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UV Screening in Native and Non-native Plant Species in the Tropical Alpine: Implications for Climate Change-Driven Migration of Species to Higher Elevations
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1 Department of Biological Sciences and Environment Program, Loyola University New Orleans, New Orleans, LA, United States, 2 Department of Wildland Resources, Utah State University, Logan, UT, United States, 3 Department of Forest, Rangeland and Fire Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID, United States

Ongoing changes in Earth’s climate are shifting the elevation ranges of many plant species with non-native species often experiencing greater expansion into higher elevations than native species. These climate change-induced shifts in distributions inevitably expose plants to novel biotic and abiotic environments, including altered solar ultraviolet (UV)-B (280–315 nm) radiation regimes. Do the greater migration potentials of non-native species into higher elevations imply that they have more effective UV-protective mechanisms than native species? In this study, we surveyed leaf epidermal UV-A transmittance (TUVA) in a diversity of plant species representing different growth forms to test whether native and non-native species growing above 2800 m elevation on Mauna Kea, Hawaii differed in their UV screening capabilities. We further compared the degree to which TUVA varied along an elevation gradient in the native shrub Vaccinium reticulatum and the introduced forb Verbascum thapsus to evaluate whether these species differed in their abilities to adjust their levels of UV screening in response to elevation changes in UV-B. For plants growing in the Mauna Kea alpine/upper subalpine, we found that adaxial TUVA, measured with a UVA-PAM fluorometer, varied significantly among species but did not differ between native (mean = 6.0%; n = 8) and non-native (mean = 5.8%; n = 11) species. When data were pooled across native and non-native taxa, we also found no significant effect of growth form on TUVA, though woody plants (shrubs and trees) were represented solely by native species whereas herbaceous growth forms (grasses and forbs) were dominated by non-native species. Along an elevation gradient spanning 2600–3800 m, TUVA was variable (mean range = 6.0–11.2%) and strongly correlated with elevation and relative biologically effective UV-B in the exotic V. thapsus; however, TUVA was consistently low (3%) and did not vary with elevation in the native V. reticulatum. Results indicate that high levels of UV protection occur in both native and non-native species in this high UV-B tropical alpine environment, and that flexibility in UV screening is a mechanism employed by some, but not all species to cope with varying solar UV-B exposures along elevation gradients.
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INTRODUCTION

Many plant species are migrating in response to ongoing changes in climate and additional shifts in geographic ranges are expected in the future, though the rates of movement will likely vary substantially with growth form (e.g., herbaceous vs. woody plants; IPCC, 2014). For species in montane environments, recent climate change-induced shifts in species distributions toward higher elevations have been documented in temperate and tropical locations (Benavides et al., 2016; Dolezal et al., 2016). Over the past 100–200 years, many non-native (i.e., introduced or alien) species have colonized high altitude environments (Pyšek et al., 2011) and in several temperate mountain ranges in North America and Europe, non-native species appear to be migrating to higher elevations to a greater degree than native species (Wolff et al., 2016; Dainese et al., 2017). These findings suggest that, at least along elevation gradients, non-native species have higher migration potentials than native species, though this may depend upon levels of disturbance and local habitat heterogeneity (Suding et al., 2015; Averett et al., 2016). This upward migration of species inevitably exposes plants to novel combinations of biotic and abiotic environmental conditions, including ultraviolet (UV) radiation (280–400 nm), with the potential for significant negative impacts on native alpine biodiversity (Chapin and Körner, 1994; Savage and Vellend, 2015; Cuyckens et al., 2016).

Because of differences in atmospheric conditions (primarily optical depth of the atmosphere and the thickness of the stratospheric ozone layer) and prevailing solar angles, the levels of solar UV-B radiation (280–315 nm) generally increase with decreasing latitude and increasing altitude (Caldwell et al., 1980; Blumthaler et al., 1997; McKenzie et al., 2001). Consequently, tropical alpine environments experience some of the highest UV-B irradiances on the Earth's surface. UV-B radiation is known to induce a number of potentially deleterious effects in plants, including disruption of the integrity and function of important macromolecules (DNA, proteins, and lipids), oxidative damage, partial inhibition of photosynthesis and growth reduction (Albert et al., 2011; Jansen and Bornman, 2012; Higek et al., 2013). However, plants have evolved photosensory mechanisms to detect UV (Tilbrook et al., 2013; Jenkins, 2014) and then protect and repair sensitive targets from direct and indirect UV-induced injury (Jansen et al., 1998; Brit, 1999) such that the negative effects of ambient UV-B on plant growth and productivity are typically small or difficult to detect under field conditions (Ballaré et al., 2011). Nonetheless, UV-B is generally considered to be an important selective force in the evolution and adaptation of the tropical alpine flora (Lee and Lowry, 1980; Robberecht et al., 1980; Caldwell et al., 1982). To what extent UV-B limits the ability of plant species to migrate into alpine environments or expand their ranges within the alpine, however, is not known.

