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Key Threads of Feminist Research in Technical and Professional Communication (TPC)

1. Sexist language and equal opportunity
2. Gender differences
3. Recovery of women’s historical contributions to technical communication
4. Critiques of representations of women and of representations that exclude women

“‘[W]hat counts’ as technical writing is derived from what is considered technology, what we consider work, and where we understand the workplace to be” (p. 100, italics in original).
Redefine technical communication:

1. Exist within government, industry, and in the intersection between public and private spheres;
2. Have a close relationship with technology, which refers to knowledge, actions, and tools (of all genders); and
3. Seek to make tacit knowledge explicit (p. 107).

Feminist scholarship should “ask questions that attempt to account for the complex relationships that exist between technology and conceptions of gender in society” (p. 66). Technologies “are typically incorporated into existing institutions and practices, which cause them to reinforce status-quo meanings of phenomena such as race and gender rather than foster new meanings” (p. 68).
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Why is this important?

Women’s fight for equality is not over. We have had the vote for a century now, and we have greatly increased opportunities for autonomy, employment, and education.

But, as the #MeToo movement shows, we still have a long way to go. Women everywhere suffer because of sexism. This conversation in technical communication is just one facet of how sexism harms women everywhere—their blogs are trivial, their inventions are stolen and claimed by men, their work is not considered work. It’s wrong, and it needs to change.

What can you do to help “find our missing pieces” in technical communication?

I refer you back to the article I cited earlier by Natasha N. Jones, “The Technical Communicator as Advocate.” She suggests various approaches to research and participation in social justice technical communication.