#### **Utah State University**

## DigitalCommons@USU

All Graduate Theses and Dissertations

**Graduate Studies** 

5-1986

# Factor Analysis of a Self-Report Problem Inventory for Use in **Clinical Settings**

William F. Corey Utah State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd



Part of the Psychology Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Corey, William F., "Factor Analysis of a Self-Report Problem Inventory for Use in Clinical Settings" (1986). All Graduate Theses and Dissertations. 5601.

https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/etd/5601

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate Studies at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Graduate Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



# FACTOR ANALYSIS OF A SELF-REPORT PROBLEM INVENTORY FOR USE IN CLINICAL SETTINGS

by

William F. Corey

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Psychology

Approved:

UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY Logan, Utah

1986

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I would like to impart my deepest gratitude to my committee chair,
Elwin Nielsen, without whose guidance, help, and suggestions I would
never have completed this project. Secondly, I would like to thank the
other committee members, Damian McShane and Keith Checketts for
their comments and support. Finally, I would like to thank my wife,
Teri, for "freeing up" computer time when I needed it.

William F. Corey

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	age
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	. ii
ABSTRACT	. ¥
INTRODUCTION	. 1
Statement of the Problem.  Purpose of the Study.  Hypothesis.	4
REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	. 10
The Development of the DSM III.  Self-report Inventories.  Diagnostic Interviewing.  Development of a Self-report Problem Inventory.	. 12
METHOD	. 21
SampleMeasureProcedures	. 24
RESULTS	. 30
DISCUSSION	. 32
Limitations	. 42
REFERENCES	. 46

APPENDICES	. 53
Appendix A: Self-report Problem Inventory	.54
Appendix B: Results of the Factor Analysis	
Appendix C: Proposed Relationship of Items to	
DSM III Diagnostic Categories	.77

#### ABSTRACT

Factor Analysis of a Self-report Problem
Inventory for Use in Clinical Settings

by

William F. Corey, Master of Science
Utah State University, 1986

Major Pofessor: Dr. Elwin C. Nielsen

Department: Psychology

A factor analysis was performed using 609 subjects, each of whom completed the 265 item self-report problem inventory. Factor analysis was used in this context as the logical first step in the development of the problem inventory. For the purpose of analysis, 240 of the items were used. Results suggest that there may be utility in further research involving the problem inventory. A literature review of current diagnostic issues, self-report inventory issues, diagnostic interviewing issues, and finally, self-report inventory development is included.

#### INTRODUCTION

Self-report inventories have long been used as an aid in diagnosing psychological problems. The vast popularity of the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory attests to the fact that a self-report inventory which is reliable and valid has a place in psychodiagnosis. More recently, however some attention has been given to diagnostic inventories which relate to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) categories of pathology. Presently, there is no well researched and validated self-report inventory which is sensitive to DSM categories, and yet does not require a trained interviewer or inordinate amounts of time for interpretation. Such an instrument would be an aid both to diagnosis and to psychotherapy outcome research by providing easily administered pre and post testing to identify the presence of psychopathology and measure its increse or decrease during psychotherapy.

#### Statement of the Problem

For many years, clinicians and researchers have been very concerned about making accurate diagnosis of medical and emotional problems in order to facilitate treatment. In recent years both clinicians and researchers have increasingly stressed the importance of standardized diagnosis to facilitate a common basis of understanding among all concerned in order that they may "effectively comunicate about the disorders for which they have professional responsibility" (APA, 1980b, p1). The most widely accepted and comprehensive diagnostic reference tool to date is the <u>Diagnostic and Statistical</u> Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition (DSM III). Despite criticism of the DSM III (McLemore & Benjamin, 1979; Benjamin, 1981), it remains the guidepost of clinical diagnosis. Professionals have attempted to increase the validity and reliability of their diagnoses, a variety of approaches have been tried. In recent years, behaviorism has become a dominant influence in psychology, and its principles have influenced diagnostic models. Clinicians have recently attempted to operationalize their definitions of diagnostic syndromes by specifying in greater detail

the behaviors which these syndromes involve. Thus diagnosis is becoming increasingly based on the presence and severity of specific behavioral symptoms (APA, 1980b). The DSM III in fact catalogues specific behaviors for the clinician to note in formulating a diagnosis. This approach to diagnosis was chosen because it appears to make possible much more accurate categorization. Given the increased concern with specific symptoms, and the widespread use of the DSM III, it seems most appropriate to use the concept of noting the presence or absence of specific behaviors, in addition to thoughts and feelings, in further attempts to refine diagnostic procedures. A multidimensional approach, such as the use of a combination of behavioral, cognitive and emotive measures, is suggested in order to adequately assess changes due to the therapeutic process.

Although diagnosis has been refined and improved a great deal it is still an imprecise affair. Field trials performed during the development of the DSM III show that even experienced clinicians often do not agree on diagnosis (APA, 1980a), and there is some concern about the correct use of diagnostic instruments and procedures (e.g., Wiens & Matarazzo.

1983). There is, therefore, a need for continued refinement of diagnostic procedures. This need is perhaps more important with inexperienced clinicians and with paraprofessionals whose lack of experience and training make accurate diagnosis and understanding of diagnosis a greater problem. Although the DSM III has improved diagnosis immensely, there is still frequent confusion and disagreement among experienced clinicians in its use. One rather obvious possibility would be to capitalize on the strengths of the widely used DSM III by refining, clarifying, and providing more understanding of the diagnostic categories that it defines, and developing an instrument which would use similar priciples and diagnostic categories, but with greater objectivity and reliability.

## Purpose of the Study

The present study attempted to take a first step toward develping an instrument which would be a useful tool in diagnosing emotional problems. This author acknowledges the need to develop multiple measures for diagnosis, but concentrates on the development of a single

instrument for use in conjunction with other measures. The instrument is constructed to assess behavior, cognition and feelings.

The proposed inventory is a structured, self-report instrument which asks subjects to rate behaviors, cognitions, and affect. In the continuum from non-structured psychiatric interviews to highly structured instruments such as the MMPI, the proposed inventory is closest to the more highly structured instruments. In terms of reliability, self-report instruments are generally acknowledged as being superior to interviews, due to the fact that the same questions are asked in the same order for each subject (Anastasi, 1968). Highly structured self-report instruments are also considered to be more valid than an interview. The reason is that with an interview much of the validity as well as the reliability, comes from the interviewer's skill.

An interview, even one based conceptually on the DSM III, may lead to incorrect decisions because important data were not elicited or because given data were inadaquately or incorrectly interpreted. On the other hand, while it is more reliable, an inventory may not be valid since one cannot guarantee accurate perception, on the subject's part, of the

the same questions in the same order for each subject may lower diagnostic validity because of the restrictive nature of the instrument (i.e more types of information may be gleened from an interview which employs less structure) (Anastasi, 1968). As a rule, however, current research indicates that more highly structured instruments are likely to be more valid than those with less structure. Whether a statistically validated test is as accurate as a clinical interview depends on the situation and the skill of the interviewer (Anastasi, 1968, p. 152). Anastasi suggests that when tests with known high validity can be obtained they should be used.

The thrust of this study, therefore, was to develop an instrument which would more accurately measure client variables, and which would be sensitive to DSM III categories of diagnosis. It was believed that an inventory of the type used here has a good probability of demonstrating some type of test reliability. Because it is based on behaviors listed in DSM III, and thus has face validity, it also has a good possibility of being shown to have other types of validity.

Given the current need for therapists to document the effectiveness of their work, and given also the increased inclination of both therapists and researchers to use instruments which use indications of the presence or absence of particular behaviors, thoughts and feelings in both diagnosis and in measuring outcome it seemed worthwhile to attempt to develop such an instrument. Given the widespread use of the DSM III for diagnosis it seemed worthwhile to begin by working to make a related instrument which would be easier to use and more reliable.

The present research used a highly structured self report instrument which should have the potential to provide greater reliability than a psychiatric interview. In addition, this inventory is possibly sensitive to categories of DSM III diagnosis, and may (after considerable research) prove to be useful for diagnostic purposes, if acceptable levels of diagnostic validity can be reached.

The inventory is, in fact, quite similar to the Millon Clinical

Multiaxial Inventory (MCMI) (Millon, 1985) in structure and in types of

questions asked, the major difference being that the inventory attempts

to cover more diagnostic categories than the MCMI. Furthermore, the inventory is thought to be significantly different than instruments such as the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS) (Robins, 1985), the Psychiatric Diagnostic Interview (PDI), and the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-III (SCID), all of which require an interviewer rather than simply self-administration.

Again, although such instruments are of immense value in diagnosis, the superior reliability, and possible greater validity of a self-report structured instrument may make it more valuable both for clinical diagnosis and for research purposes (Anastasi, 1968).

Ultimately, of course, until much more definitive research is completed, a variety of instruments (including structured interviews) should be utilized and researched in order to capitalize on the various types of reliability and validity found in each, and to fully define which are most effective.

In order to develop this self-report problem inventory , the logical first step, after assembling a suitable pool of items, is the factor analysis of items currently found in the inventory so as to determine

which items represent factors that are aligned along the same dimensions as DSM III diagnostic categories. A factor analytic procedure, in facilitating the clustering of items, also provides a logical basis for further studies involving the reliability and validity of the instrument.

Therefore, this study was designed to perform an exploratory factor analysis on a self-report problem inventory for the purpose of determining whether or not certain groups of items on the inventory have some resemblance to diagnostic categories found in the DSM-III.

These are considered to be the first steps in developing the problem inventory as a possible measure to be used along with other types of techniques for diagnosis of psychological problems. In the longer range, the development of such an instrument might serve an even more important purpose, that of providing a more accurate basis for assessing efficacy to treatment.

## <u>Hypothesis</u>

The factor structure found within the self-report problem

inventory will reveal groups of questions which conceptually relate to diagnostic categories found in the <u>Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders</u>, Third Edition.

#### REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

## The Development of the DSM III

The beginning of development for the DSM III came about in the early 1970's as a response to the World Health Organization's increased interest in "multiaxial" systems of diagnosis (Rutter, Shaffer, & Shepard, 1973). The major difference between the DSM III and DSM III is the inclusion in the DSM III of a multiaxial system of diagnosis.

Multiaxial, in this sense, refers to the division of major categories of diagnosis into (in this case) five sections, all of which contribute to an overall diagnostic picture of the patient's pathology and current functioning. Since the present paper concentrates on an assessment instrument which only concerns itself with axes I and II, further discussion of the total multiaxial aspect of the DSM III will be curtailed.

In terms of reliability, the DSM III field trials (Spitzer, Forman, & Nee, 1979; APA, 1980a) show relatively good reliability coefficients for axes I and II (.70 and .60, respectively). This suggests fairly good

clinicians. Although the DSM III has not been without controversy, especially in it's development (Bayer & Spitzer, 1985), it seems relatively safe at this point to assert that the DSM III is in the forefront of psychiatric and psychological diagnosis. Therefore, the impetus for the present study rests on the assumption that the DSM III is an accurate tool for assessing psychopathology.