One of the most important and widespread protective responses of plants to UV radiation involves the induction and synthesis of flavonoids, hydroxycinnamic acids (HCAs) and related phenylpropanoids that function as “UV sunscreens” and antioxidants (Searles et al., 2001; Agati et al., 2012; Schreiner et al., 2012). Flavonoid biosynthesis is influenced by UV-B, UV-A (315–400 nm), and visible radiation (400–700 nm) (Flint et al., 2004; Siipola et al., 2015) and appears to be mediated, at least in part, by the UV-B photoreceptor UV RESISTANCE LOCUS 8 (UVR8) (Morales et al., 2013). The accumulation of flavonoids and related UV-absorbing compounds in epidermal tissue decreases epidermal UV transmittance (Mazza et al., 2000; Bidel et al., 2007) and is a primary mechanism by which plants acclimate to changing UV environments, including alterations resulting from stratospheric ozone depletion and climate change (Caldwell et al., 1983; Bornman et al., 2015).

This UV screening response entails measurable energetic and growth costs (Snell et al., 2009; Hofmann and Jahue, 2011) and varies within and among plant species (e.g., Qi et al., 2010; Randriamanana et al., 2015). Some of the interspecific variation in UV screening can be attributable to growth form differences in leaf structure and cellular distributions of UV-absorbing compounds (i.e., vacuole vs. cell wall; Day et al., 1992, 1993). For example, in a study using micro-probes to measure UV penetration into the foliage of a diverse group of plants in the North American Rocky Mountains, Day et al. (1992) found that the leaf epidermis of herbaceous dicots (forbs) was less effective in attenuating UV-B than that of grasses and woody dicots. The accumulation of UV-absorbing compounds and resultant changes in leaf optical properties are also highly plastic traits in many species (Wargent et al., 2015) and have been shown to vary in relation to natural elevation/latitudinal UV-B gradients (Robberecht et al., 1980; Rozema et al., 1997; Ruhland et al., 2013). In many cases, these differences in UV protection can account, at least in part, for the differential UV-B sensitivities of high- vs. low-elevation taxa (Barnes et al., 1987; Sullivan et al., 1992; Ziska et al., 1992; but see Nybakken et al., 2004).

At present, very little is known whether native and non-native plant species differ in their tolerances to UV-B and levels of UV protection. The apparent greater propensity for non-native species to migrate to higher elevations than native species may indicate that non-native species are capable of adjusting their UV protection more effectively, either through greater phenotypic plasticity or more rapid genetic adaptation, than native species. Indeed, the success of non-native species in general is often attributed, in part, to their high degree of phenotypic plasticity to environmental change (Richards et al., 2006; Davidson et al., 2011). If non-native species exhibit greater phenotypic plasticity to UV-B change than native species, one would expect greater variation in UV protective mechanisms among elevational/UV-B gradients relative to native species, and non-native species would display similar or perhaps even higher levels of UV protection than native species in the high UV-B alpine environment. Alternatively, many non-native species possess functional traits (physiology, allocation, growth rate, etc.) that allow for high rates of resource acquisition and performance (Van Kleunen et al., 2010; Funk, 2013) and these traits can come at a cost in reduced tolerance to abiotic stress in harsh conditions, such as occurs in the alpine (Brook Galen, 2005). Tolerance to UV-B is often cross-linked with tolerance to other abiotic stresses such as drought (Bandurska et al., 2013) and defense against pests and pathogens (Mewis et al., 2012; Zavala et al., 2015). It is thus conceivable that non-native species may invest less in UV protection than native species and may be more rather than
less sensitive to UV-B than native species. If this is the case, the invasion of high elevation habitats by non-native species may be governed less by UV-B tolerance than by other plant attributes, such as life history traits and competitive abilities. In support of this hypothesis, Wang H. et al. (2016) reported that non-native populations of *Triadica sebifera* exhibited greater sensitivity to elevated UV-B than native populations under controlled conditions. Whether these differences in UV tolerance were the result of differences in UV-absorbing compounds and UV screening was not investigated. Taken together it is therefore difficult to predict, a priori, whether non-native species that occur in high elevation environments would exhibit similar, higher or lower levels of UV protection than their native counterparts.

