

Utah State University

DigitalCommons@USU

Aspen Bibliography

Aspen Research

1974

Production of Dry Matter from Aspen Stands Harvested on Short Rotations

A. B. Berry

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/aspen_bib



Part of the [Forest Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Berry, A.B. 1974. Production of dry matter from aspen stands harvested on short rotations. In IUFRO biomass studies: papers presented during meeting of S4.01 in Vancouver, B.C., Canada, Aug. 20-24, 1973 International Union of Forest Research Organizations. S4.01 Mensuration, Growth and Yield. Working Party on the Mensuration of the Forest Biomass

This Conference is brought to you for free and open access by the Aspen Research at DigitalCommons@USU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Aspen Bibliography by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@USU. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@usu.edu.



1 Production of Dry Matter from Aspen Stands

2 Harvested on Short Rotations ¹⁾

3 by

4 A.B. Berry ²⁾

5
6 INTRODUCTION

7 Although the aspens (Populus tremuloides Michx. and P.
8 grandidentata Michx.) are amongst the most widely distributed species
9 in Canada and the United States, their utilization has been disproportionately
10 small; in fact aspen was considered a weed species for many years. Historically
11 aspen was first used mainly for excelsior, splintwood and pulp, and since 1945
12 it has been used for hardboard, particle board, lumber and veneer.

13 In response to increasing interest in poplar, a symposium was
14 held at Harrison Hot Springs, B.C., in 1967 to review and discuss the
15 status of this genus in Canada. One of the points emerging at the
16 symposium was that the trend toward greater utilization of hardwoods,
17 together with reduced wood supplies in some areas, is focusing on those
18 species which have the capacity for high yields on short rotations (Maini
19 and Cayford, 1968).

21
22 1) Paper prepared for presentation at the IUFRO Forest Biomass Working
23 Party meeting to be held in Vancouver, B.C. August 19-25, 1973.

24 2) Research Scientist, Canada Dept. of Environment, Petawawa Forest
25 Experiment Station, Chalk River, Ont.

1 More poplar will be used and this will entail more intensive management.
2 Perhaps new silvicultural systems as well as new methods of harvesting
3 and processing will have to be developed. One approach is short rotations
4 (McAlpine et al. 1966 and Schreiner 1970) and utilization of a greater part
5 of the tree (Young 1968 and Henry 1972).

6 This paper presents the results of the first four years of
7 clear cutting aspen on short rotations. The major objectives of this
8 experiment are to determine (a) the age which produces the greatest annual
9 yield of wood fibre and (b) how the amount of fibre produced is influenced
10 by repeated harvesting on short rotations.

11

12

METHODS

13 The experiment is being conducted at the Petawawa Forest
14 Experiment Station, Chalk River, Ontario. An 18-acre area was clear-cut
15 during the winter of 1968-69. The whole trees were skidded to landings
16 where they were cut into logs and bolts and the slash burned.

17 The study area is on a north slope with soil of a moderately
18 deep glacial till. The stand prior to harvesting was of mixed intolerant
19 hardwoods, over 50 percent of which was aspen with an average diameter of
20 11 inches and a dominant height of 85 feet at 60 years. According to
21 Plonski (1960) this is a Site Class 1 for this species.

22 Seven rotation ages were selected; 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, and 20
23 years. The concentration in the early years was thought to be necessary
24 to trace the rapid changes in stand development that occur early in the
25 life of the stand. It is well known that after cutting, a new sucker stand

1 develops that has the ability to completely occupy a site within 2 to 3 years
2 after harvesting (Einspahr 1972).

3 The seven treatment areas were laid out in a block on both sides
4 of a baseline which was run parallel to the slope to minimize site
5 differences. Rotation ages were assigned to the areas at random.
6 Four replicates were established. Within each treatment area a 16.5
7 foot square sample plot was established.

8 In laying out the treatment areas and the sample plots within
9 them care was taken to avoid competition between trees on different
10 sample plots. The surround for each sample plot was made sufficiently
11 large to prevent below ground competition from the roots of trees growing
12 in the adjoining area. The distance required for this is dependent on the
13 root spread of the species, which usually extends beyond the zone of
14 influence of the aerial parts of the trees. A review of literature
15 relating to root spread of aspen (Day 1944, Berndt and Gibbons 1958,
16 Gifford 1966 and Tew et al. 1969) shows that roots extend up to 50 feet
17 by the time trees are 20 years of age. A graph showing root spread
18 (Day 1944) was used to determine the width of surround required around
19 each sample plot.

