An Analysis Of Obsidian Artifacts from the Black Mountain Redoubt (48FR6463): A Late Archaic to Late Prehistoric Shoshone Campsite in Northwestern Wyoming

Mary Margaret Hagen Erlick
Utah State University

AN ANALYSIS OF OBSIDIAN ARTIFACTS FROM THE BLACK MOUNTAIN REDOUBT (48FR6463): A LATE ARCHAIC TO LATE PREHISTORIC SHOSHONE CAMPSITE IN NORTHWESTERN WYOMING

By

Mary Margaret Hagen Erlick

A Plan B project submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In ANTHROPOLOGY

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY

Logan, Utah 2021

The Black Mountain Redoubt is a small Late Archaic through Late Prehistoric campsite in northwestern Wyoming associated with a series of communal bighorn sheep hunting traps. A diverse tools assemblage along with several house features indicates a wide range of activities specifically associated with bighorn sheep hunting. We use a 100 percent analysis of the obsidian formal tool and debitage assemblage as a test of obsidian conveyance in western Wyoming. Obsidian artifacts come from the five major regional sources but are dominated by Obsidian Cliff materials in keeping with the Yellowstone Plateau conveyance zone. In contrast, obsidian from Malad, Idaho is rare. There is no difference in the outcomes of the source analysis when comparing formal tools and debitage indicating that previous interpretations of regional obsidian conveyance are robust. The analysis also provides an opportunity to examine the technological differences in which artifacts from different sources enter the site's archaeological record. Obsidian formal tools are limited to projectile points and bifaces. Obsidian debitage analysis indicates that these materials enter the archaeological record in many forms that are not specific to source. The key difference between sources in the obsidian debitage assemblage is artifact size, but contrary to expectations artifacts from the closest sources are not necessarily the largest in the assemblage. This analysis highlights the potential of detailed obsidian source analysis from a single site that integrates both formal tools and debitage into a comprehensive interpretation of obsidian technological organization.

Keywords: Obsidian, XRF Analysis, Technological Organization, Yellowstone

Setting the Scene

Figure 1 shows the study area location in northwestern Wyoming and key local archaeological sites (1. Black Mountain Redoubt (48FR6463); 2 and 3. Black Mountain Sheep Traps; 4. Wiggins Fork Sheep Trap; 5. Helen Lookingbill Site; 6. Bull Elk Pass Sheep Trap; 7. Indian Point Eagle Trap). The Inset map show the location of key regional obsidian sources (A. Obsidian Cliff; B. Teton Pass and Crescent H; C. Bear Gulch, Idaho; D. Malad, Idaho).

Figure 2 is an overview of the project area: the Black Mountain Sheep Trap and the Black Mountain redoubt from Bear Creek looking northwest. Figure 3 is the diagram by George Frison that explains the two sheep traps collectively known as the Black Mountain Complex. Figure 4 is a photograph of trap 1. "Trap 1 has drive line that lead to a holding catch-pen, while another [trap, trap 2] has drive lines that lead to a holding pen with fences converging off one side of the holding pen into the [smaller] catch-pen" (Frison 1990). Dendrochronology has put the date, based on when the tree initiated growth, to the first trap sometime at or after AD 1820. However, the second trap dates just prior to AD 1760. After dating these two sheep traps, George Frison placed the age of the Black Mountain Complex' between 1770 to 1820.

What we have here is a nice example of two proto-historic sheep traps. Coupled with the Wiggins sheep trap to the northwest that dates to 1790. Fast forwarding to June 2008 when Matt Rowe scrambled up about 20-40 feet to get up to the top of Black Mountain finding this archaeological site. Then in 2011 Finley, Boyle, and Rowe conducted a field school which did the initial recording on that same archaeological site which Finley then dubbed the Black Mountain Redoubt site. Redoubt means: a temporary or supplementary fortification, typically square or polygonal and without flanking defenses. And the way this site sits at the top of the butte one must scramble to get up to the top, so it is protected on all sides. Thus, the name redoubt was appropriate.

