Simultaneous observations of equatorial F-region plasma depletions over Brazil during the Spread-F Experiment (SpreadFEx)
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Abstract. From September to November 2005, the NASA Living with a Star program supported the Spread-F Experiment campaign (SpreadFEx) in Brazil to study the effects of convectively generated gravity waves on the ionosphere and their role in seeding Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities, and associated equatorial plasma bubbles. Several US and Brazilian institutes deployed a broad range of instruments (all-sky imagers, digisondes, photometers, meteor/VHF radars, GPS receivers) covering a large area of Brazil. The campaign was divided in two observational phases centered on the September and October new moon periods. During these periods, an Utah State University (USU) all-sky CCD imager operated at São João d’Aliança (14.8°S, 47.6°W), near Brasilia, and a Brazilian all-sky CCD imager located at Cariri (7.4°S, 36°W), observed simultaneously the evolution of the ionospheric bubbles in the OI (630 nm) emission and the mesospheric gravity wave field. The two sites had approximately the same magnetic latitude (9–10°S) but were separated in longitude by ~1500 km.

Plasma bubbles were observed on every clear night (17 from Brasilia and 19 from Cariri, with 8 coincident nights). These joint datasets provided important information for characterizing the ionospheric depletions during the campaign and to perform a novel longitudinal investigation of their variability. Measurements of the drift velocities at both sites are in good agreement with previous studies, however, the overlapping fields of view revealed significant differences in the occurrence and structure of the plasma bubbles, providing new evidence for localized generation. This paper summarizes the observed bubble characteristics important for related investigations of their seeding mechanisms associated with gravity wave activity.
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1 Introduction

Since their first observation by ionosonde in the 1920s (Booker and Wells, 1938), equatorial spread-F (ESF) irregularities have been studied intensively because of their importance in understanding thermosphere-ionosphere coupling and their detrimental influence on radio communications. More recently, ESF has been shown to create significant errors in GPS position measurements at low-latitudes when the line-of-sight satellite signals pass through strong equatorial plasma bubbles (EPB) also termed depletions (e.g., Basu et al., 1999; Kelley et al., 2002).

Depletions are magnetic field-aligned regions where the density of the ionospheric plasma is reduced significantly compared to the surrounding plasma, that result from strong upwelling at the magnetic equator due to the Rayleigh-Taylor instability (Ossakow et al., 1981). As they develop, they may attain very high altitudes (>1500 km) at the magnetic equator (e.g., Mendillo and Tyler, 1983; Sahai et al., 1994), and then propagate poleward in the flux tubes (Sales et al., 1996), extending several thousands of kilometers down to dip latitudes greater than ±15° (Rohrbaugh et al., 1989). The structures are magnetically North-South aligned, exhibiting East-West widths ranging from tens of meters to a few hundred kilometers. ESF irregularities were first identified over Huancayo, Peru, and were defined as “spread in the ranges of the F-layer trace” in nighttime equatorial ionograms or spread-F. This
Fig. 1. Map of Brazil showing the all-sky imager locations during the SpreadFEx campaign and their proximity to the magnetic equator. The boxes represent the 1500×1500 km area corresponding to the unwarped OI (630 nm) images for the Brasilia and Cariri sites, assuming an emission altitude of 250 km.

term now generally refers to the composite effects produced by a broad range of equatorial F-region plasma irregularities (Ossakow, 1981).

Several techniques have been used to study this phenomenon, including remote sensing incoherent scatter radar, ionosonde, radio wave scintillations, rocket and satellite borne in-situ measurements (e.g., Batista et al., 1986; Basu et al., 1996; Jahn et al., 1997; Henderson et al., 2005). Depletions have also been extensively studied via their optical signatures in the F-region nightglow emissions mainly using photometers and imagers (e.g., Weber et al., 1978, Mendillo and Baumgardner, 1982, Sahai et al., 1994; Makela et al., 2004). Many theoretical and simulation studies of their properties and seasonal characteristics have also been carried out (e.g., Fejer et al., 1985; Haerendel et al., 1992; Abdu, 2001; Abdu et al., 2003; Kudeki et al., 2007).

