Smaller Satellites, Bigger Return

Development of an On-Orbit Alignment Calibration Methodology for Optical Payloads Christos Liaconis, Bradley Cotten, Dr. Robert E. Zee | UTIAS Space Flight Laboratory (SFL) SSC24-WP2-05

Geolocating maritime targets from space is challenging due to the lack of references in the images. To solve this, geolocation is accomplished using on-orbit measured attitude and orbital position data. Attitude data is collected in the form of a star tracker quaternion solution and position data is collected from a GPS receiver.

However, misalignments between actual and expected boresight vectors of the star tracker and payload may exist due to:

- **[1]** Thermal Deformation
- **[2]** Vibrations and Launch Loads
- **[3]** Manufacturing and Assembly Tolerances

[1] Problem Statement [3] On-Orbit Plan

Conclusions:

- Preliminary thermal simulations showed high uncertainty of thermal deformation misalignments
- A high-level test plan was developed
- MATLAB scripts for geolocation and correction rotation

matrix calculations were generated

STK simulations validated the geolocation MATLAB script

Future Work:

- Implementation of sensor error in geolocation calculation
- Opportunity filtering for integration with SFL's Payload Operations Planning Software (POPS)
- Methodology to be applied on an upcoming mission
- On-orbit data will be used to correlate thermal deformation simulations for future use

[6] Conclusions & Future Work

Deformation induced by a thermal gradient is of particular interest for the following reasons:

[1] Simulation results showed magnitude is significant

[2] Variation as the thermal environment changes

It is expected to take over one year for the spacecraft to experience the full range of thermal environments (Figure 3). The change in thermal environment is expressed by the beta angle, β , which represents the percentage of time that a satellite in LEO spends in direct sunlight. As well, orbital insertion uncertainty and orbit drift are considered.

[4] Thermal Deformation Misalignments

Thermal Deformation Simulations:

Simulations were performed to quantify the impact of thermal deformation on misalignment. Results indicate that thermal deformation would be the major cause of misalignment. However, on-orbit measurements are necessary due to the uncertainty.

STK and Geolocation Simulations:

Companion MATLAB scripts were validated using data from a heritage SFL mission. The geolocation calculation was performed using this data to determine a set of expected payload boresight intersection point coordinates.

[5] Preliminary Simulations

To save time, thermal gradients can be induced by altering spacecraft attitude preceding calibration opportunities. **Figure 3: Beta Angle vs. Time (2σ Altitude & Inclination Uncertainty)**

Calibrating the offsets that arise from misalignments once on-orbit requires the development of a dedicated methodology. This involves calibrating the imager boresight pointing vector in relation to real star tracker solutions. To accomplish this, a maneuver plan must be developed before the mission commences. As well, companion MATLAB scripts play a crucial role in parsing data, performing geolocation calculations, and determining the magnitude of the offset. Additionally, a look-up table is created to account for thermal deformation during nominal operations.

Geolocation results are visualized in STK (Figure 4). The calculated intersection point correlates with the on-orbit position, attitude, and payload boresight vector data. **Figure 4: STK Images of Geolocation Results**

The look-up table represents the relationship between thermal deformation and geolocation error. This error is modelled in the form of a rotation matrix about the spacecraft body frame, \mathcal{F}_B using Euler's Theorem, where a is the *axis of rotation*, and θ is the *angle of rotation* (Figure 1).

$$
\mathbf{C} = \cos \theta \mathbf{1} + (1 - \cos \theta) \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^T - \sin \theta \mathbf{a}^{\times}
$$

$$
\mathbf{a}^{\times} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & 0 & -a_1 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

[2] Proposed Solution

Space Flight Laboratory

Microsatellite Science and Technology Centre University of Toronto Institute for Aerospace Studies 4925 Dufferin Street Toronto, Ontario M3H 5T6 Canada

info@utias-sfl.net | +1-416-667-7400 | www.utias-sfl.net

Contact Us

Figure 1: Example Sensor & Payload Boresight Misalignments

Figure 2: High-Level On-Orbit Calibration Plan

