Utah State University DigitalCommons@USU

Undergraduate Honors Capstone Projects

Honors Program

5-2007

Celiac Disease: What Dietitians Can Do to Effectively Treat a Growing Problem

Meagan Roxanne Wade Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/honors

Part of the Dietetics and Clinical Nutrition Commons

Recommended Citation

Wade, Meagan Roxanne, "Celiac Disease: What Dietitians Can Do to Effectively Treat a Growing Problem" (2007). *Undergraduate Honors Capstone Projects*. 691. https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/honors/691

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Honors Program at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Undergraduate Honors Capstone Projects by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



CELIAC DISEASE: WHAT DIETITIANS CAN DO TO EFFECTIVELY TREAT A GROWING PROBLEM

by

Meagan Roxanne Wade

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

DEPARTMENTAL HONORS

in

Dietetics in the Department of Nutrition and Food Sciences

Approved:

Thesis/Project Advisor (Noreen Schvaneveldt) **Departmental Honors Advisor** (Janet Anderson)

Director of Honors Program (Dr. Christie Fox)

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY Logan, UT

Spring 2007

Author's Biography

Meagan Wade, born in Lubbock, Texas and raised in Silverthorne, Colorado graduated in 2002 from Summit High School in Breckenridge, Colorado. She pursued her interest in photography at the University of North Texas in Denton, Texas as a freshman. Deciding her interests had changed to nutrition, she transferred to Utah State University in 2003 to pursue a degree in dietetics. With a thirst for the challenging, Meagan applied to the coordinated program in dietetics and had the opportunity to receive clinical instruction from dietitians at McKay-Dee and Logan Regional Hospitals, Primary Children's Medical Center, Wasatch Dialysis Center, and the Diabetes Care Center. Passionate about building long-term relationships with clients and patients, Meagan looks to work in a dialysis center and help patients with kidney disease better understand and comply with their therapeutic diets.

Meagan has had the opportunity to work as an intern and staff assistant for the Food Stamp Nutrition Education Program for almost two years. Through her work, she has given lectures at statewide conferences and as satellite broadcasts. She has also had the opportunity to act as a student clinical preceptor for her younger peers in their internship experiences during the spring semester of 2007. She is a co-author of *Eat Right! Healthy Eating in College and Beyond* by Janet B. Anderson, Nedra K. Christensen, Emily Hoffman, Heidi LeBlanc, Kim McMahon, Tamara S. Vitale, Meagan Wade, and Heidi Wengreen (Benjamin Cummings/Pearson Education, San Francisco, CA:2007). She received a nomination for the American Dietetic Association student of the year for 2006-2007.

After she graduates in May 2007, Meagan will likely pursue a Master of Nutritional Science at Utah State University.

Celiac Disease: What Dietitians Can do to Effectively Treat a Growing Problem

3 4 Introduction

1

2

The symptomology of celiac disease, also known as gluten-sensitive enteropathy or celiac 5 sprue, was first described in AD 50 by Aretaeus, a noted ancient Greek physician who 6 specialized in isolating and explaining disease states (1-3). Clinical manifestations of the disease 7 were distinctly defined by Samuel Gee in 1888 who theorized that diet therapy was likely the 8 treatment for the disease: "the allowance of farinaceous must be small, but if the patient can be 9 cured at all, it must be by means of diet (1,2)." Farinaceous foods included those rich in starches 10 or mealy in texture. Treatment at the time consisted of a dietary regimen of rice, bananas, and 11 cream (2). Approximately 60 years later, a Dutch pediatrician named Willem Carol Dicke, 12 isolated gluten as the "toxic fraction" and cause of the enteropathy associated with the disease 13 (1,2). This discovery was made with the coinciding rise and fall of hospitalizations caused by 14 celiac disease and the fluctuation in bread and wheat rationing during World War II (2). In the 15 1980s, the causative factor of celiac disease was further determined to be an allergy to the gliadin 16 fraction of gluten (1). Until recently, the disease was classified as a malabsorption disorder in 17 children; it is now recognized to occur at any age and onset of symptoms may occur in 18 individuals that are 50 to 60 years of age (4,5). 19