ESF irregularities are generated at the bottomside of the F-layer by the sunset enhancement of the zonal eastward field (pre-reversal enhancement electric field, or PRE) caused by the interaction of neutral thermospheric wind with the longitudinal gradient in ionospheric conductivity that exists along the sunset terminator (e.g., Rishbeth, 1971; Heelis et al., 1974; Batista et al., 1986, Fejer et al., 1999; Abdu, 2001). It is now believed that ESF development depends on three main factors: (1) the linear growth rate for generalized Rayleigh-Taylor instability process (Dungey, 1956), (2) the flux tube integrated Pedersen conductivity that controls the nonlinear development, and (3) density perturbations that are needed to act as a seed to trigger the instability growth. These density perturbations may be produced by different seeding sources: local variations in the vertical winds, electric fields, and gravity waves propagating upward from the troposphere (Singh et al., 1997; Abdu, 2001). More recently, Kudeki et al. (2007) have also suggested that the F-region zonal wind immediately after sunset plays a crucial role in controlling the structuring of bottomside F-region plasma and subsequent EPB development.

The seeding sources of ESF are the least understood aspect of this phenomena and observational evidence is currently limited. The main goal of the SpreadFEx campaign was to investigate the properties of gravity waves at ionospheric heights and their potential role in seeding Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities, strong equatorial spread-F and plasma bubble development. The campaign took place in Brazil during the equinox period (September–November 2005). Brazilian and US institutes utilized a broad range of instruments (all-sky imagers, digisondes, photometers, meteor/VHF radars, GPS receivers) to cover a large area of eastern Brazil where ESF is a common occurrence. A detailed overview of the campaign goals and measurements is given by Fritts et al. (2009). This paper focuses on characterizing the properties of the ionospheric equatorial plasma bubbles (EPBs) observed from two primary sites located ~10° S from the magnetic equator.

2 Observations and image analysis

In order to obtain simultaneous optical measurements of the EPBs and of the gravity waves propagating through the upper atmosphere, observations were made using three all-sky CCD imagers: two from the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE) located at established sites at Cariri (7.4° S, 36.5° W), and Cachoeira Paulista (22.7° S, 45.0° W), and one from Utah State University (USU) temporarily placed at São João d’Aliança, North of Brasilia (14.8° S, 47.6° W).

Due to inclement weather at Cachoeira Paulista, this paper describes the results obtained by the two all-sky imagers located at Brasilia and Cariri. These two sites have approximately the same magnetic latitude (9° S for Cariri and 10° S for Brasilia) and are separated by ~1500 km, which allows overlapping fields of view for the depletion structures imaged in the thermospheric OI (630.0 nm) emission. This is illustrated in Fig. 1 which shows a map of Brazil with the location of these observation sites and the 1500×1500 km areas covered by the OI (630.0 nm) data for the two imagers, using an expected emission altitude of 250 km. The magnetic equator is also shown in the figure. The time differences between Universal Time (UT) and Local Solar Time (LST) are 3:10 h and 2:26 h for the Brasilia and Cariri sites, respectively, with Universal Time leading Local Solar Time.

Equatorial plasma irregularities have previously been studied extensively through optical observations of the F-region nightglow emissions on many occasions (e.g., Weber et al., 1978, Mendillo and Baumgardner, 1982; Rohrbaugh et al., 1989; Sahai et al., 1994; Taylor et al., 1997; Santana et al., 2001; Makela et al., 2001, 2004; Martinis et al., 2003; Kelley et al., 2002). Here we summarize the plasma depletion
properties observed during the campaign using novel two-station measurements of the OI 630.0 nm emission which is produced at the bottomside of the ionospheric F-region, between 250 and 300 km of altitude. It is created by the following dissociative recombination process (Peterson and Van Zandt, 1969):

\[
O_2 + O^+ \rightarrow O_2^+ + O \\
O_2^+ + e \rightarrow O(1D) + O(3P) \\
O(1D) \rightarrow O(3P) + h\nu (\lambda = 630.0 \text{ nm})
\]

