Ozone photochemistry in an oil and natural gas extraction region during winter: simulations of a snow-free season in the Uintah Basin, Utah
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Abstract. The Uintah Basin in northeastern Utah, a region of intense oil and gas extraction, experienced ozone (O3) concentrations above levels harmful to human health for multiple days during the winters of 2009–2010 and 2010–2011. These wintertime O3 pollution episodes occur during cold, stable periods when the ground is snow-covered, and have been linked to emissions from the oil and gas extraction process. The Uintah Basin Winter Ozone Study (UBWOS) was a field intensive in early 2012, whose goal was to address current uncertainties in the chemical and physical processes that drive wintertime O3 production in regions of oil and gas development. Although elevated O3 concentrations were not observed during the winter of 2011–2012, the comprehensive set of observations tests our understanding of O3 photochemistry in this unusual emissions environment. A box model, constrained to the observations and using the near-explicit Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) v3.2 chemistry scheme, has been used to investigate the sensitivities of O3 production during UBWOS 2012. Simulations identify the O3 production photochemistry to be highly radical limited (with a radical production rate significantly smaller than the NOx emission rate). Production of OH from O3 photolysis (through reaction of O(1D) with water vapor) contributed only 170 pptv day−1, 8 % of the total primary radical source on average (primary radicals being those produced from non-radical precursors). Other radical sources, including the photolysis of formaldehyde (HCHO, 52 %), nitrous acid (HONO, 26 %), and nitryl chloride (CINO2, 13 %) were larger. O3 production was also found to be highly sensitive to aromatic volatile organic compound (VOC) concentrations, due to radical amplification reactions in the oxidation scheme of these species. Radical production was shown to be small in comparison to the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NOx), such that NOx acted as the primary radical sink. Consequently, the system was highly VOC sensitive, despite the much larger mixing ratio of total non-methane hydrocarbons (230 ppbv (2080 ppbC), 6 week average) relative to NOx (5.6 ppbv average). However, the importance of radical sources which are themselves derived from NOx emissions and chemistry, such as CINO2 and HONO, make the response of the system to changes in NOx emissions uncertain. Model simulations attempting to reproduce conditions...
expected during snow-covered cold-pool conditions show a significant increase in O\textsubscript{3} production, although calculated concentrations do not achieve the highest seen during the 2010–2011 O\textsubscript{3} pollution events in the Uintah Basin. These box model simulations provide useful insight into the chemistry controlling winter O\textsubscript{3} production in regions of oil and gas extraction.

1 Introduction

Tropospheric ozone (O\textsubscript{3}) is an air pollutant with severe respiratory health effects (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1997; Brown et al., 2008; Jerrett et al., 2009). In the United States O\textsubscript{3} concentrations are monitored and controlled by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, 1986). Although O\textsubscript{3} pollution is normally associated with summertime photochemical smog in urban areas, during recent years, wintertime O\textsubscript{3} pollution episodes have been observed in remote regions where oil and gas extraction has seen rapid growth (Schnell et al., 2009). Many aspects of the chemistry responsible for these high wintertime O\textsubscript{3} concentrations are uncertain, in particular the role of emissions associated with the oil and gas extraction process. Natural gas extraction in the US has increased rapidly since 2005 (EIA), with this growth forecast to continue. Therefore, the impacts of emissions from this sector on local and regional air quality must be understood.

Unlike many other tropospheric pollutants, O\textsubscript{3} is not emitted directly. The only known sources of tropospheric O\textsubscript{3} are entrainment of O\textsubscript{3} rich air from the stratosphere and in situ photolysis of nitrogen dioxide (NO\textsubscript{2}) and subsequent reaction of the O\textsubscript{3}(P) with molecular oxygen (Reaction R1) (Crutzen, 1994; Roelofs and Lelieveld, 1995). The nitric oxide (NO) generated by Reaction (R1) can subsequently react with O\textsubscript{3} to regenerate NO\textsubscript{2} (Reaction R2), and therefore Reactions (R1)–(R2) constitute a cycle that creates and destroys O\textsubscript{3} but is overall null in O\textsubscript{3} (O\textsubscript{3} = NO\textsubscript{2} + O\textsubscript{3}).

\[
\text{NO}_2 \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{NO} + \text{O}_3(P) \quad (R1a)
\]

\[
\text{O}_3(P) + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{O}_3 + \text{M} \quad (R1b)
\]

\[
\text{O}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad (R2)
\]

This null O\textsubscript{3} cycle is disturbed by reactions that convert NO into NO\textsubscript{2} but do not destroy O\textsubscript{3}, thus resulting in a net increase in O\textsubscript{3} concentration. Such NO to NO\textsubscript{2} conversions occur during the radical catalyzed oxidation of hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide (CO) (Reactions (R3)–(R8), where R represents a hydrocarbon species), and lead to tropospheric O\textsubscript{3} production.

\[
\text{CO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H} \quad (R3a)
\]

\[
\text{H} + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{M} \quad (R3b)
\]

\[
\text{RH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{R}^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (R4)
\]

\[
\text{R}^+ + \text{O}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{RO}_2^+ + \text{M} \quad (R5)
\]

\[
\text{RO}_2^+ + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{RO}^+ + \text{NO}_2 \quad (R6)
\]

\[
\text{RO}^+ + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{carbonyl} + \text{HO}_2^+ \quad (R7)
\]

\[
\text{HO}_2^+ + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH} \quad (R8)
\]

Hydrocarbons and NO\textsubscript{x} (NO\textsubscript{x} = NO + NO\textsubscript{2}) thus serve as O\textsubscript{3} precursors, with the potential for the production of two O\textsubscript{3} molecules from each cycle of Reactions (R4)–(R8). As the hydroxyl radical (OH) responsible for initiating the hydrocarbon oxidation (Reaction R4) is regenerated (Reaction R8), the number of NO to NO\textsubscript{2} conversions that occur is determined by how many oxidation cycles an OH radical catalyzes before it is irreversibly lost. This radical propagation efficiency is often referred to as the OH chain length, with a longer chain length resulting from more oxidative cycles per OH radical produced, and thus more O\textsubscript{3} produced (Tonnesen and Dennis, 2000; Thornton et al., 2002). O\textsubscript{3} production is therefore dependent on the relative concentrations of NO\textsubscript{x}, hydrocarbons, and radicals, as well as the efficiency of the catalytic oxidation cycles. The dependence of O\textsubscript{3} production on its precursor concentrations is, however, highly non-linear as the reaction of radicals with NO\textsubscript{x} provides an effective loss mechanism for both species (e.g., Reactions R9–10).

\[
\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 \quad (R9)
\]

\[
\text{RO}_2^+ + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{organic nitrate} \quad (R10)
\]