20 Etiology and Characteristics of Celiac Disease

Celiac disease is an inflammatory disorder of the small intestine that causes malabsorption secondary to flattening of the intestinal mucosa and microvilli as a result of the ingestion of gluten (3,6). Gluten is a complex protein and is classified generally as a prolamin protein as the storage form of protein in wheat, rye, and barley (1). The associated inflammatory response is a result of the intestine's T-cell-mediated immune reaction specifically to the gliadin

fraction of gluten; gluten is comprised of the smaller proteins gliadin and glutenin (2, 5, 7-10).
Gluten can be isolated as the proteins line up in a wheat flour and water combination; when
water is passed through the product, the starch molecules are released from the protein matrix
leaving a ball of pure gluten (1). Although ball formations of barley or rye and water cannot be
manipulated in the same way as wheat, they elicit the same inflammatory response in the
gastrointestinal system of those diagnosed with celiac disease and are classified also as gluten-

The glutenin fraction is particularly apt to forming covalent bonds and allowing for the 8 establishment of a matrix to trap water and create a dough-like texture, providing for the 9 elasticity of the product in which it is used (1,10). The main amino acid complexes in gluten are 10 glutamine and proline residues. Glutamine's role in the growth of the seedling is found in the 11 high availability of nitrogen; proline is thought to have a protective role (10). Gluten abounds in 12 the typical American diet and ingestion of rye, barley, wheat or related components insult the 13 gastrointestinal tract and initiate inflammation (7). The disease tends to spread progressively 14 through the bowel with the proximal small intestine as the first affected area (11). 15

16 Celiac disease is not classified as a food allergy but rather an inflammatory disease 17 because the reaction is not immunoglobulin-E antibody mediated (1). Celiac disease has a multi-18 faceted effect on the body's immune systems. Innate immunity, or the immunity with which a 19 child is born that allows for natural protection against harmful microbes, is comprised of both 20 chemical (enzymes) and physical barriers (skin) (12). Adaptive or acquired immunity is initiated 21 when an individual's innate immunity does not have the resources to overcome an insult; 22 adaptive immunity results in the activation of B and T cells and antibodies to resolve the attack

(12). Although it is well known that celiac disease causes adaptive or acquired immunity, it is
also thought to have an effect on innate immunity (10).

The National Institute of Health (NIH) has divided celiac disease into four different 3 classes: 1) Classical celiac disease, which includes individuals who exhibit typical symptoms 4 like diarrhea, bloating, abdominal pain, and weight loss; 2) Celiac disease with atypical 5 symptoms, which includes those who experience few intestinal symptoms but exhibit symptoms 6 like anemia, osteoporosis, neurological damage; 3) Silent celiac disease, which includes those 7 who are asymptomatic but test positive through serologic testing and biopsy; and 4) Latent celiac 8 disease which includes those who have positive serologies but negative biopsies who are likely 9 to eventually manifest with the aforementioned symptoms and intestinal changes (2). 10

11

Gastrointestinal Signs and Symptoms

Celiac disease is often not suspected without the presence of overt symptoms including 12 diarrhea, abdominal discomfort and abdominal distention (7). Other hallmark symptoms include 13 episodic diarrhea, steatorrhea, short stature, anemia, unintentional weight loss, abdominal 14 distention edema, and dermatologic disorders; patients may present with an array of mild to 15 severe symptoms (1,2,7,8). Only about one-half of all individuals diagnosed with celiac disease 16 exhibit symptoms that involve the gastrointestinal tract (8). The classic manifestation of an 17 infant with celiac disease is one in which the infant was considered thriving until the introduction 18 of cereals into the diet after which the infant developed a distended abdomen, wasted extremities, 19 loose stools, and generalized failure to thrive (5). 20