In the study described here, we characterize the leaf optical properties of a suite of native and non-native plant species of different growth forms growing in the tropical alpine and upper subalpine of Mauna Kea, Hawaii to test whether differences in epidermal UV transmittance (measured as the screening of UV-A radiation) exist between native vs. non-native species. Additionally, we examine UV screening of a native and a non-native species along an elevation gradient spanning 2600–3800 m to determine if these species differ in their abilities to adjust their levels of UV protection in response to natural variation in UV-B exposure. This study examines the ability of native and non-native plant species to cope with extreme natural levels of UV-B, and thus provides insights into the role that UV-B may play in influencing climate change-induced upward range expansions in mountains.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Survey of Native and Non-native Alpine Species**

Studies were conducted on 19 common native (8) and non-native (11) wild species growing on native volcanic soil in un-shaded habitats on the south slope of Mauna Kea, Hawaii, United States (19°45′N, 155°27′W) ca. 2800–3900 masl from early to mid-June (Table 1). This elevation range includes the upper subalpine and alpine vegetation zones of Mauna Kea (Gerrish, 2013). For all species, we sampled plants that were growing at or near, their approximate peak elevations as determined from floristic surveys (Table 1; Wagner et al., 1999). We were limited in the amount of the Mauna Kea alpine/upper subalpine vegetation zones we could sample in due to logistical constraints (i.e., there are very few roads on the mountain) and out of deference to the indigenous Hawaiian culture that considers the mountain to be sacred. The majority of our sampling was therefore conducted within the south-facing slope of the 212 km² Mauna Kea Forest Reserve, including sites along the Mauna Kea access road leading from the Mauna Kea Information Visitor’s Center (2800 masl) to the summit (ca. 4200 masl). Within this area, we selected species for study that were easily accessible (they occurred within ca. 1 km of the Mauna Kea Access Road), relatively abundant (at least 10 individuals present per sampling location), and which were suitable for measurement (large enough leaves to fit the sampling chamber and green in color; see below).

The primary goal of this study was to compare UV screening in native and non-native species growing in this tropical alpine environment. We recognized, however, that there was a diversity of plant growth forms in the Mauna Kea alpine, and results from previous studies (e.g., Day et al., 1992) have shown that UV screening can vary significantly among plant growth forms. Thus, we also wished to compare UV screening among plant growth forms to determine if any potential differences in UV screening between native and non-native species could be attributable to growth form differences. We attempted to survey species representing all of the major growth forms present on Mauna Kea [i.e., woody dicots (trees and shrubs), herbaceous dicots (forbs), and grasses]. We did not examine any conifers (none occurred at our study site) and we also did not sample any cushion plants, rosettes or succulent growth forms that are often found in alpine life zones (Körner, 2003) but which are rare or absent in the Mauna Kea alpine (Gerrish, 2013). As a consequence of the sampling limitations described above, the native species tested were mostly woody species (i.e., five of the eight species were shrubs or trees) whereas all of the non-native species were herbs (forbs or grasses; Table 1). There are no non-native woody species in the Mauna Kea alpine (Gerrish, 2013). Thus, plant growth form in this study is, to a certain degree, inherently confounded with native vs. non-native status. Also, the native species sampled were all perennials, whereas the non-natives included both annuals and perennials. One species of native fern was sampled. Nomenclature follows Wagner et al. (1999) for the angiosperms and Palmer (2003) for the fern as per recent updates by Wagner et al. (2012).

Measurements of UV screening [epidermal UV-A transmittance (T$_{UV_A}$); see below] were taken on 10 plants/species selected haphazardly at each sampling location with two to three leaves measured per plant. There was no systematic pattern of leaf sampling within each plant (i.e., we made no attempt to isolate the effect of leaf position or age on T$_{UV_A}$). Rather, we haphazardly selected several leaves among the healthy, mature leaves on an individual plant shoot. Preliminary analyses (ANOVA) showed no significant effect of leaf sample number on T$_{UV_A}$. Thus, data were averaged within a plant and subjected to an arcsine transformation (Zar, 1999) to normalize data prior to statistical analysis. We used individual one-way ANOVAs (SAS JMP, Cary, NC, United States) to test for species, growth form, and native vs. non-native effects. In the ANOVA testing for the effect of species on T$_{UV_A}$, the individual plant was the unit of replication. For the other ANOVAs (growth form and native vs. non-native comparisons) we averaged values within a species such that the individual species was the unit of replication. Significant differences were determined at $P < 0.05$.

