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Abstract: The American quaking aspen (Populus tremuloides Michx.) and its close relative, the Eurasian quaking aspen (Populus tremula L.), cover a realm that is perhaps the most expansive of all tree species in the world. In North America, sudden aspen decline (SAD) is a growing concern that marks the rapid decline of quaking aspen trees leading to mortality at the stand and landscape scale. Research suggests that drought and water stress are the primary causes of SAD. Predisposing factors (age, structure, and landscape position), as well as associated stressors (i.e., pests and pathogens), have been linked to mortality in affected stands. The conflation of multiple interacting factors across the aspen’s broad geographic range in North America has produced significant debate over the classification of SAD as a disease and the proper management of affected stands. Interestingly, no such effects have been reported for the Eurasian aspen. We here review and synthesize the growing body of literature for North America and suggest that SAD is a novel decline disease resulting from multiple inciting and interacting factors related to climate, land-use history, and successional dynamics. We suggest that the range of aspen observed at the onset of the 21st Century was bolstered by a wet period in western North America that coincided with widespread regional cutting and clearing of late-successional forests for timber and grazing. No comparable land-use history, successional status, or age-class structure is apparent or linked for Eurasian forests. Eurasian aspen is either absent or young in managed forests, or old and decadent in parks in Fenno-Scandinavia, or it grows more intimately with a more diverse mixture of tree species that have arisen from a longer period of frequent timber cutting in Russia. Based on these insights we provide recommendations for practical management techniques that can promote stand resilience and recovery across a range of stand conditions in North America. Managers should attempt to identify SAD-prone stands using the presence of predisposing conditions and focus treatments such as coppice or prescribed fire on stands with suitable topographies, elevations, and climates. We conclude that SAD will persist throughout the coming decades, given the enormity of past cutting history, fire exclusion, and current changes in climate until a more active restoration agenda is implemented.
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1. Introduction

Quaking aspen (Populus tremuloides Michx., hereinafter aspen) is the most widely distributed tree species in North America [1]. Its close relative, the Eurasian aspen (Populus tremula L.), has a similar distribution throughout all of northern and central Eurasia [2]. Taken together they create their own
unique monodominant forests and can be considered the most widespread forest type in the world. In a changing climate, it is therefore of critical importance to understand their response to current and future stresses.

Aspen forests support high understory vegetation diversity [3], provide unique wildlife habitat [4], serve as efficient carbon sinks [5], and have aesthetic value, especially during the fall when the leaves change to golden-yellow [6]. Aspen stands throughout the American West and Eurasia are seral and fundamentally short-lived in nature (typically < 140 years) [5]. Concerns about stand dieback and regeneration have been raised throughout western North America for several decades [7]. However, over the last fifteen years, forest managers in North America have increasingly observed the rapid decline of otherwise healthy aspen stands throughout large parts of its expansive range [8,9]. Interestingly, no such decline has been reported in Eurasia, where forests are much more intensively managed [10].

This increasingly common phenomenon of rapid landscape-scale mortality was first noted in a study [11] and then comprehensively reviewed [7]. With further evidence of widespread aspen dieback throughout its range, this phenomenon has now been termed sudden aspen decline (SAD) [8,9]. Since defining the disease, multiple studies have characterized SAD’s etiology [12], physiology [13–19], predisposing factors [20,21], secondary pathogens [22], and restoration silviculture [23]. These advancements have been used in conjunction with climate predictions to model SAD’s future impact [9,24].

The existing literature suggests that SAD is best described as a novel decline disease, but like other forest processes, it reflects a variety of factors (i.e., autecology, climate, and land-use) and manifests in complex ways on the landscape as a result [12]. The objective of our paper is to clarify the presence and cause of SAD in North America by synthesizing pre-existing research on the topic, to make management recommendations, and suggest why this appears not to be of importance in Eurasia. This topic has implications for continental-scale landscape changes to forest cover that will continue to rapidly change North American forests in the coming decades. Our review has utility for understanding and examining other decline diseases and forest type changes. We structure our review first with an explanation of SAD, then discuss the main predisposing and contributing factors of SAD, assess future conditions through remote sensing and models, and finally make management recommendations.