21 Nutritional Signs and Symptoms

22 One of the most common ways that celiac disease is diagnosed is as a result of the 23 unexplainable presence of anemia manifest secondary to gastrointestinal bleeding and iron

malabsorption (8). Because the small bowel is intimately involved in the absorption of vitamins 1 2 and minerals, deficiencies of the fat-soluble vitamins A, D, E, and K and the water-soluble 3 vitamins folate, B12 and the mineral calcium (8,11,13). Because the proximal small intestine is 4 generally affected first, the initial nutrient deficiencies observed are often iron, folate, and 5 calcium; if the disease progresses to the ileum, B12 becomes a concern, particularly for the 6 elderly (11,13). A secondary lactose intolerance is not uncommon as the unhealthy intestine has 7 an impaired ability to produce lactase. As the disease spreads throughout the small bowel, other 8 nutrient deficiencies often manifest including carbohydrate, fat, and fat-soluble vitamins (11).

9 Other Signs and Symptoms

10 The gastrointestinal system is not the only system affected by celiac disease. The 11 neurologic, hematologic, endocrine, orthopedic, and dermatologic systems are also included 12 (11). With increasing research conducted in regards to celiac disease, neurological symptoms 13 are found to be increasingly common with the condition. Neurologic manifestations include 14 learning disorders, headache, and hypertonia (5). Dermatitis herpetiformis (DH) is a common 15 dermatologic symptom of celiac disease; while not all those with celiac disease have DH, all 16 those with DH have celiac disease (13). DH manifests as "severe, pruritic blistering skin" that is 17 found on the buttocks, elbows, or knees and is more often found in adults than in children (13). 18 The rash will likely clear up in six months if a gluten-free diet is followed (13). Left untreated, 19 celiac disease increases the risk for developing autoimmune diseases, osteoporosis secondary to 20 the malabsorption of calcium and vitamin D, reproductive disorders, and lymphoma (1,4,11).

Autoimmune diseases occur anywhere from 3 to 10 times more often in patients with celiac disease and include type 1 diabetes, primary biliary cirrhosis, Sjogren's syndrome and peripheral neuropathy (1). Type 1 diabetes is often found to coexist with celiac disease as their

autoimmune etiologies are similar. Because of the complexity and difficulty in adhering to a
 gluten-free and a diabetic diet, these patients benefit greatly from education from registered
 dietitians (11). Malignancies are also increasingly observed in the celiac population (1).

4 The Challenge of Diagnosis

Diagnosis of celiac disease in children is particularly notable as they begin to manifest 5 failure to thrive and pass pale, malodorous stools (1,7,11). In adults diagnosed with celiac 6 disease, symptoms vary greatly; some adults may exhibit several symptoms while others only 7 experience a few (11). Because the symptoms are so generalized and varied, celiac disease is 8 often misdiagnosed as other conditions, including irritable bowel syndrome, fibromyalgia, 9 chronic fatigue syndrome, or anxiety disorders. Because celiac disease is often misdiagnosed as 10 other diseases, many patients have visited with a number of different specialty physicians in 11 order to determine the cause of their symptoms by the time they are finally diagnosed with celiac 12 disease (11). Diagnosis of the disease can occur at any age with the mean age of diagnosis 13 between 40 and 50 years of age. Women are diagnosed two to three times more than men with 14 diarrhea as the most predominant symptom (1). Diagnosis has become increasingly common in 15 the past 50 years; however, celiac disease is still considered to be underdiagnosed (4). 16

Villous atrophy is the affirmative diagnostic marker of the disease (2). There are three factors that are considered to positively diagnose celiac disease: 1) a positive serologic test; 2) a biopsy sample of the small intestine; and 3) a positive response to a gluten-free diet (4,8). The serologic tests look specifically for levels of immunoglobulin-A endomysial antibody (IgA-EMA) and IgA tissue transglutaminase antibody (IgA-tTGA) (8). Antigliadin antibodies are generally not sensitive enough to indicate celiac disease (8). A biopsy indicative of celiac disease will show villous atrophy and lymphocytic infiltration of the intestinal mucosa; it is also

considered the "gold standard" for diagnosis because of the notable histologic features of the 1 disease (1,2,8,11). The villous atrophy exhibited in this condition is progressive and the extent 2 3 of the atrophy may influence the presence or absence of the serum antibodies (11). Conflicts arise if both serologic tests and the biopsy do not indicate celiac disease (8). If the serologic test 4 is positive and the biopsy is negative, the biopsy should be reviewed and a second biopsy should 5 6 be considered (8). If the biopsy yields positive results and the serologic test is negative, it is possible that there is an IgA deficiency or that there is another cause of the enteritis (8). A single 7 negative biopsy does not necessarily indicate that the individual does not have celiac disease as 8 atrophy progresses over time (5). It is critical that a gluten-free diet not be followed until after 9 the serological testing is done to ensure a proper diagnosis (11). 10

