult, as ripening Cheddar cheese is a complex and dynamic environment. Previous studies have employed a model cheese system based upon the use of a hydraulic press to extract the serum fraction of cheese (Hassan et al., 2004). This model has been used to observe chemical changes in the cheese (Lee et al., 2005), and to follow bacterial growth and metabolic end-product accumulation (Díaz-Muñiz and Steele, 2006). However, this system has several disadvantages, including very low yields, long exposure time to air, temperature fluctuations, and frequent contamination problems. To overcome those limitations, we developed a Cheddar cheese extract (CCE) medium to use as a model for NSLAB growth studies. To prepare CCE, cheese is freeze-dried, then extracted with water at room temperature and filter sterilized. This approach provides good yields, limits the opportunity for contamination, and allows for better temperature control.

In this paper, we present our findings on the growth of a model NSLAB, Lb. paracasei ATCC 334, in CCE prepared from Cheddar cheese ripened for 2, 6, and 8 mo-old Cheddar cheese. Cheddar cheese blocks were cut into 6.35-cm cubes, shredded using a commercial food processor (AB Hällde Maskiner, Kista, Sweden), then divided into approximately 1-kg lots, and placed in plastic bags. Samples were frozen for 2 h at −20°C, and then stored at −80°C until lyophilization was initiated. Lyophilization was performed for 48 h at 21°C, followed by a cycle of 24 h at 50°C (Unitrap Model; Virtis Co. Inc., Gardiner, NY). The dried cheese was ground to a fine powder using a commercial food processor (AB Hällde Maskiner) and stored in sealed plastic bags at 5°C until extracted. The following sequential extraction procedure was followed: 500 g of the powdered cheese was mixed with 2 L of cold sterile distilled water in a commercial blender (Waring Products Division, New Hartford, CT) for 10 min at low speed. The filtrate was cooled in an ice bath for 10 min, and the liquid/solid mixture percolated through cheesecloth to remove solids. The subsequent filtrate was reused to extract 6 further 500-g amounts of cheese to finally obtain an extract made from 3 kg of solids in 2 L of water (ratio of 6 parts of dried cheese to 4 parts of water), which was based on the moisture content of the original cheese. Hence, 18.14 kg (40 lbs) of cheese with a moisture content of 40% yields 7.25 kg (16 lbs) of CCE. Subsequently, 2-L extracts were aliquoted into 200-mL centrifuge tubes (Nalgene Nunc International Corp., Rochester, NY), cooled in an ice bath for 10 min, and centrifuged for 10 min at 9,000 × g at 5°C (Beckman Instruments Inc., Arlington Heights, IL). The supernatant, now referred to as CCE, was transferred into 2-L plastic

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strain

Lactobacillus paracasei ATCC 334, a cheese isolate, was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). This strain was previously called Lactobacillus casei; however, the Judicial Commission of the International Committee on Systematics of Bacteria (2008) has recently confirmed the designation of this strain as Lb. paracasei ATCC 334. The bacterium was maintained at −80°C in lactobacilli de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) broth (Difco Laboratories Inc., Detroit, MI) with 10% (vol/vol) glycerol. Working cultures were prepared from frozen stocks by 2 transfers (1% vol/vol) in MRS broth at 37°C without shaking for 16 to 18 h. Prior to conducting growth studies in other media, cells were washed twice using Dulbecco’s PBS (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA). The washed cells were held at 5°C and used within an hour.

Cheddar Cheese Source and Composition

Five 18-kg blocks of commercial Cheddar cheese were donated by a cheese factory from the Midwestern region of the United States and allowed to ripen at 6 ± 0.5°C (44 ± 2°F). The moisture content of the cheese was 39.5% and the NaCl content was 1.4% (wt/wt). Salt in moisture was, therefore, 3.5. The lactose, galactose, and citrate levels were determined every 2 wk until concentrations decreased to below their minimum quantifiable levels (MQL) of 190, 220, and 20 μmol/kg of cheese, respectively.

CCE Preparation

Cheddar cheese extract was prepared from 2- (2mCCE), 6- (6mCCE), and 8- (8mCCE) mo-old Cheddar cheese. Cheddar cheese blocks were cut into 6.35-cm cubes, shredded using a commercial food processor (AB Hällde Maskiner, Kista, Sweden), then divided into approximately 1-kg lots, and placed in plastic bags. Samples were frozen for 2 h at −20°C, and then stored at −80°C until lyophilization was initiated. Lyophilization was performed for 48 h at 21°C, followed by a cycle of 24 h at 50°C (Unitrap Model; Virtis Co. Inc., Gardiner, NY). The dried cheese was ground to a fine powder using a commercial food processor (AB Hällde Maskiner) and stored in sealed plastic bags at 5°C until extracted. The following sequential extraction procedure was followed: 500 g of the powdered cheese was mixed with 2 L of cold sterile distilled water in a commercial blender (Waring Products Division, New Hartford, CT) for 10 min at low speed. The filtrate was cooled in an ice bath for 10 min, and the liquid/solid mixture percolated through cheesecloth to remove solids. The subsequent filtrate was reused to extract 6 further 500-g amounts of cheese to finally obtain an extract made from 3 kg of solids in 2 L of water (ratio of 6 parts of dried cheese to 4 parts of water), which was based on the moisture content of the original cheese. Hence, 18.14 kg (40 lbs) of cheese with a moisture content of 40% yields 7.25 kg (16 lbs) of CCE. Subsequently, 2-L extracts were aliquoted into 200-mL centrifuge tubes (Nalgene Nunc International Corp., Rochester, NY), cooled in an ice bath for 10 min, and centrifuged for 10 min at 9,000 × g at 5°C (Beckman Instruments Inc., Arlington Heights, IL). The supernatant, now referred to as CCE, was transferred into 2-L plastic
bottles and stored at −20°C. Cheddar cheese extracts were thawed in a 37°C water bath (Precision Scientific Inc., Chicago, IL), and centrifuged for 20 min at 22,000 × g at 5°C to remove fine solids. After centrifugation, CCE was sequentially filtered through a 0.7-μm TCLP filter (Fisher, Fair Lawn, NJ) and a 0.2-μm PES filter (Nalgene Nunc International Corp.) to achieve sterility. The filtered CCE were mixed and re-filtered into a sterile 2.5-L bottle with a 0.2-μm bottle top filter, then stored at −20°C until needed.

