On the use of simultaneous measurements of OH and O\textsubscript{2} emissions to investigate wave growth and dissipation
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Abstract. Simultaneous measurements of mesospheric OH (6–2) Meinel and O\textsubscript{2} (0–1) Atmospheric band emissions from a low-latitude station, Maui, Hawaii (20.8\textdegree N, 156.2\textdegree W) are utilized to study the wave characteristics and associated processes. Deduced temperatures show large variability in both OH and O\textsubscript{2} data. The seasonal variability in the temperature shows a well-defined, semiannual type of oscillation, which are comparable to the ground-based rocket sounding data. The “Wave Growth Factor”, a ratio of normalized perturbation amplitude in O\textsubscript{2} to the OH temperature variability, is estimated for principal as well as residual smaller period components of the nocturnal variability. It is noticed that smaller period waves (less than 12 h) occasionally have large growth factors of about 3–4 during equinox transitions, an indication of wave amplitude amplification within the 87–94 km altitudes while a strong wave-dissipation occurs throughout the year.
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1 Introduction

Airglow emissions are an outcome of the chemiluminescence property of various atomic and molecular species in the middle and upper atmosphere. In recent times, airglow emission variability at different wavelengths has been widely used to infer the mesosphere-lower thermosphere-ionosphere behavior (Fagundes et al., 1995; Takahashi et al., 1998; Mukherjee, 2003; Reisin and Scheer, 2004; Taori et al., 2005). It is found that the emission intensity and deduced temperature variability are dominated by a long period as well as short period structures that are commonly attributed to the passage of atmospheric waves, i.e. gravity waves and tides.

It is generally understood that these gravity waves and tides are of lower atmospheric origin. They propagate upwards and play an important role in the lower and upper atmosphere coupling processes (Murthy, 1998). During their propagation, they interact with the mean winds and other wave modes, which lead them to deposit heat and momentum in the ambient and to modify the dynamical conditions at those altitudes (Fritts et al., 2006). Further, at mesospheric altitudes the amplitudes of these waves become several times larger than that at the lower atmosphere in order to conserve energy ($\rho v^2/2=constant$). Consequently, waves and associated processes dominate the dynamical variability at mesopause levels (Fritts et al., 2003).

Often, it is the propagation characteristics of gravity waves (e.g. phase velocity, vertical wavelength and time period) that have been investigated using multi-wavelength airglow monitoring (e.g. Fagundes et al., 1995; Takahashi et al., 1998; Taori et al., 2005). The gravity wave characterization has also been carried out using simultaneous measurements of the airglow intensity and temperatures as the ratio of percentage perturbation amplitude of wave in intensity to their temperature counterparts (Reisin and Scheer, 1996; Taori and Taylor, 2006). Although significant progress has been made on the use of airglow emissions to study the gravity wave and tides, very little has been understood when it comes to the inferring dissipation processes using the airglow emissions. In this area, Noxon (1978) was first to use simultaneous measurements of the OH and O\textsubscript{2} layers to infer the wave growth and dissipation, which was later followed up by Reisin and Scheer (1996). Both of the above studies report a high degree of variability in the wave amplitude growth within the short altitude range of 7 km (i.e. the separation altitude between the OH and O\textsubscript{2} emission layers). The present paper uses an extensive database of more than 400 nights of
quality mesospheric OH and O2 temperature measurements from Hawaii (20.8 N, 156.2 W). Reported herein are the preliminary results on wave-packet growth/decay related to the dissipation processes occurring at mesospheric altitudes.

2 Instrument and observations

The Mesospheric Temperature Mapper (MTM) is a high performance, solid-state imaging instrument that utilizes a large format (6.45 cm²), a 1024 x 1024 pixel CCD array coupled to a 90° circular field of view telecentric lens system. The high quantum efficiency (∼50% at near-infrared wavelengths) and low noise characteristics (dark current ∼0.1 e⁻/pixel/s at −50°C) of the CCD array provide an exceptional capability of high quality nocturnal measurements of OH and O2 emission intensity (<0.5% in 1 min) and derived rotational temperatures (precision <1–2 K in 3 min). The details of this instrument are discussed elsewhere (Pendleton et al., 2000; Taylor et al., 2001).