Finley returned with another crew in 2012 with an idea. Do as close to a 100% surface collection as possible (collecting both diagnostic and formal tools as well as obsidian debitage) to test this proto-historic site against his obsidian conveyance zone theory. (Finley et.al 2015)

Goals and Questions

Significance

The Black Mountain Redoubt is important because domestic campsites associated with bighorn sheep traps are rare in the archaeological record during the Contact period within Greater Yellowstone Area. It is also important because it contains a sequence of Elko, Rose Springs, and Desert Series projectile points that indicates continuity of site occupation from the Late Archaic through Late Prehistoric eras, and possibly extending into the Historic period based on proximity to the Black Mountain Sheep Trap. complex

Research Questions

First, does this proto-historic site fit into the greater Yellowstone area (GYA) obsidian conveyance zone? And second, do informal tools and debitage enter into the archaeological record through different pathways than those of formal tools?

Methods

So, what we have is a small but significant obsidian assemblage that we used a combined method of portable XRF technology and a lithic attribute analysis to provide the answers to our two research questions.

The Yellowstone Plateau and Wyoming Basin Obsidian Conveyance Zone Theory

Before we know if the artifacts found at the Black Mountain Redoubt site fit into the 2015 Finley et. al obsidian conveyance zone for the Yellowstone plateau, we first need to know what the theory behind the obsidian conveyance zone is. This model proposed by Finley, Boyle, and Harvey in 2015 suggests that Obsidian Cliff and Malad, Idaho obsidian sources anchor the Yellowstone Plateau and Wyoming Basin obsidian conveyance zones, respectively. The Yellowstone Plateau is oriented on a northwest-southeast trajectory towards the Bitterroot Range of central Idaho, and the Wyoming Basin is oriented west-east to the northern reaches of the Great Salt Lake and eastern Snake River Plain.

These patterns hold for the last 3,000-5,000 years, which the authors interpreted as support for a growing body of information concerning long-term cultural continuity of ancestral Shoshone throughout the interior of western North America.

Expectations

We expect Malad, Idaho obsidian to be rare at this site. Instead, it will be dominated by Obsidian Cliff, Teton Pass, and Bear Gulch sources. If materials from the Malad, Idaho source are present, we expect them to occur in the form of discarded, curated formal tools or small debitage originating from the maintenance of curated formal tools.

Results

Figure 6 is the Strontium to Yttrium Biplot showing the grouping of the six primary identified obsidian sources. Ellipses represent the 95% confidence interval of group membership based on the key element concentrations. Each of these groups is very distinct both through analysis by element as well as geographically. Teton Pass and Crescent H are often grouped together under a Jackson Hole header but are in fact two chemically distinct separate obsidian sources.

Figure 7 shows how the total artifacts are distributed throughout the site. The 100 percent source analysis of obsidian debitage provides us with the unique opportunity to examine the spatial distribution of sourced artifacts across the site surface. A total of 300 tools and obsidian flakes were mapped. This is only a fraction of the surface artifact assemblage, since an additional 367 artifacts were chert and quartzite flakes. The artifacts occur in six discrete activity areas across the butte.

As you can see in Figure 8, most Obsidian Cliff materials are clustered near the northern most activity area, which includes two of the house features. Although some Obsidian Cliff materials are clustered to the south of the main part of the site, the remainder is scattered across the surface. Otherwise, there is no notable concentration of sources in any of the activity areas as you can see with the figure on the right. Of note is that the nine artifacts from the Malad, Idaho source show no preferential concentration and are distributed across most of the activity areas as seen in Figure 9.

Projectile Points and Other Formal Tools

Projectile points are the most common formal tool; there are 50 of them in this assemblage. The projectile point assemblage is typical of Late Archaic through Late Prehistoric sites in the Greater Yellowstone Area. Figure 10 shows Late Archaic Corner Notched (b, c, e, f, and k-p) and Rose Springs Corner Notched (a, d, and h-j) projectile points from the surface of the Black Mountain Redoubt site. Figure 11 shows the Desert Series projectile points from the surface of the site.