**Fermentation Studies**

Batch fermentations were conducted in a 2.5-L autoclaved fermentation vessel (BioFlo III; New Brunswick Scientific Co. Inc., Edison, NJ) containing 2.2 L of filter-sterilized CCE. The redox of CCE was adjusted to +250 mV (redox potential, Eₚ) by flushing with a 95% N₂ and 5% CO₂ gas mix (Linde Gas LLC, Madison, WI) at 0.5 L per minute because previous work had shown this step was necessary for growth of *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 in this medium (our unpublished data). The fermentation vessel was inoculated with 0.01% vol/vol of a washed suspension of *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 standardized to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.1, to achieve a cell density of 10³ cfu/mL, and then incubated at 37°C until the stationary phase was reached. The pH was maintained at 5.2 using the BioFlo III control system (New Brunswick Scientific Co. Inc.) and a pH controller (model 200; Eutech Instruments Pte. Ltd., Vernon Hills, IL) via the automatic addition of either 250 mM HCl or NaOH. Autoclavable probes were used to monitor pH, redox (Ag/AgCl 4M KCl; Mettler-Toledo, Columbus, OH), and dissolved oxygen (polarographic electrode from Phoenix Electrode Co., Houston, TX). During fermentations, the vessel was continuously purged with filtered sterile (0.1 μm) anaerobic gas mix (95% N₂, 5% CO₂) at 0.1 L per minute and the agitation rate was set at 50 rpm. A 20-mL sample was collected every 4 h, serial decimal dilutions were made and appropriate dilutions were spread plated on MRS agar in triplicate, followed by anaerobic incubation at 37°C for 48 h. Changes in dissolved oxygen and redox potential were monitored continuously using an Electronic Signal Data Logger ES120 Dickson (A. Daigger & Co. Inc., Vernon Hills, IL). The remainder of the 20-mL sample was filter-sterilized using a 0.2-μm filter (Nalgene) and frozen at −80°C. These samples were subsequently analyzed for simple carbohydrates (galactose, lactose), citrate, phosphopeptides, formate, acetate, L-lactate, D-lactate, and volatile compounds.

**Chemical Analysis of Carbohydrates and Organic Acids**

Lactose, galactose, citrate, D/L lactate, formate, acetate, and ethanol concentrations were determined using commercial enzymatic detection kits from R-Biopharm Inc. (Marshall, MI). The kits were used as directed by the supplier except that the total volume of the assay was decreased from 3 mL to 600 μL, while maintaining the proportions described in the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Analysis of Phosphoserine-Containing Peptides**

A checkerboard ELISA (Harlow and Lane, 1988) was initially used to determine the optimum combination of antibody and solid-phase substrate needed for analysis of phosphoserine peptides, and then an indirect competitive ELISA with anti-phosphoserine monoclonal antibody was developed to measure both free and peptide-bound phosphoserine. The checkerboard ELISA was performed with a 1 mg/mL initial concentration of O-phospho-L-serine conjugated to BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) that was diluted 1/5 across the rows of a 96-well plate with 1/10 dilutions of a 1/4,000 monoclonal antibody (Mab; Sigma-Aldrich) across the columns to identify the antigen-Mab combination that gave an absorbance at 405 nm (A₄₀₅) of 1.0. The concentration was determined to be 1 μg/mL of O-phospho-L-serine-BSA (Sigma-Aldrich), and a Mab dilution of 1/40,000.

The inhibitor solution was coated in an HB Costar 96-well plate at 1ug/mL in a 100 mM carbonate buffer (pH 9.6) for 20 h at 5°C, and then the plate was washed with PBS and incubated with 1 mg/mL of BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 h with gentle horizontal shaking to block unused binding sites. An indirect ELISA was then performed as follows: a standard curve of 0.5 to 10 mM O-phospho-L-serine (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared in PBS, and then 90 μL of each sample and standard was added to the plate. Next, 90 μL of Mab in PBST was added to each well and allowed to react for 2 h at 21°C before 180 μL of anti-goat anti-mouse antibody, coupled with peroxidase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL), was added and incubated for 2 h at 21°C. After this period, the conjugate solution was discarded and plates were washed 5 times using PBST. Wells were filled with 100 μL of substrate solution that contained 1.0 mM 2,2’-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid; ABTS)–4 mM H₂O₂ in 50 mM sodium citrate (pH 4.0) and the plates were shaken at 25°C for 30 min in the dark. The plates were
read at 405 nm in a microplate reader (Bio-Rad 680m; Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA) and the data were analyzed using SoftMax Pro software version 4 for Microsoft Windows XP (Molecular Devices Inc., Sunnyvale, CA). The detection limit for this analysis was 0.1 mEq of O-phospho-l-serine.

**Volatiles Analysis**

The volatiles produced in CCE fermentation experiments were analyzed using solid-phase microextraction with a Carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane fiber (Supelco Inc., Bellefonte, PA) and analyzed by GC-MS as described previously (Rankin et al., 1998; Rankin, 2001).

**Kinetic Analysis**

For microbial batch cultures, the Gompertz model (Equation 1) is one of the most suitable in terms of interpretability, ease of use, and fit to growth data (Zwietering et al., 1990):

\[
y = A \times \exp\left\{-\exp\left[\frac{\mu_{\text{max}} \times e}{A}(\lambda - t) + 1\right]\right\},
\]

where \(y\) represents cell numbers/mL; \(\lambda\) is the lag time; \(A\) is the maximum cell number/mL; \(\mu_{\text{max}}\) is the maximum growth rate; \(e\) is the exp(1); and \(t\) is time. The generation time \((g_t)\) can be calculated by mathematical transformation of \(\mu_{\text{max}}\).

The apparent maximum substrate consumption rate could be expressed using a modified Gompertz model, as described by Fan et al. (2004):

\[
S_0 - S = S_{\text{max}} \times \exp\left\{-\exp\left[\frac{R_{\text{max},S} \times e}{S_{\text{max}}} \times (\lambda - t) + 1\right]\right\},
\]

where \(S\) represents the substrate concentration; \(S_0\) the initial substrate concentration; \(S_{\text{max}}\) is the final substrate concentration; \(R_{\text{max},S}\) is the apparent maximum substrate consumption rate; \(e\) is the exp(1); \(t\) is time; and \(\lambda\) is the time at which the substrate begins to be consumed at \(R_{\text{max},S}\).