O\textsubscript{3} production efficiency is also sensitive to primary radical production, as it is radicals that drive the oxidation cycles resulting in O\textsubscript{3} formation. Primary radical production reactions are those where radicals are formed from non-radical precursors. Secondary radical production or radical propagation reactions, such as Reaction (R8), are those in which radicals are changed from one species to another with no net total radical formation or loss. The dominant tropospheric primary radical production mechanism is the photolysis of O\textsubscript{3} to yield an excited oxygen atom (O\textsubscript{1}D), which subsequently reacts with water vapor (H\textsubscript{2}O) to produce two OH radicals (Levy, 1972). This primary radical production of OH generally decreases during winter due to reduced actinic flux, shorter duration of sunlight, and substantially reduced water vapor concentrations (Spivakovskaya et al., 2000). Reduced rates of OH production from O\textsubscript{3} photolysis can lead to
the increased significance of other radical production mechanisms, such as the reaction of O\(_3\) with alkenes and other photolytic sources. Three such radical production mechanisms that are significant to this work are the radical channel in the photolysis of formaldehyde (HCHO) (R11) (radical quantum yield $\phi \sim 0.7$, Sander et al., 2011), photolysis of nitrous acid (HONO) (Reaction R12) and photolysis of nitryl chloride (ClNO\(_2\)) (Reaction R13), the latter producing a chlorine (Cl) radical instead of OH or HO\(_2\) (Osthoff et al., 2008; Thornton et al., 2010).

$$\text{HCHO} \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{O}_2 + \text{CO} + \text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \quad \text{(R11)}$$

$$\text{HONO} \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{NO} + \text{OH} \quad \text{(R12)}$$

$$\text{ClNO}_2 \xrightarrow{h\nu} \text{NO}_2 + \text{Cl}^\cdot \quad \text{(R13)}$$

HCHO is predominantly produced as a gas phase oxidation product of a wide variety of VOCs (Lowe and Schmidt, 1983; Fried et al., 2003), although primary emissions have also been identified, for example from incomplete combustion (Altschuller, 1993; Olaguer et al., 2009) and snowpack photochemistry (Sunner and Shepson, 1999; Grannas et al., 2007). Photolysis of HONO produced from the reaction of OH with NO (Reaction R14) is not technically a radical source, with HONO instead acting as a radical reservoir. Production of HONO via heterogeneous uptake of NO\(_2\) and subsequent photolysis (stoichiometry shown in Reaction R15) (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003), however, does result in primary radical production. This heterogeneous reaction normally occurs at the ground surface; thus HONO often exhibits vertical gradients, with the largest concentrations observed at surface level (Wong et al., 2011). Several studies have also suggested the importance of photoenhanced heterogeneous reactions of NO\(_2\) as a significant daytime source of HONO (Stemmler et al., 2006; Ammar et al., 2010; Monge et al., 2010). Production of ClNO\(_2\) occurs via uptake of N\(_2\)O\(_3\) to chloride containing aerosol (Roberts et al., 2009), although it may also occur via deposition to the ground. While less is known about vertical gradients in ClNO\(_2\), the existing vertically resolved measurements of this compound suggest that it does not have a dominant surface source (Young et al., 2012).

$$\text{OH} + \text{NO} + \text{M} \longrightarrow \text{HONO} + \text{M} \quad \text{(R14)}$$

$$2\text{NO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow \text{HONO} + \text{HNO}_3 \quad \text{(R15)}$$

$$\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{Cl}^-\text{(aq)} \longrightarrow \text{ClNO}_2 + \text{NO}_3^-\text{(aq)} \quad \text{(R16)}$$

O\(_3\) pollution events are usually considered an urban summertime phenomenon. Anthropogenic emissions of both NO\(_x\) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), combined with high photolysis rates results in rapid radical production (EPA, 1986). Recently, however, O\(_3\) mixing ratios significantly above the current EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) of 75 ppb (8 h average) have been observed during the wintertime in both Wyoming’s Upper Green River basin (Schnell et al., 2009; Carter and Seinfeld, 2012) and Utah’s Uintah Basin (Martin et al., 2011). In contrast to urban summertime O\(_3\) pollution events, these wintertime episodes occur during the darker months of the year in sparsely populated regions removed from the typical urban emissions of O\(_3\) precursor species. Both the Upper Green River basin and the Uintah Basin are regions of extensive oil and gas production, the emissions from which are currently poorly constrained (Katzenstein et al., 2003; Petron et al., 2012; Gilman et al., 2013).

In order to design the most effective wintertime O\(_3\) pollution mitigation strategies, a sound understanding of the underlying physical and photochemical processes is required. Recent work has shown that high wintertime O\(_3\) in regions of oil and gas extraction coincide with periods when the ground is snow covered, and cold stagnant weather conditions confine surface emissions to a shallow boundary layer with little advection (Schnell et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2011; Carter and Seinfeld, 2012). The role of snow cover remains uncertain, with possible mechanisms that could influence O\(_3\) concentrations including increased photolysis rates from a higher surface albedo, reduced rates of surface deposition, and the emission of reactive species from snowpack photochemistry (Grannas et al., 2007). Stable meteorology acts to increase the surface level concentrations of emitted O\(_3\) precursors through confinement to a shallower boundary layer, and also rules out stratospheric intrusions as the source of O\(_3\). The sensitivities of the O\(_3\) production photochemistry are, however, far from understood. Carter and Seinfeld (2012) performed box model calculations based on a lumped chemistry scheme investigating the sensitivities of O\(_3\) production to VOC and NO\(_x\) concentrations during four separate case studies of O\(_3\) events in the Upper Green River basin. In three of these studies, O\(_3\) production was found to be highly sensitive to VOC and HONO concentrations, whilst the fourth case was most sensitive to NO\(_x\). The difference in sensitivities between these case studies is attributed largely to differences in the observed VOC speciation at the different sites, and highlights the importance of increased observations of O\(_3\) precursors in regions of extensive oil and gas production.

The Uintah Basin has seen significantly less research into the causes of its winter O\(_3\) pollution than the Upper Green River basin, despite experiencing O\(_3\) mixing ratios well above the 75 ppbv NAAQS for multiple days during the winters of both 2009–2010 and 2010–2011 (there were no measurements during prior winters there). This paper presents a box model analysis of an extensive data set collected in the Uintah Basin during the Uintah Basin Winter Ozone Study (UBWOS) in the winter of 2011–2012. Using a near-explicit chemical oxidation scheme of $> 40$ measured VOCs, this
work aims to improve our understanding of wintertime O$_3$ production in general and in the Uintah Basin in particular.

2 Field campaign

The Uintah Basin has approximately 8000 gas and 2000 oil wells currently in operation (Fig. 1). A wide range of instruments, from multiple institutions, measured boundary layer chemical composition and physical parameters at several different locations within the basin (see Supplement Table S1 for listing of observations used in this analysis). The most extensive suite of measurements was made at the Horse Pool site (40.1428° N; 109.4680° W; 1530 m elevation), located on the northern edge of the largest gas field in the basin (Fig. 1).