**Elevation Gradient Study**

One native and non-native species were selected for additional study to explore whether UV screening varied with elevation and prevailing levels of solar radiation. For this study, we sampled the native shrub, *Vaccinium reticulatum*, and the non-native forb, *Verbascum thapsus*, across the entire elevational range of both species (762–3352 masl for *V. reticulatum*; 100–3962 masl for
V. thapsus) during June. We chose these species because they could often be found growing in close proximity throughout much of this elevation gradient, which was essential for sampling purposes (measurements were conducted in the dark as indicated below). Sampling locations were located on the south slope of Mauna Kea along a transect that generally corresponded to that used by Nullet and Juvik (1997) in a study characterizing elevation changes in UV-B, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR; 400–700 nm), and total shortwave (SW) radiation (300–3000 nm). In their study, Nullet and Juvik (1997) measured UV-B using a broadband sensor (Robertson-Berger Model 501A Biometer) that provided a measure of biologically effective UV-B (UV-BER) weighted according to the human erythral action spectrum. PAR was measured with a LiCor Model LI190SB quantum sensor and SW radiation was measured with an Eppley PSP pyranometer. Nullet and Juvik (1997) collected radiation data at 10 elevations ranging from sea level (0 m) to 4230 masl in June and then they adjusted their data to correspond to a solar zenith angle on Mauna Kea near midday under clear skies in June and then data at 10 elevations ranging from sea level (0 m) to 4230 masl in June and then they adjusted their data to correspond to a solar zenith angle of 10°. At each of their sampling elevations, these investigators reported values of UV-BER, PAR, and SW relative to those at the Mauna Kea summit. We used polynomial regression models [second-order for UV-B and fourth-order for PAR and SW (R² = 0.98–0.99); SAS JMP] to establish relationships between elevation, solar radiation. For these regression models we tested linear and polynomial models (second, third, and fourth order) and selected the model that explained the largest amount of variation in the data (i.e., the highest value of R²).

**Measurements of Leaf Optical Properties**

Non-invasive measurements of epidermal TUVΑ were made on adaxial (upper) surfaces of healthy, fully expanded leaves with a field-portable pulse amplitude modulation (PAM) chlorophyll fluorometer (UVA-PAM; Gademann Instruments, Würzburg, Germany). This instrument provides estimates of epidermal TUVΑ by measuring the fluorescence yield of chlorophyll (Fο; λ > 650 nm) induced by UV-A (375 nm) and blue (BL; 470 nm) radiation, as outlined by Kolb et al. (2005) and following the precautions and procedures of Barnes et al. (2008). This technique is based on the premise that both UV-A and BL can induce chlorophyll fluorescence and that reductions in the penetration of UV to the mesophyll (e.g., from UV-absorbing compounds in the epidermis) will reduce UV-A-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (FUVA). Fluorescence induced by BL (FBL), which is not absorbed by UV pigments, serves as a reference to account for variation in chlorophyll content and distribution in the mesophyll. Ideally, calculations of epidermal UV transmittance using this technique are based on the FUVA/FBL of epidermis-free leaf samples. As it is usually not possible to readily remove the epidermis for most species, FUVA/FBL values are normally expressed relative to a blue plastic standard (Heinz Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany), which has emission

### TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the native and non-native (introduced) species sampled for epidermal UV-A transmittance in the Mauna Kea, Hawaii alpine/subalpine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Growth form</th>
<th>Elevation sampled (m)</th>
<th>Elevation range (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Native species</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptecophyllum tameiameiae</td>
<td>Epacridaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>3429</td>
<td>60–2320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodium oahuense</td>
<td>Amaranthaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>2774</td>
<td>0–2520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geranium cuneatum</td>
<td>Geraniaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>3444</td>
<td>1480–3250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophora chrysophylla</td>
<td>Fabaceae</td>
<td>Shrub/tree</td>
<td>2914</td>
<td>450–3240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinium reticulatum</td>
<td>Ericaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>3353</td>
<td>640–3700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenogyne microphylla</td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>Vine/forb</td>
<td>2774</td>
<td>1200–2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystopteris douglasii</td>
<td>Polygonaceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>3862</td>
<td>1500–3000+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-native species</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malva parviflora</td>
<td>Malvaceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>2774</td>
<td>0–2270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbascum thapsus</td>
<td>Scrophulariaceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>3962</td>
<td>1550–2350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taraxacum officinale</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>3962</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oenothera stricta</td>
<td>Onagraceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>2774</td>
<td>1200–2740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterotheca grandiflora</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>2774</td>
<td>10–2270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbasicum virgatum</td>
<td>Scrophulariaceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>2774</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumex acetosella</td>
<td>Polygonaceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>3962</td>
<td>1115–2840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypochaeris radicata</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>3429</td>
<td>1100–2800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senecio madagascariensis</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Forb</td>
<td>2914</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poa pratensis</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Grass</td>
<td>3962</td>
<td>1220–4025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthoxanthum odoratum</td>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td>Grass</td>
<td>2914</td>
<td>750–4090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nomenclature, native vs. non-native classifications, growth form and elevation ranges are from Wagner et al. (1999) and Palmer (2003), according to recent updates. NA, not available.
properties similar to an epidermis-free green leaf. Such was the case in this study. The epidermal $T_{\text{UVa}}$ reported here should therefore be considered as approximations of the true transmittances for these species.

