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INTRODUCTION

With this report, the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families warns that HIV, the virus that causes AIDS, is spreading unchecked among the nation's adolescents, regardless of where they live or their economic status.

Today, teens and young adults with AIDS live in 49 states and the District of Columbia, nearly 100 large metropolitan areas, and scores of rural and small communities across the country. Thousands of others are infected with HIV and millions of adolescents live in danger of contracting the virus.

The number of teens who already have AIDS increased by more than 70% in the past two years alone, and AIDS is now the sixth leading cause of death among youth ages 15-24. Over 5,000 children and young adults have died as result of AIDS.

These are the findings of the Select Committee, determined from a thorough review of the literature, our own surveys of Federal agencies and front-line community experts, and from our analyses of data provided by Federal sources.

Societal denial and indifference are continuing to undermine the nation's ability to confront this deadly disease. But when discussion turns to AIDS and teenagers in particular, the tones become even more hushed or morbid. With any reference to teen sexuality, the walls go up and evasion begins. But blinders will not keep the epidemic at bay.

Nostalgia about the "wonder years" of adolescence has helped keep teens in the dark about dangers and challenges which could dramatically alter their futures. But for our teens, the wonder years have become the worry years. Teens today not only have to worry about "finding" themselves, they must also worry about contracting an incurable and fatal disease.

Worry isn't confined to our teens. Society must worry about the staggering psychological, humanitarian, and economic costs of ignoring this epidemic. By 1991, the estimated cost of treating persons with HIV and AIDS was nearly $6 billion. Lost economic productivity due to AIDS no doubt exceeds this amount.

Even if from today forward no one else was infected, the resources required to care for the estimated one million Americans now infected with HIV would be astronomical. The potential cost to society of denying that AIDS threatens the lives of a new generation of young adults is devastating.

Based on hearings, current research, and interviews with experts, including researchers, medical and service providers, AIDS educators, and youth who have been touched by the epidemic, this Select Committee report assesses the impact of the HIV epidemic on youth and identifies strategies policymakers and program planners might consider.

We surveyed the major Federal agencies involved in AIDS-related activities, and for the first time, have documented that Federal intervention is underfunded, uncoordinated, and largely unsuccessful. It cannot meet the burgeoning need for preventing the spread of HIV among adolescents and serving teens who are infected. Based on the limited information the agencies provided, less than 5% of the current Federal AIDS budget (excluding Medicaid) funds research, programs or services that benefit teens.

Denial of the problem at the Federal level -- where leadership should be paramount -- is a national disgrace. Few Federal resources are dedicated to research or data collection that involve adolescents and their health care providers. Prevention efforts to curb risk taking behavior among youth are sketchy. And use of explicit information about preventing HIV infection is often discouraged or even prohibited.
Significant financial, institutional, legal and social barriers hinder adolescents' access to health care. The Federal government offers little help in breaking down these barriers.

But this report is not just a compilation of relevant statistics or a review of Federal efforts. It is an urgent plea to confront the problem of AIDS and adolescents and takes the first step by laying the groundwork for positive policy and community change that should prove valuable to policymakers and program planners.

The Select Committee has confirmed that experts agree early intervention is the best strategy to prevent further spread of the virus. It is easier to prevent risky behavior before it starts than to change entrenched behaviors. However, few efforts to control HIV infection have targeted adolescents who are initiating sexual and drug use activity at younger and younger ages. This failure has seriously hindered our ability to contain the epidemic and to meet the needs of young people and their families who have been devastated by it.

While the Select Committee found that the extent of the problem is alarming, there is good news as well. We identified many innovative programs which prevent or reduce risky behavior among youth. These model efforts often combine intensive individual attention with life skills training and involve peer educators, parents, schools, and community organizations, which provide resources and reinforce messages.

Unfortunately, these comprehensive programs are the exception rather than the rule. Few HIV prevention efforts have gone beyond "AIDS 101" to provide youth with the skills and support they need to be safe. Little has been done to reach out-of-school youth who often face significantly greater risk of infection.

To understand how some programs root themselves successfully in their communities and overcome obstacles to providing services to teens, the Select Committee also conducted key informant interviews with front-line experts from prevention programs from across the country.

Most promising is the finding that although community resistance to HIV prevention efforts is often anticipated, it proves to be a relatively minor problem. Community apathy, while more prevalent, can be overcome, beginning with early and sustained involvement of parents and community organizations in HIV prevention efforts. Support seems to "snowball" once key community segments (including school officials, business leaders, and religious organizations) become involved. A still pervasive problem, however, is the inadequacy and lack of health care, drug treatment, and family planning services for teens in their communities.

Given the escalating and evolving nature of the disease, the Federal government cannot solve this problem alone. Adolescents, women, infants, and members of racial and ethnic minority communities are increasingly represented among persons with HIV disease and AIDS. A comprehensive strategy involving the experts and all concerned groups is required to slow the epidemic, provide care to everyone who is infected, and address the needs of all populations affected.

Most importantly for teens, parents and schools remain essential sources of support. We must reinforce their ability and confidence to respond to the epidemic.

In our 1987 report, "A Generation in Jeopardy: Children and AIDS," the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families warned that if we failed to limit the spread of HIV, thousands of children and youth would be lost and their families devastated. Tragically, our predictions are proving true.

As the Select Committee urged in its last report, we must put ideology aside and marshal every available tool to slow the epidemic and focus more attention on teens, who certainly will be the fastest growing group of persons with AIDS if we fail to act today. The support and involvement of parents, the commitment of resources and expertise from schools, businesses, and community organizations, as well as from local, state, and Federal governments are crucial.
We hope this report will alert teens, parents and the public to the still silent threat of AIDS and its effect on the nation's youth. It is the silence that is killing them and will continue to threaten the health and well-being of all the nation's youth. Every day that we ignore the epidemic, HIV gains ground and threatens the loss of another generation.
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*Rep. Weiss passed away subsequent to the Select Committee ordering this report on April 7, 1992.*
REPORT FINDINGS

MILLIONS OF TEENS IN DANGER OF CONTRACTING HIV INFECTION AND AIDS

• By age 20, 68% of adolescent females and 86% of adolescent males are sexually active. Among sexually experienced teens ages 18-19, nearly 25% of females report having had six or more partners and nearly 20% of males report having had six to ten partners. The correct and consistent use of latex condoms prevents HIV transmission. Yet, only 47% of females and 35% of males report use of condoms at first intercourse.

• Three million teens are infected with a sexually transmitted disease (STD) each year. In 1990, nearly two-thirds of the more than 12 million STD cases reported were among persons under age 25. The same risky sexual behavior that transmits HIV transmits STIs, and lesions from other STDs increase the risk of HIV infection.

• Lack of recent and reliable data has prevented accurate assessment of the extent of homosexual behavior and anal sex among adolescents. Data from a 1975 national survey found that at least 20% of men had homosexual experience, with the majority reporting this activity during teenage years. Other smaller studies have found that 9% to 37% of male adolescents have had same-gender sexual experiences. More than 25% of teenage girls attending an adolescent outpatient clinic in New York City reported engaging in anal intercourse. Prevention program representatives interviewed by Select Committee staff said better information about adolescent sexual behavior is badly needed.

• In 1990, 2.9% of high school seniors reported using steroids (injected by most users), and 1.3% reported heroin use. In addition, 3.5% of high school seniors reported having used crack cocaine, which is believed to play an important role in the spread of HIV due to its highly addictive properties and its association with unprotected sexual behavior.

• Alcohol use is associated with impaired judgment and reduced condom use during sexual activity. In 1991, an estimated eight million junior and senior high school students (40% of this population) reported weekly consumption of alcohol, including 5.4 million students who "binged" with five or more drinks in a row and 454,000 who reported an average weekly consumption of 15 alcoholic drinks.

THOUSANDS OF TEENS THOUGHT TO BE ALREADY INFECTED WITH HIV

• Each year at least 40,000 new HIV infections occur among adolescents and adults. The national prevalence of HIV in adolescents is unknown. However, large studies have found that more than one in 300 Job Corps entrants and more than one in 3,000 military applicants were infected with HIV. In both studies, males had higher HIV infection rates. However, among youth ages 16-18, females were more likely than males to be infected with HIV.

• Smaller studies have found high rates of HIV infection among youth. In New York City, one in 170 teenage women delivering live births was infected with HIV. Among teenagers attending STD clinics, an average of one in 200 teens was infected. Seroprevalence studies of runaway and gay youth have found even higher rates of infection.

AIDS IN ADOLESCENTS INCREASING AT ALARMING RATE: FEMALES AND MINORITIES REPRESENT GREATER PROPORTION OF CASES IN YOUTH THAN IN ADULTS

• During the past two years alone, the number of teens and young adults (ages 13-24) who were diagnosed with AIDS increased by 62%. More than half of U.S. AIDS cases among persons ages 13-24 have been reported during the past three years of the decade-old epidemic.

• By the end of 1991, AIDS cases had been reported among persons ages 13-24 in 49 states and the District of Columbia,
nearly 1 out of 9 large metropolitan areas, and numerous small towns and rural communities across the country. Nearly one-third of AIDS cases among teens has been reported in areas with populations less than 500,000.

- In adults over 25, one in ten people with AIDS is female. In teenagers, this ratio drops to one in four. Heterosexual transmission accounts for 45% of AIDS cases among teenage girls, compared with 33% among women over age 25. Seventy percent of female adolescents with AIDS are racial or ethnic minority youth.

- Racial and ethnic minority populations are disproportionately represented among AIDS cases at all age levels, particularly in teens. While African-Americans and Hispanics comprise 15% and 12% of the U.S. teenage population (ages 13-19) respectively, they represent 37% and 19% of reported AIDS cases among teens. Among adults, African-Americans and Hispanic persons account for 28% and 16% of AIDS cases, respectively.

**YOUTH AT SHARPLY INCREASED RISK OF HIV INFECTION UNDER SERVED/REQUIRE TARGETED INTERVENTIONS**

- Each year, an estimated one million adolescents run away from home or are homeless. Lacking skills and resources to support themselves, many resort to unprotected "survival sex" (the exchange of sex for money, food, shelter or drugs). Runaway and homeless youth also report high levels of alcohol and other drug use, and increased rates of STDs, pregnancy, sexual abuse and rape.

- In 1991, the San Francisco Department of Public Health found that among more than 250 gay and bisexual men ages 17-25, youth under age 20 had the highest rate of HIV infection (14.3%), and were significantly more likely than older men to report unprotected oral or anal sex. High rates of risky sexual and drug-related behavior have also been found in gay and bisexual male teens in Minnesota and New York City. Despite elevated risk, few prevention efforts and services have been targeted to gay and bisexual youth.

- A 1989 survey found that 95,621 youth were held in public and private juvenile facilities and adult jails on census day. Although data on risk-taking and services available to detained and incarcerated youth are scarce, one study found that virtually all (99%) detainees were sexually experienced, more than half reported first intercourse before or at age 12, only 28% reported consistent condom use, and 13% reported having injected drugs. Other studies have shown high rates of STDs and low knowledge about HIV risk-reduction strategies.

- High rates of sexual activity, pregnancy and drug use have been found in youth in foster care. In one study of girls ages 13-18, those in foster care were 50% more likely to report having had sex and were significantly less informed about birth control than girls who were not in foster care. Confusion about who should provide HIV prevention and services to adolescents in foster care is widespread, and most states do not have specific policies to address HIV-related issues affecting children and youth in foster care.

- Injected drug use plays an important role in fueling the HIV epidemic. While rates of injected drug use are lower among youth than adults, sharing "works" is most common at the earliest stages of injected drug use, and 10% of persons in drug treatment programs who reported intravenous drug use were age 21 or younger.

- Crack use has contributed to high levels of HIV and other STDs in a number of states, including Georgia, New Jersey and New York. A study of teenage crack users in Oakland and San Francisco, California, found that 96% were sexually active, 51% had combined crack with sex, 41% had a history of STDs, 25% had traded sex for drugs or money, and first use of condoms occurred two years after sexual activity began.
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- Among applicants to the military, African-American youth were five times more likely than whites to be infected with HIV. By age 21, nearly one in 80 minority entrants to the Job Corps was infected with HIV. Higher rates of STDs and sexual activity and lower knowledge about AIDS have been reported in minority youth than in white youth.

- An estimated one in four girls and one in six boys are sexually assaulted before age 18. One recent study found that compared to persons who were not sexually abused as children, survivors of sexual abuse were four times more likely to be working as prostitutes, almost three times more likely to become pregnant before age 18, and twice as likely to have multiple sexual partners during one year, increasing their risk of HIV infection.

- Out-of-school youth, who are at increased risk of infection, are unlikely to have access to HIV prevention information. In FY 1989, only 4% of state educational agency funds and 7% of local educational agency funds had been allocated to prevention for out-of-school youth, and after two years of funding, one-third of the state agencies had no programming in place. Respondents to Select Committee interviews reported that once these youth are identified, HIV prevention efforts are often successful.

- While few new cases of AIDS have been attributed to contaminated blood and blood products, more than half of Americans with hemophilia may already be infected with HIV. Sexual partners of infected hemophilic teens are at increased risk of infection.

COMPREHENSIVE HIV PREVENTION PROGRAMS THAT COMBINE SKILLS TRAINING, ACCESS TO CARE, AND COMMUNITY SUPPORT HAVE SHOWN PROMISE IN REDUCING RISKY BEHAVIOR

- A recent analysis of 100 programs that were successful in reducing high-risk behavior among youth found several common strategies: early intervention; intense one-on-one
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attention; social skills training; involvement of parents; schools as the focal point; and community-wide, multi-agency approaches to provide resources and reinforce messages. Peer educators have been used in several successful prevention programs, and many effective models include preparation for entering the labor market.

- The Youth and AIDS Project at the University of Minnesota provides individual risk reduction counseling, peer education, and referral to psychosocial services to gay and bisexual youth. Initial data indicate that participants report decreases in risky sexual behavior, regular use of alcohol during sexual situations, dysfunctional substance abuse, and denial of personal vulnerability to AIDS. Consistent use of condoms during anal intercourse nearly doubled.

- A 1991 study of 145 runaway and homeless youth in New York City found that comprehensive intervention, including discussion of knowledge about HIV, coping and social skills training, and access to medical and other services significantly reduced risk-taking behavior among these youth. After participating in the program, reports of consistent condom use increased from less than 25% to more than 60%. Very high-risk sexual behavior (multiple sexual partners and encounters, and failure to use condoms) decreased from nearly 25% to zero.

COST OF HIV-RELATED TREATMENT SKYROCKETING/SPECIFIC COSTS FOR YOUTH UNKNOWN, ACCESS TO CARE LIMITED

- By 1994, the cost of caring for all persons with HIV disease and AIDS may reach $10.6 billion. The annual costs per person of treating AIDS and HIV disease are estimated at $32,000 and $5,150, respectively. Estimates for the cost of treating adolescents are not available.

- In recent years, Medicaid has covered an increasing share of medical costs associated with the HIV epidemic. However, an estimated 4.6 million adolescents ages 10-18 lack health
insurance and remain ineligible for Medicaid. Young adults ages 19-24 are even less likely to be privately insured or eligible for public assistance.

- In 1989, the most recent year for which data are available, at least 1.6 million adolescents in the U.S. needed treatment for alcohol and other drug abuse, but only 123,500 actually received it. Select Committee interview respondents from around the country reported critical drug treatment shortages.

- Although many experts agree the most promising strategy to reduce risky behavior and promote healthy decisions is a comprehensive K-12 school health program, only about 300 schools in the country have any kind of school-linked health facility. These facilities provide access to general primary care, counseling, and preventive services to many youth who may not have another health care provider. By November 1991, only one in eight school-linked health facilities distributed condoms or was planning to do so.

LEGAL AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR PROVIDING HIV-RELATED SERVICES TO ADOLESCENTS REMAIN

- The HIV epidemic has raised complicated legal and ethical issues for adolescents living with the virus and society at large. These include HIV-antibody testing, access to medical treatment, protection against discrimination, privacy rights, duty to warn, types and content of educational interventions, and liability concerns.

- Early treatment has delayed the progression of HIV disease, causing some to advocate increased HIV-antibody testing. However, few resources have been devoted to providing adequate counseling and treatment, and the privacy of youth who are tested is often unprotected. Without addressing these concerns, experts warn that HIV-antibody screening of adolescents does more harm than good.

- Adolescents’ authority to consent for HIV testing and treatment for HIV-related services varies by state. By

FEDERAL EFFORTS TO COMBAT HIV AND AIDS AMONG ADOLESCENTS UNDERFUNDED, UNCOORDINATED AND INSUFFICIENT

- While many Federal agencies report HIV-related efforts that serve or target youth, it is virtually impossible to determine the depth or extent of these efforts. Among the primary Federal agencies that provide health care research, treatment, prevention, and services to youth, total HIV-related spending was approximately $107 million in FY 1991 -- estimated to be less than 5% of the total Federal AIDS budget. The Health Care Financing Agency, which administers Medicaid, is unable to determine the cost of HIV-related care provided to adolescents.

- Federal HIV-related efforts targeting or serving adolescents are piecemeal and uncoordinated. Federal health policy regarding adolescents and HIV is essentially nonexistent. No agency surveyed by the Select Committee had evaluated its HIV-related efforts for adolescents on an agency-wide basis, and few resources are dedicated to disseminating promising model programs.

September 1991, 11 states (AZ, CA, CO, DE, IA, MI, MT, NM, NY, OH, and WI) had specific statutes authorizing minors to consent for HIV testing. Twelve states (AL, FL, IL, KY, MI, MT, NV, SC, TN, VT, WA, and WI) had specific statutes authorizing adolescents to consent only to treatment for AIDS or HIV.

- State laws, financial barriers and a dearth of adequately trained health care providers severely impede the provision of adequate HIV-related medical treatment to adolescents. Participation in experimental clinical trials makes high-quality medical services available to some adolescents. Adolescents’ authority to consent to participation in research, however, is even more limited than the authority to consent to established treatment.
• The Ryan White CARE Act, the Federal law most likely to improve adolescents' access to HIV-related treatment and services, has been severely underfunded. In the past two years, funding for Ryan White programs was less than one-third of the total authorized by Congress.

• Federal programs such as community and migrant health centers (CHCs and MHCs) and Title X family planning clinics have the potential to reach medically underserved teens with key HIV-related information (e.g., instructions for proper condom usage) and services. Yet, between 1980 and 1991, funding for CHCs and MHCs declined by 2.4% and funding for Title X decreased by 61%, adjusted for inflation.

• Categorical funding of prevention efforts prohibits efforts to address related risky behaviors, such as sexual activity and drug use. The Office of Drug Free Schools in the U.S. Department of Education has one of the largest Federal prevention budgets, and Drug Free Schools programs are mandated in every state. Despite apparent leeway in the statute and the recommendation of the Office of Substance Abuse Prevention, the U.S. Department of Education has refused requests to include information about other HIV-related risk behaviors in this prevention program.

• The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) allocates funds to state and local educational agencies to provide HIV prevention education to in-school and out-of-school youth. In 1990, the General Accounting Office (GAO) found that only two-thirds of public school districts offered any formalized HIV education, that teacher training was insufficient, and that HIV prevention programs in schools were unevaluated. While CDC has begun to respond to many of the criticisms raised by GAO and others, (i.e., with new regional teacher training centers), current funding constraints mean that prevention programming is available only to a small minority of those at highest risk, and state-of-the-art school-based programming is sparse.

FEDERAL RESTRICTIONS ON EXPLICITNESS OF PREVENTION MATERIALS AND LACK OF BEHAVIORAL RESEARCH LIMIT PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS

• While communities have the final say regarding curriculum issues, CDC guidelines for the content of prevention programs fail to recommend that explicit means for reducing AIDS risk be described in middle schools. According to the Institute of Medicine at the National Academy of Sciences, the omission of information about the protective value of consistent condom usage is potentially dangerous since some students are sexually active during these years.

• Few national data exist to assess the extent of many behaviors that put youth at risk of HIV, particularly among populations that are believed to be at increased risk of infection. Objections to research about the environmental influences on and prevalence of risky sexual behavior in adolescents have had chilling effects on efforts to gather information necessary for HIV prevention. Critical behavioral research has been cancelled, and the scientific community has been made aware that similar studies will not be funded in the future.

• Experts have called CDC requirements for content of HIV-related materials cumbersome and overly restrictive. These requirements have resulted in the elimination of discussions of homosexuality and specific behaviors that put an adolescent at risk of HIV from basic "AIDS 101" curricula. A newly revised version still requires duplication of review processes, and prohibits language that will be offensive to "...a majority of adults outside the intended audience.".

• The CDC and numerous researchers have found that correct and consistent use of latex condoms lubricated with the spermicide nonoxynol 9 prevents transmission of STDs, including HIV. However, a new CDC public information campaign fails to mention either condoms or sex in public service announcements.
KEY FINDINGS FROM INTERVIEWS
CONDUCTED BY SELECT COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN, YOUTH, AND FAMILIES

Community support for HIV prevention and services for teens is critical to program success. In order to determine how to increase community support for efforts to protect adolescents from HIV infection, and how to overcome community resistance to these programs, the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families conducted interviews with representatives of 29 programs in 20 states that attempt to prevent adolescent HIV infection. Major findings were:

- Apathy is a greater problem for prevention programs than community resistance. Resistance had a silver lining -- it often shattered apathy in the community at large and motivated active support for programs that help keep teens safe.

- Parent involvement in planning is linked with involvement of other community segments.

- Community support snowballs when key groups are recruited.

- Programs that work with national organizations to provide state-of-the-art services gain the most support from community leaders and organizations.

- Programs that belong to coalitions operate with lower budgets.

- Programs find the local data from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey valuable in fighting denial and apathy and in planning prevention efforts, but need more information about the sexual and drug-use behaviors of American teens.

- Programs that evaluate their efforts enjoy the greatest support; evaluation by outside experts is linked with support from the local business community.

Barriers to additional progress in preventing adolescent HIV infection were identified, and include:

- Denial of risk, a problem faced by more than 80% of the prevention programs interviewed.

- A lack of action in communities that still have few AIDS cases, and an opportunity to avoid the problem.

- A shortage of general medical services, family planning services, and drug treatment services that are available to and appropriate for adolescents.

- Difficulties in reaching out-of-school youth.

- A need for funding.

- A need for technical assistance, especially in program evaluation.
CHAPTER I
MILLIONS OF AMERICAN YOUTH ARE AT RISK FOR HIV INFECTION

HIV is transmitted through sexual contact (vaginal, oral, and anal), contaminated needles or syringes, infected blood or blood products, transplanted tissue or organs from an infected donor, and from mother to fetus. The number of adolescents currently infected with HIV is unknown. Authorities have reported relatively few cases of AIDS among teens. However, substantial evidence indicates that thousands of adolescents are already infected with HIV and millions of youth are at risk of infection.

The extent to which the HIV epidemic has spread in any population is estimated by a variety of methods, including AIDS case surveillance, HIV seroprevalence studies, and surveys of HIV risk factors such as sexual and drug use behaviors. This chapter discusses the findings and limitations of each of these methodologies as used with adolescents.

A. THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF AIDS AMONG ADOLESCENTS -- NEARLY 9,000 YOUNG ADULTS ALREADY DIAGNOSED WITH AIDS

AIDS case surveillance data provide a readily available method of tracking the spread of HIV. These data, collected by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), indicate gradual changes in the spread of the HIV epidemic and the emergence of populations that are at risk of infection. It is important to note, however, that AIDS case surveillance data only track the end stage of HIV infection, thereby significantly underestimating its progression. Changes in the spread of HIV will not be reflected by patterns of AIDS cases for years.

For example, the number of teens diagnosed with AIDS is relatively small. However, nearly one-fifth of all U.S. AIDS cases have been reported in persons ages 20-29. The best estimates available indicate that the mean incubation period between HIV infection and clinical diagnosis of AIDS is eight to ten years. Therefore, it is unlikely that persons infected during their teenage years would be diagnosed with AIDS as teens. As noted by the National Research Council:

Even with the assumption of a median incubation period of eight years, fewer than one-half of persons infected with HIV at age 13 would be expected to develop AIDS during their teenage years, and even fewer of those infected in the late teens would develop AIDS before age 20. Those persons who are diagnosed with AIDS during their teens will be drawn mainly from the group of persons whose incubation periods were markedly shorter than the median and who were infected during their early teens.

Other limitations of using AIDS case data to estimate the extent of the HIV epidemic are related to problems with reporting the data. AIDS cases may be under-reported by as much as 20% in a number of states, including those with a high prevalence of HIV infection.

Further, CDC reports AIDS case data for adolescents in broad age groups (13-19 and 20-24) rather than by specific age at diagnosis. These age groupings are somewhat arbitrary and confusing. By the end of 1991, 789 cases of AIDS had been diagnosed in youth ages 13-19. However, by adding cases among youth ages 20-21, the total more than doubles to 2,552, and by adding young adults ages 22-24, the total number of AIDS cases more than triples again to 8,549. Since many services are available to adolescents through age 18 or 21, many experts advocate for presenting AIDS case data by specific age at diagnosis or by narrow age groups.

(a) The incubation period may depend on the route of transmission and the age of the individual infected. Natural history studies of hemophiliacs infected with HIV suggest that adolescents and children remain asymptomatic longer than adults. [Miller, H.L., et al. (eds.) 1990, op cit.]

(b) For the purposes of this report, recognizing the limitations described above, AIDS case data will be presented according to the broad age groups currently reported by CDC.
In addition, without requesting a special data run by CDC, it is impossible to determine by gender, both the mode of transmission and the race/ethnicity of AIDS cases within the broad age groups. These data are particularly important because as will be illustrated in this chapter, the mode of transmission varies significantly between females and males and by race and ethnicity.

Finally, the definition of AIDS has changed several times since it was first identified more than a decade ago to more accurately reflect the opportunistic diseases that indicate advanced HIV disease in women, children and adults. CDC has recently proposed expanding the definition of AIDS for adolescents and adults to include people with severe HIV disease. This change would nearly double the number of people in the U.S. with AIDS by including an additional 160,000 persons.10

AIDS Cases Among Youth Rising Quickly

As shown in Table 1 and Figure 1, the number of AIDS cases in young adults has increased dramatically in recent years, with more than half of all cases in persons ages 13-24 having been reported in the last 36 months of the decade-long epidemic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>13-19</th>
<th>20-24</th>
<th>13-24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1981</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1983</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>1,263</td>
<td>1,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>2,204</td>
<td>2,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>3,622</td>
<td>3,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>5,063</td>
<td>5,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>6,694</td>
<td>7,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>8,160</td>
<td>8,949</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(10) Special data request prepared by Reporting and Analysis Section, Surveillance Branch, Centers for Disease Control. August 1, 1991, and January 13, 1992. Numbers are reported from January through December for each year.
The epidemiology of AIDS cases among teens and young adults (ages 13-24) differs from that of older adults in several important ways. Adolescents and young adults are more likely than adults to have acquired the virus through heterosexual transmission, or as a result of exposure to contaminated blood and blood products, and are less likely to have been infected as a result of homosexual activity or injecting drug use.

As shown in Table 2, the mode of transmission varies significantly by gender. Transmission through heterosexual contact accounts for 45% of AIDS cases among teenage females, compared with 2% of AIDS cases in males ages 13-19. It should also be noted that a large proportion of AIDS cases among young teens is related to hemophilia in males or blood transfusions. By mid- and late adolescence, however, the mode of transmission shifts dramatically to sexual transmission (both heterosexual or homosexual).
### Table 2: AIDS Cases Among Adolescents and Adults, By Gender and Exposure Category, Reported Through 1991(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure Category</th>
<th>Ages 13-19</th>
<th>Ages 20-24</th>
<th>Ages 25 &amp; Older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men who have sex with men</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>(34.1%)</td>
<td>4,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting drug use</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>(7.6%)</td>
<td>856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men who have sex with men and inject drugs</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>(5.7%)</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemophilia/coagulation disorder</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>(41.2%)</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual contact</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(2.0%)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt of blood transfusion, blood components or tissue</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>(4.7%)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/undetermined</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(4.3%)</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Subtotal</td>
<td>577</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting drug use</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>(26.9%)</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemophilia/coagulation disorder</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(1.9%)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual contact</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>(45.3%)</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipt of blood transfusion, blood components or tissue</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>(12.3%)</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/undetermined</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>(13.7%)</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Subtotal</td>
<td>212</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,395</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** = less than one percent

(1) CDC. January 1992. op cit.; Special data run January 13, 1992. Division of HIV/AIDS, Center for Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control. Totals may not add to 100% due to rounding.

### Females and Minorities Represent Greater Proportion of Cases of AIDS in Youth

Among individuals with AIDS, younger adults and teens are more likely than older adults to be female and members of a racial or ethnic minority group. While the majority of U.S. AIDS cases are reported among men, women now account for more than 10% of all U.S. cases among adolescents and adults (ages 13 and older). In fact, during 1991, the number of AIDS cases among adolescent and adult women increased by 37%, compared with 27% among men.12

Comparing the ratio of AIDS cases between men and women provides further evidence of the important role that heterosexual transmission of HIV-plays among teens and young adults. Among AIDS cases diagnosed in persons ages 25 or older, nine men are diagnosed for each diagnosis among women. In cases among persons ages 20-24, the ratio of males to females is less than five to one, and in teens it drops to less than three cases among males for each case reported among females.

Racial and ethnic minority populations are disproportionately represented among AIDS cases at all age levels. This is especially true among teens and younger adults. As shown in Table 3, compared with AIDS cases among adults over age 24, African-American, Hispanic, and other racial and ethnic minority youth account for a greater proportion of AIDS cases among persons under age 25. While African Americans represent 15.2% of the U.S. population ages 13-19, they represent 37% of all AIDS cases reported in that age group. Similarly, Hispanics represent 11.7% of the teens, but 19% of AIDS cases reported among persons ages 13-19.10

---

(10) Based on 1990 Census data, 80.2% of the U.S. population ages 13-19 is white, 15.2% is African-American, 3.5% is Asian/Pacific Islander, and 1.1% is American Indian/Native American. Teens with Hispanic origin represent 11.7% of the U.S. population ages 13-19; however, Hispanic persons may be of any race. Therefore, the percentages noted here reflect overlapping groups. Special data run, U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Population Division. March 2, 1992.
AIDS Cases Among Adolescents and Adults, By Gender and Race/Ethnicity, Reported Through 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Ages 13-19</th>
<th>Ages 20-24</th>
<th>Ages 25 and older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>(73%)</td>
<td>6,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>(27%)</td>
<td>1,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>789</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, not Hispanic</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>(42%)</td>
<td>3,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, not Hispanic</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>(37%)</td>
<td>2,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>(19%)</td>
<td>1,612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** = less than 1%

AIDS cases among teens and young adults reported in 49 states and nearly 100 large metropolitan areas.

AIDS case data also indicate that the epidemic has spread quickly from the early epicenters that included New York, California, and Florida. By the end of 1991, 44 states and the District of Columbia had reported AIDS among teens, and 49 states and the District of Columbia had reported AIDS cases among young adults age 20-24. (See Table 4)
Table 4: AIDS Cases in Persons Ages 13-24, By State and Age Group, Reported Through 1991(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State of Residence</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>State of Residence</th>
<th>Age Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13-19</td>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>13-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1116</td>
<td>1196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>874</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1272</td>
<td>1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Special data request prepared by Reporting and Analysis Section, Surveillance Branch, Centers for Disease Control. January 13, 1992. Note: CDC surveillance data list the District of Columbia as a state of residence.
### Table 5: AIDS Cases in Persons Ages 13-24 in Metropolitan Areas Having Populations of 500,000 or More, By Age Group, Reported Through 1991(1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Area</th>
<th>Age Group 13-15</th>
<th>Age Group 16-24</th>
<th>Age Group 25-34</th>
<th>Age Group 35-44</th>
<th>Age Group 45-54</th>
<th>Age Group 55-64</th>
<th>Age Group 65+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akron, OH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albany/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schenectady, NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allenwood, PA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annapolis, CA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta, GA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>213</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, TX</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield, CA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baton Rouge, LA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergen-Passaic, NJ</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birmingham, AL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston, MA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgeport, CT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo, NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston, SC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlotte, NC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus, OH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas, TX</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayton, OH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit, MI</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Paso, TX</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lauderdale, FL</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth, TX</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno, CA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond, FL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Rapids, MI</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greensboro, NC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greensboro, SC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

B. SEROPREVALENCE STUDIES INDICATE RAPID SPREAD OF HIV AMONG ADOLESCENTS

The CDC estimates that approximately one million Americans are currently infected with HIV and that at least 40,000 new HIV infections occur each year among adults and adolescents. As noted previously, while AIDS case data provide a readily available source of data about the HIV epidemic, they severely underestimate its spread. Probability samples of the prevalence of HIV infection among individuals drawn from well defined populations would provide a more accurate assessment of the extent of the epidemic. With few exceptions, however, HIV seroprevalence studies are drawn from samples of convenience which do not include adolescents.

Seroprevalence studies based on nonprobability samples that have included adolescents and young adults have found a wide range of HIV infection. For example, among the nearly 950 youth ages 15-19 who used an STD clinic in Baltimore during a four-month period in 1987, 2.2% (or about one in 50) were infected with HIV. Among patients ages 15-24 who were served by a Bronx hospital during a nine-month period in 1988, 3.6% of males and 2.5% of females were infected with HIV. In New York City, one in 170 teenage women (under age 20) who delivered live births between November 30, 1987 and March 31, 1990 was infected. By contrast, among students served by 17 U.S. college health units between 1988 and 1989, 0.2% (or one in 500) was infected with HIV.

To date there are no national epidemiologic studies of HIV infection among adolescents. The lack of population-based data makes it impossible to accurately estimate the prevalence of HIV infection among adolescents. The largest samples for national seroprevalence studies involving adolescents have come from applicants for U.S. military service and Job Corps entrants. (See Table 6 for summary.)

---

Table 6: Comparison of HIV Infection Rates Among Young Military Applicants and Job Corps Entrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Military Applicants</th>
<th>Job Corps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Data Collection</td>
<td>October 1988-1989</td>
<td>October 1987-1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Youth Tested</td>
<td>1,141,557</td>
<td>137,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race and Ethnicity of Study Population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>837,544</td>
<td>41,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>215,869</td>
<td>75,036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>55,630</td>
<td>14,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>32,514</td>
<td>6,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of Study Population</td>
<td>17-19 years</td>
<td>16-21 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent with HIV infection among</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>study population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent with HIV infection among males:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ages 16-17</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age 18</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age 19</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
<td>0.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age 20</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent with HIV infection among females:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ages 16-17</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
<td>0.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age 18</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age 19</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age 20</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV infection rates by Race and Ethnicity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>3.02%</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Job Corps is a Federally-funded educational and training program to help economically disadvantaged youth (ages 14-21) complete their education and learn skills that will help them obtain employment.


As indicated in Table 6, more than one in every 300 adolescents who entered the Job Corps during the study period was infected with HIV, compared with more than one in every 3,000 teenagers who applied for entry into military service. The tenfold difference in seroprevalence rates reflects differences in HIV prevalence in the populations sampled. The military sample was dominated by whites and high school graduates; the Job Corps sample consisted of predominantly disadvantaged minorities and high school dropouts. While drug addiction excludes applicants to both Job Corps and military service, the Job Corps does not exclude adolescents with a history of drug use, or who are homosexual and/or bisexual.

Despite differences in populations, both studies found higher rates of HIV infection in African-American and Hispanic youth than in white youth. By age 21, nearly one in 80 minority entrants to the Job Corps was infected with HIV.

The military and Job Corps studies also found that HIV infection rates were higher in females ages 16-18 than among males. In fact, the ratios of male-to-female infections were significantly lower than those found from AIDS case surveillance data, (1.1:1 and 1.2:1 in the military and the Job Corps studies respectively, compared with 2.7:1 in youth ages 13-19 diagnosed with AIDS).

C. DATA REGARDING SEXUAL BEHAVIORS AND DRUG USE AMONG ADOLESCENTS ALSO INDICATE THEY ARE AT RISK OF HIV INFECTION

Since the early 1970s, the incidence of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), unintended pregnancy, and other problems associated with sexual activity have increased among adolescents in the United States. For example, approximately one million adolescent females become pregnant each year. By 1989, birth rates for teenagers had increased to levels not observed for 15 years. Additionally, 86% of all STDs occur among persons ages 15-29 years. While not all teens are sexually active or drug users, available data indicate that many adolescents currently engage in sexual and drug use behaviors that put them at risk for HIV infection.

HIV infection.

For adolescents who have not had sexual intercourse and who have not shared needles or syringes, the risk of HIV infection is low. At higher risk are adolescents who:

- engage in sexual intercourse at early ages;
- have several sexual partners;
- have a sexually transmitted disease;
- practice intercourse without consistent and proper use of latex condoms with spermicide;
- engage in male-to-male sexual relations;
- engage in anal intercourse;
- inject drugs (including cocaine, amphetamines, steroids, and heroin);
- or who have sex with injecting drug users.

As noted by the National Research Council in 1990, no single statistic captures the complex dimensions of risk or the considerable variation in the prevalence of sexual and drug use behaviors across all ages, genders, and racial subgroups. However, research describing the prevalence of the above-mentioned behaviors among adolescents provides an alternative method of estimating their risk of HIV infection.

By age 20, 68% of adolescent females and 86% of adolescent males are sexually active. Two national surveys offer estimates of trends in adolescent sexual behavior: the 1988 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) and the 1988 National Survey of Adolescent Males (NSAM). During the past two decades, there has been a steady increase in both the proportion of young teens who are sexually active, and in the number of their premarital sexual partners.

Greater Proportion of Adolescent Females Sexually Active, Age of First Intercourse Dropping

According to the NSFG study, the percent of females ages 15-19, who reported having engaged in sexual intercourse rose from 47% in 1982 to 53% in 1988. As shown in Figure 2, much of the increase can be attributed to increases occurring among white and non-poor teens, thus narrowing racial and
Sexual activity increases dramatically with age among adolescent females. NSFG data tabulated by the National Research Council indicate that in 1988, 10% of females reported engaging in sexual intercourse before age 15; 35% reported intercourse before age 17; and nearly 70% of young women reported sexual intercourse before age 20. (See Table 7)

Table 7: Percent of Never-Married Females Born Between 1964-1972 Who Report Engaging in Sexual Intercourse, By Age at First Intercourse and Race(m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>African-American</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before 14</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 15</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 16</td>
<td>20.9%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 17</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 18</td>
<td>49.7%</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
<td>48.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 19</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td>62.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 20</td>
<td>68.2%</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
<td>66.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of Sexually Active Adolescent Males Also Using

Rates of sexual activity are also increasing among adolescent males. NSAM data (of males living in metropolitan areas) indicate that the proportion of males who had intercourse by age 19 increased from 78% in 1979 to 86% in 1988.33

As shown in Figure 3, in 1988, 33% of males age 15 reported having engaged in premarital intercourse. Among 17-year-old men, the proportion who were sexually active doubled to 66%; for those age 19, 86% reported having engaged in premarital sexual intercourse.34

---

Young men residing in metropolitan areas also reported numerous sexual partners. Nearly 20% of men ages 18-19 reported having had between three and five partners, and about the same proportion reported six to ten partners.45

One in Six Teens Affected by a Sexually Transmitted Disease Each Year, Prevalence of STDs Serves as Proxy for Sexual Risk Taking Among Youth

The aggregate annual costs of herpes, gonorrhea, chlamydia, and pelvic inflammatory disease are estimated to total $8.5 billion.27 In 1980, a National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Study Group concluded that STDs were the most pervasive, destructive, and costly communicable diseases confronting adolescents.38 Ten years later, the transmission of STDs in adolescents remains an urgent public health concern.

Adolescents are at higher risk for sexually transmitted infection than members of other age groups for a variety of behavioral, biological, and psychosocial reasons.39 The CDC estimates that three million teens, or one in six, are affected by an STD annually and that nearly two-thirds of the more than 12 million STDs diagnosed in 1990 were among persons under age 25.40 Left untreated, STDs may result in a number of serious health consequences including death, pelvic inflammatory disease, infertility, ectopic pregnancy, adverse pregnancy outcome, infant pneumonia, infant death, mental retardation, immune deficiencies, and neoplasia.41 The prevalence of STDs in young adults is particularly troubling in light of recent studies showing that HIV
transmission is enhanced when genital lesions from other STDs are present. 

No reliable sources of national data exist regarding STDs among adolescents. Because states are not required to report various STDs, there is little uniformity in data collection about the prevalence and incidence of most sexually transmitted diseases. Estimates of the prevalence of STDs are drawn from reportable disease registries, surveys of visits to office-based practices, data on patients attending specialized health facilities, and information gained from nationally representative samples of the population.

STD rates among teens vary by sex and race, with more STDs reported among females than males, and among minority youth than whites. As noted by the Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) in its recent Adolescent Health report, differences between males and females may be explained in part because sexually active females are more likely than males to seek reproductive health care or family planning services where they may be screened for STDs. High STD rates among African-American and Hispanic youth may reflect the tendency of these adolescents, who are disproportionately from low-income families, to use public health clinics which report STDs more completely than private practitioners. Additionally, higher rates of sexual activity among African-American youth may account for higher rates of STDs in this population.

As of March 1, 1989, only three states required reporting of chlamydia infections, the most common type of STD infection among adolescents. Data from selected studies show that chlamydia infection rates vary from 3% to 37% depending on the surveyed population. According to OTA, chlamydia infection has been found to be highly prevalent among certain subgroups of sexually active adolescents, particularly among African-American inner-city teenagers from low socioeconomic backgrounds. However, since the data are based on selected studies of teenagers attending various types of clinics, this finding may reflect the fact that many STD clinics are located in large metropolitan areas.

All 50 states require reporting of gonorrhea and syphilis. Because of the large number of cases reported every year, trends in gonorrhea provide the best estimates of STD patterns in teenagers. During the 1980s, while gonorrhea rates declined in most age groups, they increased in males ages 15-19. Similarly, females ages 15-19 assumed the highest age-specific gonorrhea rate in 1984, and widened the gap over the next several years. By 1989, more than one in four (29%) newly reported gonorrhea cases occurred among youth ages 10 to 19 years.

Information about the prevalence of other STDs also indicate that significant numbers of adolescents may be at risk of HIV. In 1989, the most recent year for which data are available, the number of syphilis cases reported in the United States was at its highest level since World War II. Between 1977 and 1987, the incidence rate of syphilis among females ages 10-14 and 15-19 increased approximately 120%. By 1989, nearly 10% of newly reported cases of syphilis were among persons ages 10-19.

The most common consequence of STD infection for women is pelvic inflammatory disease (PID). Between 1975 and 1981, more than 260,000 women ages 15 to 44 experienced an episode of PID. Of these women, more than one in seven (16%) were teens ages 15 to 19 years. Nearly half (43%) of women hospitalized with PID are under age 25.

Condom Use Increased During 1980s, Yet Many Adolescents Remain Unprotected From HIV and Other STDs

The most effective way to prevent infection from HIV and other STDs is to abstain from sexual intercourse. For those who are engaging in sexual intercourse, however, various studies among adults have found that correct and consistent use of latex condoms lubricated with the spermicide nonoxynol 9 provides an effective barrier to prevent transmission of STDs, including HIV.

At hearings in June 1991 entitled: "The Risky Business of Adolescence: How to Help Teens Stay Safe," some Members of the Select Committee expressed concern that promoting condom use among sexually active adolescents could actually increase risk
of HIV infection because condoms are not 100% effective. Dr. Lloyd Kolbe, Director of the Division of Adolescent and School Health of the CDC, responded to these concerns. In collaboration with the Division of STD/HIV Prevention at CDC, Dr. Kolbe summarized the extensive body of research about condom effectiveness. His response included the following points:

- When latex condoms are used consistently and correctly, they are extremely effective in preventing sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), including HIV.
- Although latex condoms have reduced the risk of contracting STDs in both laboratory and clinical studies, effectiveness has varied. Several studies show 100% effectiveness for latex condoms. The individual user, not the condom, is more likely to be responsible for any failure in protection from sexually transmitted infection or unwanted pregnancy.
- While the "typical" failure rate of condoms as contraceptives is approximately 10-20%, condom effectiveness as a contraceptive increases with experience, and failure rates as low as 0.6% have been documented.
- Manufacturing defects are quite uncommon. Each domestically manufactured condom is individually electronically tested for pinholes and areas of thinning, and imported batches are subject to testing by the Food and Drug Administration.

See Appendix C for materials submitted by Kolbe in response to concerns about condom effectiveness.

Between 1982 and 1988, the percent of teenage females practicing contraception rose significantly, from 24% to 32%, reflecting primarily an increase in condom use by this population. In fact, the percent of females ages 15-19 who reported reliance on condoms for contraception increased from 21% in 1982 to 33% in 1988. During the same years, the percent of females relying on the pill for contraception decreased from 64% to 59%.

The proportion of females ages 15-19 who reported contraceptive use at first intercourse also increased during the

Table 8: Percent of Sexually-Experienced Females Ages 15-19 Who Used Condoms and/or Any Method of Contraception at First Intercourse, By Race/Ethnicity, 1982 and 1988

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1982</th>
<th>1988</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any Method</td>
<td>Condom Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>54.6%</td>
<td>24.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite these improvements, it is important to note that more than one-third of females ages 15-19 do not use any method of contraception at first intercourse and that many young people, particularly females, delay contraceptive use for up to a year after first intercourse.

Condom use among males has also increased significantly in the past decade. In 1979, 21% of sexually-active males ages 17-19 who were surveyed reported that they had used a condom at last intercourse. This figure rose to 58% in 1988. As mentioned previously, condom use at first intercourse is especially important for HIV prevention, because younger teens tend to delay contraceptive use, including use of condoms, for many months or even years. Table 9 summarizes data reported by the 1988 National Survey of Adolescent Males.

Table 9: Percent of Never-Married, Sexually-Active Males Ages 15-19, By Contraceptive Method Used At First Intercourse, According to Age at First Intercourse and Race/Ethnicity, 1988

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method and Age at First Intercourse</th>
<th>All Races</th>
<th>African-American</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condoms Alone or With Other Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all ages</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>57.9%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-14</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>60.8%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-19</td>
<td>64.1%</td>
<td>63.7%</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective or No Contraceptive Method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all ages</td>
<td>37.8%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-14</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-19</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Homosexual Behavior May Be More Common in Teens than Previously Thought

As mentioned previously, although male homosexual behavior is implicated in a large number of AIDS cases among youth, a lack of reliable data has prevented accurate assessment of the prevalence of homosexual activity and risk-taking among adolescents who identify themselves as gay or lesbian.

Since the Kinsey studies were conducted during the 1940s and 1950s, only one study using data from a national probability sample has estimated the prevalence of same-gender sex. This 1970 survey found that at least 20% of American men have had same-gender sexual contact to the point of orgasm, with the majority reporting that the first contact of this type occurred during their teenage years or earlier.

Other smaller studies have confirmed that homosexual experimentation is more frequent among adolescents than commonly believed. One study of high school students in the Bronx found that 10% of female students and 9% of male students reported same-gender sex, and four other surveys of young American men found that the incidence of homosexual activity resulting in orgasm on at least one occasion ranged from 17% to 37%.

Homosexual activity during adolescence does not necessarily confirm a gay or lesbian sexual orientation, but it is important to note that many adolescents who may not consider themselves to be homosexual or bisexual, nevertheless participate in same-gender sexual behaviors that place them at risk of HIV infection. (See Chapter III for further discussion of homosexual behavior among youth.)

Anal Intercourse Practiced by Heterosexual Adolescent Partners

Anal intercourse is particularly risky for HIV transmission because it may cause small tears in the rectal lining, which can allow infected semen to enter the bloodstream. National estimates of the prevalence of this behavior are unavailable. However, one study found that more than one-fourth (25.2%) of teenage females attending an adolescent outpatient clinic in New York City reported having engaged in anal intercourse, and another study found that of more than 100 females ages 15-21 interviewed at a family planning clinic, 12% reported that they had engaged in anal intercourse.
Nearly One Million Teens Exchange Sex for Food, Shelter, Money, or Drugs

An estimated 150,000 adolescents become involved in prostitution each year, and according to one study by the American Medical Association, an estimated 900,000 teens have exchanged sex for food, shelter, money, or drugs. Of these youth, two-thirds are female.

Drug Use Prevalent Among Teens, Associated with Risky Sexual Behavior

Millions of young adults experiment with alcohol and other drug use each year. Although intravenous drug use is particularly risky in terms of HIV infection, other drugs can play a less direct role in HIV transmission because they can impair a user's judgment, leading the user to engage in higher risk sexual behaviors.