2.1 Description of UBWOS 2012 data

Measurements at Horse Pool were made continuously between 15 January and 1 March, the time period during which O$_3$ pollution events were observed during the previous two winters. In contrast to the previous two years, the winter of 2011–2012 was unusually warm and dry, with no snow cover in the Uintah Basin and no stable cold-pool inversion events. Figure 2 shows O$_3$ mixing ratio observations made at the Horse Pool site during UBWOS 2012 (red) and during the same time period in early 2011 (blue) (Martin et al., 2011). The 2011 observations show several periods of elevated O$_3$, with mixing ratios continuously above the NAAQS for multiple days. Throughout January and February 2011 the Uintah Basin was snow covered, and the periods of high O$_3$ coincided with stable, high-pressure weather systems, resulting in shallow boundary layer cold-pool conditions (Martin et al., 2011). O$_3$ mixing ratios did not exceed 50 ppbv throughout the UBWOS 2012 measurement period consistent with the lack of conditions required for wintertime O$_3$ pollution events.

Although no O$_3$ pollution events were observed during the UBWOS 2012 observational period, a comprehensive set of measurements was made. Continuous measurements of > 60 speciated VOCs have shown exceptional levels in the Uintah Basin, with a campaign average VOC mixing ratio of approximately 230 ppbv (2080 ppbC). Observations of NO$_x$ and NO$_y$ (total reactive nitrogen) compounds also indicate a significant source of reactive nitrogen within the basin, with a campaign average NO$_x$ mixing ratio of 6 ppbv at the Horse Pool site. These measurements provide the most detailed data set to date of atmospheric chemical composition in a region of high oil and gas production during the wintertime.

A box model using a comprehensive chemistry scheme constrained to the observed concentrations of O$_3$ precursor species has been used to simulate an average UBWOS 2012 day (Sect. 3). This average diurnal approach was chosen to reduce the effects on the observed O$_3$ production of the day-to-day variability due to transport processes. As current understanding of the chemistry causing these wintertime O$_3$ pollution events is highly uncertain, simulating the average UBWOS 2012 day simplifies the problem enabling a focused study of the O$_3$ photochemistry. The calculated average day is used to understand the key chemical processes controlling O$_3$ production in this environment (Sects. 4–6). The model also enables the investigation of some of the mechanisms thought to cause the high O$_3$ observed in 2010 and 2011 (Sect. 7).

2.2 Description of model

Model simulations were performed using the Dynamically Simple Model of Atmospheric Chemical Complexity (DS-MACC) (Emmerson and Evans, 2009; Stone et al., 2010; Edwards et al., 2012). This zero dimensional model is constrained to the observed concentrations of H$_2$O, CO, methane (CH$_4$), VOCs, oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs), HONO, and ClNO$_2$, as well as the physical parameters of temperature and pressure (S2). The diurnally averaged data used to constrain the model are first filtered to exclude periods of high wind speed in order to capture the quiescent periods during UBWOS 2012, thus removing periods of strong dilution when O$_3$ production cannot be described by in situ chemistry. This filter removes data when wind speeds were above the 2σ value from a Gaussian fit to the low wind speed distribution (S3), corresponding to a wind speed of 4.4 m s$^{-1}$. Exclusion of the higher wind data removes approximately 10 % of the
Fig. 2. Observed O₃ mixing ratios at the Horse Pool site between 15 January–1 March 2011 (blue) and 2012 (red). The dashed black line shows the EPA 8 h average NAAQS of 75 ppbv.

The model chemistry scheme is generated by the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) V3.2 (Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003) and contains detailed inorganic chemistry and a near-explicit degradation scheme for 44 of the observed VOCs and OVOCs displayed in Supplement S2, resulting in 3180 species and 12447 reactions. As the MCM does not contain explicit oxidation schemes for the 7 substituted cyclo-alkanes observed (Listed in S2(c)), these compounds have been lumped as cyclohexane for the simulations described here. The MCM chemistry scheme has been modified to include the photolysis of CI(NO₂) to yield a chlorine radical (CI). The CI(NO₂) photolysis frequency has been parameterized as a linear combination of j(O¹D) and j(NO₂) using the absorption cross-section data reported by Ghosh et al. (2011). The MCM v3.2 only includes CI kinetics and mechanisms for alkanes. In order to assess the importance of this limited CI chemistry scheme on the conclusions of this work, a model simulation has been performed including extra inorganic CI reactions and CI oxidation mechanisms for methanol, ethanol, isopropanol, HCHO, acetone, acetaldehyde, ethene, and toluene (S4). The inclusion of these extra CI reactions results in a < 1% change in calculated O₃ and OH radical concentrations within the model. This lack of sensitivity to additional CI reactions is due to the unusually large VOC concentrations observed during UBWOS 2012, in particular those of alkanes (Fig. 3a), resulting in a CI reactivity of approximately 700 s⁻¹, >95% of which is due to reaction with the observed alkanes in the expanded chemistry scheme simulation. The negligible effect of an expanded CI chemistry scheme on calculated O₃ production chemistry for UBWOS 2012 conditions means that for simplicity the chemistry scheme used for the work presented in this paper only includes the reactions of CI included in the MCM v3.2.

The model is integrated forward with a time step of 600 s until the concentrations are found to be in a diurnal steady state, i.e., when the cycles of simulated species exhibit less than 0.01% variation from the previous day. For all the simulations shown here, diurnal steady state was reached prior to day 7; however, as the time taken to reach diurnal steady state varies depending on the model conditions, day 10 of the forward running integration has been used for all model calculated days for consistency. In order to represent the non-chemical loss of species either through deposition or mixing, and thus prevent the accumulation of unconstrained species within the model, a lifetime with respect to a physical first order loss of 24 h (k₈ = 1.15 × 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹, equivalent to a deposition velocity of V₉ = 1.15 cm s⁻¹ in a 1000 m deep boundary layer) is applied to all calculated species other than O₃ and nitric acid (HNO₃). Model simulations where k₈ is varied show that, although the absolute O₃ concentration calculated is affected by the k₈ value chosen, the conclusions based on the sensitivities of the chemistry described in the subsequent sections of this paper still apply. The physical
loss rates used for O3 and HNO3 in the model are different from \( k_{loss} \), as observations of these species allow better constraint of this parameter. For HNO3 a value of \( 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1} \) was chosen, as this is within the range of observed deposition velocities reported in the literature and provides a calculated concentration of HNO3 that agrees with the observations to within a factor of 2. The O3 physical loss rate of \( 4.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{s}^{-1} \) was chosen based on calculations of nighttime O3 removal and the observed NOx concentrations, assuming the only nocturnal losses of O3 are reaction with NOx and physical removal. Physical removal of ozone most likely proceeds via deposition to the surface.