#### Self-report Inventories

Self-report inventories such as the Minnesota Multiphasic

Personality Inventory (MMPI) (Hathaway & McKinley, 1940) have long

been used as aids in determining diagnoses of psychological problems.

Recently, the validity of the MMPI has been questioned as to its

continued applicability in psychodiagnosis due to the use of outdated

norms. Even one of the MMPI's developers, Hathaway, (1972) in the

MMPI Handbook, suggests that the time has come for either a better

instrument, or improved and updated procedures for the MMPI. In spite

of these apparent shortcomings, some researchers (e.g., Osborne, 1985)

have suggested that the MMPI is still useful for identifying patient's pathologies, and for studying therapeutic outcome, if used as a hypothesis generating tool to be verified by other methods. The author believes that Osborne recognizes the obvious utility of a self-report diagnostic inventory for certain applications.

The Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory (MCMI) (Millon, 1982) was developed with the purpose of bridging the gap between self-report inventories and the type of diagnostic categories found in the DSM III.

Factor-analytic studies using subscale scores on the MCMI have identified three primary factors which were named: 1) Maladjustment, 2) Psychoticism, and 3) Extraverted acting-out (Choca, Peterson, & Shanley, 1986). These factors seem to be related to previous factors obtained from the MMPI (Eichman, 1961; Kassebaum, Couch & Slater, 1959), which were named: 1) maladjustment and 2) introversion-extroversion. These so-named factors also seem to coincide with Eysenck's (1976) triad of Neuroticism, Extraversion, and Psychoticism.

Although Millon has worked extensively with his instrument, it has

recently come under attack for it's incongruence with the taxonomy of the DSM III in terms of content validity (Widiger, Williams, Spitzer & Frances, 1985). Widiger, et al claim that Millon used his own taxonomy rather than that of the DSM III in constructing the MCDI. Although Millon (1985) asserts that this problem has been rectified by further refinements of the MCDI (namely the creation of the MCDI-II), it remains a question as to whether or not Millon's instrument truly represents DSM III categorization to a full extent.

## Diagnostic Interviewing

As mentioned above, the ability of clinicians to make diagnoses which agree with those of other clinicians is perhaps somewhat less than ideal. Since diagnostic interviews are still the standard procedure, several attempts have been made in the past to try to somehow aleviate this reliability problem situation by the use of more structured interviewing techniques for the purpose of making a diagnosis.

Spitzer, Endicott & Robins (1975) identified five sources of unreliability in making a clinical diagnosis: 1) "Subject variance,"

which pertains to patients who have different conditions at different times, 2) "occasion variance," which refers to different stages of a condition at different times, 3) "information variance," which is present when clinicians have different information about their patients, 4) "observation variance," which occurs when clinicians observe different things in the presence of the same patient generated stimulus, and finally, 5) "criterion variance," which occurs when clinicians use different diagnostic criteria.

Spitzer et al. (1975) suggested that the largest source of variance in making a diagnosis is criterion variance. This may have been their rationale for being involved in the development of the DSM III. The second largest source of variance, according to Spitzer, et al. (1975) is information variance. The Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC) (Spitzer, Endicott, & Robins, 1978) was developed with the purpose of more rigidly structuring the diagnostic interview in order to help reduce this source of unreliability. One problem with the RDC, as pointed out by Meier (1979), is that the instrument has only been normed for an inpatient population. This would make inferences using the RDC

questionable if the subject population was anything but an inpatient population.

Another diagnostic interview schedule, the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (SADS) was developed by Endicott and Spitzer (1978), also for the purpose of decreasing information variance in a diagnostic interview. The SADS is intended to be used as a aid in data collection for evaluation, diagnosis, prognosis, and also as an measure of patient change. Both the RDC and SADS were developed with DSM III criteria as a background, in an attempt to facilitate the reduction of criterion variance.

Although diagnostic interview schedules certainly have their place in clinical practice, there are some problems associated with the use of such instruments. Rappaport, Gill, and Schafer (1968) pointed out that the clinical interview is highly susceptible to both subjective and objective variables. More recently, Bromet, Dunn, Connell, Dew, and Schulberg (1986), in using the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia, Lifetime version (SADS-L) found that the agreement for diagnoses was rather poor across time (temporal stability). One reason

for this, they pointed out, was that the interviewers were perhaps not well enough trained in interviewing to use the schedule. Indeed,

Matarazzo (1983) suggests that the user of any diagnostic interview schedule should be familiar with diagnosis in general if satisfactory results are to be obtained.

Thus far, however, there is no assurance that even more highly trained interviewers would make highly reliable diagnoses based on the SADS-L study. Given Anastasi's (1968) belief in the relative superiority of highly structured instruments, the development of a structured self-report problem inventory seems like a productive possibility. Therefore, following Spitzer and Williams (1984) tact of developing a structured interview based soley on the DSM III (The Structured Clinical Interview), but using a self-report inventory instead, would possibly improve the accuracy of diagnoses by providing a more formal method of following DSM III criteria for diagnoses.

## <u>Development of a Self-report</u> <u>Problem Inventory</u>

The most widely used and the best current diagnostic tool appears to be a structured diagnostic interview schedule, which not only requires the interveiwer to be adept at diagnosis, but also leaves much to be desired in terms of proven reliability, even among experienced clinicians. For this reason, the present study focused on developing a self-report diagnostic inventory which can be administered without the presence of a qualified clinician. Using an instrument which has already shown reliability (the Behavior Checklist) (Elliott, 1975), the present study proceded by adding items derived from the DSM III, which possibly tap behavioral, cognitive and affective indices of psychopathology. It is believed that such an instrument as the proposed problem inventory would be useful in aiding diagnosis, while at the same time be easily administered, scored, and interpreted.

In his dissertation, Elliott (1975), who was mainly concerned with developing a therapy outcome measure, followed Paul's (1967) suggestion to reduce client variables to self reported behavioral indices in order to assess therapeutic outcome. To accomplish this, Elliott used

what he called low inference items (which reflected various distressing behaviors) arranged on a likert-type scale (from 0= never to 5= always) which clients could complete prior to and following a given number of therapy sessions. The resulting instrument was then tested for internal and overall reliability using a college student population. The issue of using a college population to determine reliability on a therapeutic assessment instrument was addressed by Kubat (1977) who, in her thesis, used Elliott's Behavioral Checklist to test for reliability with a clinical population. She confirmed Elliot's findings of the reliability of the instrument and also improved the format of the items. The result yielded a revised inventory which has high reliability for assessing therapeutic outcome in terms of the distressing behaviors which bring clients to therapy.

The present study proposed to capitalize on the results of research obtained from Elliott's and Kubat's studies by generating an instrument which utilizes similar items and others which describe infrequent but important behaviors. Moreover, the present study related the resulting inventory to DSM III diagnostic categories by use of a factor analysis. It

is possible that this approach will lay the foundation for further studies involving reliability, diagnostic validity and perhaps the use of the inventory as a psychotherapy outcome measure.

### Sample

The subject population for this study consists of 615
undergraduate students enrolled in: 1) four general psychology classes,
2) two sections of developmental psychology, and 3) two sections of
career development. Subjects were 44% male and 56% female, with a
mean age of 20.8 years (standard deviation = 3.71), and a range in age
from 17 to 46 years. Racial and demographic variables were not
collected, but the vast majority of subjects were caucasion (based on
observation by the experimentor and a knowledge of the general
demographics at Utah State University).

Subject identities were limited to age, sex, class attending and date of administration. No identifying variables in terms of name, etc. were retained in the raw data. This population is hypothesized to include persons who are suffering from a variety of psychological problems, encompassing a large number of diagnostic categories found in the DSM III, with the exception of some of the extremely rare

conditions such as multiple personality, transsexualism, etc. Although there are no statistics available as to the frequency of various emotional disorders in this particular population, casual observation of the range of problems presented at the Utah State University Psychology Department Community Clinic suggested to the author that a wide range of disorders is probably to be found in this population. University students are, of course, above average in intellectual ability, but so far as is known, this systematic bias does not correlate with or suggest the likelihood of a preponderance of any particular kind of emotional disorder. The Task Panel Reports Submitted to the President's Commission on Mental Health (1978) estimated in 1975 that between 0.5 and 3% of the population suffer from schizophrenia, 0.3% of the population suffers from "manic-depressive" disorders, 8 to 13% of the population suffers from "neuroses", and 7% of the population has a personality disorder. More generally, the Task Panel estimated that 15% of the overall population suffers in some way from a mental disorder (Task Panel Reports, 1978). It seemed reasonable to assume that the population for this study would contain similar proportions of people

with emotional problems.

The probable absence of subjects representing rare categories of DSM III diagnoses is not considered to be a threat to the validity of the present experiment, since the prevalence of such disorders is so low anywhere in the United States as to make standardized diagnosis of such disorders a difficult (if not impossible) enterprise under normal clinical diagnostic circumstances.

Since the scope of the present study entailed simply determining factors in which items clustered for the proposed inventory, this type of problem would be addressed at a later date, if research into the diagnostic predictive validity of the proposed inventory were ever to be undertaken. This issue points toward one of many problems in generalizing research findings of the proposed study to populations outside the state of Utah, which may naturally differ in diagnoses, etc. Again, such questions are beyond the scope of the present study, and should properly be addressed in further resesarch.

### Measure

The measure used for this study was developed by Elwin Nielsen and the author, Department of Psychology, Utah State University. Kubat's (1977) revised behavioral checklist consists of 149 items that are completed by the therapy client using a six-point, Likert-type scale (0= never to 5= always). In the development phase of the self-report problem inventory, Nielsen included all of the items found on Kubat's revision of the checklist and then expanded it to include important but infrequent behaviors by generating questions that were applicable to various DSM III diagnostic categories. This was accomplished by taking each DSM III category definition, comparing the criteria used for diagnosis with items found on the Kubat instrument, and either restructuring or reformulating questions based on the criterion. In the process, a number of the original items found on the instrument were eliminated, the wording of a number of items was changed, and a number of items not found on the original instrument were added.

The author followed a similar procedure, making a careful inspection of the Elliot-Kubat instrument and Nielsen's additional

items, and double-checking for indicators listed in the DSM III which had been overlooked but which are potentially important to a clinical population, and which needed to be addressed by specific items. Such items were then constructed and added to the inventory by using the DSM III criteria as guidelines. In this way all of the diagnostic categories for DSM III were covered by items in the inventory, except as noted below. Items added by Nielsen and Corey were primarily items describing behaviors, cognitions and feelings which are not often displayed, but which are considered by mental health professionals to be extremely important when they are observed, and which would round out the instrument to fit with the DSM III.

