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ABSTRACT

Phytoremediation of metal-contaminated soils requires an understanding of the interactions between metal-tolerant plant species and soil chemical properties controlling the bioavailability of metals. We conducted controlled laboratory studies to investigate the effects that river birch (Betula occidentalis Hook.) and smallwing sedge (Carex microptera Mack.) had on the fate and behavior of Pb in a contaminated soil (3000 mg Pb/kg) and tailings (13 000 mg Pb/kg) collected from an abandoned mining site in Utah. Significant Pb accumulation in aboveground tissue was observed in smallwing sedge (≈1 000 mg/kg dry wt.) in both the soil and tailings, but Pb was primarily excluded by birch (≈300 mg/kg dry wt.). Lead exclusion in birch resulted in elevated concentrations of Pb in the rooting zone in both the soil and tailings. In the soil, the exchangeable Pb concentration of the unplanted control was not significantly different than the birch rhizosphere but was higher than the birch bulk (nonrhizosphere) soil fraction. This suggested that plants using exclusionary mechanisms of metal resistance may promote soil Pb stabilization by sequestering normally mobile fractions of Pb in the rhizosphere. However, both birch and smallwing sedge increased the leachate Pb concentration by 2 mg/L and decreased the pH by one unit in the tailings compared with unplanted controls. Leachate Pb concentrations and pH were not significantly affected by plants in the soil. This indicated that the ability of metal-resistant plants to promote soil Pb stabilization is soil specific and depends on the level of Pb contamination and soil characteristics controlling the solubility and mobility of Pb.

Remediation of metal-contaminated soils using plants that accumulate heavy metals is currently being studied throughout the world (Wu et al., 1999; Cunningham et al., 1995; Schnoor et al., 1995). This remediation strategy, termed phytoremediation, may provide a more economical and aesthetically pleasing alternative to conventional remediation options. The phytoremediation of Pb-contaminated soils is particularly challenging due to the low mobility and generally low bioavailability of this widespread contaminant in soils (Kumar et al., 1995; Huang and Cunningham, 1996). In addition, few species worldwide have been found that accumulate high levels of Pb (≈1 000 mg/kg dry wt.) in aboveground tissues (Baker and Brooks, 1989).

Most plants are highly sensitive to heavy metals and cannot endure even low concentrations in soils (Larcher, 1980), but environments with high concentrations of heavy metals can be colonized by plants that are metal resistant (Shaw, 1990). Metal resistance can be achieved through a variety of mechanisms that can be defined as either mechanisms of avoidance or tolerance. Levitt (1980) defines avoidance as the ability to prevent excessive metal uptake, and tolerance as the ability to cope with metals that are excessively accumulated in some part of the plant. Plants that use mechanisms of avoidance are often referred to as metal excluders, and metal tolerant plants as metal accumulators.

The initial emphasis in phytoremediation research has been on a small number of wild plants termed hyperaccumulators that are able to accumulate high concentrations of specific metals in aboveground tissues (Baker et al., 1988, 1994; Chaney et al., 1995). Many of these species are slow growing, produce low biomass, and have very specific growing requirements, making them less suitable for use in phytoremediation. Therefore, current efforts have emphasized using agricultural species, such as Brassica spp., Zea mays L., and Nicotiana spp., that could be grown efficiently following established agricultural practices (Wu et al., 1999; Huang and Cunningham, 1996; Kumar et al., 1995; Mench and Martin, 1991). This research is promising since a variety of species have been identified that can accumulate high concentrations of certain metals. Such species generally require significant maintenance in the form of irrigation, fertilization, and use of herbicides, insecticides, and other soil amendments. Thus the use of these species is restricted to arable lands and would not be applicable to more highly disturbed and remote areas such as abandoned mine sites.

Our efforts to develop phytoremediation have focused on identifying native plant species adapted to growing conditions in the U.S. Intermountain West that can accumulate high concentrations of Pb. Such species are not only adapted to the local environment, but also have demonstrated the ability to tolerate highly disturbed conditions typical of abandoned mine sites. Some of these plants may affect significantly the movement and fate of Pb in soil, promoting both soil decontamination and stabilization. The identification and characterization of metal resistance in these plants could prove valuable in developing phytoremediation strategies for mine sites and other areas of Pb contamination in the Intermountain West.