Late Archaic artifacts are represented by Elko series projectile points that include both shallow corner-notched artifacts (Figure 4 b, c, e, f) along with those having deeper notches with prominent shoulders or barbed corners (Figure 10 k-p). Late Prehistoric points include Rose Springs Corner Notched (Figure 10 a, d, h-j) and Desert Side Notched (Figure 11 a-k) types. While the distribution of diagnostic projectile points provides some potential insights into the age associations of individual activity areas as seen Figure 12, there is enough overlap of Late

Archaic and Late Prehistoric types across the areas to render this kind of interpretation of a surface assemblage inconclusive.

This study, because there is a 100% XRF analysis of the formal tool and flake assemblage, provides one of the first fine-grained analyses of an obsidian assemblage that allows the coupling of source analysis and obsidian technological organization. Specifically, we can address the ways in which obsidian from the key regional sources entered the sites archaeological record. First, from the table you can see that obsidian tools only enter the site record as projectile points and bifaces (Table 1). Most obsidian projectile points and bifaces are from the Crescent H source followed by Bear Gulch, Idaho and Obsidian Cliff. The dominance of chert and quartzite in scrapers and other formal tools indicates either the preference of these raw materials for hard- use tools or the unsuitability of obsidian for these kinds of tasks. No projectile points or formal tools are from the Malad, Idaho source, although the single identified obsidian core comes from that source.

Debitage

Obsidian debitage is present in many forms on the site, but flakes and shatter are most common. The combination of mostly flat and beveled platforms, a low percentage of cortex, and nearly 75% of the assemblage having fewer than three dorsal flake scars indicates that obsidian was brought to the site in various forms that included prepared tools and cores. Debitage from Obsidian Cliff dominates the assemblage, and these flakes tend to be small, with almost no cortex, and are among the flakes with the highest number of dorsal flake scars. This information, combined with the relatively close spatial distribution of Obsidian Cliff artifacts indicates that artifacts from this source entered the site in a relatively limited form and may have been produced from only a few tool maintenance events.

In contrast, the nine Malad, Idaho artifacts entered the site as a single tabular core and a variety of debitage forms ranging from angular debris to complete flakes produced from core reduction or tool maintenance. Based on these results, the Malad, Idaho obsidian does not appear to be treated differently in material assemblages. In fact, a size comparison of the sourced artifacts (as shown in figure 13) indicates that, contrary to expectations based on distance to source, Obsidian Cliff artifacts are the smallest overall in the assemblage. Figure 14, a fall-off curve, illustrates the trend in artifact size based on the straight-line distance from site to source for the five obsidian sources identified at the Black Mountain Redoubt. Although the size fall-off curve indicates the decrease in artifact size with distance, the overall small size of Obsidian Cliff artifacts compared with Malad, suggests that size with distance-to-source comparisons may be irrelevant when considering obsidian assemblages.

Conclusion

Our findings provide support for the Yellowstone Plateau obsidian conveyance zone in that Obsidian Cliff materials are common while Malad, Idaho materials, the anchor of the Wyoming Basin obsidian conveyance zone, are rare in the whole assemblage. But most importantly, this analysis provides a first opportunity to compare a sourced tool and debitage assemblage. Obsidian only enters the curated tool assemblage as projectile points and bifaces, which are mostly from local obsidian sources originating in Jackson Hole, Wyoming. The only identified obsidian core at the site was sourced to Malad, Idaho, which is an important insight into the form in which obsidian artifacts enter a site-specific archaeological record. In contrast with the curated tool assemblage, obsidian debitage enters the site in many forms. There is no clear relationship between distance to source and artifact size, which may speak to the way in which Obsidian Cliff and Malad, Idaho materials enter the archaeological record of the Black Mountain Redoubt. Obsidian Cliff artifacts are smaller compared with those from all other sources and are concentrated in a small area on the site, which indicates it may have entered the site in a limited number of forms.

Finally, the comparative analysis of the obsidian formal tool and debitage assemblages indicates no significant difference in the way that materials from specific obsidian sources entered the site's archaeological record lending support for existing obsidian conveyance model (Finley et al. 2015). While interpretations of regional obsidian conveyance and their importance in understanding cultural continuity require additional site-specific tests, our results suggest that a combined focus on formal tools and debitage yields robust and promising results.