The extent of intestinal damage can be based on a continuum as established by Marsh (1). 11 The initial (Marsh I) lesion manifests in "intraepithelial lymphocytosis in normal appearing villi" 12 (1). Further progression to Marsh II lesions manifest in "crypt hyperplasia in addition to the 13 intraepithelial lymphocytosis" (1). However, the majority of those diagnosed with celiac disease 14 have progressed to the Marsh III stage of the continuum at the time of diagnosis with "villous 15 atrophy, crypt hyperplasia, and intraepithelial lymphocytosis" (1). The Marsh IV stage includes 16 17 all degrees of villous atrophy from partial to total atrophy encompassing all hallmarks of the 18 previous stages.

Four different antibodies can be tested and are considered nonexistent in the serum of those individuals who have followed a gluten-free diet for six months or longer (8). Early diagnosis is beneficial for the patient as he/she does not experience his/her symptoms for a lengthy period of time which will result in a more favorable outcome (11). Surveys recently

taken by individuals with celiac diseases indicate that the mean length of time suffered by
 patients until diagnosis is about 11 years (2,11).

3 There is an overall difficulty for primary care physicians in diagnosing celiac disease with the majority of diagnoses resulting from a consultation with a gastroenterologist (4). Zipser 4 et al. discovered that of 2440 patients diagnosed with celiac disease, only 11% were diagnosed 5 6 by primary care physicians (4). Those diagnosed by primary care physicians had only suffered symptoms for about 1 year prior to diagnosis. Those who were eventually diagnosed by a 7 gastroenterologist had suffered the symptoms for an average of at least seven years; the delay in 8 diagnosis could not be directly attributed to a delay on the part of the referring physician or the 9 gastroenterologist. However, a study conducted in Ireland found that about two-thirds of 10 11 patients with celiac disease are diagnosed by primary care physicians using endomysial antibody testing which has been found to yield 75-98% sensitivity and provide an accurate diagnosis (4). 12 This study demonstrated that only 44% of US physicians were aware that endomysial testing was 13 an effective method to diagnose celiac disease (4). Additionally, most physicians were unaware 14 of the range of symptoms from abdominal pain to osteoporosis (4). 15

16 The Profile of Those with Celiac Disease

Individuals are thought to be genetically predisposed with 1 in 120-300 individuals in
North America and Europe being affected (6). The disease occurs largely in those of Caucasian
ancestry and is rarely found in individuals of African-Americans, Japanese, and Chinese descent
(1,5). The prevalence in first-degree relatives of an individual with celiac disease is 1 in 22;
prevalence among second-degree relatives is 1 in 39 (11). Other groups of individuals are
considered more susceptible to celiac disease than the general population include those with
Down's syndrome, Turner syndrome, and rheumatoid arthritis (5). Celiac disease has long been

thought to occur mainly in childhood, especially after cereals containing gluten were introduced
into the diet (11). In more recent studies and observations, however, it has been found that in
those who are genetically predisposed, celiac disease can manifest at any age (5,11).