Lead accumulation in the aboveground plant tissues sampled from an abandoned mine site in Utah was studied by Klassen (1998). Mean Pb concentrations in young
woody stems of river birch, and in the shoots of a common sedge, smallwing sedge, were 887 and 485 mg/kg, respectively. This paper describes a controlled laboratory study examining aspects of Pb resistance and accumulation in the two identified species, birch and smallwing sedge. Use of the two species selected provides a good comparison of two plants that are very different in regard to growth, morphology, and life history.

The purpose of this study was to characterize how mechanisms of Pb resistance and plant–soil interactions affect Pb accumulation and soil Pb stabilization by the two plant species. The experiment was designed to: (i) evaluate plant uptake of Pb, survival, and biomass production in three soils that differ in metal content, pH, and nutrient content; (ii) determine the effects of plants on leachate solution pH and Pb content; and (iii) determine the effects of plants on soil Pb.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A completely randomized block design was implemented with three plant treatments and three soil treatments. Each treatment combination was replicated three times resulting in nine planted pots for each plant species, and nine unplanted pots for a total of 27 pots.

Measured variables included: (i) Pb concentrations and pH of leachates, (ii) measurements of water loss, (iii) end-of-study plant Pb concentrations, (iv) initial and end-of-study total Pb concentrations in bulk and rhizosphere soil, (v) initial and end-of-study exchangeable Pb concentrations in bulk and rhizosphere soil, (vi) final dry weight of plant tissues, and (vii) plant survival. Geochemical modeling (GEOCHEM-PC) and X-ray diffraction techniques were used to identify the primary mineral phases controlling Pb solubility in the contaminated soil and tailings (Parker et al., 1995).

**Plant and Soil Treatment Design**

Pots were 46 cm tall, constructed from 15.24-cm-i.d. (6 in) PVC pipe. A port was installed at the base of each pot to allow for adequate drainage and collection of leachate samples. Pots were maintained in a laboratory at 20°C under artificial lighting (16-h days, 1000-W high pressure sodium ballast) for a period of 4 mo.

Soil (unclassified) and tailings collected from the Pacific Mine were used for the two contaminated soil samples. The Pacific Mine is located in the American Fork Canyon Mining District, approximately 32 km north-northwest of Provo, UT. Kidman sandy loam (coarse-loamy, mixed, Meso Calcic Haploxeroll) was used as a control soil. The three soil samples are representative of the broad range of contaminant levels, soil pH, and fertility associated with abandoned mine sites (Table 1). Soils were air-dried and passed through a 5-mm screen to remove rocks and ensure uniformity. Soils were mixed thoroughly prior to addition to pots and were packed at uniform bulk densities, based on textural classes, of 1.45, 1.25, and 1.65 kg/L in the Kidman, site, and tailings treatments, respectively.

Three plant treatments were used: (i) birch seedlings, (ii) smallwing sedge seedlings, and (iii) unplanted as a control. Birch and smallwing sedge seedlings were germinated from seed collected at the Pacific Mine. Birch seedlings were grown indoors for a period of 9 mo prior to being transplanted into the soil treatments. Birch seedlings had an average height of 51 cm and basal diameter of 2 cm. Smallwing sedge seedlings were grown indoors for 3 mo prior to transplantation to soil treatments. Smallwing sedge seedlings had an average height of 19 cm.

Pots were initially watered in excess and allowed to drain for a period of 24 h. Pots were then weighed and the field capacity for each treatment determined by the mass of water retained by the pots. The average field capacity for each treatment was approximately 38, 40, and 31% (v/v) in the Kidman, site, and tailings treatments, respectively. Thereafter, pots were watered weekly. The volume of water added each week was determined by the change in weight of each pot between watering plus 10% to ensure drainage. The initial watering included the addition of 1 L of 0.013 M KNO₃ to each pot in order to alleviate transplant shock. This resulted in a fertilization rate equivalent to 100 kg/ha N.