By differentiating Equation 2, the apparent rate of substrate consumption \((-r_S)\) was described as

\[
-r_S = R_{\text{max},S} \times \exp\left\{-\exp\left[\frac{R_{\text{max},S} \times e}{S_{\text{max}}} \times (\lambda - t) + 1\right]\right\} + \left[\frac{R_{\text{max},S} \times e}{S_{\text{max}}} \times (\lambda - t) + 1\right] + 1\bigg\},
\]

Similarly to substrate consumption and bacterial growth, the formation of aqueous products was analyzed using the following equations derived from the Gompertz model:

\[
P_i = \Delta P_{\text{max},i} \times \exp\left\{-\exp\left[\frac{R_{\text{max},P_i} \times e}{\Delta P_{\text{max},i}} \times (\lambda - t) + 1\right]\right\},
\]

where \(P\) represents the product concentration; \(\Delta P_{\text{max},i}\) is the maximum product concentration; \(R_{\text{max},P_i}\) is the apparent maximum product formation rate; and \(\lambda\) is the time at which the product begins to be formed at \(R_{\text{max},P_i}\).

By differentiating Equation 4, the apparent formation rate of products \((r_P)\) can be expressed as

\[
r_P = R_{\text{max},P_i} \times \exp\left\{-\exp\left[\frac{R_{\text{max},P_i} \times e}{\Delta P_{\text{max},i}} \times (\lambda - t) + 1\right]\right\} + \left[\frac{R_{\text{max},P_i} \times e}{\Delta P_{\text{max},i}} \times (\lambda - t) + 1\right] + 1\bigg\}.
\]

These equations (Eq. 2 to Eq. 5) are independent of biomass formation; hence, they determine an apparent maximum rate. However, because we are conducting a batch fermentation, we also need to calculate the specific substrate consumption rate \((q_s)\), which was determined using the logarithmic method (Görgens et al., 2005):

\[
q_s = \exp\left\{\frac{\Delta \ln \left(\frac{S_i}{N - N_0}\right)}{\Delta t}\right\},
\]

where \(S_i\) is the total amount of substrate used in pi-comesols; \(N_0\) and \(N\) are the biomass expressed as total colony-forming units at the beginning of fermentation and at a specific time, respectively; and \(\Delta t\) is the change in time between substrate and biomass measurements. During exponential growth, the specific substrate consumption rate remains constant and is estimated by nonlinear regression.

**Statistical Analyses**

All of the CCE experiments described in this paper were performed in triplicate, requiring a total of 9 fermentations. During each growth experiment a sample was taken every 4 h, with a minimum of 12 samples per
fermentation. Enumeration was done in triplicate and log-transformed before applying nonlinear regression to obtain Gompertz growth parameters. To determine if the parameters obtained from the nonlinear regression fitting to the Gompertz model accurately described the data, ANOVA and lack of fit test analyses were conducted (data not shown). The determination of products and substrates was done in duplicate analysis of triplicate samples and submitted to the same statistical analysis as bacterial enumeration. Statistical analysis and model fitting for both apparent maximum consumption rate and specific substrate consumption rate were done using SAS software, version 9.1.3 of the SAS System for Microsoft Windows XP (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Curve model fitting was done using Table Curve 2D, version 5.02 of Microsoft for Windows XP (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cheese Composition

After 2 mo of ripening, the Cheddar cheese contained 1.70 ± 0.13 μmol of lactose/kg, 2.83 ± 0.09 μmol of galactose/kg, and 2.91 ± 0.42 μmol of citrate/kg. After 4 mo, the cheese contained 0.58 ± 0.01 μmol of lactose/kg, 1.40 ± 0.09 μmol of galactose/kg, and 2.3 ± 0.13 μmol of citrate/kg. After 6 and 8 mo of ripening, the levels of lactose, galactose, and citrate were below the quantifiable limit (BQL), most likely due to the metabolic activity of the cheese microbiota. The total lactic acid concentration was determined to be 36.2 ± 2.56, 28.2 ± 1.70, and 29.4 ± 1.04 μmol/kg in Cheddar cheese ripened for 2, 6, and 8 mo, respectively. The observed net decrease of 8.0 ± 3.08 μmol of total lactic acid/kg between 2 and 6 mo of ripening was likely due to its utilization by the cheese microbiota.

Growth Parameters

To study NSLAB metabolism in cheese, we developed CCE as a model growth medium. Many models have been developed to describe microbial growth (Zwietering et al., 1990). For microbial batch cultures, such as in these experiments, the Gompertz model (Equation 1) has greatest utility.

The growth of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE at 37°C and pH 5.2 is presented in Figure 1. Interestingly, slight inflections were noted in each of the curves, which may indicate a diauxic-like growth of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 in CCE. Growth kinetic parameters of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE at 37°C and pH 5.2 are presented in Table 1. All of the kinetic parameters obtained from the Gompertz model ($\mu_{max}$, $\lambda$, and $A$) were statistically significant and necessary, as determined by nonlinear regression analysis, to describe the growth data for each CCE used ($P < 0.001$). Individual ANOVA analysis of each of these parameters from the different CCE indicated that the duration of the lag phase was not significantly different ($P > 0.05$). However, differences in maximum growth rates and final cell densities were statistically significant ($P < 0.01$) for 2mCCE, 4mCCE, and 8mCCE. Lactobacillus paracasei ATCC 334 reached 5.9 × 10^6, 1.2 × 10^7, and 2.1 × 10^7 cfu/mL in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE, respectively. These cell densities are equivalent to 2.4 × 10^5, 4.8 × 10^5, and 8.4 × 10^6 cfu/g of cheese and are within the range (5 × 10^5 to 1 × 10^6 cfu/g) typically observed in ripening Cheddar cheese (Johnson et al., 1990; Broadbent et al., 2003). The ability of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 to reach these cell densities in 6mCCE might still be explained by assuming concentrations at or close to the BQL of our analytical determination of lactose, galactose, and citrate. The cell densities reached at 8mCCE may also be explained by assuming a value as low as 1/10 of the BQL concentration as described by the work, under anaerobic conditions of Escherichia coli, of Lendenmann and Egli (1998). However, these assumptions require a very low maintenance coefficient ($m$; van Bodegom, 2007) and a very high efficiency of energy conversion ($Y_{ATP/\text{hexose}}$, as well as high biomass conversion ($Y_{\text{biomass}}/\text{ATP}$; Adamberg et al., 2003). The combination of all of these properties in batch fermentation is highly unlikely. Therefore, we hypothesize that alternative energy sources are utilized by Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 to reach these cell densities. Strong evidence favoring this hypothesis is that these low values of lactose, galactose, and citrate are not sufficient to account for the quantity of formate and acetate produced (Table 2). Maximum growth rates decreased as the age of the Cheddar cheese used to prepare the CCE increased, which indicated that many readily utilized or energetically favorable substrates had been utilized before the CCE was prepared. However, it is important to note that even after 8 mo of ripening, Cheddar cheese contains sufficient nutrients to support the growth of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 to final densities of greater than 10^6 cfu/g of cheese. These results suggest that growth of NSLAB in Cheddar cheese aged for 8 mo is not impeded by nutrient depletion.