Measurements of $T_{\text{UVa}}$ by the UVA-PAM generally exhibit strong, positive correlations with direct measurements of epidermal UV transmittance (in both the UV-B and UV-A) made from epidermal peels (Markstadter et al., 2001), and this technique has been widely used to investigate UV sunscreen protection in a diversity of plant species and conditions (see reviews of Barnes et al., 2015; Julkunen-Tiitto et al., 2015; and references therein). However, while measurements of $T_{\text{UVa}}$ made by the UVA-PAM are generally correlated with epidermal UV-B transmittances ($T_{\text{UVb}}$), the specific relationships between $T_{\text{UVb}}$ and $T_{\text{UVa}}$ can vary with species, depending on the type of UV-absorbing compounds employed (e.g., flavonoids vs. HCAs; Bilger et al., 2001). Thus, while epidermal $T_{\text{UVa}}$ measurements made with the UVA-PAM can serve as reasonable estimates of the overall UV screening of leaves (including $T_{\text{UVb}}$, which is technically much more difficult to measure in the field than $T_{\text{UVa}}$), we are unable to precisely relate levels of UV-A screening to that for UV-B in the species surveyed in this study. Also, the presence of anthocyanins in the epidermis can introduce errors in determining $T_{\text{UVa}}$ with the UVA-PAM by affecting the penetration of the reference ($F_{\text{BL}}$) beam (Barnes et al., 2000; Pfündel et al., 2007). To avoid these errors, we restricted our sampling to include only plants with green leaves (i.e., leaves that had no visible reddish coloration which would be indicative of anthocyanin accumulation).

Previously, we reported that several of our study species (V. thapsus and Oenothera stricta) exhibited diurnal changes in epidermal $T_{\text{UVa}}$ at this study location, with absolute values of $T_{\text{UVa}}$ decreasing 1–3% from predawn to midday and then increasing to predawn levels at sunset (Barnes et al., 2008). Although diurnal changes in $T_{\text{UVa}}$ are now known to occur in many species (Barnes et al., 2016a), it is unknown if all the species studied here undergo these diurnal changes. To allow for comparisons among species in the alpine survey we therefore measured $T_{\text{UVa}}$ of all species under clear skies during midday (10:00 to 14:00 h local time). These values thus represent the “baseline” level of UV screening within each species.

**RESULTS**

**Survey of Native and Non-native Alpine Species**

Significant variation in daily minimum epidermal $T_{\text{UVa}}$ existed among the plant species measured in the alpine/upper subalpine on Mauna Kea (Figure 1; ANOVA, $F_{18,79} = 14.8; P < 0.0001$). Mean values of $T_{\text{UVa}}$ at midday ranged from a low of 2.6% in Senecio madagascariensis to a high of 11.5% in Poa pratensis (Figure 1). However, when averaged at the species level we detected no significant difference (ANOVA; $F_{7,12} = 0.05$; $P = 0.83$) between native and non-native taxa (Figure 2A). Similarly, we found no significant effect (ANOVA; $F_{2,15} = 0.82$; $P = 0.46$) of growth form on $T_{\text{UVa}}$, when averaged at the level of species (Figure 2B). However, data were variable and forbs represented a disproportionate fraction of the species tested ($n = 10$) as compared to grasses ($n = 3$) and woody plants (trees and shrubs; $n = 5$). The single fern species was excluded from the growth form analysis. We found no consistent patterns in $T_{\text{UVa}}$ in growth forms between native and non-native species but replication was insufficient to test for statistical differences (Figure 2C). Even though measurements were taken over a range of elevations (2774–3962 masl), we found no significant relationship between $T_{\text{UVa}}$ and elevation for the species sampled ($r = 0.20; P = 0.42$; not shown). The average sampling elevation of native species (3326 masl) was also not significantly different (ANOVA; $F_{1,17} = 0.02; P = 0.89$) than that for the non-native species (3291 masl).

**Elevation Gradient Study**

Along an elevation gradient spanning 2600–3800 m we found a strong negative relationship ($R^2 = 0.96; P < 0.001$ for linear regression model) between elevation and predawn adaxial $T_{\text{UVa}}$ in the non-native V. thapsus but predawn $T_{\text{UVa}}$ did not vary ($R^2 = 0.02; P = 0.87$ for linear regression model) with elevation in the native shrub V. reticulatum (Figure 3A). However, V. reticulatum maintained 2.4 times higher predawn levels of
FIGURE 2 | Mean midday adaxial epidermal UV-A transmittance of plant species in the alpine and upper subalpine zones of Mauna Kea, Hawaii grouped according to native vs. non-native status (A), growth form (B), and growth forms within native and non-native categories (C). Data are means ± SE with n = 8 and 11 for native and non-native species in panel (A), n = 3, 1, 10, and 5 for grass, fern, forb, and tree/shrub growth forms, respectively, in panel (B), and n = 1–5 in panel (C) (see Table 1 for additional information). P values for the effect of native vs. non-native status (A) and growth form (B) are from individual one-way ANOVAs; the one fern species was not included in the growth form ANOVA.