With the additional items, the self-report problem inventory has a total of 265 items and covers every major diagnostic category found in the DSM III whose criteria are not more readily definable with special measures, such as mental retardation (see appendix A). The immediate advantage of referring to DSM III is that that document provides a ready stimulus for generating items for the wide range of behaviors that might be of concern in a clinical situation. Since the items on the

proposed inventory are of the same nature as the original checklist in terms of using specific questions about various behaviors, cognitions. and feelings of the client, it is postulated that items are relatively low inference, that is, the items are "easy" to answer in that subjects do not have to make an inferential judgement to determine if a given item applies to them (e.g. questions either apply or they don't). In developing the instrument and thinking about its possible application, it seemed worthwhile to consider the possible effect that responding to inventoru items would have upon therapeutic outcome. Burton and Nichols (1978), in using the Behavioral Target Complaint Form (which asks clients to list behavioral complaints that they would like to have changed in therapy), empirically established that such a procedure does not, in itself, affect the outcome of therapy. In comparison, the present inventory would likely be no more reactive and would possibly be even less reactive than the Behavioral Target Complaint Form, simply because it merely asks for a rating on various behaviors, rather than having the client generate a list of (and therefore contemplate rather deeply) behaviors which are distressing to him/her. The author's logic

is that when an individual goes to the effort of thinking or reminding oneself of a problem, and then writing it down, that person is more likely to react to the instrument than if less effort were required.

At this point it may be useful to clarify definitions. Elliot, and following him, Kubat, referred to their instrument as a checklist.

Strictly speaking, it did not ask the subject to "check" items, but rather to rate them. The presently proposed instrument might most properly be called an inventory, since it asks people to rate themselves on a large number of likert-type items. The logic of the present author is that on a checklist the respondent simply checks items on an inclusion-exclusion basis. A questionaire is usually open-ended, and on a rating scale, the respondent makes ratings on a few dimensions. The present instrument requires ratings on a very large, inclusive number of items. The term inventory seems appropriate, and will be used here after to describe the present instrument.

## **Procedures**

735 problem inventories were administered to subjects over a

2-month period beginning in March, 1986 and ending in May, 1986. Of these, 615 were returned (subjects were allowed to take the inventories home overnight). An informal survey by the author revealed that completion of the inventory took an average of 45 minutes, with some subjects claiming as much as 90 minutes and some claiming as little as 30 minutes. Of the 615, 6 inventories were discarded due to incompleteness, leaving a total of 609 inventories for analysis. Furthermore, the items which requested female responses only (items 115 through 121) were excluded from analysis, as were the last 18 items (items 248 through 265) since these were simply repetitions of earlier items (repetitious items were added at the erroneous advice of a statistician, who later agreed that there had been a misunderstanding concerning the use of SPSSX for factor analysis). This left a total of 240 items for analysis.

Following data collection, the inventory "scores" were subjected to several types of factor analysis. The final analysis consisted of a principle axis solution, using an oblique rotation. In the words of Kleinbaum and Kupper, (1978, p. 376)

Factor analysis is a multivariable method that has as its aim the explanation of relationships among several difficult-to-interpret, correlated variables in terms of a few conceptually meaningful, relatively independent factors.

In addition, factor analysis may be used to group test or inventory items into "relatively homogenous and independent clusters" (Anastasi, 1968). It is for these reasons that factor analysis has become increasingly popular for the purpose of identifying which variables relate to various factors proposed as the measures of numerous inventories, checklists and other types of instruments (e.g., Achenbach & Edelbrock, 1978). The use of factor analysis in the present study was justified as a means of determining whether the individual items could be grouped together to form clusters representative of the diagnostic categories found in DSM III.

#### RESULTS

An exploratory principle axis extraction with an oblique rotation yielded 54 rotated factors (three factors were discarded because their items loaded well on other, "earlier" factors) using an eigen value of 1.0 for the factoring cutoff level. The cumulative percentage of variance explained by all 54 factors was 69.8%, with only 18.5% of the variance explained by the first factor. Appendix B provides a summary of the factors with the problem inventory items they include, along with individual eigen values, percentages and cumulative percentages of the variance explained by each factor, and finally, factor loadings obtained from the factor pattern matrix (since the rotation was oblique) for each item. In addition, an arbitrary label has been assigned to each of the factors.

The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy (MSA)

(Kaiser, 1974) equalled .91096, an idex which Kaiser would characterize as "marvelous". The MSA increases as the number of variables increases, the number of common factors decreases, the number of

cases increases, and the average magnitude of correlations increases
(Kaiser, 1970). The high MSA value suggests that the data involved
(individual item "scores" on the problem inventory) may appropriately be
analyzed through the use of factor analysis.

Using a strict interpretation of the scree test for determining the number of factors (Cattell, 1966) (separating substantive from error factors) leaves only 6 factors, accounting for a mere 33% of the total variance, and encompassing only 67 of the 240 items on the problem inventory. A more loosely defined scree test suggests the inclusion of 23 factors, accounting for 50.9% of the total variance, and encompassing 145 of the items.

#### DISCUSSION

Although there appears to be a glowing problem with this study in terms of how many factors to use in interpretation, the matter is simplified by the fact that the 54 factors identified by use of the Kaiser-Guttman rule (extraction of factors with eigen values greater than or equal to one) encompass all of the items on the inventory. The fact that there are so many factors is likely due in part to the large number of items on the inventory, and the fact that so many differnet types of behaviors are described by the items. The inventory was, after all, intended to assess forty four different diagnostic categories found in the DSM III. If these are fairly discrete diagnostic categories, as some clinicians think, then it is perhaps not unexpected to find a large number of factors. Furthermore, "relaxing" the more or less arbitrary rule(s) for factoring may be an important part of an exploratory factor analysis, since a very stringent determination of residual error factors could possibly eliminate "good" explanatory factors in the process (Cattell, 1978, p.61).

For the present, then, the author has chosen to report all the factors which have eigen values greater than or equal to one. At this stage in the exploration of the instrument there may be additional justification for retaining items with low factor matrix values since many of the diagnoses in DSM III are made on the basis of a single behavior and therefore the inventory has only one item for that diagnosis. Other diagnostic categories include many different behaviors. This difference in numbers of behaviors between diagnostic categories may be adding some numerical confusion to the results.

As can be seen in appendix B, with few exceptions items within factors generally group together in "intuitively obvious" categories which have subsequentely been labelled by an experienced clinician not otherwise involved in the study. In addition, the author has noted that the factors seem to follow a more general pattern which resembles Eysenck's (1976) triad of Neuroticism, Extraversion, and Psychoticism. The present author has used these same terms along with the factor labels for factors which intuitively fit within one of the triad categories in appendix B.

This "triad" also appeared in a factor analysis of subscale scores (not individual items) of the Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory (Choca et al., 1986), and earlier in a factor analysis of the MMPI (Eichman, 1961; Kassebaum, et al., 1959).

As is to be expected, the factor groupings did not neatly coincide with DSM III diagnostic categories as specified in the hypothesis.

However, many of the factors may be interpreted as being indicative of various types of psychopathology, as labelled in appendix B. An interesting finding of this study is that the familiar triad of Neuroticism, Psychoticism, and Extraversion (Eysenck, 1976) continued to appear throughout the problem inventory, across numerous factors.

Appendix C shows the proposed relationship of problem inventory items to DSM III diagnostic categories. The only truly homogenous DSM III diagnostic category which remained intact in the factor analysis is that of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (factor 7, and the one item factor 34). Nevertherless, many of the other proposed item groupings have remained at least partially intact, at least up to factor 23 (the most "liberal" scree test cutoff point for factoring).

It seems reasonable that the nonconcurrence of factors to DSM III categories is because the behaviors, cognitions, and emotions assessed on the problem inventory, when grouped together, may not be singularly indicative of a given DSM III diagnostic category. In other words, some of the items may be indicative of more than one diagnostic category, rather than being unique to a given diagnosis (e.g., the item "I have trouble sleeping" is found in factor 7-Post traumatic stress disorder, whereas a similar item; "restlessness" is found in factor 14).

There appear to be several type of factors which have emerged from the analysis. The first is that which mimics or duplicates a given DSM III diagnostic category, such as post traumatic stress disorder (factor 7). In the post traumatic stress disorder factor the inventory items which were written to define the diagnosis fall neatly into the factor and circumscribe it rather precisely. Several other factors do not mimic DSM III diagnostic categories quite so completely, but nevertheless, do have rather strong similarities to a DSM III category.

The second type of factor is that which defines one primary characteristic of a diagnostic category, such as depression (factor 6).

The depression factor (factor 6) appears to define the experience of depression which cuts across several diagnostic categories, most strongly, the two categories of major depression and cyclothymic disorder. Additionally, there are other diagnostic categories where depression is characteristic, albeit relatively less important. As they are defined by DSM III these two categories have depression as primary characteristics, along with other features such as, in the case of major depression, appetite disturbance, sleep disturbance and tension. In other words there are several diagnostic categories in which depression is a characteristic. The diagnosis that is made depends on a combination of characteristics.

On the other hand, perhaps there are simply two or three different shades of a particular symptomatic characteristic, such as depression anxiety. When defined adequately each of these may be shown to contribute to the diagnosis of certain problems, but not to others. It appears then, that many of the factors are primary characteristics of one or (usually) more diagnostic categories. This being the case, it eventually may be possible to make rather good patient diagnoses from

the inventory by observing the way the factors fall together in the a particular patient's inventory score profile.

In other words, it is possible that a combination of factors could be used to explain a single diagnostic category. For example, the diagnostic category of depression has many different implications in terms of semantic differences. A depressed patient may be experiencing a number of different sensations, cognitions, and feelings, all of which contribute to the patient's report of a subjective state called "depression". A number of different factors in the problem inventory contain items which would access a patient's subjective reporting of the state of depression, but which currently are spread out among different factors. For example, the items "The things I do aren't as worthwhile anymore" and "I don't do as many fun things anymore" are contained on two different factors. Although both of these items might be said to describe a self-report of the condition of depression, they have been separated by the process of factor analysis, and it may be that each of these defines a depressive characteristic which is different in different diagnoses, and that either of them will define the

formal diagnostic category of depression.

Thus, from a diagnostic point of view, the above inconsistency may be due to slightly different aspects of the same disorder. Certainly different types of depression may be present in a given population of patients, such as "neurotic depresson", "psychotic depression", "melencholia", etc. If there are actually different subtypes of one diagnostic category, then perhaps a re-examination of the problem inventory is in order, and it may turn out that the problem inventory can refine and clarify traditional diagnosis.

As mentioned previously, there are some diagnostic categories in which a single item is diagnostic. These diagnoses are usually very rare in the population, and so did not factor out well. An obvious example is item number 128: "I can become more sexually aroused with animals".

This item would, by itself, be diagnostic, but in the factor analysis it was combined with a number of similarly diagnostic items relating to sexual problems.

Additionally, there are some of the "later" factors which appear very similar to "earlier" (in order of statistical significance) factors.

For example factor 54 (3 items) and factor 55 (1 item) both contain items (3 altogether) that were designed to be diagnostic of panic disorder. However, factor 9 contains 9 items that were created to assess panic disorder and one item that was designed to assess generalized anxiety, which is very closely related to panic disorder. It may be that these later, less statistically significant items are not incorporated into the earlier related factors because the behaviors, cognitions or feelings which those items define occur much less frequently in this population, or it may be that they are probing a slightly different facet of the given diagnosis (e.g., panic disorder).