The digital imaging systems at Brasilia and Cariri were both equipped with an all-sky telecentric lens and a computer-controlled filter wheel, providing the capability to sequentially observe gravity waves in the broadband mesospheric OH emission (mean altitude \(\sim 87 \text{ km}\)), as well as the ionospheric OI (630.0 nm) emission (\(\sim 250 \text{ km}\)) and the background sky continuum at 570 nm. Other fainter mesospheric nightglow emission layers were also occasionally observed included the \(O_2(0,1)\) band and the OI (557.7 nm) emission line. Both imaging systems utilized similar 1024 \(\times\) 1024 back thinned CCD array cameras. The data were 2 \(\times\) 2 binned on-chip, down to 512 by 512 pixels. Exposure times were 15 s for the OH data and 90–120 s for the OI (630.0 nm) data. These are well-proven field instruments and details of their specifications and operations can be found in Taylor et al. (1995), and Medeiros et al. (2001). Detailed studies of the short-period mesospheric gravity wave events observed during the campaign are given in accompanying papers Taylor et al. (2009), Vadas et al. (2009b).

For this campaign, the airglow observations were centered on two consecutive new moon periods; in September and October 2005. The first set of measurements started on 22 September and ended on 9 October (duration 17 nights), while the second set started on 22 October and ended on 9 November (duration 18 nights). Good quality extended dataset were obtained during 17 nights from Brasilia and 19 nights from Cariri. Due to the local weather conditions, only 8 of these nights are common to both sites: 24–25 September, 28–29 September, 29–30 September, 30 September–1 October, 1–2 October, 8–9 October for the first phase, and 26–27 October and 6–7 November for the second phase.

Before measuring the characteristics of the EPBs observed at both sites, it was necessary to preprocess the images. First, they were calibrated using the background starfield to determine the imager parameters (orientation and pixel scale size), then the stars were removed and the images “unwarped” to correct for the all-sky lens format. This is a well-developed procedure for airglow image analysis (e.g., Garcia et al., 1997; Pautet and Moreels, 2002). Figure 2 shows four examples of unwarped OI (630.0 nm) data comprising two simultaneous images, recorded on 1–2 October, during the first phase of the campaign (top row), and two images taken at the same time (\(\sim 02:20 \text{ UT}\)) on two consecutive nights (25–26 October and 26–27 October), during the second phase (bottom row), for Brasilia (left) and Cariri (right). The linear grid used for the image projection at 250 km was 1500 \(\times\) 1500 km, resulting in a \(\sim 3 \text{ km/pixel resolution}\), and each image is centered on the observation site.

For each night and site, the average drift velocity as a function of time was measured using the embedded depletion structures visible in the OI (630.0 nm) emission. Near the equator, this parameter has been showed to be approximately equal to the F-region neutral zonal winds velocity (Biondi et al., 1988; Basu et al., 1996). A method similar to the one developed by Pimenta et al. (2001) was applied to measure this parameter. The motion of the western wall of the depletions was determined, then the drift velocity was processed knowing the time between two images. In order to get a better precision, the displacement was measured between two odd (or even) pictures, giving a time separation of \(\sim 300 \text{ s}\) and an uncertainty of \(\sim 10\%\) in the speeds. Figure 3 plots the evolution of the drift velocity for several consecutive bubbles during the night of 1–2 October, above Brasilia. Bubbles #4 to #8 are shown in the all-sky image at 22:00 LST (top right). The individual bubble velocities overlap well and provide a good estimate of the average zonal velocity variation during the course of the night. Using this technique, the drift velocity was measured for the bubbles observed from Brasilia and Cariri during the campaign.
3 Results and discussion