UV screening (mean $T_{UV A} = 2.8\text{-}3.1\%$) than $V. \text{thapsus}$ (mean $T_{UV A} = 6.0\text{-}11.2\%$) at similar elevations, based on estimates from regression models. For $V. \text{thapsus}$, predawn $T_{UV A}$ exhibited a non-linear, negative relationship ($R^2 = 0.986; P = 0.003$ for third-order polynomial regression model) with relative peak daily clear sky UV-B$_{ERY}$ along this elevation gradient (Figure 3B). Similar relationships were found with daily maximum clear sky total SW irradiance ($R^2 = 0.982; P < 0.001$ for a linear model; not shown) and PAR ($R^2 = 0.948; P = 0.003$ for a second-order polynomial model; not shown) though the relative irradiance changes in these two wavebands over this sampling gradient were less (5% for PAR and 12% for SW) than that for UV-B$_{ERY}$ (ca. 20%). There were no significant relationships between predawn $T_{UV A}$ and these relative measures of solar radiation (UV-B$_{ERY}$, PAR and SW) for $V. \text{reticulatum}$ ($R^2 < 0.02; P > 0.8$; not shown).

FIGURE 3 | Relationships between elevation and predawn adaxial epidermal UV-A transmittances in $Vaccinium \text{reticulatum}$ (native species) and $Verbascum \text{thapsus}$ (non-native species) (A), and the relationship between midday summer clear sky erythemal UV-B irradiance (UV-B$_{ERY}$) and predawn adaxial epidermal UV-A transmittance in $V. \text{thapsus}$ (B). Data are means ± SE ($n = 10$). Linear regression models in panel (A) were significant for $V. \text{thapsus}$ ($P < 0.001$; $y = 11.13\text{-}0.0014x$) but not for $V. \text{reticulatum}$ ($P = 0.87$). The best-fit line relating epidermal UV-A transmittance to %UV-B$_{ERY}$ for $V. \text{thapsus}$ in panel (B) is a third-order polynomial model $y = 32.2 - 0.28x + 0.022(x - 90.2)^2 - 0.0007(x - 90.2)^3$, which is significant at $P = 0.003$. UV-B$_{ERY}$ data are expressed relative to the value at the highest sampling elevation on Mauna Kea as per data from Nullet and Juvik (1997).

DISCUSSION

Results from our survey of 19 species representing 13 different plant families indicate that significant interspecific variation exists in maximum (midday) levels of UV screening for plants growing in the Mauna Kea alpine/upper subalpine. Overall, however, epidermal $T_{UV A}$ was low for all taxa (means ranged from 2.6 to 11.5%). Further, we found no significant differences in UV screening between native and non-native species. Most of the native species in our study were woody dicots (five of the eight species sampled) whereas the majority of the non-native species were herbaceous dicots (9 of 11 species sampled). Therefore, our comparison of native vs. non-native species was, to some degree, confounded with growth form effects. Day et al. (1992) reported significantly greater leaf epidermal UV transmittance in herbaceous dicots ($n = 7$ species) than woody
dicots (n = 3 species) for plants growing in a temperate subalpine meadow in Wyoming, United States (3310 m elevation). By comparison, we detected no significant effect of growth form on leaf optical properties in this tropical alpine environment. Our study was similar in size and scope to the study of Day et al. (1992) in that the majority (74%) of the species we tested were also herbaceous and most of these (71%) were forbs. Thus, even though differences in growth form composition existed between native and non-native species in our study, these differences appeared to have little effect on overall levels of UV screening between these two categories of species. It seems that growth form effects on $T_{UVA}$ are less pronounced in the extreme UV-B conditions in the tropical alpine than in lower UV-B environments, which occur at lower elevations and higher latitudes.

Eldredge and Evenhuis (2003) reported that of the 2311 species of vascular plants known to occur in the Hawaiian Islands ca. 50% (1160) of these species are non-native (non-indigenous) in origin. Only a small fraction (73 species or ca. 3%) of these species occurs in the alpine/upper-subalpine of Mauna Kea though the relative floristic composition of non-native species in this life zone (54%) is comparable to that of the Hawaiian Islands in general (Gerrish, 2013). In our study, we examined about one-third of the native and non-native species of the Mauna Kea alpine/upper subalpine (8 native and 11 non-native species). Our results are therefore derived from sizable and comparable fractions of the native and non-native flora present in this habitat and there is no reason to believe that our findings would have differed had we sampled a greater number of species in the Mauna Kea alpine.