**Sampling Methods**

Leachate samples were collected over a 24- to 48-h period following each watering event. The volumes of water added and water drained were recorded to account for water losses. Plants were harvested 4 mo after planting. Aboveground tissues of the birch were separated into the following fractions: leaves, small-diameter stems (<3 mm), and large-diameter stems (>3 mm). Senescent stems and leaves also were separated from each of the aboveground tissue fractions. Analysis results for these tissue fractions were combined to determine average aboveground values for the birch treatments. Aboveground tissues of the smallwing sedge were collected as a single sample. Plant roots were carefully removed from the soil and shaken to collect rhizosphere soil. Rhizosphere soil was defined as soil loosely associated with the roots as described by Marschner (1995). The rhizosphere sample collected constituted approximately 1 to 2% of the total mass of soil within a pot. The remaining soil fraction was classified as bulk soil. Roots and soils also were separated into the following three fractions based on depth: top (0–12.7 cm), middle (12.7–25.4 cm), and bottom (25.4–38.1 cm). Analysis results for samples separated by depth were combined to determine average values for each treatment.

**Methods of Analysis**

Following pH measurements, leachate samples were preserved with nitric acid (pH < 2) and stored at 4°C for metals analysis. Plant tissues were washed using 30-s washings in 0.3% sodium lauryl sulfate, 1 mM HCl, and deionized water. This washing procedure was determined to be effective at removing surface contamination based on the analysis of Ti.

---

**Table 1. Treatment of soil properties.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Kidman</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Tailings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Pb, mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>3 023</td>
<td>12 914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cd, mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt;2.0</td>
<td>&lt;2.0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Zn, mg/kg</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>2 028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cu, mg/kg</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Ni, mg/kg</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S, g/kg</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble S, mg/L</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay, g/kg</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt, g/kg</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon, g/kg</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC, cmol/kg</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃-N, mg/kg</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>&lt;5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available P, mg/kg</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available K, mg/kg</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
<td>loam</td>
<td>sandy loam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Cation exchange capacity.
and Cr of plant samples collected in the field (Klassen, 1998). Tissues were dried for 24 h at 103°C and weighed to determine a final dry biomass. We realized this drying temperature was higher than the recommended temperature of 80°C and may have resulted in up to a 10% underestimate of the actual biomass (Jones and Case, 1990). Although such a loss would increase the experimental error of the data, we feel it would not significantly affect the conclusions drawn. Dried tissues were finely ground and digested in nitric acid following standard procedures (Jones and Case, 1990). Soils were air-dried and sieved to 20 mesh to ensure uniformity. Samples for total metal analysis were digested in nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide following standard procedures (Method 3050; USEPA, 1992). Samples for exchangeable Pb analysis were extracted in 1 M CaCl₂ for a period of 1 h following a modified version of the procedure described by Tessier et al. (1979). Tessier’s procedure used 1 M MgCl₂.

The Pb content of all leachate samples was determined using inductively coupled argon plasma emission spectrometry (ICP) (PerkinElmer [Norwalk, CT] Model 6000). The Pb content of all plant and soil samples was analyzed using flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry (FAA) (PerkinElmer Model 5000). Leachate pH was determined using a pH electrode and soil pH was determined by the saturation paste method (Richards, 1954). Initial soil properties were analyzed by the Utah State University Soils Testing Laboratory for the following: total elemental analysis by nitric–perchloric digestion (Barnhisel and Bertsch, 1982), water soluble elements by saturated paste (Rhoades, 1982), cation exchange capacity by a 1 M ammonium acetate extraction (Richards, 1954), nitrate nitrogen by a 2 M potassium chloride extraction (Keeney and Nelson, 1982), available P and K by a sodium bicarbonate extraction (Olsen and Sommers, 1982), organic carbon content by the Walkley and Black method (Nelson and Sommers, 1982), and particle analysis by the hydrometer method (Gee and Bauder, 1986).

