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1. Introduction

*Lactobacillus helveticus* is a species of lactic acid bacteria. These bacteria, which produce lactic acid as a major product of carbohydrate metabolism, are used industrially to produce cheese and other fermented dairy products (Ebringer et al., 2008). Many species of lactic acid bacteria also possess probiotic characteristics and when ingested potentially confer increased immune function, regulate gut microbiota, and improve digestion in the host (Reid, 2008). Genetic studies of these probiotic effects and other characteristics of *L. helveticus* and related species have been hindered by the lack of stably replicating plasmid vectors. Plasmid vectors for several *Lactobacillus* species have been constructed, but these are often derived from broad host range plasmids and may not be stably maintained over many generations (Fang et al., 2008; Thompson et al., 2001). In *L. helveticus* specifically, a small number of attempts have been made at adapting plasmid vectors for use in genetic studies, but as the source plasmids were not native to *L. helveticus*, they were either unable to replicate extrachromosomally or be maintained over many generations (Hagen et al., 2010; Thompson et al., 2001).

This study utilizes the cryptic plasmid pIR52-1 from *L. helveticus* strain R0052 as the basis for novel plasmid vectors capable of long-term stable replication in Lactobacillus species. Plasmid pIR52-1 has been sequenced and annotated by Hagen et al. (2010). This effort identified several regions that may serve as the plasmid origin of replication and be involved in plasmid maintenance, including a truncated *repA_N* replication gene (*repA’*), a full-length *repA_N* homolog, a *repB* homolog, several conserved homologous nonrepeating regions (HN), and several repeat regions. The full-length *repA_N* gene in pIR52-1 is homologous to genes encoding replication proteins in several other plasmids from lactic acid bacteria. In these plasmids the homologous replication proteins bind to repeat regions within the origin of
replication and then recruit proteins that replicate the plasmid (del Solar et al., 1998; Ito et al., 2009; Fang et al., 2008; Kranenburg et al., 2005; Kwong et al., 2004; Weaver et al., 2009). The pIR52-1 repA_N gene contains several repeat regions, suggesting that it may also function as the origin of replication for this plasmid (Hagen et al., 2010; Weaver et al., 2009). In L. planatarum, a plasmid vector containing only the repA_N gene was capable of replication, but the addition of regions flanking the repA_N gene increased the stability of replication (Fang et al., 2008). The RepA’, RepA_N, and RepB proteins may also aid in the partitioning of the plasmid to the daughter cells, which is necessary to ensure stable, long-term maintenance (Weaver et al., 2009). Due to the findings of these researchers, this study focuses on the repA_N gene and its repeat regions as likely candidates for the minimum origin of replication, but will also test the effects of flanking regions on the stability of long-term maintenance.

In addition to the localization of the origin of replication and the regions contributing to stable maintenance of the plasmid, this study seeks to identify the binding sites of the RepA_N and RepB proteins. We will attempt to verify that the RepA_N protein binds to sequence repeats within the repA_N gene on pIR52-1. Additional sites that the RepA_N or RepB proteins could bind to include the HN2 and HN3 conserved homologous nonrepeating regions, and the start codon – ribosome binding site – putative mRNA hairpin loop region found at the 5’ end of the repA_N gene (Hagen et al., 2010). The identification of the binding regions will be conducted using an electrophoresis mobility shift assay (Garner and Revzin, 1981). This assay utilizes the fact that a DNA fragment’s progress through an agarose or polyacrylamide gel will be retarded by the binding of a protein to the fragment. Recently, advances in protein and DNA dyes have allowed the detection of binding interactions through staining for both the DNA and protein. These tools have been utilized in other studies defining interactions of replication proteins with
their DNA binding sites (Jing et al., 2003; Jing et al., 2004) and potentially allow larger DNA fragments to be screened for binding, as the shift in the protein band can also be assessed if the DNA fragment shift is not easily detected.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Bacterial strains and growth conditions

*L. helveticus* R0052 and CNRZ32 (a plasmid-free strain) were propagated at 37 °C in MRS broth or on MRS agar. *Escherichia coli* DH5α and BL21 (DE3) were propagated at 37 °C in LB broth or on LB agar plates or in Formedium lactose autoinduction media (LAIM) without trace elements. Glycerol stocks for all species were stored at -80 °C.