2. Explaining Normal Patterns of Aspen Mortality versus SAD

SAD is distinguished from normal age-related decline primarily by how quickly it affects stands. Affected stands exhibit total stand-level collapse and mortality within a short period of time, typically two-to-five years. For instance, Worrall et al. [8] attributed a five-fold increase in aspen mortality over a three-to-four-year period in Southwestern Colorado to SAD. Other authors also describe “rapid” (i.e., 5-year) declines as much faster than the age-related mortality recognized as the successional progression of aspen stand development. SAD can be identified with a much larger suite of exogenous mortality agents primarily caused by drought with secondary interactions from insects and pathogens, and its rapid mortality from these interacting factors [7,25]. Because many aspen stands in western North America are between 60 and 100 years old, the recent increase in the successional decline of aspen and SAD are sometimes conflated to stand age and forest succession but their differences in the rate of aspen mortality and circumstance are actually different (Figure 1; [21]).
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Figure 1. Possible successional trajectories of aspen stands in the Rocky Mountains. Aspen develops as even-aged stands following a large-scale initiating disturbance. Following stem exclusion understory re-initiation will produce suppressed suckers and an herbaceous ground story [26]. In fertile sites where aspen can self-perpetuate, or in the presence of some intense disturbance, such as fire, stands return to initiation. In the absence of fire, conifer encroachment can convert the stand, either permanently (self-perpetuating conifer stand in the bottom right corner—usually facilitated by conditions suited to SAD), or temporarily, returning to seral aspen following another stand-replacing fire. Conifer species can vary across the range of aspen, including lodgepole and juniper in drier sites, and spruce and fir at higher elevations.

Frey et al. [7] discussed the general patterns of age-related decline as compared to rapid stand dieback. In cases of age-related stand decline associated with forest succession, the mortality of individual aspen stems, and the clonal stand as a whole occurs over the course of decades as the aspen gradually break up and are replaced by later successional species. Rapid dieback, in contrast, is characterized by the sudden progressive death of branches in the upper crown and descends downward, resulting in rapid loss of leaf area, reduced vigor, and, unless the inciting event is short-term, widespread mortality within a stand [7]. However, the age of aspen stands varies regionally and is largely driven by the natural fire return interval, averaging 60 years in the Great Lakes, and about 80 years in Northeast Ontario across to Saskatchewan [7]. In the Rocky Mountains, fire return intervals depend upon elevation, aspect, and soil, and can range up to 100 years on the more fire-prone areas and up to several hundred years or more at higher, cooler, and moister elevations [7].

SAD thus represents a rapid acceleration of the autogenic process consistent with a decline disease paradigm. The decline disease paradigm, introduced by Manion [27], posits that multiple interacting factors contribute to forest decline, and these factors may be characterized by their role as inciting, contributing or predisposing factors. Frey et al. [7] first applied this framework in aspen to assess factors involved in rapid dieback of stands in western North America. Subsequent work by Worrall et al. [8,12], and Anderegg et al. [13] extended these assessments, such that a clearer picture of factors driving this process is now evident. SAD is largely understood to be driven by a two-step process: first, acute drought, often accompanied by high temperatures during the growing season, operates as the inciting factor, with secondary factors, such as successional status (older stands), topography (southern aspects), and low site fertility, either singly or in combination, predisposing a stand to decline. Second, contributing factors (usually insects or other pathogens) infiltrate the weakened stand and cause rapid mortality [22,27] (Table 1). Although inciting and secondary factors affect stands in complex ways that vary from stand-to-stand and place-to-place, the result is the same: the rapid and pervasive decline and eventual death of aspen trees across a landscape.
Table 1. We list the various predisposing, and contributing factors of SAD, which synergize to transform a healthy aspen stand into sudden decline and dieback. These parameters are derived primarily from Frey et al., [7]; but modified by more recent information from work by Worrall et al., [12], Anderegg et al., [13], and Morelli and Carr [5], with supporting information from Petty [28].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of a Healthy Aspen Stand</th>
<th>Predisposing Factors</th>
<th>Inciting Factor</th>
<th>Contributing Factors</th>
<th>Characteristics of Affected Stands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closed canopy</td>
<td>Aspect (South or Southwest)</td>
<td>Climate-induced drought</td>
<td>Bronze poplar borer (<em>Agrilus liragus</em> Barter and Brown 1949)</td>
<td>Rapid die-off of previously healthy stands in 2–5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigorous suckering</td>
<td>Lower elevation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bark beetles (<em>Trypophloeus populi</em>, Hopkins 1915; <em>Procrvphalus mucronata</em>, LeConte, 1879)</td>
<td>Decreased photosynthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid growth</td>
<td>Physiological maturity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Forest tent moth caterpillar (<em>Malacosoma disstria</em> Hübner, 1820)</td>
<td>Increased tree carbohydrate concentrations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine root mass growth</td>
<td>Low site index</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cytospora canker (<em>Cytospora chrysosperma</em>, (Pers.:Fr) Fr)</td>
<td>Decline in sap flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed-age stand</td>
<td>High stand density</td>
<td></td>
<td>Armillaria root rot (<em>Armillaria spp.</em>)</td>
<td>Decline in sap flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Values vary regionally)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Root biomass decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loss of hydraulic conductance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Earlier leaf-shedding in autumn</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Predisposing Factors to Sudden Aspen Decline