4

The Intestinal Impact of Ingesting Gluten

More than one gene is implicated in the occurrence of celiac disease (10). In individuals 5 without celiac disease, gluten is broken down in the small intestine into peptide fractions; in the 6 individual with celiac disease, the 33-amino acid sequence fails to be broken down by the 7 digestive process (2,10). The inflammatory cascade is initiated when the undigested protein 8 sequence enters the lamina propia that causes the release of T cells. The release of T cells spurs 9 the release of cytokines, which in turn activate the inflammatory response. As the villi are 10 inflamed, they are unable to appropriately absorb nutrients (2). The gliadin in the undigested 11 proteins promotes the action and accumulation of lymphocytes to the site of inflammation (7). 12 The reaction is T-cell mediated and occurs in the lamina propia (1,10). 13

14 Medical Treatment

15 The NIH has identified 6 main interventions in the general medical treatment of 16 individuals with celiac disease that follow the acronym CELIAC: 1) Consultation with a 17 registered dietitian; 2) Education; 3) Lifelong adherence to a gluten-free diet; 4) Identification 18 and treatment of nutritional deficiencies; 5) Availability of a support group; 6) Continuous long-19 term follow-up by a multidisciplinary team, including a registered dietitian (2,5).

20 Nutritional Treatment

The only known treatment for celiac disease is the adherence to a gluten-free diet for life and in a "wheat-laden world" (2), it presents a hefty challenge (2,11). Strict adherence to a gluten-free diet is mandatory for recovery and maintenance of proper health and nutrition: as

little as 1/8th of a teaspoon of flour will cause notable damage to the mucosa (2). After a glutenfree diet is followed for a period of time, the intestinal villi will return to a normal and absorptive
state (3).

4 A comprehensive approach must be taken when counseling patients with celiac disease 5 with particular note made of both the emotional and social impacts of the disease (2). On all quality of life questions answered in a survey done by Lee and Newman, participants with celiac 6 7 disease indicated that they felt compromised by a gluten-free diet (3, 14). In a study done by 8 Sverker et al., patients admitted to experiencing a variety of emotions including fear, isolation, 9 and shame (14). One of the participants stated, "I am often reminded at meals with friends and family. They eat something else, and it looks so good.... but I can't eat it. It can happen several 10 11 times a week and I think it is so sad" (14). Another participant considered eating at restaurants with friends a family a "tragedy" as it often took a visit to three or four restaurants to find one 12 that would serve a gluten-free dish (14). Additionally, contention can arise in a restaurant setting 13 14 in which workers are unaware of the needs of a gluten-free diet or are unwilling to cater to the needs of the gluten-free diner. Many individuals also worry about being a burden to those with 15 16 whom they associate (14).

A variety of foods contain gluten, including unusual and less-obvious foods that typically would not be considered (11). Wheat is one of the primary grains used in preparing foods in the United States and is a major component of typical daily food items like breads and cereals. Other food items that contain gluten or wheat derivatives include sauces, soups, and thickening/stabilizing agents. Wheat starch may be found in products like prepared meats, including luncheon meats and hot dogs, soy sauce, candy, and some medications (11). Wheat

flour and malt from barley are common components of gravies, soups, processed cheeses, and
 icing (2).

3 Food labels must be read thoroughly. Food manufacturers in the United States are only 4 required to include the eight major allergens on food labels; this only includes wheat and does 5 not include a mandatory statement as to whether or not it is gluten-free. It is important to 6 understand that "gluten-free" and "wheat-free" are not synonymous (11). If ingredient lists are 7 ambiguous, the manufacturer should be contacted to confirm the content of the product (2). 8 "Hydrolyzed proteins" and "modified food starch" are generic terms that imply that wheat may 9 have been used but is not necessarily a component listed on the ingredient list (11). Because of this ambiguity, the products that contain these ingredients must be avoided. 10

A gluten-free diet is often considered unpleasant to follow as many of the product replacements, like gluten-free bread, have unpleasant texture and taste and storage problems (10). Additionally difficult is the mixing of gluten-free and gluten-containing products. For example, a cereal may contain only gluten-free grains but the grains are contaminated in a plant that also processes gluten-containing cereal (10).

Although it may appear that many foods must be excluded from the gluten-free diet, there are also many food items that are naturally gluten-free including meats, fruits, vegetables, fish, poultry, eggs, nuts and seeds, milk, yogurt and cheese (11). Ancient grains such as quinoa, buckwheat, and millet are considered acceptable as they are not related to wheat and provide a good source of B-vitamins that may be difficult to acquire in a diet without wheat, rye, and barley (2). A mixture of gluten-free flours are often used to effectively create products that are similar to those made with gluten-containing flour (11). For example, a gluten-free yeast bread

can be effectively made with a combination of xanthan gum and rice, tapioca, and potato starch
 flours (15).