Data from the 1991 National Household Survey and the 1990 National High School Senior Survey indicate that over four million young people ages 12-17 (20.1% of this population) have used an illicit drug at least one time during their lives; over 2.9 million (14.8%) have used an illicit drug within the past year, and nearly 1.4 million (6.8%) of young people ages 12-17 had used illicit drugs in the month prior to the interview. (See Table 10)

Table 10. Percent of Adolescents Ages 12 to 17 Reporting Drug Use, 1991(4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Class</th>
<th>Ever Used</th>
<th>Used at Least Once In Previous Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any illicit Drug</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalants</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinogens</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crack</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmedical Use of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotherapeutics</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulants</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedatives</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranquilizers</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Older teens are even more likely to report illicit drug use, as indicated by data from the National High School Senior Drug Survey in Table 11.

Table 11. Percent of High School Class of 1990 Reporting Drug Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Ever Used</th>
<th>Used at Least Once In Previous Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana</td>
<td>40.7%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalants</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinogens</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crack</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Opiates</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulants</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedatives</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tranquilizers</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>80.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1991, the Department of Health and Human Services reported that eight million junior and senior high school students (nearly 40% of this population) reported weekly consumption of alcohol, including 5.4 million students who had "binged" with five or more drinks in a row, and 454,000 who reported an average weekly consumption of 15 drinks.27

Adolescents who may be at highest risk of injecting drug use or risky sexual behavior related to alcohol or drug using behavior include youngsters who have engaged in prostitution, are runaways or are homeless, have been detained or incarcerated, or have been abused.28

It is important to note that the High School Senior Survey included only adolescents who attended high school. However, drug use has been documented at significantly higher levels among certain segments of the teenage population, many of whom do not attend school.29 Similarly, while the 1990 National Household Survey sample included adolescent school dropouts living at home and persons living in homeless shelters, it does not include runaways, transient populations (such as homeless youth not in shelters), or those who were detained or incarcerated.

Crack Use Linked To Spread of HIV

Crack (a smokable form of cocaine) is believed to play an important role in the HIV epidemic due to its highly addictive properties and its association with increases in an individual's sexual drive and subsequent increases in unprotected sexual activity.30 According to the Chairman of the National Commission on AIDS, June Osborne, crack use is thought to have contributed to high levels of HIV and other STDs in a number of states including New York, New Jersey, and Georgia.31

A study of 222 African-American teenage crack users from San Francisco and Oakland found that 96% were sexually active, 62% had sold crack, 51% had combined crack use and sex, 41% reported a history of STDs, and 25% had exchanged sexual favors for drugs or money. While the average age of first intercourse was 12.8 years among the study population, the age at first condom use was 14.8 years.32 (See Chapter III for further analysis of drug use among youth and its role in the HIV epidemic.)
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CHAPTER II
PREVENTING RISKY BEHAVIOR IN ADOLESCENTS

There is no preventive vaccine or cure for AIDS, so eliminating risky behavior that might lead to HIV infection is the only way to stop the spread of the virus. Effective HIV prevention programs for adolescents must dispel myths, impart knowledge about HIV transmission and self-protection, reinforce or instill health promoting attitudes and behaviors, and ultimately lead to sustained avoidance of high-risk behavior.

Few HIV prevention programs for adolescents have been rigorously evaluated. Some interventions have increased knowledge about AIDS or even induced reported changes in relevant attitudes. Unfortunately, responses on paper in a classroom do not guarantee reductions in high-risk behavior or increases in health-promoting behavior.

HIV prevention programs have adopted promising strategies from efforts to reduce smoking and other risky behaviors common among teenagers. Additional HIV prevention program guidance has emerged from the front-line experience of educators, public health officials and youth service providers.

Even if a cure for HIV infection is found, prevention will continue to be necessary. New treatments will bring with them complex issues of experimentation with human subjects and problems with resource allocation. As the rise in the rate of syphilis infection has shown, no single intervention, even effective treatment, is likely to totally eradicate this major public health problem.

A. COMPREHENSIVE HIV PREVENTION PROGRAMS MOST PROMISING

During the past several years, many efforts to reduce or eliminate the risk of HIV infection have been undertaken. Prevention campaigns targeting teenagers are underway in classrooms, school-based and community-based health clinics, other community settings, and the media.

Program elements range from individualized counseling to comic books. Some programs employ a single type of intervention, while others utilize various combinations of strategies.

The Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families held hearings in June 1991, The Risky Business of Adolescence: How to Help Teens Stay Safe, to identify effective strategies for preventing risky behavior in adolescents. Several witnesses at the hearings pointed out that "AIDS 101" is insufficient to elicit behavior change in teenagers.

William Gardner of the University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine listed some of the necessary components of effective programs in his testimony to the Committee:

- Preventive education should begin early, before risk-taking behaviors begin;
- The intervention must be persistent, with messages delivered through many channels;
- The intervention should be comprehensive, addressing all adolescent risk-taking behaviors;
- The intervention should include social skills training to help the adolescent cope with peer cultural support for risk-taking; and,
- When adolescents are already participating in high-risk behavior, they need access to health services and intensive, individualized attention.

Further direction for prevention programs comes from a report issued by the Committee on AIDS Research and the Behavioral, Social, and Statistical Sciences of the Institute of Medicine (IOM). The Committee found that HIV prevention programs should disseminate clear, specific information to all adolescents about the dangers of drug use and unprotected sex, and about the protective value of abstinence, condoms and spermicides. Adolescents at highest risk should be (1) provided special outreach and information, (2) aided in altering the
behaviors that put them at risk, and (3) offered assistance in escaping the social or economic conditions that foster risk-taking.9

This guidance was recently reiterated by the Office of Technology Assessment (OTA).10

Several sets of guidelines for HIV prevention programs for adolescents are available. References for guidelines are listed in Appendix C of this report, and include those developed by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and others tailored to address special needs such as those of young people in rural schools. Chapter III provides descriptions of model programs targeting specific populations.

B. LESSONS FROM TEEN PREGNANCY PREVENTION APPLY

Because few evaluations of HIV prevention programs for teens have been conducted to date,11 existing information about preventing other problems associated with high-risk behaviors must guide HIV prevention programmers.12 Certain program components have been shown to be necessary to prevent any type of undesirable outcome of high-risk sexual behavior in this age group, and program design must take into account the psychological realities of adolescence.13

No Single Solution: Education, Communication With Adults, Skills Training and Health Care Access All Needed to Reduce Pregnancy

The Office of Adolescent Pregnancy (OAP) has funded abstinence promotion over the last ten years.14 Studies of the impact of a single "Just Say No" approach to teen pregnancy prevention show that it does not actually change behavior, and may ignore important adolescent characteristics.15

Kathleen Sullivan, Director of Project Respect in Illinois, reported statistically significant differences in teens' reported beliefs and attitudes about sex after participation in her abstinence promotion program. For example, "When asked, 'Are sexual urges controllable?' 28% said 'Always' on the pre-test in this past year and that went up to 48% on the post test.16

However, formal evaluations of the program show no evidence of behavior change in Project Respect participants.27

By contrast, abstinence programs that add social skills training (including topics such as assertiveness, refusal skills, interpersonal problem solving, and decision making) which are taught by high status individuals (e.g., respected peers) have resulted in as much as one year's postponement of sexual initiation in young teens (8th and 9th grades).18 However, even the most successful abstinence programs have had little effect on the behavior of older teens who were already sexually active and therefore at higher risk of both pregnancy and HIV.19

Similarly, traditional school-based sex education alone, while necessary, appears insufficient to reduce the prevalence of unprotected sex. At the "Risky Business" hearing, Bradley P. Hayton cited evidence in his written testimony that sex education (in the absence of skills training, mentoring and health care access) has not been shown to delay sexual activity or to increase use of contraception.20

However, broader approaches that supplement education with certain additional services have proved effective with many older teenagers. A level of funding characteristic of multi-mode programs is required to "...provide ample dosage to offset this major socio-cultural problem.21

Demonstration programs such as The School/Community Program for Sexual Risk Reduction Among Teens, designed by Professor Murray Vincent of the School of Public Health of the University of South Carolina,22 and Preventing Adolescent Pregnancy, by Girls' Inc.23 have shown that teen pregnancy rates can be cut by more than one-third when several key program elements are combined. Effective demonstration programs comprised health care services (including contraceptive access or distribution), individual counseling, career planning, social skills training, parent/child communication workshops, and sexuality or family life education.24

It should be noted that, even when comprehensive programs have demonstrated effectiveness at one point in time, continual
monitoring and constant readjustments of program quality are necessary to maintain momentum. A case in point is the South Carolina example mentioned above -- pregnancy rates climbed back to original levels three years after the intervention when access to contraceptive services was reduced and trained teachers left the school system.25

Program planners in Maryland, recently named the model state in adolescent pregnancy prevention by the Southern Governors’ Association, have deemed comprehensive services the most promising approach. At the June hearings, Bronwyn Mayden, Executive Director of Maryland’s Governor’s Council on Adolescent Pregnancy, offered a partial explanation for the need for comprehensive services:

Different strategies are needed [because] adolescents are not a monolith...Teen pregnancy is a complex phenomenon involving concepts of personal worth and identity, social norms and pressures, the allure of taking pleasure while avoiding responsibility, and economic deprivation. This complexity demands a comprehensive response.26

Gardner also emphasized this point in the context of HIV prevention:

At present, efforts to reduce risky behaviors among adolescents are failing...The primary cause of this failure is the use of one-shot, educational efforts, where intensive, long-term programs are required.27

In summary, it is not unusual for adolescents to exhibit an increase in knowledge, or to report changes in their attitudes about sex as a result of participation in a single-mode intervention. However, teens appear to alter behavior patterns only if they receive a repeated, consistent message, develop the skills to make use of it, and have support for change from groups with whom they identify.28 Generally, in order to display marked effects of training, youth must participate in the majority of the sessions in a prevention curriculum,29 and have access to necessary health services. Individual attention from adults appears to be particularly important for youth at high risk.30

C. AIDS RISKS AND OTHER RISKS ARE RELATED

Adolescents who are prone to take risks often exhibit more than one kind of risky behavior.21 Thus, strategies shown to be successful in preventing smoking, drug abuse and other sexually transmitted diseases in adolescents may well apply to HIV prevention.22

For example, studies of smoking cessation programs have shown that attempts to frighten teenagers are not particularly effective, and can sometimes backfire.23 Interventions designed to prevent HIV infection should convince young people that they can become infected, while not raising anxiety levels to the point of creating denial, hopelessness, or negative effects on sexual development.24

In turn, effective HIV prevention programs should lower the prevalence of other problems caused by the same high-risk sexual and drug use behaviors that transmit HIV infection.25 Several witnesses at the June hearings told the Committee that narrowly targeted preventive efforts (such as the Drug Free School Program of the Department of Education) should be broadened to address other HIV-related risks.26

Lloyd Kolbe, Director of the Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH) of the CDC, advised the Committee that it would not be difficult to develop prevention techniques that address interrelated risks, noting that "CDC has designed its current program principally to prevent behaviors that result in HIV among youth...[but] it can be adapted to address other priority risk behaviors."27

Experience in school settings suggests an expansion of the focus of HIV prevention programs to other health-related behaviors wherever possible. Testimony submitted by the Human Rights Campaign Fund tracked the evolution of a model preventive program targeting gay and lesbian youth in the Los Angeles schools:

...into a general counseling and educational vehicle for both the gay and non-gay school population. The Project 10
model provides for education, school safety, drop-out prevention strategies, and support services.50

Research in a variety of settings has documented the success of a comprehensive approach to prevention of high-risk behavior. Joy Dryfoos, author of a recent book reviewing the adolescent risk prevention literature, has pointed out that:

The most successful programs [appear] to be focused on the underlying problems of youth, rather than simply the categorical behaviors such as using substances, being involved in precipitous sexual behavior or being truant.59

Underlying problems that Dryfoos found predictive of high-risk behavior include: a lack of basic skills or of parental support; little ability to resist peer pressure; living in a disadvantaged community; and, feelings of depression and stress.

D. KNOWLEDGE OF ADOLESCENT PSYCHOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT CRITICAL TO PROGRAM DESIGN

Taking the psychological development of teens into account when designing HIV prevention programs for this population presents considerable challenges, but it is essential that they be addressed. Adolescent psychology has been characterized by its strong orientation to peer culture, illusions of personal invulnerability, and natural tendency towards risk-taking.60

Dorothy Wodraska, a witness at the Select Committee's June prevention hearings from Project I-STAR (Indiana Students Taught Awareness and Resistance), a drug prevention program with demonstrated effectiveness in changing adolescent behavior, explained that middle school is a prime point for intervention because:

...young people are more susceptible to peer influence at this time compared to other stages in their development and are at greatest risk for beginning experimentation. Early adolescence is a high-risk period for young people, also the one most amenable to change and the one most associated with prevention of onset [of risky behavior].61

Furthermore, young adolescents’ thinking tends to be concrete and egocentric, and not to be future-oriented. Two important skills increase during adolescence: The ability to anticipate the consequences of one’s actions, and the ability to integrate specific facts into a general, coherent framework.62 Future consequences and implications of specific behaviors should be clearly articulated in teen-oriented prevention programs.63

There is also evidence that children and adolescents use decision rules different from those of adults in making moral judgments.64 Thus, forcing one limited version of morality on teenagers not only ignores the diversity in moral positions adults hold (a misrepresentation teens are likely to discern),65 but may also prove futile because of the way teenagers think.66

Optimally, all adolescents should be developing self-control and the ability to form mature intimate relationships.67 From one point of view, then, making decisions about substance use and sexual experimentation can serve to fulfill basic developmental needs.68 Ironically, this "normal" behavior can have life threatening consequences. An HIV prevention instructor's credibility can hinge on acknowledging the contradictions in society's messages to adolescents.69

E. HOW TO DELIVER CRITICAL AIDS MESSAGES

Experts recommend that certain specific facts should be imparted in HIV prevention classes, such as the relative superiority of latex over lambkin condoms in blocking transmission of the virus.50 However, the most important single message for adolescents may be: "It is not who you are, but what you do that places you at risk of AIDS." Emphasizing risk groups to teens can lead to self-labeling as immune. When the HIV virus is present, needle sharing among athletes using steroids can be just as deadly as unprotected sex with an IV drug user.51

Different aspects of a prevention message will be salient to teens at different ages. If information from smoking prevention program evaluations can be generalized, it is likely that physical aspects of the disease will be more meaningful to 12-year-olds, and that the social and psychological aspects will increase in

...
importance with age.32 Descriptions of immediate effects of high-risk behavior should be more compelling to adolescents than long-range impact.53

The OTA Adolescent Health report advised that the way information is transmitted may be important in students' ability to retain new knowledge. The OTA review provided support for multi-media presentations, active participation, and the use of role models to alter misconceptions and inoculate against media influences.54

Even when programmers take care to present essential information in the best possible form for a specific age group, information alone may not be sufficient to prevent high-risk behavior. Knowledge gains do not guarantee change in general attitudes, intentions to change specific behaviors, or change in high-risk behavior itself.55

OTA illustrated the limited value of information alone with the finding that, although most students know that condoms will help protect them, less than half of all adolescents who are sexually active use condoms, and only half of those who use them do so all the time. Moreover, perceived risk of AIDS did not predict condom use in a sample of adolescents at a family planning clinic in Baltimore,56 or in runaways in New York City.54

A dramatic increase in condom use was noted, however, when the full range of needs of a group of runaways was addressed by a comprehensive demonstration program.58

While research supports the recommendations made above, an important caution emerges from the same scientific literature. Well designed prevention programs (such as smoking cessation efforts) targeting adolescents have been somewhat successful with white, middle-class youth. However, with rare exceptions such as a recent project sponsored by the Rand Corporation,59 most evaluations have failed to demonstrate behavior change in minority youth. It may be possible to strengthen prevention programs for minority youth by finding out more about perceived meanings of high-risk behavior as they vary by gender, ethnicity, and social demographics. Involving parents and peers in the design of prevention programs should be useful in this respect,
education; (5) healthy school food service selections; (6) psychological assessment and counseling; (7) school site health promotion for faculty and staff; and, (8) integrated school and community health promotion efforts. School-linked clinics are designed to provide access to the health services and counseling that are necessary within the larger healthy school model.

Some states are attempting to initiate these comprehensive programs while simultaneously addressing the problem of teen access to health care. Testimony to the Committee provided by Lenore Zedosky, Assistant Director for the Office of Educational Support Services, West Virginia Department of Education, revealed that:

Forty-seven of the 55 counties in West Virginia are designated as medically underserved areas...as students become more responsible for their own health and well-being, they must have access to health services that complement the health education program. Health centers will be located in schools to provide cost-effective, comprehensive services for students of all ages.

According to a task force report submitted for the record of the June hearing by Governor Gaston Caperton of West Virginia:

West Virginia can show significant improvement in the health status of its citizens by establishing progressive comprehensive school health programs. Communities, businesses and individuals will all benefit from these collaborative efforts. Healthy young people will become better educated, more productive and be far less likely to need premature, costly social and health services.

Testimony submitted to the Committee by the American Academy of Pediatrics sounded an alarm regarding the extent of health and health care access problems experienced by teenagers throughout the United States:

Teenagers and young adults represent the only segment of the United States population for whom mortality has risen over the past quarter century...The rate of adolescent mortality is the highest in the industrialized world...obstacles to health services...are both financial and geographic...adolescents are likelier than is any other age group to be uninsured or under-insured for health care services.

A witness at the June hearings, Linda Diane Meloy, noted that:

In the face of all these risks, each adolescent needs a medical home to teach prevention, provide medical care, and to support appropriate development. A medical home must supply health instruction to the adolescent and their parents, medical care for the acute illnesses and chronic problems, counseling, phone service, and easy access twenty-four hours a day. I believe this expertise is found not in a clinic, but in the pediatrician's office and feel that every adolescent requires this care.

The Academy of Pediatrics statement agreed that, ideally, every adolescent should have such a 'medical home,' but went on to explain that:

Unfortunately, in many areas of our country, both urban and rural, this model of care is still unavailable. It is beyond debate that (in these special circumstances of physician scarcity) alternatives should be developed to fill the vacuum. Among such alternatives would certainly be school-based health care, which seeks to provide an impressive complement of services (logically) within the environment in which the adolescent is to be found.

School-linked clinics increase teenagers' access to HIV-relevant health services (such as STD diagnosis and treatment), and offer counseling that may be prerequisite to seeding HIV prevention messages for young people at high risk. (See Chapter IV.) As a central component of comprehensive school health programs, health services have the potential to elicit behavior change in many students. Witnesses urged Congress to consider supporting comprehensive school health programs despite their initial cost because, over time, an investment in healthy schools should prove to be extremely sound in both human and financial terms.

The imminent threat of HIV infection in adolescents has spurred a few communities to initiate school-based condom
distribution programs. While some of these programs were added to ongoing health services and/or education, a handful were implemented in the absence of more comprehensive programs and are too new to assess for effectiveness.59

Parent/Community/School Partnerships Are Needed

Too often, AIDS educators try only to reach adolescents themselves in order to create and maintain incentives for individual behavioral change in teenagers. According to a noted expert on adolescent risk-taking, Lewis P. Lipsitt, Executive Director for Science of the American Psychological Association, others must reinforce what the adolescents learn in school.79

Parents, teachers, peers, and the wider community play key roles in influencing the behavior of teenagers. All of these individuals and groups must learn more about the problem and participate actively in its solution to make preventive education fully effective.60

Parents have a special role to play in educating their children about HIV and AIDS. Involving parents reinforces information about HIV, its transmission and its prevention.61 Successful involvement does not just happen: For example, workshops for parents may be needed to help them overcome widespread hesitancy to talk to teens about matters related to sex. In testimony before the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families, Robert Silverstone, President of the Sex Information and Education Council of the U.S. (SIECUS), explained that parents often feel they lack an appropriate vocabulary for talking about sex, doubt that their relevant knowledge is adequate, are habitually private about sexual matters, and fear value conflicts and confrontations with their children.62

In submitted testimony describing a multi-site, abstinence-oriented intervention attended by more than 3,000 participants, Mercedes Arzu Wilson offered support for the value of communication skills workshops for parents and youth:

...an evaluation and summary of the project was drawn up by two professors at the University of New Orleans. At the end of the study, the evaluators concluded that the majority of parents responding to the Communication Skills questionnaire agreed that they had become more confident in their ability to discuss sexual concerns with their children following the workshops, and that they would likely engage in such discussions more frequently than prior to the workshops. Adolescents tended to perceive their parents as better sources of information regarding sexual matters than they were prior to program participation. Furthermore, responses of both parents and adolescents to pre- and post-project discussion forms indicated that the frequency of parent/adolescent conversations concerning human sexuality had increased subsequent to training.83

Communities in which parents have been involved from the outset in developing curricula are generally supportive of HIV prevention programming.84 Testimony from the Human Rights Campaign Fund included survey results showing that the majority of parents support comprehensive HIV education:

A recent survey by the Roper Organization found that an overwhelming majority of Americans believe that children as young as 12 should receive information about AIDS from their schools... While the majority of Americans understand that sexual abstinence is an effective way for a youth to remain HIV-negative, only one in seven believes that abstinence is a realistic solution to HIV transmission.85

Zedeksky also emphasized the importance of involving other segments of the community:

To be effective, wellness and disease prevention programs must be conducted in partnership with parents and the community...[In this way] School programs will be closely integrated with the existing service structure and social organization of the community.86

Zedeksky listed several advantages of community participation in prevention programming, including opportunities for information exchange, social support, community "ownership" of the program, addressing health problems in context, and institutionalization of disease prevention and health promotion.
Community participation also expands prevention programming resources.6 This expansion can occur through in-kind contributions, volunteer staff, resource sharing, technical assistance and financial investment. An illustration of what private sector organizations can add is the contribution to HIV education made by the Kaiser Permanente Health Maintenance Organization. This HMO has commissioned a health educational theater presentation for teens in six of its 12 regions, and produces the play in schools throughout the school year.

Joe Glosson, Director of Kaiser's Mid-Atlantic States Educational Theater Program, described the production to the Committee as follows:

The SECRETS program is intended to increase student awareness of HIV and its transmission; reduce apprehension and prejudice arising from misinformation; and dispel myths and fears surrounding AIDS...SECRETS follows the story of Eddie and Monica, teenagers who must deal with their sexuality. Eddie has recently been involved in "risky behavior." Monica and a cast of other people figure in his journey from infection with HIV to living with the knowledge of a positive result. The play shows teenagers developing decision-making skills for resisting peer pressure, discussing choices with friends, and communicating with parents.

Each 45 minute performance is followed by a question-and-answer session which is led by the actors, who receive extensive HIV/AIDS information training prior to the tour...Since February 1989 SECRETS has been performed 1,119 times to 614,000 students in 737 locations.68

Nonprofit community-based organizations have also played key roles in adolescent HIV prevention. A 15-year-old representative from the "Terrific Peers" program, Kianga Stroud, spoke to the Committee about the program's peer education efforts:

Our program, Terrific Peers believes that teens educating teens about AIDS is effective, and we strongly recommend it as one method...We communicate our message through role plays, rap and straight talk. I believe the message is better received by the teens we are trying to educate because

we are teens also, not adults. We are on the same level. Also, our peers are impressed that we have so much information to share and are able to articulate it...I never participated in sex, drugs or any other risky behaviors but "Peers" has helped me to make the decision to continue to abstain from risky behavior.69

Another member of "Terrific Peers" described her preparation for educating peers to the Committee:

My name is Eleshia Ray, I am 15 years old...I know what you are thinking, "What qualifies her to be an AIDS educator?" Well, we all receive between 40-45 hours of training which we took over a period of five Saturdays. I learned all about HIV and AIDS, transmission, prevention, testing, teen pregnancy, STDs and drug abuse. I learned about peer pressure, good decision making skills, self esteem and leadership. The program also taught me how to prepare presentations and do public speaking...Now I'm not as shy and I can speak in front of an audience. Last year alone "Peers" conducted 67 presentations in the community.

Programs like "Peers" are important in the fight to reduce risky behaviors among teens. I think that the Federal government could better serve the needs of adolescents by funding more groups and organizations to do creative education programs focused on different types of risky behavior. Also, the government could develop more comprehensive health care at existing clinics. I realize that I am only 15, but my experience says "adults will have to try some new methods or possibly lose many of today's youth."69

Community-wide coalitions of health providers, educational systems, youth serving agencies, parents, youth and others who are actively involved in the battle against adolescent infection have been organized in a number of cities across the country. Coalitions have formed not only in large epicenters of the epidemic, but also in communities that have benefited from histories of coordination of public health and educational services.
Programs for Out-of-school Youth Also Require Broad Community Support

Many adolescents at highest risk of HIV infection have left school and require identification and vigorous outreach efforts. The Census Bureau estimated that 11% of all high school students -- at least 4,000,000 -- dropped out of school in 1988. In addition, more than a million youth are homeless, or run away from home every year. As many as 300,000 are long-term runaways who live on the streets, often supporting themselves by drug dealing and prostitution.92 (See Chapter III for further discussion of the HIV-related needs of runaway and homeless youth and other out-of-school youth.)

Community-based agencies working with these high-risk populations are important settings for HIV prevention programming. A promising site for screening is the STD clinic, as youth engaged in high-risk behavior often contract sexually transmitted diseases other than HIV infection, and frequently seek medical help for such problems.92

Public facilities used primarily for other purposes can also house programs for out-of-school youth in high-risk situations. As a case in point, some city gymnasiums have started Midnight Basketball Leagues, a public/private partnership in which members of local business communities contribute financially to supply uniforms and equipment to teams of players who attend classes after games.

A witness at the "Risky Business" hearings, Gil Walker, Commissioner of Midnight Basketball in the Chicago Housing Authority, described his sports-linked program to the Committee:

Midnight Basketball is a viable alternative to a lack of positive late night recreational options. The "hanging out" of young males during the hours of 10 p.m. to 2 a.m. creates a climate which is conducive for negative activities, a notion confirmed by police statistics. In addition to utilizing basketball as an attractive, off-the-street activity, components have been added which are designed to encourage the participants to take charge of their own life, family and destiny, i.e., Employment Development and Training, Life Planning and Group Motivation, Mentoring and Support Services Network.92

An independent university-based researcher in Chicago concluded that the Midnight Basketball League has been successful in motivating youth to avoid both high-risk behavior and encounters with the justice system, and has helped players complete GED diplomas and secure full-time employment. This is not surprising, as Midnight Basketball includes all of the strategies recently identified by researcher Joy Dryfoos as characteristic of programs that succeed in reducing risk: one-on-one individual attention from adults; involvement of parents; a focus on basic academics; and, community-wide, multi-agency approaches.94

Effective programs targeting extremely high-risk 'street kids' include training in practical, realistic skills geared to their immediate needs, as it is easier to influence adolescents to modify behaviors than to disadvantage them.95 In other words, although discontinuing high-risk behavior altogether is to be encouraged, it must be recognized that cessation is not a realistic goal in many of these difficult cases. In addition to the usual prevention activities, program components needed for this target group may include drug or sexual abuse counseling, information about cleaning injection equipment,96 and provision of basic economic assistance.97

Counseling may be a prerequisite for runaway youth to heed HIV prevention warnings. For example, since many runaways are sexually abused,98 and sexual abuse is correlated with drug use,99 counseling that addresses the emotional aftermath of sexual abuse may have the collateral advantage of reducing risk of HIV.

G. TEEN HIV PREVENTION FACES MAJOR BARRIERS

Teachers Need In-Service Training in HIV Prevention

According to a 1990 study by the General Accounting Office (GAO),100 teachers should be better trained to impart HIV prevention information in culturally sensitive and developmentally
appropriate ways. GAO also found that most teachers still require education in relevant technical matters such as the legal standing of adolescents and school personnel regarding testing, treatment, and anti-discrimination procedures.

Adequate in-service training deals with psychosocial topics relevant to HIV education. Teachers often need to learn how to handle embarrassing or sensitive subjects. They need sound advice about how to persuade students to change their own behavior and to exert positive peer pressure on members of their social groups. Finally, teachers must learn how to help students make rational decisions when they are emotionally aroused, and how to teach assertiveness in intimate and social situations. The mastery of these didactic skills should be included in evaluations of teacher training.

GAO found that, even when teaching HIV education courses, most teachers spend very few minutes on sensitive topics. This defeats preventive education goals because teens need practical suggestions, rehearsal, and same-sex as well as mixed discussions of what they have learned about avoiding risky behavior.

Experts suggest that HIV education in schools should provide information about community resources, mechanisms of HIV transmission, and clear descriptions of behaviors that put a person at risk. Teachers should not assume that adolescents are aware of risks entailed in unprotected homosexual and heterosexual sexual activity, in having multiple sexual partners, and in sharing needles and other works during IV drug use, ear-piercing, etc.

Other Barriers to School-Based Programming

Fear, Resistance and Apathy

Several factors make preventing HIV infection in adolescents very challenging. One commonly reported barrier is the fear felt by some adults of the results of frank discussion of sexual or risky behavior with adolescents.

Sometimes this fear has led to open, active resistance to HIV prevention programming in schools. For example, parents have objected to particular items in questionnaires which they found overly explicit. While the objections were well meant, they blocked assessment of prevention needs.

At other times, resistance has taken the form of public apathy or denial of the problem, a particularly serious obstacle in rural areas. A survey of HIV educators in rural America suggested strategies, including use of the highly respected county extension agents, to overcome denial and resistance.

Resistance to plain talk has permeated the highest levels of research funding and national program policy. A striking illustration is the current Administration's blockage of a large scale epidemiological study of adolescent sexual practices. AIDS researchers argue that the information is badly needed to update Kinsey's data from the 1940s because sexual mores and activity rates have changed so dramatically since that time, and because prevention resources are scarce and must be used in the most efficient manner.

Gardner's testimony in the "Risky Business" hearings decried the fact that:

Little is known about adolescent sexual practices or what they mean to youths. Development of effective, theory-based interventions requires support for basic research on adolescent behavior, and sexual behavior in particular.

Even the CDC, the Federal public health agency responsible for HIV prevention in the public schools, avoids controversy around provision of explicit information by leaving decisions about which grades should offer self-protection information up to local communities. While such decisions are traditionally made at the local level, existing CDC guidelines could be interpreted to mean that it is safe to omit information about the protective value of condoms and spermicide from AIDS classes in middle schools. According to the Institute of Medicine, this omission could be dangerous because we know that some students in grades seven and eight are sexually active.
Witness Zedocky observed that collection of local risk data helps overcome denial of local problems:

...a Youth Risk Behavior Survey among our 9th through 12th grade students...gave us even more alarming data...25% reported that they already had as many as four sex partners. All of our children are at risk.19

A limited number of additional strategies for overcoming community apathy and resistance to HIV prevention programs for youth have been suggested.

SUGGESTIONS FROM LITERATURE ON COMMUNITY SUPPORT
(in no particular order)

Involve parents from the outset.

Organize broad-based coalitions with the support of diverse segments of the community to respond rapidly to opposition by articulating the importance of HIV education.

Translate AIDS programs into Spanish.

Make AIDS education part of a comprehensive, holistic, K-12 health education program included in the core curriculum.

Work slowly through trusted professionals in rural settings and anywhere resistance is anticipated.

Provide local physicians with educational material that answers student questions, perhaps videos made by people with AIDS.

Take field trips to agencies including health and family planning clinics, and drug abuse treatment centers.

Develop programs in conjunction with video-game arcades, movie theaters, and youth groups.

Work through adult mentoring programs.

Sources


Health Care -- Including Promising School-Linked Health Services -- Not Readily Available to Youth

Adolescents' lack of access to health care presents another major barrier to providing HIV prevention services. As will be discussed in further detail in Chapter IV, medical care and psychological counseling are unavailable to large numbers of adolescents because of provider shortages, consent barriers, and lack of insurance coverage.

Testimony submitted to the Select Committee by the Center for Population Options discusses the role and increasing
community acceptance of school-based clinics as a partial response to the access problem:

A 1987 statement of the National PTA asserts that 'school-linked health clinics are the only source of health care for many teens. They provide a wide variety of critical services such as immunizations, nutrition counseling, suicide prevention programs, drug and alcohol abuse prevention and treatment programs and general health assessments. By being on or near the school grounds, the health services are much more likely to be used."

The school-based clinic movement is truly a grassroots movement. Communities recognizing that lack of adequate health care services threatens the future of their adolescents and seeking workable solutions have given significant momentum to the movement. The number of identified SBCs increased four-fold between 1984 and 1989, from 31 to...At the present time...300 SBCs and school-linked clinics across the country.\(^{13}\)

Some critics of school-based or school-linked health facilities are opposed to the perception that these facilities distribute contraceptive methods. However, by November 1991, only one in eight school-based or school-linked health facilities distributed condoms or had initiated plans to distribute them.

Respondents to an interview of HIV prevention programmers by Select Committee staff cited general health care, drug treatment and family planning services for teenagers as the areas of most critical service shortage. These shortages compound the threat of adolescent HIV infection, as discussed earlier in this chapter.

Insufficient Funding

Finally, insufficient resources have been allocated by states and the Federal Government to reach all teens in school with basic HIV and AIDS information.\(^ {14}\) The commitment to funding comprehensive prevention programs has simply not been made.\(^ {15}\)

Barriers to Serving Out-of-school Youth

Out-of-school youth are likely to face an additional set of barriers. While such problems can plague in-school youth as well, they are formidable obstacles to programming for adolescents who do not attend school. Barriers to serving these hard-to-reach youth include: (1) the isolation of these young people and their extreme lack of access to medical and social services; (2) their diminished trust of adults and authority figures; (3) the absence of other adult guidance and support; (4) their psychological problems, often including depression, anxiety, low self-esteem and suicidal ideation; (5) and, their behavioral problems, including high-risk sexual and drug activities.\(^ {16}\)

Some adults fear outreach to out-of-school youth as much as they do school-based programming, maintaining that information that reduces risk would encourage high-risk behavior. The fear has been expressed, for example, that teaching addicts to bleach needles after every intravenous injection would increase the frequency of drug use.\(^ {17}\)

Subtle community resistance has also been noted. It has been expressed by writing instructions for proper condom use at high reading levels, and placing condoms where teens must make themselves conspicuous by asking for them.\(^ {18}\)

Some communities have overcome their ambivalence and initiated "teenager friendly" condom distribution programs. Mayden described Maryland's "Three for Free" program at a Select Committee hearing:

Condoms...and instructional material on correct condom use are provided in non-medical settings, where young men congregate. Typical sites include pool halls, barber shops, recreation centers, college campuses, restaurants and gas stations. In 1990, the Three for Free program with its 454 sites gave away 3,098,879 condoms in Maryland.\(^ {19}\)

There is no evidence that such programs increase sexual activity and thereby offset benefits.\(^ {20}\)
H. SELECT COMMITTEE INTERVIEWS FIND PREVENTION PROGRAMS NEED FUNDING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE. TREATMENT IS LIMITED, AND NETWORKING IS CRITICAL TO PROGRAM SUPPORT

Guidelines for HIV prevention programming have strongly encouraged community involvement. However, the Select Committee has heard reports of subtle and active resistance to preventive education and other preventive services. For this reason, Committee staff conducted key informant interviews with 29 individuals currently providing prevention services across the country. The interviews focused on community participation, apathy, and resistance in an attempt to document strategies that encourage positive contributions from the community. Appendices D-H provide a description of the methodology used in the key informant interviews, additional interview results, the interview questions, a list of technical advisors, and the list of respondents.

*****

MAJOR INTERVIEW FINDINGS

TEEN ACCESS TO HIV PREVENTION AND TREATMENT SERVICES IS LIMITED AND UNEVEN.

In rural and suburban communities that lack ethnic diversity, few HIV services targeted youth. Here HIV prevention programs, already understaffed and underfunded, shouldered the extra burden of providing additional services such as individualized counseling for drug abuse. Sixty-five percent of the programs reported unmet needs for general health services, drug treatment, or family planning for adolescents in their communities.

High unemployment and high dropout rates predicted a general lack of support for prevention programs. Where unemployment was high, HIV prevention networks had been established for shorter periods of time, and HIV services for all age groups were sparse. Programs were likely to target out-of-school youth, but they received little support from schools and churches. More parents in communities where unemployment was soaring barred their children's participation in prevention programs.

Communities reporting few AIDS cases thus far ignored the opportunity to prevent HIV infection. Although cases of AIDS in 13-24 year olds have now been reported in 49 states and the District of Columbia, few HIV services and less sophisticated prevention programs existed in places where incidence is considered low. Only limited efforts were made to serve out-of-school youth in low-incidence areas, and use of outside experts for evaluation was rare.

Sample Quotes from Interviews

Funding at the Federal level specifically designated for AIDS prevention is not comparable to monies allocated for drug prevention programs. Our vision is working toward integration of services, like HIV and substance abuse programs in one coherent framework, because they are closely tied together. (Mary Rubbinkas, Lewiston, ME)

Washington Heights has the highest population of young people from the Dominican Republic, which has the third highest rate of HIV infection in the Caribbean] and the highest dropout rate. Before our program, there was no teen pregnancy prevention in the Washington Heights area, so we are in a situation where the potential for AIDS to spread quickly is very great. (Julio Docent, New York, NY)

The largest unmet need for services is child abuse prevention. It is completely unfunded. It increases risk of HIV infection, and training in abuse brings immediate effects—you can see the increase in the number of calls to social services. (Debra Sandau-Christopher, Denver, CO)

On any given day, there are between 1,600 and 1,800 adjudicated delinquents...the number of staff dedicated to prevention without other responsibilities is now one part-time
and two full-time AIDS educators for the whole state system of juvenile corrections. (Gary Shostack, Boston, MA)

APATHY IS A GREATER PROBLEM THAN COMMUNITY RESISTANCE TO EXPLICIT AND MEANINGFUL HIV PREVENTION EFFORTS.

Only one program reported heavy resistance from the community, but nine reported significant apathy. Resistance was feared, but not experienced, in several cases. Students were actually barred from HIV education classes by their parents in only four communities.

Denial of risk is a problem that more than 80% of programs have faced. Fears that HIV and AIDS information would increase risk were encountered by 67% of programs. A barrier that was often coupled with high resistance was the tendency to "shoot the messenger" who bore news of AIDS risk.

Controversy meant visibility, and presented an opportunity to raise awareness. Paradoxically, active resistance to HIV education was linked to greater community-wide support and participation in prevention efforts. Ultimately, widespread apathy may be worse news for prevention than some initial resistance.

Sample Quotes from Interviews

In 1985, a colleague of mine in juvenile health care died from complications associated with AIDS. Just prior to his death, he explained to me that since HIV is a sexually transmitted disease, the kids in juvenile facilities were in danger. At that time, AIDS wasn't perceived to be a problem among heterosexual people. When I discussed the problem of AIDS with my colleagues here in Massachusetts, there was no resistance, but a lot of skepticism. (Gary Shostak, Boston, MA)

[We need] information about the spread of the illness--statistics, demographics, and that sort of thing. I say that because I don't think a lot of people are aware that this is
a crisis. Most of them are thinking that this disease is very far away from them: "It won't touch me or my family." People are not aware or just don't want to see it. It's probably due to a combination of fear and not wanting to deal with something that is lethal. Another part of this denial is that the disease has to do with sex, and we don't discuss sex. Therefore, if there's no sex, there's no disease.

(Alina Becker, Miami, FL)

We encountered some concerns on the parts of teachers in Catholic schools—mostly lay teachers—that parents would be up in arms over dealing with HIV/AIDS. The concerns did not prove to be reality based, and proved short-lived after teachers went through training. (Beth Denham and Ted Strader, Louisville, KY)

The local political leadership fully understands the need to do this and how it should be done, but are reluctant to do so because they fear the reaction that they perceive (correctly or not) that their constituents would have...despite evidence that 70-80% of those surveyed by the State of Maryland support providing condoms and teaching explicit information and skills. (John Hansay, Baltimore, MD)

SUPPORT SNOWBALLS: CAREFUL PLANNING, NETWORKING AND PARENT INVOLVEMENT ARE CRITICAL TO THE SUCCESS OF HIV AND AIDS EDUCATION AND PREVENTION.

Planning a successful prevention effort requires time. Prevention program planners (most often motivated by high exposure risk, a need to debunk AIDS myths, or low community involvement in prevention efforts) spent an average of nine months developing programs.

Parents should be involved from the earliest stages of program planning. Less concern about community involvement was felt if parents participated in the planning process. Of 29 prevention programs interviewed, only two failed to report some parental involvement, and these programs served youth in out-of-home placements or runaways.

Prevention programs that belonged to an HIV network cost less and could use "rifle" approaches instead of "shot-gun" methods. The longer a network had been organized, the less prevention programs cost. Membership in networks was also linked to tailoring approaches to the specific needs of various community segments (e.g., approaching parents through the PTA, teen-oriented legal and social services, and sliding scale fees).

Every prevention provider belonging to a network said that membership helps accomplish the program's goals. In the following percentages of cases, network functions included: funding (58%), planning (84%), outreach (79%), and service delivery (72%). In 47% of the cases, network functions also entailed: referral, staff/teacher training, documentation of need, provision of information and materials, and advocacy.

In the absence of specialized AIDS services, networks helped tap unusual resources. In communities with little population diversity and few AIDS services, network members performed more functions. The media were more likely to belong to the HIV prevention network, and, as media involvement increased, community apathy decreased.

Community-based support for HIV prevention snowballed. Parents were more supportive as support from school officials grew. School officials were more supportive when local churches joined HIV networks or when state government became involved in programming. Support from business leaders increased as programs penetrated the suburbs, and as political leaders and other community organizations came on board. Local political leaders lent increased support when prevention programs were part of a coalition of supportive groups, especially when the coalition included medical personnel and media.

Sample Quotes from Interviews

Have patience. It's more than just educating teens—the key is to educate their parents as well...In order to make change, you have to educate everyone just to get to youth. (Rick Correa, Phoenix, AZ)
We held a meeting with all school nurses and health coordinators to show them the model curriculum for K-12 and now 80% of them are using all or some portion of it. (Mary Lou Jerik, Waconia, MN)

Segments of the community were approached in special ways through network linkages. We linked with LUCES, the Hispanic AIDS coalition. There are 20,000 Spanish speaking students here. Also, strong linkages with Urban League and the Western Regional AIDS Education Project helped serve the African-American community. (Jack Campeoa, San Diego, CA)

The most important aspect is the first step. Just do it—start small, use the media. Let the community know you have trained staff, education programs, and the facts, pretty soon the community will come to you and your program will be off to a running start! (Irby Rowland, Chattanooga, TN)

PROGRAMS THAT EVALUATE THEIR EFFORTS AND FORGE LINKAGES WITH NATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS TO PROVIDE STATE-OF-THE-ART SERVICES GAIN MORE LOCAL SUPPORT.

Ninety percent of the prevention programs worked with national organizations on an ongoing basis. Continued contact with national organizations was linked with support from local business, community leaders, and other community organizations.

Of the seven programs that participated in the CDC’s national study of high-risk behavior in youth, six found it useful on numerous occasions. Uses of the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) included: guideline development and curriculum planning; raising awareness of HIV and AIDS risk; providing baseline data for evaluating program effectiveness; and, correcting student misconceptions. YRBS users were able to recruit network members beyond the “usual suspects.” YRBS data describing risks taken by teens in each area of the country were also useful when there was a lack of initial external support for a local program or if the program faced resistance at any point.

Any type of evaluation was associated with increased parental support of program activities, but support from business leaders increased only when evaluation was conducted by outside experts. While 82% of programs were evaluated in some way, only 4% of those used outside evaluators. Outside evaluation was typical of programs that were more sophisticated, and tended to be employed in programs that had experienced active resistance.

Use of national guidelines was associated with community support. Coalitions played more roles and parents were more likely to be highly involved in programming if CDC guidelines were used. Use of other national guidelines was associated with increased network membership, more Federal governmental involvement, and more initial support from all community segments.

Sample Quotes from Interviews

I would give [our runaway and homeless youth program] a ’5’ on a five-point scale of success, given the limitations of the program. I might not have said that a year ago but the outside evaluator told us that we are stabilizing our clients. This is critical because when you stabilize teens, their behavior becomes less erratic which reduces risk factors. We could have a great factual presentation and good curriculum, but never impact our clients.... We get a tremendous amount of cooperation from people. (Ann Starr, Atlanta, GA)

The National Education Association (NEA) selected three schools across the nation to be a part of a pilot study of AIDS education and we were one of those three. We began formulating a plan as to how to involve the community in our efforts. We wrote an AIDS brochure and our local newspaper added it to the paper as an insert....The NEA helped us involve community leaders like the Chamber of Commerce, clergy, and other business people by holding town meetings to discuss our AIDS education strategies. From there we began working on a video about a young man named Greg who was a graduate of Burnsville High School and had AIDS. We also had wallet cards printed with information about health risks, an AIDS hotline number, and where to go to get support. services and more information.
The printing for this was donated. The community was very supportive. The former Superintendent and present Assistant Superintendent went on our local TV station to talk about the importance of community involvement in the AIDS education of students. The school nurses were instrumental to our program as well. We also had help from the National Association of School Nurses. The Health Information Network provides a speaker's bureau to speak on AIDS related issues to local churches, the rotary club, and other community organizations. These four teachers in the Health Information Network were selected to go to D.C. for program planning. (Jennifer Hugstad-Vas, Apple Valley, MN)

PREVENTION PROGRAMS IDENTIFY REMAINING BARRIERS AND REQUEST GREATER ASSISTANCE IN SOME AREAS.

High AIDS incidence was linked with controversy over control of prevention programming. Although preventive interventions received broad-based community support in areas of high AIDS incidence, there were more frequent debates around state vs. local control of the efforts in communities where many cases have been diagnosed.

State mandates for HIV education did not guarantee high-quality prevention services. State mandates were correlated with inadequate programming for out-of-school youth, infrequent network membership, limited use of technical assistance, a lack of tailoring of programs for special subpopulations, and a dearth of local youth-oriented prevention programs addressing other areas of risk.

Out-of-school youth were still not being reached. School-based programs reached a significantly higher percentage of their target populations than programs attempting to serve out-of-school youth. However, despite the public perception that out-of-school youth have intractable problems, providers found that these youth do profit from services when resources were adequate for outreach. There was no significant difference in self-rated success between programs serving out-of-school youth and programs based in schools.

More technical assistance was called for by HIV educators throughout the country. Sixty-eight percent of the programs indicated a need for technical assistance of some kind. The need for assistance in program evaluation was mentioned twice as often as any other technical need. Other repeatedly mentioned needs were networking strategies, outreach information, and staff training in the area of sexual abuse.

Program funding was scarce. In 70% of the interviews conducted, a lack of funding was cited as a barrier to provision of prevention services.

Sexual behavior research was strongly supported. Prevention program providers repeatedly mentioned their need for more specific information about the high-risk sexual behaviors of adolescents.

Sample Quotes from Interviews

We need services for people who have already tested positive. I don't think it would be easy for the average person out there to figure out what to do if they were diagnosed with AIDS/HIV. There is a real need for counseling, financial aid, and just plain survival skills training for these people to help them deal with the disease. (Alina Becker, Miami, FL)

A real barrier has been no funding for teacher release time to ensure adequate training for this very sensitive topic. (Debra Sandau-Christopher, Denver, CO)

The rapid rise in infection among young people in their 20's indicates that something's not right. We need to re-evaluate our program. Any type of materials to help this would be great. Do we need more peer involvement? Are we not explicit enough? (Rick Correa, Phoenix, AZ)

The YRBS [the CDC's Youth Risk Behavior Survey]...may work in "middle America" but young people who go through metal detectors need something else [to measure sexual risk-taking]. (Teri Lewis, New York, NY)
I. EVALUATION RESEARCH IS BOTH NEEDED AND WORTH THE INVESTMENT

Unfortunately, we know very little about which prevention techniques have been most successful in disseminating critical information to adolescents. Many teen-oriented prevention programs were hastily mounted in response to the AIDS crisis, and few have been formally evaluated. Calls for more rigorous evaluation of prevention programs have come from many quarters.

In interviews with Select Committee staff, prevention providers around the country requested help in evaluating the effectiveness of their efforts far more frequently than they voiced any other need for technical assistance.

Several Select Committee witnesses acknowledged the pressing need for program evaluation. Kolbe told the Committee that:

CDC is committed to evaluating the impact of its HIV education efforts. Evaluation provides a measure of accountability for resources, and also determines what works, what doesn't, and why, so that intervention efforts can be improved. [But] evaluating a program is a lengthy and expensive process.