3.1. Succession, Forest Structure, and Conifer Competition

Stand-level factors resulting from land-use history and successional status strongly control the extent of aspen mortality during drought [21]. Across the Intermountain West, young stands with a relatively high stem density are at increased risk of mortality following low annual precipitation [21,25]. Aspen mortality, therefore, increases in stands with dense, monodominant even-aged structures characteristic of stem exclusion and/or growth stagnation on drought-sensitive sites. Even-aged, monodominant stands associated with moister sites can exhibit greater vertical structure and heterogeneity and experience less mortality (Figure 1) [21].

Other studies show that understory shrub and conifer recruitment beneath aspen canopies are driven by a combination of (1) an absence of periodic lethal disturbance, and (2) the presence of supra-annual hotter and drier climatic periods. Both in combination promote the rapid transition of quaking aspen stands to more shade and drought-tolerant conifer-dominated stands [5,29–31]. Conifers and shrub species limit water resources to the aspen overstory promoting its death and inhibit the establishment of the more shade-intolerant aspen regeneration thereby causing its local extermination [5,29,32] (Figure 1). In the absence of lethal disturbance, aspen may therefore be replaced by slower-growing, more shade-tolerant conifer species, such as white spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss) [33,34], subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa* (Hooker) Nuttall), and Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii* Parry x Engelm.) [35–37] (Figure 1). Fire suppression has decreased the frequency of stand-replacing disturbances that favor aspen establishment [38–40]. During drought, moisture competition from an encroaching understory of shrubs, and conifers renders the aspen canopy especially susceptible to SAD-induced mortality [41–43]. Interestingly, one contradictory study by Worrall et al. [12] found no significant relationship between conifer density and overstory aspen crown loss. But we suggest that this is perhaps related to other differences in the site, soil, and climatic range (see the section on edaphic and topographic conditions below).

3.2. Edaphic and Topographic Conditions

Faster-growing, shorter-lived species with high water demand, such as aspen are more vulnerable to mortality during drought events [20,44]. Aspen trees depend on shallow sub-surface water conditions in the soil and show little plasticity in water use; xylem water content in the stem is usually similar to that at the top 5–10 cm of soil moisture [18]. Predisposition to near-surface soil conditions makes aspen particularly vulnerable to soil water loss due to surface evaporation. Areas that are droughty and of low site productivity are, therefore, particularly susceptible to SAD-induced mortality.

The 2002 Colorado Plateau drought combined with poor snowpack and high temperatures in the spring created some of the harshest moisture stress in a century, and the sudden decline of aspen clones occurred in the wake of this drought [18]. SAD mortality was abnormally high in some bottom slopes and flat benches, where topography would not typically cause high moisture stress but low soil moisture associated with shallow soils overlying bedrock was identified as the cause of mortality [18].

In Grand Mesa, Colorado, the high moisture-stressed conditions across a soil gradient helped explain high aspen mortality rates at otherwise suitable elevations [8]. The basaltic soils (a remnant of prehistoric volcanic flows) extant on Grand Mesa form a landslide bench of particularly coarse soils that are excessively well-drained and prone to drought, and therefore, unsuitable for stable, long-term aspen stands. Similar patterns of mortality occurred in Cococino National Forest, northern Arizona, where nearly 95% of living aspen stems died on low elevation xeric sites, as opposed to 61% of living stems at higher elevation mesic sites [45]. SAD-related mortality is, therefore, highest on soils that are moisture-limited, and on desiccation-prone hotter topographies at the xeric margins of aspen’s range, on southern and southwestern aspects, and lower elevations [8,9,12].
4. Contributing Factors to Sudden Aspen Decline

4.1. Primary and Secondary Insects

Secondary fungal pathogens and insects are pervasive SAD mortality agents (Table 2; [9,46,47]). Primary defoliating insects (insects that attack healthy trees, in this case, pre-drought), such as forest tent caterpillar (*Malacosoma disstria* Hübner, hereinafter FTC) can coexist and interact with SAD. For example, in the Great Lakes region, the boreal woodlands of Saskatchewan, Manitoba, and Alberta, northeastern Ontario, and in the Gila Mountains of Arizona, aspen is subject to massive outbreaks of FTC that greatly decrease live crown area [48–51]. These areas experienced extreme drought prior to the FTC outbreak that caused larger aspen dieback than attributable solely to the primary defoliators. In areas where primary defoliators were absent (e.g., southwest Colorado, aspen parkland), drought alone accounted for aspen mortality [9,52].