20 The size of each treatment area was determined by the width
21 of surround required around the sample plot within it, this width being
22 governed by the rotation age designated for the area itself and the
23 rotation ages for adjoining areas. The sample plots are square, with
24 sides 16.5 feet long (providing an area of 1/160 acre) and the minimum
25 width of surround was 16.5 feet. This applied to rotation ages up to 5

1 years, and for older ages the width of surround was determined from
2 Day's graph.

3 Each year after leaf fall, beginning in 1969, the numbers of
4 stems on each sample plot were tallied by three size classes: those
5 trees under 4 feet in height, those over 4 feet but with a diameter at
6 breast height of less than 0.5 inches, and trees having a breast height
7 diameter of 0.5 inches or larger. For trees in the last category the
8 diameter and height of each tree were recorded. The designated sample
9 plots and their surrounds were then clear cut, the trees being cut as
10 close to the ground as possible. The cut trees from the sample plots
11 were then oven-dried and weighed to obtain the weight of wood fibre
12 plus bark.

13 Each year a sample of trees over 0.5 inches d.b.h. was
14 harvested, covering the range in size, and the oven-dry weight obtained.
15 A regression of tree dry weight on d.b.h.² and height was derived for the
16 prediction of weights of individual trees.

17 To date the one-year rotation stands have been harvested four
18 times, the two-year stands twice and the three-year rotation stands once.

19

20

RESULTS

21 The first year following the cutting of the mature overstorey
22 the resulting aspen sucker stand varied considerably over the entire
23 area. On the 28 sample plots the numbers ranged from a low of 2,000
24 to a high of about 67,500 with an overall average of about 25,000 stems
25 per acre.

1 The regression equation for estimating dry weight for trees over
2 0.5 inches d.b.h. is:

3 $Y=0.1632 + 0.1122X-0.00047X^2$ $R^2=0.963$

4 where Y= oven-dry weight in pounds

5 $X= (d.b.h.)^2H$ with d.b.h. in inches and H (height) in feet.

6 The results to date are presented in Tables 1 to 4 and will be
7 discussed in terms of development of stands by rotation ages. The data
8 presented in the tables are average numbers of stems and average dry
9 weight produced.

10 The standard error, as a percentage of the mean, was calculated
11 for each of the means shown in Tables 1 to 4. The average of these standard
12 errors, amounted to 22 percent for number of trees and 28 percent for
13 weight. Although the standard errors are relatively high, because of the
14 wide range in numbers of trees on individual plots, the trends shown are
15 indicative of the development of young aspen stands.

16 One-year rotation

17 Table 1 shows the average data for the four plots which have
18 been harvested annually. Both numbers and weight increased following
19 the first harvest but the third and fourth harvests have shown a marked
20 decrease in the number of stems and the weight of material produced. In
21 fact the fourth rotation consisted of about 60 percent of the number of
22 stems in the first rotation, and 16 percent of the weight harvested at
23 the end of the first year.

24 Two-year rotation

25 Table 2 shows the average development of the four stands that

1 were cut on a two-year rotation. The number of stems over a two year
2 period from initiation to harvest followed the usual pattern of decrease
3 in numbers. The initial number of stems starting the second rotation were
4 slightly higher than that at the start of the first rotation but by the
5 end of the second year the numbers had decreased and were practically the
6 same as that harvested two years before. The weight of fibre produced in
7 the second rotation was about 45 percent of that cut in the first harvest
8 even though the numbers of stems were nearly the same.

9 Three-year rotation

10 Table 3 shows the average development of the four stands cut on
11 a three-year rotation. During the three years of the first rotation the
12 number of stems decreased as expected. But in the year following the
13 harvest the new stand had fewer stems than there were immediately prior to
14 the cut.

15 Stands not harvested to date

16 The data for all 16 stands that are scheduled for harvesting on
17 rotations longer than three years were combined and the average presented
18 in Table 4. The dry weights for trees larger than 0.5 inches dbh were
19 derived from the regression equation based on $(dbh)^2H$. The oven dry
20 weights for small stems were based on average weights of these size classes
21 from the harvested plots. The numbers of stems per acre decreased with
22 increasing age. The dry-weight shows that annual increment is still
23 increasing.

24

25

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

1
2 This paper has described the production from a natural aspen
3 sucker stand which had originated following the clear-cutting of a
4 mature stand. The data show that aspen stands clear cut on very short
5 cycles decrease in vigor during the second and succeeding rotations in
6 which fewer stems and less wood are produced. This lowered production is
7 well illustrated when the data from the stands harvested on one, two and
8 three year rotations are compared. The four one-year harvests amount to
9 2574 pounds per acre, the two two-year harvests amount to 4177 pounds per
10 acre which are considerably less than the 5524 pounds harvested from the
11 stands cut on one three-year rotation. This decrease in growth and vigor
12 probably results from a decline in vigor of the root system since on short
13 cycles the sucker stands have been drawing on the reserves without
14 contributing much in return. As Zahner and DeByle (1965) pointed out the
15 new roots produced by the suckers contribute little to the growth for the
16 first six years and by age 25 years are contributing about 50 percent.