Moreover, there are some of the later factors that have a variety of items which appear to cut across several different diagnostic categories in ways that are not immediately sensible. These factors may be combining together low frequency items that, for this population, are not particulally diagnostic of any of the regular categories, or there exists the possibility that items in these later categories were not as well represented in this population due to higher reactivity of the items, greater reluctance on the part of subjects to

respond "truthfully" to the items, or a combination thereof.

Thus, although a total of 57 factors was extracted in the rotational solution, it may be that many of the factors (e.g factors 24 through 57) could be combined and/or grouped with other earlier factors due the fact that many of these factors are "cooperative" (Cattell, 1952). Cooperative factors are those factors which contain variables that load relatively well on more than one factor. With the present sample, the problem inventory test items may not be well enough refined to discriminate between maladies which, though conceptualized in DSM III as distinctive, have features that are common to a number of different diagnostic categories. For this reason the cooperativeness of factors may be taken into account in order to explain the presence of what appear to be similar factors. Such a possibility might be explored by conducting a factor analysis of the inventory taken on a much larger population which included patients from a mental health clinic as well as subjects from the general population, such as those in the present study.

Finally, with more research the problem inventory may prove to

be useful in categorizing general psychopathology as it relates to the dimensions of neuroticism (or maladjustment), psychoticism, and extraverted acting-out, in addition to the already established diagnostic category of post traumatic stress disorder. Thus, the problem inventory may be useful for the purpose of aiding a clinician in making a DSM III type diagnosis by virtue of the numerous behaviors, cognitions, and emotions which are assessed, and by the degree to which the inventory can discriminate between "psychoticism", "neuroticism", and "acting-out".

The fact that basic diagnostic categories emerge in the factor analysis may be a sort of statistical validiation of these components as contributing entities in clinical diagnosis, and thus support the value of diagnostic systems such as DSM III. That same fact also reflects the complexity of human behavior, where many characteristics may blend in different ways. Once again, consideration of these two notions suggests that further systematic statistical analysis of basic diagnosis, which is made possible by such approaches as the present one, may help to refine and clarify our diagnoses.

This study does appear to have moved closer to the goal of developing a diagnostic instrument which will be sensitive and intricate enough that it can be a good outcome measure. The factors that emerged appear to define characteristics of many of the commonly used diagnostic categories. Thus, there is considerable face validity to the 23 factors that a loosely defined scree test would include. The items that comprise these factors would very likely make a sensitive indication of psychotherapeutic effectiveness. That assertion will, of course, need to be tested in a carefully controlled experiment using a clinical population and appropriate controls.

### Limitations

One limitation of this study was the use of a singular subject population (students enrolled in psychology classes at Utah State University). Although factor-analytic studies used to explore the structure of a test often employ such limited subject populations (Cattell, 1978), it is believed that results may have been altered by the use of a more heterogenous population (in terms of racial, age, and

social demographics).

Another limitation of the study involves the nature of the inventory itself, that is, the large number of items contained on the inventory.

This problem was partially addressed by the use of a large subject population (close to three times the number of items), however, the high number of factors could have been due, in part, to the large number of variables in the analysis.

In fact, it has been suggested to the author that the SPSSX statistical package used to factor analyze the data may have been "overworked" by the large number of variables. Overworked in this sense means that the amount of contiguous memory available on the Digital Equipment VAX system for the author's individual account may have, at times, been insufficient to process the various types of correlational matrices necessary to perform factor analysis.

## Recommendations

An immediate and productive next step would appear to be a study similar to the present one, but with the inclusion of a popluation of

patients whose problems have become severe enough to lead them to admission to a mental health facility. Even though this study assumed that there is a wide range of emotional problems to be found in this general college population, one would not expect that this brief sampling would uncover the broad range of problems that the DSM III defines. The present findings seem sufficiently positive to justify the greater logistical effort of expanding the subject population.

population sample provided results similar to those of the present study, then it would seem worthwhile to attempt to begin to validate and refine the instument by combining "conceptually similar" factors among the first 23 factors, and adding similar items to these factors from among the last 31 factors. A confirmatory factor analysis using subscale scores (as opposed to ratings on each item) would then determine if any simple structure containing general diagnostic categories among subscale scores exists. Furthermore, it may be helpful to determine if combinations of factors (e.g., factors 1, 2, and 4) are similar enough to warrant investigation into the possibility that the

combination is actually part of one larger diagnostic factor. On the same note, it may be helpful to determine whether or not a number of diagnostic categories are represented by a single factor. Both of these questions could be addressed by use of factor and cluster analytic techniques.

The above mentioned problem of "cooperative factors" also needs to be addressed in the context of limiting factor extraction in further studies. Presumably, an oblique rotation of a principle axis solution using subscale scores could ultimately determine if the large number of factors yielded in the present study are warranted, or if some items need to be eliminated or revised in order to ensure greater discrimination between diagnostic categories, especially in light of the possibility of differential categories of a single diagnosis as mentioned above.

#### REFERENCES

- Achenbach, T. & Edelbrock, C. (1978). The classification of child psychopathology: A review and analysis of empirical efforts.

  Psychological Bulletin, 85, 1275-1301.
- American Psychiatric Association, Task Force on Nomenclature and Statistics (1980a). <u>Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders</u> (3rd ed.). Washington, D. C.: American Psychiatric Association.
- American Psychiatric Association (1980b). <u>Diagnostic and statistical</u>

  <u>manual of mental disorders</u> (3rd ed.). Washington, D. C.:

  American Psychiatric Association.
- Anastasi, A. (1968). <u>Psychological testing</u> (3rd ed.). New York:

  Macmillan Company.
- Bayer, R., & Spitzer, R. (1985). Neurosis, psychodynamics, and DSM

  III. A history of controversy. <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, <u>42</u>,

  187-196.
- Benjamin, L. S. (1981). A psychosocial competence classification

- system. In J. W. Wine & M. D. Smye (Eds.), <u>Social competence</u>, (pp. 289-308). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Bromet, E., Dunn, L., Connell, M., Dew, M. & Schulberg, H. (1986).

  Long term reliability of diagnosing lifetime major depression in a

  community sample. <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, 43, 435-440.
- Burton, C. & Nichols, M. (1978). The behavioral target complaints form: A nonreactive measure of psychotherapeutic outcome.

  Psychological Reports, 42, 219-226.
- Cattell, R. (1952). Factor analysis. New York: Harper.
- Cattell, R. (1966). The scree test for the number of factors.

  Multivariate Behavioral Research, 1, 140-161.
- Cattell, R. (1978). <u>The scientific use of factor analysis in behavioral</u>
  and life sciences. New York: Plenum Press.
- Choca, J., Peterson, C., & Shanley, L. (1986). Factor analysis of the Millon Clinical Multiaxial Inventory. <u>Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology</u>, <u>54</u>, 253-255.
- Eichman, W. (1961). Replicated factors on the MMPI with female NP patients. <u>Journal of Consulting Psychology</u>, 25, 55-60.

- Elliott, C. (1975). A new self report behavioral measure for evaluating therapeutic outcome. <u>Dissertation Abstracts International</u>, <u>36</u>, (<u>11-B</u>), 57-58.
- Endicott, J. & Spitzer, R. (1978). A diagnostic interview: The Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia. Archives of General Psychiatry, 35, 837-844.
- Eysenck, H. (1976). <u>The measurement of personality.</u> Baltimore, MD: University Park Press.
- Hathaway, S. (1972). Foreword. In W. Dalstrom et al. (Eds.), <u>An MMPI</u>

  <u>handbook.</u> (pp. iv-v). Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Hathaway, S. & McKinley, J. (1940). A multiphasic personality schedule (Minnesota): I. Construction of the schedule. <u>Journal of Psychology</u>, <u>10</u>, 249–254.
- Kaiser, H. (1970). A second-generation Little Jiffy. <u>Psychometrica</u>, <u>35</u>, 401-415.
- Kaiser, H. (1974). Little Jiffy, Mark IV. <u>Educational and Psychological</u>

  <u>Measurement</u>, 34, 111-117.
- Kassebaum, G., Couch, A., & Slater, P. (1959). The factorial

- dimensions of the MMPI. <u>Journal of Consulting Psychology</u>, <u>23</u>, 226-236.
- Kleinbaum, D. & Kupper, L. (1978). <u>Applied regression analysis and other multivariable methods</u>. Belmont, California: Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Kubat, S. (1977). A reliability study on the self report behavioral

  measure for evaluating therapeutic outcomes. Unpublished master's
  thesis, Utah State University, Logan, Utah.
- Matarazzo, J. (1983). The interview: Its reliability and validity in psychiatric diagnosis. In B. Wolman (Ed.), <u>Clinical diagnosis of mental disorders: A handbook</u>. (pp. 156-168). New York: Plenum Press.
- McLemore, C. & Benjamin, L. S. (1979). Whatever happened to interpersonal diagnosis? A psychosocial alternative to DSM III.

  <u>American Psychologist</u>, 34, 17-34.
- Meier, A. (1979). The Research Diagnostic Criteria: Historical background, development, validity, and reliability. <u>Canadian Journal of Psychiatry</u>, <u>24</u>, 167-178.

- Millon, T. (1982). Millon clinical multiaxial inventory manual.

  Minneapolos, MN: National Computer Systems.
- Millon, T. (1985). The MCDI provides a good assessment of DSM-III disorders: The MCDI-II will prove even better. <u>Journal of Personality</u>

  <u>Assessment</u>, <u>49</u>, 379-391.
- Osborne, D. (1985). The MMPI in psychiatric practice. <u>Psychiatric</u>

  <u>Annals</u>, <u>15</u>, 542-545.
- Paul, G. (1967). Strategy of outcome research in psychtherapy. <u>Journal</u> of Consulting Psychology, <u>31</u>, 109–118.
- Rappaport, D. Gill, M., & Schafer, R. (1968). <u>Diagnostic</u>

  <u>psychological testing</u>. New York: International Universities Press.
- Robins, L. (1985). Epidemiology: Reflections on testing the validity of psychiatric interviews. <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>, <u>42</u>, 918-924.
- Rutter, M., Shaffer, D., & Shepard, M. (1973). An evaluation of the proposal for a multi-axial classification of child psychiatric disorders. <u>Psychological Medicine</u>, <u>3</u>, 244-250.
- Spitzer, R., Endicott, J., & Robins, E. (1975). Clinical criteria for

- diagnosis and DSM III. <u>American Journal of Psychiatry</u>, <u>132</u>, 1,187-1,192.
- Spitzer, R., Endicott, J., & Robins, E. (1978). Research Diagnostic

  Criteria rationale and reliability. <u>Archives of General Psychiatry</u>,

  35, 773-782.
- Spitzer, R., Forman, J., & Nee, J. (1979). DSM III field trials: I.
  Initial interrater diagnostic reliability. <u>American Journal of Psychiatry</u>, <u>136</u>, 818–820.
- Spitzer, R. & Williams, J. (1984). Diagnostic dilemmas.