3 The base model simulation

In order to best represent the O3 photochemistry during UBWOS 2012, the model concentrations of the observed VOCs, and the radical precursors HCHO, HONO and CINO2 are forced to match the measured diurnal profiles. Each of the measured speciated VOCs and radical precursors are constrained to 30 minute average diurnal observations, with any species without an explicit oxidation mechanism lumped as described in Sect. 2.2. Figure 3a shows the observed diurnal average total non-methane hydrocarbon mixing ratio to which the model is constrained, with the alkane and aromatic fractions indicated. As the model does not represent any dynamical processes, constraining to the observed VOC diurnal profiles in this way reduces the impact of not considering mixing on the in situ O3 production. The effect of mixing can be seen in the reduction in observed VOC mixing ratios in the afternoon, due to dilution during boundary layer break up. This afternoon reduction is similar to that observed in stable trace gases, such as CO2 and methane, and most likely does not result from chemical transformation such as VOC oxidation.

An emission of NO is used to best reproduce NO and NO2 at the Horse Pool site. This method of representing NOx was chosen over constraining to either of the observed NOx species concentrations, as conceptually this best represents the true NOx source within the basin whilst still being consistent with the chemistry scheme. Figure 3b and c show the average diurnal NO and NO2 observations (grey) compared with the model calculated NOx mixing ratios (blue).

Figure 4 compares the observed average diurnal O3 mixing ratio with that calculated by the observationally constrained base model simulation. The model does a reasonable job of simulating the observed O3 profile, with the daily mean calculated O3 mixing ratio agreeing with the observations to within 10% and accounting for 85% of the observed daily average 16 ppbv rise in O3 mixing ratio. The model under-prediction, largest in the afternoon, is most likely attributable to mixing of O3 rich air from above during boundary layer breakup. Diurnally averaged O3 observations made at a height of 500 m from a balloon platform between 1 and 22 February indicate that photochemical O3 production accounted for approximately 70% of the observed O3 increase during this period, although this number is highly uncertain due to the large amount of observed variability in both vertical O3 and mixing depth.

Agreement between the calculated and observed O3 mixing ratios gives confidence that the model is simulating the O3 photochemistry satisfactorily. The model can therefore be used to investigate the sensitivities to various aspects of the chemistry controlling O3 production in the snow-free winter-time Uintah Basin. In the following sections we examine the sources and sinks of the radicals that drive the O3 production chemistry, allowing us to determine the VOC and NOx sensitivity of the O3 production observed during UBWOS 2012. In the final section of the paper we use the model to simulate the O3 photochemistry that might be expected under cold-pool conditions, to test if the chemistry observed during UBWOS 2012 can explain the high O3 concentrations seen during the winters of 2009–2010 and 2010–2011.

4 Radical sources

In addition to VOCs and NOx, tropospheric O3 production is dependent on radicals to drive the oxidation cycles that convert NO to NO2 (Reactions R3–R8). Identifying the important radical sources is therefore essential if we are to understand O3 production during UBWOS 2012. Figure 5 shows the diurnal production rates of both OH and Cl radicals from primary sources within the constrained model simulated day. In Fig. 5 both HCHO and HONO are treated as primary radical sources, as unconstrained simulations (Figs. 6–7) show the chemistry scheme does not accurately predict their observed mixing ratios, implying either a primary emission or an unknown source mechanism. The HONO produced from the reaction of OH + NO within the model (40% of the observed mixing ratio) has been subtracted from the OH production due to the photolysis of the observed HONO (dashed red line). Production and loss of HONO through OH + NO and photolysis is a null cycle that does not represent net primary OH production, while HONO photolysis in excess of this amount may be considered a primary radial source. Subtracting the non-primary HCHO source from the observed
is much more difficult, as concentrations of HCHO are often miscalculated by current chemistry schemes (Zhou et al., 1996; Frost et al., 2002; Fried et al., 2003; Riedel et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2009). Observations of HCHO during UBWOS 2012 indicate contributions from both direct emission and photochemical production. Spikes in HCHO were found to correlate with methanol concentrations, indicating a common primary source; methanol is used for dehydration and de-icing during Oil and Natural Gas extraction and to prevent the formation of hydrates. Primary HCHO emissions have also been observed from petrochemical flares (Olaguer et al., 2009), providing another potential source within the Uintah Basin. The observed diurnal profile of HCHO does, however, indicate a significant photochemical source in addition to primary emission. In this simulation, photochemically produced HCHO accounts for 50% of the observed daily integrated mixing ratio, implying the remainder comes either from a primary emission or from an underestimation of the production of HCHO by the model chemistry scheme. Unlike HONO, HCHO is produced as the stable reaction product along with HO2 in radical propagation reactions, and is therefore a radical amplification mechanism and not a radical reservoir. A radical amplification mechanism is one in which a radical is needed to initiate the reaction, but more radicals are produced in subsequent steps than consumed in the initiation. For this reason we have treated the photolysis of the observed HCHO concentration as a primary radical source in this analysis. As the model calculates the O3 concentration, the production rate of OH from O1D + H2O is calculated using both the calculated and observed O3 concentrations, and agree to within 7%.

Early morning radical production is dominated by the photolysis of primary HONO and ClNO2, with HCHO photolysis becoming the largest single radical source by approximately 10 a.m. local time. As described in the introduction, production of HONO is thought to occur via heterogeneous uptake of NO2 to the ground surface, resulting in strong vertical gradients in its concentrations. Vertically resolved information on HONO and ClNO2 are not available. If HONO is more concentrated near the surface, while ClNO2 is more uniform throughout the boundary layer, then ClNO2 may be a larger radical source compared to HONO than represented here. The source of OH from O3 + alkene reactions is small throughout the day, never exceeding 1.5 x 104 cm−3 s−1. This low contribution from ozonolysis is due to the low emissions of alkene from the oil and gas extraction process. The reaction of O1D + H2O, often considered the dominant daytime radical source mechanism, is also small when compared to the radical sources from HONO, HCHO and ClNO2 photolysis. This low primary production of OH from O1D is due to a combination of the low wintertime O3 photolysis rates and the low water vapor concentration at cold temperatures. The relative daily-integrated radical production from each of the mechanisms identified is shown in Fig. 6.