**Statistical Analysis**

Significance testing of time-dependent repeated-measures data was conducted with a SAS system using a Proc Mixed procedure for analysis of variance (SAS Institute, 1996). For simplicity, the repeated-measures analysis was limited to fixed effects between treatments since this provides the clearest comparisons. Time is factored out, and only the mean data values are presented. All end-of-study measurements were analyzed with StatView 4.01 using a general linear model for analysis of variance (Abacus Concepts, 1993). Since no obvious trends were apparent in a statistical analysis of samples separated by depth, soil and root data collected from the three depths within a pot were combined into a single average for the pot. Birch aboveground tissue data were combined into a single aboveground fraction in order to facilitate comparisons with smallwing sedge.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Soil Water**

The ability of plants to alter the pH of rhizosphere soils is well documented and can be attributed to the excretion or absorption of H⁺ or HCO₃⁻, CO₂ evolution by root respiration, the release of organic and amino acids (root exudates), and enhanced rhizosphere oxygenation (Dunbabin et al., 1988; Marschner and Romheld, 1996). As a result, rhizosphere and bulk soils may differ in pH by two or more units (Marschner and Romheld, 1996). Whether such differences can affect the pH of soil water leaching from planted systems has not been well documented.

The results of the leachate analysis show some significant differences between plant treatments in all three soils (Table 2). Although the differences in pH between plant treatments in the control and site soils were small (<0.5 pH units), prominent plant effects were seen in the tailings treatment, with a decrease in mean pH by approximately one unit in both birch and smallwing sedge. Differences in the buffering capacity of the soils (control and site) and tailings, and in the rates of root exudation, were probably responsible for the degree of pH changes seen (Marschner and Romheld, 1996). The greater buffering capacity of the soils is indicated by the higher CEC, organic matter content, and clay content than in the tailings (Table 1). Thus, the soils resisted changes in pH to a greater extent than the tailings.

Plants may have increased acid production in the tailings by promoting mechanisms that influence the rate of metal sulfide oxidation. Metal sulfides are commonly found in hard rock mining wastes (Amacher et al., 1993). Plants physically alter the soil environment by penetrating the soil profile with roots and actively removing water, thus promoting processes of oxidation (Anderson et al., 1993). Plants also may have promoted the growth and activity of soil microorganisms that catalyze the oxidation of metal sulfides. Studies on metal sulfide oxidation in the rhizosphere of metal-resistant plants are needed to better understand such plant–soil interactions.

As with pH, the Pb concentrations of leachates from the planted and unplanted treatments in the site soil were not significantly different (Table 2). However, in the tailings the Pb concentrations of leachates from planted treatments were significantly higher than from unplanted treatments (Table 2). The increased leachability of Pb observed in the planted tailings is consistent with several other findings documented in the literature on the effects of vegetation on heavy metal leachates (Banks et al., 1994a,b).

Root exudates may affect both metal solubility (i.e., via complexation) and soil pH. Ideally, we would have measured root exudation. However, the quantitative measurement of root exudates in soil is problematic due to the rapid microbial degradation of such compounds.
from root to shoot. In contrast, Pb translocation was not significantly different among soil treatments (Fig. 2a,b). Smallwing sedge shoot production was not inhibited in the site soil but was markedly reduced in the tailings (Fig. 2a,b). Smallwing sedge root production was not only reduced in the tailings but also to a lesser extent in the site soil. As a result, the root-to-shoot ratios remained relatively constant in the birch while the smallwing sedge root-to-shoot ratios declined in response to increasing soil treatment Pb concentrations. This suggested that birch were more resistant to Pb-contaminated soils than smallwing sedge.