References Cited

Adams, Richard

2006 The Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, Soapstone Bowls and the Mountains Shoshone. *World Archaeology* 38:528–546.

2020 Prehistoric Villages in the Wind River Mountains, Wyoming. In *Spirit Lands of the Eagle and Bear,* edited by Robert H. Bruswig, pp. 69-89. University Press of Colorado, Louisville.

Andrefsky Jr., William

1986 A Consideration of Blade and Flake Curvature, *Lithic Technology*, 15:2, 48-54.

2005 *Lithics: Macroscopic Approaches to Analysis*. Second Edition. Cambridge University Press, New York.

Anschutz, Kurt F., Richard H. Wilshusen, and Cherie L. Scheick

2001 An Archaeology of Landscapes: Perspectives and Directions. *Journal of Archaeological Research*, 9: 2, 157-211.

Bettinger, Robert L. and Martin A. Baumhoff

1982 The Numic Spread: Great Basin Cultures in Competition. *American Antiquity* 47:485-503.

Cannon, Kenneth P. and Richard E. Hughes

1997 Provenance Analysis of Obsidian Paleoindian Projectile Points from Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. *Current Research in the Pleistocene* 14:101-104.

Davis, Carl M.

2015 Not in warfare alone: Conical timber lodges in the Central Rocky Mountains and Northwestern Plains, *Plains Anthropologist*, 60:233, 40-71.

Davis, Leslie

1983 Stone Circles in the Montana Rockies: Relict Households and Transitory Communities, *Plains Anthropologist*, 28:102, 235-278.

Davis, M. Kathleen, Thomas L. Jackson, M. Steven Shackley, Timothy Teague, and Joachim H. Hampel

1998 Factors Affecting the Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) Analysis of Archaeological Obsidian. In *Archaeological Obsidian Studies: Method 68 and Theory*, edited by M. Steven Shackley, pp. 159-180. Advances in Archaeological and Museum Science Vol. 3. Plenum Press, New York.

2011 Factors Affecting the Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) Analysis of Archaeological Obsidian. In *X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry (XRF) in Geoarchaeology*, edited by M. Steven Shackley, pp. 45-63. Springer, New York.

Dibble, H. L., S. J. Holdaway, M. Lenoir, S.P. McPherron, B. Roth and H. Sanders-Gray

1995 Techniques of excavation and analysis. In *The Middle Paleolithic Site of Combe-Capelle Bas (France)*, edited by H. L. Dibble and M. Lenoir, pp. 27-40. The University Museum, University of Pennsylvania: Philadelphia.

Eakin, Daniel H.

2005 Evidence for Shoshonean Bighorn Sheep Trapping and Early Historic Occupation in the Absaroka Mountains of Northwest Wyoming. In *University of Wyoming National Park Service Research Center 29th Annual Report 2005*, pp. 74-86. University of Wyoming, Laramie.

Eerkens, Jelmer W., Jeffrey R. Ferguson, Michael D. Glascock, Craig E. Skinner, and Sharon A. Waechter

2007 Reduction Strategies and Geochemical Characterization of Lithic Assemblages: A Comparison of Three Case Studies from Western North America. *American Antiquity* 72:585-597

Eerkens, Jelmer W., Amy M. Spurling, and Michelle A. Gras

2008 Measuring Prehistoric Mobility Strategies based on Obsidian Geochemical and Technological Signatures in the Owens Valley, California. Journal of Archaeological Science 35:668–680.

Ferguson, Jeffrey R.

2012 X-Ray fluorescence of obsidian: approaches to calibration and the analysis of small samples. In *Handheld XRF for Art and Archaeology*, edited by Aaron N. Shugar and Jennifer L. Mass, pp. 401-422. Studies in Archaeological Sciences 3. Leuven University Press, Belgium.

Finley, Judson Byrd, and Chris C. Finley

2004 *The Boulder Ridge Archaeological Inventory: A Late Prehistoric/Early Historic Shoshone Landscape in Northwestern Wyoming*. Report prepared for the US Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Shoshone National Forest. Northwest College Technical Report Series, Powell, Wyoming.