  <u>Psychosomatics</u>, <u>25</u>, 16-20.
- <u>Health.</u> (1978). (Vol. 2). Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Widiger, T. Williams, J. Spitzer, R. & Frances, A. (1985). The MCDI as a measure of DSM-III. <u>Journal of Personality Assessment</u>, <u>49</u>, 366-378.
- Wiens, A. & Matarazzo, J. (1983). Diagnostic interviewing. In M. Hersen, A. Kazdin, & A. Bellack, (Eds.), <u>The clinical psychology</u>

handbook. (pp. 309-328). New York: Plenum Press.

APPENDIXES

# Appendix A: Self-report Problem Inventory

Sex:	M	_ F
Age:_		
Date:		
Class:		

These questions cover many problems which may or may not be representative of you. Please answer by degree from never to always (circle 0 to 5), the degree to which that behavior describes you or has been a problem for you.

Lately, I've been very restless     Lately, I have accomplished a great deal	Never Seldom	Always
Lately , I have accomplished a great deal.     I am more talkative than usual.	0123	4 5
		4 5
seem to race through my head	0	
	0123	4 5
to take time for sleep	0 4	
		4 5
that nothing goes wrong	0 1 -	
10. I have a very good appetite	0 123.	.45
11. I've gained a lot of weight lately  12. I've lost weight lately even though Lam not dieting	0 1 2 3	.45
12. I've lost weight lately even though I am not dieting 13. I'm having troulbe sleeping	0 1 2 7	.45
13. I'm having troulbe sleeping	0 1 2 7	.45
14. I am tense much of the time.  15. I have a hard time doing things that used to be a service.	0 1 2 7	.45
	0 1 2 7	.45
seem as pleasurable now	.0 1 2 7	4 2
19. I don't do things that are worthwhile anymore.	.0 1 2 7	4 5
20. I think that I'm to blame for many things	.0. 1 2 7	4 5
21. I can't make decisions as easily as I used to	.0. 1 2 3	4 5
22. I sometimes think that I would like to die	.0. 1 2 7	4 5
23. I experience quite a bit of insomnia. 24. I sleep or want to sleep a lot more than lused to	.0. 1 2 3	4 5
24. I sleep or want to sleep a lot more than I used to 25. I'm tired all the time.	.01 2 7	4 5
25. I'm tired all the time. 26. The things I do aren't as worthwhile assumes.	.01 2 7	4 5
26. The things I do aren't as worthwhile anymore.  27. I don't produce as much I used to	01 2 3	4 5
27. I don't produce as much I used to. 28. I'm not around people as much these days	.012 3	7J 4 5
28. I'm not around people as much these days	.0 1 2 3	4 J
29. Lately I have less interested in sex.	01 2 3	4 5
		T J

	Never						Ah	ways
30. I don't do as many fun things as I used to	0	1		. 2	3	4		5
<ol> <li>I often experience guilt about things that I've done.</li> </ol>	0	1		.2	3	4		5
32. I do things more slowly than I used to	0	1		.2	3	4		5
33. I am less talkative than I used to be	0	1		.2	3	4		5
34. I am pessimistic about the future	0	1		.2	3	4		5
35. I spend a lot of time thinking about past events	0	1		.2	3	4		5
36. I cry, or am tearful a lot of the time	0	1		. 2	3	4		5
37. I am either too busy or restless								
to take time for sleep	0	1		. 2	3	4		5
38. I can do just about anything I want	0	1		. 2	3	4		5
39. I work long hours at night, even								
when it isn't necessary	0	1		. 2	3	4		5
40. I always have unusually creative ideas	0	1		. 2	3	4		5
41. I enjoy being surrounded by								
people most of the time	0	1		. 2	3	4		5
42. I just can't get enough sex	0	1		2	3	4		5
43. I enjoy and get away with things that many people								
couldn't, like making daring business investments								
driving at high speeds	. 0	1		2	3	4		5
44. I have so much energy , I just can't sit still	0	1		2	3	4		5
45. There's no doubt in my mind that I have done, and wi								
continue to do great things	0	1		2	3	4		5
46. I like to laugh and joke and have a good time more								
than most other people around me	0	1		2	3	4		5
47. I don't like being in places like tunnels or buses								
where I might not be able to get out if I need to	0	1		2	3	4	!	5
48. I hate to have other people look at me	0	1		2 :	3	4	!	5
49. I worry about doing something to embarrass myself.	. 0	1		2 :	3	4	!	5
50. I think some of my fears are unreasonable	0	1		2	3	4	!	5
51. I have one or more fears that cause me to				,				
avoid places or objects even though								
I know there is no real danger	0	1 .		2 :	3 '	4	!	5
Familia and 40 there also be to the second	7							
For the next 12 items, simply rate the degree to which yo	ou are bo	there	ed by :	such p	robk	ems:		
52. Heart pounding in my chest.		1 .		2	3 4	4		5
53. Chest pain or discomfort								
54. Choking or smothering sensations	. 0	1 .		2 3	5 4	4		5
55. Dizziness or unsteady feeling.	. 0	1 .		2 :	5 4	4		5
56. Feeling as though things are not real	. 0	1 .		2	5 4	4		5
57. Tingling in my hands or feet	0	1 .		23	5 4	4		5
58. Hot or cold flashes.	. 0	1 .		2	5 4	4		5
59. Excessive sweating.								
60. Feelings of faintness								
61. Fear of dying.	0	1 .		23	5 4			5
62. Fear of going orazy		1 .		2 3	5 4	ł		5

Rate the degree to which you have lately experienced tension in your body in the form of one or more of the following:

more of the following:			
63. Shakiness	Meyer	Seldom	Always
64. Jitteriness	0	1	2345
65. Jumpiness	0	1	2345
66. Trembling	0	1	2345
67. Muscle tension	0	1	2345
68. Musole aches	0	1	2345
69. Can't relax	0	1	2345
70. Eyelid twitching			
71. Figgiting			
72. Restlessness.			
73. Easily startled			
Rate the degree to which you have unusual feelings in yo	ur bodu :	such as:	
74. Heart pounding or racing	_		2 3 4 5
75. Coldness.			
76. Clammy hands.			
77. Lightheadedness.			
78. Upset stomach.			
79. Hot or cold spells.			
80. Discomfort in the pit of the stomach			
81. Lump in the throat.	0	1	2 7 4 5
82. Flushed face.			
83. Having too much energy			
CO. Her sing too moon energy	0		2
Answer by indicating the degree to which the following a	only to	iou:	
84. Lately, I have a great deal to say to people			2 3 4 5
85. I really worry a lot about things that might happen .			
86. I often have thoughts that I don't like,			
or that don't make sense	0	1	2 3 4 5
87. There are one or more behaviors which			2
I feel I have to do , but which give me no			
pleasure and don't make sense	0	1	2 7 4 5
88. I have to do things just right.			
89. I often redo things many times or have a			2
hard time doing things because I want to get			
them as perfect as possible	0		2 7 4 5
them as per rect as possible		!	2
I had a horrible experience which I cannot forget and whi	ich conté	wes to hothe	r me in one or more of
the following ways:	WII VOIKE	MED IV DVINE	I HE HI WIF OF HIGH COL
90. I repeatedly remember the things about the event	0	1	2 3 4 5
91. I repeatedly dream about the event			
92. Sometimes I have the strange feeling that the event			£
is happening all over again			2 7 4 5
re trappening an over again		1	407

I had a terrible experience in my life which is still bothering me. began to:	Some time after it happened, I
---	--------------------------------

	N -		
93. Lose interest in one or more activities that	Never S		Always
94. Become separated or detatohod from all or not	0	.12	345
94. Become separated or detatched from other people	0	.12	345 345
Previously in my life I had a very horrible experience the experience one or more of the following:	at still both	ers me in that	since it occurred !
96. I am nervous or easily startled			
97. I have trouble sleening	0	1 2 3	3 4 5
97. I have trouble sleeping.  98. I have trouble with the fact that I'm alive and		1 2 3	5 4 5
comfortable, while others are not			
comfortable, while others are not	. 0	1 2 3	4 5
or my concentration.			
100. I find it easier to avoid activities that arouse	. 0	1 2 3	45
memories of that horrible event			
memories of that horrible event	0	1 2 3	45
which make me more aware of that how a			
which make me more aware of that horrible event.	. 0 1	1 2 3	45
Rate the degree to which the following apply to you:			
102. I have been sickly for a good past of any life			
102. I have been sickly for a good part of my life	. 0 1	23	4 5
114. Diarrhea.	01	23.	.45
(Women only) I have had more trouble than most women I k	now with:		
115. Painful menstruation.	0 1 .	23.	.45
	0 1 .	23.	45
found intercourse painful or not very pleasant	D 1 .	23	45
joints, and extremities	01.	23	4 5
as shortness of breath, dizziness, heart-throbbing, ch	est pains,		

	Never	Seldom			Always
etc. for which physicians could find no					
physical reason	0	1	2 :	3 4 .	5
Respond to the following by indicating the degree to which	h the fol	Nowing app	ily to y	ou:	
122. I have a physical dysfunction which handicaps me,					
and which doctors cannot explain	0	1	.2	3 4 .	5
123. Although doctors can't explain what's wrong with	me.				
I have a serious disease	0	1	2 :	3 4 .	5
124. I've forgotten some important personal things about	t				
myself that I should be able to remember	0	1	.2	3 4 .	5
125. I very often think I would be much more comfortable	le				
and happy if I belonged to the other sex	0	1	.2	3 4 .	5
126. I become sexually aroused with a certain article.					
such as a piece of clothing	0	1	.23	3 4 .	5
127. I frequently dress up in opposite sex's clothing,					
and become sexually aroused	0	1	.23	5 4 .	5
128. I can become more sexually aroused with animals.	0	1	.2	3 4 .	5
129. I often fantasize about sexual activity with a child					
or a much younger person	0	1	.23	5 4 .	5
130. I like to expose myself to strangers					
131. I get excited at watching people					
who don't know I'm there	0	1	.23	3 4 .	5
132. I have been sexually excited while I was					
bound or being made to suffer in some way	0	1	.23	5 4 .	5
133. I have been sexually excited while humiliating					
or hurting someone	0	1	.23	5 4 .	5
134. Since becoming available for sexual activity, I have					
experienced considerable difficulty in being able					
to enjoy sexual intercourse	0	1	.23	3 4 .	5
135. On more than one occasion I have had problems that					
resulted from my gambling, such as not being able	to				
pay my bills, problems with my spouse, etc	0	1	.23	5 4 .	5
136. I have frequently had the impulse to steal something					
even though I didn't really need to	0	1	.23	3 4 .	5
137. I have intentionally set destructive fires	0	1	.23	3 4 .	5
138. I lose my temper	0	1	.23	5 4 .	5
139. Occasionally my temper gets completely out of hand					
and I break things or hurt someone	0	1	.23	5 4 .	5
140. There is a situation in my life that is so stressful ti	hat				
I can't function as well as I should	0	1	.23	5 4 .	5
141. I am suspicious or afraid that someone might have i	t in for r	ne,			
or might want to do me harm			.23	5 4 .	5
142. I need to guard myself against being blamed, or in s	ome				
way suffering from the disloyalty of my friends					
143. I'm very jealous lately	0	1	.23	3 4 .	5