While it is seldom possible to determine the exact origin of non-native species, the non-native species included in our study clearly originated from lower elevation, higher latitude sites where UV-B exposure would be considerably less than our sampling locations. The majority of species (8 of 11) are listed in floras as originating from Europe or Eurasia. More detailed distributions of several species indicate they typically originate north of 30° latitude (Weber, 2017). The remaining species also originate outside the low-latitude tropics: *Heterotheca grandiflora* from coastal California (Munz, 1968), *O. stricta* from southern South America (Robberecht and Caldwell, 1983), and *S. madagascariensis* from South Africa (as determined by phylogenetic analysis; Le Roux et al., 2006). Whereas, it is possible that these species were pre-adapted to the extreme UV-B conditions in the tropical alpine, it seems more likely that they either (1) evolved higher UV screening over the course of their invasion and colonization of montane environments in Hawaii, or (2) that they possess high degrees of phenotypic plasticity in UV tolerance that then enabled them to acclimate to a wide range of UV-B conditions. Some of the non-native species in our study have arrived in Hawaii relatively recently and are highly invasive pests (e.g., *S. madagascariensis* whereas others have been on the island sufficiently long enough to be considered “naturalized” (e.g., *P. pratensis* and *Rumex acetosella*) (Wagner et al., 1999; USDA, 2017). Thus, the non-native species in our study have experienced various periods of time since their introductions and these historical differences may have influenced the degree to which their adjustments in UV protection reflect genetic changes in populations vs. phenotypic plasticity.

In general, the success of non-native, invasive species is often attributed to their high levels of phenotypic plasticity, which then enables them to cope with a wide array of environmental conditions (Davidson et al., 2011). Many of the non-native species in our study, regardless of the timing of their introductions, may have achieved levels of UV protection that are similar to those of the native alpine species via phenotypic adjustment (i.e., acclimation) to the high UV-B irradiances in this alpine environment. In the case of *V. thapsus*, this is an herbaceous weed at many elevations in the temperate zone and this species has been found to exhibit a high degree of phenotypic plasticity rather than rapid evolution over the course of its invasions (Parker et al., 2003). Findings from the current study revealed that predawn $T_{UVA}$ varied in a linear fashion (1.3% change in relative $T_{UVA}$ per 100 m) with elevation in *V. thapsus* whereas this was not the case for the native *V. reticulatum* (Figure 3A). Using a similar approach, but conducting measurements at midday over a narrower elevation range (ca. 800 m), Ruhland et al. (2013) reported linear decreases in $T_{UVA}$ with increasing elevation (9.7% per 100 m) for the native shrub *Artemisia tridentata* in Wyoming, United States. Because of the short distances between their sampling sites, these authors attributed the elevation variation in UV screening in *A. tridentata* to phenotypic plasticity rather than ecotypic differentiation. In a growth chamber study, Beckmann et al. (2012) found similar levels of phenotypic plasticity in native (German) and non-native (New Zealand) populations of *Hieracium pilosella* in morphological and growth responses to UV-B, though some genetic differentiation also occurred between these two populations. Thus, at present it is not clear whether non-native species (or populations) exhibit greater phenotypic plasticity in UV protection than native plants and further study on a greater number and diversity of species is needed to adequately test this hypothesis. It is conceivable, however, that phenotypic plasticity in UV protection in *V. thapsus* is one factor that has aided the invasion of this particular species in Hawaii.

In this study, we focused on the attenuation of incoming UV by the epidermis (i.e., UV screening) as this mechanism provides the first line of defense against the potentially deleterious effects of UV-B. However, UV protection in plants involves not only UV screening but other factors as well, such as levels of antioxidant compounds, DNA repair and leaf thickness, that all serve to protect and repair sensitive targets from direct and indirect UV-induced injury (Britt, 1999; Jacques et al., 2009; Majer et al., 2014; Robson et al., 2015). In some cases, these other mechanisms of UV protection have been shown to vary with elevation. For example, Wang Q. W. et al. (2016) found that differential sensitivity to UV radiation between high vs. low elevation populations and species of *Arabidopsis* growing in the Hakkado Mountains, Japan, was attributable, in part, to population differences in DNA damage and repair. Wildi and Lütz (1996) reported that total antioxidant levels increased with elevation in several species in the Austrian Alps, but whether these differences were due to elevation changes in UV, temperature, or other factors was not assessed. Moreover,
while the attenuation of UV within the leaf is predominantly influenced by UV-absorbing compounds, the surface features of leaves (e.g., trichomes and waxes) can also influence leaf optical properties (Karboumniotis et al., 1992; Holmes and Keiller, 2002) and in some cases these traits have been shown to vary with elevation (e.g., Pilon et al., 1999). One of the species in our study (V. thapsus) possesses pubescent leaves and it is conceivable that the trichomes of this species are also involved in UV screening. We did not test whether these hairs absorb or reflect UV nor did we evaluate whether there were elevation changes in the levels of pubescence in this species. Increases in pubescence would add to the UV-filtering effect of the epidermis and thus further decrease TUVa but only if the leaf hairs possessed UV-absorbing compounds. Pubescence would likely have no effect on TUVa, as measured with the UVA-PAM, if the hairs primarily reflect UV, as they would also reflect visible (including blue light) radiation (Holmes and Keiller, 2002). In this situation, the ratio of FUVa/FBL and thus TUVa would be unaffected by variation in trichome density.