Finley, Judson Byrd, Maureen P. Boyle, and David C. Harvey

2015 Obsidian conveyance in the Mountain World of the Numa. *Plains Anthropologist* 60:375-391.

Finley, Judson Byrd, Laura L. Scheiber, and Jeffrey Ferguson

2018 Compositional Analysis of Intermountain Ware Manufacturing Areas in Western Wyoming, USA. *Journal of Archaeological Science Reports* 18:587-595.

Francis, Julie E., and Lawrence L. Loendorf

2004 *Ancient Visions: Petroglyphs and Pictographs from the Wind River and Bighorn Country, Wyoming and Montana*. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City.

Frison, George C., Charles A. Reher, and Danny N. Walker

1990 Prehistoric Mountain Sheep Hunting in the Central Rocky Mountains of North America. In *Hunters of the Recent Past*, edited by Leslie B. Davis and Brian O.K. Reeves, pp. 208-240. Unwin-Hyman, London.

Glascock, Michael D., and Jeffrey R. Ferguson

2012 *Report on the Analysis of Obsidian Source Samples by Multiple Analytical Methods.* Archaeometry Laboratory at University of Missouri Research Reactor Center.

Holmer, Richard N.

1994 In search of ancestral Northern Shoshone. In *Across the West: Human Population Movement and the Expansion of the Numa*, edited by David B. Madsen and David Rhode, pp. 179-187. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City.

Hughes, Richard E.

1995 X-ray Fluorescence Analysis of Geologic Obsidian Samples from Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks, Wyoming. Geochemical Research Laboratory Letter Report 95-8, Portola Valley, CA.

2001 Archaeological Sites in the Carson Desert and Stillwater Mountains. In *Prehistory of the Carson Desert and Stillwater Mountains*, by Robert L. Kelly. University of Utah Anthropological Papers No. 123, pp. 241-250.

2011 Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence Analysis of 57 Artifacts from Five Sites/Projects in Southwestern Montana and Northwestern Wyoming. Geochemical

Research Laboratory Letter Report 2011-100, Portola Valley, CA.

Husted, Wilfred M., and Robert Edgar

2002 *The Archaeology of Mummy Cave, Wyoming: An Introduction to Shoshonean Prehistory*. Midwest Archaeological Center and Southeast Archaeological Center Special Report No. 4. Lincoln, Nebraska.

Kaiser, Bruce, and Aaron Shugar

2012 Glass analysis utilizing handheld X-ray fluorescence. In *Handheld XRF for Art and Archaeology*, edited by Aaron N. Shugar and Jennifer L. Mass, pp. 449-470. Studies in Archaeological Sciences 3. Leuv Leuven University Press, Leuven Belgium.

Kehoe, Thomas F.

1958 Tipi Rings: The “Direct Ethnological” Approach Applied to an Archeological Problem. *American Anthropologist* 60(5): 861-873.

Kornfeld, Marcel, George C. Frison, and Mary Lou Larson

2010 *Prehistoric Hunter-Gatherers of the High Plains and Rockies.* 3rd ed. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek, California.

Kornfeld, Marcel, Mary Lou Larson, David J. Rapson, and George C. Frison

2001 10,000 Years in the Rocky Mountains: The Helen Lookingbill Site. *Journal of Field Archaeology* 28:307-324

Kunselman, Raymond

1998 X-Ray Fluorescence Signatures of Wyoming Obsidian Sources, *Wyoming Archaeologist* 42: 1-8.

Lamb, Sidney M.

1958 Linguistic Prehistory in the Great Basin. *International Journal of American*

Linguistics 24, 95–100.

Loendorf, Lawrence L., and Nancy Medaris Stone

2006 *Mountain Spirit: The Sheep Eater Indians of Yellowstone.* University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City.

Morgan, Christopher, Ashley Losey, and Richard A. Adams

2012 High-altitude hunter-gatherer residential occupations in Wyoming's Wind River Range. *North American Archaeologist* 33, pp. 35-79.