3.1 EPB occurrence

Ionospheric irregularities were detected every (cloudless) night from both sites but significant longitudinal variability in the depletion structures was evident (e.g., top row of Fig. 2). This result is discussed further later. It was also found that EPBs tended to exhibit more complex and extensive depletion patterns during the second observing period (as illustrated in the bottom pictures of Fig. 2). This result follows the expected seasonal variation in their occurrence frequency, with only a few depletions usually observed during the June to August period and a peak activity during the austral summer months, centered on the December solstice, when the solar flux is at its maximum (Sobral et al., 2002). This seasonal variation is also due to the longitude dependent magnetic declination (Abdu et al., 1981). To optimize these measurements, the SpreadFEx campaign was conducted during the equinoctial transition period which is also the time of the year when the solar terminator is aligned with the magnetic meridian; the magnetic eastward wind also tends to a maximum value as well as the longitudinal gradient in the integrated conductivity. The amplitude in the PRE in vertical drift and the irregularity occurrence can then reach a maximum during this part of the year (Abdu et al., 1981; Batista et al., 1986). The difference in the EPB development between the two phases of the campaign was consistent with the progress towards the December solstice.

3.2 EPB drift velocities

During both observing phases, the plasma bubbles exhibited a strong eastward motion. This zonal drift of the equatorial ionospheric F-region plasma was first described by Woodman (1972), using measurements from the Jicamarca incoherent scatter radar in Peru. However, it was previously predicted by Rishbeth (1971), as the zonal F-region wind dynamo driving the Pedersen currents and creating a vertical polarization electric field forces the plasma to drift at nearly the same velocity as the neutral wind.

In particular, measurements of the nighttime plasma drift velocity are important for studying thermosphere-ionosphere coupling. Figure 4 shows the average zonal drift velocities for Brasilia (a) and Cariri (b) for 5 nights with simultaneous depletion observations encompassing both phases of the campaign. During the first phase, the velocities at both sites were similar (e.g., 29–30 September, 30 September–1 October) exhibiting a maximum of $\sim 120$ m/s around 21:30 LST, followed by a steady decrease over the next 2–3 h to $<30$ m/s. In contrast, depletions observed during the second phase (25–26 October and 26–27 October) exhibited significantly lower drift velocities with a maximum of $\sim 75$ m/s around 22:00 LST and a slower reduction in velocity with time, and faded somewhat later in the night between 02:00 and 03:00 LST.

Post-midnight EPB enhancements were observed on several nights (e.g., 29–30 September from Cariri and
1–2 October from both sites). These fainter bubbles also drifted eastward reaching a maximum velocity between 50 and 70 m/s around 03:00 LST, before slowing down and fading just prior to sunrise. In most of the cases, the post-midnight EPBs were probably due to fossilized bubbles that exhibited no growth, moving through the fields of view (e.g., Basu et al., 1978). However on some nights, bubbles observed after midnight seemed to exhibit some growth (e.g., 23–24 October from Brasilia). These events are usually more frequent during solar minimum years, like 2005 (Fejer et al., 1999), but the lack of complementary data for the Brasilia site prevents any further investigation.

These results are summarized in Fig. 5 which combines phase 1 and 2 datasets to show the average velocity as a function of time for Brasilia and Cariri. These are compared with previous measurements made during the Guara campaign from Alcantara (2.3° S; 44.5° W), Brazil (Taylor et al., 1997), also during solar minimum conditions, and an empirical model using the Jicamarca (12° S, 76.9° W) radar data obtained between 1968 and 1988 (Fejer et al., 1991). It is clear that during the first part of the night, the observations are in very good agreement with the expected Local Solar Time variability. However, after local midnight, the plasma drift velocity seems to be slightly higher (∼10–20 m/s) compared to the Jicamarca model, as indicated by the frequent presence of fossilized EPBs observed during the SpreadFEx campaign.