Often metal accumulation data are presented only in terms of concentration in the plant and not in terms of the mass of metal removed from the soil. Since metal removal is a potential goal of phytoremediation, the data are presented also as mass of Pb extracted. Approximately 90% of the Pb accumulated by the birch was in the roots in both Pb treatments (Fig. 3a,b). Thus the birch was able to protect its photosynthetic processes from Pb toxicity by sequestering Pb in the roots and possibly excluding Pb at the soil–root interface. The potential advantage Pb exclusion affords to plant growth has previously been documented in a study on the Pb tolerance of legume species grown on Pb ore tailings (Sudhakar et al., 1992). In contrast, approximately 60% of the Pb extracted by the smallwing sedge was in the shoots in both the site soil and tailings. Significant Pb

Plants

Preliminary field studies indicated that these plants were unusually tolerant of such contaminated soils (Klassen, 1998). The end-of-study survival rate of 100% in all treatments demonstrated the unique ability of both plant species to grow in metal-contaminated soils. Undoubtedly, the plants experienced some degree of stress growing in the metal-contaminated soil and tailings. There were, however, no visible signs of nutrient deficiency or toxicity symptoms during plant growth, except for the reduced size of the smallwing sedge growing in the tailings treatment. We made no attempt to optimize the productivity of the plants with soil amendments since this would affect the behavior of Pb in the soils.

The metal accumulation results indicated that there are differences in the mechanisms of metal resistance between the two plant species (Fig. 1a,b). In both site and tailings treatments, smallwing sedge aboveground and belowground Pb concentrations were not significantly different, suggesting passive translocation of Pb from root to shoot. In contrast, Pb translocation was inhibited in the birch, resulting in significantly lower Pb concentrations in the shoots in both site and tailings treatments. As expected, tissues of plants growing in the tailings had higher Pb concentrations than respective tissues of plants growing in the site soil.

Birch aboveground and belowground biomass was not significantly different among soil treatments (Fig. 2a,b). Smallwing sedge shoot production was not inhibited in the site soil but was markedly reduced in the tailings (Fig. 2a,b). Smallwing sedge root production was not only reduced in the tailings but also to a lesser extent in the site soil. As a result, the root-to-shoot ratios remained relatively constant in the birch while the smallwing sedge root-to-shoot ratios declined in response to increasing soil treatment Pb concentrations. This suggested that birch were more resistant to Pb-contaminated soils than smallwing sedge.

Often metal accumulation data are presented only in terms of concentration in the plant and not in terms of the mass of metal removed from the soil. Since metal removal is a potential goal of phytoremediation, the data are presented also as mass of Pb extracted. Approximately 90% of the Pb accumulated by the birch was in the roots in both Pb treatments (Fig. 3a,b). Thus the birch was able to protect its photosynthetic processes from Pb toxicity by sequestering Pb in the roots and possibly excluding Pb at the soil–root interface. The potential advantage Pb exclusion affords to plant growth has previously been documented in a study on the Pb tolerance of legume species grown on Pb ore tailings (Sudhakar et al., 1992). In contrast, approximately 60% of the Pb extracted by the smallwing sedge was in the shoots in both the site soil and tailings. Significant Pb
accumulation, combined with excellent growth on the site soil and survival in the tailings, demonstrated that the smallwing sedge is Pb tolerant. Limitations to this tolerance of Pb accumulation in the aboveground tissues were probably the cause for the inhibition in growth seen in the smallwing sedge. Despite these limitations, the smallwing sedge extracted approximately four times more Pb in the site soil than the birch on a total basis (Fig. 3a). The total Pb extracted in the tailings was not significantly different between the two species (Fig. 3b). Neither plant species, however, removed significant levels of Pb from these highly contaminated test soils. Although smallwing sedge would be classified as a Pb hyperaccumulating plant (>1000 mg/kg dry wt.), the total amount of Pb removed from the soils via plant uptake (Fig. 3a, b) and leaching (<10 mg Pb) was insignificant compared with the total mass of Pb in the soils (30 000 mg Pb in the site soil and 130 000 mg Pb in the tailings).