In addition to funding limitations, obstacles to badly needed evaluation research include the limited technical expertise of most program administrators. According to OTA, most attempts to evaluate HIV prevention programs have been confined to "process" evaluation. Such a diary-like compilation of program activities cannot substitute for an objective determination of a program's actual impact.

Logistical roadblocks have also slowed progress in this area. In well designed evaluation research in other health areas, self-reports of behavior changes are confirmed by direct observation or by physiological tests. In many parts of the country, however, the base rates of HIV infection are too low to show whether protective behaviors have actually increased. Even where base rates are higher, scarce testing resources and privacy considerations may argue against the use of physiological tests to confirm self-reported behavior change, but rates of pregnancy and other STDs may be reasonable proxies.

Appropriate research methodology is being disseminated, some evaluations are currently underway, and a request for additional proposals for such research has been issued by the CDC. Meanwhile, service providers and communities must grapple with the problem of generating criteria for preventive interventions without hard data about which strategies are most effective.
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HARD-TO-REACH ADOLESCENTS AND YOUTH IN HIGH-RISK SITUATIONS REQUIRE TARGETED HIV PREVENTION AND SERVICES

Preventing HIV and providing services to youth in high-risk situations present special demands. At particularly high risk of HIV are adolescents who engage in same-gender sexual behavior, those who have run away from home or are homeless, youth who are detained or incarcerated, those in foster care, and youth who use alcohol or other drugs. Other adolescents also require targeted interventions, including racial and ethnic minority youth, adolescents who have hemophilia, and those who have been sexually abused. Many of the unique HIV-related needs of each of these populations are detailed below.

A. HIV-RELATED NEEDS OF YOUTH ENGAGING IN SAME-GENDER SEXUAL BEHAVIOR

Experts suggest that same-gender sexual behavior is widespread among youth, but lack of reliable data has prevented accurate assessment of the prevalence of homosexual activity and risk-taking among youth who identify themselves as gay or lesbian. Male homosexual behavior is implicated in a large number of AIDS cases in teens and young adults, highlighting the urgent need for preventive interventions targeting this population. While formidable barriers impede effective HIV prevention efforts targeting youth engaging in same-gender sexual activity, existing models have shown marked success. In addition to the considerations addressed in Chapter II, programs for youth engaging in same-gender sexual behavior, particularly gay and lesbian youth, should also address self-esteem and support-seeking issues.

Same-Gender Sexual Behavior More Frequent Than Commonly Believed, New Data Needed

As found in Chapter I of this report, estimates of the extent of homosexual behavior among adolescents vary widely. In the
1940s and 1950s, Kinsey et al. found that among adolescents, 28% of males and 17% of females reported at least one homosexual experience. The Kinsey studies also found that nearly one out of ten adults reported either extensive or more than incidental homosexual experience, and nearly 40% reported some experience with same-gender sexual activity.

Although outdated, the Kinsey studies still serve as a primary source of information on sexual behavior. In fact, the Public Health Service uses Kinsey data to estimate HIV prevalence and forecast the AIDS epidemic. The lack of more current data is particularly unfortunate because the methodology used for the Kinsey studies has been widely criticized, primarily due to sampling errors: The respondents were disproportionately drawn from the Midwest and college campuses, and the research did not use probability sampling.

While the role of same-gender sexual contact in the sexual development of youth is unknown, significant numbers of adolescents who may not develop an exclusively homosexual identity or lifestyle do, nevertheless, experiment with same-gender sexual activity.

The distinction between homosexual behavior and a homosexual orientation is important: HIV prevention efforts must address the risks of specific behaviors, regardless of the gender of the adolescent’s partner. Adolescents who do not claim a homosexual identity, but are nevertheless engaging in risky homosexual behavior, may deny that risk. Additionally, special effort must be made to reach adolescents who define themselves as gay or lesbian, because these youth face formidable barriers to receiving appropriate HIV prevention messages.

(a) The 1989 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services report on youth suicide defines lesbian and gay male youth as young people who have a primary attraction to members of the same gender for sexual and intimate relationships.

Homosexual Activity is Significant Factor in AIDS Among Teens, Successful Prevention Programs for Gay Men Not Reaching Gay Youth

By the end of 1991, 60% of 13 to 24 year-old youth with AIDS reported male hom-sexual contact that could have exposed them to HIV. The prevalence of HIV among gay youth is unknown. However, seroprevalence studies in 23 U.S. cities and 16 states found that HIV prevalence rates among adult gay men ranged from less than 10% to 70%, with most rates falling between 20%-50%.

Since the early 1980s, gay-affiliated organizations have mobilized extensive HIV education and prevention campaigns. These efforts have produced some of the most profound modifications of personal health-related behaviors ever recorded. Numerous cohort studies have shown that many gay men, particularly those living in urban areas, have significantly altered their high-risk behaviors, including decreasing the number of sexual partners and the frequency of unprotected anal intercourse. These findings are supported by a gradual decline in the rate of increase of AIDS cases attributed to male homosexual contact, and a simultaneous reduction in the incidence of syphilis and gonorrhea among gay men compared with 1980 levels.

However, as William Gardner testified before the Select Committee, the promising results of these studies cannot be generalized to all gay men or to gay adolescents. In fact, the National Research Council found that young gay men engage in higher rates of risk taking than older gay men, and other studies have found that gay youth are less likely than older gay men to perceive being at risk of HIV infection.

In June 1991, the San Francisco Department of Public Health released “The Young Men’s Survey,” a study in which more than 250 young men ages 17-25 who self-identified as being gay/homosexual or bisexual, were interviewed. Overall, one in eight interviewees was HIV seropositive and one in four was infected with Hepatitis B. Nearly one in ten reported having used intravenous drugs at least once, and 3% reported IV drug
use in the six months prior to the survey. Moreover, HIV seroprevalence and the percent reporting risky sexual behavior were higher among teenage participants than among men in their early twenties. Table 1 summarizes data from the Young Men’s Survey.

Table 1: Young Men’s Survey - Prevalence of HIV, Hepatitis B, Unprotected Anal Sex and Oral Sex Among Young Gay and Bisexual Men, By Age(b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGES:</th>
<th>17-19 (n=21)</th>
<th>20-22 (n=82)</th>
<th>23-25 (n=144)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seroprevalence of HIV</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seroprevalence of Hepatitis B</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Reporting Unprotected Anal Sex</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Reporting Unprotected Oral Sex</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Findings from the Young Men’s Study also show differences in seroprevalence and risk-taking behaviors when participants were compared by race and ethnicity. HIV and Hepatitis B seroprevalence and the frequency of unreported oral intercourse were highest among African-American participants, while HIV seroprevalence and the frequency of unprotected anal intercourse were lowest among Asian/Pacific Islander participants. Table 2 summarizes these data.

Little is known about the prevalence of STDs or risky sexual and drug use practices among youth who practice same-gender sexual behavior. Data are particularly lacking regarding the risk-taking behavior of lesbian girls, a group which is generally at very low risk of becoming pregnant or acquiring sexually transmitted diseases, including HIV. One study used national AIDS case surveillance data to assess demographic characteristics and behavioral risk factors in adult and adolescent lesbians. This study found that between June 1980 and September 1989, of the 79 women with AIDS who reported sexual relations with only female partners, 95% were intravenous drug users. The authors also found that two instances of female-to-female transmission of HIV had been reported.

In New York City, a study of HIV-related knowledge, attitudes, and behavior among runaway and gay male youth found that among the 60 primarily minority gay male youth interviewed, 77% were sexually active in the previous three months, including

---


---
oral, anal, and vaginal intercourse and oral-anal contact. During this time, study participants reported a mean number of 4.1 partners and 22.8 sexual encounters. Almost one-half (44.1%) reported never using condoms and less than 15% reported consistent use of condoms. Bisexual activity was reported by nearly one-third (31.2%) of the gay male participants. 

High rates of risk-taking behavior have also been found among gay and bisexual youth from Minnesota. In one non-clinical study, gay and bisexual male youth reported a mean annual number of seven sexual partners. These youth reported that their partners were, on the average, seven years older, and that two-thirds of initial encounters occurred in bars or public meeting areas, with one-third of encounters being anonymous. Because HIV and other STD rates are exceptionally high among adult gay men, these data are extremely troubling. Nearly half of the youth interviewed for this study had had a past history of sexually transmitted diseases, and the majority met professionally recognized criteria for substance abuse.

Despite significant gaps in knowledge about the behaviors of youth engaging in same-gender sexual behavior, numerous studies show that this population has an urgent need for improved HIV prevention interventions.

**Preventing HIV Among Youth Engaging in Homosexual Behavior Faces Significant Barriers**

**Stress to Conform Can Lead to Denial of Risk Taking**

The process of acquiring a homosexual identity generally begins in early childhood and continues throughout adulthood. During early adolescence, youth first experience heterosexual and homosexual attractions and fantasies. Youth who feel an attraction to persons of the same gender often feel uncomfortable identifying with the stereotypes of gay and lesbian people that are prevalent in their environment and fear being associated with a group that is widely stigmatized by society. As noted by the American Academy of Pediatrics:

> The social consequences of homosexual orientation in an adolescent include potential difficulties in peer group acceptance, family rejection, school and institutional harassment, limited employment opportunities, legal difficulties, and social isolation. Although homosexual orientation does not appear to predispose to mental illness, the social consequences of this life-style in a teenager may create serious emotional problems.

The social stigma of homosexuality specifically impedes a young gay or lesbian's learning about AIDS. Confronting immense pressure by their peers to conform, many young adolescents who practice same-gender sexual behavior do not identify themselves as gay, lesbian, or bisexual. When homosexually active male youth deny their homosexual feelings, they may also deny the risks associated with their behavior.

Additionally, youth who are attracted to persons of the same gender often seek heterosexual partners in order to confirm, hide, or attempt to "change" their emerging sexual identity. In 1990, the General Accounting Office (GAO) found that this experimentation may serve as a possible link between homosexual and heterosexual youth in the transmission of HIV. GAO also found that social pressures to engage in heterosexual relationships place lesbian youth, who would normally be less likely to engage in high-risk sexual behaviors, at increased risk of infection.

Youth who eventually accept a homosexual orientation typically pass through stages of "coming out," during which they develop self-esteem, and the socialization skills needed to maintain friendships and intimate relationships. During this developmental process, these youth continuously assess whether to disclose their identity and risk harassment or discrimination. Their isolation complicates delivery of services, including risk reduction programs, because many gay and lesbian youth fear their sexual orientation will be discovered if they try to obtain needed help.

**Gay and Lesbian Youth Often Confront Rejection and Violence in Settings That Traditionally Provide HIV Prevention Services**

Most adolescents cope successfully with the stress of these
conflicting feelings about their sexual orientation. However, youth who feel more certain about their gay or lesbian identity face significant and sometimes overwhelming challenges to developing healthy self-esteem and relationships.38 Most often, they are forced to make the difficult choice between either hiding their identity or confronting rejection, prejudice, and violence by friends, family, and other important adults.

As described earlier, school settings have the potential to reach nearly 43 million youth with HIV prevention curricula. However, as Gardner testified before the Select Committee, gay youth are difficult to reach through traditional school or public health interventions.39 Gay and lesbian students often face ridicule from teachers, fellow students, and administrators who fail to punish verbal attacks on them.40 A 1984 survey of over 2,000 gay and lesbian adults found that 45% of gay males and almost 20% of lesbians had experienced verbal or physical assault in secondary school.41 School-based HIV prevention efforts that fail to provide positive support to gay and lesbian youth are less likely to have a significant impact on this population.42

Parents of gay and lesbian youth may also be unable or unwilling to reinforce HIV prevention messages. In fact, violence and harassment in school are often reinforced at home. A study by the Hetrick-Martin Institute, which serves gay and lesbian youth in New York City, found that of 500 young people seeking assistance in 1988, 40% reported having experienced violent physical assaults by family, peers, and strangers. Sixty-one percent of gay-related violence against these youth was perpetrated by family members.43

Many Gay and Lesbian Youth Respond Negatively to Stress with Increased Risk Taking

In response to such extreme pressures, many young lesbian and gay people suffer from poor self-esteem, feelings of isolation, and chronic depression.44 Others rely on ultimately self-destructive coping behaviors and find themselves in situations that increase their risk of acquiring HIV. As Andy Humm, an educator with the Hetrick-Martin Institute noted, "The most important thing for a gay kid is to feel he has a future...when you

feel you're alone in the world, that leads you to a lot of dangerous behaviors."45

While national studies have not been conducted, small scale studies indicate that gay and lesbian youth are at increased risk of alcohol and other drug abuse and school failure.46 These indicators subsequently reduce the likelihood that HIV prevention messages will be heard or heeded.47

Rejected by friends and family, some gay and lesbian youth turn to life in the streets for emotional and financial support.48 An estimated 25% of all youth living on the streets are gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender.49 (See "HIV-Related Needs of Runaway and Homeless Youth" in this chapter for further analysis of the risks associated with this high-risk situation.)

Suicide is the third leading cause of death among males and females ages 15-24, accounting for 8% of deaths among women and 15% of deaths among men in this age group in 1986.50 In 1989, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) found that gay and lesbian youth are two to three times more likely to attempt suicide than their peers, comprising up to 30% of completed youth suicides annually.51

A more recent study supports the DHHS findings. A 1991 study found that among nearly 140 males from Minnesota and Washington State who identified themselves as gay or bisexual, 30% reported at least one suicide attempt at a mean age of 15.5 years. Nearly one-half of those who had attempted suicide also reported other attempts to take their lives. One-third of first attempts occurred in the same year that the participants identified their sexual orientation. Eighty-five percent of attempters also reported illicit drug use, and 22% had undergone chemical dependency treatment.52

Social and Resource Barriers to Reaching Youth Who Practice Same-Gender Sexual Behavior Preval

As described in Chapter II, successful HIV prevention programs must be culturally sensitive and instill precise information, a sense of vulnerability and self-efficacy, and skills,
Several Model Programs Making Inroads to Prevent HIV Among Gay and Bisexual Male Youth

Several model programs providing HIV prevention services to gay male youth exist and are noteworthy because they have overcome many of the barriers addressed above.

One model HIV prevention program, at the HIV Center for Clinical and Behavioral Studies at Columbia University, has produced significant reductions in risky behavior among nearly 150 predominantly Hispanic (51%) and African-American (31%) gay males ages 14-19. This program provides intensive HIV prevention services, including individual risk assessment and counseling, coping skills training sessions, and facilitated access to comprehensive medical and social services. After one year, 57% of program participants reported increased condom use. An additional 18% of participants reported decreases in unprotected sex and then relapsed. Improvements were greater for African-American youths than for Hispanic youths who engaged in significantly fewer risk acts initially, but made fewer behavior changes over time.60

A second model program targeting gay male youth is conducted by the Department of Pediatrics at the University of Minnesota. The Youth and AIDS Project (YAP) provides comprehensive outreach, risk reduction counseling, peer education, case management, referral for medical and psychosocial services, and longitudinal follow-up to youth who self-identify as gay or bisexual. Program participants include 140 males ages 14-21, more than half of whom grew up in non-urban areas, principally in Minnesota.61

Initial data from YAP indicate that 75% engaged in unprotected anal intercourse and/or needle sharing, nearly one-fifth (18%) were chemically dependent, and the same number (18%) of participants reported a history of sexually transmitted diseases. Additionally, 83% of participants did not know that HIV can be transmitted through oral sex, 16% denied any risk for HIV, and 12% were unaware of the HIV antibody test.62

After participating in YAP for three months, the young men
reported a sharp increase in consistent use of condoms during anal intercourse (from 44% to 73%), and were significantly less likely to report oral sex and symptoms of dysfunctional substance abuse.63 Perceived personal vulnerability to HIV increased by 15% among YAP participants and denial of any personal risk for HIV decreased from 16% to 6%. Additionally, regular use of alcohol in sexual situations diminished from 17% to 4%, involvement in prostitution decreased from 8% to 0%, and consistent use of condoms with new partners more than doubled from 30% to 65%.64

B. HIV-RELATED NEEDS OF HOMELESS AND RUNAWAY YOUTH

Estimates of the Number of Homeless and Runaway Youth Vary, But Too Many Teens Forced to Survive on the Streets

Adolescents live on the streets for a variety of reasons. Many children run away from home each year, while others are literally "thrown away" or abandoned by their families. The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) estimates that in 1988, 450,700 youth ran away from their homes or juvenile institutions across the United States. Of this group, nearly 130,000 youth were without a secure and familiar place to stay. Additionally, OJJDP estimates that in 1988 more than 127,000 children were thrown away or abandoned by parents or guardians, and more than 59,000 youth did not have a secure and familiar place to stay during some portion of the time they spent away from their homes.65

Other studies indicate higher estimates of homeless and runaway youth. The National Network on Youth and Runaway Services estimates that the number of youth permanently living on the streets ranges from 100,000 to 300,000, with as many as 1,300,000 to 2,000,000 running away from home each year.66 Community and youth shelter surveys suggest that each year between 1,000,000 - 1,300,000 adolescents live on the streets or receive services from emergency shelters. A large portion of these adolescents are runaways.67 Another study estimates that the actual number of adolescents on the streets and in emergency shelters may be closer to 500,000.68

Although estimates on the number of runaway and homeless youth vary, it is clear that each year significant numbers of youth are forced to survive living on the streets.

Rate of HIV Infection Among Runaway and Homeless Youth Unknown, Rates High Among Youth in Shelters

The extent of HIV infection among runaway and homeless adolescents is unknown. However, several youth shelters have collected HIV seroprevalence data from youth whom they serve. These studies indicate a wide range of infection rates.

One study of nearly 2,700 youth who received services at Covenant House in New York City between October 1987 and 1989 found that more than 5% were infected with HIV. Data from this study indicate that the longer an adolescent was homeless, the more likely he or she was to be infected with HIV -- the average rate of HIV infection for youth age 20 was 8.6%.69 Another anonymous seroprevalence study conducted at a New York health clinic for runaway youth noted that 7% of the youth tested were infected with HIV.70

Additionally, a 1991 survey conducted by the Inspector General of the DHHS found that HIV seroprevalence varies by city. Among youth served by a clinical program in a large east coast city, three of every ten were infected with HIV, compared with three of every 100 tested among youth served by a shelter in a large southern city.71

Successful HIV Prevention Among Homeless and Runaway Youth Faces Formidable Barriers

Numerous barriers impede successful HIV prevention for runaway and homeless youth. These include: Stressful situations encountered by living on the street; lack of education, job skills, medical care, and social services; increased drug and alcohol use; and unrealistic stereotypes about these youth. As noted by Rotheram-Borus:

The lack of supportive resources and the existence of multiple problem behaviors and emotional distress must be considered
nearly four in ten reported having been sexually abused by family members. More than half of these youth reported parental alcoholism, and almost four in ten reported drug abuse by their parents. The connection between abuse within the home and homelessness was described by one youth interviewed for the Stanford study: "I would rather be homeless. It is cold and miserable on the streets, but it is better than being beaten up by parents who don’t care."76

Sexual abuse within the home not only serves as a precipitating factor in running away, but it may also be linked to high-risk behavior of runaway and homeless youth including increased sexual activity.77 (See "Considerations for Serving Youth Who Have Been Sexually Abused" in this chapter for further discussion of these issues.)

As discussed in the previous section of this report, many gay and lesbian youth are rejected by their families and forced to leave home. According to a recent report from the Technical Assistance Center of the Child and Adolescent Service System Program (CASSP), shelters report an "increase in the number of gay and lesbian youth who have been rejected by their families because of their sexual preference."78 The Seattle Department of Human Resources reports approximately 40% of runaway and homeless youth are gay.79 Andrew Humm, Director of Education at the Hetrick-Martin Institute estimates that as many as one half of youth on the streets of New York are gay or lesbian.80

Emotionally and physically abused youth who live on the streets find themselves in a situation in which any individual would have difficulty coping. As noted by the Center for Population Options:

Undereducated, often abused, and lacking a family support system that provides needed direction, homeless and runaway youth are forced to make painful decisions. Their lack of marketable skills and their status as minors leave them with few legitimate alternatives to illegal activities. Many become involved in prostitution, pornography, and the illegal drug trade to pay for food or a place to stay.81
Prostitution, "Survival Sex," Rape, High Rates of Pregnancy and Alcohol and Other Drug Use Put Homeless and Runaway Youth at Increased Risk of HIV

High-risk behaviors practiced by runaway and homeless youth are generally the same ones found among the general adolescent population at large: unprotected sex; sex with multiple partners; and the use of alcohol and other illicit drugs. Like non-homeless youth, runaway and homeless youth are subject to rape.

In order to survive on the streets, runaway and homeless youth may resort to prostitution or "survival sex" (the exchange of sex for food, shelter, money, or drugs), although estimates of these behaviors in runaway and homeless youth vary from 5% to 80%.62

Survival sex by itself constitutes high-risk behavior. Yet, the risk involved with survival sex can be enhanced by pressures placed on runaway and homeless youth. Anecdotal evidence suggests that youth engaged in prostitution may earn more money from "tricks" or "johns" if they do not use a condom during intercourse or oral sex. According to Jay Coburn, Director of the Safe Choices Project of the National Network of Runaway and Youth Services, "The adults who sexually assault or exploit these teenagers are rarely interested in risk reduction; they pay and/or coerce youth to forego condom use."63 In 1988, Washington, D.C. customers offered prostitutes $50 to $500 to have intercourse without a condom.64 As noted by Athey, Chief of the Public Health Social Work Division within the Maternal and Child Health Bureau of DHHS, "Clearly, to the extent that survival sex involves multiple partners and is unprotected, HIV risk is increased."65

One 1988 study compared the health status of 765 runaway and non-runaway youth who were served by Children's Hospital of Los Angeles and the Los Angeles Free Clinic. More than one in four runaway youth reported that they engaged in survival sex compared with fewer than one in ten non-runaway youth. Runaway youth were four times more likely to report sexual abuse, eight times more likely to report physical abuse, and three times more likely to report being raped than were non-runaway youth. In addition, more than half of the runaway youth had dropped out of high school compared with one in ten non-runaway youth. As outlined in Table 3, runaway youth used alcohol and other drugs more frequently than the non-runaway population.66

Table 3: Percent of Runaway and Homeless Youth Reporting Alcohol and Other Drug Use Compared with Non-Runaway Youth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Runaways (N=110)</th>
<th>Non-Runaways (N=655)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IV Drug Use</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hallucinogens</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulants</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhalants</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narcotics</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>49.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarettes</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Problem</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana</td>
<td>44.5%</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Drug Use</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GAO also found that approximately 20% of homeless and runaway youth reported using drugs and alcohol.67 Rates of consistent condom use among homeless and runaway youth vary. Another study found that prior to HIV prevention sessions only one-fourth of runaway youth reported consistent condom use during the previous 3 months; and 80% reported never having used condoms.68

One proxy of failure to use contraceptives, including latex condoms, is pregnancy: The pregnancy rate among homeless adolescents is higher than among non-homeless youth. A 1989 study of females ages 16-19 in 19 cities found that more than one

---

A three homeless females was pregnant, compared with approximately one in ten females who were not homeless. Although this study found that sexually transmitted diseases were three times as frequent among homeless females, other studies have found an equal prevalence of STDs among homeless and non-homeless females.

Rape is a hazard for all runaway and homeless youth, especially females. According to Athey:

...the rate of sexual assault on homeless women is reported to be approximately 20 times the rate among women in general. Nationally, 50% of all rape victims are less than 18 years old. Since homeless girls have the double risk factors of youth and homelessness, it can be assumed that rape is a common experience for them.

One New York City shelter reported that 25% of adolescent females experienced rape sometime during their lives. Rape of male runaway and homeless youth is also not uncommon.

Runaway and Homeless Youth Find Doors To Traditional Sources of Prevention Messages and Treatment Closed, Need for Parental Consent Also Impedes Access to Care

Although youth living on the streets are reported to have a general knowledge about HIV infection, they often do not participate in traditional educational activities which could give them a more comprehensive understanding of HIV infection and skills for reducing high-risk behavior. According to Coburn:

Youth at highest risk for HIV infection face the same developmental challenges as other youth...As far as HIV, they are often isolated from the institutions that are typically expected to carry prevention messages -- schools, families, and health services.

In its 1991 Adolescent Health report, OTA found that many HIV prevention programs are family- or school-based and not geared toward runaway and homeless youth. As detailed in Chapter II, community-based programs, such as teen street theater, "rap" and poster contests, distribution of printed materials, STD and AIDS hotlines, individual and group counseling, media campaigns, condom distribution and needle exchange and bleach programs exist, but very few have been evaluated for effectiveness. It is also unclear to what extent the community programs consider and serve the needs of runaway and homeless youth.

Because they live on the streets, runaway and homeless youth fail to receive prevention messages through the mail and television. Other resources necessary for preventing HIV may also be unavailable to runaway and homeless youth. For example, although they may know that cleaning needles and syringes in a chlorine solution will sterilize them, runaway and homeless youth often lack access to bleach or a private place where they can clean these items.

Moreover, various studies have found that runaway and homeless youth often fail to attend school. One study found that more than seven in ten boys and almost five in ten girls who live on the streets either drop out or are expelled from school.

Many health care institutions also provide HIV prevention materials, including condoms, but these services are rarely accessible to runaway and homeless youth. The Office of the Inspector General found that due to lack of funds or the inability to meet eligibility requirements, many homeless and runaway youth are unable to gain access to health care and social services. Also, traditional health care institutions often reject runaway and homeless youth, "considering them to be either a poor risk or to carry problems too complex to handle.

The 1991 Inspector General study highlighted various problems that inhibit HIV prevention, testing, and treatment efforts on behalf of runaway and homeless youth. Even when services are apparently available to youth in the community, requirements such as money, parental consent and age restrictions, fragmentation of funding sources, and different ideological approaches "weaken service delivery for runaway and homeless youth with or at risk of HIV infection. As discussed elsewhere in this report, although early detection of HIV can reduce the spread of the infection, shelter staff remain reluctant.
to test youth at risk of infection due to the lack of proper counseling and available follow-up services.

Many studies have also found that Federal and state policies impede the participation of runaway and homeless youths' participation in AIDS clinical trials, particularly requirements for parental consent.105

**Stereotypes About Homeless and Runaway Youth Thwart Prevention Efforts and Treatment**

It is important to note that while many runaway and homeless youth are faced with difficult and dangerous situations, health care providers and policymakers often have inaccurate beliefs about the lives of these youth. Recently, in testimony before the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families, Mary Jane Rotheram-Borus observed that:

The stereotypes do not focus on the fact that 25% of these youths are sexually abstinent, or the fact that female runaways typically have been sexually involved with only one partner in the past three months, with males typically involved with 2-4 partners in the same time period, and that their condom use is similar to that of adolescents in general.106

GAO confirms this observation, finding that media portrayals of runaway and homeless youth which highlight drug abuse or prostitution are often exaggerated. According to GAO, "The majority of homeless youth were not reported to have such problems (i.e., drug abuse and prostitution). Approximately one in five was reported as having a drug or alcohol problem, while approximately one in seven females had to deal with pregnancy or venereal disease."107

The stereotype that homeless and runaway youth are beyond help inhibits many prevention and health care providers from serving runaway and homeless youth. Rotheram-Borus criticizes the widely held opinion of one reviewer for the Journal of the American Medical Association, who wrote that the "bleak and hopeless future" of runaway and homeless youth and concludes that "the problem is so huge, so inevitable; nothing can be done."108

---

**Model HIV Prevention Programs for Runaway and Homeless Youth Are Intensive, Combine Skills Development with Access to Comprehensive Health Care Services**

When the special needs of runaway and homeless youth are addressed in long-term intervention sessions, risk-taking behavior of runaway and homeless youth decreases. A 1991 study examined the high-risk behavior of 145 runaways at residential shelters and evaluated the impact of comprehensive intervention (up to 30 sessions) on their behavior. Sessions included discussions of general knowledge of HIV/AIDS, coping skills, access to resources and individual counseling to reduce barriers to safer sex. Youth who received the comprehensive intervention reported an increase in condom use and a decrease in high-risk behavior.109

In testimony before the Select Committee, the program's Director, Rotheram-Borus compared her program with teenage pregnancy, substance abuse, and smoking prevention efforts that have produced behavior change:

These programs share several characteristics: a) Interventions are provided in the context of making available comprehensive health care services and other community resources; b) they build on participants' strengths rather than merely targeting deficits; c) they engage participants in active rehearsal of the targeted health-enhancing behaviors; d) social support from peers in the environment reinforces learning; and the interventions are intensive, providing, for example, 15 or more sessions instead of merely 2-3 hours of educational interventions.110

Prior to entering the shelters, fewer than one in four runaways reported consistent condom use in the last three months, and among sexually active youth, almost one in four reported engaging in high-risk sexual behavior, defined by the number of partners and sexual encounters, as well as condom use. After six months of intervention, more than six in ten youth reported consistent condom use. No youth reported participating in high-risk behaviors, defined as infrequent condom use (condom use during 0-49% of sexual encounters) combined with ten or more sexual encounters and/or with three or more sexual
partners.\textsuperscript{112}

The "Safe Choices" project sponsored by the National Network of Runaway and Youth Services provides HIV prevention training to community-based agencies that serve youth in high-risk situations, including homeless and runaway youth. Components of the Safe Choices project include train-the-trainer workshops, technical assistance to community-based organizations regarding model program components, policy development, funding sources, and new materials, and various-hotlines and publications which include a model training manual.\textsuperscript{112}

\textbf{Experts Recommend Comprehensive HIV Prevention and Services for Runaway and Homeless Youth}

In 1991, the American Medical Association identified the following needs and recommendations regarding the health care of runaway and homeless adolescents:

- Funding should be provided by an appropriate government agency for a national study that would provide accurate, timely, and reliable data on homeless adolescents;
- Conduct a pilot study of the health care needs of homeless youth in order to provide physicians with solid baseline data on this issue;
- Establish a protocol to be used in the evaluation and treatment of homeless youth;
- Disseminate information on the lack of treatment facilities and health care providers for treating homeless youth;
- Encourage state medical societies to determine the extent of treatment possible under state law, to inform physicians of the laws and regulations affecting the treatment of minors, and to form linkages with statewide youth advocacy groups to develop protocols for the treatment of troubled youth; and,

- Encourage local medical societies to develop and publicize lists of local and regional resources that can assist homeless adolescents, to provide this information to local physicians, and to establish links with providers of youth services to improve knowledge of the needs and limitations of these youth and physicians who provide care.\textsuperscript{112}

In addition, a 1991 literature review of homeless youth and HIV infection included several recommendations about serving homeless and runaway youth. The authors found that standard one- or two-day "AIDS 101" sessions have little effect on the behavior of runaway and homeless youth. They also recommended providing comprehensive care, including case management, to HIV-infected adolescents specifically targeted to homeless youth, and proposed increased Federal and state funding of HIV prevention programs in temporary shelters, foster care and group home settings, and other social service agencies.\textsuperscript{114}

Strategies have been outlined to improve service delivery to runaway and homeless youth who are infected with HIV. These include a holistic and comprehensive approach to service delivery provided by multi-agency coordinated care and "wrap-around" services. Multi-agency coordinated care would address the problems caused by incomplete and fragmented care by providing a comprehensive system of care which uses "interagency committees, multi-disciplinary teams, and "blended funding", accompanied by case management." Wrap-around services would assure that if a particular youth's needs are not being met by the system, a program will be designed to meet the specific needs of that youth.\textsuperscript{115}

\textbf{C. HIV-RELATED NEEDS OF INCARCERATED AND DETAINED YOUTH}

Although studies of limited samples indicate that large percentages of youth who reside in corrections facilities have engaged in behavior that puts them at risk of HIV infection, no regular or periodic assessment of HIV infection or of high-risk behavior is conducted in these settings. Little is known about
either the quality or the availability of health care, health education, or disease prevention services for youth in custody. As noted by the National Commission on AIDS, "For the most part, juvenile facilities continue to suffer from extreme inattention. Information regarding access and quality of health care must be obtained and made available." However, the limited information that is available indicates that the need for increased HIV prevention, drug treatment, and medical services for youth in correctional facilities cannot be overstated.

Prevalence of HIV Among Adult Inmates Is Staggering. Rates Among Juveniles in Custody: Unknown

Since 1985, the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) has reported the number of AIDS cases confirmed among adult inmates in U.S. Federal, state, and selected large city/county correctional facilities. By the end of November 1989, this number had reached 5,411 representing a 72% increase over the previous year. In fact, for the first time since NIJ has sponsored this survey, the percent increase in cumulative correctional AIDS cases exceeded the increase in AIDS cases reported in the U.S. population at large, which had a 50% increase over the previous year. AIDS has become the leading cause of death in several correctional systems. Additionally, the prevalence of HIV among nearly 11,000 consecutive entrants to ten correctional systems in 1988-1989 ranged from 2.1% to 7.6% for teen and 2.5% to 14.7% among women.

The rate of HIV infection and AIDS among youth in correctional facilities is unknown. A seroprevalence study of nearly 1,900 youth admitted to two Los Angeles County juvenile facilities during a seven-month period in 1987 found that 0.16% of youth were infected with HIV. In a 1989 survey of 1,400 public and private juvenile facilities, 14 cases of AIDS were confirmed and responding institutions reported two AIDS-related deaths. These numbers represent a minimum estimate, because not all facilities were surveyed, and less than one-third of those contacted actually responded.

Several smaller studies indicate that, by virtue of their participation in risky sexual and drug use behaviors, and their limited access to medical, social, and other preventative services, detained and incarcerated youth are at increased risk of HIV infection.

Numbers of Incarcerated and Detained Youth Rose Dramatically During the 1980s

As shown in Table 4, 95,621 youth were held in public and private juvenile facilities and adult jails during a one-day census of these facilities during 1989.

Table 4. Juveniles Held in Public and Private Facilities and Adult Jails During a One-Day Census in 1989

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Juvenile Facilities</td>
<td>49,449</td>
<td>6,680</td>
<td>56,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Juvenile Facilities</td>
<td>26,602</td>
<td>11,220</td>
<td>37,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Jails</td>
<td>6,564</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>6,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>77,609</td>
<td>18,012</td>
<td>95,621</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of juveniles in custody has increased dramatically during the past decade. Between 1979 and 1989, the number of youth held in juvenile facilities (public and private)

(6) The numbers presented in this section reflect a one-day count; many more youth go through these facilities during the course of the year.

In 1988, there were 619,181 admissions to public juvenile facilities, 141,463 to private juvenile facilities, and 45,263 juveniles were admitted to adult jails. The number of admissions may count an individual more than once if he or she was transferred between facilities or entered the system more than once during the year. (17. Department of Justice. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention [OJJDP]. Juveniles Taken Into Custody. Fiscal Year 1990 Report. National Council on Crime and Delinquency, San Francisco, CA. September 1991.)


NOTE: Few data exist regarding the nearly 1,700 juveniles held in adult jails. The remainder of this section will focus on youth held in juvenile facilities.
increased by 31%. By 1989, the number of youth held in public juvenile facilities reached its highest number since the Department of Justice conducted the first Children in Custody census in 1971.

Moreover, the juvenile custody rates in public facilities per 100,000 population increased by 19% from 1985 to 1989, reflecting both an increase in the number of detained and incarcerated youth and a decrease in the absolute numbers of U.S. youth ages 10-19.

As shown in Table 5, 70% of juveniles in public and private facilities during a one-day census in 1989 were held for delinquent offenses, acts that would be crimes if committed by adults. One in ten juveniles was held for status offenses, such as truancy or running away, and 20% were detained or committed for reasons not involving offenses, such as abuse or neglect by parents.

---

[Children in Custody](note1) is a biennial survey published by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention that provides data regarding children in public juvenile detention, correctional, and shelter facilities.

---

Table 5: Selected Demographic Characteristics of Juveniles Held in Public and Private Facilities During a One-Day Census in 1989(b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Public Facilities (%)</th>
<th>Private Facilities (%)</th>
<th>Total Facilities (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALL JUVENILES</td>
<td>56,123 (60%)</td>
<td>37,822 (40%)</td>
<td>93,945 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENDER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49,443 (88%)</td>
<td>26,602 (79%)</td>
<td>76,045 (81%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6,680 (12%)</td>
<td>11,220 (30%)</td>
<td>17,900 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACE/ETHNICITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>22,201 (40%)</td>
<td>22,807 (60%)</td>
<td>45,008 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>23,836 (42%)</td>
<td>10,883 (29%)</td>
<td>34,719 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>8,671 (16%)</td>
<td>3,062 (8%)</td>
<td>11,733 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1,415 (2%)</td>
<td>1,050 (3%)</td>
<td>2,465 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGE AT CENSUS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 and under</td>
<td>45 (1%)</td>
<td>718 (2%)</td>
<td>763 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-13 years</td>
<td>3,276 (6%)</td>
<td>5,917 (16%)</td>
<td>9,193 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-17 years</td>
<td>44,894 (80%)</td>
<td>29,688 (79%)</td>
<td>74,582 (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-21 years</td>
<td>7,908 (14%)</td>
<td>1,499 (4%)</td>
<td>9,407 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADJUDICATION STATUS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detained</td>
<td>17,412 (31%)</td>
<td>2,593 (7%)</td>
<td>20,205 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed</td>
<td>38,209 (60%)</td>
<td>28,269 (75%)</td>
<td>66,478 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voluntary</td>
<td>302 (1%)</td>
<td>6,960 (18%)</td>
<td>7,262 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REASONS FOR ADMISSION/CUSTODY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent Acts</td>
<td>53,037 (95%)</td>
<td>13,095 (35%)</td>
<td>66,132 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status Offenders</td>
<td>2,245 (4%)</td>
<td>6,853 (18%)</td>
<td>9,098 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Offenders</td>
<td>841 (1%)</td>
<td>17,874 (47%)</td>
<td>18,715 (20%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes less than 0.5%.

Table 6 summarizes data provided in the Children in Custody, 1989 report regarding youth held in public juvenile facilities during a one-day census in 1989.

Table 6: Profile of Selected Characteristics of Youth in Public Juvenile Facilities During a One-Day Census, 1989

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than nine out of ten (95%) of all juveniles in public facilities were held for delinquent offenses, acts that would be crimes if committed by adults. Four percent were held for status offenses such as truancy or running away. Just over 1% were detained or committed because they were abused, neglected, or dependent youth, were held for reasons not involving juvenile offenses, or were admitted on a voluntary basis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of juveniles held for alcohol or drug offenses increased by nearly 150% between 1985 and 1989. Nearly 11% of all juveniles in public facilities in 1989 were held for drug-related offenses. Of these, almost one-half (49%) were held for distribution of drugs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males account for 88% of juveniles in public custody. The percent of females in public juvenile facilities decreased by 8% between 1987 and 1989.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four out of five juveniles in correctional facilities are between the ages of 14 and 17 years. However, between 1987 and 1989, the percent of younger children (ages 10-13 years) and older youth (ages 18 and older) increased by 17% and 18% respectively.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In 1989, 60% of children in custody belonged to racial or ethnic minority groups (approximately 42% African-American, 15% Hispanic, and 2% American Indian, Alaskan native, Asian, or Pacific Islander). The number of white juveniles held in public facilities decreased by 5% between 1987 and 1989, while the number of African-American and Hispanic juveniles increased by 14% and 10% respectively.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 7: Illegal Drug Use by Youth in Long-Term State-Operated Juvenile Institutions, Year End 1987

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Drug</th>
<th>Ever Used Drugs</th>
<th>Used Regularly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any drug</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marijuana/Hashish</td>
<td>81.2%</td>
<td>56.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocaine</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphetamines</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>28.9%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbiturates</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCP</td>
<td>22.6%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaaludes</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heroin</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared with surveys of high school students, drug use is not only more prevalent among incarcerated youth, but also begins at an earlier age. Among juvenile offenders who reported a history of drug use, the median age at onset was 12 years, with nearly one in five reporting drug use before age ten and 82% reporting major drug use (including heroin, cocaine, LSD, and PCP) before age 16.127

Three in four juvenile offenders surveyed reported drinking alcohol one or more times per week in the year before admission, and nearly half (45%) reported being under the influence of either alcohol or other drugs at the time of their current offense.

Survey of Youth in Custody, 1987 also found that juveniles in these institutions had significantly lower levels of education than comparable youth in the general population. In the general population, 76% of youth ages 15-17 had completed more than eight years of school, compared with only 42% of long-term juvenile facility residents. Less than 3% of youth in long-term, state-operated juvenile facilities were high school graduates.128 Failure to complete high school reduces exposure to school-based

AIDS education, and thus increases risk.

Smaller Studies Confirm High Rates of Sexual Activity and Drug Use, Limited HIV-Related Knowledge Among Detained and Incarcerated Youth

Although the findings are not easily generalized, several studies of institutionalized adolescents provide additional evidence that these youth are at increased risk of HIV infection. In cooperation with the CDC, the National Commission on Correctional Health Care (NCCHC) has implemented a limited Youth Risk Behavior Survey in randomly selected juvenile facilities in five states, including Massachusetts, New York, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin.129 Survey questions cover a variety of behaviors, including sexual activity, use of alcohol and other substances, violence, and suicide.

Damon Marquis, Director of Health Education of the NCCHC, presented the preliminary results of the study in testimony submitted to the Select Committee. His summary of the results to date showed that:

- more than 90% reported having been sexually active;
- more than one-fourth reported having been pregnant or impregnating a sexual partner; and nearly six in ten (56%) reported not using a condom the last time they willingly engaged in sexual intercourse;

- more than 91% of respondents reported having consumed alcohol at least once, with 33% reporting having at least one drink of alcohol 100 or more days in their life; and,

- eighty-one percent of youth reported trying marijuana and 32% reported trying cocaine in some form, and 9% reported injecting drugs.130

Additional NCCHC findings suggest that suicidal behavior is significant among incarcerated youth. Nearly one-third reported seriously considering suicide, and one in five actually attempted suicide at least once in the previous year.
While providing compelling descriptions of many of the risks that these youth face, Marquis notes that, since analyses are preliminary, findings should not be generalized to all youth in juvenile facilities nationwide.13

A 1991 study compared AIDS-related knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors among incarcerated youth with adolescents in public schools in San Francisco.12 While both groups demonstrated high levels of general knowledge about the transmission of AIDS, incarcerated youth were less likely to have information regarding risk-reduction strategies. Among youth enrolled in school, 85% correctly identified condom use as a means of reducing the risk of HIV transmission, compared with three-fourths of incarcerated youth. Moreover, only 62% of incarcerated youth (compared with 80% of school youth) recognized that sexual abstinence reduces the risk of HIV infection. Similarly, only 56% of incarcerated youth identified not having sexual partners who use intravenous drugs as a strategy to reduce risk of HIV transmission, compared with 72% of school youth. Incarcerated youth were significantly more likely to perceive themselves as susceptible to infection (69% vs. 45%).

Table 8 summarizes the substantial differences in sexual and drug-related behaviors between the two study groups. Youth in detention had engaged in the highest risk behaviors at more than three times the rate of youth enrolled in public school.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Detention (n=113)</th>
<th>Enrolled in Public School (n=802)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportion Sexually Active</td>
<td>99.1%</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Sexual Partners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Least Three (Lifetime)</td>
<td>84.4%</td>
<td>15.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At Least Two (Past Year)</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Reporting Age at First Sexual Intercourse Before or At 12 Years of Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion Reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent Condom Use(1)</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Intravenous Drugs(2)</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These findings are similar to those from a 1988 study which compared knowledge and attitudes about AIDS among nearly 1,600 urban, suburban, gay, and incarcerated youth. This study found that incarcerated youth demonstrated significantly poorer knowledge about AIDS, lower agreement with health guidelines, lower perceived personal threat, lower perceived norms about safer sex practices, and lower personal efficacy in avoiding infection than the other three groups studied.13

Several studies indicate that youth in correctional facilities initiate sexual activity earlier than their counterparts in the general population, and are more likely to have STDs. Hein, et al., found that the average age of first intercourse among females in one detention center (n=378) was 12 years.14 Virtually all


(2) Among sexually active, defined as always using condoms.

(3) Proportion reporting intravenous drug use at least once.
females in this sample were sexually active. Rates of STDS in female juveniles ranged from 6% to 20% in various facilities.133

In one study of 306 incarcerated male youth, the median age of first intercourse was 11 years. Ninety-six percent were sexually active at the time of the study, 19% reported male/female anal sex, 14% had sex with an IV drug user, 11% had a history of STDS, and 37% reported never using condoms.134

A 1987 study of sexual activity and STDS by the Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, which had an average daily population of 1,850 minors, reported that 75% of the male population was sexually active, with high numbers of sexual partners. Three percent of males tested were found to have gonorrhea, and 13% had asymptomatic chlamydia. Among females, who represented 12% of the juvenile population, 98% were sexually active, 10% were pregnant at the time of the examination, 33% had chlamydia, 10% had gonorrhea, and 28% had trichomoniasis.135

High rates of STDS among female offenders illustrate a point made by a key informant in a Select Committee survey about the special needs of females which are often overlooked within the juvenile justice system:

"[We] make a special effort to reach out to girls who have often neglected themselves and have a difficult time dealing with partners...there is far less resistance on the part of males to at least say that using a condom is OK...girls are afraid to even bring the subject up...there is an exceptionally high probability that they will be infected by their older partners. Sex and babies are powerful ways to feel important to someone at least for a short time. The girls get STDS all the time and don't get treatment."136

Despite Clear Evidence of High-Risk Behavior, Medical and AIDS-Related Services for Juvenile Offenders Are Few and Largely Unvaluated

In 1990, the Council on Scientific Affairs of the American Medical Association found that youth who come to correctional facilities are a medically underserved population that often arrives with substantial existing physical and emotional problems caused by a variety of factors, including past physical or psychosocial insults, lifestyle habits, and lack of prior health care.137

As was noted previously, little is known about the quality and availability of primary and preventive health care to youth in custody. Studies indicate, however, that adult inmates are more likely than juvenile offenders to receive HIV education.138 A 1988 study found that all adult prison inmates and approximately two-thirds of surveyed jail inmates received some AIDS education.139 In the same year, the American Correctional Association (ACA) found that 14 state systems of juvenile correction provided no AIDS education for youth, and seven provided only staff training. Of the 29 detention facilities that responded to the ACA survey, nine provided no instruction for young offenders, and two provided no programming for staff.140

Moreover, the Select Committee is unaware of any systematic attempts to evaluate existing HIV prevention and related services programs in correctional facilities serving youth. This apparent failure is underscored by the lack of baseline data about high-risk behavior that is required to inform prevention planners. The Director of the National Institute of Justice, James Stewart, clarified specific information needs:

"Correctional administrators thus continue to face tough decisions about institutional management, the best and most equitable means of identifying and treating inmates with HIV disease, potential legal issues, and the costs of medical care. Policy makers and corrections officials cannot afford to wait until medical science produces an ultimate answer. To address the problem effectively today, they need the most accurate and up-to-date information available."

Approaches that have been shown to be effective in public schools may not be useful with juvenile offenders. According to Gary Shostak, Director of Health Services for the Massachusetts Department of Youth services:

"It's difficult to measure the long-term impact of the [prevention] program...to know if what matters most is what is said, or who said it. It's difficult for adults who have little
in common with the youth to translate what they know into something the youth take to heart and make sense of for themselves.

One program that appears to have made progress overcoming this "culture gap" between juvenile offenders and adult AIDS educators was developed by YouthCare, Inc. for the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health. An evaluation of this program found that YouthCare's curriculum, which includes activities jointly designed by staff and detained youth, produced knowledge gains and increased intentions to behave safely. Additional suggestions for effective ways to involve detained youth in program design have been offered but not evaluated.

In the absence of sufficient data about what works in reducing risk in juvenile offenders, an effort has been made to develop a general policy for serving these youth based on a consensus of experienced providers. The National Commission on Correctional Health Care has published standards to assist correctional facilities in designing HIV-related procedures. These standards include recommendations in the following policy areas: education and counseling; prevention; HIV-antibody testing and counseling; confidentiality; nondiscrimination/segregation in housing; and practice of universal precautions.

Barriers to provision of AIDS services to juvenile offenders have also been identified by the NCCHC. These range from fear of contagion to inadequate agency policy. Suggestions for overcoming these barriers are NCCHC's Health Education Curriculum for Incarcerated Youth: Training Manual, and include, for example, networking with agencies experienced with HIV/AIDS issues and becoming educated about current health matters.