Our results are therefore in good general agreement with previous observations made from the same longitudinal sector but there are some differences. For example, Mendillo and Baumgardner (1982) found maximum values around 200 m/s from Ascension Island (8.0° S, 14.4° W) while Pimenta et al. (2003), using data from Cariri (7.4° S, 36.5° W) and Cachoeira Paulista (22.7° S, 45.0° W), found two peaks in the ionospheric plasma zonal drift speed: one located near the magnetic equator (∼160 m/s) and one around 19° S (∼150 m/s). They attributed the minimum in velocity near 10° S (∼120 m/s), which corresponds to the magnetic latitude of the SpreadFEx sites, to a reduction in the zonal neutral wind. Another dataset obtained with the Cachoeira Paulista imager between 1998 and 2000 was analyzed by Arruda et al. (2006). For the equinoctial phase, corresponding to the SpreadFEx campaign period, they found a similar maximum drift velocity of 150 m/s. Finally, Martinis et al. (2003) have also made a latitudinal comparison using optical datasets acquired during the equinox period from two South American sites: Arequipa, Peru (16.5° S, 71.5° W) and Tucuman, Argentina (26.9° S, 65° W). They observed drift velocity peaks around 22:00 and 23:00 LST for Arequipa and Tucuman, respectively, with maximum values of ∼135 and ∼115 m/s. These measurements were made from sites with magnetic latitudes that encompass our measurements from Brazil which are in closest agreement with the reported observations from Tucuman. As the reported results depend on the assumed altitude for the OI (630.0 nm) emissive layer

which varies from 250 to 300 km (corresponding to a 20% increase in velocity), our SpreadFEx results are in good agreement with these prior studies. Moreover, our optical results have been shown to be consistent with coincident measurements made with a GPS IEC array operated from the Brasilia site during the campaign (J. S. Haase, personal communication, 2008).

It is also interesting to notice that the drift velocity from Cariri on September 30–1 October exhibited a brief westward motion before the bubble disappeared. A similar reversal has been reported by Taylor et al. (1997), using data from Alcantara, Brazil, who discussed its relation to a temporary reversal of the nocturnal F-layer dynamo electric field.

### 3.3 EPB longitudinal comparison

Longitudinal variability of the equatorial bubbles has been studied in the past using a variety of instrumentation: Maruyama and Matuura (1984), used the topside soundings by the Ionospheric Sounding Satellite b (ISS-b) to determine a global distribution map of the ESF activity, while Tsunoda (1985) compared the seasonal scintillation activity using previously obtained datasets from several sites spread around the globe. More recently, Immel et al. (2004) utilized the FUV image data from the NASA IMAGE satellite to study the longitudinal variability of the zonal plasma drift speeds and their relation with the $D_s$ index, during a limited 3 month-period (March to May 2002). Makela et al. (2004) compared their ionospheric airglow and GPS scintillation data acquired from Haleakala, Hawai, with several previous studies, all from the Pacific sector. They also investigated the occurrence of the plasma bubbles and the possible location of their source region as a function of the time of the year. Most recently, Henderson et al. (2005) used the GUVI

![Fig. 5. Comparison of the average EPB phase velocities from Brasilia and Cariri, during the SpreadFEx campaign, using 17 and 19 nights, respectively, with an empirical model derived from the Jicamarca radar measurements by Fejer et al. (1991), and previous observations from Alcantara, Brazil, by Taylor et al. (1997).](image-url)
imager data on-board the NASA TIMED satellite to study the characteristics of the equatorial anomaly (EA) and the detection of the EPBs as a function of the longitudinal sector and season.