Because of their known function in UV protection and potential value in plant systematics, a large number of studies have examined elevation changes in the levels of flavonoids (and related phenolic compounds) and/or UV screening in a variety of plant species (e.g., Caldwell et al., 1982; McDougal and Parks, 1984; Barnes et al., 1987; Rau and Hofmann, 1996; Alonso-Amelot et al., 2007; González et al., 2007; Rieger et al., 2008; Bernal et al., 2013; Ruhland et al., 2013; Cirak et al., 2017; and others). Some of these studies have further tested the linkage between elevation variation in flavonoids/UV screening and UV tolerance. In one of the most extensive studies to date, Sullivan et al. (1992) examined 33 species collected along a 3000 m elevation gradient in Maui, Hawaii, and found a significant, inverse relationship between elevation and negative effects of UV-B on growth for plants growing in a greenhouse. A companion study by Ziska et al. (1992) showed that greater sensitivity to UV-induced partial inhibition of photosynthesis in a subset of greenhouse-grown low elevation species (n = 4) was associated with lower constitutive levels of UV-absorbing compounds relative to high elevation taxa. Thus, even though our study only examined UV screening, our findings imply that non-native species would not be more or less prone to UV-induced injury than native species under the extreme UV-B conditions in this tropical alpine environment. Furthermore, our findings that non-native species possess levels of UV screening that are comparable to those of native species do not support the general expectation that non-native species invest more heavily into resource acquisition and growth at the expense of stress tolerance mechanisms than native species (Van Kleunen et al., 2010). Rather, it appears that the low resource, highly stressful environment of the alpine serves as a strong filter of plant species (Alexander et al., 2011; Gerrish, 2013) and functional traits (Funk et al., 2016) such that native and non-native species in this environment exhibit little difference in UV defense.

Elevation gradients, such as the one in our study, are complex gradients where a number of environmental factors (e.g., solar radiation, temperature, precipitation) change in concert (Körner, 2007). Studies such as ours linking elevation changes in UV screening to changes in UV-B are therefore, correlative at best, and other environmental factors may contribute to this variation. Indeed, it is well known that low temperatures can increase UV-absorbing compounds and UV screening in leaves (e.g., Bilger et al., 2007) and some have found elevation changes in flavonoids to be more strongly influenced by changes in temperature than UV (Albert et al., 2009). Nonetheless, studies along elevation gradients can provide insights into how plants might respond to the changes in UV-B that occurs with migration to higher elevations as a consequence of climate change. In our study, we found a strong negative relationship between clear sky erythemal UV-B and TUVa in V. thapsus, but we also detected strong relationships with PAR and total SW radiation. In general, radiant fluxes of biologically effective UV-B increase proportionally more with elevation than those of UV-A, PAR or SW in temperate and tropical mountains (Caldwell et al., 1980; Piazena, 1996; Blumthaler et al., 1997; McKenzie et al., 2001). Such appears to be the case for this elevation gradient in Hawaii (Nullet and Juvik, 1997). Thus, while migration to higher elevations exposes plants to increases in solar radiation in all wavebands, the relative changes are greatest for biologically effective UV-B. These elevation gradients in UV-B can be further accentuated by the presence of clouds. For example, because of a persistent, dense cloud layer at ca. 2000 masl that results from trade-wind inversions, the differences in UV-B between the alpine and sea level differ considerably from the eastern, wind-ward side of Mauna Kea to the western, lee-ward side of the mountain. From continuous UV measurements, Nullet and Juvik (1997) reported that monthly erythemal UV-B, when averaged over all sky conditions, was actually 55–103% greater at 3400 masl than at a windward sea level location, depending on time of year (summer vs. winter), in comparison to the ca. 20% difference in clear sky UV-B between these elevations. Plant species that occur below the cloud layer on the moist, eastern side of Mauna Kea, and which migrate to elevations above this cloud layer would therefore likely require greater acclimation to UV-B than would those migrating comparable elevations on the drier, eastern side of this mountain.

CONCLUSION

Our findings indicate that high levels of UV screening are not restricted to plant species native to the high UV-B conditions of the tropical alpine and that plasticity in epidermal UV transmittance is a mechanism employed by some, but not all, species to cope with varying solar UV exposures. Whether this plasticity in UV screening is a general feature of non-native species is unknown, but our findings do suggest that many terrestrial plants will be able to tolerate the increased levels of UV-B radiation as they migrate to higher elevations as a consequence of climate change.
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