3 Results and discussion

Figure 1 is a composite plot of the seasonal variability in the mesospheric OH and O2 temperatures reported by Taylor et al. (2005). Vertical bars in the plot represent the standard deviation on their nightly mean temperature (solid circles), which is representative of the average perturbation amplitude caused by the wave packet on that night. The wave packet would include a spectrum of waves consisting of a long period as well as shorter period perturbations present in the data on that night. One can notice that temperature data broadly ranged from 185 to 215 K (mean value 199.6 K) for O2 while the OH temperature was around 175 K to 220 K, with a mean value of 196.9 K. In their report, Taylor et al. (2005) concluded the periodicity of the dominant variation to be near 180 days, exhibiting a semianannual-type oscillation in the mesospheric temperatures. Further, a 15-day averaging of the mean temperatures was carried out to clearly bring out the seasonal pattern and the results are shown in plots as connecting solid lines. In particular, OH temperature data (mean 196.9 K) was compared with the existing data set of Cole and Cantor (1978) for 90 km altitudes at 15° N latitudes, which used meteorological rocket sounding (Fig. 2). The error bars in the MTM data represents the standard deviations.

Since the deployment of MTM in November 2001 at the air force AEOS facility at the summit of Haleakala Crater, Maui (20.8 N, 156.2 W), routine measurements of the OH (6–2) Meinel band and O2 (0–1) the Atmospheric band emission have been carried out. The present article is based on the 467 clear nights of observation with data length of at least 4 h over a 26-month period (November 2001–January 2004).
on the 15-day average data (a measure of variability). Agreement in the seasonal variability in two different data sets, one collected by ground-based MTM and another rocket-borne in-situ measurements is noteworthy. Not only the semianual type of oscillation feature but also the asymmetry in the spring to autumn peaks is evident in the MTM data. Further, a best-fit analysis revealed the perturbation amplitude of this semiannual type of oscillation to be about 5–6 K in both the OH and O₂ temperatures.

Apart from the seasonal variability in the data, large values of standard deviations are often noted in the plot (of about 10–15 K on their nightly mean temperatures), vindicating the perturbation amplitude on those nights to be very large (essentially caused by the spectrum of waves ranging from periods of a few minutes to several hours). To verify the same, Fig. 3 presents a sample analysis of the OH and O₂ temperature data for UT day 048, 2002 (16–17 February) (one of those nights with large standard deviation). The left-hand panel plots the temperature variability around their means (nightly mean values: 196.9 K for O₂ and 194.9 K for OH) for the O₂ (top) and OH (bottom) emissions. One could see a dominant long period oscillatory feature in the data, together with embedded shorter period features. Also evident in the data is a phase delay of about 1 h between the O₂ and OH temperature peaks, exhibiting a downward phase progression, consistent with the upward propagation of a gravity wave. To estimate the amplitudes of the oscillation, a simple best-fit cosine model was used on the mean temperature deviations, as described in the following equation:

\[ Y = A \cos\left(\frac{\pi (X - Xc)}{W}\right) \]  

where \( A \) is the amplitude of the fitted wave of half-period \( W \) with phase \( Xc \), and \( X \) is the time.

The above analysis revealed the presence of a dominant \( \sim 12 \pm 0.4 \) h wave in the data with a perturbation amplitude of \( \sim 17 \) K in the O₂ and \( \sim 6 \) K in the OH temperatures. Analogous to the RS filter analysis described by Gavrilov (1995) for the radar wind data, we plot the residuals deduced from the best-fit analysis in the right-hand panel for both the OH (bottom) and O₂ (top) temperatures with their standard deviations being a measure of shorter period (a few minutes to less than 12 h) wave amplitudes (i.e. wave-packet amplitude). On this day, standard deviations on the residual temperature were 2.14 K and 2.68 K in OH and O₂, respectively.