Moreover, several ionospheric airglow studies have been performed using two all-sky imagers (Otsuka et al., 2002; Martinis et al., 2003; Pimenta et al., 2003), mainly to investigate latitudinal variations in the EPB characteristics including their conjugate signatures. During the SpreadFEx campaign, the USU and INPE all-sky imagers were located at the same approximate magnetic latitude, separated by ~1500 km, to better sample the mesospheric gravity wave and ionospheric depletion structures over a large field of view. This configuration allowed the comparison of the longitudinal differences in the EPB generation, characteristics and evolution. Figure 6 is an example of the total field of view covered by the two imagers at Brasilia (left) and Cariri (right), for the night of 30 September–1 October. The image projections are in the same 1500×1500 km format as Fig. 2, assuming an altitude of 250 km for the emissive layer, and centered on each site. The four maps correspond to the following times: 23:00 UT (a), 00:00 UT (b), 01:00 UT (c) and 02:00 UT (d). This night was typical of the several nights of coincident image measurements. Depletions were first detected around 23:00 UT, appearing near simultaneously in both camera fields of view (Fig. 6a). They were localized close to the magnetic equator and were very faint at this time. The bubbles grew in size and number over the next hour as shown in Fig. 6b, which displays a broad set of bubbles across both images. The bubbles were seen to move from the Brasilia field of view into the Cariri one in a coherent manner and they reached their maximum eastward zonal drift velocity (120–130 m/s) during this period. By 01:00 UT (Fig. 6c), the EPBs reached their maximum latitude/altitude extent and were starting to slow down. By 02:00 UT (Fig. 6d), the motion of the bubbles reduced to 0 m/s and they subsequently faded over the next two hours. Observations from Brasilia were continued for 4 more hours until dawn and no further bubbles were detected.

Figure 7 shows the bubble display for the next night (1–2 October) plotted in a similar format. During this night, the EPB evolution was quite different from one site to the other: at 23:00 UT (Fig. 7a), the EPBs had already reached a mature phase above Cariri, but there was no evidence of depletions above Brasilia. By 00:00 UT (Fig. 7b), there were still no irregularities visible in the left projection but the ones in the right projection are fully developed with an estimated apex height above 750 km. At 01:00 UT (Fig. 7c), EPBs, dominated by a single bubble, finally appeared above Brasilia. The main depletion grew significantly as it moved into the field of view from the West. At 02:00 UT (Fig. 7d), the depletions visible from both sites had started to slow down. Shortly thereafter, the strong depletion over Brasilia disappeared completely while the depletions to the East over Cariri remained evident until dawn. Later, a pair of fossilized bubbles entered the Brasilia field of view from the West.

To summarize the observations for these two consecutive nights West-East keograms are displayed on Fig. 8. The two top plots show the Brasilia data for 30 September–1 October (a) and 1–2 October (b), and the bottom plots the corresponding Cariri data (c and d). The difference between the EPBs observed on these two nights is clearly visible in the Brasilia keograms: several bubbles moved through the field of view during the first night, while just a single dominant
bubble occurred during the following night (note the pair of post-midnight fossilized EPBs evident in the bottom right of the keogram). In comparison, the keograms summarizing the Cariri data show similar patterns from one night to the next, with EPBs propagating between ∼22:00 and ∼02:00 UT. Comparison of the drift velocities also shows significant difference from these two sites. Figure 9 illustrates the correlation between the depletion zonal drift velocities at the same Local Solar Times above Brasilia and Cariri, for 30 September–1 October (a) and 1–2 October (b). Both plots show a high degree of correlation between the observed depletion velocities for each night ($R=0.88$ and $0.90$, respectively). Comparison of the velocities on the first night (top plot) shows very similar behavior during the course of the night. However, on the second night, the velocities corresponding to the pre-midnight EPBs are substantially higher above Brasilia than above Cariri (typically 25 m/s larger). In contrast, the fossilized post-midnight bubbles exhibited similar drift velocities (∼50 m/s) at both sites.

These new measurements have revealed a surprising difference in both the morphology and the dynamics of the bubble structures imaged at the same time from two adjacent sites separated in longitude by only 1500 km. The measurements were made during two consecutive nights, further illustrating the potential for differing bubble development on a night-to-night and regional basis. There are several factors that may explain such differences:

- The influence of a different magnetic declination angle on the PRE arising can modify the seasonal pattern of bubble occurrence such as between the Peruvian and Brazilian sectors (Abdu et al., 1981). However, this angle is almost identical for Brasilia and Cariri and the data were all obtained around the equinox.