2.2 Subcloning of pIR52-1 regions

In order to test regions of the pIR52-1 plasmid for the origin of replication, the plasmid vector pAE1 was constructed (Figure 1). The Genbank accession numbers for pIR52-1 and pAE1 are FJ851149 and GQ894741, respectively. The pAE1 vector contains the origin of replication and the ampicillin resistance gene from the *E. coli* pUC19 plasmid and the erythromycin resistance gene from the pG+host9 plasmid. These fragments were generated by PCR using the PFUSION™ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase from New England Biolabs (Table 1). The ampicillin resistance gene was flanked upstream by *Bam*HI and downstream by *Hind*III.
Fragments of the pIR52-1 plasmid were generated by PCR using the PFUSION™ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Table 1, Table 2, and Figure 2). The upstream primers incorporated BamHI and SalI sites near the 5’ primer ends and the downstream primers incorporated a HindIII site near the 5’ primer ends. The amplified pIR52-1 PCR products were double digested with BamHI and HindIII and ligated into the large BamHI and HindIII digested pAE1 vector fragment so that the cloned pIR52-1 region replaced the ampicillin resistance gene of pAE1 and maintained both the BamHI and SalI sites at the 5’ end of the insert.

The test plasmids were then transformed into *E. coli* DH5α cells using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser and protocols supplied by the manufacturer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA). Transformants were screened for erythromycin resistance on LB agar plates containing 100 µg/mL erythromycin. Resistant transformants were further screened for ampicillin sensitivity on LB agar plates containing 50 µg/mL ampicillin. Plasmid DNA extractions were performed on the erythromycin resistant and ampicillin sensitive clones to verify correct plasmid vector size. Representative plasmids of correct size were then sequenced to verify that the pIR52-1 regions did not contain inadvertent mutations; all eight constructs were correct. The plasmids were labeled pTW1 through pTW8. In the pTW1-pTW8 plasmids, the *E. coli* origin was flanked by SalI sites, which allowed its excision as a control for the pUC19 origin function in *L. helveticus*. The excision of the pUC19 origin by SalI digestion and re-ligation of the larger fragment to itself created an additional eight plasmids labeled pTW9, pTW10, pTW13-15, and pTW16-18 (corresponding to pTW4, pTW5, pTW1-3, and pTW6-8, respectively). These vectors replicate in *L. helveticus* but not in *E. coli*.

The sixteen plasmids, along with pAE1 lacking a pIR52-1 region were electroporated into CNRZ32 cells. Transformants were screened on MRS agar plates containing 1 µg/mL.
erythromycin. PCR amplification with primers specific to pIR52-1 regions was used to confirm presence of plasmid and correct insert size. Extrachromosomal replication of the plasmids was confirmed by the presence of plasmid bands of correct size in agarose gels of DNA extracts from the CNRZ32 transformant colonies. Additional verification was obtained from successful re-transformation of *E. coli* DH5α cells with DNA extracts from the pTW1-8 CNRZ32 colonies.

Plasmid maintenance was studied in the CNRZ32 colonies. Three independent transformants for each plasmid were grown overnight in MRS broth containing 1 µg/mL erythromycin. Cultures were passaged for 20 consecutive subculturings using 10 µL of the previous subculture to inoculate 1 mL MRS broth lacking any antibiotic. Cultures were incubated at 37 ºC without shaking in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes. Cells from the twentieth subculturing were recovered by centrifugation and were re-suspended in 1 mL sterile distilled water. Cell densities were standardized using a 1:10 dilution of cells to an O.D.600 of 0.50 ± 0.02. Further dilutions were made, and 100 µL samples of the 1:10⁻⁴, 1:10⁻⁵, and 1:10⁻⁶ dilutions were plated on four replicate MRS agar plates without antibiotic and four replicate MRS agar plates containing 1 µg/mL erythromycin. After three days of incubation, the number of colonies per plate was counted for the dilution plate containing between 30 and 300 colonies, and colony counts values were converted to CFU/mL. Plasmid retention was calculated by averaging the number of colonies on the four replicate plates with erythromycin, dividing by the average number of colonies on the four replicate plates without antibiotic, and multiplying by 100%. The plasmid retentions for the three independent transformants of each plasmid were then averaged together and the standard deviations calculated.