Redox Potential and Dissolved Oxygen

In bacterial ripened cheeses such as Gouda or Cheddar, the $E_0$ decreases to levels lower than −130 mV during the first month of ripening due to SLAB metabolism (Galesloot, 1960; Galesloot and Kooy, 1960).
Changes in redox and dissolved oxygen during growth of *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 in 2mCCE are presented in Figure 2. Redox values decreased from $E_h +250$ to $-140$ mV during growth, with the most rapid decrease during logarithmic growth. A slight increase in redox was observed at the end of fermentation, suggesting that the ability of *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 to maintain a low redox in its environment decreases in the stationary phase. Dissolved oxygen remained constant at approximately 1.1 μM during the fermentation. Similar patterns were observed in *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 fermentations in CCE prepared from 6- and 8-mo-old Cheddar cheese (data not shown).

Modification of cheese redox potential is one mechanism by which microorganisms influence cheese flavor development. Traditionally this role was attributed to the SLAB (Galesloot, 1960). However, the use of adjuvant NSLAB at the beginning of the fermentation may result in this role being shared by SLAB and NSLAB (Swearingen et al., 2001). During growth in CCE, *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 was observed to reduce the environment, most likely by altering the NAD+/NADH equilibrium toward an excess NAD+ pool (Fernández and Zuniga, 2006). Common metabolic strategies of lactobacilli to regenerate NADH include conversion of pyruvate to ethanol or organic acids, and metabolism of AA (Axelsson, 2004; Fernández and Zuniga, 2006). In addition to influencing the NAD+/NADH equilibrium, these pathways lead to the formation of compounds that result in cheese flavor development (Kieronczyk et al., 2001; Mauriello et al., 2001). Cheese redox potential also influences chemical reactions in the matrix (Kristoffersen, 1967). For example, methionine and cysteine catabolism yield precursors of Cheddar cheese sulfur flavor compounds, which are essential for typical Cheddar cheese flavor (Singh et al., 2003), and whose stability is dependent on the redox and oxygen level in the cheese matrix; hence, further chemical and enzymatic reactions involving these precursors is dependent on the cheese redox potential. Consequently, the redox affects both the metabolic pathways that lead to the formation of cheese flavor compounds and their stability (Seefeldt and Weimer, 2000; Marilley and Casey, 2004).

### Kinetics of Lactose, Galactose, and Citrate Utilization

Initial concentrations of lactose, galactose, and citric acid in 2mCCE were 1.69 ± 0.13 mM, 2.83 ± 0.09 mM, and 2.91 ± 0.42 mM, respectively. Lactose, ga-

---

**Figure 1.** Growth of *Lactobacillus paracasei* ATCC 334 at 37°C and pH 5.2 in Cheddar cheese extract prepared from 2- (○), 6- (●), and 8- (□) mo-old cheeses (2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE, respectively). Nonlinear regression fit lines for relative cell density during growth in Cheddar cheese extracts prepared from 2- (○), 6- (●), and 8- (□) mo-old cheeses were obtained using the Gompertz growth model. $N/N_0$ = relative population size. Bars represent the standard deviation of triplicate experiments and triplicate platings.

**Table 1.** Growth kinetics1 of *Lactobacillus paracasei* ATCC 334 at 37°C and pH 5.2 in Cheddar cheese extract prepared from 2-, 6-, and 8-mo-old cheese2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model parameter</th>
<th>2 mo</th>
<th>6 mo</th>
<th>8 mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum growth rate $[\mu_{max} (h^{-1})]$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doubling time $[t_d (min)]$</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag time $[\lambda (h)]$</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum cell density $A [ln(N_{∞}/N_0)]$</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final cfu/mL</td>
<td>$5.9 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Kinetic constants were calculated using an equation derived from the Gompertz model (Zwietering et al., 1990).

2Results represent the mean derived from triplicate experiments.

3Nonlinear regression model fit was statistically significant at $P < 0.0001$ for all 3 growth experiments.

4Analysis of variance of growth rate showed a statistically significant difference at $P < 0.05$ for all 3 growth experiments.

5Calculated using $\mu_{max}$ values.
lactose, and citric acid consumption during growth of *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 in 2mCCE are presented in Figure 3. It is interesting to note that none of the substrates examined showed an apparent decrease during exponential growth. This observation can be explained either by highly efficient conversion of these substrates to biomass (Zeng and Deckwer, 1995) or utilization of a substrate present in CCE, but not measured in the current analysis. It can also be explained by the use of multiple concurrent substrates at very low concentrations (Kovárová-Kovar and Egli, 1998). Testing these hypotheses was beyond the scope of the current study.