Never Seldom	AT	ways
144. Other people think that I am too quick to take offense,		
or that I am too sensitive		
145. I think it's important to be rational and objective 0 1	.4	. 5
146. People often don't know that I have tender		
feelings deep inside		
147. I tend to remain aloof from most people 0	.4	. 5
148. I tend to be indifferent to the praise or		
oriticism of others	. 4	. 5
149. I am not really close to more than one or two peolpe . $0 \dots 1 \dots 2 \dots 3$ .	.4	. 5
150. I have some rather remarkable abilities such as		
clairvoyance, the ability to send my thoughts without		
speaking, keen awareness of things		
that are about to pass, etc	. 4	. 5
151. For a long time I have avoided getting close to		
other people and have sensed that people do such things		
as talking behind my back even though		
they don't say so	.4	. 5
152. I have been able to sense the presence of a force or person		
who was not actually present with me in the room 0 1 2 3 153. I have felt that I could fade into nothingness.	. 4	. 5
or lose my identity as a person		
154. People seem to have difficulty understanding me0123		
155. I tend to have very strong feelings	4	5
156. I feel the need to have people notice me and pay		3
attention to me, and I try to get them to do so 0123	4	5
157. I have a strong craving for activity and excitement 0 1		
158. I tend to have strong reactions to events that other		
people sometimes consider minor	4	5
159. Sometimes I become excessively angry or have temper		
tantrums when I probably shouldn't	4	5
160. Some people perceive me as shallow or lacking in		
genuiness, although I try to be warm and charming 0 1 2 3	4	5
161. I tend to be concerned about myself and sometimes		
self-indulgent and inconsiderate of others	4	5
162. Some people think that I tend to be		
vain and demanding	4	5
163. I tend to feel helpless, and constantly		
need reassurance	4	5
164. I have made a number of suicidal threats or		
gestures in my life	4	5
165. I consider myself to be a unique and special person,		
with unusual achievements or talents	9	5
either in terms of unlimited success, achievement		
of great power, or an ideal love		65
167. I like and deserve to have people	•	3
101. I like disi ueser re to lidre people		

	Never Seldom	Always
notice and admire me	01234	5
168. I cannot stand criticism from others and tend to		
become angry or ashamed when I get it	01234	5
169. I believe I am entilted to get things that please me		
and to have people work to meet my needs.		
in order to do this, I may do some things such as		
take advantage of others, put my rights above their		
or not worry about how other people feel, as long a	as .	
l achieve my objectives	01234	5
170. I am angry	01234	5
171. Before the age of 15, had been in trouble for such		
things as truancy, delinquency, lying, drunkedness	,	
vandalism, stealing, etc.	01234	5
172. Since the age of 18, I have had trouble keeping a job		
or have changed jobs frequently, or have left jobs because I wasn't being treated right	0 1 2 7 4	5
173. I have been accused of not taking adequate care of m		
child (or children), and not providing such things a	•	
adequate nutrition, hygiene or medical care	0 1 2 3 4	5
174. On most occasions I arrange for neighbors or friends		
take care of of my child (or children), and when that		
not possible, I think it's alright to leave		
them on their own for awhile	. 01234	5
175. Since the age of 18 I have engaged in thefts on repea		
occasions, have been arrested several times,		
and have engaged in illegal occupations	01234	5
176. I have been divorced at least once,		
or have deserted my family	0 1 2 3 4	5
177. I have had ten or more sexual partners in one year.	01234	5
178. On more than 3 occasions since my 18th birthday,		
I have had serious physical fights with others,		
including my spouse	0 1 2 3 4	5
179. I have been accused of defaulting on debts or failing	to	
provide support for my child(ren)		
or other dependents	01234	5
180. I tend to act somewhat impulsively,		_
and tend not to plan ahead		
181. I make promises I can't keep	01234	5
182. I think It's OK for me to He or con others,		-
if it will get me something I really want		3
183. I tend to be kind of reckless doing such things as	0 1 2 7 4	
driving while intoxicated, or speeding alot		
184. I tend to do impulsive things such as overspending,		
shoplifting, overeating, substance abuse, etc., that cause me difficulties	0 1 2 7 4	- 5
that pause me difficulties		

	Never	Seldom			Always
185. I have had a number of intense relationships					
that have not worked out	0	1	23.	.4	5
186. I tend to have a strong temper and get extremely ar					
or lose control, sometimes more than I should					
187. I have difficulty maintaining long term friendships.	0	1	23.	. 4	5
188. I tend to have rather strong swings in my mood					
that last a short time; for example,					
from depression to anxiety					
189. I get frantic when I'm alone	0	1	2 3 .	. 4	5
190. I frequently make suicidal gestures,					
or try to hurt myself, or get in fights					
191. I very often bored	0	1	2 3 .	. 4	5
192. I tend to be very sensitive to possible rejection,					
ridioule, or other putdowns from other people		1	2 3 .	. 4	5
193. I have been hurt enough so that I am unwilling to ent	et"				
into a close relationship unless I know the other					
person is really going to care about me		1	25.	. 4	5
194. I tend to avoid close personal attatchments, and wo	nig.				
rather keep my social contacts cool, and concentrate on my job or other activity			2 7	4	
195. I have a strong desire for affection and acceptance.		4	2 7	4	5
196. I suffer from low self-esteem			2 3 .		
and tend to put myself down alot	0	1	2 %	4	5
197. I tend to let others make decisions for me or assume					
responsibility for things I do	. 0	1	2.3.	4	5
198. I tend to put other people's needs ahead of my own r					
than have a fuss or take a chance on being rejected	10	1	23	4	5
199. I lack self-confidence and tend to see muself as					
stupid, helpless, inadequate, etc	0	1	2 3	4	5
200. I would describe muself as a conventional.					
serious, and somewhat formal person	0	1	2 3	4	5
201. I believe it is very important to take care of the det					
and follow the rules and do things the way they are	•				
supposed to be done	0	1	2 3	4	5
202. I tend to demand that things be done my way,					
and that my family meet my expectations		1	2 3	4	5
203. My primary interest is in my work and					
my productivity					
204. I try too hard to be perfect		1	2 3	4	5
205. I have a difficult time making decisions or getting th	ings				
done on time because I'm not sure about which					
things are most important					
206. I gossip quite often	0	1	2 3	4	5
207. Sometimes I find myself procrastinating,					_
being stubborn or forgetful					
208. I resent living up to other people's expectations	0	1	2 3	4	5

Ne Ne	ever	Seld	lom				A	ways
209. I have been accused of being inefficient, and have not								
moved ahead as we'll as I would have liked								
210. I have had one or more seizures or blackouts	0	1		.2.	.3.	.4.		. 5
211. I have had a rather abrupt change in my thinking or								
in my mood	0	1		.2.	.3.	. 4 .		. 5
212. I have recently begun to have headaches, trouble with								
my vision, or numbness or other strange feeling in								
some part of my body	0	1		.2.	. 3 .	. 4 .		. 5
213. It seems as though my mind becomes clouded over	_				_			_
and I have trouble focusing my attention	0	1		.2.	. 3 .	. 4 .		. 5
214. I have lately tended to misinterpret things or see				_	_			_
things that are not there								
215. Sometimes I can't talk clearly	U	1		.2.	. 5 .	. 4 .	• • •	.5
216. Lately I have had either insomnia or				2	7			
day time drowsiness								
217. Lately I just don't seem to want to do much								
218. Lately I have just had to keep moving	υ	!		. 2 .	. э .	٩.		. 3
219. Lately I have had periods when I wasn't sure where I was, or when I had difficulty remembering !	0	4		2	7	4		E
220. Recently I've had so much trouble thinking	<b>u</b>			. 4 .				. 3
that it has caused me difficulty with my job								
or with other people	n	1		2	3	4		5
221. I seem to be losing my memory								
222. I am having trouble lately with such things as thinking	•							. •
clearly, impared judgement, difficulty making my mus	seles							
work, and other things that I used to do easily				. 2 .	3.	4.		. 5
223. Lately it seems that my personality is changing (								
224. My thinking is very clear								
225. Lately it seems like I just can't remember things (								
226. I have, on more than one occasion, had problems or								
difficulties during the time when I had been								
using alcohol or drugs	0	1		.2.	3.	4.		. 5
227. I have, on more than one occasion, had difficulties or pl	hysic	al						
symptoms when I stopped taking alcohol or drugs (	0	1		.2.	3.	4.		. 5
228. On more than one occasion, I have used alcohol								
or drugs to excess								
229. I drink or use drugs when I am alone	0	1		.2.	3.	4.		. 5
230. I'm sure that someone is out to get me,								
or is trying to hurt me	0	1		.2.	3.	4.		. 5
231. When I drink or use drugs too much I tend to								
hear things that are not there		1		.2.	3.	4.		. 5
232. When I have been drinking or using drugs I am sometime								
able to see or hear things that other people do not (	0	1		. 2 .	3.	4.		. 5
233. I have felt certain that someone else is controlling								
my thoughts, putting thoughts into my head,								_
or taking thoughts out of my head	0	1		. 2 .	3	4.		. 5

234. I am certain that someone is trying to hurt me	Never	Seldom	2 7 4	Always
235. I hear voices when there is no one there	0	4	234.	5
236. I hear voices in my head.	0	!	2 7 4	5
237. I am not thinking nearly as clearly, doing as good a	inh	1	4.	3
or taking care of my family as well as I used to	,00,		0 7 4	
238. I am convinced that some other person	u	1	4.	5
or force is controlling me.	0			
239. Sometimes I am sure that unpleasant thoughts or id	U	1	254	5
are being inserted into my head			2 7 4	
240. Sometimes I believe that other people can hear		1	2 3 4	5
what I am thinking	0		2 7 4	
241. God has sent special, personal communications to m				3
242. A part of my body is rotting or being eaten away	e. U			5
by a horrible disease.	0		2 7 4	
243. The time must soon come when the world will recog	niva		239	5
what a remarkable, special being I am	U C			
244. I am certain that some other being or force is			.234	3
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty.	0		2 7 4	
245. I have frequently been aware of people			.234	5
talking about me	0		2 7 4	
246. I often hear voices that other people don't hear		1	2 7 4	5
247. I often see things that other people don't see		1	2 7 4	5
248. I don't like being in places like tunnels or buses	. •		. 2 3 4	3
where I might not be able to get out if I need to	. 0	1	2 3 4	
249. I have a physical dysfunction which handicaps me,				
and which doctors cannot explain.	. 0	1	2 3 4	
250. Although doctors can't explain what's wrong with m	w .			
have a serious disease		1	2 3 4	45
251. I've forgotten some important personal things about				
myself that I should be able to remember.	. 0	1	2 3 4	5
252. I very often think I would be much more comfortable				
and happy if I belonged to the other sex	. 0	1	2 . 3 . 4	5
253. I become sexually aroused with a certain article.				_
such as a piece of clothing	.0	.1	2.3.4	5
254. I frequently dress up in opposite sex's clothing.				
and become sexually aroused	. 0	.1	234	. 5
255. I can become more sexually aroused with animals	.0	.1	2.3.4	5
200. I otten tantasize about sexual activity with a child				
or a much younger person	. 0	.1	234	5
207. I like to expose muself to strangers.	. 0	.1	234	. 5
258. I get excited at watching people who				
don't know I'm there	. 0	.1	234	5
209. I have been sexually excited while I was bound or being	ng			
made to suffer in some way	. 0	.1	234.	5
26U. I have been sexually excited while humiliating				
or hurting someone	.0	.1	234.	5