It is important to evaluate differences in the rates of water use between species since transpiration is the driving force controlling the flux of soluble heavy metals to the plant. In the site soil, the birch used much less water (0.71 L/wk) than the smallwing sedge (1.57 L/wk), thus the birch had a lower flux of soluble heavy metals to the plant. However, in the tailings the birch water use was significantly higher (0.94 L/wk) than the smallwing sedge (0.53 L/wk). This indicated that factors other than water use (i.e., differences in mechanisms of metal resistance) must govern the response of these species to the metal-contaminated soil treatments.

This difference in the mechanisms of metal resistance between the two plant species is illustrated by estimating the aboveground Pb accumulation based on passive translocation of water soluble Pb and comparing this estimate with the measured Pb in the birch and smallwing sedge. The following equation was used:

\[
\text{Aboveground Pb concentration (mg/kg)} = \frac{E \times L}{Y}
\]

where \(E\) is the total water use (L), \(L\) is the mean leachate Pb concentration (mg/L), and \(Y\) is the total dry weight of roots and shoots (kg). This equation is based on the following simplifying assumptions: (i) water-soluble Pb is passively translocated from soil to plant, (ii) accumulated Pb is evenly distributed between aboveground and belowground tissues, (iii) evaporation is insignificant, and (iv) mean leachate Pb concentrations are representative of soluble Pb concentrations at the soil–root interface. Despite the simplicity of this equation, estimates for smallwing sedge in both Pb treatments are reasonably close to the observed results (Table 3). This suggests that soluble Pb associated with the flux of water into the roots of smallwing sedge is taken up by the plant. In contrast, the birch primarily excludes this Pb from aboveground tissues and must rely on storage in the roots and possibly exclusion at the soil–root interface.

Surprisingly, smallwing sedge grown in the laboratory study accumulated higher concentrations of Pb (>1000 mg/kg dry wt.) than plants growing at the Pacific Mine site with average aboveground Pb concentrations of 485 mg/kg dry wt. (Klassen, 1998). This may be attributed to the more ideal growing conditions of the laboratory and the homogeneity of the soil treatments. However, analysis of birch stem tissues collected from the Pacific Mine site (average Pb concentration of 887 mg/kg dry wt.) suggested birch is capable of accumulating much higher concentrations of Pb than demonstrated in the laboratory study (<300 g/kg dry wt.) (Klassen, 1998). The results of a Ti analysis were below detection limits (1 mg/kg) for all tissues sampled in the field, suggesting that Pb accumulation in the mine site plants was not due to surface contamination. Results from a hydroponic study also demonstrated the ability of birch to translocate concentrations of Pb in excess of 1000 mg/kg dry wt. (Klassen, 1998). A review of the literature

### Table 3. Mean estimated and actual aboveground Pb concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Estimated</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>RPD†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birch</td>
<td>site</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birch</td>
<td>tailings</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smallwing sedge</td>
<td>site</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smallwing sedge</td>
<td>tailings</td>
<td>2199</td>
<td>2751</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Relative percent difference.
on the mobility of Pb in woody plant species provides two probable explanations for this discrepancy.

Even if aboveground tissues of plants collected in the field are adequately washed, Pb within the tissues may have been derived from surface deposits (Lepp and Dollard, 1974). Lepp and Dollard (1974) investigated the lateral transport of bark-applied Pb from bark to wood in six deciduous trees. Under the experimental conditions of this study, they reported that approximately 30% of the bark-applied Pb was transported to wood in all six species. This suggests that a significant proportion of Pb accumulated in the woody stems of trees growing in areas with heavy metal contamination is derived from atmospheric sources. It is plausible that birch trees growing at the Pacific Mine site intercept high concentrations of airborne Pb. The site not only experiences strong mountain winds but also is frequently visited by motorists on all-terrain vehicles that create dust as they traverse the tailing dumps.

An alternative explanation for this discrepancy is the potential for woody species to translocate Pb that has been temporarily stored in the roots during previous years of exposure. The remobilization of Pb stored in plants during a previous year has been documented in red spruce (Picea rubens Sarg.) seedlings (Donnelly et al., 1990). Such a mechanism could provide a plant with the ability to purge the roots of previously stored Pb, concentrating it in older xylem tissues that will soon become nonconducting wood. Thus a species that excludes Pb in its first year of exposure may accumulate Pb during successive years. Longer-term studies are required to fully understand the mechanisms of Pb resistance in long-lived woody species such as birch.