Incarceration and Detention Present Unique Opportunities for Providing Prevention and Services to Hard-to-Reach Youth

Despite the challenges enumerated above, the period of incarceration or detention offers an important opportunity to provide medical assistance, including HIV prevention services, to troubled youth. In testimony submitted to the Select Committee, Mrquis observed:

Many of these youth have not received the benefit of conventional health educational resources because they were out of school and/or homeless and/or runaways prior to being placed in juvenile facilities. For others, this may be the only time a proactive health education program is offered in any setting. This is an excellent opportunity to provide the youth with the information and resources necessary to make vital behavior changes.

Thus, child welfare and epidemiological considerations converge to emphasize the critical importance of addressing the HIV prevention and other health care needs of detained and incarcerated youth.

D. HIV-RELATED NEEDS OF YOUTH IN FOSTER CARE

There are no reliable estimates of the prevalence of HIV among adolescents in foster care. Because states vary considerably in their collection and reporting of data on children in foster care, and no central, Federal data collection system exists, little is known about the adolescents who are placed in out-of-home care. In its 1990 report No Place to Call Home: Discarded Children in America, the Select Committee found that foster care data collection requires significant improvement.

Various studies indicate that youth in foster care are at increased risk of unprotected sexual activity and alcohol and other drug use; however, these youth rarely have access to HIV prevention curricula or related services. Barriers to providing HIV prevention and services to youth in foster care include lack of placements, funding and liability issues, and confusion about who should provide HIV-related services.

The Extent of HIV Among Youth in Foster Care May Be Underestimated

The most recent estimate of the number of HIV-infected children in foster care is based on 1989 data collected by DHHS.
DHHS found that more than 900 children with HIV had been placed in foster care homes. However, the number of adolescents within this population was not estimated.\textsuperscript{130} Child welfare and related agencies do not conduct routine HIV testing and have minimal knowledge of the HIV infection status of adolescents in their care. Only infants and children exposed to HIV through maternal infection, sexual abuse by an infected adult, or blood transfusion, or adolescents with a known risk factor such as injected drug use, are typically tested.\textsuperscript{131}

**Adolescents in Foster Care Engage in Risky Behavior That May Lead to HIV Infection**

While data are incomplete, it appears that many youth in foster care react to the stress and discontinuity of out-of-home placement by exhibiting behavior that can increase exposure to HIV. A survey conducted in the Midwest indicates that child-welfare clients have high rates of premarital sexual activity and low rates of contraceptive use, suggesting a high risk of HIV infection.\textsuperscript{132} Among females ages 13-18, child welfare clients were 50% more likely to report having had sex than females from a national sample. This study also found that females in the foster care system reported a history of pregnancy twice as often, were less knowledgeable about birth control and sexuality than similar females who were living at home, and that 48% had experienced some form of sexual abuse.\textsuperscript{133} (See "Considerations for Serving Youth Who Have Been Sexually Abused" in this chapter for further analysis of these issues.)

Many youth enter the foster care system because they have run away. Once placed, these youth may be more likely to run away than young people who live with their natural parents.\textsuperscript{134} (See "HIV-Related Needs of Runaway and Homeless Youth" in this chapter for further analysis of these issues.)

Most adolescents leave the foster care system via "emancipation," i.e., they reach an age beyond which the state no longer offers services. Little is known about where these adolescents go upon leaving the foster care system,\textsuperscript{135} or about their continued risk of HIV infection, but preliminary findings are alarming.

Under contract with DHHS, Westat, Inc. studied the needs of youth emancipated from the foster care system and found that 17 percent had drug abuse problems and nine percent had some other unspecified health problem. Seventeen percent of the females were pregnant.\textsuperscript{136}

**Barriers to HIV Prevention for Youth in the Foster Care System**

*Few Foster Placements Available for Teens*

Of the 340,000 individuals thought to be in foster care on a given day in 1988, 36.5% were over the age of 13.\textsuperscript{137} Preliminary projections indicate that the foster care population exceeded 400,000 in 1990.\textsuperscript{138} Despite the fact that more than 100,000 teens needed foster care placement in 1988, the numbers of available placements for this group have continued to decline.\textsuperscript{139} According to the Child Welfare League of America (CWLA), "workers report greatest difficulty finding placements for teenagers because foster parents are uncomfortable with having sexually active teens in their homes." In addition, the foster care system allocates most of its severely limited resources towards protection and placement of infants and young children; compared with adolescents, young children are seen as less able to escape abuse or to care for themselves.\textsuperscript{140}

It is especially difficult to find foster families willing and able to cope with the health care needs of adolescents who are infected with HIV. In the case of one young man diagnosed with AIDS, a local social service agency contacted over 200 different organizations before finding a placement.\textsuperscript{141} Subsequently, the same agency (Professional Parenting of North Carolina) found placements for two infants with AIDS and concluded:

We would offer that the difficulty an agency faces in placing teens with AIDS is far greater than that of placing and managing infected toddlers...it is that teens...evoke realistic fears concerning sex and drugs, and, because of their relative
often address issues related to infection control, confidentiality, HIV testing, rules for foster care placement, adoption, group care, and staff and foster parent training. Arizona, however, has developed a more extensive policy that includes the provision of "age appropriate" client education services that address both sexuality and infection control procedures.21

The most pressing liability issues for child welfare departments involve testing for antibodies to HIV and disclosure of HIV status.22 State policies for children and youth in state care are typically patterned after those designed to protect adults from discrimination.23 Some child welfare advocates argue, however, that more flexible standards would be appropriate for children and youth.24 Because the issues around "need to know" depend to some extent on the age of the child, it may not be advisable to have uniform policies that address children and youth.25

Confidentiality issues involve both foster care and other "third party" adults, including school officials and other service providers. For example, many child welfare advocates fear that the secrecy surrounding the HIV status of children may adversely affect decision-making about health care and impede recruitment efforts for foster care and adoptive parents.26 In cases when HIV-infected children are asymptomatic, the foster parents need to know depends on their responsibilities for the health and protection of children in their care. For example, the foster parent may not need to know the HIV status of the child or adolescent if the placement is short term and the youth does not require medical or other services during that time.27

While questions remain, most public agency adoption and foster care specialists believe that state regulations and policies implemented to date afford sufficient flexibility to allow for communication of necessary information to those with a legitimate need to know.28

Confusion About Responsibility for AIDS-Related Education Remains Widespread

Despite the evidence that children in foster care may be at
very high risk of unintended pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases, states fail to maintain the records necessary to plan for family planning and educational services. For example, in 1987, no state knew the number of adolescents in foster care who had become pregnant while in the state’s custody.179

Since adolescents in foster care frequently move from placement to placement, they often find themselves in new communities where they have no close ties with service providers or other caregivers. Teens in new foster homes may not feel comfortable enough to seek advice actively, especially advice about sexuality and other behaviors associated with HIV risk.180

There is little agreement about who has the right, responsibility, or obligation to talk to teens in foster care about sex, drugs, and AIDS. Is it the responsibility of foster parents, caseworkers, the school system, or the biological parents?181

One study conducted in Wisconsin found that 48% of foster homes, 32% of group homes, and 21% of other child-caring institutions were unclear about their legal right to provide birth control information without parental consent.182 Two-thirds of caseworkers surveyed in this study believed that providing birth control information to teens in their care was the responsibility of foster parents rather than their own. At the same time, few foster parents (less than 25%) spoke to their sexually active teens directly about sexual issues or referred them to a physician or family planning provider. Others argue that the responsibility for providing sexuality education and advice about family planning to adolescents in foster care continues to rest with the biological parents, even when the child has been removed from that home.183

Because of the ambiguity of relevant policy, and because adults tend to be uncomfortable talking to teens about sexuality, HIV/AIDS education for children in foster care is haphazard at best. Anecdotal information collected from child welfare administrators in the early 1980s indicated that few agency leaders had given adequate consideration to their responsibility to ensure the provision of sexual guidance to youth in their care.184 In 1986, researchers surveyed foster care administrators in 48 states about their sex education and family planning services and found that virtually no state had dealt with these issues in a comprehensive manner. Only nine states had any formal written policy concerning sex education for foster care clients. Twenty-nine states offered special training in teen sexuality to foster care parents, but only five mandated that parents actually receive it. Nineteen states offered this training to their caseworkers, but it was mandated in only four states.185

In the overburdened foster care system, controversial topics are often ignored until a problem arises. For example, discussions about a foster child’s sexuality are often avoided until after she or he has become sexually active.186 Similarly, according to CWLA, the foster care system neglects HIV prevention. Although CWLA guidelines recommend training for all foster parents, group home staff, and adolescents in care, HIV education is too often provided only when clients or family members are infected.187

Needs of Gay and Lesbian Youth and the Role of Males in Prevention Efforts Often Ignored in Foster Care System

There is no accurate information about how many gay and lesbian youth are in foster care, but special challenges attendant to provision of services to this group have been noted. "Gay and lesbian youth are often not placeable. The few who find placement are often sent back. We want to provide a safe place for them, where their sexuality won’t be an issue."188 Despite the shortage of placement settings, exacerbated in the instance of HIV-infected gay youth, many agencies do not allow homosexual adults to become foster parents.189

In addition, the few prevention efforts currently underway in the foster care system are aimed almost exclusively at young women.190 As noted by J. Burt Annin of CWLA, the sexuality education needs of males are largely ignored, perhaps as a function of a widespread lack of male leadership in child welfare, or of the perception that females bear the responsibility of sexual activity in the case of pregnancy, or of homophobia.191 Whatever the cause, such a service shortfall ignores the risk of contracting HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases faced by
Guidelines for HIV/AIDS Education for Foster Care Clients Exist

Some counties have developed programs to address teen sexuality and HIV-related issues of foster care clients. For example, Family and Children’s Services of Chattanooga, Tennessee, received a grant from the Hamilton County Health Department to provide sexuality education services for "unadoptable teens" living in group homes. Several three-day courses were held on topics including: teen pregnancy, negotiation skills, human anatomy, STDs, and HIV/AIDS.292

The Institute for the Study of Children and Families at Eastern Michigan University, under a grant from the DHHS has published national guidelines for HIV/AIDS and sexuality education of adolescents in foster care. The guidelines are a module of a larger independent living skills curriculum entitled Choices and Consequences. They include a hands-on workbook designed to be completed by the adolescents and their parents or caregivers, and deal with topics ranging from choosing to postpone sexual relations to homosexuality. By July 1991, Choices and Consequences had been disseminated to agencies in 32 states.297

Another model curriculum, the Safe Choices Guide produced by the National Network of Runaway and Youth Services, includes a unit to train foster parents about educating young people in their care regarding AIDS and HIV prevention.296 The unit describes foster parents’ responsibilities to provide this kind of information, addresses how parents can be effective AIDS educators, and discusses the needs of foster families who care for HIV-infected youth.

Additional information for families and other caregivers is available in Initial Guidelines and Meeting the Challenge of HIV Infection in Family Foster Care published by the Child Welfare League of America.295

Role of the Federal Government Limited to Basic Child Welfare Services, Ignores Needs of Teens for HIV Prevention and Services

Child welfare services are provided through state, local and private agencies, with Federal Government support accounting for an estimated 40% of the total costs of the child welfare system.298 Federal support for child welfare services is primarily authorized by Title IV-B (child welfare services) and Title IV-E (foster care and adoption assistance) of the Social Security Act. Because of minimal reporting requirements, there are no specific data on the services provided by the states under Title IV-B. Title IV-E provides maintenance payments to be used for food, shelter, clothing, daily supervision, school supplies, personal incidentals, liability insurance for the child, and reasonable travel to the child’s home for visits. In addition, the Social Services Block Grant under Title XX of the Social Security Act provides some financial assistance although specific activities are not reported.299

The Abandoned Infants Assistance Act is another Federal effort established to respond to the problems associated with substance-abusing parents, and the increase in the number of boarder babies in hospitals, particularly, those with AIDS. Although the program recruits and trains foster families for these infants and young children, it is not required by law to include services for adolescents.

While beyond the scope of this report, reforms needed in the foster care system as a whole have been detailed in a 1990 publication of the Select Committee entitled: No Place to Call Home: Discarded Children in America. Specific Federal policy recommendations have been offered by the Child Welfare League of America, and are appended to the League’s aforementioned guidelines.

E. HIV-RELATED NEEDS OF YOUTH ALREADY USING ALCOHOL AND OTHER DRUGS

HIV-related drug behavior in youth encompasses more than intravenous (IV) drug use. While IV drug use can transmit HIV
Among the school-based curricula, focus on HIV education directly, the use of other drugs may increase the likelihood of unsafe sexual behaviors by lowering inhibitions, and adversely altering judgment. Moreover, some drug-using adolescents exchange sex for drugs. Frequently, their partners are drug users and drug dealers who have had multiple sex partners and who are at high risk of having been exposed to HIV.

Model demonstration drug prevention programs illustrate that in order to have a positive impact on adolescent drug use, an education program needs to be incorporated into a comprehensive program that encompasses peer pressure resistance, parental and community involvement, and media participation.

Little effort has been expended to include the increased risk of HIV exposure with drug use in school-based prevention curricula. Instead, HIV/AIDS education, when provided, is usually taught separately. Moreover, many HIV prevention classes focus only on the dissemination of information, and few mention the fact that use of alcohol and other drugs can increase a teen's risk for HIV exposure.

For teens who are drug users, barriers to treatment (including a critical shortage of treatment programs and too few school-based early intervention programs) impede efforts to reduce high-risk behaviors for both drug use and HIV. Moreover, little is known about the effectiveness of drug treatment in the elimination of drug use.

**Behaviors That Place Drug-Using Teens at Risk of HIV**

**Injecting Drug Use**

In roughly one in eight diagnosed AIDS cases among teens, injecting drug use (IDU) has been implicated as a risk factor. Among females ages 13-19 who have been diagnosed with AIDS, 28% of the cases are related to injecting drug use. The widely prevalent ritual of sharing apparatus to inject illicit drugs appears to be the central vector of transmission; a National Academy of Sciences report found that sharing needles and "works" is most common at the earliest stages of IV drug use. Although most drug use among teens does not involve injection, 10% of those in drug abuse treatment programs who reported IV drug use during the previous year were age 21 or younger.

While drug use declined overall from 1985-1991, data from the National Household Survey conducted by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) show that in 1991, approximately 1% of youth ages 12-17 and 2.3% of young adults ages 18-25 have injected illicit drugs. In a large national secondary school survey, two percent of students ages 13-18 reported having ever shared needles. In both the National Household Survey and the secondary school survey, males were twice as likely as females to engage in this HIV-related drug behavior. Racial and ethnic differences in adolescent drug injection behavior are not substantial. Youth who do not complete high school and those who live in metropolitan areas use IV drugs at significantly higher rates than others in their age groups.

Even higher estimates of injectable drug use come from the most recent NIDA High School Senior Survey. Of the respondents in this large study, 2.9% reported the use of steroids (which are injected by 99% of users), and 1.3% reported heroin use. Additional injection-based risk is suggested by the non-crack cocaine use reported in the Senior Survey (9.4%). This figure closely parallels the estimate generated by the CDC's Youth Risk Behavior Survey estimate (9.3% of seniors). However, neither of these sources analyzed the proportion of reported cocaine use that actually involved injection, and cocaine can be ingested in other ways.

**Crack Cocaine Use**

More than three in one hundred high school seniors report having used crack, and use of this drug is likely to be more
prevailing among out-of-school youth. Crack use is believed to
play an important role in fueling the HIV epidemic.208 As
described in Chapter I, one study of 13-19 year old African-
American crack users in San Francisco and Oakland found that
96% were sexually active, 51% engaged in sexual activity while
high on crack, and 25% of both males and females exchanged
sexual favors for drugs or money.219 The risk of unsafe sexual
practices under such conditions is considerable; it is highly
unlikely that the HIV serostatus, sex history, or drug habits of a
partner would be known, and rare that condoms would be used.
In this study, only 26% of males and 19% of females reported
the use of condoms during their most recent sexual encounter.211

Alcohol Consumption

According to NIDA’s 1990 High School Senior Survey, more
than 90% of all American high school seniors have tried
alcohol.212 The majority of students took their first drink before
they were 13 years old. A 1991 national survey of junior and
senior high school students conducted by the Office of the
Inspector General found that the average student who drinks is
16 years old and in the 10th grade.213 More importantly, one in
every three students reported drinking weekly, and one in four
students had experienced a “binge” of five or more drinks in a
row in the month prior to the survey. It is clear from these data
that many adolescents not only drink, but do so frequently and
excessively.

Alcohol facilitates unsafe sexual behaviors.214 In a
Massachusetts survey of youth ages 16-19, sexually active teens
who drank were significantly less likely to use condoms.215
Moreover, 16% of teens who had sex after drinking used
condoms less often after drinking than when they had sex without
drinking alcohol.

Barriers to Treatment for Drug Using Teens Remain

The initial identification of an adolescent with a drug-use
problem is usually made by family and friends. Because most
adolescents spend a large part of their day in school, teachers
and peers may be the first to recognize an emerging problem.

Thus, school-based programs for the identification and referral of
adolescent drug users and those at high risk for drug abuse seem
to hold promise.216 Student assistance programs, which are
modeled on employee assistance programs, provide an example of
school-based early intervention services including identification
of students with problems, referral to other services in the
community and, in some cases, the use of peer or guidance
counselors already involved with other school programs.217 In
many communities, student assistance programs are one of the
cost-effective intervention programs available to economically
disadvantaged students.218 Many school systems view substance
abuse as a health problem which is not germane to education,
and often are reluctant to become involved.219 In addition,
students with drug problems may be unwilling to seek school-

Another barrier to providing drug treatment for adolescents
is the lack of valid assessment tools to identify which adolescents
need treatment, and, if treatment is needed, what is appropriate.
NIDA recently developed a comprehensive assessment and
referral manual for adolescents which is undergoing further
testing.220

Severe Shortages of Drug, Alcohol, and Methadone Treatment
Programs for Teens Impede Successful HIV Prevention

There is a dire shortage of drug abuse treatment services
for teens. The National Association of State Alcohol and Drug
Abuse Directors have identified adolescents as the age group
most in need of additional service slots.222 Survey data from
1989 showed that at least 1,600,000 adolescents in the U.S.
needed treatment for alcohol and drug abuse; however only
123,500 received it that year.223

Because there are few drug treatment programs specifically
for adolescents, youth seldom receive drug abuse treatment in
age-appropriate settings. Typically, teens are placed in treatment
programs designed for adults or intended for younger children.
Only 15% of adolescents being treated for alcohol use problems
are in an adolescent-tailored treatment program, while nearly
25% are in treatment programs in which more than 90% of the
Among adult IV drug users, methadone treatment programs have been an effective strategy in reducing the spread of HIV. Methadone treatment involves the substitution of an orally administered synthetic narcotic for IV heroin. For this reason, admission to methadone treatment programs is usually limited to IV drug users who have failed other treatment modalities. Although the use of methadone by adolescent IV drug users may be problematic because of legal and financial barriers, public health concerns could be well served by targeting this group. New IV drug users are the most likely group to share needles, and HIV seroprevalence is lowest among IV drug users who have been using injectable drugs for less than five years.

The Effectiveness of Adolescent Treatment Programs Remains Uncertain

As noted above, drug and alcohol use plays a major role in the transmission of HIV infection among adolescents. For this reason, the availability of age-appropriate, effective drug treatment programs is a cornerstone in the prevention of adolescent HIV infection. Controversy exists regarding when and if an adolescent requires treatment for drug abuse, the efficacy and effectiveness of various kinds of treatment, the length of treatment and the type of treatment setting. In 1990, a National Academy of Science committee study on drug treatment concluded that little was known about drug treatment effectiveness for adolescents, and recommended that drug treatment of adolescents be studied intensively.

Available drug abuse treatment services include self-help groups, outpatient treatment, residential treatment and inpatient treatment. Self-help groups and outpatient treatment are usually targeted at teens who are highly motivated and who have either successfully completed an inpatient or residential treatment program, or have not received prior treatment. Strategies may include education, counseling, social skills development, psychotherapy, family therapy and self-help. Residential and inpatient treatment programs typically serve teens who are less motivated and have not responded to outpatient therapy. In addition to the strategies offered in outpatient treatment, these programs offer a therapeutic environment and daily supervision.

The effectiveness of drug treatment requires further study. Specifically, definitive research is needed to determine when outpatient or inpatient treatment is most effective. Two large studies in which inpatient and outpatient treatments were compared resulted in contradictory results. A study of delinquent and drug abusing teens randomly assigned to inpatient or outpatient treatment noted similar improvement in behavior in both groups. In most studies, short-term gains are reported, but sustained abstinence has not been clearly demonstrated.

Existing Programs for Drug and HIV Prevention Still Few, But Expanding

Largest Federal Effort, Drug Free Schools, Fails to Mention Drug Link to HIV Risk

The largest federally funded drug prevention program for youth is the Drug Free Schools program mandated in every state (P.L. 99-570). As a condition of eligibility for Federal funds, local educational agencies must certify that they have adopted and implemented a firm drug policy (P.L. 101-226). The Drug Free Schools and Communities Act Amendments of 1989 state that, at a minimum, a prevention program must convey to the students that the use of illicit drugs and the unlawful possession and use of alcohol is wrong and harmful; include standards of conduct that clearly prohibit the unlawful possession, use, or distribution of illicit drugs and alcohol by students on school premises or as a part of any school activities; and include a clear statement that sanctions will be imposed on students who violate the standards of conduct.

The Department of Education's interpretation of the statute is that schools should adopt a 'no-use' policy. As a result, the Department of Education has refused requests to include information regarding HIV-related risk behaviors in their drug prevention curriculum, despite leeway in the statute and the encouragement of the Office of Substance Abuse Prevention to
do so.227 By emphasizing a "no use" policy, schools may alienate many students already involved with drugs, particularly alcohol. Goodstadt noted that a "no use" approach ignores the realities of use.228 For adolescents already using alcohol and other drugs, setting intermediate goals that reduce use as a first step toward ultimately eliminating drug use may be more appropriate.229

To date, there are no data to show that the Drug-Free School Programs are effective in reducing the frequency with which adolescents use drugs.230

**State and Local Resources for Comprehensive Approaches Limited**

By June 1991, 31 states and the District of Columbia had mandated AIDS education in the public schools.241 Because local communities determine the content and scope of the school curriculum, there is no standard format for the delivery of HIV education and associated risk behaviors. In fact, a review of 18 AIDS curricula by CDC staff showed that the majority of programs were only one-hour or one-class in duration, and few (four of 18) emphasized that specific behaviors, including injecting drugs, place an individual at risk for AIDS.242 To be most effective in deterring or changing high-risk behaviors, education about HIV and associated risk behaviors needs to be taught within a comprehensive health or family life curriculum.243 Currently, only 19 states require that HIV education be taught in this manner.244

**Preliminary Results of Programs for Out-of-School Youth Promising**

 Agencies have begun developing programs to provide comprehensive and appropriate information on HIV to teens who have dropped out of school.245 In addition, NIDA sponsors a number of demonstration projects designed to provide HIV education and risk reduction interventions to injecting drug users, including adolescents, who are not in drug treatment programs. Preliminary data on adult IV drug users served by these demonstration projects show a reduction in unsafe sexual and drug use practices.246 Data on adolescents have yet to be analyzed.

**Few Model Alcohol and Other Drug Prevention Programs Exist**

No single intervention has proved effective in preventing drug abuse.227 The most promising strategies are peer education/training programs and, for high-risk youth, programs that provide alternatives to drug use such as community activities, remedial tutoring, and individual skill building activities.247 Researchers emphasize that an effective program requires a comprehensive community effort that targets many of the major social influences on youth, including school, parents, community organizations and the media.248

An example of a comprehensive drug prevention program is Project STAR (Students Taught Awareness and Resistance).250 The program, which serves students in the Kansas City metropolitan area schools, supplements training in peer pressure resistance skills with parental involvement, parent-child communications training, involving community leaders in the organization of a drug abuse prevention task force, and mass media programming of drug prevention. The school-based educational component is taught to sixth and seventh grade students, and booster sessions are provided annually through grade 12. Results at one and two years among students from a wide variety of socioeconomic and drug risk groups show that the expected increase in alcohol and marijuana use was reduced by 20% and 30% respectively. The frequency of other drug use was not evaluated.

Project I-STAR (Indiana Students Taught Awareness and Resistance) in Indianapolis is modeled closely on Project STAR. Based on the early evaluations of Project STAR, the Indiana curriculum includes three additional lessons about alcohol as a drug. Preliminary evaluation data from I-STAR indicate that students who did not participate in the program were 21% more likely to increase their use of alcohol and 25% more likely to increase their use of marijuana than students who did participate in the program.251
F. HIV-RELATED NEEDS OF RACIAL AND ETHNIC MINORITY ADOLESCENTS

Like adults, racial and ethnic minority adolescents are at a disproportionately higher risk of AIDS than their white peers. What is known about risk behaviors, attitudes and beliefs of minority adolescents suggests that special preventive interventions targeting this group are urgently needed. Such interventions must be culturally competent, cognizant of special geographic and economic barriers to serving minority youth, and continually refined by feedback from the target population. Evaluation of the effectiveness of promising preventive programs for minority adolescents is long overdue.

Seventy Percent of Female Adolescents with AIDS Are Minority, Modes of HIV Transmission Same for White and Racial and Ethnic Minority Youth

As detailed in Chapter I, the pattern of AIDS cases among youth is different from the adult pattern.233 A key difference is the greater proportion of minority cases among those ages 13-24. While for adults (over age 25) the majority of reported AIDS cases (by the end of 1991) were among non-Latino whites (55%), the majority of AIDS cases reported in those ages 20-24 have been among racial and ethnic minority youth (55%). An even larger proportion (58%) of AIDS cases have been diagnosed among minority youth ages 13-19.

A closer examination of AIDS case data for youth ages 13-19 reveals that among females with AIDS, 71% are minority, as shown in Table 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>271 (47%)</td>
<td>61 (20%)</td>
<td>332 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Races/Ethnicities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>175 (30%)</td>
<td>117 (55%)</td>
<td>292 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>176 (21%)</td>
<td>32 (15%)</td>
<td>151 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pac. Island</td>
<td>7 (1%)</td>
<td>1 (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>8 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American/AL State</td>
<td>5 (1%)</td>
<td>1 (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>6 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>577 (100%)</td>
<td>212 (100%)</td>
<td>789 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As described previously, young adults and teens are more likely to have contracted AIDS through heterosexual transmission or contaminated blood than are those over age 25. They are less likely than adults to have been infected as a result of homosexual activity. Among youth ages 13-19, three cases of AIDS are reported in males for each case in females, a much lower ratio than in adults (roughly 9 men to 1 woman).204

Tables 10 and 11 describe the modes of HIV transmission among teens in greater detail than was presented in Chapter I of this report and highlight differences by gender and racial and ethnic groups.
Table 10: AIDS Cases Among Males, Ages 13-19, By Race/Ethnicity and Mode of Transmission, Reported Through 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Transmission</th>
<th>White (%)</th>
<th>African-American (%)</th>
<th>Asian/Pac Islander (%)</th>
<th>Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men Having Sex with Men</td>
<td>63 (23%)</td>
<td>97 (55%)</td>
<td>35 (29%)</td>
<td>1 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting Drug Use</td>
<td>10 (4%)</td>
<td>12 (7%)</td>
<td>22 (18%)</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men Having Sex with Men and Injecting Drugs</td>
<td>10 (4%)</td>
<td>10 (6%)</td>
<td>12 (10%)</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemophilia/Coag. Disorder</td>
<td>165 (61%)</td>
<td>27 (13%)</td>
<td>38 (32%)</td>
<td>5 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recept. of Blood Transf., etc.</td>
<td>16 (6%)</td>
<td>5 (3%)</td>
<td>5 (4%)</td>
<td>1 (14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unevaluated</td>
<td>7 (3%)</td>
<td>12 (7%)</td>
<td>7 (6%)</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: AIDS Cases Among Females, Ages 13-19, By Race/Ethnicity and Mode of Transmission, Reported Through 1991

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Transmission</th>
<th>White (%)</th>
<th>African-American (%)</th>
<th>Asian/Pac Islander (%)</th>
<th>Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men Having Sex with Men</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting Drug Use</td>
<td>17 (30%)</td>
<td>29 (25%)</td>
<td>10 (31%)</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men Having Sex with Men and Injecting Drugs</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemophilia/Coag. Disorder</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual Contact</td>
<td>22 (16%)</td>
<td>61 (52%)</td>
<td>12 (38%)</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recept. of Blood Transf., etc.</td>
<td>14 (23%)</td>
<td>7 (6%)</td>
<td>5 (16%)</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Unevaluated</td>
<td>6 (10%)</td>
<td>12 (16%)</td>
<td>3 (13%)</td>
<td>0 (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unlike racial differences in transmission patterns observed in adults, an examination of transmission routes as they vary by race and ethnic group among teens reveals only one marked difference -- white males are more likely to be infected as a result of hemophilia. If hemophilia is excluded from the list of transmission vectors, percentages of white and minority youth infected by drug use and same-gender sexual activity are very similar, especially among males. (See Table 12.)
Table 12: Non-AIDS Case Rates Among Males, Ages 13-19, By Race/Ethnicity and Mode of Transmission, Reported Through 1991(*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Transmission</th>
<th>White (%</th>
<th>African-American (%)</th>
<th>Hispanic (%)</th>
<th>Asian/Pacific Islander (%)</th>
<th>Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men Having Sex with Men</td>
<td>63 (70%)</td>
<td>97 (68%)</td>
<td>35 (46%)</td>
<td>1 (100%)</td>
<td>1 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injecting Drug Use</td>
<td>10 (11%)</td>
<td>12 (8%)</td>
<td>22 (29%)</td>
<td>0 (--)</td>
<td>0 (--)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men Having Sex with Men and Injecting Drugs</td>
<td>10 (11%)</td>
<td>10 (7%)</td>
<td>12 (16%)</td>
<td>0 (--)</td>
<td>1 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterosexual Contact</td>
<td>0 (--)</td>
<td>12 (8%)</td>
<td>0 (--)</td>
<td>0 (--)</td>
<td>0 (--)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/Undetermined</td>
<td>7 (8%)</td>
<td>12 (8%)</td>
<td>7 (9%)</td>
<td>0 (--)</td>
<td>0 (--)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Special data request prepared by the Reporting and Analysis Section, Surveillance Branch, Centers for Disease Control. January 13, 1992. Totals for percent may not add to 100 due to rounding. Note that of the 12 cases of AIDS among African-American males that were due to heterosexual transmission, 8 were due to being born in a Caribbean or sub-Saharan country or having sex with a female from one of these countries where most of the reported cases occur in heterosexuals and the male-to-female ratio is approximately 1:1.

Information on Prevalence of HIV, High-Risk Sexual Behavior Shows Minority Youth to Be at Increased Risk

No national prevalence data exist regarding HIV infection in adolescents; however, data on military recruits and Job Corps applicants suggest that racial and ethnic minority youth, particularly African-American youth, are infected at significantly higher rates than white youth. (See Chapter 1.) This increased risk parallels high rates of risky sexual behavior among African-American teens. As noted by the Office of Technology Assessment:

There are significant differences between black and white adolescents in sexual activity, pregnancy, abortion, and childbearing rates. Black adolescents, many of whom are from socio-economically disadvantaged families, tend to initiate sexual activity at an earlier age, are less likely to use contraceptives, are more likely to experience out-of-wedlock births, and are less likely to have an abortion. Researchers disagree on the relative importance of socioeconomic status and other factors in accounting for these racial differences.253

According to one expert, all minority adolescent groups (African-American, Latino, Asian/Pacific Islander, and Native American) have higher rates of adolescent AIDS than whites. In addition, white teens have a lower incidence of gonorrhea, syphilis, and genital herpes than African-American adolescents, the result of the same unprotected sexual activity that transmits HIV infection.254

Similarly, while the percentage of African-American and Hispanic youth that have ever used illicit drugs is smaller than that of white youth, indicators of heavy use show that both males and females from these minority groups are at increased risk. Compared with white youth, more African-American and Hispanic adolescents and young adults have used cocaine, crack and needles in the last month.255 (See "HIV-Related Needs of Youth Already Using Alcohol or Other Drugs" in this chapter for further analysis of these issues.)

As noted above, studies of condom use in African-American teens have repeatedly shown that their usage is lower than that
of white adolescents. However, when one recent study of 403 San Francisco teens controlled for the number of sexual partners and the perceived costs and benefits of condoms, no racial/ethnic differences in condom use were observed.280

Less is known about sexual and drug-related behaviors in youth from other racial and ethnic minority populations. However, one recent study of over 14,000 rural Native American students in grades 7-12 found that 35% of males and 27% of females reported having had sexual intercourse.280 By grade 12, 63% of males and 57% of females reported being sexually experienced. Rates of contraceptive use were low among those students who were sexually active. Among students in grades 7-9, 36% of males and 57% of females reported using no method of contraception. By grades 10-12, 25% of sexually active males and 39% of sexually active females still reported no contraception. Among sexually experienced youth who did use contraceptives, 49% of males and 24% of females reported using only condoms.281

Minority Teens Know How HIV Is Transmitted But Have Misconceptions About How to Protect Themselves

Culturally "universal" solutions ignore emerging evidence that HIV prevention efforts have not been as effective with minority youth as with whites. Ethnic and racial differences in knowledge, attitudes, beliefs and behaviors relevant to risk of HIV infection have been documented, both between and within racial and ethnic groups. As outlined below, researchers have come to different conclusions about whether levels of general AIDS knowledge vary by race and ethnicity, but several experts have shown that certain, dangerous misconceptions, attitudes and behaviors are more typical of minority youth.

A study conducted in San Francisco in 1985 found that white adolescents were more knowledgeable than African-American youth about the cause, transmission, and prevention of AIDS. Both white and African-American teens knew more than Latino youth. African-American and Latino adolescents were twice as likely as white adolescents to have misconceptions about casual transmission. African-American and Latino youth in this sample

felt they were at greater risk than white respondents.282

By contrast, a study conducted in New York City in 1983 found that more than two-thirds of a sample of African-American and Hispanic teens had accurate information about HIV transmission. Unlike previous findings, this study revealed no difference between African Americans and Hispanics in knowledge. However, as shown in previous research, most of these adolescents harbored misconceptions about risk reduction (e.g., only 34% believed that abstinence reduced risk and 79% thought that oral contraceptives provided some protection from AIDS).283

Extremely low levels of knowledge about HIV infection and AIDS have been found in African-American female adolescents ages 13-15. In one study, only 30% of these young teens "passed" a test of AIDS knowledge by obtaining a score of 75% correct.284 In another sample, even though female African-American and Hispanic adolescents were more likely to believe that condoms are a good way to decrease risk of HIV, they were less likely than males to insist on condom use.285

Noting the increasing incidence of AIDS in minority groups, Congress directed the Department of Health and Human Services to conduct a study of prevention programs targeting minority populations. The Health Omnibus Programs Extension of 1988 (Section 251) included a request that the Office of Minority Health study minority knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs regarding AIDS transmission and risk, and examine the effectiveness of AIDS prevention programs for minorities.286 However, the data base used for the DHHS analysis (the National Health Interview Survey), includes only persons over the age of 18. Despite high levels of general knowledge about AIDS in all racial ethnic groups, whites have shown a greater knowledge of risk factors than other minority groups, and more knowledge of effective prevention strategies than African Americans.287

Socioeconomic and Cultural Factors Play Strong Roles in Success of Prevention/Intervention Strategies

The socioeconomic and cultural characteristics of racial and
Ethnic minority populations differ significantly from those of nonminority populations. Minority populations have experienced higher rates of poverty and unemployment and lower levels of education. Substantial percentages of minority populations live in inner cities, where they are more likely to experience substandard housing, crime, and other environmental hazards.

Because poverty is often associated with an inadequate social-support network, poor nutrition, and diminished access to health care, children growing up in poor or near-poor families probably confront more risks and benefit from fewer protections and supports than their more advantaged peers. Like all behavior, AIDS risk-related behavior is tied to other facets of people’s lives, and change in one realm can affect others.

Psychosocial barriers to behavioral change characteristic of those in extreme poverty can include limited self-efficacy, low self-esteem, perceived peer group disapproval, or beliefs about lack of the control of the future. Potentially compensating community strengths are described by Friedman, et al.:

- Minorities are constantly developing resources and dynamics of their own that aid their individual and collective struggles for survival, dignity, and happiness. These involve developing grapevines to carry information, networks to help each other out, and even formal organizations to formulate and achieve specific goals.

Working through existing community entities is likely to be the most effective way to affect counterproductive community values and beliefs. Through them, HIV prevention/education programs can build on community strengths which may be neither recognized nor fully understood by outsiders. Consequently, key components of the community must be involved in both planning and carrying out the prevention strategy. The objective is to mobilize communities to utilize, or, if necessary, rebuild their social networks in order to stimulate and support sustained behavioral changes among their members.

Diverse Minority Population Requires a Variety of Targeted HIV Interventions

The nation’s ethnic and cultural diversity, apparent in language, cultural practices, beliefs about illness, and health-seeking behavior, requires the development of culturally appropriate HIV/AIDS information and education. Although some of these cultural elements constitute barriers to HIV prevention that must be overcome, HIV prevention can build on other beliefs and practices that promote health, and should identify and respect cultural elements that do not threaten health.

Similarities among cultures can suggest strategies for effective communication and education. For example, one study found that among Asian and Pacific Islander cultures, family and community are vital parts of the lives of youths and the needs of the nuclear or extended family almost always supersede the needs of the individual. Thus, for Asian-American adolescents, services that gain family involvement, use supportive family networks, and promote family decision-making are believed likely to be more effective, although there have been no tests of this model.

However, when designing a program for the Asian and Pacific Islander communities, planners must take into account the diversity of these populations which include at least 43 different Asian and Pacific Islander groups from more than 40 countries and territories, and who speak more than 100 different languages and dialects. Materials and messages should be tested with local groups for acceptability and effectiveness.

Latino communities in the United States exhibit heterogeneity despite a common language. Nuances of language or tradition can affect basic credibility and ultimate effectiveness. For example, in many Spanish speaking communities, “jeringa” means injecting equipment. In Puerto Rico, however, “jeringa” means “don’t bother me”; using this word may mean that a key prevention message would be incomprehensible to the segment of the Hispanic community with the highest rate of AIDS.
Jose Duran, Executive Director of the Hispanic Office of Planning and Evaluation, Inc. (HOPE), testified at a Select Committee hearing that an AIDS intervention with Latino youth should be community-based, involve community outreach, present factual and explicit information, be bilingual, utilize peer leaders, and involve parents as well as adolescents. He also suggested use of focus groups to explore levels of acceptance of prevention messages in various forms.282

African-American communities have been most disproportionately affected by the HIV epidemic, but few have marshalled community resources to confront it.283 A myriad of other, more immediately visible problems (including poverty, unemployment, racism, drugs, lower levels of education, and poor overall health), and distrust of the motives of the majority culture in imposing involvement,9 prevent more immediate response to the threat of HIV infection.285 Efforts to resolve these larger problems are crucial to the success of HIV interventions.286

Involving African-American people with AIDS in educational efforts can help overcome denial of the AIDS threat in African-American communities.287 Some have also suggested that culture-specific barriers to sexual negotiation be taken into account in program planning.288 The message should reach community members wherever they usually congregate, and should be a part of efforts to change the health-delivery system.

(1) A recent study by Thomas and Crouse-Quinn describes the Tuskegee Syphilis study, led by the Public Health Service, which was the longest known nontherapeutic experiment on human beings in medical history.

The Tuskegee study tracked the results of deliberately untreated syphilis among African-American males over a 40 year period (1932 to 1972). Participants were never told that they were infected with a treatable disease, nor were they told that the disease could be transmitted sexually and from a mother to her fetus.

According to Thomas and Crouse-Quinn, strategies used to recruit and retain participants for this study were very similar to those being advocated for HIV/AIDS prevention programs in African-American communities today, including: extensive collaboration of community-based and grass-roots organizations, local churches, public schools, and the use of local African-American nurses and personnel.

The authors note: "Almost 60 years after the Tuskegee study began, there remains a trail of distrust and suspicion that hampers HIV education efforts in black communities."284
needs of the African-American family. To reach teens, prevention workers should target peer networks, so that protective attitudes will be reinforced over time. Verbal and nonverbal language that is "part of the cultural lexicon" should be a powerful tool for altering beliefs and behaviors in health-promoting directions. According to Mays and Cochran, for African-Americans:

...positive], ethnically-based values of cooperation and unity may be more powerful motivators of behavior change than strict appeals to individualistic action, such as "protect yourself." Successful civil rights activists, such as Martin Luther King, Jr., mobilized the black community by calls for unity and faith in a vision for collective freedom.491

For Native Americans, "the realities of Indian life have been obscured due to centuries of multilayered stereotypes which continue to confound understanding and communication."491 Like Hispanic and Asian Americans, Native Americans are not one homogeneous group. Numbering approximately two million,497 Native Americans come from many nations or tribes, each with its own cultural heritage.493

The misconception that all Native Americans live on reservations contributes to the lack of visibility of the majority of Native Americans who live in cities or in nonreservation rural settings. This invisibility leads to problems with HIV seroprevalence data, AIDS case surveillance, and resource allocation.494

Although the problem of AIDS in Native American groups has not received much attention, it cannot be discounted. One recent study found that nearly half of rural Native American students worry a great deal about becoming infected with HIV. AIDS was among the top five worries for both males and females in grades 7-12.495 As will be discussed further in another part of this chapter, abuse appears to play a strong role in increased sexual risk taking among Native American students. This study found that 49% of youth who reported having been physically abused have had intercourse, compared with 28% of those who had not been abused. The same pattern holds for youth who had been sexually abused. Fifty percent of sexually abused youth were sexually active compared with 29% of those who had not been physically abused.496 Finally, as in other minority communities, growing numbers of AIDS cases among adult Native Americans may predict an increasing impact on youth.

Research On the Effectiveness of Prevention Programs For Minority Youth is Needed, Cultural Competence is Necessary, but Probably Insufficient to Guard the Health of Minority Youth in High-risk Situations

Comprehensive prevention programs, including access to health care, are key in reducing the risk of HIV infection, but they will have little impact on minority youth if they are insensitive to linguistic, social and cultural realities. Furthermore, it should be recognized that the adoption of health-promoting behaviors and attitudes can affect other areas of life, resulting in economic and/or personal losses for individuals.497

Additional research is needed to address the issues involved in developing culturally sensitive prevention programs. Due to limited funding and the relative unavailability of trained minority researchers, there is a lack of data on minorities that could be useful in developing AIDS prevention strategies for minority teens.498 The need for direction will not be met in the near future: when the Office of Minority Health studied the effectiveness of AIDS prevention programs for minorities of any age group, fewer than four of the 90 Public Health Service-funded projects examined were found to incorporate stringent evaluation design criteria in plans for future assessment.499

While it is critically important for services to be shaped by the cultural content of behavior that jeopardizes health, AIDS educators must also realize that cultural sensitivity alone does not guarantee behavior change in youth at highest risk. Beyond their membership in racial or ethnic minority groups, adolescents are individuals, and are most likely to respond to preventive efforts that address their individual needs and circumstances.500 While this is a resource-intensive approach, the health of the minority adolescent community is at stake, and the growth rate of AIDS among minorities suggests that time is running out.501
G. INTERVENTIONS FOR HEMOPHILIC YOUTH

Risk of Infection from Contaminated Blood Products Significantly Decreased, Services and Prevention Still Needed

More than 1,700 cases of AIDS have been identified in adults and adolescents with hemophilia and other coagulative blood disorders. Because hemophilia is a sex-linked genetic disease, many hemophiliacs are male. By the end of 1991, 242 adolescents ages 13-19 who had been diagnosed with AIDS had hemophilia or coagulation disorder. (See Chapter I)

While more than half of the hemophiliacs in the United States are thought to be HIV positive as a function of receiving contaminated blood and blood products in the past, few new cases due to contaminated blood have been documented in recent years. In 1985, a test was developed to screen blood for HIV antibodies, and current estimates of infection due to receipt of blood range from one in 40,000 to one in 153,000 units transfused.

Sexual partners and children of HIV-infected hemophiliacs, however, continue to be at high risk of infection. In 1990, CDC provided $3 million to the Hemophilia Behavioral Intervention Evaluation Projects (conducted predominantly at federally-funded Hemophilia Treatment Centers [HTCs]) to assess strategies for preventing sexual and perinatal transmission in this population. Eleven of the sites involved in this project will include adolescents in their study groups, but little is known at present about the effectiveness of behavioral risk-reduction among hemophiliac youth.

In many cases, when hemophilic children were tested for antibodies prior to adolescence, their parents were told of their status, but the youth themselves were not. Some of these individuals have become sexually active without knowing that they are infected with HIV.

Even when individuals know their HIV status, there appear to be formidable psychological barriers to prevention efforts. This is evidenced by the finding that, despite high general knowledge about AIDS and how to prevent it among hemophiliacs, one study found that less than one-third of sexually-active hemophiliac males consistently use condoms.

HIV-infected hemophiliac teens must struggle not only with the same psychosocial developmental challenges all teens face (e.g., management of an emerging sexuality), but also with unique pressures, including a constant awareness of serious health threats. Thus, they are likely to require specialized medical management and psychosocial support.

Partly as a result of the advocacy of the National Coordinating Committee (composed of Health Resources and Services Administration, Centers for Disease Control and the National Hemophilia Foundation), Federal efforts on behalf of hemophiliacs have included risk-reduction curriculum development, a grant program for psychosocial support services, and outreach to minority persons with hemophilia who may not be currently served by HTCs. In addition, compared with other HIV-infected adolescents, a greater proportion of hemophiliac youth have been included in NIH clinical trials for new HIV/AIDS drugs. (See Chapter V for further discussion of these issues.)

The levels of medical and psychosocial services that are likely to be required by HIV-infected hemophiliac youth are potentially overwhelming to the resources of HTCs. An assessment is underway to determine the feasibility of integrating the comprehensive care services provided by the HTCs with the services of HIV-related health care agencies.

Existing services are inadequate to meet the needs of HIV-infected adolescents, with or without complicating medical conditions such as hemophilia. The Institute of Medicine recommended employing three basic strategies to address the service needs of HIV-infected youth: (1) expand existing services for other adolescent health problems to include HIV infection and AIDS; (2) expand adult HIV and AIDS programs to include adolescents; and (3) develop new programs specifically designed to treat HIV-infected teens. Not until the broader health care system serves the needs of all infected adolescents will adequate
treatment for HIV-infected hemophiliac youth begin to be assured.225

H. CONSIDERATIONS FOR SERVING YOUTH WHO HAVE BEEN SEXUALLY ABUSED

While national statistics remain incomplete, available data show that child abuse reports have increased steadily over the last 10 years.216 Estimates of the prevalence of sexual abuse vary depending on the definitions used. In 1990 alone, there were over 2,500,000 reports of maltreatment; 15% were reports of sexual abuse.217 As is the case with child physical abuse, experts believe that child sexual abuse is vastly under-reported.218 Conservatively, an estimated one in four females and one in six males are sexually assaulted before age 18.219

The relationship between childhood sexual abuse and risk of HIV infection is largely unexplored. It is impossible to determine how many children have been infected with HIV as a result of abuse.220 One recent study of 96 HIV-infected children (ages 17 and younger) who were treated at Duke University between 1987 and 1989, confirmed that 14 (eight females and three males) had been sexually abused. Four of these children acquired HIV infection as a result of sexual abuse. For six others, abuse was a possible source of transmission.221

Research has begun to explore the link between child sexual abuse and subsequent high-risk behaviors. Studies have reported correlations between childhood sexual assault and behaviors that put adolescents and adults at high risk of HIV infection, such as sex with multiple partners, unprotected sex, and drug and alcohol use.60

60 It is important to note that studies cited in this section indicate a correlational relationship between child sexual abuse and increased sexual and drug related risk taking, rather than a causal one. As noted by Louise Silverman, Associate Professor at the University of Colorado:

The relationships between child abuse and such adult events may or may not indicate that abuse induces "risky" behavior...Moreover our results suggest that the relationship between child abuse and adult dangers are not specifically

mediated by their sexual nature. My concern about prematurely interpreting these data is that they not be used to further stereotype abused children or to encourage blaming adult victims by assuming that they have contributed to their ill-fate. (Personal Communication, July 23, 1991.)