2.3 Electrophoresis mobility shift assays
The repA_N and repB regions from pIR52-1 were amplified by PCR (Table 1). The primers upstream of the genes incorporated an NdeI site at the 5’ end. The primers downstream of the genes incorporated a 6-histidine tag sequence in front of the stop codons, and a HindIII site for the repA_N primer and a BamHI site for the repB primer. Double restriction digests with NdeI and HindIII for repA_N and NdeI and BamHI for repB were conducted, followed by ligation into the pET22b E. coli expression vector downstream of the T7 promoter. The vectors were labeled pTW19 and pTW20, for the vectors containing repA_N and repB, respectively. As a control, a pET22b derivative vector, pBL1, containing a gene from Brevibacterium linens fused to a 6-His tag was used. Two additional plasmids were constructed to verify that the 6-histidine tags attached to the RepA_N and RepB proteins did not interfere with their functions in vivo. Plasmid pTW11 contained only the 6-histidine tagged RepA_N region. Plasmid pTW12 contained the 6-histidine tagged RepA_N, 6-histidine tagged RepB, and intergenic region. Both regions were ligated into a pAE1 vector backbone after restriction digests with BamHI and HindIII. Five independent clones of each were passaged for 20 consecutive subculturings. Plasmid retention rates and standard deviations were calculated as described in the above section.

The pTW19 and pTW20 vectors were transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells by electroporation. Transformants were screened for ampicillin resistance on LB agar plates containing 50 µg/mL ampicillin. Plasmid presence was verified by correct plasmid band size in DNA extracts run on agarose gels. Additional PCR verification of inserted regions and ampicillin resistance genes was performed (Table 1). Sequencing of inserted genes verified sequences and that 6-His tag was in frame.
Protein expression was induced using either IPTG induction or lactose autoinduction using procedures provided by the pET22b System Manual 9th edition (Novagen) and from Formedium, respectively. For IPTG induction, cells were grown overnight in LB broth containing 50 µg/mL ampicillin from glycerol stocks in a shaker at 37 °C and 180 rpm. Dilutions of 1:10, 1:20, 1:30, and 1:40 were made and O.D.600 measured to determine cell density. Based on the cell density measurements 50 mL of LB broth was inoculated at an O.D.600 of 0.10 ± 0.01. Cultures were grown for 2 hours at 37 °C and 180 rpm, reaching O.D.600 of 0.5 to 0.6. Induction of protein was initiated by addition of 100 mM IPTG stock solution to final concentrations of 0.4 mM, 2 mM, or 4 mM, depending on the experiment. Cultures were incubated for 1, 2, or 3 hours, depending on the experiment. Uninduced control cultures were incubated similarly except for the addition of IPTG. Cultures were divided into 12 mL aliquots and cells collected by centrifugation. For lactose autoinduction, cultures were grown in lactose autoinduction media (LAIM) with 50 µg/mL ampicillin for 12 or 24 hours. Uninduced controls were grown in LB media with 50 µg/mL ampicillin for the same periods. Cultures were divided into 12 mL aliquots and cells collected by centrifugation.

Proteins were extracted using a procedure modified from the pET System Manual 9th Edition (Novagen). Cell pellets were subjected to osmotic shock by resuspension in 0.6 M sucrose, 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, and 1 mM EDTA solution. Suspensions were incubated on ice in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes for ten minutes, mixing every two minutes by gentle inversion. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 30 seconds in a microcentrifuge at 10,000 rpm, and resuspended in 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 1 mM EDTA solution. Cells were incubated on ice for ten minutes, mixing every two minutes by gentle inversion. After the ten minute incubation the cells were again pelleted by centrifugation for 5 minutes at 10,000 rpm. The cell pellets were
washed once by resuspension in 1 mL LEW buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate monobasic, 300 mM sodium chloride, pH 7.0) followed by centrifugation for 5 minutes at 10,000 rpm. After pelleting, the cells were resuspended in 1200 µL of fresh LEW with 30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0 and then lysed. Cells were lysed by addition of 20 µL lysozyme stock solution (50 mg/mL) and 10 µL DNaseI stock solution (500 µg/mL). The lysate was incubated for 30 minutes at 30 ºC, mixing by gentle inversion every 10 minutes. Soluble phase proteins were prepared by centrifugation for 10 minutes at 10,000 rpm and collection of the supernatant. The insoluble phase proteins were collected by washing the pellet twice with 750 µL LEW buffer and resuspending the washed pellet in 1 mL 1% SDS solution.