17 The decrease in numbers of stems on the non-harvested areas is
18 consistent with all findings on aspen establishment and growth that the
19 high initial number of stems rapidly decreases over the first few years
20 in the life of the stand.

21 The current and mean annual increments of the non-harvested
22 stands are still increasing, which is a clear indication that the rotation
23 age for maximum production has not been reached. Further observations will
24 be required to determine production on longer rotations. This concept of a
25 longer rotation is borne out by Hughes and Brodie (1972) who claim that

1 annual volume increment increases for the first decade and that rotation
2 age would probably fall between 12 and 25 years.

3 The harvesting and manufacturing methods required in short
4 rotation management have not been covered in this paper. Studies have
5 shown that bark can be separated from the wood and that satisfactory
6 pulps and particle boards can be produced from young aspen but efficient
7 harvesting methods would have to be developed if the system were to be
8 economic.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Table 1. Average per acre development of stands cut on a one-year rotation

2 Year	Stems (no.)	Oven-dry weight (lb.)
3 1969 (cut)	19560	917
4 1970 (cut)	27040	990
5 1971 (cut)	22720	522
6 1972 (cut)	11560	145

7 Table 2. Average per acre development of stands cut on a two-year rotation

8 Year	Stems (no.)	Oven-dry weight (lb.)
9 1969	23920	
10 1970 (cut)	22200	2877
11 1971	26760	
12 1972 (cut)	22240	1300

13 Table 3. Average per acre development of stands cut on a three-year rotation

14 Year	Stems (no.)	Oven-dry weight (lb.)
15 1969	36400	
16 1970	29120	
17 1971 (cut)	18320	5524
18 1972	15440	

19 Table 4. Average per acre development of uncut stands

20 Year	Stems (no.)	Est. total oven-dry weight (lb.)	Current increment (lb. dry weight)
21			
22 1969	23950	1125	1125
23 1970	20020	2814	1689
24 1971	11790	4670	1856
25 1972	9430	6790	2120

1 REFERENCES

- 2
- 3 Berndt, H.W. and R.D. Gibbons, 1958. Root distribution of some native
4 trees and understory plants growing on three sites within ponderosa
5 pine watersheds in Colorado. U.S.D.A. Forest Serv., Rocky Mountain
6 Forest and Range Exp. Sta., Sta. Pap. No. 37.
- 7 Day, M.W. 1944. The root system of aspen. *Amer. Midl. Natur.* 32:502-509
- 8 Einspahr, D.W. 1972. Wood and fibre production from short rotation stands.
9 In *Aspen: symposium proceedings*. U.S.D.A. Forest Serv., Gen. Tech.
10 Rep. NC-1.
- 11 Gifford, G.F. 1966. Aspen root studies on three sites in northern Utah.
12 *Amer. Midl. Natur.* 75:132-141.
- 13 Henry, J.E. 1972. Forestry development over the next two decades.
14 *New Zealand J. Forest.* 17:133-136.
- 15 Hughes, Jay M. and J. Douglas Brodie. 1972. Selected economic aspects
16 of management. In *Aspen: symposium proceedings*. U.S.D.A. Forest
17 Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. NC-1.
- 18 Maini, J.S. and J.H. Cayford. 1968 (editors). Growth and utilization of
19 poplars in Canada. Canada, Dep. Forest and Rural Dev., Pub. 1205.
- 20 McAlpine, R.C., C.L. Brown, W.M. Herrick, and H.E. Ruark. 1966. "Silage"
21 sycamore. *Forest Farmer* 26:6-7, 16.
- 22 Plonski, W.L. 1960. Normal yield tables. Ontario Dep. Lands and Forest.
23 *Silv. Series, Bull. No. 2.*
- 24 Schreiner, Ernst J. 1970. Mini-rotation forestry. U.S.D.A. Forest Serv.,
25 Res. Pap. NE-174.
- 26 Tew, Ronald K. Norbert V. DeByle, and John D. Schultz. 1969. Intraclonal
27 root connections among quaking aspen trees. *Ecol.* 50:920-21.
- 28 Young, Harold E. 1968. Quantum increases in fibre productions. *Amer.*
29 *Soc. of Agric. Eng., Forest Eng. Conf. Proc.* 102-103.
- 30 Zahner, Robert and Norbert V. DeByle. 1965. Effect of pruning the parent
31 root on growth of aspen suckers. *Ecol.* 46:373-375.