Analysis of variance of lag time duration (λ) for lactose, galactose, and citrate, indicated that lactose was the first of these compounds to be utilized at its apparent maximum consumption rate (Rmax,Lac; \( P < 0.05 \); Table 3). However, no statistical difference was observed for galactose and citrate, although the apparent maximum consumption rate for citrate was observed earlier than for galactose. Lactose utilization occurred during late logarithmic growth and was utilized at an apparent

![Figure 2. Changes in relative cell density (○), redox potential (●), and dissolved oxygen (⊲) during growth of *Lactobacillus paracasei* ATCC 334 at 37°C and pH 5.2 in Cheddar cheese extract prepared from 2-mo-old cheese. Nonlinear regression fit line for relative cell density (−) during growth was obtained using the Gompertz growth model. N/N0 = relative population size. Bars represent the standard deviation of triplicate experiments and duplicate analysis.](image)

Table 2. Kinetics for fermentation products produced by *Lactobacillus paracasei* ATCC 334 at 37°C and pH 5.2 during growth in Cheddar cheese extract (CCE) prepared from 2-, 6-, and 8-mo-old cheese\(^1\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CCE prepared from Cheddar cheese ripened for</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 mo</td>
<td>6 mo</td>
<td>8 mo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetinitial (mM)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetproduced (mM)</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmax,Acet (μmol/h)</td>
<td>569.5</td>
<td>196.5</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λAcet (h)</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-Lactate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-Lacinitial (mM)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-Lacproduced (mM)</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmax,d-Lac (μmol/h)</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λd-Lac (h)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forminitial (mM)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formproduced (mM)</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmax,Form (μmol/h)</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λForm (h)</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Results represent the mean derived from triplicate experiments.

2Initial and produced product concentrations for acetate, d-lactate, and formate are designated by Acetinitial, d-Lacinitial, Forminitial, Acetproduced, d-Lacproduced, and Formproduced, respectively.

3Maximum production rates of acetate, d-lactate, and formate are designated as Rmax,Acet, Rmax,d-Lac, and Rmax,Form, respectively. The time when acetate, d-lactate, and formate formation are being observed are designated by λAcet, λd-Lac, and λForm, respectively.

4Kinetic constants were calculated using an equation derived from the Gompertz equation (Fan et al., 2004). Estimates are statistically significant at \( \alpha = 0.05 \).
maximum rate ($R_{\text{max, Lac}}$) of 109 ± 21.9 μmol/h, presumably even at concentrations below the limit of detection. Lactose utilization occurred without a detectable increase in galactose concentration, indicating that the glucose and galactose moieties were metabolized concurrently. This outcome was expected because although genes for phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent lactose phosphotransferase and β-galactosidase/lactose permease systems are both present in the *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 genome, this organism does not possess an annotated gene with the lactose-galactose antiport function, such as lactose permease (LacS) described by Foucaud and Poolman (1992) in *Streptococcus thermophilus*.

Statistically, apparent maximum rates of galactose and citrate began at the same time ($P = 0.226$), suggesting these substrates may be co-metabolized, with the galactose apparent maximum consumption rate being approximately one-half that of citrate ($98 ± 28.3$ vs. $171 ± 29.7$ μmol/h, respectively). These results are consistent with previous reports indicating that galactose and citrate are co-utilized during growth in cheese serum (Díaz-Muñiz and Steele, 2006). The most probable pathway for citrate utilization in ripening Cheddar cheese by *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 is citrate to oxaloacetate and acetate, and oxaloacetate to pyruvate and CO$_2$, with these steps catalyzed by citrate lyase and a membrane-bound oxaloacetate decarboxylase (Díaz-Muñiz et al., 2006). The resulting pyruvate pool would subsequently be metabolized by one of several competing pathways (Supplemental Figure S1, available online at http://www.journalofdairyscience.org), depending on the cell’s physiological needs. Citrate levels fell to BQL between late logarithmic growth and early stationary phase, whereas detectable levels of residual galactose were still present at the end of the fermentation.

The specific consumption rates were estimated using Equation 6 and a nonlinear regression fit. These were
Table 3. Kinetics of substrate consumption for galactose, lactose, and citrate during fermentation by Lactobacillus paracasei ATCC 334 at 37°C and pH 5.2 in Cheddar cheese extract prepared from 2-mo-old cheese.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Kinetic constant</th>
<th>SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galmax, (mM)</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmax,Gal, (μmol/h)</td>
<td>98.2 ± 28.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λGal, (h)</td>
<td>50.2 ± 3.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gal0, (mM)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qGal, (pmol × net cfu⁻¹ × h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.353 ± 0.0156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmax,Lac, (μmol/h)</td>
<td>108.7 ± 21.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λLac, (h)</td>
<td>37.3 ± 1.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lac0, (mM)</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.0036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qLac, (pmol × net cfu⁻¹ × h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.0035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmax,Cit, (μmol/h)</td>
<td>171.3 ± 29.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λCit, (h)</td>
<td>46.5 ± 1.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cit0, (mM)</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qCit, (pmol × net cfu⁻¹ × h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.0035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Results represent the mean derived from triplicate experiments.
2Apparent maximum consumption rates of galactose, lactose, and citrate are designated as Rmax,Gal, Rmax,Lac, and Rmax,Cit, respectively. The time when fermentation of galactose, lactose, and citrate were detected are designated λGal, λLac, and λCit, respectively. The initial concentration of galactose, lactose, and citrate are designated Gal0, Lac0, and Cit0, respectively. The specific substrate consumption rate of galactose, lactose, and citrate are designated as qGal, qLac, and qCit, respectively.
3Kinetic constants were calculated using an equation derived from the Gompertz model (Fan et al., 2004) and Logarithmic model (Görgens et al., 2005).

Determined to be 0.335 ± 0.0144 (P < 0.001) for galactose, 0.016 ± 0.0036 (P < 0.001) for lactose, and 0.016 ± 0.0035 (P < 0.001) for citrate (pmol × net cfu⁻¹ × h⁻¹) (Figure 4). The specific consumption rates for galactose indicate that this substrate is used during the logarithmic growth phase 22 ± 5 times faster than lactose and citrate. Lactate and citrate are utilized at similar rates during exponential growth; however, the energy that these substrates produce during fermentation is 4 times higher for lactate than citrate. These very low amounts suggest that these substrates are not the main source of energy under the conditions of this study. In addition, a rate of 0.355 pmol/cfu during exponential growth for galactose is not enough to explain the final cell densities observed, indirectly suggesting that other substrates are being utilized or preferred during exponential growth.