Following a strict gluten-free diet is advisable to maintain adequate health; in addition to an individual food component, cross-contamination with gluten-containing products must be avoided (11). Separate pans, utensils, and even toasters are suggested for the individual with celiac disease who lives in a family or group setting. An individual with celiac disease should have his or her own condiments so there is minimal risk of contamination with gluten-containing products (11).

9 Because following a gluten-free diet has a significant impact on dietary patterns, it in turn 10 has extreme impact on all aspects of life. In individuals with celiac disease who adhere to a 11 gluten-free diet, research has documented a general decrease in social interactions travel and 12 dining at restaurants (11). Teenagers are often noncompliant to a following a gluten-free diet 13 which is of particular concern considering that the teenage years are those in which nutrient 14 demand for bone deposition and overall growth is greatest. Follow-up and personalized attention 15 by both physicians and dietitians is critical in the success of patients with celiac disease (11).

16 Are oats appropriate?

Only recently have oats in moderate amounts been deemed acceptable for individuals on a gluten-free diet (11). Because oats contain avenin which is classified as a prolamin protein (like gluten), it has been thought that oats may trigger a similar reaction (2,9). However, the current and more accurate issue with oat consumption is that oats are usually contaminated with barley, rye, or wheat (2,11). Companies are now attempting to keep oats from being contaminated in transport, milling, and growing (11). While oats have typically been avoided by those on a gluten-free diet, recent feeding studies have indicated that oats are considered safe for

those with celiac disease to consume because they do not share the same amino acid sequence as rye, barley, and wheat (2,9). In vitro studies done with intestinal biopsies have shown no reaction to the avenin fraction of oats (9). However, studies have shown that some individuals are chronically sensitive to oats while others are not; it cannot be generally concluded that all patients with celiac disease can consume oats (9).

6 In a study done by Arentz-Hansen et al., nine patients with celiac disease were shown to 7 have quite different reactions to oats. Three of the participants experiencing Marsh III 8 classifications, three patients with absolutely no intestinal reaction, 2 patients meeting Marsh II 9 and one patient meeting Marsh I criteria (9). Though small, the study indicates that some 10 patients with celiac disease have a sensitivity to oats. It is currently unknown what percentage of 11 those with celiac disease also have a sensitivity to oats. It is important that clinicians are aware 12 that some individuals with celiac disease may experience villous atrophy even if they are 13 adhering to a gluten free diet because of sensitivity to oats (9).

14 A Dietitian's Role

15 Nutrition assessment for those with celiac disease is based mainly on weight 16 measurements and laboratory values; registered dietitians should also be aware of common 17 nutritional deficiencies and the potential need for supplementation, the differences in 18 presentation of the disease and the emotional and social burden of the disease (2). Iron, mean 19 cell volume, calcium, electrolytes and albumin should be watched particularly closely. The 20 registered dietitian should be sensitive to patient information overload and a patient's willingness 21 to learn. It is generally recommended that education be given in two general sessions. The first 22 session should cover survival skills, grocery shopping, and social situations and would be a 23 perfect opportunity for the dietitian to offer hands-on experience by going to the grocery store

1 with the client. The second session should include information about exercise, alternative grains, 2 and overall health and nutrition. Adequate and appropriate education for the patient with celiac 3 disease is critical. Unless a patient feels empowered and that a gluten-free diet is possible for 4 him/her to follow, adherence is not likely. In fact, some patients admit to intentionally 5 "cheating" on their diet with only 68% of individuals following a gluten-free diet all of the time 6 and 30% following it most of the time (2). When away from home, 26% of individuals violate 7 their diet and 21% tend to violate their diet at social functions (3). Individuals on other 8 restrictive diets have some flexibility in making food choices without long-term consequences 9 for noncompliance; however, those with celiac disease will suffer consequences if they do not 10 adhere strictly to a gluten-free diet (2).