Note here that the separation between these layers (i.e. OH and O₂) is \( \sim 7 \) km; hence, for an upward propagating wave, one would expect an amplification in the wave amplitude due to decreasing density and to conserve energy (Reisin and Scheer, 1996; Taori et al., 2005). Therefore, a theoretical estimate can be made on the amplitude growth for an upward propagating wave. Assuming an upward propagating wave...
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Fig. 4. The top panel shows the ratio of the principal wave amplitudes in O\textsubscript{2} to the OH data (normalized to their mean values). The ratios of the standard deviations (O\textsubscript{2}/OH) normalized to their mean are plotted in the bottom panel. Solid lines in the plots represent 95% confidence levels. One could see the difference in the seasonal pattern in the growth factors between both the plots with smaller period waves, having notable variability from the equinox to the summer time.

with a unit amplitude at ground level, under a dissipationless atmospheric condition with a scale height of 7 km, the wave growth factor should be around 1.4 and any deviations from this number would indicate towards either wave dissipation/growth. Although the growth factor would also depend on the scale sizes of a wave, the above factor is only for a relative comparison. For the data presented in Fig. 3 the amplitude growth (i.e. growth factor) (defined as the ratio of percentage variations in O\textsubscript{2} to the OH data) works out to be ≈2.8 for long period wave and 1.25 for the shorter period waves. Therefore, the deduced wave growth of 2.8 and 1.25 for principal and shorter period wave-packets implies a significant growth for the principal wave and dissipation for shorter period waves on this day.

Further, to examine the seasonal pattern in the wave growth within the altitude range of the OH and O\textsubscript{2} emission layers (i.e. 85–95 km), we carried out this analysis on all the nights presented in Fig. 1 and the results are plotted in Fig. 4. Results on the wave-growth for the principal temperature wave for each day are shown in Fig. 4a, while Fig. 4b represents the ratios of the standard deviations on the residual temperatures normalized to their residual means (measure of the wave growth for waves with periods less than 12 h). To better avoid any statistical and instrumental errors, we plot the 95% confidence levels in both figures (0.89 and 1.34 in panels a and b, respectively). We see that growth factors for the principal waves are mostly below 2, with very little or no significant seasonal variability pattern in the data, vindicating a less evident seasonal pattern in the principal wave growth. This could be because of large vertical wavelengths of principal waves, which are mostly dominated by the large period tide – like waves and hence, the inefficiency of the OH and O\textsubscript{2} layers is separated by only 7 km to detect the wave growth. However, when looked at carefully, a different picture emerges for the waves with smaller periodicities. The growth factors ranged from 0.5 to 4 with a significant wave-packet growth around day number 75 and 300. During these days, several smaller waves (about 18% during day number 60 to 100 and around 14% during 270–310) were found to attain significant growth in their amplitudes. However, during summer almost all the smaller waves have no significant amplitude growth. Along similar lines, Reisin and Scheer (1996) have reported the wave-growth values from 0.9 to 1.7 obtained from observations at mid-latitudes on individual nights, at different times of the year, which is a much smaller range than reported here, indicating higher variability in our data from low latitudes.

In short, the data presented in the present paper show a large variability in the wave growth with summer being dominated by the strong dissipative processes and significant wave growth occurring near equinox. In turn, this means that equinox is the season when wave penetration can take place through the mesospheric altitudes to reach higher above. It should be noted that the equinox offers a transition for the prevailing wind conditions, which would cause a subdued wind-wave filtering process and reduced dissipative mechanism, resulting in more wave-influx higher above (Lindzen, 1981). Some of these waves, specifically shorter period waves (Vadas and Fritts, 2005), may have the potential to reach the upper mesosphere, which is the base of the thermosphere-ionosphere system and possibly become a seeding perturbation for equatorial spread F (ESF) (Sridharan, 1998). However, to strengthen these preliminary results on wave-growth, long-term, multi-instrumentation campaigns are required to understand the gravity wave and tidal dynamics and their effects on the mesosphere – lower thermosphere – ionosphere system.
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