Table 2. Key studies that studied SAD. We examine their methods, key findings—which range from characterizing the disease to implementing management strategies—and the language used to discuss aspen die-off.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
<th>Terminology for SAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frey et al.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td>Insect defoliation, drought, and thaw–freeze events appear to be the most likely factors initiating dieback in mature aspen stands. Predisposing factors include stand maturation, low density, southern aspects, and low elevations. A major inciting factor was the recent, acute drought accompanied by high temperatures. Sites with poor regeneration and weak root systems may exhibit clonal death and long-term aspen forest cover loss.</td>
<td>Sudden dieback of mature plants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worrall et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Aerial surveys, Geospatial Analysis, and field observation.</td>
<td>Extensive drought with little regeneration after overstory loss incited rapid dieback of aspen in Southwest Colorado. Predisposing environmental and insect and/or pathogenogenic damage also contributed.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worrall et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Used geographic information from the 2006 aerial survey on aspen damage, together with the aspen cover type. Regression analyses and a topographic analysis using zonal statistics were performed to determine climatic factors and landscape positions that correlated to aspen decline prevalence.</td>
<td>The most significant predictor of aspen decline was elevation, which was significantly greater in the live aspen for three of the five years. Drought weakens aspen, making it susceptible to future decline.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Regression analyses and a topographic analysis using zonal statistics were performed to determine climatic factors and landscape positions that correlated to aspen decline prevalence.</td>
<td>To test the role of climate as an inciting factor for SAD, a landscape-scale climate model was used to compare the moisture status of declining and healthy aspen at the height of the warm drought in 2002.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worrall et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Used geographic information from the 2006 aerial survey on aspen damage, together with the aspen cover type. Regression analyses and a topographic analysis using zonal statistics were performed to determine climatic factors and landscape positions that correlated to aspen decline prevalence.</td>
<td>Overstory age and diameter were not related to SAD severity. The severity of SAD was inversely and weakly related to the basal area, stem slenderness, and site index, and positively related to upper slope positions.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderegg</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Compared potted and naturally occurring aspen to conduct a water deprivation experiment.</td>
<td>Compared insects and diseases in 162 damaged and neighboring healthy plots to determine contributing factors and their ecological roles. Used plot-based, meteorological, and remote sensing measures to examine aspen die-off following an exceptionally severe drought.</td>
<td>Wide-spread aspen die-off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marchetti et al.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Compared potted and naturally occurring aspen to conduct a water deprivation experiment.</td>
<td>Compared potted and naturally occurring aspen to conduct a water deprivation experiment.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michaelian et al.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Spatial variation in the percentage of dead biomass showed a moderately strong correlation with drought severity.</td>
<td>Spatial variation in the percentage of dead biomass showed a moderately strong correlation with drought severity.</td>
<td>aspen mortality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author &amp; Year</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
<th>Terminology for SAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morelli and Carr 2011 [5]</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
<td>Complex, unpredictable future for aspen in the West, where increased drought, ozone, and insect outbreaks will vie with carbon dioxide fertilization and warmer soils, resulting in unknown cumulative effects.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanna and Kulakowski 2012 [44]</td>
<td>Tested the influence of climatic variability on aspen growth and mortality in northwestern Colorado and southern Wyoming using dendroecological methods. Combined field measures, remote sensing and a digital elevation model in SAD affected areas in southwest Colorado. Collected data from a random sample of 48 aspen sites to determine the relationship of predisposing site and stand factors and contributing agents to tree mortality.</td>