- The vertical drift and height of the F-layer which control the instability growth rate. Due to the close proximity of the observation sites, it is unlikely that these parameters would have been significantly different. However, no ionosonde or radar data were available for the Brasilia site, and it was not possible to compare with the ionosonde and radar measurements made around Cariri.

- The density gradient and the thermospheric zonal wind which control the intensity of the PRE through the E-F regions electrodynamical coupling process. In the case of the comparison between 30 September–1 October and 1–2 October, the zonal plasma drift velocity was different at the two sites, at least during the first part of the night. Subsequently, the intensity of the PRE may have been different from one longitude to the other, inducing a modification in the EPB generation.

- The regional variation in the local density perturbation acting as a seeding source. In particular, the differences in the gravity waves propagating upward from the troposphere which act as perturbation sources triggering the Rayleigh-Taylor instability process (Vadas et al., 2009b).
Several previous studies have suggested the possible role of the gravity waves on the generation and/or development of the ESF events. The possibility of a remote source such as tropospheric convection activity producing upward propagating gravity waves was first proposed by Rottger (1981). Tsunoda and White (1981) observed the bottomside altitude modulation of the F-layer preceding the ESF bubbles, using ALTAIR radar data, while Kelley et al. (1981) noted the role of gravity waves on the modulation of large-scale plumes. The need of a seed perturbation created by gravity waves was suggested again by Hanson et al. (1986), based on modeled growth time for Rayleigh-Taylor mechanism working on a layer bottomside initially lifted up by an eastward electric field. In 1998, Kil and Heelis reported that longitudinal features in the irregularity occurrence global pattern could be explained by a seed source, possibly associated with the local tropospheric convection activity. McClure et al. (1998) have also associated certain features in the EPB distribution with seasonal migration of the ITCZ (inter-tropical convergence zone), which defines the geographical zones of tropospheric convection.

Therefore, it is quite possible that the local gravity wave activity may be an explanation for the day-to-day and longitudinal differences observed in the EPB generation and propagation during the SpreadFEx campaign. A primary goal of this campaign was to investigate the properties of gravity waves at ionospheric heights and their potential role in seeding Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities, strong equatorial spread-F and plasma bubble development. Detailed studies of the convective sources that may have generated gravity waves able to propagate up to the ionosphere to seed plasma irregularities are given by Taylor et al. (2009); Vadas and Fritts (2009); and Vadas et al. (2009a, b). The impact of such gravity waves on the spread-F generation and evolution has also been investigated by Fritts et al. (2008) and Fritts and Vadas (2008). In particular, these studies conclude that several of the gravity wave events observed over Brasilia may have penetrated to high enough altitudes to potentially seed ESF.

To further help investigate the influence of gravity waves on the EPB generation we have also determined the horizontal scale sizes of the structures observed in the ionospheric irregularities during this campaign. These bubble irregularities growing under Rayleigh-Taylor instability mechanism spread into a wide spectrum of scale sizes (Haerendel, 1973), from a few tens of meters to hundreds of kilometers. Figure 10 is a histogram plot showing the distribution of the separations between adjacent depletion structures observed from Brasilia and Cariri. Although significant fine scale structures are evident in the image data (e.g., Fig. 2), due to the often rapid evolution of the smaller features, they were not always easy to track, and we have limited the horizontal scale sizes in the histogram plot to >50 km. The majority of the bubbles exhibited separation of 100 to 200 km. In their paper, Takahashi et al. (2009) studied the possible correlation between the horizontal wavelengths of the gravity waves observed while they were propagating through the MLT layer and the size of the structures of the plasma irregularities.
Their results suggest a significant correlation between the observed ionospheric bubbles scales and the mesospheric gravity wave horizontal wavelengths.