Phosphoserine and Phosphoserine-Containing Peptides

The consumption pattern of the phosphoserine and phosphoserine-containing peptide mixture is presented in Figure 3. To determine consumption rates, Equation 5 was applied and results are summarized in Table 4. Utilization of the phosphoserine/phosphoserine-containing peptide pool was initiated during early logarithmic growth of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 in CCE with an apparent consumption rate (Rmax,SerP,1) of 0.3 mEq/h, and levels decreased relatively rapidly until 2.2 mEq were consumed. Additionally, a second apparent maximum consumption rate (Rmax,SerP,2) of 0.1 mEq/h was observed once cells reached the stationary phase and continued until the end of the fermentation. The specific consumption rate for phosphoserine-containing phosphopeptides was estimated to be 0.016 ± 0.0016 (P > 0.001; pmol × net cfu⁻¹ × h⁻¹; see Figure 4), supporting the view that these biomolecules are not utilized during the logarithmic growth phase. These results suggest that phosphoserine/phosphoserine-containing peptide pool is utilized during both the logarithmic and stationary growth phases.

Smeianov et al. (2007) proposed a pathway for phosphoserine utilization in Lactobacillus helveticus CNRZ 32, wherein phosphoserine is converted to 3-phosphohydroxypruvate by phosphoserine aminotransferase (SerC), then to 3-phosphoglycerate, a glycolytic intermediate, by phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (SerA). While the annotated genomic sequence of Lb. paracasei ATCC 334 does contain serA, an ortholog to serC has not been detected. However, the genome encodes at least 8 different aminotransferases, and it is plausible that one or more of these enzymes is able to catalyze conversion of 3-phosphoserine to 3-phosphohydroxypruvate.

Kinetics of Product Biosynthesis

Similar to substrate consumption and bacterial growth, the formation of aqueous products was analyzed using equations derived from the Gompertz model (Equations 5 and 6).

**Organic Acids**

L-Lactate was present at concentrations of 35.88 ± 2.56, 27.77 ± 1.69, and 28.65 ± 1.04 mM in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE, respectively. Similarly, total lactic acid was present in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE at concentration s of 36.2 ± 2.56, 28.2 ± 1.70, and 29.4 ± 1.04 mM, respectively. The observed decrease in total lactic acid of 8.5 ± 3.08 mM between CCE prepared from cheese ripened for 2 and 6 mo suggests that lactate was metabolized into other products by the cheese microbiota during this time period. Previously, Thomas et al. (1985) determined that some pediococci are able to metabolize L-lactate to acetate and CO2 via an oxygen-dependent pathway. However, no pediococci were detected by denaturing gradient gel electropho-
resis (J. L. Steele and J. R. Broadbent, unpublished data). Therefore, it is possible that other LAB were present that were capable of metabolizing lactate during cheese ripening.

Formate, acetate, and d-lactate were produced during growth in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE (Table 2). The relatively high levels of l-lactate present in the CCE, and the higher standard deviation observed (σ = 0.25 mM) during the fermentations prevented the estimation of its kinetic parameters, because no change greater than this standard deviation in l-lactate concentration was measured in any of the fermentations and, hence, these values are not presented in Table 2. The primary l-lactate dehydrogenase of *Lb. paracasei* is allosterically regulated by fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FDP), reducing the enzyme's activity when FDP is at a low concentration. Hence, significant production of l-lactate is not expected during growth in media with limiting carbohydrate, such as is present in CCE (de Vries et al., 1970; Viana et al., 2005). However, the *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 genome contains 4 putative genes (*LSEI0145*, *LSEI0634*, *LSEI1310*, and *LSEI2549*) annotated as l-lactate dehydrogenases and 5 annotated as malate/l-lactate dehydrogenases (*LSEI131-, LSEI2866, LSEI0634, LSEI2549, and LSEI2607*); hence, a low level of production or utilization of l-lactate may have occurred.

**Formate**

The amount of formate produced by *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 during growth in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE was 5.4 ± 0.27, 4.8 ± 0.19, and 3.6 ± 0.04 mM (Table 2). Production of formate is likely the result of the fermentation process.
of pyruvate formate lyase activity on pyruvate and a gene encoding this activity has been identified in the *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 genome. The level of formate produced is likely to be higher than the level detected, as formate tends to evaporate faster than other organic acids due to its lower vapor pressure and likely to be lost by to the continuous flushing of the medium with an anaerobic gas mixture. Additionally, it is possible that the formate produced could have been further metabolized to CO2 and H2; however, a gene encoding the enzyme responsible for this reaction, formate dehydrogenase, has not been identified in the ATCC 334 genome.

**Acetate**

The amount of acetate produced by *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 during growth in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE was 7.5 ± 0.51, 5.5 ± 0.22, and 5.0 ± 0.07 mM, respectively (Table 2). Several metabolic pathways can result in the formation of acetate; these include the pyruvate formate lyase- or acetyl-transferring dehydrogenase-initiated pathway, pentose metabolism, citrate utilization, and fatty acid degradation pathways. In the case of acetate formed during growth in 2mCCE, previous research suggested that both pyruvate formate lyase and citrate utilization pathways are likely involved. Díaz-Muñiz and Steele (2006) demonstrated that *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 produces approximately 2 mol of acetate for every mole of citrate consumed when grown with a limiting concentration of galactose. Therefore, metabolism of 2.3 mM citrate present in 2mCCE likely resulted in the accumulation of 4.6 mM acetate. The parameters obtained from the application of the Gompertz model on substrate consumption and product biosynthesis (Tables 2 and 4) indicate that as citrate starts to be utilized, acetate began to be produced (46.5 ± 1.8 h for citrate utilization and 46.3 ± 0.4 h for acetate production) and the maximum rate of acetate biosynthesis is 3.3 times higher than the rate of citrate utilization (171.3 ± 29.7 and 569.5 ± 46.6 μmol/h, respectively), confirming a close relationship between citrate utilization and acetate formation. Limited information is available to form a hypothesis on the metabolic pathway(s) involved in the formation of acetate by *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 during growth in 6mCCE and 8mCCE. However, it is likely that these CCE contain very low levels of readily utilizable substrates and, hence, flux through the pyruvate formate lyase- or acetyl-transferring dehydrogenase-initiated pathway is likely responsible for a significant amount of the acetate produced during these fermentations.