Total cell protein samples were generated from the cells recovered from 1 mL of induced and uninduced cultures after pelleting for 5 minutes at 10,000 rpm. The cell pellets were resuspended in 100 µL 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0 and the cells lysed by addition of 100 µL 2x TCP Sample Buffer, 2 µL lysozyme stock solution and 1.5 µL DNaseI stock solution. The 2x TCP Sample Buffer contains 100 mM DTT, 2% SDS, 80 mM Tris-HCl, 15% glycerol, and 0.006% Bromophenol Blue. Samples were incubated for 30 minutes at 30 ºC, mixing by gentle inversion every 10 minutes.

Soluble phase protein samples were further purified using either a PrepEase © Histidine-Tagged Protein Purification Kit – High Specificity (USB) or a column containing BD™ TALON Metal Affinity Resin (BD Biosciences Clontech), using the protocols provided by the manufacturers. Samples were eluted from the columns with varying concentrations of imidazole. For some experiments samples purified through columns were concentrated using ammonium sulfate precipitation (SAFC Biosciences). Protein samples were denatured by heating to 70 ºC for 3 minutes in a thermocycler before running on 6% Novex® Tris-Glycine gels, using the SDS-
PAGE settings provided by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). Protein samples were stained with SYPRO® Ruby EMSA stain using the protocols provided by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). Gels were photographed on a (Find out what system the Broadbent lab has) using a SYPRO ® photographic filter.

DNA fragments for use in the EMSA were amplified by PCR using the primers listed in Table 1. Features of the amplified regions are detailed in Table 3 and Figure 3. Fragments were purified by gel electrophoresis, dialysis of gel slices, followed by chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation of the eluted DNA. DNA concentrations were determined using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific).

Before loading EMSA samples, samples were prepared by mixing 200 ng DNA and 10 µg of each appropriate protein preparation, after which the proteins were allowed to bind to the DNA fragment during a 20 minute incubation at 37 °C. Samples were run on 6% Novex ® Tris-Glycine gels, using the settings provided by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). DNA was stained using SYBR ® Green EMSA stain using protocols provided by the manufacturer (Invitrogen).

3. Results

3.1 Localization of the pIR52-1 origin of replication to the repA_N gene and its promoter region.

The pAE1 control vector lacking a pIR52-1 insert was not capable of replicating in CNRZ32 cells, as pAE1 plasmid DNA was not recovered in DNA extracted from numerous putative transformant clones. The pTW1-8 vectors that carried a pIR52-1 region were all capable of extrachromosomal replication in CNRZ32 transformants as shown by the recovery of circular
plasmid DNA corresponding to each vector from transformant colonies. Therefore the pIR52-1 origin of replication must lie within the portion of pIR52-1 shared by these vectors, which corresponds to the repA_N gene and a portion of the intergenic region between repA_N and repB that includes the repA_N promoter.

3.2 Retention of Plasmids in L. helveticus

Retention rates of the pTW1-8 vectors after 20 consecutive subculturings are shown in Figure 4 and in Table 4. Clones lacking the E. coli origin of replication showed similar rates of retention to their corresponding pTW1-8 vectors, with the exceptions of pTW14 and pTW16 which had higher rates of retention than pTW2 or pTW6 respectively. This indicates that the E. coli origin was not functioning as an origin of replication in L. helveticus, although the additional size of the plasmid due to its inclusion in pTW2 and pTW6 may have contributed to lower retention of these vectors. The repA’ gene and the conserved homologous non-repeating region HN1 do not seem to contribute significantly to stability, as retention rates of pTW1-4 (including HN1) and pTW5-8 (lacking HN1) did not differ significantly. Similarly, the repB region similarly did not significantly affect retention of the plasmid in L. helveticus, but additional studies in L. casei indicate that it is required for high frequency transformation in that species (Hagen et al., 2010). The inclusion of the intergenic region between repA_N and repB, which includes the HN3 region, increased the retention rate of the plasmid by up to 10 fold.