### 3.4 Influence of the geomagnetic activity

The magnetic activity induced by the solar wind may modify the development of the ionospheric bubbles in different ways (Kelley et al., 2000; Sobral et al., 2002): a post-sunset penetration electric field occurring over equatorial latitudes, associated with magnetospheric disturbances, especially auroral substorms, up to $K_p=5$ and preceding the ESF onset by at least 4 h may inhibit its development, while a $K_p>5$ during the same period, or an increase in $K_p$ occurring around sunset, may increase the bubble occurrence (Sobral et al., 2002).

However, no significant geomagnetic perturbation occurred during the whole campaign. Only four 3-hr intervals reached the G1 geomagnetic storm level ($K_p=5$): 5 October, 7 October, 30 October and 2 November, and the data available on these nights suggest no significant increase in bubble activity. The other days were magnetically quiet; consequently, we can consider that there was no significant influence of the geomagnetic activity on the ESF development during the campaign.

### 4 Summary

As part of the SpreadFEx campaign, optical observations of the ionospheric OI (630 nm) emission layer were conducted from two sites (Brasilia and Cariri) with similar magnetic latitudes and overlapping fields of view, in order to simultaneously study the generation, structure and evolution of the plasma depletions in close proximity of the magnetic equator. Extensive data were obtained on 17 nights from Brasilia and 19 nights from Cariri during two observation phases centered on the September and October 2005 new moon periods. Common measurements were limited to 8 nights but they have provided an important dataset for characterizing the depletions and to perform a novel longitudinal investigation of localized variability (bubble structure and occurrence).

EPBs were observed on every clear night during the campaign, exhibiting the same general evolution as previously reported (generation after sunset followed by poleward expansion, corresponding to the upward instability growth over the equator). The observed eastward drift maximized at ~120 m/s just before 22:00 LST, then decreased systematically until local solar midnight, thereafter the depletions faded with time. These results are in good quantitative agreement with previous measurements from the same longitudinal sector, under similar geomagnetic and solar conditions (e.g., Taylor et al., 1997; Sobral et al., 2002; Martinis et al., 2003). On several nights, fossilized post-midnight EPBs were observed, often until dawn, with lower eastward drift velocities (maximum ~50 m/s). Occasionally, the drift velocity also showed a short-lived westward motion possibly due to a temporary reversal in the normal F-layer dynamo electric field.

The overlapping fields of view of the two station measurements have revealed surprising day-to-day variations in the time generation and characteristics of the bubbles, both sites being at approximately the same magnetic latitude (9–10°S) and separated by only ~1500 km. Differences in the height of the F-layer, in the zonal thermospheric wind, in the longitudinal gradient in the flux tube integrated conductivity, or in the seeding sources may account for the different evolution in the observed EPBs. During 7 of the 8 nights of common data, the growth of the EPBs occurred near simultaneously throughout the joint observation region. However, on the night of 1–2 October, depletions were restricted in their occurrence to the Cariri region with no development over Brasilia for at least two hours. Subsequent bubble structure at Brasilia was limited and faded rapidly while the Cariri depletions were observed continuously until dawn. As it is difficult to determine the exact cause of this longitudinal difference, the data are consistent with a more localized origin for the seeding/growth of the plasma bubbles observed on this night, possibly associated with tropospheric convection (McClure et al., 1998; Vadis et al., 2009b). Associated studies presenting analyses of the data gathered during the SpreadFEx campaign suggest a correlation between tropospheric convective activity, gravity wave propagation into the lower ionosphere, and the generation of the plasma bubbles (Fritts et al., 2008; Fritts and Vadis, 2008; Takahashi et al., 2009; Vadis and Fritts, 2009; Vadis et al., 2009a, b).

As suggested by Makela et al. (2004), further studies are needed using data from multiple locations closely spaced in longitude to investigate differences in longitudinal occurrence and structures of equatorial plasma bubbles not accounted for in current theories (e.g., Tsunoda, 1985; Maruyama and Matuura, 1984).
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