**d-Lactate**

The amount of d-lactate produced by *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 during growth in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE was 1.2 ± 0.14, 0.8 ± 0.19, and 0.1 ± 0.02 mM, respectively (Table 2). The formation of d-lactate by *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 during growth on limiting carbohydrate with or without addition of citrate was reported by Díaz-Muñiz et al. (2006). The d-lactate produced is likely the result of a fructose diphosphate-independent d-lactate dehydrogenase (Garvie, 1980). At least 2 such dehydrogenases have been identified in the *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 genome. Studies done on strain BL23 have demonstrated residual d-lactate dehydrogenase activity in *Lb. paracasei* strains with inactivated lactate dehydrogenase genes, including *hicD* (Rico et al., 2008). The differences in the amounts of d-lactate produced between the different CCE is likely due to differences in final cell densities, substrates utilized, and relative flux through the competing pyruvate catabolic pathways.

**Kinetic Analysis of Organic Acid Formation**

The kinetic parameters obtained for acetate, formate and d-lactate production in 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE using the Gompertz product formation
equations are presented in Table 2. The lag time decreased for acetate and formate formation as the age of cheese used for CCE preparation increased, suggesting an earlier switch to pathways forming products other than lactic acid (e.g., pyruvate formate lyase pathway, pentose phosphate pathway, and phosphoketolase pathway). The lag time for d-lactate formation remained the same. The rate of production of acetate, formate and d-lactate decreases as the age of cheese used in the preparation of CCE increases, likely due to slower growth rates in these CCE. The molar ratios of formate to d-lactate were significantly higher in 8mCCE (27.8 ± 4.78; \( P < 0.01 \)) compared with the ratios found in 2mCCE and 6mCCE (4.3 ± 0.55 and 5.8 ± 1.27, respectively). This suggests that flux through the pyruvate formate lyase pathway is favored over the activity of d-lactate dehydrogenase during growth in 8mCCE.

Appearance of Volatile Compounds in 8mCCE

Attempts were made to capture volatiles produced during fermentation of 2mCCE and 6mCCE using sorbent cartridges. Unfortunately, the use of continuous gas flow during fermentation washed out the volatile adsorbed by these cartridges. Hence, volatile data was only available via solid-phase microextraction analyses from 5 time points during the 8mCCE fermentation (Table 5). In general, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, and organic acids were produced during the fermentation of carbohydrates present in CCE by \textit{Lb. paracasei} ATCC 334.

The volatiles produced during growth in a carbohydrate-limited environment is a reflection of the microbiota optimizing energy formation, while maintaining its NAD+/NADH balance. Ethanol was the volatile produced in the greatest quantity and the most likely source of ethanol biosynthesis is via acetaldehyde dehydrogenase activity on acetaldehyde (Supplemental Figure S1, Panel A and B; available online at http://www.journalofdairyscience.org). Acetaldehyde can be either formed directly from pyruvate via pyruvate decarboxylase (Supplemental Figure S1, Panel A) or indirectly from acetyl-coenzyme A (CoA) formed from pyruvate by pyruvate formate lyase or an acetyl-transferring pyruvate dehydrogenase. Acetaldehyde would then be formed from acetyl-CoA by the sequential activities of an NADH-dependent acetaldehyde dehydrogenase and NADH-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase (Supplemental Figure S1, Panel B; available online at http://www.journalofdairyscience.org). Acetate formation can be either formed from d-lactate or from pyruvate via pyruvate decarboxylase.

Table 5. Volatiles produced (in relative abundance units) by \textit{Lactobacillus paracasei} ATCC 334 at 37°C and pH 5.2 during growth in Cheddar cheese extract prepared from 8-mo-old cheese

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Precursor</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>0 h</th>
<th>7 h</th>
<th>24 h</th>
<th>36 h</th>
<th>64 h</th>
<th>72 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PYR, AC</td>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYR, AC</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYR</td>
<td>Diacetyl</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYR</td>
<td>Acetoin</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PYR</td>
<td>Formate</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Isopropyl alcohol</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Hexanal</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>1-Hexanol</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Acetate</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>43.94</td>
<td>59.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Diacetyl</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Butyrate</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Propanal</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>2-Butanone</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>3-Methyl-1-butanol</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>1-Propanol</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Benzyldehydrate</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Hexanoate</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNK</td>
<td>Cyclohexanone</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNK</td>
<td>2-Ethyl-1-hexanol</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNK</td>
<td>Ethyl ether</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results represent the mean derived from triplicate experiments.

Possible precursors: PYR = pyruvate; AC = acetyl-coenzyme A (CoA); and UNK = unknown.
in the formation of 1 mol each of acetate and ATP from 1 mol each of pyruvate and ADP.

In addition to the primary carbon flux described above, the volatile compounds produced by *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 during growth in 8mCCE suggest a variety of other relatively minor metabolic fluxes. Volatiles such as 2-butanone, benzaldehyde, and 3-methyl butanal are likely products of AA catabolic pathways. Diacetyl (2,3-butanedione) and acetoin (3-hydroxy-2-butanone) are thought to arise from the activity of acetylacetate synthase on pyruvate. Acetaldehyde, acetone, butanol, and butanoate (butyrate) are likely formed via acetyl-CoA metabolic pathways (Supplemental Figure S1, panel B; available online at http://www.journalofdairy science.org). It is interesting to note that some compounds accumulate during earlier times in the fermentation and then decrease in concentration. The majority of these compounds exhibiting this pattern are substrates for dehydrogenases (i.e., acetaldehyde, diacetyl, hexanal, 2-butanone, and benzaldehyde) and, hence, likely play an important role in maintaining the NAD+/NADH balance. The volatiles produced during the growth of *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 in 8mCCE can be directly related to flux through pyruvate, acetyl-CoA, or AA catabolic pathways. The need to generate energy, while maintaining NAD+/NADH balance, dictates fluxes through these pathways.