The OH production rate from O1D + H2O in this cold wintertime environment of ~170 pptv day−1 is low relative to summertime radical production. For comparison, during a recent field study in May–June in Pasadena, CA, the average primary OH production from this reaction was ~10 ppbv day−1 (Young et al., 2012). Other radical precursor species identified in Fig. 6 therefore have a much larger role in this environment. HONO, HCHO and ClNO2 are all constrained to their observed diurnal mixing ratio profiles in the base model simulation; however, the gas phase chemistry scheme cannot explain the observed mixing ratios of these species. The inability of the chemistry scheme to
simulate the concentrations of the radical precursors HCHO, HONO and ClNO$_2$ due to a lack of knowledge about their sources, in particular those of HONO and ClNO$_2$ which are thought to be dominated by heterogeneous process (see Sect. 1) that are not represented in the MCM v3.2 chemistry scheme used in this work. For HCHO it is likely that a primary emission within the Uintah Basin that is not described within the model accounts for a significant fraction of the HCHO source (see Sect. 4). A simulation that does not contain any ClNO$_2$, and that allows the chemistry scheme to calculate HCHO (i.e., from VOC oxidation with no additional HCHO prescribed by the model) and HONO (i.e., from OH + NO alone, with no primary OH source from HONO photolysis), calculates significantly lower radical concentrations (Fig. 7a). This decrease in radical concentration results in a collapse of the calculated O$_3$ mixing ratio to zero at night and 2.8 ppbv maximum at 14:45 during late afternoon (Fig. 7b). The response of the calculated O$_3$ is due to insufficient radicals to drive the oxidation of NO to NO$_2$ resulting in reduced O$_3$ production. As a consequence of the reduced rate of NO to NO$_2$ conversions, the O$_3$ that is generated is rapidly titrated by NO. Figure 7c shows the calculated O$_3$ production in ppbv h$^{-1}$ for the two simulations, with and without the constrained radical precursor concentrations. The O$_3$ production rates for both simulations have been equated to zero at sunrise to enable a direct comparison of the daytime O$_3$ production, whilst minimizing the effects of model parameters such as O$_3$ deposition rates, which can impact the absolute calculated O$_3$ mixing ratio. Integrating under these O$_3$ production curves yields a total O$_3$ production of 15.2 ppbv day$^{-1}$ for the base simulation, compared to 0.81 ppbv day$^{-1}$ for the simulation with no ClNO$_2$ and unconstrained HONO and HCHO.

The O$_3$ collapse shown in Fig. 7 highlights the importance of HONO, HCHO and ClNO$_2$ as radical sources in this environment. Figure 8 shows simulations where the mixing ratios of HONO, HCHO and ClNO$_2$ are individually unconstrained from the observations, illustrating the relative importance of these three radical precursors on O$_3$ production. The largest reduction in O$_3$ production comes from removing the HCHO constraint, resulting in a 40% reduction in calculated peak O$_3$ concentration and a 26% reduction in O$_3$ production. This large effect of removing the HCHO constraint is expected as HCHO accounts for the largest single primary radical source (Fig. 6) and approximately 50% of the observed mixing ratio cannot be explained by in situ photochemical production. Removing either the ClNO$_2$ or the HONO constraints reduces the peak O$_3$ concentration by approximately 20% and the calculated O$_3$ production by approximately 13%. If ClNO$_2$ is unconstrained the entire Cl radical source within the model disappears, 12.8% of the total primary radical production (Fig. 6), as the chemistry scheme does not include a mechanism for ClNO$_2$ production. Although the contribution of HONO to the total primary radical source is larger than that of ClNO$_2$ (25.8% compared with 12.8%) the effect of unconstraining its concentration has a similar effect on total daily O$_3$ production within the model. This is largely due to the difference in the reactions of OH and Cl radicals, with essentially all the Cl radicals reacting with VOCs in contrast to OH where reactions with NO$_x$ are a significant sink (see Sect. 6). In the unconstrained HONO simulation the nighttime HONO essentially disappears, resulting in a 95% reduction in HONO mixing ratios relative to the observations at sunrise. After the large discrepancy between calculated and observed HONO in the morning, photochemical production of HONO (Reaction R14) in the afternoon accounts for up to 85% of the observed mixing ratio. This results in the O$_3$ production rate in the afternoon in the unconstrained HONO simulation being similar to that in the base simulation, indicating that the concentrations of HONO observed in the afternoon are in photochemical steady state.

5 Influence of VOC speciation

As well as the primary radical sources described in the previous section, multiple radical amplification reactions contribute to the total radical source, and thus O$_3$ production. These radical amplification reactions are particularly
Fig. 8. (a) O₃ mixing ratios and (b) O₃ production rates calculated for simulations where the mixing ratios of the radical precursors HONO, HCHO and ClNO₂ are independently unconstrained.

significant in the oxidation of substituted aromatic compounds, such as m-xylene (Reactions R17–R21), where unsaturated, photolabile species are produced as the stable product in reaction propagation steps (e.g., Reaction R19). These stable reaction products subsequently photolyze to produce additional radicals, thereby amplifying the radical concentration. This type of radical amplification process contributes to the large photochemical ozone creation potentials of substituted aromatic compounds reported in the previous studies of the impact of VOC speciation on O₃ production (Hough and Derwent, 1987; Andersson-Sköld et al., 1992; Derwent et al., 1996, 1998; Jiang et al., 1997; Jenkin et al., 2003).

Reactions (17)–(21): one of the oxidation pathways for m-xylene in the MCM chemistry scheme, with MCM species names, showing the potential for radical amplification through the generation of photolabile species. This set of 5 reaction steps has the potential to generate 5 peroxy radicals for each OH initiation. The photolysis of the C5DICARB species generated in Reaction (R19) accounts for 3.8 % of the total radical source in the constrained base model simulation (Fig. 9a).

Figure 9a shows the fraction of radicals in the constrained model simulation from all net radical production mechanisms, not just the primary radical sources shown in Fig. 6. Although still dominated by the primary radical sources of HCHO, HONO and ClNO₂, a significant fraction (26 %) of the radicals in the constrained base simulation are generated via radical amplification mechanisms. The most significant radical amplification reactions are from the oxidation of aromatic species. A simulation where all aromatic species are replaced by cyclohexane has been used to assess the importance of this chemistry on calculated O₃ production. Cyclohexane was chosen as a replacement as it has a similar reactivity towards OH and a similar number of carbons to many of the aromatic species, but since it is a cyclic alkane its oxidation chemistry does not have radical amplification steps present in the aromatic oxidation scheme. The OH reactivity in this zero aromatics simulation is on average 4 % lower than in the base constrained simulation, with the mean decreasing from 13.6 s⁻¹ to 13.1 s⁻¹ due to the higher reactivity of some of the aromatics. Figure 9b shows the radical sources in this zero aromatics simulation. The area of the pie relative to Fig. 9a represents the relative daily-integrated radical production in the two simulations, approximately 2.8 ppbv day⁻¹ for the base simulation and 2.1 ppbv day⁻¹ in the zero aromatics simulation. The decrease in total radical production between the two simulations is due to the removal of radical amplification steps such as those shown in Reactions (R17)–(R21), with the non-primary component of the radical production decreasing from 20 % to 2 %.

The reduction in total radical production in the zero aromatics simulation results in lower radical concentrations, and thus reduced ozone production. Figure 10a and b show the impact of replacing the observed aromatic VOCs with cyclohexane on the calculated OH, HO₂ and O₃, reducing their peak concentrations by 11 %, 28 %, and 16 %, respectively. Figure 10c shows the effect of this change in VOC speciation on the O₃ production rate calculated in the model. The O₃ production rate is unchanged between the two simulations in the early morning, when HONO and ClNO₂ photolysis observable.
dominate the radical production. After the morning burst of radicals from these two primary radical sources, the reduction in radicals in the simulation with no aromatics results in a daily-integrated \( \text{O}_3 \) production (offset to 0 ppbv h\(^{-1} \) at sunrise) reduction from 15.2 ppbv day\(^{-1} \) to 13.3 ppbv day\(^{-1} \), a similar reduction to that seen in the simulations with unconstrained CINO\(_2\) or HONO (Fig. 8).