<td>Aspen growth was inhibited by warm temperatures, except at the highest elevations. Mortality frequency was associated with multiple years of drought.</td>
<td>aspen dieback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang and Anderegg 2012 [56]</td>
<td>SAD clustered on south-facing slopes due to relatively drier and warmer conditions, but no apparent spatial gradient was found for elevation and slope.</td>
<td>aspen dieback, aspen decline, aspen mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zegler et al. 2012 [20]</td>
<td>Relative conifer basal area and density, the incidence of canker disease and wood-boring insects, and slope were significantly associated with regeneration mortality.</td>
<td>aspen dieback, aspen mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderegg et al. 2013 [18]</td>
<td>Drew upon multiple sources of climate data to characterize the drought that triggered aspen mortality.</td>
<td>Widespread aspen forest mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderegg et al. 2013 [17]</td>
<td>Tested whether accumulated hydraulic damage can predict the probability of tree survival over 2 years.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulakowski et al. 2013 [24]</td>
<td>Relative conifer basal area and density, the incidence of canker disease and wood-boring insects, and slope were significantly associated with regeneration mortality.</td>
<td>aspen dieback, aspen mortality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worrall et al. 2013 [9]</td>
<td>Range-wide bioclimate model characterizing climatic controls of aspen distribution. Monitored quaking aspen trees over two growing seasons, including a severe summer drought.</td>
<td>Researchers expect a substantial loss of suitable habitat within the current distribution. SAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderegg et al. 2014 [19]</td>
<td>Examined the relation of mortality index to forest structure and climate in the Rocky Mountains and intermountain west.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell et al. 2014 [21]</td>
<td>Drought mortality may be influenced by stand development, inter-species competition, and vulnerabilities of large trees to drought.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland et al. 2014 [20]</td>
<td>Tree-ring investigation of growth patterns and mortality</td>
<td>The initial growth rate was not associated with a longer lifespan. Younger trees with lower recent growth and more abrupt growth have an increased risk of mortality.</td>
<td>SAD, widespread dieback of aspen forests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bell et al. 2015 [57]</td>
<td>Modeled disease prevalence in live aspen stems and survival rates near the species’ southern range limit.</td>
<td>Mortality depends on tree size, allometry, competition, summer temperature, summer precipitation.</td>
<td>Mortality of diseased trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dudley et al. 2015a [46]</td>
<td>Surveyed aspen stands with various mortality levels in CO and WY</td>
<td>Cankers, bark beetles, and wood borers were the most common damage agents.</td>
<td>SAD, aspen mortality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
<th>Terminology for SAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dudley et al.</td>
<td>2015b</td>
<td>Analyzed a series of increment cores</td>
<td>Found a relationship between tree growth and annual precipitation but not summer precipitation.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krasnow and Stephens</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>[58] Compared regeneration dynamics of pre-fire stand composition to post-fire aspen regeneration.</td>
<td>Greater disturbance severity increased sprout density. Live conifer and/or dead aspen basal area prior to fire disturbance reduced sprout density.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepperd et al.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>[23] Clearfelled half of stands to compare with uncut half.</td>
<td>It is possible to successfully regenerate SAD-affected stands, provided that treatment occurs before the majority of the aspen are dead.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worrall et al.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>[59] Diseased aspen stands were paired with a neighboring healthy aspen plot.</td>
<td>Diseased plots had much more recent damage than healthy plots.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blodgett et al.</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[60] Tagged mature trees and sapling density.</td>
<td>No significant tree mortality events have occurred.</td>
<td>SAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice et al.</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[61] Literature review</td>
<td>A dynamic spatial and temporal response to climate change is expected.</td>
<td>Sudden aspen mortality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Primary and Secondary Pathogens