	Never	Seldor	n			Ah	rays
261. Since becoming available for sexual activity, I have							
experienced considerable difficulty in being able							
to enjoy sexual intercourse	. 0	1 .	2	23	4 .		5
262. On more than one occasion I have had problems that							
resulted from my gambling, such as not being able	to						
pay my bills, problems with my spouse, etc	0	1.	2	23	4 .		5
263. I have frequently had the impulse to steal something							
even though I didn't really need to	0	1 .	2	23	4 .		5
264. I have intentionally set destructive fires		1 .	2	2 3	4 .		5
265. There is a situation in my life that is so stressful th	at						
I can't function as well as I should	0	1 .	2	2 3	4 .		5

# Appendix B: Results of the Factor Analysis

Factor numbers followed by label (in parentheses), position on Eysenck's (1976) triad (in italics-where applicable), eigen value, percentage and cumulative percentage of variance explained, and individual items followed by respective pattern matrix factor loadings.

m: 1 m / A m /	
Eigen value % of var Cum% of v	ar
Factor 1 (Confusion) <i>Psychoticism</i> 44.46 18.5 18.5	
213. It seems as though my mind becomes clouded over	
and I have trouble focusing my attention	
214. I have lately tended to misinterpret things or see	
things that are not there	
215. Sometimes I can't talk clearly	
220. Recently I've had so much trouble thinking that it has	
caused me difficulty with my job or with other people	
222. I am having trouble lately with such things as thinking	
clearly, impared judgement, difficulty making my muscles	
work, and other things that I used to do easily	
223. Lately it seems that my personality is changing	
211. I have had a rather abrupt change in my thinking or	
in my mood	
221. I seem to be losing my memory	
216. Lately I have had either insomnia or day time drowsiness	
99. I have trouble with my memory or my concentration	
212. I have recently begun to have headaches, trouble with	
my vision, or numbness or other strange feeling in	
some part of my body	
197. I tend to let others make decisions for me or assume	
responsibility for things I do	
225. Lately it seems like I just can't remember things	
237. I am not thinking nearly as clearly , doing as good a job ,	
or taking care of my family as well as I used to	
199. I lack self-confidence and tend to see myself as	
stupid, helpless, inadequate, etc	
153. I have felt that I could fade into nothingness,	
or lose my identity as a person	
Eigen value % of var Cum% of v	ar
Factor 2 (Paraphilia) Extraversion 11.21 4.7 23.2	
127. I frequently dress up in opposite sex's clothing,	

and become sexually aroused			70
128. I can become more sexually aroused with animals			
132. I have been sexually excited while I was bound or being			
made to suffer in some way			
133. I have been sexually excited while humiliating			
or hurting someone			
130. I like to expose myself to strangers			
129. I often fantasize about sexual activity with a child			
or a much younger person			
131. I get excited at watching people who don't know I'm there	е		41
125. I often think I would be much more comfortable and happy if I belonged to the other sex			70
173. I have been accused of not taking adequate care of my			
child (or children), and not providing such things as			
adequate nutrition , hygiene or medical care			
126. I become sexually aroused with a certain article,			
such as a piece of clothing			24
	•		Cum% of yar
	7.68		
195. I have a strong desire for affection and acceptance			
156. I need to have people notice me and pay			
attention to me , and I try to get them to do so			
167. I like and deserve to have people notice and admire me			
155. I tend to have very strong feelings			
ridicule, or other putdowns from people			35
157. I have a strong craving for activity and excitement			
158. I tend to have strong reactions to events that other			
people sometimes consider minor			
163. I tend to feel helpless, and constantly need reassurance.			
180. I tend to act somewhat impulsively,			
and tend not to plan ahead			
168. I cannot stand criticism from others and tend to			
become angry or ashamed when I get it		,	
	Finen value	S of yar	Cum% of var
Factor 4 (Manic-Grandiocity) Psychoticism	6.43	2.7	29.1
165. I consider myself to be a unique and special person,	0.40	2.1	23.1
with unusual achievements or talents			
166. I believe that great things are in store for me,			
either in terms of unlimited success, achievement			
of great power, or an ideal love			72
45. There's no doubt in my mind that I have done, and will			
continue to do great things			
5. I've come to realize that I'm a very special person			61

what a remarkable, special being I am			
224. My thinking is very clear			
	_		Cum% of yar
Factor 5 (Substance abuse) Extraversion	4.83	2.0	31.1
226. I have, on more than one occasion, had problems or difficulties during the time when I had been			24
using alcohol or drugs			
228. On more than one occasion, I have used alcohol or drugs to excess.			90
227. I have, on more than one occasion, had difficulties or pl			
symptoms when I stopped taking alcohol or drugs	igsical		.58
231. When I drink or use drugs too much I tend to			.00
hear things that are not there			
229. I drink or use drugs when I am alone			
232. When I have been drinking or using drugs I am sometime			
able to see or hear things that other people do not			
171. Before the age of 15, I had been in trouble for such			
things as truancy, delinquency, lying, drunkedness,			
vandalism, stealing, etc			
183. I tend to be kind of reckless doing such things as			
driving while intoxicated, or speeding alot			
184. I tend to do impulsive things such as overspending,			
shoplifting, overeating, substance abuse, etc.,			22
that cause me difficulties			
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of var
Factor 6 (Depression) <i>Neuroticism</i>	4.56	1.9	33.0
26. The things I do aren't as worthwhile anymore			61
19. I don't do things that are worthwhile anymore			
27. I don't produce as much as I used to			48
20. I think that I'm to blame for many things			31
2. Lately, I have accomplished a great deal			
15. I have a hard time doing things that used to be easy			
32. I do things more slowly now than I used to			
16. Things that I used to enjoy aren't as pleasurable now			
18. I don't have as much energy as I used to			
217. Lately I just don't seem to want to do much			
35. I spend a lot of time thinking about past events			
34. I am pessimistic about the future			
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of var
Factor7 (Post Traumatic Stress Disorder)	Eigen value 3.69	% of var 1.5	Cum% of var

230. I'm sure that someone is out to get me,

6. I am either too busy or restless to take time for sleep			32
37. I am either too busy or restless to take time for sleep			
238 I am convinced that some other nerson			
or force is controlling me			24
189. I get frantic when I'm alone			
	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum% of yar
Factor 11 (Avoidence) Neuroticism	2.90	1.2	40.0
194. I tend to avoid close personal attatchments, and would			
rather keep my social contacts cool,			
and concentrate on my job or other activity			54
193. I have been hurt enough so that I am unwilling to enter			
into a close relationship unless I know the other			
person is really going to care about me			45
196. I suffer from low self-esteem			
and tend to put myself down alot			
198. I tend to put other people's needs ahead of my own rather			
than have a fuss or take a chance on being rejected			
Factor 12 (Anti-social personality)	Finen value	S of yar	Cum% of yar
	-		
Extraversion	2.70	1.1	42.2
179. I have been accused of defaulting on debts or failing to			
provide support for my child(ren) or other dependents.			
176. I have been divorced at least once, or have deserted my family			
178. On more than 3 occasions since my 18th birthday,			
I have had serious physical fights with others,			
including my spouse			47
177. I have had ten or more sexual partners in one year			
175. Since the age of 18 I have engaged in thefts on repeated			
occasions, have been arrested several times,			
and have engaged in illegal occupations			24
Factor 13 (Hallucinations, Manic)	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum% of yar
Psychoticism	2.56	1.1	42.2
246. I often hear voices that other people don't hear			
24. I sleep or want to sleep a lot more than I used to			
235. I hear voices when there is no one there			
247. I often see things that other people don't see			
236. I hear voices in my head			
44. I have so much energy , I just can't sit still			
241. God has sent special, personal communications to me			
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of yar
Factor 14 (Anger, Axietu) Extraversion	2.52	1.0	43.2
I GOLOL IT IMIGOL MOTOLULE LAD DES SIGN	4.44	1.0	TV - 4m

186. I tend to have a strong temper and get extremely angry or lose control, sometimes more than I should			
Factor 15 (Anxiety, Impulsivety)	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum% of yar
Extraversion			
65. Jumpiness			
202. I tend to demand that things be done my way, and that my family meet my expectations.  64. Jitteriness.  43. I enjoy and get away with things that many people couldn't, like making daring business investments or			
driving at high speeds			
Factor 16 (Commission) Normalist			Cum% of yar
Factor 16 (Compulsive) Neuroticism  89. Loften redo things many times or have a hard time doing things because I want to get them as perfect as possible	<b>2</b>		
88. I have to do things just right			84
204. I try too hard to be perfect			
supposed to be done			
39. I work long hours at night, even when it isn't necessary.			19
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of var
Factor 17 (Eating Disorder) Extraversion 9. I don't eat as much as I used to	2.17	0.9	46.0 65
10. I have a very good appetite 11. I've gained a lot of weight lately			
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of var
Factor18 (Sexual appetite) Neuorticism			46.9
17. I have less interest in sex than I used to.			
29. Lately I have less interest in sex.			
134. Since becoming available for sexual activity, I have experienced considerable difficulty in being able			

to enjoy sexual intercourse			
42. I just can't get enough sex	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum96 of ya
Factor 19 (Intellectualization)			
Neuroticism			
145. I think it's important to be rational and objective			
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of va
Factor 20 (Somatic concerns)	1.98	8.0	48.6
80. Discomfort in the pit of the stomach			
	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum% of ya
Factor 21 (Borderline personality disorder)			
190 I frequently make suicidal gestures.			
or try to hurt myself, or get in fights			
gestures in my life			46
210.1 have had one or more seizures or blackouts			
219. Lately I have had periods when I wasn't sure where I was or when I had difficulty remembering	' <b>,</b> 		
85. I have had a number of intense relationships			
that have not worked out			24
. Treath many of the control property and the control of the contr			
5 1 00 (O 1) (C 1) distribution of the control of t	-		Cum% of va 50.1
Factor 22 (Somatiform disorder) <i>Neuroticisi</i> . 122. I have a physical dysfunction which handicaps me,		0.0	30.1
and which doctors cannot explain			84
123. Although doctors can't explain what's wrong with me,			
I have a serious disease			
Forter CZ (Phobia Anujatu) Nourotioiom	_		Cum% of va
Factor 23 (Phobic, Anxiety) Neuroticism 49. I worry about doing something to embarrass myself	1.00	0.0	36
51. I have one or more fears that cause me to avoid places			
or objects even though I know there is no real danger			
50. I think some of my fears are unreasonable			
Factor 24 (Indecision, Paranoia)	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum% of va
Psychaticism		0.7	
48. I hate to have other people look at me			
205. I have a difficult time making decisions or getting things done on time because I'm not sure about which			