Simulations where all aromatics were removed from the model and where the equivalent number of moles of non-aromatic VOCs were removed quantifies the modeled importance of aromatics to \( \text{O}_3 \) formation in the wintertime Uintah Basin. Aromatic VOCs account for approximately 1.6 % of the total observed VOC mixing ratio. Removing the aromatic species results in a drop in calculated peak \( \text{O}_3 \) mixing ratio from 33.1 to 26.6 ppbv, through a 15 % reduction in the calculated \( \text{O}_3 \) production rate, from 15.2 to 12.9 ppbv day\(^{-1} \). Removing the same number of moles of non-aromatic VOCs (i.e., reducing all the constraining concentrations of the non-aromatic VOCs by 1.6 %) results in only a very small decrease in peak \( \text{O}_3 \), to 32.8 ppbv, and a reduction in \( \text{O}_3 \) production rate of 0.5 % to 15.1 ppbv day\(^{-1} \). These simulations indicate that aromatic VOCs are approximately 30–40 times more effective \( \text{O}_3 \) producers than the non-aromatic VOCs in this environment. Despite current uncertainties in the oxidation chemistry of aromatic compounds (Jenkin et al., 2003; Koch et al., 2007; Bohn and Zetzsch, 2012; Loison et al., 2012), the radical amplification reactions provide a mechanism by which total radical production can be increased. This can have significant implications for local \( \text{O}_3 \) production in regions, such as the Uintah Basin, where aromatic VOC mixing ratios are large (UBWOS 2012 average \( \sim \) 4 ppbv) and primary radical production is low.

### 6 Radical sinks and VOC vs. NO\(_x\) limitation

As well as identifying the important radical sources within the constrained model simulation, the model has been used to ascertain the major radical loss reactions and explore the implications for \( \text{O}_3 \) production. The unusually high VOC mixing ratios observed during UBWOS 2012 (mean VOC = 230 ppbv, 2080 ppbC) result in the OH and Cl reactivity being dominated by reaction with VOCs, in particular alkanes. Figure 11a shows the relative contributions to OH reactivity during the constrained model simulated day. Observed species, such as alkanes, aromatics, alkenes, oxygenates, methane, \( \text{NO}_2 \), and CO account for 79.6 % of the OH losses shown in Fig. 6, while model calculated intermediate species arising from the oxidation of the observed VOCs accounts for the remaining 20.4 %, labeled “other” in Fig. 11a. It is possible that the model calculates unmeasured VOC oxidation products incorrectly, and thus that there is some uncertainty in the OH reactivity. For example, simulations in which measured oxidation products such as acetaldehyde and methyl ethyl ketone were unconstrained calculated the concentrations of these products to within a factor of two of the observations. However, the model calculates a
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**Fig. 9.** Pie charts showing (a) total radical sources in the constrained model simulation and (b) the simulation in which all observed aromatic VOCs have been replaced with cyclohexane. The areas of the pies represent the relative total radical production in the two simulations. All radical reservoir cycling, from species such as PAN and HONO\(_2\), have been omitted from these charts and the HONO contribution is from primary HONO only, i.e., with the HONO produced from OH + NO subtracted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radical Source</th>
<th>Constrained</th>
<th>Aromatic Replacements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO(_2)</td>
<td>53.2%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(_5)DICARB</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(<em>5)CO(</em>{14})O(_2) + HO(_2)</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(_3) + alkene</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 10.** (a) [OH] (solid lines) and [HO\(_2\)] (dashed lines) and (b) \( \text{O}_3 \) for the model simulation constrained to the observed diurnal average concentrations of all observed speciated VOCs (blue) and the simulation where all aromatic species are replaced with cyclohexane (green). (c) \( \text{O}_3 \) production rate for the two simulations, offset to zero ppbv h\(^{-1} \) at sunrise.
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complete set of oxidation products, and even a twofold uncertainty in this calculation would not significantly alter the conclusion that OH reactivity is dominated by VOCs rather than NOx. However, the OH + VOC reactions in Fig. 11a are not radical termination reactions, but rather propagation steps through the formation of peroxy radicals. Figure 11b shows that the total radical (Σ(OH + Cl + HO2 + RO2 + RO)) termination processes are dominated (>95%) by reactions with nitrogen compounds. This figure includes the reaction of OH with NO as a radical loss even though, as described in Sect. 4, much of the HONO produced undergoes photolysis and thus can also be considered a radical reservoir. However, as many of the other species produced during the radical termination reactions shown in Fig. 11b can undergo photolysis (e.g., HNO3) or thermal dissociation (e.g., PAN) to various extents, it was decided to view all reactions where radicals are lost, but not re-formed, as radical terminations, with the exception of HO2NO2 and CH3O2NO2 formation. These two exceptions were made as the rapid cycling of HO2 and CH3O2 through HO2NO2 and CH3O2NO2, respectively, would otherwise dominate the calculated radical termination reactions. The short lifetimes of HO2NO2 (~6 min) and CH3O2NO2 (~10 s), compared with HONO (18 min at solar noon and ~1 day at night for HONO), mean they are true reservoir species and not termination reactions. The overwhelming loss of radicals via nitric/nitrate/PAN formation is indicative of the low radical concentrations within the model, resulting in radical–radical reactions being insignificant.

The low radical production rates and NOx dominance of the radical termination reactions in the constrained base model simulation indicate a highly radical limited environment with respect to O3 production. It is, however, more conventional when considering O3 mitigation strategies to consider O3 photochemistry in terms of VOC or NOx sensitivity. The approach of Kleinman (1994) describes NOx limited and VOC limited regimes in terms of a balance between radical production rate (P_{radical}) and NOx emission rate (E_{NOx}). Systems that are VOC and NOx limited are then defined as follows:

NOx limited : \( P_{radical} > E_{NOx} \)

VOC limited : \( P_{radical} < E_{NOx} \)

When the production of radicals is greater than the emission of NOx, the major loss of total radicals is through reaction with VOCs and the system is sensitive to the NOx concentration, as these species primarily act to increases the OH chain length. When NOx emission is larger than the radical production rate, the major loss of radicals is reaction with NOx, and the system is sensitive to the VOC concentration, due to the competition of VOCs for the available radicals.