A history of sexual abuse is only one factor among many that may predict subsequent risk-taking behavior.222 However, adolescents who have been sexually abused have recently been identified as a population that should be targeted for HIV transmission.223

History of Childhood Sexual Abuse Correlated with Risky Sexual Behavior

A recent study of heterosexually active young adults in southeastern New England found increased sexual risk-taking among persons who reported a history of early sexual abuse. Compared with individuals who did not report a history of sexual abuse, abuse survivors were four times more likely to be working as prostitutes, twice as likely to report multiple sexual partners within a given year, and 40% more likely to report a sexual encounter with someone they did not know. Women who reported being sexually assaulted during childhood were almost three times more likely to become pregnant before age 18. Survivors of sexual abuse were only slightly more likely to report a history of STDs or to have serologic evidence of HIV infection. However, "men who reported early sexual abuse had a two-fold increase in prevalence of HIV infection relative to un abused men."224

Other studies indicate similar correlations between childhood abuse and high-risk behavior that might lead to HIV infection. A recent study of 535 young women who had become pregnant as adolescents found that two-thirds had been sexually abused (62% before first pregnancy). More than half (55%) had been molested, 44% had been raped, and 42% had been victims of attempted rape. Compared with young women who also became pregnant as adolescents but who had not been abused, sexually victimized females began intercourse at an earlier age (13.2 vs. 14.5), were more likely to have used alcohol or other drugs...
Correlations Also Evident Between Childhood Sexual Abuse and Increased Alcohol and Other Drug Use

Several researchers have observed relationships between sexual abuse and drug and alcohol use among adolescents. A 1989 study of 1,824 adolescents who received substance abuse treatment found that both male and female sexual abuse victims were more likely to have used a wider array of drugs than were their non-abused treatment counterparts. Nearly six in ten adolescents in the abused group used drugs (not including alcohol and marijuana) compared with four in ten adolescents in the control group.228

Other studies confirm these findings; youth who reported abuse were more likely to report regular cocaine and stimulant use and greater frequencies of alcohol and drug use. Abused youth also begin using alcohol and drugs at an earlier age than other adolescents.229

While researchers agree that victims of sexual abuse are generally at greater risk for alcohol and drug use, some investigators caution that our knowledge of this phenomenon is quite limited. Further research is needed to more clearly identify this relationship.230

Dynamics of Relationship Between Child Sexual Abuse and Adolescent HIV Largely Unexplored

While researchers have documented an association between child sexual abuse and adolescent HIV risk-taking behaviors, the dynamics of this relationship remain unexplored. Similarly, little is known about the co-occurrence of problem behaviors.231

Research has begun to suggest that protective factors, including the youth's intelligence, temperament, cognitive appraisal of events, coping skills, support from a significant person, and positive placement experiences in foster care, may serve to buffer the effects of childhood victimization and help to mitigate later adolescent problem behaviors. It is essential to protect children from abuse in the first place, and further effort also should be directed at preventing the development of dysfunctional outcomes in adolescence and beyond.232
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CHAPTER IV

ADOLESCENTS FACE NUMEROUS BARRIERS TO RECEIVING HIV-RELATED CARE AND SERVICES

The burgeoning HIV epidemic has dramatized the plight of many Americans who are uninsured or underinsured, and who lack access to adequate health care and other services. Adolescents confront numerous obstacles to receiving HIV-related care and services, including financial barriers, lack of adequately trained providers, a fragmented health-care delivery system, and unresolved legal and ethical considerations. As observed by Jean Garrison Athey, Chief of the Public Health Social Work Division within the Bureau of Maternal and Child Health:

...There are problems and limitations in the current array of health, mental health, and social services for adolescents. Attitudinal, political/administrative, financial, and legal barriers all negatively affect service delivery. As a result, the availability of services is uneven; services are frequently inappropriate and intensive and thus unacceptable to many adolescents; and services are often fragmented, leading to difficulties in access both within and across systems. These service failures become especially apparent when an adolescent acquires HIV infection. Youth at highest risk for contracting HIV appear to be those who are least well-served by the traditional health, mental health, and social service systems.

A recent report by a panel of the Department of Health and Human Services, chaired by the Surgeon General, described the wide range of services that children with HIV-infection or AIDS and their families may need, including: integrated medical care; mental health assessments and interventions; drug treatment; HIV-antibody testing and counseling; housing; legal services; primary prevention; education and behavior change; developmental services; special and school-based education; family-to-family support; nutrition assistance; foster care; adoption services; and group care.

The range and availability of services required for adolescents infected with HIV or at risk of infection have essentially not been discussed in any systematic fashion. However, many of the services identified by the PHS Panel as necessary for children are also likely to be required for adolescents with HIV infection and AIDS and their families.

While access to the full range of legal, housing, and other support services is critical to adolescents with HIV infection or AIDS, the remainder of this chapter will focus primarily on barriers to medical care, HIV-antibody testing and counseling, and education.

A. FINANCIAL BARRIERS TO HIV-RELATED HEALTH CARE

Cost of HIV-Related Treatment for Youth Unknown

Cost estimates for treating people with HIV disease and AIDS vary considerably. According to the Congressional Research Service, average health care expenditures between the time of AIDS diagnosis and death ranged from $24,000 - $147,000. In June 1986, the Public Health Service’s “Coolfront” report projected that the annual cost of treatment for all individuals with AIDS or HIV infection in Fiscal Year 1991 would be $8-16 billion.

More recently, a study by the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR), estimates that the cost of treating all people with HIV and AIDS will be $5.8 billion in 1991, reaching $10.4 billion in 1994. AHCPR estimates that the average annual cost of treating a person with AIDS is $32,000, and for treating a person with HIV infection, but not AIDS, is $5,150. AHCPR also estimates that the average cost of treatment between AIDS diagnosis and death is more than $85,000.

Estimates of the cost of treating adolescents and young adults with HIV disease and AIDS are not available, but if we consider the number of teens currently infected with HIV and those at risk of infection, potential costs could prove overwhelming.
Adolescents with Private Health Insurance Still Face Barriers to HIV-Related Care

Adolescents' access to health care depends to a great extent on whether they have health insurance. In 1988, nearly 70% of U.S. adolescents ages 10-18 were covered at least partially by private health insurance. Most adolescents who have private health insurance are covered as dependents under their parents' employer-based plans. The benefits available to privately insured youth depend on the type of insurance and the terms of the insurance policy.

Comprehensive national data on benefit coverage are fairly limited, and to date there have been no surveys of private health insurance benefits that have focused specifically on adolescent needs. In general, according to OTA and the American Medical Association (AMA), adolescents with private health insurance are well covered for traditional medical services such as hospital care, physician services, and prescription medicines. Compared with these services, private insurance is less likely to cover preventive and case management services for adolescents, and most private policies limit inpatient and outpatient mental health and substance abuse treatment services - two of the services that teens with HIV or at risk of infection are likely to need the most.

As noted by OTA, "employer and insurer attitudes toward coverage of mental health and substance abuse treatment are in flux, especially with respect to adolescents." Utilization of these services increased dramatically during the last decade, and many employers and private insurers are reconsidering how and whether to cover mental health and drug abuse treatment benefits for dependents. OTA also found that according to a recent survey of corporate benefits decision makers, more than half predicted restricting or excluding dependent coverage for these services. These findings are particularly disturbing because as found previously in this report, of the estimated 1.6 million adolescents who needed drug treatment in 1989, only 123,500 actually received it.

Cost of Treating People with HIV and AIDS Increasingly Born by Public Health Insurers: One in Three Poor Teens Not Eligible for Medicaid

People with AIDS and HIV infection face serious barriers to obtaining adequate health insurance coverage. Since the mid-1980s, the responsibility for paying the cost of AIDS treatment has gradually shifted from private to public health insurance programs. This change reflects a shift in the demographics of the HIV-infected population, which is increasingly poor and without health insurance.

Early studies found that private health insurance covered 40% to 60% of total costs associated with HIV-related treatment, while Medicaid covered an additional 20% to 30% and Medicare paid 1% to 3%. In recent years, however, Medicaid has covered an increasing share of the HIV-related health care costs, particularly for expenditures related to inpatient care.

An estimated 4.6 million U.S. adolescents ages 10 to 18 had Medicaid coverage at some time during FY 1988, the most recent year for which data are available. The number of youth with HIV disease or AIDS who were served is uncertain and Medicaid expenditures for adolescents with AIDS are not available. It is likely, however, that Medicaid is an important player in treating adolescents with HIV and AIDS.

Within broad Federal guidelines, each state determines not only the criteria for Medicaid eligibility, but also the services it will provide. Therefore, the benefits available to Medicaid-eligible adolescents with HIV or AIDS depend to a great extent on the state in which that individual resides.

State Medicaid programs must cover a core of essential services including, but not limited to: inpatient and outpatient hospital services; physician services; early and periodic screening, diagnosis, and treatment (EPSDT); laboratory and x-ray procedures; and family planning services and supplies. States may also opt to cover other services including case management, prescribed drugs, home health care, and hospice care. At least four states (AL, MD, PA, and WA) have added targeted case
management to the services available to Medicaid-eligible persons with HIV or AIDS.23

In 1989, Congress significantly expanded adolescents' access to Medicaid-covered services by reforming the EPSDT program within Medicaid.24 States are now mandated to periodically screen Medicaid-eligible adolescents under age 22 for any illnesses, abnormalities, or treatable conditions and refer them for definitive treatment.25 In addition, states are now required to provide any federally reimbursable service that is "medically necessary" to diagnose or treat a problem identified in an EPSDT screening, regardless of whether that service is included in the state's Medicaid plan.26

States are permitted to set limits on the number of screenings that will be covered by their EPSDT programs. In 1989, only 22 states27 offered five or more scheduled EPSDT screenings for youth ages 10 to 21, compared with four states (ID, OK, TX, and WY) which covered only one or two visits. Minnesota covered as many EPSDT visits as were "medically necessary."28

While the expansion of the EPSDT program may potentially assist HIV-infected, Medicaid-eligible adolescents to receive care, this potential will not be realized unless adolescents are actually screened.29 In fact, since the law was enacted, states have made little progress in enrolling Medicaid-eligible children in the EPSDT program.30

States have the option to request waivers to provide a broader range of home and community-based services to individuals with HIV infection and AIDS than would normally be available under their Medicaid plan.31 By February 1991, AIDS-specific waivers had been approved for 15 states32 and 24 states had opted to cover hospice benefits for Medicaid-eligible persons with terminal illness.33 The effectiveness of these programs in meeting the needs of HIV-infected adolescents depends on the specific provisions of each state's program.34

While the Medicaid program has the potential to assist many HIV-infected adolescents, it is important to note that one in three poor adolescents ages 10-18 (1.76 million) was neither eligible for Medicaid nor covered by private health insurance in 1988.35

Due to changes in Federal law enacted in 1990, Medicaid eligibility has been expanded to gradually phase in, one year at a time, coverage to children ages seven through 18 who are in families with incomes below the Federal poverty level.36 This expansion will certainly assist numerous children. However, it is unlikely to help adolescents for a number of years. All poor adolescents under age 19 will not become eligible for Medicaid until October 2001.37

Millions of Adolescents Lack Private Health Insurance and Are Not Eligible for Medicaid

Between 1979 and 1986, the percentage of adolescents ages 10-18 without health insurance increased by 25%.38 (Due to modifications in the questionnaire, survey data from 1979 to 1986 cannot be compared with more recent data.)39 The percent of uninsured adolescents continued to increase between 1987 and 1988 by an estimated 3%, paralleling an overall increase in the nonelderly population without health insurance.40

By 1988, an estimated one in seven adolescents ages 10-18 (4.6 million; or 15% of the U.S. population ages 10-18) lacked private health insurance and remained ineligible for Medicaid because of family income or minor status.41 Young adults under

---

(a) States that offered five or more EPSDT screening for ages 10-21 in 1989: AL; DE; FL; GA; HI; IL; IN; ME; MD; MA; NE; NV; ND; OH; OR; PA; RI; VT; WA; WV; and WI.

(b) The 15 states included CA, CO, DE, FL, HI, IL, IA, MO, NJ, NM, OH, PA, SC, VA, and WA. Illinois and North Carolina also include persons with AIDS under similar waivers for broader populations.
age 25, who more likely than young adolescents to be infected with HIV, are even less likely to be privately insured or eligible for public assistance. An estimated 27.4\% of young adults ages 18-24 lacked health insurance in 1989.\(^{40}\)

Uninsured adolescents tend to be in poorer health, wait longer periods of time between physician visits, and are more likely to be hospitalized, due in part to delays in seeking medical care.\(^{42}\) Poor adolescents, minority youth, and those that have runaway or are homeless or incarcerated are at especially high risk of being uninsured.\(^{42}\) As found previously, many of these youth are also more likely to have AIDS, to be infected with HIV, and are at increased risk of infection.

B. LACK OF ADEQUATELY TRAINED HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS AND POOR DISTRIBUTION OF COMMUNITY AND PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMS THREATEN QUALITY OF CARE AVAILABLE TO HIV-INFECTED YOUTH

Adolescents are less likely to utilize private office-based primary care physicians than any other age group.\(^{43}\) A number of nonfinancial and nonlegal reasons account for low utilization of services by primary care physicians, and to some extent other health care providers. According to OTA, these include: the lack of availability and willingness of physicians to treat adolescents; inconsistencies between adolescents’ perceived needs and the care provided by physicians; adolescents’ concerns about confidentiality; and physicians’ other health care providers’ lack of competence to identify and treat the health problems of adolescents.\(^{44}\)

The majority of U.S. adolescents who obtain medical care receive it from providers who have not received special training in adolescent health.\(^{47}\) There are no definitive data on the number of physicians who specialize in adolescent medicine. However, available data indicate that the number of physicians who dedicate their practices to adolescent medicine is quite small.\(^{48}\) The American Medical Association (AMA) estimates that there is only one adolescent medicine specialist for every 20,500 adolescents, and one child and adolescent psychiatrist for every 5,000 adolescents. Only 1,261 of the nearly 800,000 members of the American Medical Association selected adolescent medicine as a primary or secondary specialty.\(^{47}\)

The Society for Adolescent Medicine (SAM) claimed just over 1,034 members in 1989, while in 1988, the American Academy of Pediatrics’ Section on Adolescent Health included only 547 members, of whom the vast majority (an estimated 500) were also members of the Society for Adolescent Medicine.\(^{48}\)

The lack of adequately trained adolescent health care providers is even more pronounced for adolescents with HIV infection. According to Karen Hein, who directs one of the few comprehensive adolescent AIDS treatment programs in the United States, there is an urgent need for:

- developing HIV specific programs for teenagers by training or revamping adolescent providers, [including] adolescent medicine specialists, family practitioners, and pediatricians, for example, to be able to provide HIV expertise, and [for] revamping HIV/AIDS specialists, [including] infectious diseases specialists, hematologists, and immunologists, for example, to be knowledgeable about the adolescent.\(^{50}\)

Federally funded community and migrant health centers, health care for the homeless clinics, and family planning clinics play a critical role in delivering HIV-related information and health care services to poor and medically underserved adolescents.

Approximately 550 community and migrant health centers (CHCs and MHCs) provide direct comprehensive primary care services to approximately 6.3 million medically underserved persons. An estimated 44% of the persons treated by CHCs and MHCs are children under age 18. Approximately 2% to 4% of the population seen by these centers is infected with HIV.\(^{50}\) Clinics receiving Health Care for the Homeless funds (Section 340 of the Public Health Service Act) also serve medically underserved adolescents. In FY 1989, an estimated 17,600 adolescents ages 15-19 received services from these clinics.\(^{57}\)
Similarly, federally funded (Title X) family planning clinics are located in all 50 states and in two-thirds of counties. The number of adolescents served by federally funded clinics is uncertain. The most recent available data indicated that approximately one-third of the 4.1 million women who were served in 1981 were teens. Federally funded family planning clinics provide a variety of services including family planning, contraceptive services, and STD and HIV screening. In 1989 and 1990, 15 percent of the HIV tests that were conducted by publicly funded clinics were provided at family planning clinics.

Despite their immense potential to reach millions of adolescents who are not served by private physicians, funding for CHCs, MHC, and Title X has decreased during the past decade. Between 1980 and 1991, funding for CHCs and MHCs declined by 2.4% and funding for Title X decreased by 61%, adjusted for inflation.

C. HIV INFECTION AMONG ADOLESCENTS RAISES COMPLEX LEGAL AND ETHICAL ISSUES

The growing HIV epidemic has raised complex legal and ethical issues for those living with the virus and its related illnesses, as well as for society at-large. These issues include: HIV-antibody testing and screening; consent and access to medical treatment; privacy rights; protection against discrimination; duty to warn; types and content of educational interventions; and liability. Gostin's 1990 review of 469 cases completed or pending before Federal, state or local courts and commissions notes:

No other infectious disease in recent history compares with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in the ways it has affected our relationships with each other and with our social institutions. Sharp differences in perception of

(5) This section updates information and case law provided in the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families 1987 report, A Generation in Jeopardy: Children and AIDS. The content was developed with the assistance of the Congressional Research Service's American Law Division, in conformance with a request from the Select Committee, and does not necessarily represent the opinion of CRS.
English and others continue to raise additional questions, many of which remain unanswered:

Can an adolescent independently consent to an HIV antibody test, without parental permission? What is the scope of the confidentiality protection for an institutionalized adolescent known to be infected with the virus? Who, if anyone, is liable if an adolescent suffering from AIDS engages in sexual activity, or shares needles with a drug using companion, while in a group placement under public agency supervision? Can educational or social services programs legally refuse to serve infected youth?64

Who, if anyone, is liable if disclosure of an adolescent's antibody status results in discrimination or other harm to the adolescent?62

This section examines legal and ethical issues in three principal areas: (1) issues of HIV testing and medical treatment that arise and are of particular concern because of the age and legal status of the adolescent; (2) privacy issues; and (3) issues concerning discrimination.

HIV-Antibody Testing and Medical Treatment Should Be Inextricably Linked

Early detection of HIV has become increasingly important as early prophylactic treatment has the potential to delay onset of symptoms of HIV disease and or prolong the lives of those individuals who have become infected. As noted by English, "voluntary HIV testing is increasingly considered a gateway to treatment and something to be encouraged for individuals who are at risk of infection."65

Problems associated with adolescents' access to health care in general, along with the scarcity of HIV specific services for teens, make the necessary linkage between access to care and HIV-antibody testing difficult to achieve for adolescents, particularly those at highest risk of infection.64 As noted by Rotheram-Borus, "No resources are associated with the increased demand for testing, so that many problems emerge."65

Authority for Decision-Making

Questions arise about who has decision-making authority concerning the testing and treatment of adolescents who are considered minor children (under age 18 in most states). Some adolescents may not seek care unless they can obtain it independently.66 Under current laws in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, minors have authority to consent to certain kinds of medical treatment without parental consent, although the laws vary widely.67

As noted by English:

Although the law generally requires parental consent when health care is provided to minor children, there are numerous exceptions — based on the minor's status or the specific services sought.68

Further, North found:

The statutory exceptions to the need for parental consent vary substantially from state to state, but generally fall within two categories: (1) those that authorize a minor to consent to specific types of care, such as treatment of venereal disease, drug abuse, pregnancy, or injury resulting from an alleged rape or sex offense, or even HIV testing; and (2) those that authorize certain minors to consent to all care based upon the minor's competence or maturity.69

As shown in Table 1 below, according to a recent review by English, at least 11 states have statutes which explicitly give minors authority to consent to HIV testing.70 Only three of these states also explicitly authorize minors to consent for treatment for AIDS or HIV infection. Moreover, at least 12 states classify HIV infection or AIDS as an STD or venereal disease which would allow minors to consent to HIV diagnosis or treatment. Further, in at least nine states, minors are authorized to consent to testing and treatment for HIV or AIDS as a reportable, contagious, infectious, or communicable disease.71
As noted in Table 1, many states also authorize some minors to consent to HIV-antibody testing and HIV-related treatment on the basis that they are runaways, homeless youth, mature minors, emancipated minors, high school graduates, pregnant minors, or minor parents.\textsuperscript{71}

In order to improve access to treatment, efforts have been made to secure increased participation of adolescents in clinical trials and other research protocols, raising additional questions about consent authority.\textsuperscript{7} Authority to consent to HIV testing and/or treatment does not convey independent authority for a minor to participate in research. As Melton has pointed out:

Parents must give permission (distinguished from consent) before their children may participate in research, but in most instances minors retain power of assent (essentially, a veto power).\textsuperscript{74}

English further describes that:

If, under state law, minors may consent to HIV testing and/or treatment or if the adolescent research subjects are capable of giving informed consent -- and may therefore be considered "mature minors" -- the research does not entail more than minimal risk, the requirement of parental permission may be waived. The regulations explicitly require that when parental permission is waived an alternative mechanism for protecting the children (or adolescents) must be substituted. The specific mechanism must be designed based on the particular risks involved in the research as well as the maturity and other characteristics of the adolescents who are the research subjects. Moreover, if the subjects of research are wards of the state or any agency or institution, and the research involves greater than minimal risk and no prospect of direct benefit, an advocate (in addition to anyone acting as guardian or in loco parentis) must be appointed to protect the best interests of each child or adolescent who is a research subject.\textsuperscript{75}

HIV-antibody testing in general, and in particular for minor adolescents, remains controversial because of very limited pre-and post-test counseling services and privacy concerns, including potential breaches in confidentiality that can lead to
stigmatization and discrimination against HIV-infected persons.\textsuperscript{76}

According to Hein, mandatory testing remains widely opposed by health care and service professionals "on the basis of invasion of privacy or of the civil rights of the individuals involved, lack of evidence that mandatory testing helps curtail the epidemic, and cost considerations."\textsuperscript{77}

In her 1987 testimony, Shafer noted:

Regarding testing, especially with adolescents, I have a big concern...If you require and mandate testing, that may backfire in that the groups that you want to reach with the counseling...to essentially change behaviors...won't enter the system and get that really in-depth counseling that's required.\textsuperscript{78}

Similarly, Hein observes:

Additional concerns apply to youths involved in the mandatory testing policies in the Job Corps or in the Military. Mandatory testing might make sense if it allowed youths to receive care earlier or in a more efficient or humane way, but mandatory HIV testing policies that are used to exclude HIV-positive applicants (as in the case of the military) or fail to provide adequate services (as charged in the law suit against the Job Corps) only exacerbate already inadequate services for disadvantaged youths.\textsuperscript{79}

Mark presented another point of view in his 1987 testimony before the Committee. Mark suggested that repeated HIV testing is needed for epidemiologic purposes to see how far, and into which groups of the population, the HIV epidemic is spreading.\textsuperscript{80} Others have pointed out to the Committee that seroprevalence studies for purposes of epidemiology can be done through unlinked anonymous testing. They note that if such a strategy is used, the adolescents who participate in unlinked seroprevalence studies should be offered with voluntary confidential testing with age-appropriate pre- and posttest counseling.\textsuperscript{81}

In March 1988, the first conference ever to focus on AIDS and HIV among adolescents was held in New York City. At

that meeting, experts from the Society for Adolescent Medicine and five Federal agencies developed a set a general principles and recommendations regarding the HIV-antibody testing and epidemiology which remain helpful today.\textsuperscript{82} Following is the set of "General Principles" developed at that meeting:

1. Any HIV testing of adolescents should occur only on expected benefits to adolescents.
2. There should be no mandatory HIV testing of population groups or individual adolescents as a prerequisite for admission to programs, services, or placements.
3. There should be no "routine" HIV testing, and especially no involuntary routine HIV testing, of adolescents.
4. When HIV testing is indicated, based on clinical criteria or an appropriate request of an adolescent, it should be conducted in settings where pre- and posttest counseling that is sensitive, age appropriate, and culturally appropriate is available.
5. In many situations, and especially in the case of a positive test result, the identification and participation of a supportive adult in the testing procedure and in follow-up care should be strongly encouraged.
6. Adolescents who are capable of giving an informed consent should be able to consent to an HIV test when pre- and posttest counseling and other appropriate safeguards are in place.
7. Maintaining confidentiality of HIV testing information concerning adolescents in conformity with both legal and the highest ethical standards is essential.
8. Special sensitivity is required with respect to the risk of coercion and loss of confidentiality in specialized settings, such as detention facilities, and special safeguards should be employed to ensure that this does not occur.
9. In order to define the extent of HIV infection among adolescents there is a need for targeted seroprevalence studies, from which the information should be used to
develop programs of prevention and services to direct future research.

10. Testing guidelines should be reviewed, and modified if necessary, on an ongoing basis dependent on the outcome of research studies of the impact of HIV testing on adolescents and other pertinent issues.

Building on these guidelines and the recommendations that also were developed at that meeting, the Massachusetts Department of Public Health produced model guidelines for adolescent HIV-antibody counseling and testing that detail the risks and benefits of HIV-antibody testing. These guidelines, approved by the Governor, also provide protocols for pre- and posttest counseling sessions.

Privacy

One of the main issues raised by HIV-antibody testing is the question of the right of privacy for the person tested. This issue involves questions regarding Federal and state constitutional rights, statutory provisions and common law principles. Legally, the degree of confidentiality protection and the scope of permissible disclosure may turn on numerous factors, including whether testing is voluntary or mandatory, whether there is consent to disclose results, the purpose of disclosure, and the application of specific statutory provisions. The issue has sometimes been seen in terms of balancing the individual’s right to privacy against the need for society to know.

The public health community has generally agreed on the need for some type of confidentiality. For example, with regard to school-aged children, the CDC and the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommend that school and health officials respect the right to privacy of any student infected with the AIDS virus.

In their 1985 Recommendations and Guidelines, CDC states:

Parents of infected children should be aware of the potential for social isolation should the condition of the child become known to others in the care or educational setting. School, day-care and social service personnel and others involved in educating and care for these children should be sensitive to the need for confidentiality and the right to privacy in these cases.

According to the AAP:

The number of personnel aware of the child’s condition should be kept to the minimum needed to assure proper care of the child and to detect situations in which the potential for transmission may increase.

The rapidly evolving nature of the AIDS epidemic among adolescents suggests the importance of advice given by English, urging "very careful development and evaluation of any recommendations specific to children and youth."

Nondiscrimination and Access to Schools

Prevention of discrimination against HIV-infected adults has been the subject of considerable discussion which contributed to the enactment of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) on July 26, 1990. The ADA provides broad-based nondiscrimination protection for individuals with disabilities in employment, public services, public accommodations and services operated by private entities, transportation, and telecommunications.

Although the statutory language of the ADA does not specifically include HIV-infected persons, the Act was clearly intended to cover such individuals. The definition of individuals with disabilities is a functional one: the term disability is defined as meaning with respect to an individual "(A) a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of such individual; (B) a record of such an impairment; or (C) being regarded as having such an impairment." The Senate Report on the ADA discussed the meaning of the definition and stated "... a person infected with the Human Immunodeficiency Virus is covered under the first prong of the

definition of the term 'disability'.\(^6\)

Similarly, the ADA also was intended to cover youth as well as children and adults. The definition as cited above does not contain any age related requirement and clearly some of the public services and places of public accommodation would be used by youth. The Senate Report confirms this view in its discussion of school bus requirements under title II of the Act when it states that "equal nonsegregated opportunities are provided to all children."\(^6\)

Two other Federal statutes could provide significant protection for HIV-infected youth: section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973,\(^5\) and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).\(^6\) Although section 504, like the ADA, could be a source for rights of youth in areas such as employment, transportation, and access to child welfare services and residential placements, the primary import of all of these statutes for youth so far has been with respect to access to education. Before analyzing this particular legal issue, it is helpful to note that CDC has published guidelines concerning access to education.

CDC, along with many state education and health departments, has recommended that most youth infected with

---

\(^5\) U.S. Senate Report 101-114, 101st Congress, First Session. 1989

\(^6\) Id.

\(^7\) 29 U.S.C. § 794. Section 504 prohibits discrimination against otherwise qualified handicapped individuals solely by reason of their handicap in any program or activity that receives federal financial assistance or in the executive agencies or the United States Postal Service. The ADA was modeled on section 504 and expanded its nondiscrimination requirements into the private sector; that is, those covered under section 504 because they do not receive federal financial assistance.

\(^8\) 20 U.S.C. §§ 1400 et seq. IDEA, formerly t. d the Education for All Handicapped Children Act, authorizes a number of grant programs relating to the education of children with disabilities and requires that states receiving grants provide children with disabilities a free appropriate public education.

HIV be allowed to attend school. In its recommendations on education and foster care of HIV-infected children, CDC explains that none of the identified cases of HIV infection in the U.S. are known to have been transmitted in school or foster care settings or through personal-to-person contact.\(^6\)

Specifically, youth with HIV infection do not transmit the infection by sharing eating or drinking utensils, coughs or sneezes, or casual kissing on the cheeks or mouth. In addition, although HIV-infected youth may themselves face greater risk of infection in a group setting because of their immune system deficiencies, CDC has indicated that:

For most infected school-aged children, the benefits of an unrestricted setting would outweigh the risks of their acquiring potential harmful infections in the setting and the apparent nonexistent risk of transmission. These youth should be allowed to attend school and after-school day-care and to be placed in a foster home in an unrestricted setting.\(^6\)

The National Association of State Boards of Education reported that as of September 1987 a majority of states (39) had policies or a position statement on admitting students with AIDS to school.\(^6\)

Guidelines issued by CDC note that decisions regarding the kind of educational and care setting for the child infected with the AIDS virus "should be based on the behavior, neurologic development, and physical condition of the child and the expected type of interaction with others in that setting," and that each case should be evaluated individually by a team that includes the child's physician, public health officials, the child's parent or guardian and personnel from the care facility or school.\(^6\)

The ADA, section 504, and IDEA could be used as possible statutory bases for a right to education for HIV-infected youth. Both section 504 and the ADA prohibit discrimination against individuals with disabilities and it would appear to be fairly settled law that these statutes include HIV-infected individuals within
their definitions of individuals with disabilities.(1) It is also fairly settled in case law that an automatic exclusion from school of HIV-infected children would violate section 504.(2)

In an analogous case involving a teacher with the contagious disease of tuberculosis, the Supreme Court held that the teacher may be a handicapped person under section 504, and that the fact of the possibility of contagion did not limit the coverage of the section. The Court further found that the issue of whether such contagious individuals are protected by section 504 is determined by whether such an individual is "otherwise qualified." In determining whether an individual is otherwise qualified, the Court found that an individualized inquiry must be made concerning the nature of the risk, the duration of the risk, the severity of the risk, and the probability that the disease will be transmitted. A court must then evaluate whether reasonable accommodation is possible. This same approach has been adopted in lower court cases involving HIV-infected children.(3)

IDEA could also be used as a basis for requiring the education of an HIV-infected adolescent. This Act provides federal funds to the states and conditions the receipt of these funds on the provision of a "free appropriate public education." It would appear that the Act would cover some HIV-infected children. Madeleine Will, former Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services, indicated that:

[C]hildren with AIDS would be eligible for coverage under EHA-B if they are evaluated as having one of the handicapping conditions listed in the statute, and in need of


(m) 221 EHLR 343 (1984).
Table 2: State Laws Authorizing Minors to Consent for HIV- Antibody Testing and HIV-Related Treatment(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>Ariz. Rev. Stat. Ann. §§ 36-661(2) and 36-663 (Supp. 1990) [written informed consent required for and HIV test; capacity to consent determined without regard to age].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Cal. Health and Safety Code §§ 199.22 and 199.27(a)(West 1990) [written consent of competent subject of HIV antibody test required; minors under age 12 deemed incompetent to consent to test]; 17 Cal. Code Reg. 17, § 2500 (4-1-90) [AIDS is reportable communicable disease]; Cal. Civ. Code § 34.7 (West 1982) [minors age 12 or older may consent to diagnosis and treatment of reportable infectious, contagious, or communicable diseases].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Del. Code Ann. tit. 16, § 1202(f) (Supp. 1988) [minors age 12 and older may give informed consent for HIV testing and counseling].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


NOTE: Statutes reported by September 1991. Even in states without the explicit statutory authority summarized in this Table, some minors may be able to consent to HIV testing or treatment on the basis that they are runaway, homeless youth, mature minors, emancipated minors, high school graduates, pregnant minors, or minor parents. (English, 1992; North 1990; Gitter 1990.)

New Mexico  N.M. Stat. Ann. § 24-2B-3 (Supp. 1990) [minor has capacity to give informed consent for HIV test].

New York  N.Y. Pub. Health Law § 2780(5) and 2781(1) (McKinney Supp. 1991) [written informed consent required for an HIV related test; capacity to consent is determined without regard to age].


Ohio  Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 3701.242(B) (Page Supp. 1990) [minor may give consent for an HIV test].


Wyoming  Wyo. Stat. § 35-4-130(b) (Supp. 1990) [*AIDS defined as reportable STD*]; Wyo. Stat. § 35-4-131 (1988) [minors may consent to examination and treatment for VD]. (Although the classification of HIV as an STD or VD--does not exactly match the designation of conditions--STD or VD--for which minors are authorized to consent to treatment in each of these states, it is likely that a court would broadly construe the minor consent statute to facilitate access to HIV-related care.)
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CHAPTER V

FEDERAL RESPONSE

A. FEDERAL AIDS-RELATED LEGISLATION AFFECTING ADOLESCENTS

Since the Select Committee issued its last report on this subject, A Generation in Jeopardy: AIDS and Children, two pieces of major AIDS-related legislation have been enacted. The Health Omnibus Programs Extension (HOPE) of 1988 (P.L. 100-607), authorized numerous HIV prevention programs through FY 1991. The specific authorities and funding formulas of the HOPE legislation, now expired, were never used, however. Ongoing federal HIV prevention programs were funded under other authorities.

The second law, the Ryan White Comprehensive AIDS Resources Emergency (CARE) Act of 1990 (P.L.101-381), is the only major Federal law specifically designed to provide treatment for individuals with HIV infection including AIDS.

The Ryan White CARE Act consists of four discrete titles. Title I provides Emergency Relief grants to areas hardest hit by the epidemic. Title II HIV Care Grants are distributed to all states for the purpose of improving the quality, availability, and organization of care for individuals with HIV infection. Fifteen percent of Title II funds must be used for services to children, women and their families. Title III Early Intervention Services Grants to States and Clinics are to be used to develop comprehensive early intervention services for individuals who require outpatient counseling, testing, referrals, clinical and diagnostic services, and other therapeutic measures related to HIV disease. Title IV General Provisions, Reports, and Evaluations authorizes a demonstration program to develop experimental drugs for pediatric AIDS patients and to provide the necessary primary health care to support children's participation in these drug trials.

As the Committee on Energy and Commerce (May 31, 1990) concluded in its legislative report accompanying the Ryan White CARE Act, the development of the bill arose from, "a basic concern that...AIDS preventive health services are poorly funded, unevenly available, and often disjointed one from another."

While no single piece of significant legislation or other authority had been enacted to deal specifically with preventing or treating HIV disease among adolescents, the Ryan White Act contains provisions that have the potential to improve access to essential services for children and adolescents who are infected with HIV.

Implementation of the Ryan White Act has been significantly hindered by a severe lack of resources. In FY 1991, funding for the Act was only $221 million, less than one-fourth of the full $875 million authorized, and funding rose only to $280 million in FY 1992. According to Jean McGuire, former director of the AIDS Action Council, "The increase in government dollars [in the Ryan White CARE bill] is to address new and growing service needs, but given that this increase was not matched by the projected need in the hardest-hit cities...even that money is less than a third of what New York is going to need in the next four or five years."

Other implementation problems include exclusion of pediatric and adolescent care providers from the required HIV Planning Councils in some metropolitan areas; lack of involvement of many state Maternal and Child Health programs in the planning process for CARE Grant funds, even though they may be the primary source of care for low-income women and children in the state; and, despite the comprehensive continuum of services mandate in the law, when limited funds are exhausted, services may not be available regardless of an individual child's eligibility or need.

B. OVERALL FEDERAL SPENDING FOR HIV AND AIDS GROWING, BUT REMAINS INADEQUATE

When the Select Committee issued its last report, fewer than 50,000 people in the U.S. had been diagnosed with AIDS. Since that time, nearly 160,000 additional people have been diagnosed.
and Federal efforts to respond to the epidemic have intensified.

The largest Federal expenditures on AIDS are in the Public Health Service (PHS) and in the Medicaid Program. Between Fiscal Years 1988 and 1991, PHS expenditures on AIDS prevention and research doubled, from $962 million to $1.9 billion, and Federal Medicaid expenditures for AIDS-related care increased from $330 million to an estimated $870 million. For total Federal expenditures related to HIV and AIDS see Table 1 below.

Table 1. Government-Wide Spending on AIDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHS</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>1,301</td>
<td>1,590</td>
<td>1,888</td>
<td>1,967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid (Federal Share)</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>1,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security - DI</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS - SSI</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Rights</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Affairs</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AID</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice/Prisons</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,591</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,275</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,973</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,648</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,345</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The focus of AIDS-related PHS spending has changed throughout the course of the epidemic. By FY 1991, 45% of PHS spending on AIDS was targeted for basic science research, 36% was targeted for risk assessment and prevention, 7% for clinical health services research and delivery, 4% for product evaluation, research, and monitoring (i.e., drugs and vaccines, diagnostic materials etc.), and 1% for other PHS-wide activities (including construction and activities of the National AIDS Program Office).6

In September 1991, the National Commission on AIDS issued its comprehensive report, "America Living With AIDS," to the President and the Congress. In the process of holding hearings, site visits, and consultations across the country, the National Commission on AIDS heard from over one thousand people who have been affected by the HIV epidemic and concluded that, "Our nation's leaders have not done well. In the past decade, the White House has rarely broken its silence on the topic of AIDS. Congress has shown leadership in developing critical legislation, but has often failed to provide adequate funding for AIDS programs."7

Among the chief recommendations of its comprehensive report, the National Commission called for the development of a national plan to find out what is needed most in preventing and treating HIV and AIDS. As part of this national plan, the Commission recommended that the Federal Government establish a comprehensive HIV prevention initiative authorized by Congress and developed by the Department of Health and Human Services to provide flexible resources to State and local governments and other public or private nonprofit entities for community-wide HIV prevention efforts. The Commission also recommended full funding for the Ryan White CARE Act.

States Contribute Significantly to AIDS-Related Prevention and Services

While the Federal Government plays a primary role in funding for AIDS-related research, prevention, and services, states and private individuals and organizations have shared this burden, particularly during the early years of the epidemic. The total contribution of private individuals and organizations is unknown. For the past several years, however, the Intergovernmental Health Policy Project at George Washington University (GWU) has reported on state-only spending dedicated to the HIV epidemic. In a report published in November 1991, GWU found that over the previous six years, the cumulative total of state-only spending exceeded $1 billion, 55% of which had been appropriated in the past two fiscal years.8

The remainder of this chapter describes the Federal HIV-related effort in order to help inform discussions about the most efficient use of these limited Federal resources.

C. EXTENT OF FEDERAL HIV-RELATED EFFORTS DEDICATED TO ADOLESCENTS LARGELY UNKNOWN

Various studies have evaluated the Federal effort related to HIV.9 To date, however, little attention has focussed on the effectiveness of Federal efforts to prevent HIV among youth and to serve adolescents who have been infected with the virus.

With the assistance of the Congressional Research Service (CRS), the Select Committee surveyed seven Federal agencies during the summer of 1991 to determine the extent of the Federal AIDS-related effort that serves or targets youth. A list of the agencies surveyed by the Select Committee follows.
The Select Committee asked each agency to identify the Bureaus or Divisions under its jurisdiction whose AIDS-related activities target or serve adolescents. Each Bureau or Division was asked to provide to the Select Committee its AIDS-related mandate, a list of AIDS-related activities in fiscal years 1988-1991 which targeted or served adolescents, any documents that evaluate those efforts, and an estimate of the percent of its AIDS-related budget that serves adolescents.

While the Select Committee found that each of the Federal agencies surveyed does target or serve youth in at least one HIV-related activity, it is virtually impossible to determine the depth of these efforts.

Many of the activities offered by the agencies serve adolescents as a sub-group of populations served, yet the funding for the entire project is included in the agencies' adolescent AIDS budget estimates. Other agencies provided incomplete funding information or were unable to estimate the percent of their total AIDS budget that targeted or served youth.

For those agencies that provided complete funding data, amounts expended may have increased since 1988, but total estimated spending for adolescents remains a very small proportion of the total expenditure for AIDS by the Federal Government. Additionally, as has been mentioned previously, few agencies or divisions have evaluated their HIV-related efforts serving youth, and few resources have been dedicated to the dissemination of the model programs. See Table 3 for a summary of the funding information provided to the Select Committee.

Table 3: Federal Agencies Surveyed by the Select Committee Regarding HIV-Related Efforts Serving or Targeting Youth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Centers for Disease Control (CDC)</td>
<td>National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Center for Prevention Services (NCPS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National AIDS Information and Education Program (NAIEP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health (OASH)</td>
<td>Office of Minority Health (OMH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Indian Health Service (IHS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Health Resources and Service Administration (HRSA)</td>
<td>Bureau of Health Resources Development (BHRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bureau of Health Professionals (BHPY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bureau of Health Care Delivery and Assistance (BHCD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. National Institutes of Health (NIH)</td>
<td>National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Cancer Institute (NCI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Institute of Dental Research (NIDR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Center for Nursing Research (NCNR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Center for Research Resources (NCRR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The Select Committee did not survey the Department of Education or the Department of Labor. According to the Office of Management and Budget, these agencies had a total AIDS budget of $1 million or less in Fiscal Years 1988-1991. Prevention efforts for school-age youth are primarily conducted by the Division of Adolescent School Health, NCCDPHP, of the Centers for Disease Control.
The largest Federal expenditures for HIV-related services, research, and prevention targeting youth are described in the remainder of this chapter.

### D. FEDERAL HIV PREVENTION PROGRAMS SERVING ADOLESCENTS GROWING, BUT FEW EFFORTS TESTED OR COORDINATED

Four of the agencies surveyed reported that they sponsor HIV prevention activities that serve adolescents: the Centers for Disease Control, the Office of Minority Health, the Indian Health Service, and the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

#### Centers for Disease Control (CDC)

Since the Select Committee’s 1987 report A Generation in Jeopardy: AIDS and Children, the Centers for Disease Control...
have significantly expanded their HIV-related efforts on behalf of youth. Presently, four centers within the CDC report HIV-related activities targeting or serving youth; three of these centers focus primarily on prevention.

**National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP)**

Within the CDC, NCCDPHP allocates the greatest portion of its resources to AIDS activities that serve adolescents. NCCDPHP estimates that in FY 1991, approximately $43,000,000, 85% of its HIV budget, will be used for activities that serve adolescents.

NCCDPHP currently provides financial and technical assistance to 71 state, territorial, and local departments of education to facilitate HIV prevention in schools "within the context of a comprehensive school health program."29 Grants are also awarded to 24 collaborating national organizations (e.g., Council of Chief State School Officers, National Association of State Boards of Education, National PTA, National Network of Runaway and Youth Services) to assist schools and agencies serving youth in high-risk situations to provide HIV and substance abuse education.

NCCDPHP also operates three training centers to assist teachers to incorporate HIV into comprehensive school health curricula, and operates a national youth risk surveillance system.

**In-School Youth Not Served Adequately, Teachers Lack Training**

In May 1990, the U.S. General Accounting Office (GAO) published a study criticizing the impact of CDC's efforts with in-school youth.22 GAO found that only two-thirds of the nation's public schools provided formal HIV education, and that those with formal programs often failed to offer the information in the upper grades when the probability of sexual activity and drug use is highest. GAO also found that small school districts were the least likely to offer programs; program evaluation is generally weak or absent, and most teacher training is inadequate. The GAO report made the following recommendations:

1) increase HIV education for 11th and 12th grade students;
2) help smaller school districts implement HIV education;
3) ensure that state and local grantees collect adequate data about student risk behaviors; and,
4) develop guidelines for training teachers to implement HIV education.

In June 1991, Lloyd Kolbe, Director of the Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH) within NCCDPHP, testified at the Select Committee's hearing that in FY 1991, CDC addressed each of the GAO recommendations by requesting that funded national organizations and state and local education agencies address all four issues in their continuation applications.

Additionally, in response to the 1990 Senate Appropriations Committee's direction, CDC redirected HIV education resources to establish 24 new regional centers administered by the states to train teachers to implement comprehensive school health education curricula, including HIV education.32

**HIV Prevention Efforts for Out-of-School Youth Especially Limited**

A second May 1990 GAO report was also critical of the slow evolution of programs for out-of-school youth who are at increased risk of HIV infection.33 To date, DASH has awarded six grants to national organizations concerned with AIDS prevention in out-of-school youth. These programs have developed materials and provided technical assistance for networks serving runaway, poor, minority, migrant, and incarcerated youth.

Needs of out-of-school youth were also to be addressed by grants to State Education Agencies (SEAs) and Local Education Agencies (LEAs). However, these agencies vary widely in their ability to meet the needs of this population; GAO found that very few education agencies targeted out-of-school youth for any HIV education services. After two years of funding, about one-third of the originally funded SEAs had done little more than preliminary planning to serve this population. In FY 1989,
NCCDPHP estimated that about 4% of SEA and 7% of LEA funds had been spent on out-of-school youth.  

In FY 1991, NCCDPHP provided funds to four local health departments (Chicago, District of Columbia, Los Angeles, and New York) in order to establish or enhance coalitions of youth-serving organizations to provide HIV prevention education to youth in high-risk situations and to meet the multiple service needs of this population.

Other branches of CDC have also reported cooperative activities with public health departments and locally nominated community-based organizations to serve out-of-school youth (see below). However, these efforts have not been evaluated.

**National Center for Prevention Services (NCPS)**

During FY 1988-1991, NCPS provided technical and financial assistance to state and local health departments, hemophilia research projects, and community-based organizations serving adolescents to conduct and evaluate HIV prevention programs.

In FY 1991, 90 organizations were funded to serve youth in high-risk situations through street outreach, peer education programs, small group and individual counseling sessions, and community and theater workshops. An additional 24 minority and other community-based organizations received funding to conduct HIV prevention programs for high-risk populations, including youth.

Since 1985, the U.S. Conference of Mayors has awarded CDC grants to 135 community-based AIDS education projects. Seven of these community-based organizations received funding for programs targeting youth.

NCPS also provides support for HIV antibody testing and counseling services. In 1988, 9% (26,772) of persons receiving these services were adolescents. By 1991, the number of adolescents tested had nearly doubled to 46,230 (14% of those served).

NCPS estimates that in FY 1991, approximately $29 million (15% of its HIV budget) will be directed to activities targeting adolescents. No evaluation of NCFS HIV-related efforts serving youth was provided. However, NCPS recently announced the availability of funding for the evaluation of outreach programs serving adolescents and drug users. Data will be provided in three phases over a 3-year period.

**National AIDS Information and Education Program (NAIEP)**

NAIEP is charged with providing the general public with information about HIV infection and AIDS. Its mandate is accomplished through national public service advertising, a National AIDS Clearinghouse, a National AIDS Hotline with toll-free services in English, Spanish and TTY service for persons with hearing impairment, a national partnerships program, technical assistance to state and local health agencies, and applied research and evaluation of health communication efforts.

The National AIDS Hotline estimates that 20% of the over one million callers annually are under age 20. In addition, according to NAIEP, a centerpiece of the "America Responds to AIDS" public information campaign is a prevention guide to assist parents in discussing HIV infection with their teens. This has been adopted by the National PTA and widely disseminated through church and school systems.

NAIEP estimates that in FY 1991, approximately $2,800,000, or 9% of its budget, will provide information services to adolescents. NAIEP has not engaged in adolescent-specific evaluation efforts.

**Office of Minority Health**

The Office of Minority Health funded seven three-year projects ending September 1991, and five three-year efforts ending September 1992, primarily to provide outreach services and education to minority adolescents at risk of HIV. Examples of projects which ended in 1991 include the Peer Teen AIDS Prevention Program targeting out-of-school Latino adolescents in southern California; STOP AIDS Training of Peer Trainers, a
two-year college based training program for Head Start parents in the inner-city of Chicago; and the South Dakota Tribal AIDS Education Prevention Project.

Additional projects due to expire in 1992 include provision of culturally-sensitive AIDS education to school-aged Athabascan tribal children in rural Alaska; street education/outreach and peer initiated home-based workshops targeting young African-American adults in Atlantic City, New Jersey; and a prevention program run by the United Methodist Community Centers in Omaha, Nebraska.

Indian Health Service (IHS)

The AIDS/HIV Program of IHS addresses AIDS-related concerns among all age groups of American Indians and Alaska Natives. Each Indian Health Service or tribal health center, known as a Service Unit, has two AIDS counselors who provide AIDS information to hospital and clinic patients as well as education to the community about the prevention of AIDS. Service Unit health educators, public health nurses, and community health representatives also provide school AIDS prevention education.

In FY 1990, for the first time, the Indian Health Service awarded approximately $1 million to 14 tribal Adolescent Health Centers for three-year demonstration programs to provide comprehensive health promotion and disease prevention education and activities, including information about AIDS.