3.3 Retention of 6-histidine tagged repA_N and repB containing plasmids in L. helveticus
Retention rates of the pTW11 (containing tagged repA\_N) and pTW12 (containing tagged repA\_N and tagged repB) are shown in Table 4. These plasmids contained the *E. coli* origin of replication, and had retention rates within one standard deviation of the retention rates for the pTW5 and pTW8 vectors, to which they were identical except for the addition of the 6-histidine tags on the Rep A\_N and RepB proteins. The tags therefore do not appear to affect the proteins’ contributions to replication and stable maintenance of the plasmid.

3.4 Protein Induction and Purification

Both IPTG and lactose autoinduction yielded similar total cell protein (TCP) profiles (data not shown). The pBL1 control gene clearly showed increased expression of an approximately 50 kDa band (Figure 5), consistent with the predicted molecular weight of 50.2 kDa for this protein. Bands of approximately 40 kDa were observed in some induced pET22b only controls and the pGL1 controls (as well as in induced RepA\_N and RepB preparations). The origin of these bands is unclear. They do not correspond to the expected 99 kDa size of T7 RNA polymerase which should also be induced in these experiments using BL21 DE3 cells. Intriguingly, the lactose repressor protein, which is expressed from a pET22b encoded gene in these cells, has a size of 38.6 kDa; perhaps the approximately 40 kDa bands correspond to the lactose repressor protein. RepA\_N should be 43.3 kDa and RepB should be 21.7 kDa. It is unclear whether the ~40 kDa band in the induced RepA\_N TCP preparations is in fact the RepA\_N protein, as the band also appears in the control lanes (Figure 6). RepB was also not readily apparent in the induced TCP preparations (Figure 6) perhaps due to low expression levels and the induced protein bands overlapping in size with other cellular *E. coli* protein bands. The
pBL1 control protein and RepA_N were mostly in the insoluble phase, although modification of the extraction procedure shifted some of the protein bands to the soluble phase (Figure 7). The distribution of RepB was not tested. Protein samples purified on columns showed similar band patterns to the soluble phase samples, if any sample was eluted at all (Figure 8). Column purification procedures need to be optimized further to yield specific binding and elution of the desired protein.

3.5 EMSA

A preliminary EMSA was conducted with the soluble phase lysates from induced RepA_N and RepB expressing cells. Since this test used the soluble phase lysates of these cells instead of purified protein, soluble phase lysate from induced cells containing an empty pET22b vector was used as a control for binding reactions between the other soluble proteins and the DNA (Figure 9) Band shifts (white arrows) were observed with the RepA_N and RepB preparations with region B (containing the R2 and R3 repeat regions and much of the center of repA_N). These bands were not present in the DNA only control lanes. The results with region C (containing the HN3 region, RBS, and 5’ end of repA_N) are less clear. The DNA only control for the region C fragment appeared to be composed of two initial DNA bands (orange dashed arrow). However, the lanes with the RepA_N and RepB lysates contained this additional initial band as well as the shifted bands. The empty pET22b vector control lane appeared to have a continuous smear above the main DNA band suggesting that other proteins in the lysate or the DNaseI used during lysis were binding to the DNA. Further purification of the PCR amplified
regions and the RepA_N and RepB lysates will need to be performed in order to obtain more clear EMSA results.