This balance between radical production and NOx emission can be described by the ratio of the total rate of radical removal by reactions with NOx \((L_N)\) to the total rate of radical production \((P_{radical})\) (Eq. 1) (Kleinman, 2005). If the majority of radical termination steps proceed via reactions with NOx then \(L_N/P_{radical} > 0.5\), and the system is VOC sensitive. If radical–radical interactions are the dominant mechanism for radical chain termination then \(L_N/P_{radical} < 0.5\) and the system is NOx sensitive.

\[
L_N/P_{radical} = \frac{\text{Rate of radical removal by NOx}}{\text{Rate of radical production}}
\] (1)

Figure 12 shows that the calculated \(L_N/P_{radical}\) ratio for the constrained model simulation is consistently greater than 0.9, indicating that the O3 production is in a highly VOC limited regime. This result initially seems counterintuitive, due to the large VOC and relatively modest NOx concentrations observed during UBWOS 2012 (VOC (ppbC)/NOx (ppb) = 347), but can be explained due to the very low radical production rates (e.g., OH production from ozone photolysis is approximately 60 times smaller than a typical summertime regime, see above) resulting in the majority of radical termination reactions being with NOx. The chemical system under
these conditions is highly sensitive to any change in VOC concentration, as the VOCs compete with NOx for the available radicals.

Changing the VOC and NOx concentrations within the model confirms that the O3 production chemistry during UBWOS 2012, as modeled here, is VOC limited. Figure 13 shows (a) the calculated O3 mixing ratio and (b) O3 production rate for simulations where the mixing ratios of all the observed VOCs and the NOx emission are independently increased and decreased by a factor of 2. Increasing the VOC mixing ratio by a factor of 2 results in an increase in steady state daily peak O3 of 48%, from 33.1 ppbv to 49.1 ppbv, and an increase in the daily ozone production rate (offset to zero at sunrise) from 15.2 ppbv day\(^{-1}\) to 20.8 ppbv day\(^{-1}\). Conversely, a decrease in the VOC mixing ratio by a factor of 2 results in a 36% decrease in peak O3 mixing ratio, to 21.1 ppbv, and a decrease in daily ozone production rate to 11.2 ppbv day\(^{-1}\). In contrast, increasing the NOx emission by a factor of 2 results in a decrease in the calculated peak O3 mixing ratio of 65%, to 11.6 ppbv, and a reduction in the ozone production rate to 1.6 ppbv day\(^{-1}\). This large decrease in ozone production is due to the increased O3 destruction through titration by NOx, due to lower radical concentrations resulting in fewer NO to NO2 conversions and thus much higher NO mixing ratios. Reducing the NOx emission by a factor of 2 results in an increase in peak O3 mixing ratio to 48.6 ppbv and an increase in O3 production rate to 17.8 ppbv day\(^{-1}\). Although the steady state peak O3 mixing ratio increases to a similar value in the reduced NOx simulation as in the increased VOC simulation, the lower O3 production rate indicates that this is partially due to a reduction in the losses of O3 and that the system is still in a VOC limited regime.

The box model calculations described in the sections above show that the O3 photochemistry in the wintertime Uintah Basin during UBWOS 2012 was highly radical limited. The low radical production rate results in few radical-radical interactions, resulting in radical termination proceeding almost exclusively through reactions with NOx. The NOx domination of the radical chain termination reactions in turn means that, despite the unusually high VOC mixing ratios observed, O3 production in this environment is in a VOC limited regime. This conclusion is similar to three of the four case studies of individual wintertime O3 events in Wyoming’s Upper Green River basin reported by Carter and Seinfeld (2012). Despite a significantly different NOx/VOC mixture and VOC speciation observed in the Wyoming study compared to this work, a set of model sensitivity studies found three of the four events modeled to be in a VOC limited regime. Note that the simulation of UBWOS 2012 is not for high O3 events and not for cold-pool conditions, as in the Wyoming events. Nevertheless, both wintertime simulations show VOC, rather than NOx, limitation of O3 photochemistry. In the absence of strong O3 production during UBWOS 2012, the sources of the different radical precursor species during a cold-pool event are uncertain. The simulations in this section do not address the influence of NOx concentration on the production rates of HONO and ClNO2, which are produced via NOx chemistry. It is likely that an increase in NOx emission would result in an increase in the production of these radical precursor species, which in turn would increase O3 production in this radical limited environment. Observations of these radical precursor species under cold-pool, strong O3 production conditions are required to confirm the chemical sensitivities described in this section. Observations of OH and HO2 radical concentrations, as well as OH reactivity, would also be beneficial during a wintertime O3 pollution event, as these measurements would provide an excellent test of the models skill in representing the radical sources and sinks.

7 Simulation of cold-pool event

Box model analysis of the UBWOS 2012 data set has provided a good understanding of the photochemistry controlling O3 production during the conditions observed during the 2012 wintertime, when there was no snow or cold-pool conditions, and no O3 measurements above the NAAQS. Based
on the success in reproducing this photochemistry, we can use the same model to simulate the conditions expected for a cold-pool event, similar to the winters of 2009–2010 and 2010–2011. Wintertime O3 pollution events coincide with periods of stable high-pressure weather systems and surface snow cover. These conditions result in shallow temperature inversions, confining surface emissions to a stagnant boundary layer of often less than 100 m (Schnell et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2011). As the cold-pool conditions are characterized by a relatively constant boundary layer height throughout a diurnal cycle, the chemistry is ideally suited to simulating using a box model. Although observational constraints relating to chemical processes unique to these conditions are lacking, such as snowpack photochemistry (Grannas et al., 2007), we attempt to simulate the physical changes in the system. A shallow stagnant boundary layer confines surface emissions to a smaller volume than was observed during UBWOS 2012, thus increasing the concentrations of O3 precursor species. Snow cover increases the albedo of the ground surface, which leads to increased photolysis rates. Both of these physical changes have been represented in the box model, and their impact on calculated O3 production assessed.

In the base simulation (i.e., without increased albedo due to snow cover), the concentrations of the radical precursor species HONO, HCHO and CINO2 were constrained to their average diurnal concentration profiles observed during UBWOS 2012. Increasing the photolysis within the model, due to a changing surface albedo, whilst maintaining these observed precursor concentrations, however, would cause an overestimation of the radical source strength from the photolysis of these species. For simulation of cold-pool conditions, the concentrations of these radical precursors have been constrained using an emission rate, instead of constraining the concentrations themselves. These emissions represent the missing sources of HONO and HCHO that are not present in the chemistry scheme, and the entire source of CINO2. Changing the base simulation from constraining to the observed radical precursor concentrations to an emission results in an increase of approximately 5% in both the calculated peak O3 mixing ratio, 33.1 ppbv and 34.8 ppbv, and the normalized daily O3 production rate, 15.2 to 16.0 ppbv day\(^{-1}\).