Lee et al. conducted a survey that found that 49% of individuals with celiac disease considered their health to be "good" before following a gluten-free diet; 71% of individuals surveyed considered their health to be "excellent" after following a gluten-free diet (3). Only 13% of individuals surveyed had reported receiving diet instruction from a registered dietitian of which only 21% found the instruction helpful (3). Perhaps the greatest issue involving registered dietitians is that some registered dietitians may have little or no knowledge about celiac disease which causes them to give ineffective education (3).

Many support groups locally and on the internet offer an avenue for reinforcement, ideas, recipes, and encouragement. Many websites offer email updates of new findings or manufacturing changes that benefit or change the habits of those following a gluten-free diet. The Celiac Disease Foundation in particular strives to maintain and disperse information on the most recent political and scientific movements that impact those with celiac disease (15). Other support groups for those with celiac disease can be accessed by typing "support group for celiac

disease" into a search engine. Both national and local results provide individuals with an
opportunity to network with those who are experiencing a similar situation. It is critical for a
registered dietitian to be aware of local support groups in which the client might be interested
based on his/her age at diagnosis and/or corresponding complications.

۰.

5 Because celiac disease can only be effectively treated through diet, registered dietitians 6 are an essential part of the treatment of celiac disease and the outcome of the patients who have 7 the disease. Without an effective registered dietitian who focuses on evidence-based, individual 8 treatment, adherence to a gluten-free diet will be minimal. It is critical that the dietitian realize 9 the social and emotional impact that will occur in the newly-diagnosed individual. It is essential 10 to help them transition effectively through active learning and not allow them to feel alienated by 11 only providing them with reading materials to take home and decipher for themselves.

Works cited

- 1. Jabri B, Kasarda DD, Green PHR. Innate and adaptive immunity: the Yin and Yang of celiac disease. *Immunological Reviews*. 2005;206:219-231.
- 2. Lee AR. Celiac Disease: Detection and Treatment. Top Clin Nutr. 2005; 20(2):139-145.
- 3. Lee A and Newman JM. Celiac diet: Its impact on quality of life. J Am Diet Assoc. 2003;103:1533-1535.
- 4. Zipser RD, Farid M, Baisch D, Patel B, Patel D. Physician Awareness of Celiac Disease: A Need for Further Education. J Gen Intern Med. 2005;20:644-646.
- 5. Allen PLJ. Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Celiac Disease in Children. *Pediatric Nursing.* 2004;30(6):473-476.
- 6. Escott-Stump S. *Nutrition and Diagnosis-Related Care*. 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002.
- 7. The Merck Manual. 17th Ed. New Jersey: Merck Research Laboratories, 1999.
- 8. Gheller-Rigoni AI, Yale SH, Abdulkarim AS. Celiac Disease: Celiac Sprue, Glutensensitive Enteropathy. *Clinical Medicine and Research*. 2004;2(4):71-72.
- 9. Arentz-Hansen H, Fleckenstein B, Molberg O, Scott H, Koning F, Jung G, Roepstorff P, Lundlin KEA, Sollid LM. The Molecular Basis for Oat Intolerance in Patients with Celiac Disease. *Public Library of Science Medicine*. 2004;1(1):84-92.
- 10. Koning F, Gilissen L, Wijmenga C. Gluten: a two-edged sword. Immunopathogenesis of celiac disease. *Springer Semin Immun.* 2005;27:217-232.
- 11. Zarkadas M and Case S. Celiac Disease and the Gluten-free Diet: An Overview. *Top Clin Nutr.* 2005;20(2):127-138.
- 12. Encyclopedia Britannica. Available at www.britannica.com. Accessed March 20, 2007.
- 13. Amerine E. Celiac Disease Goes Against the Grain. Nursing. 2006;36(2):46-48.
- 14. Sverker A, Hensing G, Hallert C. 'Controlled by food'—lived experiences of coeliac disease. J Hum Nutr Dietet. 2005;18:171-180.
- 15. The Celiac Disease Foundation. Available at <u>www.celiac.com</u>. Accessed March 20, 2007.