The IHS estimates that approximately 10-20% of its $2.7 million AIDS budget serves adolescents. There are no reports that specifically evaluate the IHS AIDS efforts with respect to adolescents.

Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP)

The mission of the Special Emphasis Division of OJJDP is to protect missing and runaway juveniles and to provide substance abuse prevention and services to substance-abusing juveniles.

According to the Division's Deputy Director, "AIDS becomes a related concern, although not a high priority in the sense that gang activity or substance abuse among juveniles are."25

Between FY 1988 and FY 1991, the Special Emphasis Division supported only one AIDS-related activity that served adolescents. This one-year grant of under $400,000 funded a project entitled "Prevention and Intervention for Illegal Drug Use and AIDS Among High Risk Youth." Two documents from this project are expected in the winter of 1992: 1) an assessment of the status of programs in the nation for HIV infection, substance abuse and sexual exploitation; and 2) a manual for practitioners, social service administrators, and law enforcement personnel who serve youth with HIV and substance abuse problems.

In FY 1990, the Training, Dissemination, and Technical Assistance Division of OJJDP awarded a $25,000 grant to the American Correctional Association which conducted an "AIDS and HIV in Juvenile Justice Workshop" for juvenile case workers and developed two videos on AIDS/HIV for caseworkers and juvenile offenders.

OJJDP does not have an AIDS budget. Approximately 6% of the 1989 budget of the Special Emphasis Division was allocated to the activity described above. Less than 1% of the FY 1990 budget of the Training, Dissemination, and Technical Assistance Division was allocated to the activities described.

E. PATIENT CARE/SERVICES AND TREATMENT FOR YOUTH: PIECEMEAL, ADOLESCENTS RARELY TARGETED

Health Care Financing Agency - Medicaid

The primary way in which the Federal Government pays for medical services for adolescents with AIDS is through Medicaid. However, estimated Medicaid expenditures for adolescents with AIDS are not available.26 As has been stated previously, due to changes in Federal law enacted in 1990, Medicaid eligibility has been expanded to gradually phase in coverage to children under
age 19 who are in families with incomes below the federal poverty level. This expansion will certainly assist numerous children. However, it is unlikely to help adolescents for a number of years. All poor adolescents under age 19 will not become eligible for Medicaid until October 2001.** (See Chapter IV for a further discussion of issues related to access to HIV-related services and treatment for adolescents.)

**Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA)**

In addition to the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), which operates the Medicaid program, the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) is the lead Federal agency charged with providing HIV-related care and services. Funds authorized by the Ryan White Comprehensive CARE Act of 1990 are the primary source of funds allocated by HRSA, although none of the law’s provisions specifically targets adolescents.

In general, HRSA’s AIDS efforts do not directly target any particular age group, including adolescents, though youth are eligible for HRSA services and programs. Each of the four bureaus under HRSA’s jurisdiction reported AIDS-related activities that serve adolescents directly or indirectly.

**Bureau of Health Resources Development (BHRD)**

In 1986, BHRD awarded AIDS Service Demonstration Program (AIDS SDP) grants to four cities to help them develop strategies to deliver health care services to people with AIDS. By the end of 1989, grants were awarded to 25 metropolitan areas. Only two of these grants specifically targeted adolescents (Los Angeles, CA and Houston, TX). HRSA estimates that in 1988 approximately 2,000 teens were served by the AIDS SDP program, 7% of the total recipients, and that in 1989 approximately 7,100 teens were served, 9% of the total population served by the demonstration projects.

The AIDS SDP programs expired September 1991, but were partially replaced by programs authorized under Title I of the Ryan White CARE Act. Four cities receiving Title I Emergency formula grants have specific plans to serve adolescents. In Los Angeles, adolescents will be served by a program which provides outreach, medical evaluation and treatment, and support services to persons at risk of HIV. Chicago will provide six case managers (of 96 total) to address the needs of women, children, and their families. In San Francisco, these Title I funds will augment a HRSA funded Pediatric AIDS Demonstration Project that serves runaway and homeless youth, youth in the juvenile justice system, and those at high risk of injecting drug use. The Title I funds will provide primary medical care services for HIV-infected youth and additional counseling, referral, and drug treatment services. Houston will continue to support a project initiated with AIDS SDP funds which provided HIV education to minority youth and their families, as well as at-risk juvenile offenders. No funding levels have been determined for these projects.

Under Title II of the Ryan White Act, States receiving HIV CARE Grants are required to use 15% of funds to provide health and support services to infants, children, women and families with HIV disease. Details for specific programs targeted to adolescents are not yet available.

**Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB)**

Some projects targeted to adolescents at risk of AIDS are funded by MCHB through Special Projects of Regional and National Significance (SPRANS), a Federal set-aside authorized under the Maternal and Child Health Block Grant. For example, five comprehensive community-based programs were funded in San Juan, Puerto Rico; Lake View, Arkansas; Denver, Colorado; San Francisco, California; and Honolulu, Hawaii, to provide integrated services to adolescents at risk of AIDS and other health problems. Six university-based projects in Maryland, Alabama, Ohio, Minnesota, California, and Washington provide interdisciplinary training in the care and management of adolescent health, and include youth with high-risk behaviors and homeless youth who are seropositive.

SPRANS are also funding coordinated comprehensive care for street youth, school dropouts, incarcerated youth, and
adolescents engaged in high-risk intravenous drug use and/or sexual activities through projects in New York City, Seattle-King County (WA), San Francisco, New Orleans, and Minneapolis. In addition, Hemophilia Treatment Centers have targeted HIV-seropositive adolescents and their sexual partners for HIV risk-reduction programs.

MCHB also provides technical assistance to adolescent health coordinators in state MCH units and ongoing consultations to school health programs and school nursing organizations.

MCHB did not provide funding histories or evaluation of its AIDS-related efforts on behalf of adolescents to the Select Committee. In addition, while not included in materials submitted as a response to the Select Committee survey, MCHB also administers the Pediatric HIV/AIDS Demonstration program. In FY 1990 and 1991, seven of these demonstration programs served or targeted adolescents.

**Bureau of Health Professions (BHP)**

BHP administers 17 AIDS Education and Training Centers which provide AIDS education and training programs for health professionals to help them address HIV prevention, counseling, testing, diagnosis, and treatment of individuals infected with HIV, including adolescents. An estimate of the proportion of the total budget for this program that serves adolescents indirectly is not available.

**Bureau of Health Care Assistance and Delivery (BHCAD)**

With funding from Title III of the Ryan White Act, the Division of Special Populations Program Development of BHCAD provides grants to 21 Integrated Primary Care Substance Abuse Program projects serving 2,500 adolescents, including two adolescent-specific programs which alone served over 1,200 adolescents. The two programs that target adolescents have been awarded a total of $1.8 million, or 1% of the total budget of the project. This demonstration project is currently under evaluation. However, results were not available at the time this report was printed.

**Other HRSA Activities**

HRSA is also preparing a comprehensive summary of adolescent health activities and strategies, in collaboration with the Public Health Service Panel on Women, Adolescents, and Children with HIV Infection and AIDS, which is chaired by the Surgeon General. This PHS panel meets on a monthly basis without specifically allocated funding or staff. While not mentioned in response to the Committee's request for information during the summer of 1991, this panel has focused its efforts during the past year on issues affecting adolescents. A report about HIV and AIDS among adolescents is in the planning stage.

**F. HIV-RELATED RESEARCH SERVING OR TARGETING ADOLESCENTS SKETCHY AND INSUFFICIENT**

Two Federal agencies are primarily responsible for HIV-related research involving adolescents: the National Institutes of Health (NIH), and the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration (ADAMHA).

**National Institutes of Health**

In 1987, according to evidence cited in the Select Committee's report A Generation in Jeopardy: Children and AIDS, 0.6% (or $152,000) of NIH's $252 million AIDS research budget was dedicated to projects specifically targeted to adolescents. Since that time, NIH AIDS funding on behalf of youth has increased significantly. However, the proportion of the total NIH AIDS budget directed toward adolescent research remains minuscule.

Within NIH, several Institutes reported HIV-related activities that serve or target youth, including NICHD, NCRR, NIAID, NCI, NIDR, and NCCR. According to the NIH Office of AIDS Research, no documents exist specifically evaluating adolescent AIDS-related activities by NIH. Highlights of NIH-sponsored behavioral, epidemiological, and clinical trials research serving or targeting youth follow.
Behavioral Research Efforts Severely Limited

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)

Within NIH, NICHD maintains the largest AIDS-related budget that serves adolescents. Through its Center for Research for Mothers and Children and its Center for Population Research, NICHD supported an average of 11 projects in Fiscal Years 1988-1991. These included: examinations of adolescents' knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and experiences related to STDs and AIDS; contraceptive decision-making in adolescent women; condom use related to AIDS prevention among inner-city low income African-American and Hispanic youth; social skills training for AIDS prevention; and AIDS education for children and their parents.

According to materials submitted to the Select Committee by the NIH Office of AIDS Research, NICHD allocated $5,300,000 in FY 1991 for: projects serving youth, or 16% of its total AIDS-related budget. In the summer of 1991, however, the Administration cancelled the American Teenage Study which accounted for more than half (55%) of NICHD's adolescent AIDS-related activities. Adjusting for this change, therefore, total NICHD AIDS-related spending that served youth was $2,900,000 in FY 1991, 9.3% of its AIDS-related budget.

According to NICHD, the purpose of the American Teenage Study was to obtain critically-needed information about the roles that parents, peers, values, school experience, and the community play in reducing the risks of sexually-transmitted diseases, alcohol and other drug use, and unwanted pregnancy, and also to provide estimates of the prevalence of risky behavior among adolescents.19

The study design and contents had undergone years of rigorous scientific peer review and had previously been approved by the Public Health Service. Additionally, just weeks before the study was cancelled, Select Committee witnesses described the difficulty they have had tracking and predicting the course of the STD epidemics and in developing prevention programs without adequate data. Their testimony echoes the findings and recommendations made by the National Research Council, the Office of Technology Assessment of the U.S. Congress, the Institute of Medicine, and the Secretary's Work Group on Pediatric HIV Infection and Disease. As observed by the National Commission on AIDS:

Efforts have been made to constrain or forbid behavioral research; in the face of the most deadly disease ever to confront humanity, some would prohibit even the study of human behaviors that put our children at risk. Thus we disarm ourselves in the midst of lethal battle.21

National Center for Nursing Research (NCNR)

Since FY 1988, NCNR has supported two AIDS-related grants that serve youth: a three-year study of early behaviors that contribute to HIV risk among adolescents from rural high schools; and a seven-year longitudinal study of the long-term effects of psycho-educational intervention on sexual risk-taking among persons with a sexually transmitted disease. It should be noted, however, that while NCNR includes this second project in its adolescent AIDS budget, the study population is persons ages 18 to 35. NCNR estimates that 6% of its AIDS-related budget serves adolescents.

Clinical Trials Inaccessible for Youth, Critical Research Questions Unanswered

During the first decade of the HIV epidemic adolescents were largely excluded from pediatric and adult clinical trials. As a result, basic questions about the needs of adolescents have remained unanswered. For example, adolescents metabolize drugs

---

19 While less publicized, funding for another NICHD-sponsored research about sexual behavior has also been deferred. One project entitled "The Social Demography of Intercourse Related" would have supported a cross-sectional survey study of the sexual practices of adults ages 18-44 in two metropolitan areas. Reliable and valid information on these matters is nearly non-existent and this research has been repeatedly identified by national advisory panels as absent in research literature. (Laumann, E., University of Chicago, Principle Investigator. Personal communication, October 1991.)
differently than adults, but to date little is known about zidovudine (AZT) treatment in adolescents, although it was the first drug approved for treatment of AIDS and HIV infection. Moreover, according to the Office of Technology Assessment, there are no specific guidelines available regarding the treatment of adolescents with HIV infection or AIDS (i.e., appropriate drug dosages, toxicity levels, and appropriate laboratory and neuropsychological assessments).

The NIH recently lowered the age for eligibility for many of its adult trials to 18 years and raised the age for eligibility for its pediatric protocols to 18 years. Because adolescents have not been actively recruited for these trials, however, many eligible adolescents are not involved. Additionally, adolescent health care specialists have been largely excluded from the planning and implementation of trials that serve youth.

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)

Adolescents have not been targeted as a specific patient population in NIAID AIDS clinical trials. Noting that from a sociological and health care perspective, adolescents do have special needs, NIAID recently announced the availability of supplementary funds to assist existing adult and pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Units in enrolling, following and retaining adolescents in appropriate clinical trials.

During the past 18 months, adolescents' participation in AIDS Clinical Trials Groups (ACTGs), an NIAID-supported AIDS clinical trials network, has increased significantly. In August 1990, only 150 adolescents ages 13-21 had ever been enrolled in ACTGs. By February 1992, this number had doubled to 321.

Despite important progress in enrolling adolescents in clinical trials, racial and ethnic minority youth continue to represent only a small proportion of adolescents ever enrolled, despite higher rates of HIV infection and greater representation in AIDS cases. By February 1992, only 15% of adolescents ages 13-21 ever enrolled in ACTGs were African-American, 16% were Hispanic, and 2% were of other races/ethnicities.

NIAID's estimated FY 1991 AIDS research budget is approximately $433,000,000. However, NIAID did not provide an estimate regarding the percent of its AIDS-related budget that serves or targets adolescents, saying that, "Given the scientific mandate and structure of the Institute's AIDS activities, the general HIV-infected adolescent population could benefit from the NIAID's total AIDS research effort." NIAID was unable to provide the Committee with a description of the ACTGs in which adolescents are actually participating, rather than simply eligible, or of the research agenda for adolescent clinical trials.

National Cancer Institute (NCI)

The Division of Cancer Etiology within NCI funds two ongoing studies which focus on issues related to HIV infection and cancer among hemophiliacs, many of whom are adolescents. Within the Division of Cancer Treatment, there are no studies that specifically target adolescents. However, adolescents under age 19 are eligible for several pediatric protocols. The number of adolescents actually participating in these protocols was not provided.

According to materials submitted to the Select Committee, information on funding directed towards adolescents by NCI is unavailable. However NCI roughly estimated that approximately 20 percent of its FY 1991 pediatric AIDS budget serves adolescents, or 22,200,000. This estimate reflects less than two percent of the total AIDS budget of NCI.

National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)

NICHD plans to open new clinical trials centers which will specifically target enrollment of adolescents. No funding has yet been allocated for this purpose.
Other NIH AIDS-Related: Research Serving or Targeting Adolescents

National Institute of Dental Research (NIDR)

As part of a larger project on the oral manifestations of HIV infection, transmission, and natural history among various populations, NIDR grantees are currently serving approximately 40 adolescents in the San Francisco and the Oakland areas of California. The total allocation for these studies is estimated at $100,000. However this was not all devoted to the adolescent participants. NIDR did not provide specific information about its projects since FY 1988, although it estimates that less than 0.2% of its current AIDS-related budget serves adolescents.

National Center for Research Resources (NCRR)

Since FY 1988, NCRR has also supported two AIDS-related projects that serve adolescents. Descriptions of these projects were not provided. The total allocation over four years was estimated at approximately $310,000. NCRR estimates that 0.32% of its AIDS budget is targeted to or serves adolescents.

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)

NIAID is funding an epidemiological study, "Preventing HIV Infection Among Minority Youth," to design a scientifically tested, commercially available curriculum that will reduce adolescent’s risk for HIV infection.

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration (ADAMHA)

Each of the three research institutes within ADAMHA reported HIV-related research serving or targeting adolescents. ADAMHA’s Office for Substance Abuse Prevention also supports AIDS prevention activities that serve adolescents, although OSAP does not have a specific AIDS budget. A summary of ADAMHA’s AIDS-related activities serving or targeting youth follows.

Behavioral Research Efforts at ADAMHA

National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)

Within ADAMHA, the largest number of AIDS-related studies targeting youth is funded through the Office of AIDS Research at the NIMH. Since FY 1988, NIMH has funded 15 studies that target or serve adolescents, including the "Family/Media Approach to AIDS Prevention," "HIV in Street Youth - Epidemiology and Prevention," and "AIDS Prevention for Teenagers in Residential Centers." NIMH estimates that in FY 1990, $6,100,000 (9% of its AIDS budget) was targeted at or served adolescents.

National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA)

The Community Research Branch of the NIDA supports four projects involving adolescent populations and AIDS prevention, including an evaluation of the efficacy of AIDS prevention: strategies targeted to adolescent runaways; and community-based outreach/intervention research on risk-reduction strategies targeted to runaway and delinquent adolescents involved in drug use.

NIDA’s Clinical Medicine Branch has funded one grant that specifically addresses HIV and adolescents. This three-year study implemented and evaluated a comprehensive HIV prevention program for intravenous drug users and at-risk youth in jails. NIDA estimates that in FY 1990, $7,100,000 or 5.1% of its AIDS budget targeted or served adolescents.

National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA)

Between FY 1988 and FY 1991, NIAAA has supported two prevention activities that serve adolescents, including a study of the role that alcohol use plays in contributing to high-risk sexual behavior among adolescents, and a project to develop, implement, and evaluate a culturally-relevant AIDS and drug prevention program for Native American youth and their families. NIAAA
estimates that in FY 1991, $1.1 million (13.1% of its AIDS budget) targeted or served adolescents.

**Office for Substance Abuse Prevention (OSAP)**

While OSAP does not have an AIDS budget, grantees of its High Risk Youth Demonstration Grant Program provide AIDS information as part of their curriculum. OSAP also recently published *In the Absence of a Care: Preventing AIDS Among High Risk Youth.*

**Other ADA/NIAA Research**

NIAAA is currently funding a four-year epidemiological study of the relationship between alcohol problems and risk of AIDS. This project will survey perceptions, attitudes, and drinking and other risky behaviors among a population that includes adolescents, and will conduct a longitudinal follow-up of youth and young adults to chart changes in drinking and sexual practices.

The Treatment Research Branch of NIDA has supported nine studies examining the effectiveness of alcohol and other drug treatment strategies for adolescent substance abusers. While these projects are likely to make important contributions to the goals of HIV prevention, it is unclear whether grantees specifically address HIV prevention within the broader context of preventing high-risk drug use behaviors in adolescents.

**Other Federal Research**

The National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID) within the Centers for Disease Control is charged with controlling the spread of HIV infection through epidemiological, molecular, virologic, and immunologic avenues. NCID has conducted ongoing HIV seroprevalence surveys among Job Corps entrants and on college campuses, as well as studies to determine HIV seroprevalence and risk behaviors for HIV transmission in adolescents in New York City and Washington, D.C. NCID also analyzes and collects data to describe the spectrum of diseases occurring in HIV-infected adolescents. NCID estimates that $7,300,000, 6% of its HIV budget, will be directed to activities targeting adolescents in FY 1991.

G. **EFFORTS TO COMBAT HIV AND AIDS AMONG ADOLESCENTS UNDERFUNDED, UNCOORDINATED, AND INSUFFICIENT**

The responses to the Select Committee agency survey, as detailed above, clearly demonstrate that since the Select Committee's 1987 report on this subject, numerous agencies have initiated or intensified efforts to prevent HIV infection among adolescents and to provide them with urgently needed care.

Despite this progress, it is virtually impossible to determine the actual extent of the Federal AIDS-related effort that is dedicated to adolescents. Based on the limited information that was provided by the agencies surveyed, approximately $107 million (excluding Medicaid) is spent on HIV-related efforts serving or targeting youth. This amounts to less than 5% of the total Federal AIDS budget.

Adolescents face numerous barriers to care, many of which are related to the fragmented health care system. As noted recently by one policy analyst:

The public health system, like the educational system, is highly decentralized; state and county health officials have considerable authority over state and local public health programs. Aside from the usual sources of funds and services, such as Medicaid and the Maternal and Child Health Block Grant, there has been little recognition of the special problems confronting this population. Nor has the nation's AIDS research portfolio adequately reflected the threat of adolescent AIDS or the unique research questions needing investigation.27

Numerous experts have called for a coordinated Federal research agenda to respond to the unique issues affecting HIV-infected adolescents and those who are at risk of infection, and to provide improved training for their health care and social services providers. This research agenda would address, at a minimum, questions about the epidemiology and natural history
of HIV and AIDS in adolescents, the role of physiology and pharmacology of anti-retroviral drugs in adolescents, the effectiveness of various strategies for HIV prevention and health promotion, and barriers to improving adolescents' access to HIV-related health care and social services.\textsuperscript{12}

Further, while individual programs or outreach efforts might be required to conduct their own evaluation, no agency has evaluated or reported plans for evaluating its AIDS-related efforts on an agency-wide basis. Moreover, responses from the agencies surveyed indicate that AIDS efforts serving adolescents are essentially uncoordinated. Only HRSA identified a single "collaborative" project, the PHS Panel on Women, Adolescents, and Children with HIV Infection and AIDS, chaired by the Surgeon General. As mentioned previously, this panel is currently focusing on issues affecting adolescents. However, no findings or report have yet been produced on the specific issues of AIDS and adolescents.

The lack of coordination of Federal AIDS activities serving youth has profound implications, perhaps most importantly because it hinders the dissemination of promising model prevention and services programs developed by NIH and ADAMHA by CDC, HRSA, and other agencies. The failure of Federal agencies to coordinate their HIV efforts may also contribute to the lack of resources dedicated to program evaluations. Questions as basic as the relative audience impact of peer education as contrasted with education by adults remain unanswered.\textsuperscript{23}

Federal efforts to prevent adolescent HIV/STD infection, unwanted pregnancy, and drug use have also been criticized for not recognizing the relationship between these behaviors.\textsuperscript{24} According to testimony submitted to the Select Committee by Robert Blum, President of the Society for Adolescent Medicine and Director of the Division of General Pediatrics and Adolescent Health at the University of Minnesota Hospital:

...the federal bureaucracy is currently set up to address issues on a categorical basis; thus it is rarely feasible to develop cross-cutting interventions (e.g., interventions which address school failure, pregnancy [and AIDS] risk and substance abuse concurrently), despite the fact that we know that many high risk behaviors are interrelated.\textsuperscript{25}

For example, as described previously in this report, teens use drugs and alcohol to feel more comfortable about sex, yet drug use impairs their judgment about sexual matters.\textsuperscript{56} In fact, the Office of Substance Abuse Prevention\textsuperscript{57} has determined that alcohol and drug use prevention programs:

...are in an excellent position to take an important role in preventing the spread of HIV among youth. HIV prevention can be effectively integrated with AOD [alcohol and other drug] use prevention efforts using many already available resources.\textsuperscript{58}

Despite this opportunity to enhance the use of limited prevention resources, current regulatory guidelines appear to preclude discussion of risky sexual behavior in Drug Free Schools programs,\textsuperscript{59} the largest federally supported preventive intervention for teenagers.

Similarly, while comprehensive school health programs have been widely endorsed by the CDC and DHHS, the Department of Education, which has jurisdiction over these programs, has earmarked few funds for this purpose.\textsuperscript{60} \textsuperscript{60}

The lack of coordination is in contrast with the ideal: an organized effort in which each agency assesses its expertise and resources relevant to adolescent AIDS and HIV, assumes responsibility for the full range of appropriate activities indicated by this assessment, conducts regular evaluations of its progress, and updates other players in a timely fashion as to the scope and nature of efforts undertaken.\textsuperscript{61}

\textsuperscript{60} In FY 1992, less than $10 million was allocated for grants for comprehensive school health. The Fund for Improvement and Reform of Schools and Teaching (FIRST) and the National Diffusion Network in the Department of Education, and the Department of Adolescent and School Health at the CDC currently administer small grants programs. Personal communication from Joe Caliguire, Comprehensive School Health Program, Department of Education, April, 1992.
Federal Restrictions on Explicitness of Prevention Materials Limit Program Effectiveness

Federal efforts to prevent HIV transmission among youth have been significantly impaired by the inability of the Federal Government to overcome concerns about providing explicit messages about how teens can protect themselves and their partners. In 1986, former Surgeon General C. Everett Koop warned:

Controversial and sensitive issues are inherent in the subject of AIDS. Many people, especially our youth, are not receiving information that is vital to their future health and well-being because of our reticence in dealing with the subjects of sex, sexual practices, and homosexuality. This silence must end. We can no longer afford to sidestep frank, open discussion about sexual practices - homosexual and heterosexual.

As parents, educators, and community leaders we must assume our responsibility to educate our young...there is now no doubt that we need sex education in school and that it should include information about sexual practices that put our children at risk for AIDS. Teenagers often think of themselves as immortal, and these young people may be putting themselves at great risk as they begin to explore their own sexuality and perhaps experiment with drugs. The threat of AIDS should be sufficient to permit a sex education curriculum with a heavy emphasis on prevention of AIDS and other sexually transmitted diseases.

Today the Federal Government has failed to heed Koop’s warning. In fact, Federal agencies still disagree about one of the most fundamental tools for preventing HIV transmission among persons who are sexually active -- proper and consistent use of latex condoms. Federally supported HIV prevention materials rarely include clear and explicit instructions about condom use. However, the Administration’s Healthy People 2000 goals include "increasing to at least 60% the proportion of sexually active unmarried women aged 15 through 19 who used a condom at last intercourse," and "increasing to at least 75% the proportion of sexually active, unmarried young men aged 15 through 19 who used a condom at last intercourse."43

A new CDC public information campaign fails to mention either condoms or sex in public service announcements. The CDC defends the omission as deliberate in order to appeal to as broad an audience as possible and to avoid "overloading" listeners and viewers.44

Further, CDC grantees are required to restrict the content of HIV-related materials to exclude language that will not be "offensive." In March 1990, CDC published a requirement that materials and language may not be used if they are judged by a community review panel to be offensive to "...a majority of adults outside the intended audience."45 This requirement provoked 91 written responses which argued that it contradicted a fundamental criteria for public health interventions -- that materials be understandable to the intended audience, in this case adolescents, not adults. Critics also found the new requirements unnecessarily vague and some charged that they constituted censorship.46

Similarly, restrictions on the explicitness of HIV-related materials are criticized as cumbersome and often duplicative.47 CDC grantees are required to recruit a community review panel prior to submission of any proposal for funding. In many cases, funding proposals have already been reviewed by Institutional Review Boards;48 in other cases, requests for proposals are announced with so little lead time prior to submission deadlines that the review panel requirement constitutes a serious obstacle to eligibility.49

In December 1991, the CDC proposed revisions of requirements for content of HIV-related materials for the purposes of enhancing coordination and consistency between governmental and non-governmental organizations. The proposed revision does not directly address the criticisms outlined above, but does delete language implying that delivering HIV/AIDS prevention messages might encourage high-risk sexual and drug-related behaviors.50

In its recent comprehensive report, the National Commission on AIDS echoes the criticisms that have been raised for the past decade:
...There are a number of simple, readily available technologies that will contribute significantly to reduction in the spread of HIV infection. Yet AIDS education and prevention efforts continue to be stymied by unwillingness to talk frankly about sexual and drug use behaviors that risk the spread of HIV. Constraint on discussions of sex, whether imposed by law, political considerations, issues of morality, language, or culture, have been a substantial barrier to the creation and implementation of effective HIV prevention programs. There is a cruel irony here, for reticence about discussing sex has become an obstacle to the implementation of lifesaving prevention programs.  

In an era of difficult budgetary constraints, some might argue that the Federal Government is unable to provide new resources to the HIV epidemic. The consequences of our unwillingness to contain the epidemic in its early years now mean that more than one million adolescents and adults are infected. The cost of providing care for these individuals is staggering. The psychological, humanitarian and economic costs are even greater.

At the Select Committee's "Risky Business" hearings, Jose Duran asked:

Why are you not seeing this as a national defense issue?...If we can't consider public health, particularly the health of our adolescents who are the caretakers of our future, as a national defense issue, then I think we not only have dysfunction among our families and within our communities, but there is something dysfunctional going on in the Federal spending priorities of this country.  

Endnotes

10 Materials submitted to Select Committee by Gary Noble, Assistant Surgeon General, Deputy Director (HIV), (CDC), August 5, 1991.


43 DHHS. *Healthy People 2000: National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives.* Objectives #18.4a and 19.10c; Objectives #18.4b and #19.10b. 1990.


## GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS

### I. Abbreviations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADAMHA</td>
<td>Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration (PHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFL</td>
<td>Adolescent Family Life (program under Title XX of the Public Health Service Act)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHCPR</td>
<td>Agency for Health Care Policy Research (DHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>acquired immunodeficiency syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHCDCA</td>
<td>Bureau of Health Care Delivery and Assistance (HRSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHPPr</td>
<td>Bureau of Health Professionals (HRSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHRD</td>
<td>Bureau of Health Resource Development (HRSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>Bureau of Indian Affairs (U.S. Department of the Interior)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMCH</td>
<td>Bureau of Maternal and Child Health (HRSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASSP</td>
<td>Child and Adolescent Service System Program (NIMH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control (PHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHC</td>
<td>community health center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRS</td>
<td>Congressional Research Service (Library of Congress)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*The abbreviations and terms included in this glossary were developed by the Office of Technology Assessment for its Adolescent Health report. A few additional abbreviations have been added to clarify terms used by this report. Used with permission.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDATUS</td>
<td>National Drug and Alcoholism Treatment Unit Survey (ADAMHA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHIS</td>
<td>National Health Interview Survey (NCHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIAAA</td>
<td>National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (ADAMHA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIAID</td>
<td>National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICHD</td>
<td>National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NIH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIDA</td>
<td>National Institute on Drug Abuse (ADAMHA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIDR</td>
<td>National Institute on Dental Research (NIH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIH</td>
<td>National Institutes of Health (PHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIMH</td>
<td>National Institute of Mental Health (ADAMHA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAM</td>
<td>National Survey of Adolescent Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSFG</td>
<td>National Survey of Family Growth (NCHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAP</td>
<td>Office of Adolescent Pregnancy (DHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODPHP</td>
<td>Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (DHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJJDP</td>
<td>Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (U.S. Department of Justice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSAP</td>
<td>Office for Substance Abuse Prevention (ADAMHA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTA</td>
<td>Office of Technology Assessment (U.S. Congress)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHS</td>
<td>Public Health Service (DHHS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID</td>
<td>Pelvic inflammatory disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRANS</td>
<td>Special projects of regional and national significance (under Title V of the Social Security Act)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AIDS (acquired immunodeficiency syndrome): A disease characterized by a deficiency of the immune system caused by human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). T-2 primary defect in AIDS is an acquired, persistent, quantitative functional depression within the T4 subset of lymphocytes. This depression often leads to infections caused by micro-organisms that usually do not produce infections in individuals with normal immunity. HIV infection can be transmitted from one infected individual to another by means that include the sharing of a contaminated intravenous needle and engaging in unprotected sexual intercourse (i.e., intercourse without the use of condoms) with an infected person.

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health (ADM) Block Grant Program: The major Federal program providing funds to States for outpatient alcohol, drug abuse, and mental health treatment programs. (Funds are not allowed to be used for inpatient services.) States receive a share of the ADM block grant appropriation through a formula based in part on the size of the State population (Subpart I, part B of Title XIX of the Public Health Service Act). The ADM Block Grant Program is administered by the Office of Treatment Improvement in the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration in DHHS.

American Indian or Alaska Native: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North America and maintaining cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.

Appropriation (by Congress): An Act of Congress that authorizes one or more Federal agencies to incur obligations and make payments from the general fund or various special funds of the U.S. Treasury. Appropriations do not represent funds available in the Treasury but are limitations on the amounts that agencies may obligate during the time period set in the law.

Asian or Pacific Islander: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent, or the Pacific Islands. The area includes China, India, Japan, Korea, the Philippine Island, and Samoa.

Authorization (by Congress): Substantive legislation that creates or continues a Federal agency or program for an indefinite or specified period of time. It may prescribe funding methods, allow a particular type of expenditure, or limit the level of budget authority.

AZT: See zidovudine.

Black: A person having origins in any of the Black racial groups in Africa. Normally excludes persons of Hispanic origin except for tabulations produced by the Bureau of the Census, which are noted accordingly.

Block grants: Sums of federal funds allotted to State agencies (e.g., education, health) which may be passed on to local agencies. States determine the mix of services provided and the population served and are accountable to the Federal Government only to the extent that funds are spent in accordance with program requirements. Sometimes, however, set-asides are required for specific population groups.

Child and Adolescent Service System Program (CASSP): A small program, administered by the National Institute of Mental Health in DHHS, that was created by Congress in 1984 to promote greater coordination among public and private agencies providing services to children and adolescents with mental health problems.
Chlamydia infection: Any sexually transmitted disease (STD) that is characterized by infection with the bacterial agent Chlamydia trachomatis. Such infection, which may be asymptomatic or accompanied by symptoms such as dysuria (difficult urination), penile or vaginal discharge, and urinary frequency, can potentially lead to complications that include urethral stricture, urethritis, salpingitis, epididymitis, infertility, and adverse obstetrical outcomes. Methods of prevention include the avoidance of multiple sexual partners. Treatment is with antibiotics. Chlamydia is the most common type of sexually transmitted disease among U.S. adolescents.

Cocaine: A psychoactive substance derived from coca leaves. Several types of coca preparations are used for their psychoactive properties: coca leaves (chewed), coca paste (smoked), cocaine hydrochloride powder (inhaled or injected), and cocaine alkaloid—"freebase" or "crack" (smoked).

Cognitive-behavioral skills training program: Prevention and/or health promotion interventions that are based on the idea that problem behavior and emotional distress sometimes result from an ability to develop and maintain positive social relationships due to deficits in social skills. Interpersonal cognitive problem solving programs focus on processes such as interpreting social cues and others' intentions, generating alternative solutions, and means-ends thinking. Behavioral social skills training interventions focus on teaching specific behaviors such as entering a peer group, accepting criticism, giving compliments and resisting peer pressure. Many programs incorporate aspects of both types of training, often as a part of a school curriculum and done in small groups of students.

Community health center (CHC): An organization that provides primary health care and other health-related services to individuals in the local community. As of 1989, there were about 1,200 community health centers providing services at more than 2,000 sites throughout the country. Roughly half of these centers were receiving Federal grants under Section 301 of the Public Health Service Act, which authorizes grants to public and private nonprofit organizations that provide primary health care to populations or areas that are "medically underserved."

Comparison group: In evaluation research, a group that does not receive the "experimental" intervention or program, but receives no or a different intervention.

Comprehensive services for adolescents: The elements of comprehensive health and related services for adolescents are not entirely agreed upon. They include, at a minimum, care for acute and chronic illnesses, general medical examinations in preparation for involvement in athletics, mental health counseling, laboratory tests, reproductive health care, family counseling, prescriptions, advocacy, and coordination of care; the more comprehensive may include educational services, vocational services, legal assistance, recreational opportunities, and child care services and parenting education for adolescent parents.

Condom: A sheath commonly made of rubber worn over the penis for the purpose of preventing pregnancy or preventing the transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or particular types of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs).

Contraceptives or contraceptive methods: Methods of preventing pregnancy other than abstinence from sexual activity. Such methods include periodic abstinence (rhythm method); control of ejaculation (coitus interruptus); the use of spermicidal chemicals in jellies or creams; mechanical barriers (e.g., condoms, caps, or diaphragms); prevention of implantation (e.g., intrauterine device); the use of synthetic hormones to control the female reproductive cycle; and sterilization of the male or female partner. Various methods vary in terms of their effectiveness in preventing pregnancy, their side effects, and their noncontraceptive benefits such as protection from sexually transmitted diseases (STDs).

Decisionmaking skills: Skills relevant to the ability to make rational, health-promoting decisions about one's life. Often a part of life skills training interventions.

Delinquent acts by adolescents: Two broad categories of acts committed by adolescents: 1) acts that would be considered crimes if committed by an adult (ranging from minor offenses such as disorderly conduct to serious offenses such as aggravated assault); and 2) status offenses, which are considered offenses only when committed by minors (e.g., running away from home, truancy).

Demographic factors: Age, gender, race, ethnicity, geographic location and socioeconomic status are typically defined as demographic factors.

Detoxification: The recovery, or the process of bringing about the recovery, of a patient from a state of dependence on alcohol or other drug. Medical detoxification is the use of medication under the supervision of medical personnel to systematically reduce or eliminate
the effects of alcohol in the body in a hospital or other 24-hour facility. Social detoxification is to systematically reduce or eliminate the effects of alcohol in the body on a drug-free basis, in a specialized nonmedical facility by trained medical personnel with physician services available when required. Drug detoxification is the period of planned withdrawal from drug dependency supported by the use of a prescribed medication (e.g., methadone).

Developmentally appropriate: Health promotion, prevention, and treatment services and environments designed so that they fit the emotional, behavioral/experiential, and intellectual levels of the individual who is to benefit from the service. Because of the asynchronous development within even individual adolescents (as well as individuals in other age categories), designing programs so that they are developmentally appropriate is a distinct challenge.

Diaphragm: A prescription contraceptive method that involves the insertion of a dome-shaped rubber cap with a flexible rim into the vagina prior to intercourse. Spermicidal cream or jelly is placed in the dome before insertion. The diaphragm and spermicide combination probably provides some protection against sexually transmitted disease (STD), including pelvic inflammatory disease (PID).

Drug Abuse Warning Network (DAWN): A system that collects data on emergency room visits and deaths related to drug use from a sample of hospital emergency rooms and medical examiner facilities that report data for each "drug abuse" patient or death encountered by medical examiners. The DAWN system is designed primarily as an early warning system to monitor drug abuse patterns and health hazards associated with drug use and to detect new abuse entities and new combinations. The system is maintained by the National Institute on Drug Abuse in DHHS.

Ethnicity: A term used to indicate national origin (e.g., Hispanic, Asian). Most ethnic-specific census and health status information is available only for individuals of Hispanic origin.

Family life education: Ideally, a curriculum, program, or framework for helping young people make responsible choices and decisions by providing accurate and age-appropriate information about human sexuality, and by exploring the attitudes, behaviors, and value systems that shape the development of healthy sexuality. May include teaching of skills in communication, responsible decision making, and assertiveness. See life skills training. Family life education is the more current and encompassing term for programs formerly, and now sometimes, known as sex education.

Family planning programs authorized by Title X of the Public Health Service Act: Title X, established by the Family Planning Services and Population Research Act of 1970, funds public or private nonprofit entities that operate voluntary family planning projects; funds training for personnel to improve the delivery of family planning services; promotes service delivery improvement through research; and develops and disseminates information on family planning. Contraceptives may be distributed without parental consent or notification, but the use of Title X funds for abortion as a method of family planning has been prohibited by statute and regulations. Low-income individuals are targeted as a priority group for receiving services. Although projects funded by Title X do not focus a broad range of family planning services to all who want them, including adolescents.

Family planning services: A range of services intended to help individuals plan when to have children, which typically include birth control information and counseling, prevention of contraceptives, pregnancy testing and counseling, gynecological examinations, and referrals for related services. Such services are available through a variety of public and health providers that include Title X planning clinics as well as more general health services settings.

Federally funded runaway and homeless youth centers: Locally controlled facilities that receive Federal funds under the Federal Runaway and Homeless Youth Act (Title III of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act) administered by the Administration for Children, Youth, and Families within the Office of Human Development Services of DHHS as well as other funds. In fiscal year 1987, there were 307 federally funded runaway and homeless youth centers throughout the country. Federally funded runaway and homeless youth centers provide emergency shelter (for up to 15 days), counseling, and other services to runaway or otherwise homeless youth.

Federal poverty level: The official U.S. Government definition of poverty based on cash income levels for families of different sizes. Responsibility for changing poverty concepts and definitions rests with the Office of Management and Budget.

Financial access (to health services): In this Report, used to refer to aspects of access that have to do with health insurance coverage and ability to pay for services.
Foster care: In its broadest sense, the placement of children in foster family homes, group homes, group child care facilities and residential treatment centers by the child welfare system. Most children in foster care are placed in foster family homes, that is, with a foster parent or parents and the parents’ own or other foster children. Children and adolescents placed in foster care have been removed from their homes because of abuse, neglect, or abandonment, and they have either been adjudicated ‘dependent’ by the courts or voluntarily placed in foster care by their families.

Gonorrhea: A sexually transmitted disease (STD) characterized by infection with the bacterial agent Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Gonorrhea, which may be asymptomatic or accompanied by vaginal/penile discharge, abdominal pain, or other symptoms, can potentially lead to complications that include disseminated gonococcal infection(e.g., septicemia), pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), epididymitis, and infertility or sterility. Spermicides and condoms offer some protection from this disease. Treatment is with antibiotics. Gonorrhea is the second most common type of sexually transmitted disease among U.S. adolescents.

Hispanic: A person who identifies himself or herself as of Hispanic origin, or, less typically, individuals with Hispanic surnames identified by others (e.g., health care providers identifying patients in surveys) as being of Hispanic origin. Hispanics can be individuals whose families or ancestors have emigrated directly from Mexico, Puerto Rico, Cuba, Central or South America, Spain, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

Homelessness: The state of ‘being without one’s own home, either on one’s own, with one’s family, living on the street or in a shelter or other temporary situation (e.g., with relatives or friends).

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV): The virus that causes AIDS. Two distinct subtypes of HIV have been identified: HIV-1 was first isolated in 1983 and has a worldwide distribution. HIV-2 was first isolated in 1986 and is found mainly in West Africa.

Iatrogenic effects: Adverse conditions that are induced inadvertently by a health care provider or by the treatment.

Illicit drugs: In this report, unless otherwise noted, a term used to refer to drugs which are not legally available to adults (e.g., marijuana, cocaine, hallucinogens, PCP, heroin) or the illicit use of prescription drugs to get high or for other mental effects. The NIDA Household Survey on Drug Abuse defines illicit drugs as "marijuana, cocaine, heroin, or nonmedical use of psychotherapeutics." The Monitoring the Future/High School Seniors Survey defines illicit drugs as "marijuana, cocaine, heroin, or any use which is not under a doctor’s orders of other opliates, stimulants, or tranquilizers."

Incidence: In health epidemiology, the measure of the number of new cases of a particular disease or condition occurring in a population during a given period of time. Incidence rate is the number of new cases of specified disease divided by the number of people in a population over a specified period of time, usually 1 year.


Intravenous drug use: The intake of drugs (e.g. heroin, cocaine, amphetamines) directly into the veins, usually by means of a needle injection.

Job Corps: A program administered by the Employment and Training Administration in the U.S. Department of Labor, providing employment and training in primarily residential centers for socioeconomically disadvantaged young people ages 12 to 21.

Juvenile: A young person who has not yet reached the age at which he or she should be treated as an adult for purposes of criminal law. In some States, this age is 17. In law, the terms juvenile and minor are usually used in different contexts (juvenile when referring to young legal offenders and minor when referring to legal majority or capacity).

Juvenile justice facilities: Custodial facilities for juveniles. These can be classified along several, often overlapping, dimensions that include purpose, term of stay, type of environment (institutional or open), and sponsorship (public or private). Juvenile detention facilities and shelters typically hold adolescents while they are awaiting adjudication or after adjudication placement. Juvenile correctional facilities hold adolescents after adjudication for the purpose of commitment or for supervision and treatment. Short-term juvenile facilities, which include juvenile detention facilities and shelters, are typically used for adolescents who are awaiting adjudication, adolescents who have been sentenced to short period of confinement, or adolescents who are awaiting transfer to long-term placements. Long-term facilities, which range from training schools to less restrictive facilities such as ranches, forestry camps, or farms to even less restrictive halfway houses and...
group homes, primarily serve adolescents who have been adjudicated. Public juvenile facilities are under the direct administration and operational control of State or local government and staffed by governmental employees. Private juvenile facilities are either profitmaking or nonprofit and subject to governmental licensing but are under the direct administration and operational control of private enterprise; such facilities may receive substantial public funding in addition to their support from private sources.

Juvenile offender: A juvenile who has violated the law.

Latino: Of Latin American origin.

Legal access (to health services): In this report, used to refer to aspects of access that have to do with consent and confidentiality.

Life skills training: The formal teaching of the requisite skills for surviving, living with others, and succeeding in a complex society. Life skills training interventions emphasize the teaching of social competence, cognitive skills, and decisionmaking skills.

Longitudinal studies: Studies that examine the development of individuals or families or groups over a period of time.

Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Services Block Grant Program: A Federal block grant program authorized under Title V of the Social Security Act, that supports the provision of health services to mothers and children, especially those with low income or living in areas with limited availability of health services. Funds are provided to States, which in turn may provide them to local health departments. Created by the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, the MCH Block Grant consolidated several categorical grant programs into one block grant. The MCH Block Grant is administered at the Federal level by the Bureau of Maternal and Child Health in the Health Resources and Services Administration in DHHS.

Medicaid: A federally aided, State-administered program, authorized under Title XIX of the Social Security Act, that provides medical assistance for low-income people meeting specific income and family structure requirements. Medicaid covers and estimated 4.5 million individuals ages 10 to 18 and is the major health care financing mechanism for the adolescents in low-income families.

Mentoring: The practice of acting over time as a guide, tutor or coach, and sometimes as an advocate for another, typically not biologically related, person.

Metropolitan Statistical Area: As defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget, a county or group of counties that includes either a city of at least 50,000 residents, or an urbanized area with at least 50,000 people that itself is part of a county/townships with at least 100,000 total residents.

Mortality rate: A measure derived by dividing the number of deaths in a population in a given period by the resident population at the middle of that period. It is expressed as the number of deaths per 1,000 population. The rate may be restricted to deaths in specific age, race, sex, or geographic groups (e.g., 15 deaths per 1,000 persons ages 15 to 19), or it may be related to the entire population.

National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG): A household interview survey that has collected data from U.S. women of childbearing age (age: 15 through 44) in four cycles since 1974. NSFG collects data on the demographic and social factors associated with childbearing, adoption, and maternal and child health—including sexual activity, contraception and sterilization, marriage, pregnancy loss, and use of medical care for family planning and prenatal care. NSFG is conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics in DHHS.

NIDA Household Survey on Drug Abuse: A household survey sponsored since 1974 by the National Institute of Drug Abuse within DHHS, that collect data on the use of marijuana and other illicit drugs, tobacco, and alcohol among the U.S. population 12 years of age and over living in households in the conterminous United States. Youth (12 to 17 years of age) and young adults (ages 18 to 25 years of age) are oversampled, as are Blacks and Hispanics.

Outcome evaluation: Measures an intervention’s result (e.g., increased knowledge, changed behavior).

Parental consent requirement: As used in this report, a legal requirement, grounded in common law, that a parent or other guardian of a minor child must give prior to consent to the delivery of medical or surgical care to that child.

Peer tutoring: Using older or same age students to work individually with students to teach a particular content area.
Pelvic Inflammatory disease (PID) A sexually transmitted disease (STD) among females, the symptoms of which include abdominal pain, fever, chills, vomiting, foul-smelling discharge, and postcoital bleeding. Potential complications include sterility, chronic pain, chronic infections, and even death. Methods of prevention include limiting the number of sexual partners, using of condoms, and avoiding the use of intrauterine contraceptive devices. Treatment is with antibiotics.

Physical abuse: Physical violence, including kicking, biting, hitting with one's fist, beating, burning, or scalding, and using a weapon.

Physical neglect: As defined by DHHS's National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect, physical neglect can take seven forms: refusal to provide health care for physical problems, as recommended by a competent health care professional; delay in providing health care for a serious physical problem; desertion of a child without arranging for reasonable care and supervision (abandonment); other blatant refusals of custody, such as permanent or indefinite expulsion of a child from the home; other custody issues, such as chronically and repeatedly leaving a child with others for days or weeks at a time; inadequate supervision; and other physical neglect, such as conspicuous inattention to avoidable hazards in the home.

Prevalence: In health epidemiology, a measure of the number of individuals in a given population who have a specific disease or other condition at a designated time (or during a particular period).

Preventive health services: Services intended to prevent the occurrence of a problem (e.g., disease or condition). Preventive services typically recommended for adolescents include routine physical examinations, immunizations, and certain diagnostic tests (e.g., hematocrit, urinalysis), and preventive procedures including pap smears and screening for sexually transmitted diseases among the sexually active.

Primary care: Optimally, primary care includes the following elements: first contact care, comprehensive care, coordinated or integrated care, and care that is longitudinal over time rather than episodic. First contact care is the extent to which a patient contracts the source of care whenever he or she perceived a new need for care. Coordination of care entails a health care provider's ability to provide for continuity of information from visits to other providers (e.g., specialists and emergency facilities) as well as from earlier visits to him or herself. Longitudinality of care is the extent to which a provider serves as a source of care over time regardless of the presence or absence of a particular type of problem.

Process evaluation: The [documentation] aspects of a program's implementation and operation.