Secondary fungal pathogen and insect attacks have been present in every documented episode of SAD [9]. Pathogens and insects frequently occur in concert (with multiple present on drought-stressed trees) and often differ from those that typically cause a long-term decline in healthy aspen stands [8,22]. Generally, these pathogens attack the vascular system (fungal blights and cankers—*Hypoxylon* spp., *Cryptosphaeria* spp.) and cause further crown loss [22,62]. Certain insects, such as bronze poplar borer (*Agrilus liragus*), can only invade stands when they reach a critical population threshold, and then once SAD affects trees, the invasion of other fungal pathogens (leaf diseases—*Melampsoria* spp., *Venturia* spp.) and insects is likely to occur (including in adjacent healthy trees) [22]. The presence of such pathogens, either prior to, during, or after extreme drought conditions, exacerbate typical SAD symptoms and induce mortality [9,22,63].

5. Physiology of SAD

5.1. Proneness to Cavitation and Drought

Landscape-level SAD events have provided a unique opportunity to study the physiological mechanisms of drought-induced tree decline. Research has now investigated the physiological mechanisms of SAD, which can be characterized as a systems-level cascade of failures brought on by initial drought stress [7,19]. Aspen trees rely heavily on current and recent xylem growth for water transport and continued growth [59]; the accumulation of hydraulic damage and reduced growth over multiple drought seasons limits their ability to tolerate secondary pathogens [13–17,19]. Even after a season of normal to above-normal precipitation, SAD-affected trees exhibited a five-fold decrease in hydraulic conductance and lowered levels of gas exchange than healthy trees following major drought events [19]. This type of hydraulic damage may not lead to complete mortality of SAD-affected stands during or immediately after the drought, but losses can be substantial. For example, SAD stricken forests in southwestern Colorado lost approximately 28% of their basal area after the drought in the early 2000s [64].
5.2. Photosynthate Allocation and Carbon Storage

Carbon starvation frequently occurs in drought-stricken trees as an indirect consequence of hydraulic damage to the vascular system. After hydraulic damage, non-structural carbohydrates are allocated from long-term storage to higher priority tasks, particularly maintaining respiration and to compensate for the decline in photosynthesis from reduced water uptake. Because root systems are a more distal sink, root carbon stores are not replenished [65] and root biomass growth decreases—while carbohydrate concentration in stems increases significantly as carbohydrates are mobilized for other higher priority, particularly maintenance respiration [14,15]. The eventual loss of fine root biomass because of carbohydrate starvation is a major consequence of, and a key factor leading to, canopy decline and tree mortality [13–16,23]. Nonetheless, baseline data of pre-SAD fine root biomass has not been documented, precluding any comparison of SAD effects upon the fine root system. This is an important area of future study. The loss of stored carbohydrates makes trees susceptible to future chronic stressors because no further reserves can allow for their growth and survival [16]. Aspen trees under carbon-starved conditions exhibit decreased chemical defense capacities in the presence of primary defoliators [66], and primary defoliators and SAD-mortality, therefore, can typically co-occur [7].

6. Landscape Level Change through Models and Remote Sensing

6.1. Climate Models and Changes in Aspen Range

The recent rapid decline of aspen has economic, aesthetic, and biodiversity consequences [24]. As a result, projecting the range and distribution of aspen over the coming decades is of significant interest. Climate change is widely predicted to alter the geographic distribution of aspen forests in North America and across Eurasia, as aspen is largely limited in its range by water availability [7,9,31,67]. General Circulation Models (GCMs) have been used to model the aspen’s future distribution in response to climate change. Research predicts a strong and heterogeneous decline in aspen’s range over the coming decades [31]. However, global climate models used to infer micro-site predictions associated with SAD are uncertain [8,68]. Projections indicate that in drier low-elevation sites and higher elevation sites where conifers and shrubs outcompete aspen, increased drought and heat stress will make these locations uninhabitable for aspen [5,8,68,69].

At the same time, aspen is likely to expand into new areas (e.g., higher elevation or northerly aspect sites) as these sites become more suitable for aspen establishment [70]. Fires, bark beetles, and other lethal disturbances in conifer forests will also promote the temporary successional colonization of these newly suitable sites by aspen [24,31]. For example, in the aspen parkland of Alberta, aspen has actually expanded southward in latitude due in part to fire suppression, cropland irrigation, and the elimination of bison [30]. Upslope shifts of aspen forests are already occurring and have been attributed to climate shifts and colonization following fires [32,56]. Nonetheless, many climate models, including IPCC AR-5 projections, conclude that SAD will significantly reduce the footprint of aspen across much of its current range [9,31]. In these scenarios, precipitation events in the intermountain West and boreal region of North America will become less frequent and more intense, while summer temperatures will increase; SAD and other forms of decline will continue to impact aspen across the continent [8,68].

6.2. Detecting Changes in SAD through Remote Sensing

Remotely sensed data supports empirical studies by demonstrating that regional SAD episodes follow prolonged regional drought [9,55]. Paired remotely-sensed data and field measurements across 11.5 million acres of southern boreal parkland forest of western Canada produced strong correlations between biomass loss and drought with estimates that up to 20% of total aboveground biomass died following a SAD event during 2001–2002 [55]. Remotely sensed data showed that aspen trees had the
lowest survival on south-facing aspects [55] and at lower elevations in southern Utah where decadent and dying stands were usually monodominant and open relative to healthy stands [54].

Worrall et al. [9] incorporated remote sensing data and IPCC AR-4 projections into a bioclimatic model to determine that exceptional drought often preceded SAD events, which occurred at the species’ margins of habitat suitability. Their study concluded that regeneration potential in most aspen stands has declined significantly.

7. Management Implications: Strategies for Mitigating SAD and Restoring Aspen Forests

Most past studies of SAD have focused on observational studies that rely on detecting large landscape-scale disturbance as the primary mechanism to assess stand dynamics of aspen [71–74]. More recently, studies have begun to consider silvicultural options for mitigating SAD impacts, including the application of coppice systems, true clearcuts, prescribed fire treatments, and other preventative site treatments. Researchers have also considered the exclusion of large browsing mammals, which threaten aspen regeneration, by using fences and other natural barriers such as logging debris and natural landscape barriers [75].