**Soils**

In order to elucidate changes in the Pb chemistry of the soil associated with plant processes, a CaCl₂ extraction was used in addition to the analysis of total soil Pb. The Pb extracted with CaCl₂ is operationally defined as the exchangeable fraction of Pb in soil. This fraction is considered to be the more mobile fraction of Pb in equilibrium with the aqueous fraction of soil (McLean and Bledsoe, 1992). Thus, this extraction provides information on both changes in the relative mobility and potential bioavailability of Pb in the soils. Considering the relatively slow uptake rate of Pb by the plants, significant changes in the metal concentrations of planted soil treatments were not expected. Despite the short duration (4 mo) of this study, some significant differences were found between the rooting zone and bulk soil Pb concentrations of planted treatments (Fig. 4a,b). There were no significant differences between initial and end-of-study Pb concentrations of unplanted soils (Table 1, Fig. 4a,b), indicating that observed changes in soil Pb concentrations in the planted systems were not an artifact of the experimental procedure.

In the site soil, the total Pb concentration for the birch bulk soil was the same as for the control, whereas the total Pb concentration in the rooting zone soil increased relative to the control and bulk soil (Fig. 4a). The extractable Pb concentration of the birch bulk soil was, however, lower than the control. This suggested that the labile fraction of Pb in the bulk soil was transported to the rhizosphere soil. Despite the increase in total Pb in the birch rooting zone soil, the extractable Pb concentration was not significantly different than the unplanted control. This result suggests that the mobility of Pb decreased upon entering the rhizosphere, further supporting an exclusionary mechanism of metal resistance in this species.

Although smallwing sedge rooting zone soil was not depleted in total Pb, the lack of enrichment, as observed for the birch rhizosphere soil, agrees with a mechanism based on passive accumulation (Fig. 4a). The extractable Pb concentrations of the smallwing sedge rooting zone and bulk fraction were both lower than the control. This is consistent with a mechanism of Pb accumulation in which the plant removes the more labile Pb from the soil.

As was observed with the site soil, the total Pb concentration in the birch rooting zone of the tailings was significantly enriched over the unplanted control (Fig. 4b). But unlike the site rhizosphere soil, there was an observed increase in the exchangeable concentration of Pb in this rhizosphere soil. All other soils had the same total Pb concentration as the control but also had significantly higher concentrations of extractable Pb than the control. This was consistent with the increased leaching of Pb in the planted tailings treatment (Table 2).

In order to understand the processes within the planted and unplanted soils that are contributing to the immobilization–mobilization of Pb, we need to identify the primary solid phase controlling the solubility of Pb in these soils. The tailings were derived from a mineralized zone high in primary sulfides, secondary sulfates, and hydrous sulfates (Lidstone and Anderson, 1993). X-ray diffraction analysis of the initial site soil and tailings suggested the presence of mixed oxides of Pb and sulfate. A saturation paste extract of the unplanted and rooting zone site soil and tailings was analyzed for Ca, Mg, Na, K, Al, Fe, Mn, Zn, Pb, Cd, sulfate, phosphate, chloride, silicate, nitrate, electrical conductivity, and pH. Due to limited quantities of the rooting zone soils, replicate samples were combined, eliminating expression of experimental error and statistical comparison of treatment effects, but allowing prediction of solid phase chemistry. GEOCHEM-PC, a chemical speciation modeling program, was used to calculate the free ion activities of Pb and various anions in the saturation paste extract and to predict which solid phases might be controlling aqueous Pb levels (Parker et al., 1995). The results were compared with predicted solution activities as controlled by different solid phases following the assumptions described by Lindsay (1979). Solution Pb activities suggest that PbSO₄ and Pb₃(PO₄)₂Cl (pyromorphite) are probably the primary solid phases controlling Pb activity in solution under the acidic conditions of the tailings and site soil with and without plants (Fig. 5).