Puberty: The period of becoming first capable of reproducing sexually, marked by maturing of the genital organs, development of secondary sex characteristics (e.g., breasts, pubic hair), and in humans and higher primates, the first occurrence of menstruation in the female.

Race: Races can be distinguished by usually inherited physical and physiological characteristics without regard to language or culture. Beginning in 1976, the Federal Government's data systems classified individuals into the following racial groups: White, Black, Asian or Pacific Islander, or American Indian and Alaska Native.

Racial and ethnic minorities: In this report, individuals who are not “White, non-Hispanic” includes African American, Asian, Hispanic, and Alaska Native individuals.

Reproductive health care: Can include a wide range of services related to the male or female reproductive systems, including gynecological treatment services (i.e., examination and treatment of the female reproductive organs), and preventive services related to the use of contraception (e.g., counseling, prescribing contraceptive methods, dispensing contraceptives).

Risk-taking behavior: An activity that may involve a risk to one's health. For adolescents especially, risk-taking generally carries a negative connotation, but some risk taking is essential to the further development of competence, and thus some risk-taking can have positive health and other benefits.

Runaway: A young person who is away from home at least overnight without the permission of a parent or caretaker.

Safer sex practices: Sexual practices designed to avoid actual and potential transmission of HIV infection and other sexually transmitted diseases (e.g., avoiding exchange of body fluids, use of condoms).

School-linked health center (SLHC): Any school health center that provides a wide range of medical and counseling services for students (and sometimes for the family members of students and/or school drop-outs) and is located either on or near school grounds and is associated with the school. Some SLHCs also provide a wider range of services (e.g., child care, employment training, tutoring, social services, recreational opportunities).
Seropositive: Showing a high level of antibody.

Seroprevalence: Prevalence based on blood tests.

Sex education: Instruction about human sexual development, the process of reproduction, and related topics. Currently, the content and process of many sex education programs have been broadened, and such programs are often known as family life education.

Sexual abuse: As defined by DHHS's National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect, sexual abuse can take three forms: actual penile penetration; molestation with genital contact; and other unspecified acts not known to have involved actual genital contact (e.g., fondling of breasts or buttocks, exposure), or inadequate or inappropriate supervision of a child's voluntary sexual activities.

Sexual activity rates: As typically used in the literature, the number of individuals who have ever had sexual intercourse, per some population base.

Sexually active: As typically used in the literature, sexually active denoted ever having had sexual intercourse (as opposed to currently being sexually active).

Sexually transmitted disease (STD): Any infectious disease transmitted through sexual intercourse or genital contact. Examples are chlamydial infection, gonorrhea, herpes genitalis, pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), syphilis, and AIDS. Formerly (and sometimes, in law) called venereal disease.

Social services: Services provided in order to support the functioning of individuals or family units, including those services termed: 1) "supportive" or "protective services"; 2) supplementary (i.e. financial assistance, home aid services, respite care); and 3) "substitute" services (e.g., shelter services, foster care, adoption).

Social Support: Can involve the provision of any or all of 1) supportive aid, including practical services and material benefits; 2) personal affirmation, including feedback that raises self-esteem and strengthens personal identity; and 3) supportive affect, particularly affection, caring, and nurturance.

Socioeconomic status: Generally used in this report as a synonym for income levels, typically those of an adolescent's family of origin. In some cases, however, socioeconomic status refers more broadly to a combination of factors such as father's education, mother's education, family income, household items, etc.

Spermicides: Contraceptive agents that work by killing sperm. Spermicides come in various forms (e.g., jellies or creams to be used in diaphragms, contraceptive vaginal sponges, spermicidal condoms). Spermicides decrease the transmission of some sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), including gonorrhea.

Statistically significant: A judgement, based on commonly agreed to statistical principles, that there is relatively little likelihood (typically from below 1 to below 5 percent) that an observed relationship between or among variables has occurred by chance.

Street kid: A long-term runaway, throwaway, or otherwise homeless child or adolescent who has become adept at finding for him or herself "on the street," usually by illegal activities.

Survival sex: Engaging in sexual intercourse in exchange for food, shelter, money, or drugs.

Syphilis: A sexually transmitted disease (STD) caused by the bacterial agent Treponema pallidum, resulting in symptoms including chancre (primary syphilis); skin rash, malaise, anorexia, nausea (secondary syphilis); and eventually, central nervous system abnormalities and other serious problems (tertiary syphilis).

Throwaway: A child or adolescent who has been told to leave the household, has been abandoned or deserted, or who has run away and no effort has been made to recover him or her.

Title X family planning clinics: Family planning clinics that receive funds under Title X of the Public Health Service Act.

Title X program (Family Planning Services and Research Program) under the Public Health Services Act. A Federal program that provides Federal grants to help support about 4,000 family planning clinics operated by public or private nonprofit entities across the country, as well as funds for training and research to improve the delivery of family planning services. The Title X program is administered at the Federal level by the Office of Population Affairs within DHHS. Family planning clinics that receive Title X funds offer contraceptives and other family planning services (not including abortion). The services offered by family planning clinics are provided free or at rates determined on a sliding-fee scale and are confidential.
About one-third of the patients of such clinics are adolescents ages 15 to 19.

Title XX program (Adolescent Family Life Program) under the Public Health Service Act: A Federal program that awards Federal grants for demonstration projects that seek to prevent adolescent pregnancy by encouraging adolescents, within the context of the family, to abstain from premarital sexual activity and for demonstration projects that provide health and social services for pregnant or parenting adolescents. The Title XX program is administered by the Office of Population Affairs with DHHS.

Treatment services: Services intended to cure or ameliorate the effects of a disease or other health problem once the problem has occurred.

Unprotected sexual intercourse: Sexual intercourse without precautions taken to prevent pregnancy or the transmission of AIDS or other sexually transmitted diseases.

Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBISS): This system, recently developed by the Centers for Disease Control in DHHS, will monitor the prevalence of priority risk behaviors among samples of school-aged adolescents by collecting data from a periodic school-based survey combined with special supplemental data on youth risk behavior from the National Health Interview Survey conducted by DHHS. The system will be implemented in 1991, 1995, and 2000.

Zidovudine (Retrovir®): A drug used to reduce symptoms prolonging the lives of persons infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). This drug was formerly called idoxymidine (AZT).
pregnancies. Incumtions use alone accounts for a large proportion of
unwanted pregnancies among condom users (sphobic). For example, studies in
Bangladesh (around 1973), and Zimbabwe (Haller-Brown) showed that only
4% and 5%, respectively, of men who said they used condoms for contraception
actually used them for every act of intercourse.

Intercept use also accounts for some condom failures and breakage. In one
study, men who acquired gonorrhea despite condom use reported putting the
condom on the penis A9913 sexual activity had begun (Jarrow). Most studies in
the United States have shown breakage rates are less than 1% per 100 acts of
intercourse, and intercept use alone for a large proportion of
the breakage. One Australian study (Richards) reported 8 breaks in 1259 acts of
vaginal and anal sex (a rate of 0.66%); seven of the breaks were related to
finger-sized tears or use of oil-based lubricant (which weakens the latex).

Manufacturing defects are quite uncommon, since national standards are used
and samples are tested to ensure high quality. Each condom is individually
electronically tested for pinholes or small tears as a part of manufacturing quality control in the United States. Moreover, condoms are
not guaranteed to remain flexible or undamaged by storage. All
domestic and imported batches of condoms are subject to sampling and testing
by FDA. Batches which fail are not allowed to be sold in the United States.

It is important to remember that a broken condom does not always lead to
an act of intercourse has been estimated to average 1.8-6 (Tatera). In one U.S.
report, pregnancy occurred in only 4% of women who reported condom
breakage (Katcher). The chances of infection with HIV after a single sexual exposure
has been estimated to be as low as 0.05% and as high as 6.1% (Katcher). Also,
not all condom breaks are equally risky. In one study (Pfeifer), more than
half of all the breaks occurred while the condom was being put on or taken off.

In another study, all breaks occurred prior to ejaculation (Richards).

In summary, we regard condom use as "highly effective" for the following reasons: (1) intact latex condoms are an effective barrier against bacteria and
viruses. (2) Multiple studies have demonstrated that condom use prevents
against sexually transmitted disease. (3) Several studies have shown that
persons exposed to HIV who used condoms remained free of infection over many
months, (4) extremely low contraceptive failure rates have been demonstrated
among experienced condom users, and (5) condoms are manufactured with high
standards of quality. The individual user, not the condom, is more likely
be responsible for any failure in protection from sexually transmitted
infections as well as unwanted pregnancies.

Questions: Given the five recent cases of AIDS being transmitted by a dentist
in Florida, is the CDC issuing any new guidelines or recommendations on the
transmission of AIDS?

Answer: During the past year, CDC has been reviewing the existing guidelines
for health care workers and determining the need for any additional
recommendations and guidelines to prevent transmission of human
immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) to health care
workers in patients during invasive medical and dental procedures.
Condoms for Prevention of Sexually Transmitted Diseases

Introduction

Prevention is the most effective strategy for controlling the spread of infectious disease. Prevention through avoiding sex is the best strategy for controlling the spread of sexually transmitted disease (STD). Behavior that eliminates or reduces the risk of one STD will likely reduce the risk of all STDs. Prevention of one case of STD can result in the prevention of many subsequent cases. Abstinence and sexual intercourse with one mutually faithful uninfected partner are the only totally effective prevention strategies. Proper use of condoms with each act of sexual intercourse can reduce, but not eliminate, risk of STD. Individuals likely to become infected or known to be infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) should be aware that condom use cannot completely eliminate the risk of transmission to themselves or to others.

Efficacy

For the wearer, a condom provides a mechanical barrier that should reduce the risk of infections acquired through penile exposure to infectious cervical, vaginal, vulvar, or rectal secretions or lesions. For the wearer's partner, proper use of condoms should prevent semen deposition, contact with urethral discharge, and exposure to lesions on the head or shaft of the penis. For infectious agents spread from lesions rather than fluids, condom may offer less protection because areas of skin not covered by the condom may be infectious or vulnerable to infection.

Laboratory and epidemiologic studies have provided information about the effectiveness of condoms in preventing STD. Laboratory tests have shown latex condoms to be effective mechanical barriers to HIV (1), herpes simplex virus (HSV) (2-4), cytomegalovirus (CMV) (5), hepatitis B virus (HBV) (6), Chlamydia trachomatis (7), and Neisseria gonorrhoeae (4). Latex condoms blocked passage of HSV and HBV in laboratory studies, but natural membrane condoms (made from lambs' caudum, which contain small pores, did not (6-8)). The experimental conditions employed in these studies may be more extreme than those encountered in actual use: however, they suggest that latex condoms afford greater protection against viral STD than do natural membrane condoms.

The actual effectiveness of condom use in STD prevention is more difficult to assess. It is difficult to determine if a user has been exposed to an infected partner; whether the condom was correctly used; however, several cross-sectional and case-control studies have shown that condom users and/or their partners have a lower frequency of gonorrhea, syphilis infection, pelvic inflammatory disease, and cervical cancer than persons who do not use condoms (9). Consistent previous condom use was associated with seronegativity during the 1- to 3-year follow-up period in a recent study of HIV antibody-negative heterosexual spouses of patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) (10). Another recent investigation of prostitutes in Zaire has also suggested a protective association between a history of condom use and HIV seronegativity (11).

Condoms are not always effective in preventing STD. Failure of condoms to protect against STD is probably explained by user failure more often than by product failure. User failure includes failure to: 1) use a condom with each act of sexual intercourse, 2) put the condom on before any genital contact occurs, and 3) completely unroll the condom. Other user behaviors that may contribute to condom breakage include: inadequate lubrication, use of oil-based lubricants that weaken latex, and inaccurate placement at the tip of the condom. Product failure refers to condom breakage or leakage due to deterioration or poor manufacturing quality. Deterioration may result from age or improper postmanufacturing storage conditions. No scientific data on the frequency or causes of condom breakage are available. Likewise, no data are available concerning the suability of condoms of various areas, thicknesses, types, i.e., natural versus latex, lubricated versus nonlubricated, or ribbed versus smooth. Experimental methods need to be developed to test the factors associated with breakage. Such information is necessary to provide users with accurate instructions on proper condom use.

Quality Assurance

Since 1978, condoms have been regulated under the Medical Device Amendments to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. Within the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Center for Devices and Radiological Health is responsible for assuring the safety and effectiveness of condoms as medical devices. Beginning in the spring of 1987, FDA undertook an expanded program to inspect latex condom manufacturers, repackagers, and importers to evaluate their quality control and testing procedures. In its testing of condoms, FDA uses a water-leak test in which a condom is filled with 300 mL of water and checked for leaks. The FDA has also adapted its inspection sampling criteria to conform with the American Society for Testing and Materials Standard (D3482-73) for latex condoms. FDA criteria and the industry acceptability quality level (AQL) for condoms specify that, in any given batch, the failure rate due to water leakage cannot exceed four condoms per thousand. Batches exceeding the specified rejection criteria are recalled or barred from sale. Among batches of condoms that have met the AQL, the average failure rates observed were 2.2-3.0 per thousand.

As of February 1988, FDA had examined samples from 4,300 batches of domestically produced and foreign-made condoms. These examinations have resulted in the testing of over 102,000 condoms. In FDA's sampling methodology, the sample size is determined by the size of the batch of condoms introduced into the market, the inspection level, and the AQL. Approximately 30,000 domestically produced condoms and from 185 different batches of condoms were tested. Nineteen of those batches (approximately 12%) had leakage rates of over 0.1% and failed the test. In contrast, approximately 21% of the 265 foreign-manufactured batches failed to meet AQL standards. Thus far, as a result of both FDA's sampling program and the manufacturers' quality assurance programs, four domestic manufacturers have conducted 18 condom recalls.  

---

FDA samples foreign-made condoms before they are passed through U.S. customs. If two or more of a given foreign manufacturer's batches offered for import are found to have leakage rates of more than 47,000, future shipments from that manufacturer are automatically detained at the port of entry. Seven foreign firms are presently on this automatic detention list. FDA also has the authority to seize any lot that is found to be defective if the manufacturer or importer does not take appropriate action.

Use of Spermicides with Condoms

The active ingredients (mostly nonoxynol-9) in commercially available spermicides have been shown in the laboratory to inactivate sexually transmitted agents, including HIV (8,12,13,14). The use of spermicide-containing condoms may provide additional protection against STD in the event of condom leakage or seepage. However, the spermicidal barrier would no longer be in place if the condom breaks. If extra protection is desired, visual application of spermicide is likely to afford greater protection than the use of spermicide in the condom because a larger volume of spermicide would already be in place in the event of condom breach. Neither the safety nor the efficacy of spermicides in preventing sexually transmitted infections of the anal canal or oropharynx has been studied.

Prevalence of Use

Recent studies suggest that condom use for STD prevention is increasing in selected populations but is still infrequent. In 1988, a sample of New York City male homosexuals reported a significant increase in condom use with both insertive and receptive anal intercourse after the respondents became aware of AIDS (15). In the year before learning of AIDS, the men used condoms an average of 1% of the time when engaging in insertive anal intercourse; in the ensuing year, 20% of respondents reported consistent condom use. In 1984, 39% of the men in a prospective study in San Francisco reported having anal intercourse; 28% of these men used condoms (16). In April 1987, 19% of the San Francisco respondents reported anal intercourse; 79% used condoms. The trends in condom use for STD prevention among heterosexual men and women are unknown. In a 1986-87 survey of female prostitutes in the United States, 4% reported condom use with each vaginal exposure (17).

Preparation and Use

The Public Health Service has previously made recommendations on reducing the risk of HIV infection through consistent use of condoms (18). Additional recommendations include a guideline for manufacturers published by FDA that recommends proper labeling of condoms to include adequate instructions for use (Center for Devices and Radiological Health, FDA; letter to all U.S. condom manufacturers, importers, and repackagers, April 7, 1987). Users can increase the efficacy of condoms in preventing infection by using a condom properly from start to finish during every sexual exposure. It is unknown whether brands of condoms with increased thickness offer any more protection for anal or vaginal intercourse than thinner brands. Even with a condom, anal intercourse between an infected individual and an uninfected partner poses a risk of transmitting HIV and other sexually transmitted infections because condoms may break.
GUIDELINES FOR HIV PREVENTION PROGRAMS


What Works? HIV/AIDS Education in Rural America (1990), National Rural and Small Schools Consortium. Western Washington University, Bellingham, WA. (See also: A Resource Guide to Effective HIV Education in America’s Rural Schools)

AIDS and Adolescents: The Time for Prevention is Now, Center for Population Options, Washington, DC.


Criteria for Evaluating an AIDS Curriculum, National Coalition of Advocates for Students, Boston, MA.

PERFORMANCE STANDARDS for The Evaluation and Development of School HIV/AIDS Education Curricula for Adolescents, Sex Information and Education Council of the US, New York, NY. (203)
APPENDIX D

BACKGROUND AND METHODOLOGY
FOR KEY INFORMANT INTERVIEWS

Background

Experts are virtually unanimous in recommending that local communities cooperate with schools and youth-serving agencies in the provision of prevention programming to adolescents. In its 1988 guidelines, the CDC listed some individuals and community groups that could serve on school health councils to facilitate policy development. Other experts stress parent involvement, both in planning school-based programming and in the curriculum itself though parent education nights or homework that requires students to discuss AIDS. Unfortunately, efforts to involve communities have sometimes met with apathy or even active resistance. (See Chapter II)

Published literature offers some advice for enhancing community support. More information is needed about how to engage local communities in preventive efforts, and about how to overcome any resistance encountered. To begin to address this need, Select Committee staff conducted interviews with 29 AIDS prevention providers around the country during the summer and fall of 1991.

Interviews focused on two central topics: a program's strategies for relating to the community, and the community's response. Questions about the history and nature of the program, local school and community characteristics, and experience with evaluation and technical assistance were also included.

Questionnaire

Committee staff developed an interview questionnaire which included both multiple-choice and open-ended questions, and was

constructed with the assistance of experts in the areas of adolescent AIDS prevention and community participation. A listing of the experts is appended. A technical report of the interview results is retained in Committee files.

Sample Selection

No attempt was made to conduct a scientific survey that would allow claims to be made about all prevention programming that targets adolescents. Although only a small number of interviews could be conducted, the sampling strategy was designed to elicit reactions from a broad range of key informants. A total of 29 interviews were conducted.

Program representatives in a wide range of communities were interviewed. All major areas of the country, and at least 81 congressional districts were represented in the sample. Half of the programs interviewed provided preventive services to either cities or school districts. The other half targeted either a State, a county or counties, a university, a city's Latino population, or a reservation.

To include the typical experiences of front-line preventive service providers who are not necessarily in the national limelight, a random sample was drawn from a directory of local AIDS organizations compiled by the United States Conference of Mayors and published in January of 1990. The pool of programs consisted of those that provided educational services and targeted youth. Nine hundred seventeen programs met these two criteria; of the 15 programs randomly selected, seven completed the interview process.

To include advice from well-respected model programs for subpopulations of adolescents at particular risk, nominations were solicited from the CDC's "Programs of National Significance." Eleven of the nominees were interviewed; they represented programs for rural, runaway, and ethnic minority youth. Because programs targeting gay youth were not represented by this point, additional nominations of programs addressing their needs were solicited from the Human Rights Campaign Fund. One provider

(306)
of services targeting gay and lesbian youth was interviewed, for a total of 12 nominees.

To tap state-of-the-art expertise currently being developed in federally funded research demonstration programs, lists of current grantees attempting to prevent HIV infection in adolescents were compiled, and five interviews were conducted with researchers. Most of these programs were less comprehensive than other preventive interventions, singling out particular intervention strategies for rigorous evaluation.

Finally, with technical assistance from the CDC’s Department of Adolescent and School Health, the Committee identified communities with successful coalitions organized around AIDS prevention and services. Representatives of five of these coalitions were interviewed.

**Procedure**

After securing agreement for participation, each prospective respondent received a copy of the questionnaire prior to a telephone interview so that necessary information could be gathered. Interviews required approximately one and a half hours each. Respondents corrected or verified information before data were tabulated.

Four raters categorized thematic responses to open-ended questions, achieving at least 80 percent agreement regarding category assignment before coding the themes. In addition, correlational analyses were conducted on all ordinal and interval variables. To help reduce the risk of spurious results attendant to the latter procedure, a stricter level of confidence (p<.04) was adopted than usually employed in statistical analysis, and only fairly strong correlations of 0.4 or above are discussed.
APPENDIX E

KEY INFORMANT INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

The purpose of this study is to identify successful strategies for enhancing community participation in AIDS/HIV prevention and education programs for adolescents, and for decreasing community resistance to such efforts. Please gather any information necessary to answer these questions prior to the scheduled phone interview.

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

1. Please describe your HIV/AIDS prevention/education program briefly, including when it began, the target group of youth served, and the intervention/services provided

2. How many adolescents does your prevention/education component serve per year? ________ What grades/ages? ________ Of the target population, what percentage can you actually serve? ________

3. Do you have school-based program elements? (YES/NO) If yes, please circle what you offer in schools:
   a. HIV/AIDS education
   b. interpersonal skills training (e.g., negotiation, assertiveness)
   c. medical/preventive health services
   d. peer education/counseling
   e. other (please specify ________)

4. Do you serve out-of-school youth (runaway, homeless, drop-out, incarcerated, etc.)? (YES/NO) If yes, please circle what you offer these young people:
   a. HIV/AIDS education
   b. interpersonal skills training
   c. medical/preventive health services
   d. peer education/counseling
   e. condoms
   f. other (please specify ________)
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5. Are there any networks or coalitions of groups concerned about adolescent AIDS in your area? (YES/NO) If yes, is your organization a member of one or more of them? (YES/NO) Who else participates?
   a. adolescents
   b. members of minority groups
   c. parents
   d. churches
   e. business/corporate sector
   f. medical/public health professionals
   g. media
   h. other community groups (please specify)

6. When and how did the coalition/network form?

7. How do coalition/network members contribute to reaching your program's goals:
   a. funding
   b. planning
   c. outreach/community education
   d. service delivery
   e. other (please specify)
   f. they make no real contribution

8. Please indicate any ways in which parents are involved in your program (beyond possible participation in a coalition):
   a. parent HIV/AIDS education
   b. parent/youth communication workshops
   c. required involvement via "homework" given to youth
   d. parent involvement in program planning
   e. other (please specify)

9. Has any part of your program been evaluated? (YES/NO) If yes, who conducted the evaluation? (program staff/outside evaluator) Please briefly summarize the findings.

10. What does your program cost to operate and how are you funded?

11. Is any level of government involved in your program? (YES/NO) Which level of government (e.g., local, state, federal)?

12. Have you used the CDC's 1988 Guidelines for Effective School Health Education To Prevent the Spread of AIDS (YES/NO) and/or any other guidelines (YES/NO) in planning your interventions? (If other guidelines were used, please name)

13. Have you participated in the Youth Risk Behavior Survey conducted in coordination with the CDC? (YES/NO) Have you used the results of this survey? (YES/NO) If yes, how has it been useful?

14. Have you worked with any national networks/organizations? (YES/NO) Which one(s)? At what point planning/organizing/implementation? Was this a one-time contact, or an ongoing working relationship?

15. Have you ever received technical assistance in program planning or implementation? (YES/NO) If yes, from whom?

16. Which of the following services are available in your community for adolescents who may be infected with HIV or at risk of infection?
   a. HIV testing
   b. counseling or other psychosocial support services
   c. linkages to legal and social services
   d. AZT
   e. other medical care
   f. alcohol and other drug abuse treatment
   g. family planning/contraceptive services
   h. access to clinical trials
   i. other prevention programs (e.g., drugs, pregnancy)
   j. other (please specify)

17. Are any of these services that are available to adolescents geared specifically towards this age group? (YES/NO) If yes, please
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18. Which of the above services does your program provide? To which of the above services does your program provide referrals? Of the services available, which have sliding scale fees based on income? In which service area is there the largest unmet need for services?

PROGRAM PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION

19. When was HIV/AIDS prevention for adolescents identified as a need in your community (month/year)? By whom? Did any particular event spark awareness of the need? (YES/NO) (If yes, please describe)

20. What were the first steps your program planner(s) took?

21. Approximately how long (in months) was the planning stage?

22. At the time of initial planning, had your state mandated HIV/AIDS preventive education? (YES/NO)

23. What was the most central concern of planners?
   a. more community involvement needed for program success
   b. need to counter potential community opposition
   c. need to debunk widespread myths about HIV/AIDS
   d. urgency because of adolescents’ potential exposure
   e. other (please specify)

24. At any time during planning or implementation, were any segments of the community approached in a special way? (YES/NO) If yes, please describe:

COMMUNITY DESCRIPTION

25. Is your target area a (please circle): city; neighborhood; school district; other (please specify)

26. Where is your program office located? Is the location different from your target area(s)? (YES/NO) If yes, what is the location of your target area(s)?

27. What zip codes do you serve?

28. Is your target community:
   a. ethnically homogeneous (YES/NO)
   b. large/ segregated by race and income (YES/NO)
   c. characterized by widespread unemployment (YES/NO)
   d. urban/urban/rural

29. Please use the letters below to indicate the number of students that attend a typical elementary school in your area: ______ A typical middle/junior high school: ______ A typical high school:

   a. less than 250
   b. 250 - 500
   c. 500 - 1000
   d. 1000 - 3000
   e. more than 3000

30. What is the average dropout rate in your local high schools?

31. How would you describe the prevalence of HIV/AIDS in your community at large? (please circle: HIGH/AVC/LOW prevalence)

COMMUNITY REACTION

32. Using the following categories, indicate the reaction of different groups in the community at the outset, when you were attempting to launch your prevention/education program.

   1 = active resistance
   2 = lack of support
   3 = limited support
   4 = strong support

   a. the business community
   b. local political leadership
   c. school officials
   d. religious organizations
   e. other community organizations
   f. parents
33. Using the following scale, please rate the following aspects of community reaction to your program after it had become better established: (1 = none; 2 = a little; 3 = some; 4 = a great deal)
   a. cooperation ______
   b. participation ______
   c. resistance ______
   d. apathy ______
   e. active support (e.g., financial, media, in-kind) ______

34. What specific barriers have you encountered?
   a. lack of perceived risk for HIV/AIDS
   b. lack of staff/funding
   c. overburdened school
   d. lack of expertise among local service providers
   e. fear that HIV/AIDS prevention would encourage risky behavior
   f. debate around state vs. local control
   g. a "shoot the messenger" problem
   h. other (please specify) ______
   i. no real barriers

35. To what degree have you overcome these barriers? (1 = not at all, 5 = completely) ______

36. Did you encounter any of the following forms of resistance?
   a. speeches/votes against you at a meeting ______
   b. bad press ______
   c. refusal to allow youth to participate ______
   d. active organizing against your effort ______
   e. counter-efforts launched in competition ______
   f. other (please describe) ______

37. What strategies were most successful in overcoming community resistance? ______

38. Are there any specific activities you have been unable to perform because of active resistance? (YES/NO) (if yes, please specify) ______

39. Are there any specific activities you have been unable to perform because of lack of participation/support? (YES/NO) (if yes, please specify) ______

40. What strategies were most successful in eliciting community participation? ______

41. What advice would you offer other communities like yours that are planning an HIV/AIDS prevention program for teenagers? ______

42. How would you rate your success overall? (1 = not at all successful, 5 = very successful) ______ What evidence supports your rating? ______

43. Do you have any other brief comment on community reactions to your program? ______
APPENDIX F
ADDITIONAL KEY INFORMANT INTERVIEW RESULTS

I. COMMUNITY CHARACTERISTICS

Only six programs were completely non-urban; 58% of respondents served some suburbs and 46% served some rural areas. Widespread unemployment was noted in 52% of the target areas. The typical elementary school (50%) enrolled between 250 and 500 students. Typical middle school enrollment was between 500 and 1000 students, and 52% of the high schools had enrollments of between 1000 and 3000. The average high school drop-out rate was 22.9%; seven programs reported rates at or below 10% and, in four communities interviewed, rates were 50% or higher. In the majority of communities (55%), the incidence of AIDS was thought to be low. However, it was perceived to be high in 11 of the target areas.

II. PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

On the average, programs in this sample have been in operation since 1987. Their median service population was 3,000 youth. Target populations ranged in size from 100 to several million. The median starting grade was 6th; five programs began in kindergarten. A large majority of the programs (83%) provided services to students through 12th grade, and one served college students. A similar range of age groups was served by out-of-school programs; 68% had clients as young as age 12.

Target groups included the following:

- All youth in school (13 programs)
- Runaway & homeless youth (10)
- Adults who work with youth (6)
- Hispanic youth in school (4)
- Youth-serving organizations (4)
- Juveniles in detention (4)
- Drug and alcohol abusing teens (4)
- General public (3)
- Health care workers (3)
- Parents and young teens (3)
- Gay and lesbian youth (3)
- Teens in protective custody (3)
- HIV-infected adolescents (2)
- Teens abuse victims (2)
Of the 22 programs in the sample that had in-school components, 21 provided AIDS education.

Other prevention strategies included:
- Social skills training (67%)
- Health care provision or referral (42%)
- Peer education (54%)
- Alcohol and drug abuse prevention (9%)
- After school recreation, information, and social services (4%)
- Teacher and principal training (4%)
- Social service referral (4%)
- Theater groups (4%)
- Counseling (4%)
- Emergency response teams (4%)

Abstinence education was specifically mentioned by one program, but most AIDS education curricula emphasize abstinence as a primary means of avoiding infection.

Most programs (n=22) also made at least some effort to reach out-of-school youth.

Major prevention strategies for out-of-school youth included:
- AIDS education (90%)
- Social skills training (86%)
- Health care provision or referral (57%)
- Peer education (57%)

The following services were also mentioned:
- STD diagnosis, and/or free treatment
- Substance abuse counseling
- Residential facilities or shelter referral

III. PROGRAM IMPELTS AND PLANNING HISTORY

In 87% of the cases, a particular event that sparked interest in AIDS prevention could be identified.

Interest-sparking events included:
- Increase in STD’s
- Increase in teen pregnancy
- A seroprevalence study of patients
- The first identified case
- The first identified female case
- Results of focus groups
- School request
- Needs assessment by the state department of education
- National Network of Runaway and Homeless Youth Symposium
- AIDS training
- HIV epidemic in San Francisco
- Increase in the number of adolescents with HIV
- A friend of the director’s died of AIDS
- A physician with AIDS motivated the community
- First child with AIDS in the school system

The average planning period was just over nine months.

Initially, planners’ central concerns were:
- Lack of community involvement (8 programs)
- Need to debunk myths about AIDS (15 programs)
- Urgency inspired by high exposure risk (14 programs)
- Need to counter opposition (6 programs)
- Other concerns, including...
-Developing a program that would appeal to teens and parents, or that would stand up to rigorous evaluation
-Accomplishing prevention goals in a short time
-Wanting to be prepared when HIV began to affect teens
-Lack of data on Dominican youths and communities in US
-The high-risk nature of a subpopulation
-Obtaining a grant
-Allaying fear
-The intense impact on minority groups

Parents were involved in prevention in the following ways:
- Membership in AIDS coalitions -- 60% of programs
- Receiving AIDS Education -- 48%
- Attending Communication Workshops -- 36%
- Being Required to Help with AIDS Homework -- 20%
- Planning Programs -- 44%

Parents were also involved in "other" activities, including: (1) provision of transportation, consent, interview data, evaluation feedback, and a quarterly newsletter, (2) participation in conferences, parents' nights, family counseling, door-to-door campaigns, and adult theater groups, and (3) use of the AIDS hotline. Only two programs said that they had no parent involvement.

In 23 of the communities in which these prevention programs were located, some kind of network or coalition of organizations and individuals concerned with AIDS had already been organized. In 18 communities, the prevention program actually took part in network activities.

Network membership patterns:
- Adolescents -- (participate in 40% of the networks)
- Minority groups -- 85%
- Parents -- 60%
- Churches -- 55%
- Business Community -- 40%
- Medical Service Providers -- 90%
- Media -- 30%

In 60% of networks, some members fell into an "other" category, which included:
- AIDS issue groups

Parents were involved in prevention in the following ways:
- Membership in AIDS coalitions -- 60% of programs
- Receiving AIDS Education -- 48%
- Attending Communication Workshops -- 36%
- Being Required to Help with AIDS Homework -- 20%
- Planning Programs -- 44%

Parents were also involved in "other" activities, including: (1) provision of transportation, consent, interview data, evaluation feedback, and a quarterly newsletter, (2) participation in conferences, parents' nights, family counseling, door-to-door campaigns, and adult theater groups, and (3) use of the AIDS hotline. Only two programs said that they had no parent involvement.

In 23 of the communities in which these prevention programs were located, some kind of network or coalition of organizations and individuals concerned with AIDS had already been organized. In 18 communities, the prevention program actually took part in network activities.

Network membership patterns:
- Adolescents -- (participate in 40% of the networks)
- Minority groups -- 85%
- Parents -- 60%
- Churches -- 55%
- Business Community -- 40%
- Medical Service Providers -- 90%
- Media -- 30%

In 60% of networks, some members fell into an "other" category, which included:
- AIDS issue groups

At some point in program development, 18 programs have employed the CDC's Guidelines for Comprehensive AIDS Education.

Other sources of guidance:
- Research by Kell
- Research by Maria Quackenbush, San Francisco
- Safe Choice Curriculum (National Network of Runaway Youth)
- Emory University--AIDS Training Network
- National Education Association
- Minnesota AIDS Project
- Red Cross
- National Hotline
- COSSMHQ
- National Catholic Educational Association
- CMHC Guidelines for Health Trainers
- Karen Hein's AIDS: Trading Fears for Facts
- Idaho School-Based Policy: A Process Approach
- Center for Population Options Guidelines
- Arizona State Guidelines
- Alcohol/Drug Prevention Curriculum of the Navajo Nation
- NIDA's High Risk Youth AIDS Curriculum
- Massachusetts Counseling and Testing Guidelines for Adolescents
- Minnesota Department of Health Guidelines
- Minnesota AIDS Project Guidelines
- CASSP
- National Research Committee on AIDS
- US Conference of Mayors
- National Association of School Nurses
- Health Information Network
- International Society on AIDS Education
- National AIDS Commission
Previous work with a national organization or other source of technical assistance was reported by 89% of the respondents; 82% worked with a national organization.

Sources of technical assistance included:

- CDC (DASH)
- State AIDS Offices
- NIMH
- COSMHO
- National Network for Runaway and Homeless Youth
- State Departments of Education
- AIDS Training Network
- Conference of Mayors
- Health Departments
- Texas Commission on Drug and Alcohol Abuse
- Southwest Network for Youth Services (and other regional or state networks)
- HRSA
- NIDA
- Public Health Foundation
- AIDS Institute
- Churches
- Idaho School Boards Assn (NASBE)
- National Commission on Correctional Health Care
- Universities (e.g., VA Tech Media Services, and University of MD for curriculum development)
- Management Groups (for setting up networks)

School-based programs that had worked with national organizations were more likely to incorporate social skills training in their curricula, and the curricula were more likely to employ multiple strategies. Programs for out-of-school youth that had worked with national organizations were more likely to include peer education, and to belong to a local network. Utilization of guidelines additional to those provided by the CDC and provision of teen-oriented counseling were also correlated with working with national organizations. In addition, nationally linked programs were more likely to refer adolescents for HIV testing, legal or social services, and contraception. On a different note, those who had worked with national groups were more likely to cite overburdened schools as a barrier to service.

The following barriers were described by program staff:

- No perceived risk (81%)
- Inadequate funding (70%)
- Fears that information would increase risk (67%)

Overburdened schools (44%)
Inadequate expertise (33%)
“Shoot the messenger” problems (18%)
Debates over state vs. local control (11%)

Other barriers, including:
- unresponsive, inconsistent, insensitive bureaucracies or authorities
- invasive legislatures
- difficulty of outreach to teen participants
- differing staff values
- anticipation of resistance
- apathy or discomfort from parents
- denial based on labeling AIDS a “gay disease”
- being barred by one extremely conservative school

Of some reassurance is the fact that, when asked to rate the degree to which barriers had been overcome on a five-point scale (where 5 = completely), only one respondent gave a program less than a “3.”

Once linked with national organizations, programs tended to stay involved. A single contact was reported in only two cases.

IV. COMMUNITY RESPONSE

On a four-point scale, where 1 was active resistance and 4 was strong support, the following mean ratings were given to various community segments to describe initial reactions to new prevention programs:

- Business -- 2.8
- Local political leaders -- 3.1
- School Officials -- 3.3
- Religious Organizations -- 2.8
- Other Community Organizations -- 3.4
- Parents -- 3.2
- Source from Outside the Community -- 3.4

Reactions after programs became established (1 = none, 4 = a great deal):

- Cooperation (3.3)
- Participation (2.9)
- Resistance (2.1)
- Apathy (2.6)
In-school programs encountered some resistance:

Active organizing in opposition (8)
Speeches made in opposition to the program (6)
Opposition in the press (5)
Parents barring participation of youth (4)
Counter-efforts launched in competition (4)

Other forms of resistance, including:
- Low enthusiasm among staff
- Lay teachers concerned that Catholic parents would object
- Initial administrative resistance
- Focus groups saying sex/homosexuality were taboo
- Picketing or classroom presentations
- Controversy over condom distribution

V. REMAINING NEEDS AND PROGRAMMING SUGGESTIONS

Organizations providing health-related services to out-of-school youth were particularly likely to need technical assistance.

Remaining technical assistance needs follow:
- Assistance in Evaluation Design and Implementation (9)
- Networking Strategies (4)
- Training for Trainers (especially regarding sexual abuse) (2)
- Information on Outreach (2)
- Behavior Change Information (1)
- Where to Refer (1)
- Information About Spreading Illness (1)
- Curriculum Information (1)
- Training for Peer Counselors (1)
- Brochure (1)
- Lobbying (1)
- Access to National Publications (1)

The following suggestions for overcoming barriers were offered:
- Training/education/information dissemination
- Team teaching
- Use of video materials
- Clergy support
- Administration support
- Increased community awareness or perception of risk
- Increased funding

Suggested strategies for overcoming resistance included:
- View controversy as an opportunity
- Continue to educate (dispel myths):
- Train staff
- Educate school board
- Hold public discussions
- Use media to stress urgency, publicize a credible AIDS story
- Use peer education
- Reveal suicide and other statistics re: gay youth
- Work with high-risk youth
- Be honest
- Emphasize on good reputation of sponsoring organizations
- Work with community leaders, join organizations, recruit an active advisory committee
- Figure out who will listen to whom
- Work with parents and potential opposition from the outset
- Do bilingual outreach
- Elicit clergy cooperation
- Ignore it (it dies down)

Similar suggestions for enhancing community participation:
- Communicate with parents' groups, use peer educators to educate parents, and otherwise involve teens
- Emphasize personal contact
- Meet with key community leaders
- Persist
- Have adequate numbers of staff
- Inform other agencies of your activities, find out about theirs, and elicit their assistance
- Use media
- Take part in "World AIDS Day"
- Make a local video
- Don't label the group "AIDS"; dispel myths re: gay adults
- Join (or organize) a network
- Capitalize on a good organizational reputation
- Use church contacts
- Take the issue out of the moral realm; emphasize health concerns
- Share the deep concern of those working on the issue
- Be non-confrontational to groups
- Offer incentives to adolescents (food, money or donated items, air conditioning, a safe place to meet,
recreation)
Ask the kids what to do
Focus on skill-building

General advice to providers undertaking prevention programs:
Be sensitive to what your community can hear
Be aware of your own staff's resistance
You can't be a maverick; don't reinvent the wheel
Be realistic
Educate and get support from parents
Include parents, teens, schools, churches and community
groups in planning
Involve youth-serving agencies
Make teens part of implementation
Begin staff training early
Contact both official and unofficial leaders
Use TV and radio
Someone needs to take a leadership position
Develop policy
Offer access to health care to teens
Offer recreational and other non-AIDS services
Develop a network
Make peer pressure positive
Good luck finding funding
Develop social skills training
Knowledge alone does not lead to behavior change
Stress enhanced life options/linkages to social services
Have an excellent reputation
Find the channel in the delivery system with the least
resistance:
Don't aim clinic programs specifically at teens
Don't give up because of legislative resistance
Provide necessary facts about danger to gay youth
Get a good advisory committee
Follow the 1988 guidelines and talk about condoms
Let people talk about their feelings & then give them
an assignment
Having at least a half-time staffer helps coalitions
Trust is the most critical issue for the community; be
flexible & willing to work within community
norms
Do your homework
Focus on the difficult, critical issues
Value and nurture your constituents; offer an
information buffet

Overall, the community reactions have been positive
School district administration has been supportive
Homophobia is not systematically discussed
Federal funding going directly to state governments
would shortchange urban areas
Health education is critical to other education
We need funding
We need more staff
We need more training
We need more information about available resources
Funding needed for HIV prevention for high-risk youth
Educate people about what youth can do
We need to evaluate what we're doing
Integrate services—don't treat AIDS as a separate issue
There is still much more we can do
Imperative that ban on sex research be lifted
Our program is always changing
There have been increases in student compassion
Exercise cultural sensitivity

How coalitions formed:
Through the efforts of the state department of health
Through the efforts of the gay community
The NEA recognized a school
Public pressure
Out of community-based organizations
In an effort to not duplicate educational and treatment
services

Which subpopulations received tailor-made outreach:

- **Task forces**
  - Family physicians
  - Chambers of Commerce
  - Clergy
  - Minority churches
  - Immigrants
  - Tourists
  - Leaders of organizations
  - Black youth at-risk
  - Conservative community members
  - Schools (especially parochial)
  - Latinos

**The first steps planners took:**
Conducted a needs assessment survey
Conducted seroprevalence study
Initiated counseling
Initiated testing
Began staff training
Wrote a policy statement, or PSA, or curriculum
Picked speakers and topics
Reviewed existing policy
Recruited teens for peer counseling
Conducted discussions, community meetings
Formed a task force
Sought technical assistance (e.g., from the National Network on Runaway and Homeless Youth)
Targeted at-risk teens, conducted outreach
Identified special interests
Contacted high schools
Created a theater group
Got a phone for a hotline
Sent US Health Service AIDS booklet to every household
Convinced senior staff of prevalence of teen HIV infection

Funding sources:
- CDC (DASH) (4 programs)
- Drug Free Schools (2)
- Local tax dollars (1)
- Writing multiple grants (1)
- DHHS grant (1)
- Private foundations (2)
- Member contributions (1)
- Department of Education (2)
- NIAAA (1)
- University (1)
- State funds with local matching dollars (1)

How government is involved in programs:
- Under (15)
- NIH provided feedback
- Provided materials
- Provided TA
- Referral
- Collaboration and initiation
- Helped with curriculum
- Provides space

Partner in service
Supports with policy
Trains staff
Provides guidelines, evaluation, consultation
Advocate for networking, encourages cooperation
This agency is governmental

What had evaluations found?
- Knowledge and attitude change vs. control group
- Self-reported behavior change
- Won an award (two programs)
- Judged in compliance with CDC Guidelines (four programs)
- Study of knowledge and attitudes in progress (three programs)
- In-depth site visits based on criteria in the literature
- Found us effective (four programs)
- Goal attainment assessment (annual) satisfactory
- Knowledge was greater among older students than younger ones
- Significant reduction in emotional risk factors
- Improvements in cognitive functioning (problem-solving, etc.)
- Preliminary data indicate that black inner-city females have fewer partners and are more influenced by church than white inner-city females or college students
- Knowledge high, but sex and drugs high, condoms low
- Significant knowledge and social skills gains still present at six months
- Board of Education policy change means success
- Focus group comments
- A study of the use of PWA's and minority trainers in progress
- A study of peer group norms in progress
- Process evaluation (four programs)
- Consumer satisfaction (staff training) (two programs)

What evidence supports your success rate?
- Continued enrollment, participation (3)
- Changes in the system
- Statistical evidence (2)
- Outside evaluation
- National comparison (2)
- People are talking to one another
Feedback
State ratings
Telephone survey
Met program objectives
High rates of infection support a low success rating
Teen involvement
Teens more articulate about the problem
Low resistance

What specific activities have you been unable to perform because of community resistance?
Approaching participants (1)
Free condom distribution (13)
Talking about AIDS in school (2)
Addressing gay and lesbian issues (3)
Talking about condoms (2)
Talking about promiscuity (1)
Use of explicit materials (1)

In what settings do you do outreach to high-risk youth?
Schools (public and on reservations)
Government housing units
Via Radio & TV
Shopping malls
Upward Bound classes
OB/GYN offices
Family planning and STD clinics
Juvenile hall or detention centers
Half-way houses
Runaway shelters
Drug rehab centers
Homeless shelters
Boys’ and Girls’ clubs
Centers for troubled adolescents
Labor camps
Parks where the homeless congregate
Churches
Gay bars
Dry bars for adolescents
Concerts
Alternative placement residences
Corporations/companies
Job training classes
At home
Street corners

What specific activities have you been unable to perform due to lack of community participation?
Displaying posters (1)
Distributing information (church resistance) (1)

Endnotes
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ADDITIONAL VIEWS OF THE HON. RICHARD J. DURBIN; HON. PATRICIA SCHROEDER; HON. TIM JOHNSON; AND HON. PETE PETERSON

This report by the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families presents a compelling case for the need to make a greater effort to help our nation's teenagers protect themselves from the danger of HIV infection.

Every year, an astounding 3 million teenagers—one in every six—are affected by a sexually transmitted disease. The prevalence of STDs makes it clear that a large number of teenagers are at risk of HIV infection. As HIV continues to spread throughout the country, the risk will continue to rise. If we are to avoid losing a significant portion of the current and next generation of teenagers to AIDS, we must become more successful at educating young people about the dangers they face and helping them to maintain or develop behaviors that reflect the reality of AIDS.

The first line of defense, the most effective way to prevent HIV infection, is to abstain from sexual intercourse and injecting drugs. We must continue to present this message. With regard to sexual activity, Surgeon General C. Everett Koop made it clear what one must do to practice safe sexual behavior to avoid HIV infection: Abstain from sexual activity or have sex only with one mutually faithful uninfected partner.

Since abstinence is the best way for teenagers to avoid HIV infection, it is important that programs promote abstinence. The Committee's report makes it clear that such programs are most effective when they are comprehensive programs providing individual attention and social skills training, including assertiveness, refusal skills, interpersonal problem solving, and decision making. These types of programs have resulted in young teens delaying the initiation of sexual activity.

Unfortunately, we apparently have much more to learn about how to motivate older teenagers who are already sexually active to choose abstinence. Researchers appear to find that some abstinence programs result in self-reported attitude changes without producing actual behavior changes. Since abstinence is the only risk-free way for teenagers to avoid HIV infection, it is important that we continue to look for ways to help our nation's teenagers to embrace the abstinence message and to be empowered to live it out in their actual behavior.

It is also important that we acknowledge the fact that many teenagers are and will continue to be sexually active regardless of the services and programs we offer. If we hope to see these teenagers live long adult lives without succumbing to AIDS, they need to know the facts about sexual activity and AIDS.

The Surgeon General made it clear that condoms, while not risk-free, can reduce the risk of AIDS. According to the Centers for Disease Control, under ideal conditions condoms as contraceptives can have a failure rate as low as 0.6%, but the typical failure rate including inconsistent or incorrect use is 10-20%. If we care about protecting the lives of sexually active teenagers, we must provide them with the facts they need to reduce their risk of HIV infection, including the importance of using condoms to reduce the risk of infection, the risk that remains when using condoms, and the proper way to use condoms to minimize that risk. To do less would be to do an injustice to teenagers who rely on us to honestly present the facts.

This report involves a number of subjects that tend to be uncomfortable and controversial topics of discussion, including AIDS and teenage sexual activity. We must not allow our natural reticence about these subjects to blind us to the importance of strong action. The lives of a generation of Americans hang in the balance. This report is important because it calls us all to examine what we are doing to prevent needless deaths in a very vulnerable population. Only a comprehensive approach, using every effective method available to us, can have hope of success.

(Signed) RICHARD J. DURBIN PATRICIA SCHROEDER TIM JOHNSON PETE PETERSON

Executive Summary

The Democrat Report is entitled, "A Decade of Denial: Teens and AIDS in America." We couldn't agree with this characterization more. The denial stems from those who view the problem primarily as a health problem rather than what it truly is -- a behavioral problem.

The Report prepared by the Democrats was over one year in the making, and the Republicans were given only one week to review and to respond. The Democrats are asking us to respond to a 375 page report on a topic of critical importance to families, to communities, and to our nation -- in one week.