Aspen’s current range may reflect land-use patterns and climate regimes that no longer exist across the Intermountain West of North America. For example, intensive logging, large prescribed fires, and sheep (Ovis aris L.) grazing in the early part of the twentieth century all led to favorable conditions after these disturbances stopped for aspen establishment and growth as even-aged monodominant stands. But fire suppression and over-browsing by elk (Cervus canadensis Erxleben) led to a 40%–60% aerial decline in its extent by the late 1990s [76–78]. Aspen is therefore unstable across much of its current range in the Rocky Mountains, especially at the margins of its southern range, at a relatively lower elevation, and on southern aspects with more xeric hotter sites [8,24,31]. Aspen stands are most likely to be maintained on mesic sites, at mid-elevation, and on northern aspects.

From our review of the literature on SAD, we conclude this is very much a North American phenomenon. We found an absence of reported studies on SAD or SAD-like symptoms in the Eurasian literature. We believe this is partly due to the fact that both Canada and the United States have research communities and monitoring programs that are better funded than those in countries like Russia and the Baltics (Lithuania, Latvia, Estonia); and when research is reported there it is in a language and publication form (grey literature from government research institutions) that is often inaccessible to the larger global research community. We were not able to access this information though it might well exist. However, Scandinavia and Finland have very strong research communities and monitoring programs, but no SAD has been reported on any widespread scale [79,80]. This is perhaps largely because of the more intensive forest management practices in these countries where extensive aspen stands do not exist outside of protected areas, and within protected areas, they are often old and decadent [10]. As such, there is a call for forest restoration that includes a large component of deciduous trees, including aspen, particularly in intensely managed forests of the region [81].

There are climatic differences between Eurasia and North America, particularly throughout the aspen’s range in the Rocky Mountains. There is no such equivalent mountain range in Eurasia other than the much older and lower elevation Urals. The Rocky Mountains provide an avenue for aspens much more fragmented southern extension in latitude into a more strongly arid and seasonal climate—well below its more traditional boreal range; but as noted above it is restricted to the moist, cool high elevation areas that comprise large snowpacks. This is contrary to the North American aspen’s range throughout boreal Canada, which we believe to be similar in climate to the boreal of Eurasia. SAD is prevalent in the Canadian boreal as well where aspen can dominate in extensive monodominant stands potentially regulated by fire [7].

There are interesting observational anomalies. Like, Scandinavia and Finland, the Maritime provinces of Canada, northern and northeastern U.S. and the coastal Pacific Northwest and Alaska appear unaffected by SAD. We conclude, again that this is perhaps a combination of changes in climate (moist year-round), disturbance regime (absence of extensive periodic fires), land-use history
(extensive timbering and/or grazing) and richer competing assemblages of other tree species (northern hardwoods), that make SAD inconsequential. We suggest more observation and study is necessary to understand these differences. We suspect that if SAD is to be found in Eurasia, it is likely in the least populous and underreported region of northern-central Eurasia where the climate, disturbance type (fire), and land-use history (heavy extensive cutting), is similar to the northern interior of North America; as well as in a more fragmented and site-sensitive way to the Rocky Mountains.

7.1. Coppice Systems

Clear felling and its impact on aspen regeneration have evolved over time, especially in the recent past with respect to SAD. Foresters historically relied on coppice cuttings, which have light-to-moderate impacts on soil and herbaceous groundstory. This facilitates aspen vegetative regeneration by triggering the formation and release of dormant and adventitious root buds by increasing soil temperature, nutrient and light availability, and decreasing competition [82–85]. Coppice cutting in stands experiencing low to moderate mortality in the initial stages of SAD can promote natural sprout origin regeneration, mitigate the loss of root carbohydrate reserves, and limit hydraulic stress following intense drought [12,17,18,23,83]. Understory trees and areas subject to recent coppice do not exhibit the rapid mortality seen in the overstory of uncut aspen dominant stands. This corresponds to the autecology of vegetative regeneration in aspen: small-sized clonally regenerating trees are better supported by the large residual root systems than adult trees. Treating stands that have already experienced severe decline is less effective; hydraulic root failure and impeded sucker growth were observed in such stands following treatments [12,23]. Implementing this strategy effectively can be challenging because it requires assessing stand health and timing a cut. Researchers have developed an index that may lessen this challenge. The index classifies stands as low-moderate-high in SAD condition based on the percentage of basal area mortality, crown loss, or a combination thereof [5,12,23].