Morgan, Christopher, David C. Harvey & Lukas Trout

2016 Obsidian conveyance and late prehistoric hunter- gatherer mobility as seen from the high Wind River Range, Western Wyoming. *Plains Anthropologist* 61:239, 225–249.

Reckin, Rachel and Lawrence C. Todd

2020 Illuminating high elevation seasonal occupational duration using diversity in lithic raw materials and tool types in the greater Yellowstone Ecosystem, USA, *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 57, pp. 121-149.

Reimer, Paula J, William E. N. Austin, Edouard Bard, Alex Bayliss, Paul G. Blackwell, Christopher Bronk Ramsey, Martin Butzin, Hai Cheng, R Lawrence Edwards, Michael Friedrich, Pieter M Grootes, Thomas P Guilderson, Irka Hajdas, Timothy J Heaton, Alan G Hogg, Konrad A Hughen, Bernd Kromer, Sturt W Manning, Raimund Muscheler, Jonathan G Palmer, Charlotte Pearson, Johannes van der Plicht, Ron W Reimer, David A Richards, E Marian Scott, John R Southon, Christian S M Turney, Lukas Wacker, Florian Adolphi, Ulf Büntgen, Manuela Capano, Simon M Fahrni, Alexandra Fogtmann-Schulz, Ronny Friedrich, Peter Köhler, Sabrina Kudsk, Fusa Miyake, Jesper Olsen, Frederick Reinig, Minoru Sakamoto, Adam Sookdeo, and Sahra Talamo

2020 The IntCal20 Northern Hemisphere Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curve (0–55 cal kBP). *Radiocarbon, 62*(4): 725-757.

Scheiber, Laura L. and Judson Byrd Finley

2010 Mountain Shoshone Technological Transitions across the Great Divide. In *Across a Great Divide: Change and Continuity in Native North America, 1400-1900*, edited by Laura L. Scheiber and Mark D. Mitchell, pp. 128-148. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.

Scheiber, Laura L. and Judson Byrd Finley

2011a Mobility as resistance: colonialism among nomadic hunter-gatherers in the American West. In *Hunter-Gatherer Archaeology as Historical Process*, edited by Donald H. Holly Jr., pp. 167-183. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.

Scheiber, Laura L. and Finley, Judson Byrd

2011b Obsidian Source Use in the Greater Yellowstone Area, Wyoming Basin, and Central Rocky Mountains. *American Antiquity* 76, 372–394.

Schroeder, Bryon

2020 The Shoshone Problem: Interpreting Ethnic Identity from the Edge of the Eastern Great Basin. In *Spirit Lands of the Eagle and Bear,* edited by Robert H. Bruswig, pp. 11-26. University Press of Colorado, Louisville.

Shackley, M. Steven

2011 An Introduction to X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Analysis in Archaeology. In *X- Ray Fluorescence Spectrometry (XRF) in Geoarchaeology*, edited by M. Steven Shackley, pp. 7-44. Springer, New York.

Shimkin, Demitri B.

1947 Wind River Shoshone Ethnogeography. *Anthropological Records of the University of California* 5(4):245–288. Berkeley, California.

Speakman, Robert J.

2012 Evaluation of Bruker's Tracer Family Factory Obsidian Calibration for Handheld Portable XRF Studies of Obsidian. Prepared for Bruker AXS, Kennewick, Washington. Electronic document, https://www.bruker.com/fileadmin/user_upload/8-PDF-Docs/X-rayDiffraction_ElementalAnalysis/HH-XRF/LabReports/Bruker_Obsidian_Report. pdf, accessed February 20, 2018.

Speakman, Robert J. and M. Steven Shackley

2013 Silo science and portable XRF in archaeology: a response to Frahm. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40:1435-1443.

Stirn, Matthew A.,

2014 Why all the way up there? Mountain and high-altitude archaeology. *SAA Archaeological Record* 7–10.

2020 Considering High Altitudes within the Numic Spread. In *Spirit Lands of the Eagle and Bear,* edited by Robert H. Bruswig, pp. 27-46. University Press of Colorado, Louisville.