4. Discussion

A plasmid vector that can be used in *L. helveticus* requires an origin of replication that is able to ensure the stable replication and maintenance of the vector over multiple generations. The analysis pIR52-1 plasmid regions for the location of its replication origin showed that the repA_N gene and its upstream promoter region were sufficient to allow extrachromosomal replication of vectors in *L. helveticus* CNRZ32 cells. However, this region alone does not allow stable, long-term replication, as only 7% of the cells retained the plasmid after 20 subculturings. The regions necessary for stable maintenance in *L. helveticus* include repA_N and the entire region between the repA_N and repB genes. Together, these regions increase plasmid retention more than 10-fold to approximately 100% after 20 rounds of subculturing. For high frequency transformation of *L. casei*, the repB gene was also found to be necessary, and its inclusion does not negatively affect the stability of plasmid replication in *L. helveticus*. Thus the shuttle vectors pTW7 and pTW8 constructed in this work should be useful in further genetic studies of *L. helveticus* and related Lactobacillus species.

Plasmids with a pAE1 backbone containing 6-His tagged repA_N (pTW11) or both 6-His tagged repA_N and 6-His tagged repB genes (pTW12) were constructed. These plasmids were shown to have similar retention rates to the pTW5 and pTW8 plasmids, to which they were identical except for the addition of the 6-histidine tags. This demonstrated that the addition of the tags did not interfere with the ability of the proteins to replicate and maintain the plasmid in *vivo*. The repA_N and repB genes were then successfully cloned into pET22b expression vectors and
sequenced to verify that they were inserted in-frame, had correct 6-His tags, and had no mutations. The total cell protein (TCP) profiles of the induced cells showed increased expression of a ~40 kDa band, indicating induction did occur (Figures 5 and 6). However, the ~40 kDa induced band appeared in the induced pET22b and pBL1 control TCP profiles, as well as the RepB induced TCP profile, suggesting that it is another protein responding to the induction. Since the samples were denatured and treated with SDS, it is unlikely that the band in RepB TCP lanes is a dimer of the 21.7 kDa RepB protein. The induced band also does not correspond to the expected 99 kDa size of T7 RNA polymerase which should be induced in these experiments using BL21 DE3 cells. The ~40 kDa band could potentially be the lactose repressor protein, which is expressed from a pET22b encoded gene in these cells, and which has a size of 38.6 kDa. Purified protein samples eluted from the affinity columns would help to identify which bands in the TCP profiles correspond to the RepA_N and RepB proteins. However, these purified protein samples have not yet been obtained.

One of the major factors complicating purification is the presence of much of the induced proteins in the insoluble phase. Modification of the lysis procedure to include an osmotic shock treatment increased the protein in the soluble phase from almost nothing to a detectable amount, but large amounts of the protein still remain in the insoluble phase (Figure 7). A different approach to the purification protocol might be needed, and different cellular expression systems may need to be explored in order to obtain highly soluble protein. Denaturing the insoluble protein and re-folding may be another approach to yield purified protein for EMSA analysis. Using a different protein expression cell line may also increase the yield, as the protein bands that were induced were approximately the same intensity as other cellular proteins, whereas in most expression studies the induced bands are significantly more intense. This reduced
expression may be due to the structure of the repA\textsubscript{N} gene, which we hypothesize to contain the binding site for the RepA\textsubscript{N} protein. As intracellular levels of RepA\textsubscript{N} rise, the proteins might bind to the site within repA\textsubscript{N} more frequently, inhibiting mRNA transcription, and preventing the accumulation of higher levels of RepA\textsubscript{N} in the cell.

Another major factor complicating purification is the low yield of protein from the affinity columns. The column purification procedures will need to be optimized to extract only specifically-binding 6-His tagged proteins. So far, the elutions from the BD \textsuperscript{®} TALON Resin and PrepEase \textsuperscript{®} columns appear to contain all of the same bands present in the soluble phase lysate solution, which was the solution added to the columns for purification, just at a reduced intensity (Figure 8). This implies that the column is still non-specifically binding other cellular proteins. This is still occurring despite washes at many different imidazole concentrations intended to remove these non-specifically binding proteins, while leaving the 6-His tagged protein bound to the column. Additionally, procedures to concentrate the proteins eluted off the column need to be optimized, allowing the eluted proteins to be clearly identified on a gel, unlike the blurred concentrated lane in Figure 8.