Figure 14 shows (a) the calculated O3 mixing ratio profiles and (b) calculated O3 production rates for three simulations of different cold-pool conditions relative to the constrained UBWOS 2012 case. Figure 14a also shows the average diurnal O3 mixing ratios observed during UBWOS 2012 and those observed at the Horse Pool site during the elevated O3 event between 12–16 February 2011 (see Fig. 2). The simulation shown in yellow has the same VOC concentrations and NOx and radical precursor emissions as the base model, but with the surface albedo increased from 0.1 to 0.85 to simulate a snow-covered surface. This increase in photolysis results in increases of the daily peak HO2 and OH concentrations of 100% and 70%, respectively, due to increases in radical production from photolysis. The higher radical concentration increase the O3 production rate from 16.0 ppbv day\(^{-1}\) to 25.4 ppbv day\(^{-1}\), and thus the calculated daily peak O3 mixing ratio increases from 34.8 ppbv to 63.4 ppbv.

To simulate a reduced boundary layer the model calculation shown in red in Fig. 14 has all VOC concentrations, and the NOx and radical precursor emissions increased by a factor of 2, as well as a surface albedo of 0.85. In contrast to the simulations in the previous section, emissions of the radical precursor species HCHO, HONO, and CINO2 have been increased in these cold-pool simulations. These radical precursor emissions represent the fraction of the required source that cannot be explained by the chemistry scheme and therefore likely originate from either a primary emission or a chemical reaction of species emitted within the basin. It is probable that concentrations of emitted species could increase by more than a factor of 2 during cold-pool events, with boundary layer heights decreasing to < 100 m. A factor of 2 was chosen to test the sensitivity of the system, since there is a lack of observational constraints and uncertainties remain about how the sources of HONO, HCHO and CINO2 would be impacted. The increase in O3 precursor concentrations along with the surface albedo change gives a daily O3 production rate of 39.5 ppbv day\(^{-1}\), and a peak daily O3 mixing ratio of 88.4 ppbv. This calculated O3 mixing ratio is considerably lower than, but at least approaches that observed during the most intense O3 pollution event at Horse Pool in 2011.

The final simulation shown in Fig. 14 (green), tests the sensitivity of O3 production to reduced temperatures during a cold-pool event. For this calculation VOC concentrations and emissions of NOx and radical precursors were kept at...
twice that used in the constrained UBWOS 2012 base simulation, along with a surface albedo of 0.85, but the temperature in the model was reduced by 10°C, giving an average temperature of -10.4°C. This temperature is typical of those observed during previous cold-pool events (Martin et al., 2011). As the kinetics of many of the OH + VOC reactions show a significant temperature dependence, the overall amount of oxidation decreases in this “cold” simulation. This results in a reduction in radical concentrations, -12% for OH and -43% for HO2, largely due to a reduction in the photochemical production of the dominant radical source HCHO. The decrease in radical concentrations also reduces the loss of NOx species, largely through a decrease in HNO3 formation, giving an increase in the total NOx concentration in the model. A reduction in NO to NO2 conversions via reactions with peroxy radicals also results in a higher NO : NO2 ratio than in the warmer simulation, giving increased O3 loss through reaction with NO. The overall impact of a 10°C reduction in temperature on daily O3 production rate is a reduction from 39.5 ppbv day⁻¹ to 34.6 ppbv day⁻¹, resulting in a daily peak O3 mixing ratio reduction of 17.6 ppbv to 70.8 ppbv.

The model simulations of a cold-pool event in this section indicate that O3 production is enhanced, but is still significantly lower than that observed during previous O3 pollution events (e.g., Fig. 2). Recent observations, however, may indicate that the factor of 2 increases in O3 precursor emissions chosen to represent a compressed boundary layer is insufficient. The behavior of radical sources that arise from heterogeneous reactions of nitrogen oxides, such as HONO and CINO2, is also difficult to predict for the cold-pool conditions, and represents a significant uncertainty in these simulations. To test these model conclusions, observations during an O3 pollution episode are required to provide information on how radical sources change and how the cold-pool conditions and snow cover impact other parameters, such as O3 deposition rates.

8 Conclusions

Simulations of ozone observations during UBWOS 2012 in Utah’s Uintah Basin, a major oil and natural gas producing region, have provided insight into the mechanisms for ozone production in a polluted winter environment. The motivation for the campaign was the occurrence of high O3 events during the winters of 2009–2010 and 2010–2011, which were characterized by cold-pool conditions in the Uintah basin, with snow on the ground. The 2012 study did not have snow cover, a cold-pool event, nor O3 in excess of national ambient air quality standards. Nevertheless, the detailed measurements that took place during six weeks of sampling (15 January–1 March 2012) have provided unprecedented detail of the chemical composition of the air masses in this region.

Despite the lack of cold-pool conditions, there was a regular diurnal cycle of surface ozone with partial nighttime titration by NOx emissions and daytime chemical production. The simulations with an explicit VOC degradation scheme accurately simulated the observed daily ozone production. A key finding from this simulation was the low OH production from O(1D) + H2O, accounting for only 170 pptv day⁻¹ of primary radical production and only 7.6% of primary radicals. Other primary radical sources that were important included the photolysis of HCHO (52.3%), HONO (25.8%) and CINO2 (12.8%), as well as OH from the reaction of O3 with alkenes (1.5%). Even though it is produced as a secondary product in the degradation of VOCs, formaldehyde photolysis was considered a primary radical source for this analysis because the simulations could not reproduce the observed HCHO, and because its production represents a radical amplification step. Radical amplification reactions during the oxidation of the observed aromatic VOCs were also identified as a significant radical source, making these species 30–40 times more effective O3 producers than the same concentrations of other, non-aromatic, VOCs in this environment. Radical production was low enough to be small in comparison to the emissions of nitrogen oxides, such that NOx acted as the primary radical sink. This low primary radical production makes the system highly radical limited. The consequence was that the system was highly VOC sensitive despite the much larger mixing ratio of total non-methane hydrocarbons (230 ppbv, 6 week average) relative to NOx (5.6 ppbv average). Simulations with halved or doubled concentrations of VOCs and NOx confirmed the sensitivity to VOCs, with a 48% O3 increase for a doubling of VOCs and a 65% decrease in O3 for a doubling of NOx. These simulations do not, however, include the impact of changing NOx concentrations on radical precursor concentrations, which would likely increase with increasing NOx and thus increase O3 production.

Extrapolation of these results to a cold-pool event with snow on the ground is difficult, since the importance of each radical source under these conditions is unknown, and the concentration increase associated with the reduced boundary layer height is uncertain. Simulations with factor of two increases in VOC concentrations and emissions of NOx and the radical precursors HCHO, HONO, and CINO2 (designed to simulate lower boundary layer heights) together with surface albedo increased from 0.1 to 0.85 to represent the snow surface and a temperature decreased by 10°C, are significantly closer to the observations of a five-day peak O3 period from the winter of 2010–2011, but still fail substantially short of reproducing the highest observed concentrations. Future detailed measurements during cold-pool conditions, particularly those that characterize the sources of primary radicals and the increases in VOCs, NOx and surface albedo, will be critical to understanding the phenomenon of severe O3 events during winter in oil and gas producing regions.
Supplementary material related to this article is available online at http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/8955/2013/acp-13-8955-2013-supplement.pdf.
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