Recent studies have shown the potential for decreased Pb solubility in the rhizosphere due to the precipitation of Pb phosphates (Traina and LaPerche, 1999;
LaPerche et al., 1997; Cotter-Howels and Caporn, 1996). Although not described in the literature, a similar mechanism associated with the accumulation of sulfate in the rhizosphere promoting the precipitation of PbSO$_4$ is also plausible and also may explain the observed decreased extractability of Pb in the site rhizosphere soil.

LaPerche et al. (1997) suggested that plant uptake of phosphate could promote the dissolution of pyromorphite if the level of soil P available for plants was not in excess of that needed to immobilize Pb. Such dissolution of pyromorphite could explain the increased leaching of Pb observed in the planted tailings treatments. Similarly, plant uptake of sulfate could promote the dissolution of PbSO$_4$. The solubility of PbSO$_4$ is pH independent and is inversely proportional to the soluble sulfate concentration (Lindsay, 1979).

The reason plant processes would promote the immobilization of Pb in the site soil and mobilization of Pb in the tailings may be explained by differences in soil treatment characteristics. The initial soluble S and available P concentrations measured in the site soil were much greater than in the tailings (Table 1). The same characteristics (organic and clay content) that promote a greater buffering capacity in the site soil also will contribute to its ability to retain phosphate and sulfate. Thus, plant processes would have less of an effect on the relative concentrations of phosphate and sulfate in the site soil than in the tailings.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of the laboratory study confirmed earlier field evaluations that indicated the two native species, birch and smallwing sedge, were Pb resistant. Significant differences in the mechanisms of Pb resistance displayed by the birch (excluder) and smallwing sedge (accumulator) were observed. The effect plants had on the behavior of Pb in soil was shown to depend on the mechanism of resistance used and was also soil specific.

As a result of the exclusionary behavior of the birch, the rhizosphere became enriched in Pb over time in both soil treatments. Our results suggested that the ex-
clonial mechanism of the birch may promote soil Pb stabilization, as observed in the site soil, by concentrating Pb from the surrounding soil in the rhizosphere in a relatively less-mobile form. In contrast, a mechanism of accumulation used by smallwing sedge may promote soil Pb stabilization and decontamination by reducing the relative mobility of Pb in both the rhizosphere and adjacent soil by concentrating it in the plant. However, neither species had an effect on the leaching of Pb from a contaminated soil (3000 mg Pb/kg) and both species promoted the leaching of Pb from highly contaminated tailings (13 000 mg Pb/kg).

The increased leaching of Pb in the tailings was associated with a decrease in pH. Such a reduction in pH was probably due to root exudation of organic acids in such a poorly buffered system. Root exudates may have promoted Pb solubility by increasing the concentration of organic complexing agents and pH adjustment, since the solubility of most mineral phases of Pb increases with decreasing pH.

In addition, we provided evidence that either PbSO₄ or Pb₃(PO₄)₂Cl is the primary mineral phase that could be controlling the solubility of Pb in both soil treatments. Therefore, we have proposed that plant processes affecting the levels of sulfate or phosphate in soil could explain both the immobilization and mobilization of Pb observed in the planted treatments. Further studies evaluating the solubility of Pb in the soils used are required to better understand how plants may have affected Pb mobility.

Clearly the use of plants to clean up or stabilize such highly contaminated soils as used in this study is not practical. However, the results of this study suggest that future studies on the effects of plant–soil interactions on the fate and mobility of Pb in soils would be useful for evaluating the potential of phytoremediation for the stabilization and decontamination of soils with relatively low levels of Pb contamination.

We recognized the need to focus on native plant species adapted to the local environment and tolerant of highly disturbed conditions typical of abandoned mine sites in the Intermountain West. This approach was successful in demonstrating the relationship between how differences in metal resistance translate into differences in both soil metal decontamination and stabilization. Such information is critical to the development of phytoremediation strategies for abandoned mine sites as well as other areas affected by heavy metal contamination.
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