The preliminary test of the EMSA using whole cell protein samples instead of purified protein samples also did not provide conclusive results (Figure 9). The shifted DNA bands present in the RepA\textsubscript{N} and RepB sample lanes also appear in the smear present in the pET22b vector only control lanes. This suggests that the binding interactions detected by the gel are due to other proteins present in the cell or in the DNaseI enzyme preparation used to digest genomic DNA during protein extraction. The gel verifies that the EMSA assay can detect shifts in DNA fragments of approximately 500 bp, which are much larger than the fragments used in other
studies (Jing et al., 2003), suggesting that useful data could be recorded once the purified protein sample is obtained.

Overall, this study has yielded some successes, some promising results, and some clear failures. The origin of replication and regions contributing to the stability of replication of the pIR52-1 plasmid were identified. The pTW7 and pTW8 shuttle vectors that are capable of replication in *E. coli*, *L. helveticus*, and other related *Lactobacillus* species were created. The RepA_N and RepB coding regions were successfully cloned into an expression vector system, and the vector was successfully transformed into the *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) expression system. The 6-histidine tagged RepA_N protein retained its normal function, allowing stable replication of its plasmid in *L. helveticus*. Induction of gene expression was observed in this system, although the induced proteins might not be the proteins of interest. The expression and purification methodologies still need to be optimized for increased soluble protein retrieval. An EMSA gel using 500 bp DNA fragments verified that the assay may work with large regions; however, the crude protein lysate used does not indicate if the binding interactions observed were due to the proteins of interest or other proteins present in the samples. The successful construction of the plasmid shuttle vectors pTW7 and pTW8 and the progress toward analysis of the replication protein binding sites mark the major accomplishments of this study.
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7. Tables

Table 1. Primers used for investigation of pIR52-1 replication, pAE1 construction, repA_N and repB cloning for expression, and investigation of RepA_N and RepB binding sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Sequence Forward (5' → 3')</th>
<th>Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>867</td>
<td>MAK CAT CCA AAA TTT AGT TAA</td>
<td>Site 2' of pUC19 on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>868</td>
<td>AAA AAA GAT ATC CAA AAA TTT AGT TAA</td>
<td>Site 2' of pUC19 off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>869</td>
<td>AAA AAA GAT ATC CAA AAA TTT AGT TAA</td>
<td>Site 5' of pUC19 ampicillin resistance gene promoter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>870</td>
<td>AAA AAA GAT ATC CAA AAA TTT AGT TAA</td>
<td>Site 2 of pUC19 ampicillin resistance gene stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>871</td>
<td>AAA AAA GAT ATC CAA AAA TTT AGT TAA</td>
<td>Site 3 of pUC19 ampicillin resistance gene stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>872</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 3' of repA stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 2' of RepA stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>874</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 2 of repA stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>875</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 3 of repA stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>876</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 2 of repA stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>877</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 3 of repA stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>878</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 2 of repA stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>879</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 3 of repA stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 2 of repA stop codon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>881</td>
<td>C60G AAT GCT TGA GGG ACT GCT ATT TTA AGT</td>
<td>Site 3 of repA stop codon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Description of amplified regions containing pIR52-1 minimum origin of replication.