Encouraging a wider range of tree size classes within an aspen stand may also promote resiliency [12]. This approach would require intensive variable density and free-form thinning and the conversion to multiple age-classes though patch and group coppice selection systems—with openings large enough to allow direct sunlight and to encourage sprouting [86]. This can be done in conjunction with groundstory prescribed burning that limits conifer encroachment and decreases the likelihood of a stand to experience SAD or successional decline [86,87].

7.2. Prescribed Fire

The reintroduction of fire may be a useful SAD management tool, although managers should carefully consider stand structure before burning [23,88]. Aspen stands can be classified into five distinct types on the basis of mean fire severity and annual fire probability: (1) fire-independent, stable aspen; (2) fire-influenced, stable aspen; (3) fire-dependent, seral, conifer-aspen; (4) fire-dependent, seral, montane conifer-aspen; and (5) fire-dependent, seral, subalpine, conifer-aspen (81). These fire regimes, as well as other stand factors (structural composition, presence of invasive plants, and desired management goals), can influence forest management decisions in regards to timing and nature of the regeneration harvest, site preparation treatments, and type of thinning that can be done [23,88].

Aspen regenerates vigorously following fire. Smith et al. [56] found that recruitment was strong following a severe fire, despite high herbivory density. They recommend prescribed fire wherever landscape-scale aspen maintenance is desired and either (1) the percentage of overstory conifer stems exceeds 80% or (2) overstory aspen density is less than 200 overstory stems per hectare. Conversely, in stands experiencing conifer encroachment, lower rates of aspen regeneration may occur post-fire, though this response could be attributable to site conditions and the presence of serotinous conifer seeds in the seed bank [58].

Fire regimes will certainly change under the influence of climate change, and the interaction with aspen’s (and SAD’s) shifting range. This creates uncertainty in stand dynamics where aspen currently dominates [56,89–91]. Yang et al. [90] found that an increase in mean annual temperature by 2 °C–5 °C
shifts the upper elevation limit of aspen trees following fires in conifer forest, suggesting selective use of fire may be appropriate to encourage the establishment of aspen on suitable sites at higher elevations (e.g., by implementing a true clear cut and prescribed burn in overstocked conifer stands at higher elevations, with more mesic soils, and appropriate aspect). On these fire-treated sites, aspen may establish through wind-dispersed seed, if available, as opposed to the more prevalent clonal reproduction—potentially increasing the genetic diversity of aspen trees and leading to more resilient progeny [56,58,92].

Fire severity also plays a role in the extent of aspen regeneration. In at least one study, regeneration stem densities of aspen were between 60% and 135% greater in plots subjected to high severity burns versus either unburned or low-severity plots [28]. While prescribed fire increases stem density, it will cause significant stem mortality to the residual overstory, which should be expected given aspen’s susceptibility to fire damage because of its thin bark [89]. Care is sometimes needed to limit mortality and reduce stress following prescribed burns. Options to accomplish these goals include irrigation and protection from grazing and browse [89]. The limited number of studies conducted on this topic indicate that a moderate fire disturbance regime may prevent conifer encroachment and promote suckering in certain stands, with more severe fire disturbance potentially allowing for aspen seeds to colonize a site [25,89,92].

8. Conclusions

Sudden aspen decline is a novel decline disease complex induced by drought and exacerbated by a variety of factors—including stand structure, land-use history, secondary pathogen attack, topography, and inter- and intra-specific competition. The uncertain future of aspen in North America will be dependent upon the continuing prevalence of SAD. We expect instances of SAD to continue, and be largely incited by extreme drought events associated with climate change but that this will be dependent upon site, the condition and history of the stand, and landscape position. SAD will be most prevalent on drier and hotter sites and soils, with southern aspects, where stands, because of cutting history and fire exclusion, have created even-age aggregates of aspen that now compete with conifers. Such areas and circumstances are more prone to various pests and pathogens making them even more susceptible to SAD. We believe that focusing the promotion of aspen on colder, moister sites, with the reintroduction of periodic fires, and the creation of smaller even-aged stands across a landscape mosaic that comprises a more heterogeneous age class distribution can all build resilience to SAD. It is therefore important to be mindful of regional climate projections that predict more frequent droughts in the context of the land-use history that originally facilitated the recruitment and persistence of existing stands. While early management intervention might build more resilient stands on some sites, others may be best managed to promote other forest types.
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