things are most important			
150. I have some rather remarkable abilities such as			
clairvoyance, the ability to send my thoughts without			
speaking, keen awareness of things			
that are about to pass, etc			
	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum% of yar
Factor 25 (Narcissism) Extraversion 1.72	0.7	52.4	
162. Some people think that I tend to be vain and demanding.			28
169. I believe I am entitled to get things that please me and t			
have people work to meet my needs. In order to do thi			
I may do some things such as take advantage of others			
put my rights above theirs, or not worry about how of			
people feel, as long as I achieve my objectives			
160. Some people perceive me as shallow or lacking in			
genuiness, although I try to be warm and charming			
25. I'm tired all the time			
			Cum98 of var
Factor 26 (Somatization) Psychoticism	1.69	0.7	53.1
105. Double vision			
7. I can't keep my mind focused on one thing at a time			
	-		Cum96 of var
Factor 27 (Paranoia) Psychoticism 1.65	0.7	53.8	
244. I am certain that some other being or force is			
Zili i dili oti tani diatavini anni			
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty			26
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty 83. Having too much energy			26
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	son		
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	son		
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	son		22
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	son		22
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	son		22
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	son		22
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	son		22 22 21 21
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	son Eigen value	% of var	
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	Eigen value	% of var 0.7	
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	Eigen value	% of var 0.7	
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	Eigen value	% of var 0.7	
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy  152. I have been able to sense the presence of a force or per who was not actually present with me in the room  242. A part of my body is rotting or being eaten away by a horrible disease  140. There is a situation in my life that is so stressful that I can't function as well as I should  Factor 29 (Tension)  148. I am indifferent to the praise or criticism of others  67. Muscle tension  79. Hot or cold spells	Eigen value	% of var 0.7	
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy	Eigen value	% of var 0.7	
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy  152. I have been able to sense the presence of a force or per who was not actually present with me in the room  242. A part of my body is rotting or being eaten away by a horrible disease  140. There is a situation in my life that is so stressful that I can't function as well as I should  Factor 29 (Tension)  148. I am indifferent to the praise or criticism of others  67. Muscle tension  79. Hot or cold spells  68. Muscle aches	Eigen value	% of var 0.7	
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy  152. I have been able to sense the presence of a force or per who was not actually present with me in the room  242. A part of my body is rotting or being eaten away by a horrible disease  140. There is a situation in my life that is so stressful that I can't function as well as I should  Factor 29 (Tension)  148. I am indifferent to the praise or criticism of others  67. Muscle tension  79. Hot or cold spells  68. Muscle aches  Factor 30 (Acting out, Sleep disorder)	Eigen value 1.60	% of var 0.7 % of var	
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy  152. I have been able to sense the presence of a force or per who was not actually present with me in the room  242. A part of my body is rotting or being eaten away by a horrible disease  140. There is a situation in my life that is so stressful that I can't function as well as I should  Factor 29 (Tension)  148. I am indifferent to the praise or criticism of others  67. Muscle tension  79. Hot or cold spells  68. Muscle aches  Factor 30 (Acting out, Sleep disorder)  Extraversion	Eigen value	% of var 0.7	
interfering with my life and causing me difficulty  83. Having too much energy  152. I have been able to sense the presence of a force or per who was not actually present with me in the room  242. A part of my body is rotting or being eaten away by a horrible disease  140. There is a situation in my life that is so stressful that I can't function as well as I should  Factor 29 (Tension)  148. I am indifferent to the praise or criticism of others  67. Muscle tension  79. Hot or cold spells  68. Muscle aches  Factor 30 (Acting out, Sleep disorder)	Eigen value 1.60	% of var 0.7 % of var	

			74
106. Blurred vision			
41. Lenjoy being surrounded by people most of the time.			
	Eigen value		Cum% of yar
Factor 37 (Ideas of reference)	1.36	0.6	60.0
Psychoticism			
151. For a long time I have avoided getting close to other people and have sensed that people do such this as talking behind my back even though they don't say	ngs i so		22
191. I am very often bored			18
			Cum% of yar
Factor 79 (Mania) Roughodicions	1.35		
Factor 38 (Mania) Psychoticism  46. I like to laugh and joke and have a good time more than most other people around me			
	Finen value	95 of yar	Cum% of yar
Factor 39 (Depression) Neuraticism	1.34		
146. People often don't know that I have tender			
feelings deep inside			27
21. I can't make decisions as easily as I'd like to			
	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum% of yar
Factor 40 (Bipolar) Psychoticism	1.32	0.5	61.6
188. I tend to have rather strong swings in my mood			
that last a short time; for example, from depression to anxiety			
84. Lately . I have a great deal to say to people			
36. I cry, or I am tearful a lot of the time			
206. I gossip quite often			25
187. I have difficulty maintaining long term friendships.			24
31. Loften experience guilt about things that I've done			
	Finn value	Of of your	Cum% of yar
Factor Al(Irretionality) Neuraticism	1.30		
Factor 41(Irrationality) Neuroticism 86. Loften have thoughts that I don't like,	1.50	0.0	
or that don't make sense			
124. I've forgotten some important personal things about			26
myself that I should be able to remember 87. There are one or more behaviors which I have to do,			
but which give me no pleasure and don't make sense			

Factor 42 (Anxiety) <i>Neuroticism</i>			Cum% of yar
1. Lately, I've been very restless			
	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum% of yar
Factor 43 (Suspicion) 141. Lam suspicious or afraid that someone has it in for me,			63.2
or might want to do me harm			
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of yar
Factor 44 (Somatization) Neuroticism	1.24	0.5	63.7
111. Nausea			
82. Flushed face			
112. Yomiting spells			
110. Abdominal pains			
110. Dividing			
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of yar
Factor 45 (Unnamed)	1.22	0.5	64.2
73. Easily startled			
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of var
Factor 46 (Panic) <i>Neuroticism</i>	1.20	0.5	64.7
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20	0.5	64.7
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20	0.5	64.7 37 36
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20	0.5	64.7 37 36 18
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20	0.5	64.7 37 36 18
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20 Eigen value	95 of yar 0.5	64.7 37 36 18 Cum% of var 65.2
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20 Eigen value 1.18	95 of var 0.5	64.7 36 18 Cum% of var 65.2 46
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20 Eigen value 1.18	95 of var 0.5	64.7 36 18 Cum% of var 65.2 46 35
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20 Eigen value 1.18	78 of var 0.5	64.7 36 18 Cum% of var 65.2 46 35 20
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20 Eigen value 1.18 Eigen value	% of var 0.5	64.7 36 18 Cum% of var 65.2 46 35 20 Cum% of var
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20 Eigen value 1.18 Eigen value 1.59	% of var 0.5 % of var 0.5	64.7 36 18 Cum% of var 65.2 46 35 20 Cum% of var 65.7
52. Heart pounding in my chest	1.20 Eigen value 1.18 Eigen value 1.59	% of var 0.5 % of var 0.5	64.7 36 18 Cum% of var 65.2 46 35 20 Cum% of var 65.7
52. Heart pounding in my chest	Eigen value 1.18  Eigen value 1.59  Eigen value	% of var 0.5 % of var 0.5 % of var	64.7373618  Cum% of var 65.2463520  Cum% of var 65.727  Cum% of var
52. Heart pounding in my chest	Eigen value 1.18  Eigen value 1.59  Eigen value 1.59	% of var 0.5 % of var 0.5 % of var 0.5	64.73618  Cum% of var 65.2463520  Cum% of var 65.727  Cum% of var 66.2

Factor 50 (Anti-social personality)  Extraversion  182. I think it's OK for me to lie or con others, if it will get me something I really want	1.14	0.5	23 22 19
	-		Cum% of var
3. I am more talkative than usual			30
them on their own for awhile			
	Eigen value	% of yar	Cum% of yar
Factor 54 (Insecurity) Neuroticism  59. Excessive sweating			
serious, and somewhat formal person			
Factor 55 (Somatization) <i>Neuroticism</i> 57. Tingling in my hands or feet	1.05	0.4	
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum98 of yar
Factor 56 (Restless) Neuroticism 218. Lately I have just had to keep moving			
	Eigen value	% of var	Cum% of var
Factor 57 (Somatization) Neuroticism 76. Clammy hands. 104. Loss of voice. 75. Coldness. 181. I make promises I can't keep. 81. Lump in the throat.			30 29 24 22

## Appendix C: Proposed Relationship of Items to DSM III Diagnostic Categories.

Item number(s)	DSM III diagnosis	DSM III code
1-8	Manic	296.4 X
9-22	Depressed	296.2 X
23-46	Cyclothymic	301.13
47	Agoraphobia	300.22
48-51	Social Phobia	300.23
52-62	Panic Disorder	300.01
63-85	Generalized Anxiety	300.02
86-89	Obsessive/Compulsive	300.03
90-101	Post Traumatic Stress	308.
102-121	Somatization Disorder	300.81
122	Conversion Disorder	300.11
123	Hypocondriasis	300.70
124	Psychogenic Amnesia	300.12
125	Transsexualism	302.5 X,
		302.60
126	Fetishism	302.81
127	Transvestism	302.30
128	Zoophelia	302.10

Item number(s)	DSM III diagnosis	DSM III code
129	Pedophelia	302.20
130	Exhibitionism	302.40
131	Voyeurism	302.82
132	Sexual Masochism	302.83
133	Sexual Sadism	302.84
134	Sexual Dysfunction	302.7 X
135	Pathological Gambling	312.31
136	Kleptomania	312.32
137	Pyromania	312.33
138-139	Intermittent Explosive Disor	der
		312.34
140	Adjustment Disorder	309. XX
141-146	Paranoid Personality	301.00
147-149	Schizoid	301.20
150-154	Schizotypal	301.22
155-164	Histrionic	301.50
165-169	Narcissistic	301.81
170-183	Antisocial	301.70
184-191	Borderline	301.83
192-196	Avoident	301.82
197-199	Dependent	301.60
200-205	Compulsive	301.40
206-212	Passive-Aggressive	301.84

Item number(s)	DSM III diagnosis	DSM III code
213-219	Dementia-Delerium	290.3
220-224	Pre-senile Dementia	290.1
225-229	Substance-induced Amnesti	c Disorder
		292.83
230-232	Organic Delusions/Hallucine	ations
		293.81,
		293.82
233-247	Schizophrenic Disorders	295.