Clones pTW1-8 and pTW11-12 contain the pUC19 E. coli origin of replication, the remaining clones do not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clone Name</th>
<th>6' Primer</th>
<th>3' Primer</th>
<th>Insert Size (kb)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pTW1, pTW3</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>repA_N, His2, and repA_N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW2, pTW4</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>repA_N, His2, repA_N, and His3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW5, pTW7</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>repA_N, pIR7, repA_N, His3, and the remaining repA_N, repB, intergenic region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW6, pTW8</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>repA_N, repA_N, His3, the remaining repA_N, repB, intergenic region, and repB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW9, pTW10</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>repA_N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW11</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>repA_N, and His3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW12</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>repA_N, His3, the remaining repA_N, repB, intergenic region, and repB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW13</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>6-histidine tagged repA_N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW14</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>6-histidine tagged repA_N, repB, intergenic region, 6-histidine tagged repB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3. Description of amplified regions for RepA_N and RepB EMSA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>5' Primer</th>
<th>3' Primer</th>
<th>Region Size</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>001</td>
<td>002</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>HN2, R1, and 3' end of repA_N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>003</td>
<td>004</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>R2 (x2), R3 (x3), and center of repA_N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>005</td>
<td>006</td>
<td>555</td>
<td>5' end of repA_N, RBS, start codon, HN3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>007</td>
<td>008</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>half of HN3 and remaining repA_N-repB intergenic region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>009</td>
<td>010</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>R2 (x2), R3 (x3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>011</td>
<td>006</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>RBS, start codon of repA_N, HN3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Retention rates of pIR52-1 origin of replication containing plasmids. Retention rates are the average of three independent clones containing each plasmid. Retention rates are shown ± one standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vector containing E. coli origin</th>
<th>Plasmid retention after 20 consecutive subculturings (%)</th>
<th>Vector without E. coli origin</th>
<th>Plasmid retention after 20 consecutive subculturings (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pTW1</td>
<td>6.9 ± 3.5</td>
<td>pTW13</td>
<td>4.4 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW2</td>
<td>56.9 ± 17.4</td>
<td>pTW14</td>
<td>89.5 ± 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW3</td>
<td>105.4 ± 14.3</td>
<td>pTW15</td>
<td>108.7 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW4</td>
<td>101.7 ± 13.3</td>
<td>pTW9</td>
<td>94.4 ± 9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW5</td>
<td>10.9 ± 7.5</td>
<td>pTW10</td>
<td>23.0 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW6</td>
<td>55.0 ± 15.2</td>
<td>pTW16</td>
<td>96.5 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW7</td>
<td>81.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>pTW17</td>
<td>96.6 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW8</td>
<td>90.3 ± 8.8</td>
<td>pTW18</td>
<td>88.0 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW11</td>
<td>21.1 ± 16.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTW12</td>
<td>101.3 ± 9.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Figures

Figure 1. pAE1. Only restriction sites used in cloning are shown. *BamHI*, *SalI*, and *HindIII* sites are unique.
Figure 2. Map of pIR52-1 plasmid with PCR fragments. The circular plasmid is shown linearized for ease of reading. PCR Fragments are shown as colored lines below the map. Lines are color coded to match the bars for plasmid retention rate in Figure 4.

Figure 3. PCR amplified fragments of the stably replicating region from pIR52-1 that were used for EMSA testing of RepA_N and RepB. HN = homologous nonrepeating region, R = repeat region.
Figure 4. Plasmid retention rates of pIR52-1 origin of replication-containing plasmids. Bars are color coded to match the PCR amplified regions shown in Figure 2. Shaded bars represent plasmids lacking the *E. coli* origin of replication. Retention rates are the average of three independent clones containing each plasmid. Error bars represent ± one standard deviation.
Figure 5. pBL1 control total cell protein (TCP) gel. Cell line transformed with empty pET22b vector used as control. Cells were induced with 0.4 mM IPTG for 3 hours. White arrows indicate protein bands with increased expression after IPTG induction. pBL1 control protein’s molecular weight is 50.2 kDa.
Figure 6. RepA_N and RepB total cell protein gel. The first two lanes after the marker are controls of exacts from cells transformed with empty pET22b vector. Cells were induced with 0.4 mM IPTG for 3 hours. White arrows indicate bands with increased expression after induction. RepA_N molecular weight is 43.3 kDa and RepB molecular weight is 21.7 kDa.
Figure 7. Soluble and insoluble proteins fractions of cells containing pBL1 control and RepA_N expression plasmids. White arrows indicate bands that showed increased expression with ITPG induction.
Figure 8. BD FALCON column purification of soluble phases. Two separate experiments are shown, one using elution with 250 mM imidazole and the other using elution with 1 M imidazole. Both elutions followed a 5 mM imidazole wash to elute non-specifically bound proteins. Ammonium sulfate precipitation was used in 1 M imidazole elution to increase concentration of protein in final sample.
Figure 9. Preliminary EMSA of regions B and C with RepA_N and RepB crude lysates. Gel stained with SYBR ® Green EMSA stain. Protein lysate from cells containing empty pET22b vector was used as a control. Solid white arrows indicate shifted DNA band. Dashed orange arrows indicate secondary bands present in DNA only sample. Dotted white arrows indicate potential shifted bands in smears in pET22b control lanes.