**Metabolic Fluxes in 2mCCE**

The substrates utilized during growth of *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 in 2mCCE were phosphopeptides, lactose, galactose, and citrate. The primary metabolic end products observed were D-lactate, formate, and acetate. The amounts of substrates were 1.70 mmol of lactose, 2.83 mmol of galactose (however, only 1.76 ± 0.10 mmol were used), and 2.91 mmol of citrate, whereas the amounts of products detected were 7.53 mmol of acetate, 5.35 mmol of formate, and 1.24 mmol D-lactate. The metabolism of 1 mol of citrate by *Lb. casei* ATCC 334 in CCE results in the formation of 1 mol of acetate, 1 mol of L-lactate, and 1 mol of CO₂ (Díaz-Muñiz and Steele, 2006). Therefore, the metabolism of 2.91 mmol of citrate is expected to yield 2.91 mmol of acetate, 2.91 mmol of L-lactate, and 2.91 mmol of CO₂, leaving 4.62 mmol of additional acetate to account for. The 1.70 mmol of lactose and 1.76 mmol of galactose (5.16 mmol of hexoses) are most likely metabolized via the Embden-Meyerhoff pathway to yield 10.32 mmol of pyruvate, which can be further metabolized by a variety of pathways. The production of 1.24 mmol of D-lactate via a fructose diphosphate-independent dehydrogenase would account for 1.24 mmol of pyruvate, and production of 5.35 mmol of formate and 5.35 mmol of acetyl-CoA via a pyruvate formate lyase would still leave 3.73 mmol of pyruvate unaccounted for. Several explanations can account for the remaining pyruvate; the incomplete recovery of formate results in underestimating the contribution of pyruvate formate lyase, or the activity of other enzymes active on pyruvate, such as acetyl-transferring pyruvate dehydrogenase, pyruvate decarboxylase, or acetylactate synthase. The conversion of 4.62 mmol of acetyl-CoA to acetate would generate 4.62 mmol of ATP and account for the remainder of the acetate observed. The remaining 0.73 mmol of acetyl-CoA could be converted into ethanol, acetone, butanol, and butyrate. It is important to note that the production of either ethanol or 2,3-butanediol would regenerate 2 mmol of NAD(P)⁺ and, hence, these pathways likely have an important role in maintaining redox balance. These results suggest that citrate is converted to acetate as described previously by Díaz-Muñiz et al. (2006), whereas lactose and galactose are utilized via the Embden-Meyerhoff pathway to produce pyruvate, which is subsequently converted to formate, acetate, and D-lactate. A portion of the pyruvate pool formed could not be accounted for; likely, additional end products derived from this pool include ethanol, 2,3-butanediol, acetone, butanol, and butyrate.

The production of volatiles during growth of *Lb. paracasei* ATCC 334 in 8mCCE was monitored to evaluate the metabolic pathways utilized by *Lb. casei* during the later stages of ripening Cheddar cheese. The 2 volatiles detected at high levels were ethanol and acetate, with ethanol being produced in the greatest quantity. Ethanol is most likely produced from acetaldehyde via acetaldehyde dehydrogenase and likely has a role in regenerating NAD⁺. Acetate is the volatile formed in the second greatest amount; in 8mCCE, it is most likely formed through sequential activity of either pyruvate formate lyase or an acetyl-transferring pyruvate dehydrogenase to generate acetyl-CoA, with the acetyl-CoA subsequently being metabolized by phosphate acetyltransferase and acetate kinase to generate acetate and ATP. The remaining detected volatiles are present in significantly lower amounts and likely result from either AA catabolism or relatively minor fluxes from pyruvate or acetyl-CoA metabolism. The organism’s need to generate energy, while maintaining NAD⁺/NADH balance, dictates fluxes through these pathways and, hence, cheese flavor development.

**Metabolic Fluxes in 6mCCE and 8mCCE**

Analyses of 6mCCE and 8mCCE fermentation determined that the level of galactose, lactose, citrate, and phosphoserine/phosphoserine-containing peptides were all below their quantifiable levels of 0.22 mM, 0.19 mM,
0.02 mM, and 0.10 mEq, respectively. Using a carbon-balancing approach and assuming each substrate is at its limit of detection, in total, these substrates could result in the formation of 1.32 mM pyruvate. However, growth of \textit{Lb. paracasei} ATCC 334 in 6mCCE resulted in the production of 5.50 ± 0.22, 0.85 ± 0.19, and 4.82 ± 0.19 mM acetate, \textit{d}-lactate, and formate, respectively, whereas growth of \textit{Lb. paracasei} ATCC 334 in 8mCCE resulted in the production of 5.01 ± 0.07, 0.13 ± 0.02, and 3.61 ± 0.04 mM acetate, \textit{d}-lactate, and formate, respectively. By conducting a simple carbon balance it is possible to calculate that 6.10 and 2.96 mM pyruvate would be required to produce the observed products in 6mCCE and 8mCCE, respectively. This analysis strongly suggests that substrates other than galactose, lactose, citrate, and phosphoserine/phosphoserine-containing peptides are utilized during growth of \textit{Lb. paracasei} ATCC334 in 6mCCE and 8mCCE.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A more detailed understanding of substrates utilized for growth, the metabolic pathways employed, and how flux through these pathways is controlled is required to control the effect of NSLAB on cheese flavor development. The development of CCE, a model medium based upon the aqueous phase of ripening Cheddar cheese, has allowed us to begin to probe the growth characteristics, substrates that support growth, as well as the metabolic pathways utilized and products formed by a representative NSLAB, \textit{Lb. paracasei} ATCC 334, during growth in ripening Cheddar cheese. To assess the changes in substrates present as a function of ripening time, 2mCCE, 6mCCE, and 8mCCE were prepared. Results demonstrated that the ability of CCE to support the growth of \textit{Lb. paracasei} decreased as the ripening time of the Cheddar cheese used to prepare this medium increased. However, even 8mCCE contained sufficient growth substrates for ATCC 334 to reach a final cell density of \(2.1 \times 10^7\) cfu/mL. This result suggests that substrate availability does not limit growth of \textit{Lb. paracasei} in cheese aged up to 8 mo, even though lactose, galactose, and citrate, known growth substrates for this species, were only detected in 2mCCE. Additionally, the metabolic products formed by \textit{Lb. paracasei} ATCC 334 during growth in 6mCCE and 8mCCE cannot be explained by the residual levels of lactose, galactose, and citrate present in these CCE, suggesting that additional energy sources (i.e., milk-derived complex carbohydrates and starter-derived components) are utilized during growth of \textit{Lb. paracasei} ATCC 334 in these CCE. Research to identify the growth substrates utilized by \textit{Lb. paracasei} and other NSLAB after the simple carbohydrates and citrate are exhausted, or at concentrations below BQL, is underway in our laboratories.
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