Sullivan, III Alan P. and Kenneth C. Rozen

1985 Debitage Analysis and Archaeological Interpretation. *American Antiquity* 50, 755–779.

Thomas, David Hurst

1994 Chronology and the Numic Expansion. In *Across the West: Human Population Movement and the Expansion of the Numa*, edited by David B. Madsen and David Rhode, pp. 56-61. The University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City.

2018 A Shoshonean Prayerstone Hypothesis: Ritual Cartographies of Great Basin Incised Stones. American Antiquity, 84(1), 1-25.

2020 *Alpine Archaeology of Alta Toquima and the Mt. Jefferson Tablelands (Nevada) The Archaeology of Monitor Valley, Contribution 4*. Anthropological Papers of the American Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C.

Todd, Lawrence

2015 A Record of Overwhelming Complexity: High Elevation Archaeology in Northwestern Wyoming. *Plains Anthropologist Memoir* 60(236): 355-374.

Westerling, Anthony L., Monica G. Turner, Erica A. H. Smithwick, William H. Romme, and Michael G. Ryan

2011 Continued warming could transform Greater Yellowstone fire regimes by mid-21st century. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108(32), pp. 13165-13170.

Wright, Gary A.

1978 The Shoshonean Migration Problem. *Plains Anthropologist* 23(80):113–137.



Figure 1. The study area location in northwestern Wyoming and key local archaeological sites (1. Black Mountain Redoubt (48FR6463); 2 and 3. Black Mountain Sheep Traps; 4. Wiggins Fork Sheep Trap; 5. Helen Lookingbill Site; 6. Bull Elk Pass Sheep Trap; 7. Indian Point Eagle Trap). Inset map indicates the location of key regional obsidian sources (A. Obsidian Cliff; B. Teton Pass and Crescent H; C. Bear Gulch, Idaho; D. Malad, Idaho).

Figure 2. Photograph overview of the Black Mountain Sheep Trap and the Black Mountain redoubt from Bear Creek looking northwest.



Figure 3. Map of the Black Mountain sheep trapping complex. (Frison 1990:223)

Figure 4. Catch-pen at the Black Mountain sheep trap. (Frison 1990:224)



Figure 5. Shade relief map depicting the Wyoming Basin and Yellowstone Plateau obsidian conveyance zones in relation to Western and Northern Shoshone ranges. (Finley et al. 2015)



Figure 6. Sr:Y Biplot showing the grouping of the five primary identified obsidian sources. Ellipses represent the 95% confidence interval of group membership based on the key element concentrations.



Figure 7. Site planview map of the Black Mountain Redoubt showing the distributions of all artifacts.



Figure 8. Site planview map of the Black Mountain Redoubt showing the distributions of all artifacts sourced to Obsidian Cliff.



Figure 9. Site planview map of the Black Mountain Redoubt showing the distributions of all artifacts sourced to Teton Pass, Crescent H, Bear Gulch, and Malad (D.).

Figure 10. Late Archaic Corner Notched (b, c, e, f, and k-p) and Rose Springs Corner Notched (a, d, and h-j) projectile points from the surface of the Black Mountain Redoubt.



Figure 11. Desert Series projectile points from the surface of the Black Mountain Redoubt.



Figure 12. Site planview map of the Black Mountain Redoubt showing the distributions of typed projectile points.



Figure 13. Box-and-Whisker plot illustrating the key differences in debitage weight based on obsidian source based on median values and interquartile ranges.



Figure 14. Fall-off curve illustrating the trend in artifact size based on the straight-line distance from site to source for the five obsidian sources identified at the Black Mountain Redoubt.

Table 1. Contingency Table Illustrating the Frequency of Obsidian Sources by Major Artifact Type

Tool Type	Projectile Point	Biface	Flake	Total
Obsidian Cliff	2 (5)	1 (2)	57 (53)	60
Teton Pass	0 (2)	1 (1)	27 (25)	28
Crescent H	7 (4)	4 (1)	33 (38)	44
Lava Creek B	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (1)	1
Bear Gulch	4 (1)	0 (1)	11 (13)	15
Malad	0 (1)	0 (0)	9 (8)	9
Unknown	1 (0)	0 (0)	3 (4)	4
Total	14	6	140	161