The issue of AIDS and Teens ought not send us into partisan camps. The problems are too important to our families and to our nation. However, disagreement exists. The Republican Members of the Select Committee on Children, Youth and Families, who signed onto the Minority Dissent, do not take the position that early sexual activity is a normal and inevitable part of growing up.

Make no mistake, this is not another partisan battle over the budget for spending on teenagers or AIDS. It is a cultural dilemma which demands cultural solutions. The problems that stem from the sexual activity of 13-year-olds are not solely health problems. The solutions proposed by the Democrats are largely programmatic, monetary and services-related -- these are the main features of their "comprehensive" approach. However, let us be clear, any attempt to substitute a program for a family or services for values is doomed to fail.

The Democrat Report takes the position that the best we, as a society, can do is to continue to do more of the same -- fund more explicit sex education courses, including AIDS prevention education aimed at younger age children, and finance more "comprehensive" school-based health clinics that will dispense contraceptive devices to teens. There is evidence which shows that these efforts are not working.

We have reached a point in our society where we either go with the solutions proposed by the Democrats in this report, and commit huge amounts of public funds to deal with the consequences of teenage sexual activity -- including cancer of the cervix, pelvic inflammatory disease, syphilis, gonorrhea, as well as death from AIDS -- or, we can draw the line and fight to recreate our culture. We need to send out a loud and clear message that we want our teenagers to abstain from practices that endanger their health.

The best way to guarantee that a child will not contract a sexually transmitted disease (STD) or AIDS, or suffer from an out-of-wedlock pregnancy, is to strengthen the family. To do less is to court failure. The most important predictor of sexual activity is family stability. Family structure is an important factor in learning self-restraint.

Research evidence is convincing that teenagers who engage in early sexual activity have many motivations: emotional and psychological needs to be loved; desperate attempts to overcome loneliness and a sense of not belonging; as well as peer pressure.

It appears then, based on a review of medical and psychological literature, that teens are seeking love and we are giving them biology classes. Teens are seeking guidance about whether to engage in sexual experimentation and we are giving them options. Teens are seeking to belong, to be given a sense of community with shared values, and we are giving them a hall pass to see the school nurse.

As a society, we do not accept the inevitability of substance abuse. The argument that "everybody is doing it" is not the position we as a culture hold when the issue is drugs, alcohol or violence. The campaigns to reduce substance abuse or violence send clear and direct messages. We are resolute in our stance...
against it and our message is beginning to take hold. Yet, when it comes to teenage sexual activity, the message crumbles and our resolve goes up in smoke.

Clearly not all children are at equal risk of contracting the AIDS virus or an STD. For teens who have not had sexual intercourse at all, who do not use intravenous drugs, the risk of HIV or STD infection is very low. The evidence is overwhelming that teenagers who say no are healthier physically as well as mentally.

Early sexual activity with its risk of multiple lifetime partners has been described as the most important health risk factor for young women. This activity can lead to lifelong, serious and adverse health consequences, especially in girls. These include: cervical cancer, chlamydia, complications in pregnancy, ectopic pregnancy, infertility, pelvic inflammatory disease, high rates of sexually transmitted diseases, as well as the suffering accompanying unwed teenage pregnancy and abortion.

Although the prevalence of HIV infection among teens is unknown, as the level of risk-taking behavior among this group becomes identified there is a growing concern about the future rate of HIV infection. Findings from a few studies suggest that a large number of teens who are aware that sexual activity increases the risk of contracting AIDS are not changing their behavior accordingly.

There are many who question the advocacy of condom use because condoms do not change the behavior which puts teens at risk.

Condoms only permit the same inappropriate behavior at a different rate of risk, thus, the goal with condoms is risk reduction. Because of the life-threatening nature of the HIV virus, the risk of transmitting this virus must be eliminated. There is no acceptable level of risk in these cases. Moreover, the evidence shows that when condoms are used by teenagers the failure rate is higher than in the general population—as high as 30%.

Safe sex for teenagers today is clearly not using condoms but in using self-restraint - abstinence.

We can surely agree as a nation with the findings of the National Commission on Children that "children and adolescents need clear and consistent messages about personal conduct and public responsibility." The fact that some teens engage in unhealthy behavior should not discourage us as a society from promoting moral conduct.

Values and culture are not irrelevant issues in this fight against the HIV virus and teenage sexual activity. Behavior is strongly influenced by culture. To help change behavior we need to change the messages we transmit about the appropriateness of teenage sexual activity. This Republican Dissent is an attempt to change the message about our expectations for our youth.
INTRODUCTION

The Majority Report is entitled, "A Decade of Denial: Teens and AIDS in America." We couldn't agree with this characterization more. The denial stems from those who view the problem primarily as a health problem rather than what it truly is -- a behavioral problem. This dilemma is rooted in the substandard culture of values that far too many teens hold today. The numbers are staggering in terms of the terrible consequences of early sexual activity -- such as cancer of the cervix, complications in pregnancy, resultant infertility, unwed pregnancy, abortion, and high rates of sexually transmitted diseases, including the contraction of the HIV virus which causes AIDS.

The Republican Members of the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families, who signed onto the Minority Dissent, do not take the position that early sexual activity is a normal and inevitable part of growing up.

Our experience tells us that the findings from the recently released report from the National Commission on Children, Chaired by Senator John D. Rockefeller IV, are based in fact:

The majority of young people emerge from adolescence healthy, hopeful, and able to meet the challenges of adult life. Half of America's 10-17 year olds are doing well and are at very low risk of experiencing problems related to their social behavior. They are progressing in school, they are not sexually active, they do not commit delinquent acts, and they do not use drugs or alcohol.

The fact that some teens do engage in unhealthy behavior should not discourage us, as a society, from promoting moral conduct. In our Republican dissent we carefully document the medical consequences of early sexual activity and agree with S.I. Barron, M.D., who stated in the British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, "Discouraging sexual activity before the age of consent seems to have a medical as well as moral basis."

Values and culture are not irrelevant issues in this fight against the HIV virus and teenage sexual activity. Behavior is strongly influenced by culture. To help change behavior we need to change the messages we transmit about the appropriateness of teenage sexual activity. In short, we need to change the cultural message.

Currently, the cultural messages we send about teenage sexual activity virtually ignore the values of self restraint, self-control, fidelity, and respect for oneself and others. In the words of Dr. Bill Bennett, "Cultural problems demand cultural solutions." One solution is abstinence-based programs.

Abstinence-based programs go beyond the symptoms and address the root problems associated with early sexual activity. Addressing these problems at the root is vital to helping our youth achieve their full potential according to Dr. Linda Meley M.D., Assistant Professor of Pediatrics at the Virginia Commonwealth University:

As a nation, we need to stop treating the symptoms of our adolescent's sexual activity and turn to the root cause of the problem. Drugs can eradicate the gonorrhea discharge, but cannot heal the severed relationships and developmental delay. Premarital sexual activity is harmful to the full maturity of the teen and to their family relationships. Abstinence and delayed gratification need to be stressed to our youth to aid them in achieving their ultimate potential.

---


2Bennett, William J., former Secretary of U.S. Department of Education, "Children and Culture in Modern America," Remarks at the University of Notre Dame, South Bend, Indiana, October, 17, 1990.
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The federal government funds abstinence-based programs through the Adolescent Family Life Program (Title XX) of the Public Health Service Act:

Title XX provides demonstration grants for developmentally based social service programs that encourage adolescents to delay sexual activity, provide services to pregnant and parenting teenagers, and promote adoption as a positive alternative to adolescent parenting.5

These abstinence-based programs were the subject of a recommendation by the National Commission on Children, a bipartisan panel:

We recommend expansion of the Adolescent Family Life Program, (Title XX) which encourages young people to abstain from early sexual activity in order to prevent pregnancy and sexually transmitted disease. The National Commission on Children recommends that Congress appropriate an additional $33 million bringing total program funding to approximately $40 million, which is comparable to the level of funding for Title X family planning services for teenagers.6

We heartily endorse the recommendations of the National Commission on Children. We have reached the point where we either go with the solutions proposed by the Democrats in this report, and commit huge amounts of public funds to deal with the consequences of teenage sexual activity -- including cancer of the cervix, pelvic inflammatory disease, syphilis, gonorrhea, complications of pregnancy, chlamydia, illegitimacy, abortion, as well as death from AIDS -- or we can draw the line and fight to recreate our culture. We need to send a loud and clear message that we want our teenagers to abstain from practices that endanger their health.

The best way to guarantee that a child will not contract a STD, or AIDS, or suffer from an out-of-wedlock pregnancy is to

5Beyond Rhetoric, p. 237.
6Ibid. p. 246.
strengthens the family. To do less is to court failure.

What is needed is not simply to engage in "puritanical" preaching about the evils of teenage sexual activity. In the words of William Roper, M.D., M.P.H., Director of the Centers for Disease Control:

We need to be sure that we are not simply engaging in puritanical preaching but are striving to create a new health-oriented social norm that allows teens to feel comfortable in choosing to refrain from sex. 7

No doubt some will point to the staggering numbers of teenagers engaging in unhealthy sexual practices as evidence of the need to provide condoms and abortions on demand. But a correct interpretation recognizes the following:

It is quite true that we will always have sexually active teenagers, and consequently, unintended premartial teenage pregnancies. It is equally true that we will always have prostitutes, drug addicts, alcoholics, wife abusers, rapists, tax evaders and thieves. The fact that some individuals will engage in unhealthy behavior should not discourage society from promoting moral conduct. 8

Make no mistake, this is not another partisan battle over the budget for spending on teenagers or AIDS. It is a cultural dilemma which demands cultural solutions. The problems that stem from the sexual activity of 13-year-olds are not solely health problems. The solutions proposed by the Democrats, in the Majority Report, are largely programmatic, monetary and services-related; these are the main features of their "comprehensive" approach. However, let us be clear. Any attempt to substitute a program for a family or a service for values is doomed to fail.


The Majority Report assumes that youths will inevitably engage in reckless sexual behavior and that this behavior is a normal part of growing up in today's world. Moreover, it takes the position that the best we, as a society, can do is to continue to do more of the same -- fund more explicit sex education courses, including AIDS prevention education aimed at younger children, and finance more "comprehensive" school-based health clinics that will dispense contraceptive devices to teens. This is the direction we have been going and it has not worked. This Republican Dissent will review the scientific literature which demonstrates this point.

FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES, RATE OF TEEN ABORTIONS, RATE OF TEEN BIRTHS

Over $2 billion has been spent on the Title X family planning program since its inception in 1971. Yet it has been documented that teenage births and abortions have increased at the same time Title X funding has increased. 9 In fact, those states with the highest expenditures on family planning and with similar sociodemographic characteristics demonstrated the largest increase in abortions and out-of-wedlock births. 10 (See figures 1a, 1b)

Researchers appear to be particularly puzzled by the association between family planning and teenage sexual activity:

Instead of the expected reduction in teenage pregnancies, greater adolescent involvement in family-planning programs was associated with significantly higher teenage pregnancy rates.11

Joseph Olsen, and Stan Weed, in a study that replicated their earlier work found that greater teenage involvement in family planning programs was correlated significantly with higher pregnancies and higher teenage abortion rates.12

(See figure 2a-2d)
BIRTH RATE OF UNMARRIED TEENAGERS

The birthrate of unmarried teenagers has increased nearly 5.5 times since 1970—by half of the increase occurring since 1975. Nearly all the increase has been among young women, and the rate among African-American teenagers has increased much more than among white teenagers. More than half of all births to teenagers occur among women 18 years old or younger. The birthrate for unmarried teenagers has increased at an average rate of 4 percent per year, even though the rate of teenage pregnancies has increased much more. The number of births to unmarried teenagers is increasing at an average rate of 3 percent per year.

During the 1980s the average annual birthrate was 4.4 percent per year. During the last five years the average annual birthrate was 3.4 percent per year, a rate that is twice that of the period from 1976 to 1980, which was the highest five-year period of increase since 1946.

The birth rate for unmarried teens, both for 15-17 and 18-19 year-old girls, more than doubled since 1960. The last four years alone the birth rate of unmarried 15 to 17 year-old girls has increased by 28 percent and that of 18 and 19 year-olds has increased by 23 percent.

Best Copy Available
THE MAJORITY OF TEENS ARE NOT AT RISK

Clearly not all children are at equal risk of contracting the AIDS virus or an STD. For teens who have not had sexual intercourse at all, or who do not use intravenous drugs, the risk of HIV or STD infection is very low.13

The majority of teens are not engaging in behaviors that put them at risk, according to the National Commission on Children:

The majority of young people emerge from adolescence healthy, hopeful and able to meet the challenges of adult life...They are progressing in school, they are not sexually active, they do not commit delinquent acts, and they do not use drugs or alcohol.14

RISKY SEXUAL BEHAVIOR IS INCREASING

Teens who engage in risky sexual behaviors increase their odds of infection.

At higher risk are adolescents who do one or more of the following:

• engage in sexual intercourse at early ages
• engage in male-to-male sexual relations
• have several sexual partners
• do not use condoms during sexual intercourse
• use drugs that can be administered intravenously, such as cocaine, amphetamines, steroids, and heroin.15

Tragically, as reported in the Journal of Adolescent Health (1991), "the rates of adverse sexual consequences among our

14Beyond Rhetoric, p. 219.
15Adolescent Health-Volume II, p. 271.

teenagers have not fallen and risky sexual behaviors seem to be increasing."16 Our experience with the strategies currently being used lead to the recent admission by the Centers for Disease Control Division of STD-HIV Prevention (999) that "our ability to bring about change in behavior currently appears minimal."17 See figures 4a-4e for evidence on syphilis and gonorrhea.

Premarital intercourse remained stable in our country essentially from 1925 to 1965.18 During that time period the values of self-restraint and self-control were highly regarded. This shows that adolescents are capable of refraining from inappropriate sexual activity when the culture supports it.

The birthrate of unmarried teens has increased substantially since 1940. But in recent years, its growth has accelerated. (See figures 2a)

TEENS AND AIDS: AN EMERGING RISK GROUP

Recent studies are documenting that some adolescents are behaving in ways that puts them at risk for contracting the AIDS virus. Although the prevalence of HIV infection among teens is unknown, as the level of risk-taking behavior among this group becomes identified there is a growing concern about the future rate of HIV infection. What is known is that the long latency period in which symptoms are not manifest may mask the number of individuals who contracted the virus as teenagers.

There exists a strong association between an active sexually transmitted disease and the HIV virus:

The epidemiologic evidence has suggested that a substantial proportion of adolescents engage in high-risk behavior associated with the acquisition and transmission of STDs. As recent evidence has indicated that having an active STD is strongly associated with an increased risk of HIV infection, this age group appears to be at considerable risk.18

There is little data assessing adolescents' knowledge of AIDS and risk of HIV infection. These findings suggest that a large number of teens who are aware that sexual activity increases the risk of contracting AIDS are not changing their behavior accordingly.20

In short, the research on AIDS prevention programs targeted at teens shows that these programs must be comprehensive in nature i.e., carefully examine the causes of the behavior including the psychological, social, maturational as well as cultural determinants of the behaviors that put teens at risk for HIV. This approach goes beyond the provisions of contraceptive services which is the primary focus of current intervention efforts.21

CHARACTERISTICS OF TEENS WHO ABSTAIN

The most important predictor of sexual activity is the stability of the family.22 Family structure is an important factor in learning self-restraint. The data indicate that the environment in which a child grows i.e., family, school, community and peers, is an important predictor in the onset of risky behavior:

The protective role of supportive environment during adolescence must be acknowledged and may be critical in developing prevention and intervention programs. Family and peer factors are crucial, with parental behavior and style being important correlates of onset. Increased parental involvement appears to prevent the onset of risk behaviors and mitigates the most negative outcomes of risk behavior.23

The evidence is overwhelming that teenagers who say "no" are healthier physically as well as mentally. As reported in the Journal of Youth and Adolescence, sexually inactive youths have the lowest rates of mental health problems.24

Our findings here indicate that a truly comprehensive approach to teens and AIDS in America must include support for teens who say "No", as well as a much more in-depth research assessment of those who abstain. There is much to learn from this approach.

Clearly, there is a relationship between sexual activity and the values teens hold. Teens who hold traditional values are more likely to abstain from sexual activity. In a 1990 study reported in Family Planning Perspectives: Bivariate analysis revealed that religious attendance and importance of belief were strongly correlated with adolescent sexuality. Those who attended religious services frequently and considered religion important in their lives also had more restrictive attitudes toward premarital sex and reported less sexual experience.

Both the mothers' attitudes toward premarital sex and the teenagers' religious attendance had statistically significant

---
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effects on the adolescents' sexual attitudes and behavior.25

Findings published by the Department of Health and Human Services in 1991 are consistent with the above study:

Women aged 15 through 19 are more likely to be sexually active if they are not regular church attenders and if they report that religion is not very important to them.26

Discipline, or the values that parents transmit to their children appear to modify the behavior of teens when it comes to sexual activity:

Adolescents who report their discipline received at home as 'not strict at all' are more than twice as likely to participate in nonmarital intercourse than adolescents who report a moderate amount of strictness and rules.27

THE STRONGEST MOTIVATION FOR TEENAGE SEXUAL ACTIVITY IS EMOTIONAL NOT PHYSICAL:

Recent research, reported by the Journal of Adolescent Health Care, states that:

Teenagers have many motivations for wanting to be sexually active ranging from psychosocial needs (acceptance, love, peer pressure) to simple curiosity and experimentation. Recent research has identified the need to achieve maturity and acceptance through parenthood as a motivation for sexual activity among low-income black girls. In general, however, the strongest motivation for adolescent sexuality is the emotional and psychological need to love and be loved. Often, the physical enjoyment of sex is not an important
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motivation, particularly among young adolescents.28

What this research says then is that in meeting the needs of teenagers we will miss our mark if we respond to teenage sexual activity as if nothing else but hormones were driving this behavior.

In a classic work by Professor Armand Nicholi, of Harvard, writing in the American Journal of Psychiatry, referring to the college students he interviewed, he states:

They described their sexual relationships as less than satisfactory and as contributing little to providing the emotional closeness they desired. They expressed a profound loneliness and a "sense of not belonging." Their sexual behavior by and large appeared to be a desperate attempt to overcome this loneliness.29

This finding was confirmed in the Journal of the Ohio State Medical Association:

We need to help them develop a sense of awareness, to show them they can express their new sexuality in ways other than becoming sexually active -- to let them know they are allowed to say "No."30

Teenagers may behave in a manner that is inconsistent with their own beliefs. In a recent study reported in the American Journal of Preventive Medicine:

Based on results from self-administered questionnaires from 3,500 juniors and seniors at four inner-city high schools, 83% of sexually experienced adolescents said that the best age to


Gary Bauer, to the Ilasked checked more than why trans are engaging in person's fcclinp...

President political well: said they believed lbat sex before marriage initiate intercourse... One approximatly 25% of both sexes who have had intercourse choose to... American society has reached the point at which it must choose between two fundamentally opposed solutions to the problem of adolescent sex. We must either make a massive, and open-ended, commitment of public resources to deal with the consequences of promiscuity (including illegitimacy, abortion, venereal diseases, AIDS, teen suicide); or we must explain to the young, for their own good, one clear standard of conduct which tells them how to grow up.

In a study reported in Family Planning Perspectives (1990), more than 1,000 sexually active girls, aged 16 and younger, were asked what topic they wanted more information on and 84% checked the item "how to say no without hurting the other person's feelings." Peer pressure is a consistent finding in the literature as to why teens are engaging in reckless behavior:

Teenagers report that social pressure is the chief reason why their peers do not wait until they are older to have sexual intercourse.

It is also noted in Public Health Reports, "We know that the single best indicator of virginal status in girls is peer experience." What these studies confirm is what Bill Bennett has been saying for some time:

Courses should stress that sex is not simply a physical or mechanical act. We should explain to children that sex is tied to the deepest recesses of the personality. We should tell the truth; we should describe reality. We should explain that sex involves complicated feelings and emotions. Some of these are ennobling, and some of them—let us be truthful—can be cheapening of one's own finer impulses and cheapening to others.

It appears then, based on the literature, that teens are seeking love and we are giving them biology classes. Teens are seeking guidance about whether to engage in sexual experimentation and we are merely listing options for them. Teens are seeking to belong, to be given a sense of community with shared values, and we are giving them a half pass to see the school nurse.

RISK TAKING BEHAVIORS ARE INTERRELATED.

Adolescence is a developmental stage characterized by rapid physical and psychosocial growth. It is a time when many teens are prone to reckless behavior:

34Healthy People 2000, p. 193.


Adolescence is characterized by rapid physical and psychosocial development. It is also a time when many teenagers engage in a wide variety of risk-taking behaviors. Cigarette smoking, bizarre eating behaviors, and alcohol and other substance abuse, often coupled with irrational motor vehicle use, are examples of impulsive risks taken by teenagers in their attempt to act as adults. The early reckless sexual activity in which many teenagers engage is another example of such risk taking. All these behaviors are usually regarded as problems by parents, educators, and health care providers, and they become sources of conflict in relating to teenagers.37

There are considerable data to support the concept that early sexual activity is associated with other health-endangering behavior like smoking and drug use:

Sex is only one of many risks that adolescents take. As with sexual experience, the proportion of teenagers who smoke, use alcohol, or use drugs increases with age. Much of this risk-taking behavior may have its origins in the family structure or in peer group pressure and the adolescent’s desire to be accepted. For example, teens are more likely to smoke in the company of other teens than they are to smoke alone or in the company of others who are not teens. Teens who engage in one risk-taking behavior are also likely to engage in other risk-taking behaviors as well: girls who begin intercourse at an early age are more likely to smoke than girls who begin intercourse later.38

Girls who are particularly vulnerable to alcohol or marijuana use are also likely to engage in early sexual activity:

Our data support the concept that sexual activity is a significant associate of other health-endangering behaviors and that with increasing age, cotus is increasingly linked with alcohol or marijuana experience. The link is stronger for

37O’Reilly, p. 262.
38Ibid., pp. 267-268.
young women in America. As the following figures clearly demonstrate, the number of teens who are sexually experienced has increased significantly between 1970 and 1988. (See figures 3a and 3b)

Among all unmarried teens both the proportion and the number of sexually experienced teens more than doubled during the period from 1970 to 1988. The large increase in the proportion of sexually experienced unmarried teens more than offset the slow decline in the total number of unmarried teens that began after 1978. The same result occurred with the rise in the proportion of sexually active teens; a large majority of those teens who who reported that they were sexually experienced also reported that they had been sexually active within the last 3 months prior to time at which the survey was conducted.

In an article, published in the British Journal of Cancer (1980), the relationship between the number of lifetime partners and cancer is made explicit:

When a person has three or more sexual partners in a lifetime, they have 14-16 times the rate of developing cancer as does someone with only one sexual partner in a lifetime.43

EARLY SEXUAL ACTIVITY AND THE RISK OF CANCER IN GIRLS

Data recently published in Pediatrics suggest that more American teenagers are sexually experienced at earlier ages than only a decade ago.44

The British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology notes that early sexual activity can lead to lifelong, serious, adverse health consequences such as cancer:

The risk of developing carcinoma of the cervix was doubled in women who began sexual activity before the age of 17 and a large survey found that 1.9% of the girls aged between 15 and 19 years had abnormal cervical cytology. Discouraging sexual activity before the age of consent seems to have a medical as well as a moral basis.45

This concern about cervical cancer is confirmed by Dr. Thomas Elkins at the University of Michigan:

One of the most disturbing findings now becoming obvious as a result of the sexual revolution is the incidence of cervical dysplasia and cervical cancer in our society.46

EARLY SEXUAL ACTIVITY AND THE RISK OF COMPLICATIONS IN PREGNANCY

The New England Journal of Medicine (1986) reports a study that notes the relationship between chlamydia and complications in pregnancy:

In the past 2-3 years there has even been a noted relationship between the presence of chlamydia, another sexually transmitted disease of the 70's and 80's, of the cervix and the onset of premature labor, premature ruptured membranes, premature delivery and an increased cost of neo-natal intensive care unit availability for these prematurely delivered infants.47

These findings are consistent with other empirical research:

The age at which women in the United States began coitus declined through the 1970s, while the rates of gonorrhea and syphilis were increasing, especially in adolescents. The consequences of STDs are especially unfortunate for young nulliparous women when they are rendered infertile by salpingitis or ectopic pregnancy.48

At least one-quarter of women with acute PID experience one or more serious long-term sequelae. The most common and most important are involuntary infertility and ectopic
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pregnancy.49

EARLY SEXUAL ACTIVITY: AND THE RISK OF SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES

STDs which primarily threaten the health of adolescents and young adults have increased to over 12 million cases. (See figures 4a-4c)

Sexually active teenage girls appear to be especially vulnerable to the risk of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID). It has been noted that the rates of PID are higher among sexually active teens:

Westrom and Marth found that the risk of PID (pelvic inflammatory disease) declined with age and that the risk of PID in sexually active 15-year-olds was ten times that in sexually active 25-year-olds.\(^5^6\)

The effect of PID threatens a teenage girl’s future ability to bear children:

Adolescents are at greater risk for developing PID than any other age group of women. An episode of PID can have a major effect on the sexual and reproductive health of a young woman by subjecting her to chronic pelvic disorders and threatening her future fertility capability.\(^5^1\)

The behavior of too many teenagers is clearly self-destructive. According to the American Medical Association:

Two and a half million adolescents have a sexually transmitted disease. More than 600 adolescents have AIDS and thousands are infected with HIV. Each year 1 million adolescents get pregnant (an average of 3,000 a day). Of these 477,000 give birth, more than 400,000 have abortions, and approximately 137,000 have miscarriages.\(^5^2\)

Given the evidence, we simply cannot compromise with this behavior of teenagers that is so clearly self-destructive. The medical evidence is overwhelming that discouraging early sexual activity i.e., encouraging abstinence, has a scientific basis as well.

---
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as a moral basis:

It looks as if early sexual activity is going to join smoking, drinking alcohol, the taking of drugs and eating too much animal fat, in the list of things that can damage health.\(^5^3\)

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SEX EDUCATION COURSES

In a study published in *Family Planning Perspectives*, it was noted that "the existing data do not yet constitute consistent, compelling evidence that sex education programs are effective in increasing teenage contraceptive use and reducing adolescent pregnancy.\(^5^4\)

The research overall demonstrates that while most sex education courses increase knowledge, the impact on behavior is insignificant. In a 1984 evaluation of 14 promising sex education programs, many of which provided STD information, Douglas Kirby, Ph.D. concluded that most of the programs had little impact on the number of times adolescents reported sexual activity or on teens use of birth control.\(^5^5\)

James Stout, M.D. and Frederick Rivara, M.D., M.P.H. on the basis of a review of five studies, evaluated the effect of junior and senior high school-based sex education programs, and confirmed Kirby's findings that "there is little or no effect from school-based sex education on sexual activity, contraception, or teenage pregnancy."\(^5^6\)

Furthermore, they note, based on their research, that:

53Barron, p. 792.
The expectations of altered adolescent sexual activity, contraceptive behavior, and pregnancy are unlikely to be fulfilled by these programs, and we suggest that the effort to fight for sex education on these terms is not justified unless an effect is shown in further studies.57

Clearly, if sex education were to result in responsible sexual behavior and reduce the tragic health consequences including contracting the AIDS virus, then little controversy would exist. But this is simply not the case. There is no evidence that teens who obtain information will change their behavior in any way.

Even when the information concerns the AIDS virus, education has not proved sufficient to change behavior. "HIV/AIDS instruction is not associated with less risky sexual behavior," writes Anderson et al. in Family Planning Perspectives.58

Very little is actually known about the education programs that focus on AIDS and STD prevention because they have not been systematically evaluated.59 However, what is known is that very few of these programs target families and yet we know that family involvement is a key variable in promoting teenage behavior change.

Too often parents are not part of the process. The National Commission on Children recommends that:

parents be more vigilant and aggressive guardians of their children’s moral development, monitoring the values to which their children are exposed, discussing conflicting messages with their children, and if necessary limiting or precluding their children’s exposure to images parents consider
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Experts argue that too often the wrong message is delivered in sex education classes. Data are provided and guidance is ignored:

As currently practiced in this country, sex education curriculums convey the wrong message and are targeted to the wrong audience. In emphasizing the provision of data to youth, we ignore the fact that parental guidance and direction are more often helpful than data and options.60

RECOGNIZING THE PROBLEM AND MISSING THE SOLUTION: CONDOM DISTRIBUTION PROGRAMS

The centerpiece of a "comprehensive" school-based health clinic is contraceptive hand-outs, most notably the condom. Research has shown this to be ineffective.

The Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) found adolescents’ knowledge regarding effectiveness of condoms in preventing the transmission of AIDS to be substantial. Nevertheless, it did not affect their behavior. Even when condoms are free and accessible, there is no apparent impact in terms of behavior change i.e., use:

Despite their high level of knowledge about the efficacy of condoms in preventing the spread of HIV, adolescents continue to be inconsistent condom users:

One recent study of 16- to 17-year-old males found that the offer of free condoms had no apparent impact in terms of changing the adolescents attitudes related to sexual behavior or the use of condoms.61

Susan Kegeles, Ph.D., et al, writing in the American Journal
of Public Health, underscores this point:

Although perceptions that condoms prevent sexually transmitted diseases and the value and importance placed on avoiding STDs remained high, these were neither reflected in increased intentions to use condoms nor in increased use.\(^{63}\)

There are many who question the advocacy of condom use for two reasons: 1) condoms do not change the behavior which puts teens at risk; and 2) condom use permits the same inappropriate behavior at a different rate of risk. Thus, the goal of condom advocacy is risk reduction.\(^{64}\) Because of the life-threatening nature of the HIV virus, the risk of transmitting this virus must be eliminated. It has been shown that condom use does not eliminate the risk:

Furthermore, it has recently been shown that condoms failed to prevent HIV transmission in 3 of 18 couples, suggesting that the rate of condom failure with HIV may be as high as 17 percent.\(^{65}\)

Too often the statistics cited on condom effectiveness assume ideal conditions and mature partners in the calculations. The failure rate of condoms when used by teenagers is higher than in the general population:

Though condoms have an overall 10 percent failure rate when used for contraception, it is almost twice as high for young


people.66

"The point is," states Dr. Theresa Crenshaw, immediate past president of the American Association of Sex Educators, Counselors and Therapists, and member of the Presidential AIDS Commission, "putting a mere balloon between a healthy body and a deadly disease is not safe." Dr. Crenshaw also warns:

If the wrong information is given, the effort will fail. It will cause death rather than prevent it...Saying that use of condoms is 'safe sex' is in fact playing Russian roulette. A lot of people will die in this dangerous game.68

The argument questioning the excessive reliance on condoms to modify the inappropriate sexual activity of teens was summed up best by Dr. Malcom Potts, one of the inventors of condoms lubricated with spermicides and president of Family Health International:

Telling a person who engages in high-risk behavior to use a condom "is like telling someone who is driving drunk to use a seat belt."69

Testimony before the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families by Bradley Hayton, Ph.D., lends support to the position that the promotion of condoms accommodates a risky way of life:


67Ibid. p. 115.

68Ibid.

69Ibid.

In sum, sex education programs that promote the use of condoms increase teenage pregnancy rates, abortion rates, rates of premarital sex, sexually transmitted diseases, and lowers grades and academic aspirations.70

Several studies raise serious questions about the effectiveness of condoms in preventing the transmission of the HIV virus. In fact, it has been observed that "condom education that increases teen promiscuity, coupled with the high failure rate of condoms, makes teens more likely to contract the deadly HIV virus."71

In one study, the condom rupture rate was 5%, leading the researchers to conclude that "truly safe sex with an HIV-positive partner using condoms is a dangerous illusion."72

The spread of the HIV virus in couples who exclusively used condoms documented by Fischl and her colleagues found "17% seroconversion rate over a 12-18 month period."73

Testimony before the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families referring to the work of Dr. Thomas Elkins, chief of gynecology at the University of Michigan, noted that "5 to 30% of nonlatex condoms leaked water molecules, which are larger than herpes, HIV or wart viruses."74
A study on the effectiveness of using condoms to halt the spread of the HIV virus was discontinued "because participants were placed at too great a risk of contracting the virus."75

Condom distribution programs promote the misguided belief that no adverse health consequences will occur if condoms are used. It is on this point that condom distribution programs fail. Programs such as this fail to build on the strengths of young people and fail to respond to what is motivating these teens to engage in such risky behavior.

Our goal, as a society, must be to promote healthy behavior among our young people. To argue against condom distribution programs is not simply moral preaching, but an attempt to lessen the number of young people who will become infected and die as a result of the HIV virus. According to Thomas Elkins, M.D., "safe sex for the adolescent is called abstinence in today's world."76

**SCHOOL-BASED HEALTH CLINICS: PART OF THE PROBLEM OR THE SOLUTION?**

In testimony before the Select Committee on Children, Youth and Families, John Lyons, Ph.D., Associate Professor at Northwestern University Medical School, presented his findings based on a systematic analysis of the existing scientific literature on school-based health clinics from 1976 to 1990. His findings are consistent with the findings of Stout and Rivera (1989). Dr. Lyons summarized the results on sexual activity by saying, "There is little evidence that clinics reduce or delay sexual activity."77 On birth rates he declared:

> There is little consistent evidence that school-based health clinics do reduce birth rates. The mechanism for this effect, however, does not appear to be due to a reduction in pregnancy. Rather birth rates appeared to be reduced primarily through the use of abortion.78

Policy statements by both the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and the American Medical Association have been very skeptical about the efficacy of school-based health clinics. In 1987, The American Academy of Pediatrics published a cautious statement regarding school-based clinics. The AAP asked for more research to be undertaken. Only eight of the subsequent studies in peer review journals used required pre- and post-statistics in their studies. None of these studies proved that the clinics meet their goals.79 The American Medical Association through its Council on Scientific Affairs, has stated, "Data are not sufficient to support the universal establishment of school-based health programs."80

**ABSTINENCE PROGRAMS THAT WORK**

The problems facing teenagers today are rooted in behavior. The physical illnesses, infections, or diseases are a result of behavior. Therefore, what we have here is a behavior problem, not a health problem.81

Behavior is strongly influenced by culture. Therefore, one important way to help change behavior is to change the present culture of sex education. This culture virtually ignores abstinence messages of self-restraint, self-control, individual responsibility, and perseverance.

Values and culture are not irrelevant issues in this fight against the HIV virus and teenage sexual activity. Cultural
problems demand cultural solutions. Abstinence-based programs are one solution.

Marion Howard, Ph.D. and Judith McCabe, Ph.D., assert, in a recent study in Family Planning Perspectives, that students who attended abstinence-based programs were significantly more likely to postpone sexual intercourse than similar students in other schools who did not have the program. "By the end of the eighth grade, students who had not had the program were as much as five times more likely to have begun having sex than were those who had had the program: 20 vs. 4 percent." This program had an even more dramatic effect on girls who had not yet become sexually active. "By the end of eighth grade, girls who had not had the program were as much as 15 times more likely to have begun having sex as were girls who had had the program. The AANCHOR project confirms the findings that abstinence programs are showing great success rates in terms of curbing teens' sexual activity. This program was tested for five years in 13 school districts in Utah, California, New Mexico, and Arizona and found correlations with "higher family strengths (loyalty, emotional support, cohesion), more frequent discussions with parents about sexual values and beliefs, and more abstinent attitudes regarding premarital sexual involvement." "Sex-Respect" is an abstinence-based program adopted in over 1,000 school districts across the United States. In a study with 1,841 participants high correlations were found with more abstinent attitudes, a greater sense of sexual restraint by teenagers and a greater awareness of the benefits of abstinence. The "Me, My W-rld, Future Program," another abstinence-based program, used by over 2,500 schools, was tested at four junior high schools during two months in 1988, and found correlations with:

- student awareness of the benefits of abstinence, more likelihood of abstinence before marriage, increased awareness of the negative consequences of teenage sexual behavior and a greater belief that premarital sexual activity was against their values.

What these studies confirm is that the battle is not lost. Just as abstinence from drug use is a realistic goal, abstinence from sexual activity is a realistic goal for teenagers and should be pursued with rigor, not abandonned.

HIV/AIDS FEDERAL FUNDING EFFORTS

As Dr. Louis Sullivan, secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services, has said, we know that even though research and funding play an extremely important role in combating the AIDS/HIV disease, research and funding alone will not contain this deadly disease. As federal and other funds continue to escalate in an effort to treat and prevent this devastating condition, we know that the
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government needs the response and attention of each and every American in controlling and eventually eliminating the AIDS disease. And, as Dr. Louis Sullivan is often quoted as saying, as individuals we must continue "building a culture of character, a climate of personal responsibility" that will go a long way in distinguishing this disease and securing a brighter and healthier future for our nation's youth.

We know that AIDS is a disease that causes immense human suffering and one that deserves our compassionate attention and federal resources. No one deserves to be HIV-positive or to experience the despair that accompanies this disease. And, we know from the Centers for Disease Control that the numbers of AIDS cases in young adults have increased significantly in recent years. Suffering and/or eventual death for these young people and for the rest of those who have or will contract AIDS dictates that our priorities must be focused on containing and eliminating this disease.

OVERALL HIV/AIDS FUNDING EFFORTS

AIDS/HIV-related spending has increased significantly since the virus was first discovered in 1981, and in particular research spending on pediatric AIDS has increased dramatically since 1989. The focus on pediatric AIDS, enhanced by legislative directive, is proving to be beneficial to all who are infected by the disease. Because HIV progression is most often more rapid in infants and therapeutic results of promise can be seen quickly, people of all ages benefit from pediatric-focused studies.89

The Public Health Service's 1993 budget includes $219 million for pediatric AIDS. Of this amount, $140 million is included in the NIH budget. In addition, many of the institutes, centers, and divisions of NIH-sponsored programs provide for pediatric AIDS-related research resources including training, infrastructure, and information.89


89Ibid.

Total federal spending for AIDS has grown from $8 million in 1982 to $208 million in 1985 to an estimated $4.345 billion in 1992, with $4.916 billion requested in the FY 1993 budget.90 Congress first appropriated money as a line item for AIDS research in 1983. Increases in succeeding years have ranged from a 115% increase in 1986 to an 18.6% increase in 1991.91

In FY 1992, AIDS-related federal spending will increase at least 170% over 1988 spending levels.92 Accounting conventions are not consistent across disease categories but more money is spent on the research and prevention of AIDS than on virtually any other disease. The Bush Administration has consistently requested substantial increases in funding each fiscal year for AIDS-related activities and research.

The Public Health Service alone will spend close to $2 billion for AIDS research, drug development, and prevention activities. In addition, as a result of free media time worth more than an estimated $85 million, the average American was exposed to public service announcements about AIDS/HIV 56 times between 1987 and 1990.93

Research and Prevention Spending

In a span of less than 10 years, government scientists have isolated the HIV virus, learned how it attacks the immune system, devised tests to detect infection, and developed a number of


treatments to slow its progress. However, with no cure yet available and so much left to learn about this ravaging disease, the bulk of Public Health Service AIDS-related funding continues to be directed toward AIDS-related research.

The federal government spent $1.68 billion on AIDS-related research and prevention in 1991, $1.7 billion on cancer research and prevention in 1991, and $6.653 billion on heart disease. (See Table 2)

Overall research and prevention strategies and efforts are crucial in the fight against AIDS. Some of the federal government’s efforts in this area include: sponsorship of a number of major public awareness programs and education efforts including the "America Responds to AIDS" campaign and school-based programs to educate our youth about AIDS; developing and mandating new safeguards to ensure the safety of the nation’s blood supply; providing guidelines affecting health care and public safety personnel and procedures, and awarding emergency grants to fund early detection and sexual partner notification programs in areas hard-hit by the epidemic.94

As table 1 in this report shows, federal funding for AIDS research and prevention has increased from $200,000 in FY 1981 to nearly $2 billion in FY 1992. (See Table 1).95

Medical Treatment Spending

In addition to contributing federal dollars to research into and prevention of AIDS, the federal government also contributes to the treatment of AIDS. The largest increase in AIDS-related spending in FY 1991 has been in treatment. In FY 1990, $1.120 billion was spent, $1.607 billion was spent in FY 1991, and FY 1992 expenditures are projected at $1.990 billion.96

The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is the primary agency through which federal dollars are spent on the medical treatment of AIDS. HHS distributes treatment dollars under The CARE Act of 1990 (the Ryan White Comprehensive AIDS Resources Emergency Act), Medicaid, Medicare, and Social Security. In addition to medical treatment, HHS also spends money on income support for persons with AIDS through Social Security.

Medicaid, the federal-state program to provide health care to the poor, will cover about 40% of all hospital patients with AIDS, amounting to more than $1 billion (federal share) in FY 1992. Social Security will spend approximately $439 million (both OASDI and SSI) to help support persons with AIDS. Medicare will spend about $280 million to cover the medical bills of those disabled by AIDS.97

The CARE Act of 1990 will provide $280 million in FY 1992 for emergency assistance and comprehensive care in localities hit hardest by the disease. This assistance includes relief grants awarded to states to assist with the delivery of health care services to people with AIDS and to their families. The grants are also used to establish early intervention programs.98

In addition to AIDS-related funding funneled through the Department of Health and Human Services, the departments of Veterans Affairs, Defense, Justice, State, Labor, and Education, and the Agency for International Development spend substantial amounts on the medical treatment of AIDS.99

---
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Miscellaneous Spending

The federal government, in addition to research and medical treatment spending, also funds other AIDS/HIV related activities. Foremost among these appropriations are the monies spent by the Office of Civil Rights (OCR) on discrimination against HIV carriers. OCR is a division of HHS which investigates claims of AIDS-related discrimination in HHS-conducted programs and activities.

In addition to the Office of Civil Rights, the departments of State, Labor, and Education spend money on AIDS-related activities.106

The following chart gives an overview of total AIDS spending from fiscal years 1988 through 1993.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Government-wide Spending on AIDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Obligations in $ millions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid (Fed. share)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social-Security-DI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS-SSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justice/Prisons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PHS Budget Office, March 6, 1992
Federal Spending on Diseases

One death from AIDS or any other disease is one too many and we must keep the suffering that goes along with this and other diseases in mind as overall budget priorities are discussed from year to year. The nature of AIDS (communicable, affecting young people) has given rise to substantial increases in the share of federal funds committed to AIDS-related efforts as compared to funding levels for other diseases such as cancer, heart disease, diabetes, and Alzheimer's. (see Table 2)

Current spending efforts demonstrate the commitment of the federal government in combatting AIDS. The Public Health Service, one of the main arteries receiving AIDS-related appropriations, spent approximately as much on research and prevention of AIDS as it did on cancer in 1991 and 1992. The federal Centers for Disease Control (CDC) has estimated that 215,000 of the more than 1 million Americans infected with HIV virus will die during the next three years. During the same period 2.6 million Americans will be killed by cardiovascular diseases and another 1.5 million will die of cancer.

Decisions about spending for research, prevention, and education for a disease are based on a number of considerations, including the number of deaths in any given year. The prospects for a cure, the likelihood that education will change behavior, the possibility of delaying the onset of the disease or making the disease more bearable are all prospects worth considering when determining funding levels. Because AIDS is a progressive and communicable disease (unlike heart diseases and cancer) among a relatively young population, considerable funding levels have consistently been requested through the Administration and appropriated through the Congress.

The following charts and tables give an overview of the level of federal research and prevention spending for several of the nation's leading killing diseases. The charts and tables also give an overview of mortality data on deaths for major killers.

The table below shows Federal spending on research and prevention of AIDS, cancer, heart disease, diabetes, and Alzheimer's.

---

Table 2
Federal Research and Prevention Funding
(in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY87 Actual</th>
<th>FY87 Request</th>
<th>FY88 Actual</th>
<th>FY88 Request</th>
<th>FY89 Actual</th>
<th>FY89 Request</th>
<th>FY90 Actual</th>
<th>FY90 Request</th>
<th>FY91 Actual</th>
<th>FY91 Request</th>
<th>FY92 Actual</th>
<th>FY92 Request</th>
<th>FY93 Actual</th>
<th>FY93 Request</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>$503</td>
<td>$506</td>
<td>$1301</td>
<td>$1590</td>
<td>$1888</td>
<td>$1967</td>
<td>$2069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>1468</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>1714</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>728</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

The following table gives estimates, where available, of the incidence (number of new cases per year), prevalence (number of people affected), and annual deaths associated with each of the diseases. As can be seen in the source notes, these data are not collected in a uniform way; estimates obtained from other sources could vary significantly.\(^{102}\)

---


\(^{103}\)Id.
### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIDS cases</td>
<td>52,000-57,000</td>
<td>101,000-122,000</td>
<td>37,000-42,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV Infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>1.1 million</td>
<td>5 million</td>
<td>520,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>20 million</td>
<td>730,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>11 million</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's</td>
<td>not available</td>
<td>4 million</td>
<td>not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSION: THE SEARCH FOR COMMON GROUND**

Perhaps the best way to conclude this Republican dissent is to underscore the importance of this issue for our country. Teens and AIDS is a very important and critical problem, one that deserves national attention and not partisan bickering. In the words of William Roper, M.D., director of the Centers for Disease Control:

> We need to search for common ground. Surely we can agree that premature initiation of sexual activity is unhealthy and unwise. Let us seek out those areas on which we can agree and deliver a clear and consistent message, rather than continuing only to quarrel over those issues on which we disagree.105

One area where agreement should be reached is in the national health objectives for the year 2000. These objectives include efforts to reduce the proportion of adolescents who have engaged in sexual intercourse to less than 15% by age 15, and less than 40% by age 17.

To achieve these objectives, a comprehensive approach which would include different sectors of our society is needed:

These changes in behavior will require interventions that integrate the efforts of parents, families, schools, religious organizations, health departments, community agencies, and the media. Education programs should provide adolescents with the knowledge, attitudes, and skills they need to refrain from sexual intercourse.106

Another place where consensus should be possible is in the recommendations of the bipartisan National Commission on Children regarding the role that the popular culture (as expressed in a society's music, TV and movies) has on the attitudes and conduct of many teens.

The Commission observes that "teenagers are exposed to an estimated 3,000 to 4,000 references to sexual activity on television and in movies each year."105 It cites a 1989 report of the American Academy of Pediatrics that expresses the Academy's "... concern over television's implicit and explicit messages to young viewers promoting the use of alcohol and promiscuous ... sexual activity."106

Teenagers feel the pressure from the popular culture to become sexually active. In a study of over 1,000 teenagers by Marion Howard, Ph.D., in the Journal of Adolescent Health Care, teenagers cite pressure from television as a source of social

---
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pressure to become sexually involved. Willard Cates, Jr., M.D., M.P.H., writing in the *Journal of Adolescent Health* underscores the role that environmental factors play in adolescent sexual behavior:

> The media, including television, rock videos and fashion magazines, have bombarded teenagers with the attractiveness of sex without providing any counterebalancing prevention messages.

We can surely agree, as a nation, with the findings of the National Commission on Children that "childre and adolescents need clear and consistent messages about personal conduct and public responsibility." The Commission recommends that "public and private sector leaders, community institutions and individual Americans . . . renew their commitment to the values of human dignity and character . . . .”

To recreate our culture, we the Republican Members of the Select Committee on Children, Youth, and Families, agree with the Commission that while parents need to be ever watchful over their children and monitor their exposure to different values, the recording industry and the television producers have their parts to play. We charge the recording industry and the television producers to comply with the recommendations of the Commission that state:

> The recording industry continue and enhance its efforts to control the distribution of inappropriate materials to children; (that) television producers exercise greater restraint in the content of programming for children and stations show greater restraint in the amount and type of advertising aired during children's programs. If implemented, these recommendations will help to change the cultural messages that we send to teens about the inappropriateness of early sexual activity. By changing these messages we will go a long way toward recreating our culture.

Yet another recommendation by the bipartisan National Commission on Children, which we heartily endorse, is to expand the Adolescent Family Life Program which encourages teens to abstain from early sexual activity. By bringing the funding for this program up to the level of funding that the family planning program (Title X) devotes to teens, we will send the message that we as policymakers do not believe that the battle over inappropriate teenage sexual activity has been lost.

We believe that young people today are not inherently weak, or less capable of self-restraint than young people in the past. We recognize that the culture in which they are growing up has changed. And it is this culture that needs to be recreated to keep all our teenagers healthy and capable of achieving their full potential.

(signed) FRANK R. WOLF, JR., Ranking Minority Member
CLYDE C. HOLLOWAY
LAMAR S. SMITH
JAMES T. WALSH
BOB McEWEN
RICHARD JOHN SANTORUM
DAVE CAMP
BILL BARBET
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